



Office of Special Counsel Filing Details

From Filing NoReply <filing-noreply@osc.gov>

Date Wed 12/11/2024 8:35 AM

To aabiwr@live.com <aabiwr@live.com>

 1 attachment (440 KB)

OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-12112024134.pdf;

Please find attached a PDF documenting the details of your filing with the Office of Special Counsel. Please review and make sure your information is correct. Changes cannot be made using the Online Filing Portal. If you need to amend or add information regarding your complaint, please contact the attorney/investigator assigned or email info@osc.gov.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Medicaid Oversight for the Connecticut Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard City: Baltimore State: Maryland Zip Code: 21244

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

I don't know



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Enabled systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, refused ADA accommodations, and obstructed FOIA requests.
When did this occur?	on going
How did you discover this action?	Advocating for medicaid consumers.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Delayed or denied FOIA responses, failed to provide requested accommodations for accessible formats.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner	Head of DSS, oversees Medicaid programs,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

			including the ABI Waiver Program.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

1. What type of wrongdoing are you reporting?

(Check all that apply):

Gross Mismanagement

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Abuse of Authority

Danger to Public Health or Safety

2. Describe the wrongdoing.

Connecticut's Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated agencies have engaged in systemic misconduct involving gross mismanagement, violations of federal law, and abuse of authority. These actions harm vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid and suppress transparency and accountability.

Key Wrongdoing Includes:

Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

DSS operates a monopolistic referral system within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, restricting consumer access to diverse providers and prioritizing financial gain over care quality.

Federal Medicaid funds are funneled to select providers without accountability, raising concerns about misuse of taxpayer dollars.

ADA and FOIA Violations:

DSS systematically denies or delays reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), creating barriers for individuals with disabilities.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests for critical Medicaid provider data are ignored, delayed, or denied without valid exemptions, obstructing transparency.

Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DSS and other entities retaliated against me for reporting systemic issues by excluding me from discussions, delaying complaint resolutions, and discrediting my advocacy.

Danger to Public Health and Safety:

By limiting consumer access to diverse providers and neglecting ADA compliance, DSS endangers the health and well-being of Medicaid recipients, particularly those with disabilities or acquired brain injuries.

3. Who committed the wrongdoing?

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Key Individuals:

Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS)

DSS FOIA Officers

DSS Medicaid Program Administrators

Role: Mismanaged Medicaid programs, denied ADA accommodations, and obstructed FOIA compliance.

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

Key Individuals:

Tanya Hughes (Executive Director, CHRO)

Cheryl Sharp (Deputy Director, CHRO)

Role: Mishandled ADA complaints and failed to address whistleblower retaliation.

Federal Oversight Agencies:

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS)

Role: Failed to enforce federal Medicaid and ADA compliance standards in Connecticut.

4. When did this occur?

Misconduct began escalating in 2013-2014 following systemic changes to Connecticut Medicaid administration and continues to the present.

Specific incidents include:

ADA accommodation denials from 2021-2024.

FOIA delays and denials from 2020-2024.

Retaliatory actions increasing since 2022.

5. How did you learn about the wrongdoing?

Personal Experience: I directly experienced ADA accommodation denials, FOIA obstructions,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

and retaliatory actions as a whistleblower advocating for systemic reform.

Reports from Medicaid Consumers: Multiple individuals reported restricted access to diverse providers under DSS's monopolistic referral system.

FOIA Requests: Delayed and incomplete responses revealed DSS's lack of transparency and non-compliance with federal standards.

6. What evidence supports your claim?

FOIA Documentation:

Copies of delayed, denied, or incomplete FOIA responses from DSS and federal agencies.

ADA Accommodation Requests:

Written requests for cognitive support and accessible communication formats, alongside DSS's failure to act.

Grievance Records:

Complaints filed with CHRO and DSS regarding ADA non-compliance, FOIA delays, and retaliation.

Consumer Reports:

Statements from Medicaid recipients detailing restricted provider access and neglect of care quality.

7. Why is this important?

This misconduct violates federal laws, undermines public trust, and disproportionately harms vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities. Without intervention, these actions will continue to waste taxpayer dollars, endanger public health, and suppress advocacy for systemic reform.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date
Department of Justice	
Congress or congressional committee	
Inspector General of department / agency involved	
Other office of department / agency involved	



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name: **Last Name:**

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
01.12.2024 Notice Regarding HHS CMS Medicaid Administration in Connecticut FOIA Non Compliance ADA Concerns and Whistleblower Retaliations Complaint Appeals and Request for Transparency.pdf
DOJ 11.23.2024 Binder2.docx
requests (3).csv



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identity to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/25/2024



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Medicaid Oversight for the Connecticut Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard City: Baltimore State: Maryland Zip Code: 21244

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

I don't know



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Enabled systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, refused ADA accommodations, and obstructed FOIA requests.
When did this occur?	on going
How did you discover this action?	Advocating for medicaid consumers.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Delayed or denied FOIA responses, failed to provide requested accommodations for accessible formats.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner	Head of DSS, oversees Medicaid programs,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

			including the ABI Waiver Program.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

1. What type of wrongdoing are you reporting?

(Check all that apply):

Gross Mismanagement

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Abuse of Authority

Danger to Public Health or Safety

2. Describe the wrongdoing.

Connecticut's Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated agencies have engaged in systemic misconduct involving gross mismanagement, violations of federal law, and abuse of authority. These actions harm vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid and suppress transparency and accountability.

Key Wrongdoing Includes:

Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

DSS operates a monopolistic referral system within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, restricting consumer access to diverse providers and prioritizing financial gain over care quality.

Federal Medicaid funds are funneled to select providers without accountability, raising concerns about misuse of taxpayer dollars.

ADA and FOIA Violations:

DSS systematically denies or delays reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), creating barriers for individuals with disabilities.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests for critical Medicaid provider data are ignored, delayed, or denied without valid exemptions, obstructing transparency.

Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DSS and other entities retaliated against me for reporting systemic issues by excluding me from discussions, delaying complaint resolutions, and discrediting my advocacy.

Danger to Public Health and Safety:

By limiting consumer access to diverse providers and neglecting ADA compliance, DSS endangers the health and well-being of Medicaid recipients, particularly those with disabilities or acquired brain injuries.

3. Who committed the wrongdoing?

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Key Individuals:

Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS)

DSS FOIA Officers

DSS Medicaid Program Administrators

Role: Mismanaged Medicaid programs, denied ADA accommodations, and obstructed FOIA compliance.

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

Key Individuals:

Tanya Hughes (Executive Director, CHRO)

Cheryl Sharp (Deputy Director, CHRO)

Role: Mishandled ADA complaints and failed to address whistleblower retaliation.

Federal Oversight Agencies:

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS)

Role: Failed to enforce federal Medicaid and ADA compliance standards in Connecticut.

4. When did this occur?

Misconduct began escalating in 2013-2014 following systemic changes to Connecticut Medicaid administration and continues to the present.

Specific incidents include:

ADA accommodation denials from 2021-2024.

FOIA delays and denials from 2020-2024.

Retaliatory actions increasing since 2022.

5. How did you learn about the wrongdoing?

Personal Experience: I directly experienced ADA accommodation denials, FOIA obstructions,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

and retaliatory actions as a whistleblower advocating for systemic reform.

Reports from Medicaid Consumers: Multiple individuals reported restricted access to diverse providers under DSS's monopolistic referral system.

FOIA Requests: Delayed and incomplete responses revealed DSS's lack of transparency and non-compliance with federal standards.

6. What evidence supports your claim?

FOIA Documentation:

Copies of delayed, denied, or incomplete FOIA responses from DSS and federal agencies.

ADA Accommodation Requests:

Written requests for cognitive support and accessible communication formats, alongside DSS's failure to act.

Grievance Records:

Complaints filed with CHRO and DSS regarding ADA non-compliance, FOIA delays, and retaliation.

Consumer Reports:

Statements from Medicaid recipients detailing restricted provider access and neglect of care quality.

7. Why is this important?

This misconduct violates federal laws, undermines public trust, and disproportionately harms vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities. Without intervention, these actions will continue to waste taxpayer dollars, endanger public health, and suppress advocacy for systemic reform.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date
Department of Justice	
Congress or congressional committee	
Inspector General of department / agency involved	
Other office of department / agency involved	



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name: Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
01.12.2024 Notice Regarding HHS CMS Medicaid Administration in Connecticut FOIA Non Compliance ADA Concerns and Whistleblower Retaliations Complaint Appeals and Request for Transparency.pdf
DOJ 11.23.2024 Binder2.docx
requests (3).csv



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identity to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/25/2024



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Medicaid Oversight for the Connecticut Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard City: Baltimore State: Maryland Zip Code: 21244

City: Baltimore **State:** MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

I don't know



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Enabled systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, refused ADA accommodations, and obstructed FOIA requests.
When did this occur?	on going
How did you discover this action?	Advocating for medicaid consumers.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Delayed or denied FOIA responses, failed to provide requested accommodations for accessible formats.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner	Head of DSS, oversees Medicaid programs,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

			including the ABI Waiver Program.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

1. What type of wrongdoing are you reporting?

(Check all that apply):

Gross Mismanagement

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Abuse of Authority

Danger to Public Health or Safety

2. Describe the wrongdoing.

Connecticut's Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated agencies have engaged in systemic misconduct involving gross mismanagement, violations of federal law, and abuse of authority. These actions harm vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid and suppress transparency and accountability.

Key Wrongdoing Includes:

Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

DSS operates a monopolistic referral system within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, restricting consumer access to diverse providers and prioritizing financial gain over care quality.

Federal Medicaid funds are funneled to select providers without accountability, raising concerns about misuse of taxpayer dollars.

ADA and FOIA Violations:

DSS systematically denies or delays reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), creating barriers for individuals with disabilities.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests for critical Medicaid provider data are ignored, delayed, or denied without valid exemptions, obstructing transparency.

Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DSS and other entities retaliated against me for reporting systemic issues by excluding me from discussions, delaying complaint resolutions, and discrediting my advocacy.

Danger to Public Health and Safety:

By limiting consumer access to diverse providers and neglecting ADA compliance, DSS endangers the health and well-being of Medicaid recipients, particularly those with disabilities or acquired brain injuries.

3. Who committed the wrongdoing?

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Key Individuals:

Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS)

DSS FOIA Officers

DSS Medicaid Program Administrators

Role: Mismanaged Medicaid programs, denied ADA accommodations, and obstructed FOIA compliance.

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

Key Individuals:

Tanya Hughes (Executive Director, CHRO)

Cheryl Sharp (Deputy Director, CHRO)

Role: Mishandled ADA complaints and failed to address whistleblower retaliation.

Federal Oversight Agencies:

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS)

Role: Failed to enforce federal Medicaid and ADA compliance standards in Connecticut.

4. When did this occur?

Misconduct began escalating in 2013-2014 following systemic changes to Connecticut Medicaid administration and continues to the present.

Specific incidents include:

ADA accommodation denials from 2021-2024.

FOIA delays and denials from 2020-2024.

Retaliatory actions increasing since 2022.

5. How did you learn about the wrongdoing?

Personal Experience: I directly experienced ADA accommodation denials, FOIA obstructions,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

and retaliatory actions as a whistleblower advocating for systemic reform.

Reports from Medicaid Consumers: Multiple individuals reported restricted access to diverse providers under DSS's monopolistic referral system.

FOIA Requests: Delayed and incomplete responses revealed DSS's lack of transparency and non-compliance with federal standards.

6. What evidence supports your claim?

FOIA Documentation:

Copies of delayed, denied, or incomplete FOIA responses from DSS and federal agencies.

ADA Accommodation Requests:

Written requests for cognitive support and accessible communication formats, alongside DSS's failure to act.

Grievance Records:

Complaints filed with CHRO and DSS regarding ADA non-compliance, FOIA delays, and retaliation.

Consumer Reports:

Statements from Medicaid recipients detailing restricted provider access and neglect of care quality.

7. Why is this important?

This misconduct violates federal laws, undermines public trust, and disproportionately harms vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities. Without intervention, these actions will continue to waste taxpayer dollars, endanger public health, and suppress advocacy for systemic reform.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date
Department of Justice	
Congress or congressional committee	
Inspector General of department / agency involved	
Other office of department / agency involved	



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name: **Last Name:**

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
01.12.2024 Notice Regarding HHS CMS Medicaid Administration in Connecticut FOIA Non Compliance ADA Concerns and Whistleblower Retaliations Complaint Appeals and Request for Transparency.pdf
DOJ 11.23.2024 Binder2.docx
requests (3).csv



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identity to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/25/2024



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

Street Address: 200 Independence Avenue, SW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	
When did this occur?	
How did you discover this action?	
What additional facts support your allegation?	
Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, led by General Counsel Yamuna (Yam) Menon, failed to comply with state and federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requirements by: Failing to conduct adequate records searches. Failing to redirect or identify responsible agencies holding requested records. Failing to provide legally required Vaughn Indexes or explanations for withheld information. Additionally, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), in collaboration with contractors such as Accenture and Manatt, contributed to potential violations by failing to ensure public



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	transparency and compliance with Medicaid oversight regulations under 42 CFR § 430.35.
When did this occur?	Timeframe: The violations began on or around October 29, 2024, when I submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and other agencies. These violations are ongoing as the agencies have failed to provide adequate responses, conduct sufficient records searches, or adhere to federal and state transparency laws.
How did you discover this action?	I discovered these actions through my direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process and my involvement as an advocate for Medicaid recipients, particularly individuals relying on the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver. The following key events revealed the systemic issues: 1. FOIA Requests and Responses On October 29, 2024, I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), and other agencies for records related to Medicaid programs, ABI Waiver amendments, and contractor activities involving Accenture and Manatt. Agencies failed to conduct adequate searches, provide redirections, or adhere to legal timelines, as evidenced by their incomplete responses and appeals communications between October 30 and November 12, 2024. 2. ADA Accommodation Requests I submitted ADA accommodation requests alongside my FOIA filings, as required by my traumatic brain injury (TBI)-related needs. The agencies failed to implement these accommodations, creating procedural barriers and violating federal law. This became evident during their responses to my FOIA requests and appeals in October and November 2024. 3. Medicaid Program Advocacy Through my advocacy work as the founder of ABI Resources, I identified long-standing concerns with Medicaid program transparency and contractor influence. Patterns of mismanagement emerged during reviews of Medicaid amendment processes and stakeholder communications dating back to 2012. The lack of publicly available documentation



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	regarding ABI Waiver amendments and related policies further highlighted systemic failures. 4. Contractor Influence Concerns regarding contractor influence (Accenture and Manatt) were raised by stakeholders and whistleblowers in my network. Their activities, including policy advising without adequate oversight, were identified as contributing to a lack of transparency and potential fiscal waste.
What additional facts support your allegation?	The following facts and documentation substantiate my allegations regarding violations of law, gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and systemic accountability failures: 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches: The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller failed to certify search methodologies or consult appropriate personnel, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. No redirections to responsible agencies were made, despite clear indications that records related to Medicaid amendments and contractors (Accenture and Manatt) exist elsewhere. The absence of a Vaughn Index or justification for withheld records violates transparency standards upheld in <i>NARA v. Favish</i> (2004). Documentation: FOIA requests and appeals filed between October 29, 2024, and November 12, 2024, show consistent procedural failures. Agency responses, including a lack of clarity from the Office of the State Comptroller, confirm incomplete searches and non-compliance with FOIA laws. 2. ADA Violations Failure to Provide Accommodations: Requests for email-only communication, embedded text in email bodies, and screen-reader-compatible PDFs were ignored. This violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, which mandate equal access for individuals with disabilities. The procedural barriers created by these failures disproportionately impacted my ability to process records effectively, constituting discrimination under <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004). Documentation: ADA accommodation requests submitted alongside FOIA filings show non-compliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	with reasonable accommodation standards. Agency correspondence from November 2024 fails to address accommodation needs explicitly, despite repeated reminders. 3. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Lack of Oversight in ABI Waiver Amendments: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) engaged contractors (Accenture and Manatt) to advise on Medicaid amendments and managed care models without adequate public transparency or oversight. Failure to comply with federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.35) raises concerns about state accountability for program administration. Documentation: No records have been provided to substantiate claims of federal or state review of ABI Waiver amendments or contractor activities. Whistleblower insights suggest contractors influenced policy decisions without addressing stakeholder concerns about accessibility and equity. 4. Abuse of Authority Suppression of Whistleblowers: Whistleblowers reporting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and potential conflicts of interest involving contractors have faced barriers to disclosure, violating the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302). Agency responses demonstrate a lack of clear mechanisms for reporting or addressing whistleblower concerns. Documentation: Reports from stakeholders highlight systemic suppression of dissent and insufficient safeguards for whistleblower anonymity. Corresp
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	The following individuals and contractors, under the leadership of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in actions and inactions that violated constitutional law rights, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Medicaid regulations, taxpayer accountability standards, and whistleblower protections. Their combined failures perpetuated systemic inequities, regulatory non-compliance, and a lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid program administration, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver. Key Individuals and Their Actions 1. Andrea Barton Reeves



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Role: Commissioner of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) (Appointed 2023) Actions and Violations: Failure to Uphold Constitutional Rights: Obstructed transparency guaranteed under the First Amendment, infringing on the public's right to access government information and participate in policymaking. Ignored due process and equal protection obligations under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments by failing to implement ADA accommodations and equitable Medicaid policies. Violation of Federal Medicaid Standards: Allowed DSS to proceed with Medicaid amendments, including ABI Waiver updates, without ensuring compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and 42 CFR § 430.35, which mandate equity, accessibility, and accountability in Medicaid-funded programs. Failed to address systemic inequities that disproportionately impact individuals with brain injuries and disabilities. Transparency Failures: Ignored state and federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obstructing access to public records related to Medicaid funding, contractor agreements, and policy development. Repeatedly denied ADA accommodation requests, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and creating procedural barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking access to public information. Fiscal Mismanagement: Oversaw contracts with Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, resulting in potential misuse of taxpayer dollars without measurable deliverables or fiscal transparency. 2. Dr. Mehul Dalal Role: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS (Appointed July 2023) Actions and Violations: Policy Decisions Without Public Accountability: Directed Medicaid policies, including ABI Waiver amendments, without adequately consulting Medicaid recipients, providers, or advocacy groups. Ignored federal accessibility requirements under the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and related Medicaid statutes. Failure to Monitor Contractor Activities: Neglected to establish oversight mechanisms for contractors influencing key Medicaid decisions, allowing Accenture and Manatt to operate



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	without transparency or accountability. Constitutional and Regulatory Breaches: Violated the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause and Medicaid accessibility regulations under 42 CFR § 440.180 by failing to protect Medicaid recipients' rights to equitable services. 3. Joel Norwood Role: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS (Appointe
When did this occur?	The systemic mismanagement and failures in the administration of the Medicaid program, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver, have occurred over an extended period. Key timeframes illustrate how these issues evolved and were perpetuated under the leadership of Andrea Barton Reeves, Dr. Mehul Dalal, and Joel Norwood, and through contractor influence from Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP. These actions and inactions span from 2012 to the present, with specific incidents detailed below: Key Timeframes and Incidents 1. Initial Contractor Engagement and Longstanding Issues (2012–2022) 2012: DSS engaged Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP as contractors to advise on Medicaid program development and amendments. During this period, systemic issues in transparency and accountability began to emerge as contractors influenced Medicaid policy without adequate oversight mechanisms. Federal and state accessibility requirements were often overlooked in policy deci
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions and systemic failures in the administration of Medicaid programs, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver, were uncovered through a comprehensive approach combining advocacy efforts, FOIA engagement, stakeholder testimonies, procedural analysis, and legal research. Each method revealed patterns of mismanagement, non-compliance with federal and state laws, and significant gaps in transparency and accountability. 1. Direct Advocacy Efforts As the founder of ABI Resources, I have worked extensively with Medicaid recipients, healthcare providers, and advocacy organizations. My work uncovered: Systemic Barriers to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Services: Medicaid recipients reported consistent difficulties accessing equitable care, particularly under the ABI Waiver. These included: Delayed access to providers and services. Inadequate outreach and support for individuals with brain injuries. Confusion about eligibility changes and amendments to Medicaid programs. Stakeholder Concerns: Providers raised alarms about delays in reimbursements and unclear guidance from DSS, pointing to inefficiencies in Medicaid administration. Advocacy groups expressed frustration over the lack of meaningful consultation during key policy changes, indicating that Medicaid recipients and stakeholders were often excluded from the decision-making process. 2. FOIA Requests and Engagement Initial FOIA Requests (October 29, 2024): I filed FOIA requests with DSS, the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, and related agencies to obtain records detailing: Medicaid program management. ABI Waiver amendments and updates. Contractor involvement with Accenture and Manatt. Responses revealed: Incomplete or obstructed records searches. Lack of compliance with FOIA transparency standards under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. No justification for withheld documents, violating transparency precedents such as <i>NARA v. Favish</i> (2004). FOIA Appeals (October 30–November 12, 2024): Appeals to FOIA denials further highlighted systemic barriers, including: Procedural delays and inadequate records searches. Refusal to implement ADA accommodations, such as email-only communication and simplified summaries for complex records, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA). 3. Stakeholder Reports and Testimonies Medicaid Recipients: Individuals relying on ABI Waiver services reported barriers such as: Inequitable access to healthcare providers. Delays in receiving services critical to their health and quality of life. Difficulty navigating program changes due to lack of clear communication from DSS. Healthcare Providers and Advocacy Groups: Providers cited inefficiencies in DSS policies that created financial strain and administrative delays. Advocacy



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	groups expressed concerns about DSS's reliance on contractors like Accenture and Manatt, highlighting the lack of public consultation or oversight in Medicaid policy decisions. 4. Procedural and Regulatory Analysis
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation of a Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation? The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), under Andrea Barton Reeves' leadership, along with Dr. Mehul Dalal, Joel Norwood, and contractors Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, engaged in actions and inactions that violated multiple federal and state laws, regulations, and constitutional protections. The following detailed facts substantiate the allegations of these violations: 1. Violations of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Failure to Conduct Adequate Records Searches DSS failed to conduct reasonable and thorough searches for FOIA-requested records concerning Medicaid program amendments, ABI Waiver updates, and contractor agreements, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. No certification of search methodology or systems consulted was provided, leaving the scope and integrity of the search unclear. Failure to Provide Justifications for Withholding Records DSS did not provide legally required Vaughn Indexes or other detailed explanations for records that were withheld or redacted, violating transparency standards established in <i>NARA v. Favish</i> (2004). The refusal to disclose contracts and communications with Accenture and Manatt raises concerns about deliberate obstruction. Evidence of FOIA Non-Compliance FOIA requests submitted on October 29, 2024, and appeals filed between October 30 and November 12, 2024, demonstrate: Incomplete searches and refusals to produce responsive documents. Persistent delays and procedural barriers. 2. Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Denial of ADA Accommodations DSS failed to provide legally mandated accommodations requested alongside FOIA filings, including: Email-only communication for accessibility. Screen-reader-compatible PDF formats. Simplified



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	summaries for complex documents. These failures violated 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, which mandate equal access for individuals with disabilities. Procedural Barriers The lack of accommodations created additional barriers for individuals with disabilities, depriving them of their constitutional right to equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment. 3. Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Regulations Failure to Meet Federal Accessibility and Equity Standards DSS neglected to ensure that amendments to the ABI Waiver complied with 42 CFR § 430.35, which mandates equity, accessibility, and proper federal oversight in Medicaid-funded programs. Medicaid recipients, particularly those with brain injuries, reported delays and inequities in accessing services, demonstrating DSS's failure to implement accessible and equitable care under 42 U.S.C. § 12132. Inadequate Oversight of Contractor Activities Contractors Accenture and Manatt played significant roles in advising on Medicaid amendments and managed care models, but DSS failed to establish: Transparency mechanisms for their recommen
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals responsible for the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and related entities engaged in actions and inactions that violated constitutional rights, ADA requirements, state and federal Medicaid laws, taxpayer accountability standards, FOIA transparency obligations, and whistleblower protections. These actions collectively contributed to systemic failures, mismanagement, and inequities in Medicaid program administration, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver. Key Individuals and Their Actions 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Actions and Violations: Constitutional Violations: Denied access to public records, violating the First Amendment guarantee of transparency and public participation in government accountability. Failed to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	uphold due process and equal protection rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments by neglecting to implement ADA accommodations and equitable Medicaid policies. Non-Compliance with Medicaid Standards: Allowed DSS to implement Medicaid amendments, including ABI Waiver updates, without ensuring compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and 42 CFR § 430.35, which mandate equity, accessibility, and federal oversight. Ignored systemic barriers that disproportionately affect Medicaid recipients with disabilities, violating federal accessibility requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 12132. FOIA and Transparency Failures: Repeatedly obstructed public access to Medicaid program records by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. Ignored FOIA appeals and refused to provide Vaughn Indexes or certifications of record searches, demonstrating a deliberate obstruction of transparency laws. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Authorized contracts with Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, without adequate oversight or accountability mechanisms, raising concerns about potential misuse of taxpayer dollars. 2. Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS Actions and Violations: Policy Development Without Oversight: Played a leading role in shaping Medicaid policies, including amendments to the ABI Waiver, without ensuring alignment with federal regulations or addressing stakeholder concerns about inequities. ADA and Medicaid Violations: Failed to implement policies that met federal accessibility standards under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 42 CFR § 440.180, leaving individuals with disabilities underserved. Transparency Failures: Did not address procedural barriers in FOIA responses, obstructing public accountability and violating transparency laws. 3. Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS Actions and Violations: Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities: Shared responsibility for inadequate monitoring of ABI Waiver amendments, resulting in gaps in equity and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessibility for Medicaid recipients. Procedural and Accountability Failu
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The systemic violations and abuses of authority in the administration of Medicaid programs, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver, span over a decade, beginning in 2012 with contractor engagements and escalating in 2023 under the leadership of Andrea Barton Reeves. These failures continued through November 2024, with key incidents highlighting worsening transparency violations, non-compliance with federal laws, and inequities in Medicaid services. Below is a comprehensive timeline with specific legal references and examples of harm: _____ 1. Initial Contractor Engagements and Policy Decisions (2012–2022) • 2012: • DSS engaged Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP to provide consulting services for Medicaid program development, including amendments to the ABI Waiver and managed care models. • Contractor influence occurred without adequate oversight or accountability mechanisms, violating transparency standards
How did you discover this action?	The systemic abuses of authority, transparency failures, and regulatory violations within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) were uncovered through a multi-pronged approach, including direct advocacy efforts, FOIA requests and appeals, stakeholder testimonies, procedural analysis, and legal research. Each method revealed evidence of persistent mismanagement, non-compliance with state and federal laws, and systemic inequities affecting Medicaid recipients.
What additional facts support your allegation?	The systemic abuses of authority, transparency failures, and regulatory violations within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) were uncovered through a multi-pronged approach, including direct advocacy efforts, FOIA requests and appeals, stakeholder testimonies, procedural analysis, and legal research. Each method revealed evidence of persistent mismanagement, non-compliance with state and federal laws, and systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inequities affecting Medicaid recipients. 1. Direct Advocacy Efforts As the founder of ABI Resources, I have worked directly with Medicaid recipients, healthcare providers, and advocacy groups. These experiences revealed systemic barriers, inequities, and procedural failures within DSS: Barriers for ABI Waiver Recipients: Recipients consistently reported: Delays in Service Delivery: Long wait times for approvals and services under the ABI Waiver. Provider Network Issues: Limited provider access and a lack of clarity on eligibility criteria. Communication Failures: Inadequate outreach and guidance on Medicaid program changes. These barriers disproportionately impacted individuals with disabilities, violating federal Medicaid accessibility requirements under 42 CFR § 440.180 and ADA protections under 42 U.S.C. § 12132.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Yamuna	Menon	The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, led by General Counsel Yamuna (Yam) Menon	The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, led by General Counsel Yamuna (Yam) Menon
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Appointed as Commissioner of DSS in early 2023, she oversees the department's	Andrea Barton Reeves: Appointed as Commissioner of DSS in early 2023, she oversees the department's
Dr. Mehul	Dalal	has been serving as the Chief	Dr. Mehul Dalal has been serving as the Chief Policy



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Policy Advisor for the Connecticut Department of Social Services	Advisor for the Connecticut Department of Social Services
Joel	Norwood	Deputy Chief Policy Advisor	Deputy Chief Policy Advisor
Chiquita	Brooks-LaSure	Administrator of CMS	Administrator of CMS
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS
Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Summary of Actions Needed (Ranked by Priority)

1. Federal Investigation (Highest Priority)

Purpose: Address systemic violations of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, Medicaid regulations, and taxpayer accountability.

Key Objectives:

Investigate DSS for First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment violations.

Enforce compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA), 42 CFR § 430.35, and federal taxpayer protection laws.

Hold individuals and entities accountable for mismanagement and legal violations.

2. Audit of Contracts and Expenditures

Purpose: Ensure fiscal accountability and detect potential misuse of taxpayer funds.

Key Objectives:

Audit all contracts with Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP.

Identify conflicts of interest, improper influence, and unfulfilled deliverables.

Safeguard federal and state Medicaid funds.

3. Implementation of Corrective Measures

Purpose: Eliminate procedural barriers and ensure equity and accessibility in Medicaid administration.

Key Objectives:

Enforce FOIA compliance, including timely responses and accessible records.

Mandate ADA-compliant communication practices (e.g., screen-reader-compatible documents, simplified summaries).

Align DSS policies with federal equity standards under 42 CFR § 440.180.

4. Strengthening Whistleblower Protections

Purpose: Empower employees and stakeholders to expose misconduct without fear of retaliation.

Key Objectives:

Establish anonymous reporting mechanisms for systemic failures. Monitor and enforce whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302).

Penalize retaliatory actions to maintain transparency and accountability.

5. Mandate Transparency Reforms

Purpose: Restore public trust and ensure transparency in DSS operations and contractor engagements.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Key Objectives:

Require DSS to publish contracts, expenditures, and Medicaid decisions.

Enforce public access to records through strict FOIA and ADA compliance.

Increase oversight of contractor influence and deliverables.

6. Expanded Oversight of Medicaid Administration

Purpose: Prevent further systemic failures and protect vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid.

Key Objectives:

Ensure ABI Waiver amendments meet equity and accessibility standards.

Limit undue influence of contractors in policy decisions.

Mandate stakeholder consultation in Medicaid policymaking.

Conclusion

These actions are ranked by their importance to address systemic failures, protect constitutional and ADA rights, ensure equitable Medicaid services, and restore transparency and accountability in DSS operations. Immediate intervention is critical to safeguard public trust, taxpayer funds, and the well-being of Medicaid recipients.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Records Regarding Connecticut Medicaid Programs, ABI Waiver, Amendments, and Federal Agency Oversight and Communications with Accenture and Manatt (2012–Present) (Office of the State Comptroller) (1).zip
requests (4).csv
requests (5).csv
requests (6).csv
11.27.2024 What Actions Did They Take.pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identity to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/27/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

Street Address: 200 Independence Avenue, SW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	
When did this occur?	
How did you discover this action?	
What additional facts support your allegation?	
Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, led by General Counsel Yamuna (Yam) Menon, failed to comply with state and federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requirements by: Failing to conduct adequate records searches. Failing to redirect or identify responsible agencies holding requested records. Failing to provide legally required Vaughn Indexes or explanations for withheld information. Additionally, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), in collaboration with contractors such as Accenture and Manatt, contributed to potential violations by failing to ensure public



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	transparency and compliance with Medicaid oversight regulations under 42 CFR § 430.35.
When did this occur?	Timeframe: The violations began on or around October 29, 2024, when I submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and other agencies. These violations are ongoing as the agencies have failed to provide adequate responses, conduct sufficient records searches, or adhere to federal and state transparency laws.
How did you discover this action?	I discovered these actions through my direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process and my involvement as an advocate for Medicaid recipients, particularly individuals relying on the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver. The following key events revealed the systemic issues: 1. FOIA Requests and Responses On October 29, 2024, I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), and other agencies for records related to Medicaid programs, ABI Waiver amendments, and contractor activities involving Accenture and Manatt. Agencies failed to conduct adequate searches, provide redirections, or adhere to legal timelines, as evidenced by their incomplete responses and appeals communications between October 30 and November 12, 2024. 2. ADA Accommodation Requests I submitted ADA accommodation requests alongside my FOIA filings, as required by my traumatic brain injury (TBI)-related needs. The agencies failed to implement these accommodations, creating procedural barriers and violating federal law. This became evident during their responses to my FOIA requests and appeals in October and November 2024. 3. Medicaid Program Advocacy Through my advocacy work as the founder of ABI Resources, I identified long-standing concerns with Medicaid program transparency and contractor influence. Patterns of mismanagement emerged during reviews of Medicaid amendment processes and stakeholder communications dating back to 2012. The lack of publicly available documentation



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	regarding ABI Waiver amendments and related policies further highlighted systemic failures. 4. Contractor Influence Concerns regarding contractor influence (Accenture and Manatt) were raised by stakeholders and whistleblowers in my network. Their activities, including policy advising without adequate oversight, were identified as contributing to a lack of transparency and potential fiscal waste.
What additional facts support your allegation?	The following facts and documentation substantiate my allegations regarding violations of law, gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and systemic accountability failures: 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches: The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller failed to certify search methodologies or consult appropriate personnel, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. No redirections to responsible agencies were made, despite clear indications that records related to Medicaid amendments and contractors (Accenture and Manatt) exist elsewhere. The absence of a Vaughn Index or justification for withheld records violates transparency standards upheld in <i>NARA v. Favish</i> (2004). Documentation: FOIA requests and appeals filed between October 29, 2024, and November 12, 2024, show consistent procedural failures. Agency responses, including a lack of clarity from the Office of the State Comptroller, confirm incomplete searches and non-compliance with FOIA laws. 2. ADA Violations Failure to Provide Accommodations: Requests for email-only communication, embedded text in email bodies, and screen-reader-compatible PDFs were ignored. This violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, which mandate equal access for individuals with disabilities. The procedural barriers created by these failures disproportionately impacted my ability to process records effectively, constituting discrimination under <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004). Documentation: ADA accommodation requests submitted alongside FOIA filings show non-compliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	with reasonable accommodation standards. Agency correspondence from November 2024 fails to address accommodation needs explicitly, despite repeated reminders. 3. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Lack of Oversight in ABI Waiver Amendments: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) engaged contractors (Accenture and Manatt) to advise on Medicaid amendments and managed care models without adequate public transparency or oversight. Failure to comply with federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.35) raises concerns about state accountability for program administration. Documentation: No records have been provided to substantiate claims of federal or state review of ABI Waiver amendments or contractor activities. Whistleblower insights suggest contractors influenced policy decisions without addressing stakeholder concerns about accessibility and equity. 4. Abuse of Authority Suppression of Whistleblowers: Whistleblowers reporting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and potential conflicts of interest involving contractors have faced barriers to disclosure, violating the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302). Agency responses demonstrate a lack of clear mechanisms for reporting or addressing whistleblower concerns. Documentation: Reports from stakeholders highlight systemic suppression of dissent and insufficient safeguards for whistleblower anonymity. Corresp
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	The following individuals and contractors, under the leadership of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in actions and inactions that violated constitutional law rights, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Medicaid regulations, taxpayer accountability standards, and whistleblower protections. Their combined failures perpetuated systemic inequities, regulatory non-compliance, and a lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid program administration, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver. Key Individuals and Their Actions 1. Andrea Barton Reeves



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Role: Commissioner of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) (Appointed 2023) Actions and Violations: Failure to Uphold Constitutional Rights: Obstructed transparency guaranteed under the First Amendment, infringing on the public's right to access government information and participate in policymaking. Ignored due process and equal protection obligations under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments by failing to implement ADA accommodations and equitable Medicaid policies. Violation of Federal Medicaid Standards: Allowed DSS to proceed with Medicaid amendments, including ABI Waiver updates, without ensuring compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and 42 CFR § 430.35, which mandate equity, accessibility, and accountability in Medicaid-funded programs. Failed to address systemic inequities that disproportionately impact individuals with brain injuries and disabilities. Transparency Failures: Ignored state and federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obstructing access to public records related to Medicaid funding, contractor agreements, and policy development. Repeatedly denied ADA accommodation requests, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and creating procedural barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking access to public information. Fiscal Mismanagement: Oversaw contracts with Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, resulting in potential misuse of taxpayer dollars without measurable deliverables or fiscal transparency. 2. Dr. Mehul Dalal Role: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS (Appointed July 2023) Actions and Violations: Policy Decisions Without Public Accountability: Directed Medicaid policies, including ABI Waiver amendments, without adequately consulting Medicaid recipients, providers, or advocacy groups. Ignored federal accessibility requirements under the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and related Medicaid statutes. Failure to Monitor Contractor Activities: Neglected to establish oversight mechanisms for contractors influencing key Medicaid decisions, allowing Accenture and Manatt to operate



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	without transparency or accountability. Constitutional and Regulatory Breaches: Violated the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause and Medicaid accessibility regulations under 42 CFR § 440.180 by failing to protect Medicaid recipients' rights to equitable services. 3. Joel Norwood Role: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS (Appointe
When did this occur?	The systemic mismanagement and failures in the administration of the Medicaid program, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver, have occurred over an extended period. Key timeframes illustrate how these issues evolved and were perpetuated under the leadership of Andrea Barton Reeves, Dr. Mehul Dalal, and Joel Norwood, and through contractor influence from Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP. These actions and inactions span from 2012 to the present, with specific incidents detailed below: Key Timeframes and Incidents 1. Initial Contractor Engagement and Longstanding Issues (2012–2022) 2012: DSS engaged Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP as contractors to advise on Medicaid program development and amendments. During this period, systemic issues in transparency and accountability began to emerge as contractors influenced Medicaid policy without adequate oversight mechanisms. Federal and state accessibility requirements were often overlooked in policy deci
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions and systemic failures in the administration of Medicaid programs, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver, were uncovered through a comprehensive approach combining advocacy efforts, FOIA engagement, stakeholder testimonies, procedural analysis, and legal research. Each method revealed patterns of mismanagement, non-compliance with federal and state laws, and significant gaps in transparency and accountability. 1. Direct Advocacy Efforts As the founder of ABI Resources, I have worked extensively with Medicaid recipients, healthcare providers, and advocacy organizations. My work uncovered: Systemic Barriers to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Services: Medicaid recipients reported consistent difficulties accessing equitable care, particularly under the ABI Waiver. These included: Delayed access to providers and services. Inadequate outreach and support for individuals with brain injuries. Confusion about eligibility changes and amendments to Medicaid programs. Stakeholder Concerns: Providers raised alarms about delays in reimbursements and unclear guidance from DSS, pointing to inefficiencies in Medicaid administration. Advocacy groups expressed frustration over the lack of meaningful consultation during key policy changes, indicating that Medicaid recipients and stakeholders were often excluded from the decision-making process. 2. FOIA Requests and Engagement Initial FOIA Requests (October 29, 2024): I filed FOIA requests with DSS, the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, and related agencies to obtain records detailing: Medicaid program management. ABI Waiver amendments and updates. Contractor involvement with Accenture and Manatt. Responses revealed: Incomplete or obstructed records searches. Lack of compliance with FOIA transparency standards under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. No justification for withheld documents, violating transparency precedents such as <i>NARA v. Favish</i> (2004). FOIA Appeals (October 30–November 12, 2024): Appeals to FOIA denials further highlighted systemic barriers, including: Procedural delays and inadequate records searches. Refusal to implement ADA accommodations, such as email-only communication and simplified summaries for complex records, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA). 3. Stakeholder Reports and Testimonies Medicaid Recipients: Individuals relying on ABI Waiver services reported barriers such as: Inequitable access to healthcare providers. Delays in receiving services critical to their health and quality of life. Difficulty navigating program changes due to lack of clear communication from DSS. Healthcare Providers and Advocacy Groups: Providers cited inefficiencies in DSS policies that created financial strain and administrative delays. Advocacy



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	groups expressed concerns about DSS's reliance on contractors like Accenture and Manatt, highlighting the lack of public consultation or oversight in Medicaid policy decisions. 4. Procedural and Regulatory Analysis
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation of a Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation? The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), under Andrea Barton Reeves' leadership, along with Dr. Mehul Dalal, Joel Norwood, and contractors Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, engaged in actions and inactions that violated multiple federal and state laws, regulations, and constitutional protections. The following detailed facts substantiate the allegations of these violations: 1. Violations of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Failure to Conduct Adequate Records Searches DSS failed to conduct reasonable and thorough searches for FOIA-requested records concerning Medicaid program amendments, ABI Waiver updates, and contractor agreements, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. No certification of search methodology or systems consulted was provided, leaving the scope and integrity of the search unclear. Failure to Provide Justifications for Withholding Records DSS did not provide legally required Vaughn Indexes or other detailed explanations for records that were withheld or redacted, violating transparency standards established in <i>NARA v. Favish</i> (2004). The refusal to disclose contracts and communications with Accenture and Manatt raises concerns about deliberate obstruction. Evidence of FOIA Non-Compliance FOIA requests submitted on October 29, 2024, and appeals filed between October 30 and November 12, 2024, demonstrate: Incomplete searches and refusals to produce responsive documents. Persistent delays and procedural barriers. 2. Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Denial of ADA Accommodations DSS failed to provide legally mandated accommodations requested alongside FOIA filings, including: Email-only communication for accessibility. Screen-reader-compatible PDF formats. Simplified



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	summaries for complex documents. These failures violated 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, which mandate equal access for individuals with disabilities. Procedural Barriers The lack of accommodations created additional barriers for individuals with disabilities, depriving them of their constitutional right to equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment. 3. Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Regulations Failure to Meet Federal Accessibility and Equity Standards DSS neglected to ensure that amendments to the ABI Waiver complied with 42 CFR § 430.35, which mandates equity, accessibility, and proper federal oversight in Medicaid-funded programs. Medicaid recipients, particularly those with brain injuries, reported delays and inequities in accessing services, demonstrating DSS's failure to implement accessible and equitable care under 42 U.S.C. § 12132. Inadequate Oversight of Contractor Activities Contractors Accenture and Manatt played significant roles in advising on Medicaid amendments and managed care models, but DSS failed to establish: Transparency mechanisms for their recommen
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals responsible for the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and related entities engaged in actions and inactions that violated constitutional rights, ADA requirements, state and federal Medicaid laws, taxpayer accountability standards, FOIA transparency obligations, and whistleblower protections. These actions collectively contributed to systemic failures, mismanagement, and inequities in Medicaid program administration, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver. Key Individuals and Their Actions 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Actions and Violations: Constitutional Violations: Denied access to public records, violating the First Amendment guarantee of transparency and public participation in government accountability. Failed to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	uphold due process and equal protection rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments by neglecting to implement ADA accommodations and equitable Medicaid policies. Non-Compliance with Medicaid Standards: Allowed DSS to implement Medicaid amendments, including ABI Waiver updates, without ensuring compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and 42 CFR § 430.35, which mandate equity, accessibility, and federal oversight. Ignored systemic barriers that disproportionately affect Medicaid recipients with disabilities, violating federal accessibility requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 12132. FOIA and Transparency Failures: Repeatedly obstructed public access to Medicaid program records by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-211. Ignored FOIA appeals and refused to provide Vaughn Indexes or certifications of record searches, demonstrating a deliberate obstruction of transparency laws. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Authorized contracts with Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, without adequate oversight or accountability mechanisms, raising concerns about potential misuse of taxpayer dollars. 2. Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS Actions and Violations: Policy Development Without Oversight: Played a leading role in shaping Medicaid policies, including amendments to the ABI Waiver, without ensuring alignment with federal regulations or addressing stakeholder concerns about inequities. ADA and Medicaid Violations: Failed to implement policies that met federal accessibility standards under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 42 CFR § 440.180, leaving individuals with disabilities underserved. Transparency Failures: Did not address procedural barriers in FOIA responses, obstructing public accountability and violating transparency laws. 3. Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS Actions and Violations: Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities: Shared responsibility for inadequate monitoring of ABI Waiver amendments, resulting in gaps in equity and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessibility for Medicaid recipients. Procedural and Accountability Failu
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The systemic violations and abuses of authority in the administration of Medicaid programs, particularly the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver, span over a decade, beginning in 2012 with contractor engagements and escalating in 2023 under the leadership of Andrea Barton Reeves. These failures continued through November 2024, with key incidents highlighting worsening transparency violations, non-compliance with federal laws, and inequities in Medicaid services. Below is a comprehensive timeline with specific legal references and examples of harm: _____ 1. Initial Contractor Engagements and Policy Decisions (2012–2022) • 2012: • DSS engaged Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP to provide consulting services for Medicaid program development, including amendments to the ABI Waiver and managed care models. • Contractor influence occurred without adequate oversight or accountability mechanisms, violating transparency standards
How did you discover this action?	The systemic abuses of authority, transparency failures, and regulatory violations within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) were uncovered through a multi-pronged approach, including direct advocacy efforts, FOIA requests and appeals, stakeholder testimonies, procedural analysis, and legal research. Each method revealed evidence of persistent mismanagement, non-compliance with state and federal laws, and systemic inequities affecting Medicaid recipients.
What additional facts support your allegation?	The systemic abuses of authority, transparency failures, and regulatory violations within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) were uncovered through a multi-pronged approach, including direct advocacy efforts, FOIA requests and appeals, stakeholder testimonies, procedural analysis, and legal research. Each method revealed evidence of persistent mismanagement, non-compliance with state and federal laws, and systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inequities affecting Medicaid recipients. 1. Direct Advocacy Efforts As the founder of ABI Resources, I have worked directly with Medicaid recipients, healthcare providers, and advocacy groups. These experiences revealed systemic barriers, inequities, and procedural failures within DSS: Barriers for ABI Waiver Recipients: Recipients consistently reported: Delays in Service Delivery: Long wait times for approvals and services under the ABI Waiver. Provider Network Issues: Limited provider access and a lack of clarity on eligibility criteria. Communication Failures: Inadequate outreach and guidance on Medicaid program changes. These barriers disproportionately impacted individuals with disabilities, violating federal Medicaid accessibility requirements under 42 CFR § 440.180 and ADA protections under 42 U.S.C. § 12132.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Yamuna	Menon	The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, led by General Counsel Yamuna (Yam) Menon	The Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller, led by General Counsel Yamuna (Yam) Menon
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Appointed as Commissioner of DSS in early 2023, she oversees the department's	Andrea Barton Reeves: Appointed as Commissioner of DSS in early 2023, she oversees the department's
Dr. Mehul	Dalal	has been serving as the Chief	Dr. Mehul Dalal has been serving as the Chief Policy



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Policy Advisor for the Connecticut Department of Social Services	Advisor for the Connecticut Department of Social Services
Joel	Norwood	Deputy Chief Policy Advisor	Deputy Chief Policy Advisor
Chiquita	Brooks-LaSure	Administrator of CMS	Administrator of CMS
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Dr. Mehul Dalal Title: Chief Policy Advisor, DSS
Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS	Joel Norwood Title: Deputy Chief Policy Advisor, DSS
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Summary of Actions Needed (Ranked by Priority)

1. Federal Investigation (Highest Priority)

Purpose: Address systemic violations of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, Medicaid regulations, and taxpayer accountability.

Key Objectives:

Investigate DSS for First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment violations.

Enforce compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA), 42 CFR § 430.35, and federal taxpayer protection laws.

Hold individuals and entities accountable for mismanagement and legal violations.

2. Audit of Contracts and Expenditures

Purpose: Ensure fiscal accountability and detect potential misuse of taxpayer funds.

Key Objectives:

Audit all contracts with Accenture and Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP.

Identify conflicts of interest, improper influence, and unfulfilled deliverables.

Safeguard federal and state Medicaid funds.

3. Implementation of Corrective Measures

Purpose: Eliminate procedural barriers and ensure equity and accessibility in Medicaid administration.

Key Objectives:

Enforce FOIA compliance, including timely responses and accessible records.

Mandate ADA-compliant communication practices (e.g., screen-reader-compatible documents, simplified summaries).

Align DSS policies with federal equity standards under 42 CFR § 440.180.

4. Strengthening Whistleblower Protections

Purpose: Empower employees and stakeholders to expose misconduct without fear of retaliation.

Key Objectives:

Establish anonymous reporting mechanisms for systemic failures. Monitor and enforce whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302).

Penalize retaliatory actions to maintain transparency and accountability.

5. Mandate Transparency Reforms

Purpose: Restore public trust and ensure transparency in DSS operations and contractor engagements.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Key Objectives:

Require DSS to publish contracts, expenditures, and Medicaid decisions.

Enforce public access to records through strict FOIA and ADA compliance.

Increase oversight of contractor influence and deliverables.

6. Expanded Oversight of Medicaid Administration

Purpose: Prevent further systemic failures and protect vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid.

Key Objectives:

Ensure ABI Waiver amendments meet equity and accessibility standards.

Limit undue influence of contractors in policy decisions.

Mandate stakeholder consultation in Medicaid policymaking.

Conclusion

These actions are ranked by their importance to address systemic failures, protect constitutional and ADA rights, ensure equitable Medicaid services, and restore transparency and accountability in DSS operations. Immediate intervention is critical to safeguard public trust, taxpayer funds, and the well-being of Medicaid recipients.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Records Regarding Connecticut Medicaid Programs, ABI Waiver, Amendments, and Federal Agency Oversight and Communications with Accenture and Manatt (2012–Present) (Office of the State Comptroller) (1).zip
requests (4).csv
requests (5).csv
requests (6).csv
11.27.2024 What Actions Did They Take.pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identity to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/27/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG) For DOJ: Disability Rights
Section For HHS: Office for Civil R

Street Address: 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Involved Parties 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): - Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating ADA and First Amendment protections. - Refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified processes. - Obstructed access to critical information about Medicaid programs, including ABI Waiver policies, in violation of FOIA. - Retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on systemic Medicaid mismanagement. 2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): - Refused to assign a case number or investigate David's notarized complaint alleging ADA violations and discrimination by DSS. - Ignored follow-up inquiries regarding the status of the complaint, denying due process and perpetuating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination. 3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: • Failed to act on evidence submitted by David Medeiros regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's inaction. • Neglected its statutory duty to uphold constitutional and civil rights protections in Connecticut, effectively enabling systemic violations. 4. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: • Ignored David's formal complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic corruption within Connecticut's Medicaid program. • Failed to investigate or enforce federal protections, allowing violations to persist unchecked. 5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): • Did not investigate systemic ADA violations in Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite clear evidence of non-compliance and harm to individuals with disabilities. 6. Connecticut Governor's Office: • Failed to intervene or provide oversight of DSS and CHRO despite being alerted to systemic violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. 7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): • Did not enforce federal Medicaid oversight to ensure that Connecticut DSS complies with federal regulations and ADA mandates. Summary of Actions: • Exclusion: Agencies excluded David from forums and processes critical to his advocacy and whistleblower activities. • Retaliation: State and federal actors retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement, violating whistleblower protections. • Non-Compliance: Agencies failed to uphold ADA requirements and constitutional rights, creating systemic barriers and procedural delays. • Neglect: Federal oversight bodies deferred action, enabling Connecticut agencies to act with impunity. Constitutional Violations and Crimes Committed 12. First Amendment Violations • Suppression of Petition Rights: By ignoring David Medeiros' complaints and failing to investigate or act on his claims, Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies have



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	suppressed his constitutional right to petition the government for redress of grievances. • Retaliation Against Free Speech: Retaliatory actions, such a
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 – Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismana
How did you discover this action?	3. When did this occur? ChatGPT said: Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 –



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement by Connecticut agencies. Despite the urgency, the DOJ failed to initiate an investigation or enforce compliance. November 28, 2024 – Current Status CHRO: Refuses to assign a case number or act on David’s complaint, obstructing due process. Connecticut Attorney General: Has ignored all communications, abdicating responsibility for overseeing state agency compliance. DOJ: Has not taken substantive action to investigate federal violations or ensure ADA compliance. HHS OCR: Remains unresponsive despite its mandate to enforce ADA compliance and Medicaid program integrity. These violations and inactions have created a continuous pattern of systemic neglect and retaliation against David Medeiros, with no resolution or accountability to date. You said: 4. How did you discover this action? ChatGPT said: How the Action Was Discovered David Medeiros, as a whistleblower and disability advocate, discovered the actions through direct personal experience and documentation, which include: Personal Experience with Discrimination and Retaliation David experienced firsthand acts of exclusion, public ridicule, and procedural barriers during interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials. For example: He was excluded from critical discussions related to the ABI Waiver Program. He was subjected to public ridicule during forums, despite being a known advocate for individuals with disabilities and a whistleblower exposing Medicaid mismanagement. Documented Failures of State Agencies David submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) detailing ADA violations and retaliation. However, CHRO failed to acknowledge the complaint, assign a case number, or investigate the allegations, creating procedural obstacles. Evidence of Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General Despite



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	escalating the matter and providing comprehensive evidence of systemic failure
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Documented Failures by State Agencies Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to: Assign a case number. Investigate the allegations. Provide any substantive acknowledgment or response, violating its statutory mandate to investigate discrimination complaints. This procedural inaction obstructs justice and denies David's right to due process. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Retaliation: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a whistleblower and disability advocate. DSS has refused to provide reasonable ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence), in direct violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Despite receiving evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and Medicaid mismanagement, the Attorney General's Office failed to investigate or respond, abdicating its responsibility to enforce state and federal laws. Federal Oversight Failures: The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR) were notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches in November 2024. Despite this, no federal investigations or enforcement actions have been initiated, perpetuating state agency misconduct. Evidence of ADA Non-Compliance: DSS repeatedly refused David's requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified processes, exacerbating the challenges he faces as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor. Mismanagement of Public Funds: DSS has



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	obstructed transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage, particularly funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer dollars. Exploitation of Disability: State and federal officials are aware of David's brain injury and cognitive challenges, yet they have exploited his disability by creating procedural barriers and denying accommodations, effectively excluding him from advocacy and justice processes. Pattern of Systemic Corruption and Retaliation: Agencies at both the state and federal levels have demonstrated a clear pattern of protecting their leadership and shielding systemic inefficiencies, corruption, and discrimination, rather than addressing legitimate complaints. By refusing to act on whistleblower disclosures, these agencies have enabled misconduct, eroded public trust, and fostered an environment where accountability is absent. Violation of Transparency Laws: DSS and CHRO have failed to comply with Freedom of Information
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	The listed individuals and entities engaged in or facilitated actions that collectively constitute systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and mismanagement of public funds. These actions include: 1. Suppression of Whistleblower Rights and Retaliation DSS (Connecticut Department of Social Services): Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros from critical forums related to Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed David's advocacy efforts by withholding ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and email-only correspondence. Refused to provide requested records or updates, effectively silencing his whistleblowing efforts and suppressing public accountability. CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities): Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized complaint, delaying and obstructing justice. Failed to investigate claims of systemic discrimination and retaliation, despite statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandates. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Ignored multiple submissions of evidence regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's failure to act. Failed to intervene or provide oversight to enforce transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections. 2. Violations of ADA Protections and Disability Rights DSS: Denied reasonable accommodations to David Medeiros, a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, making it impossible for him to meaningfully participate in public processes. Weaponized David's disability by exploiting his cognitive challenges to create procedural delays, obstruct communication, and undermine his advocacy. CHRO: Failed to enforce ADA and anti-discrimination statutes by not investigating documented violations or taking any corrective actions. Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR, CMS): Neglected oversight responsibilities to ensure ADA compliance and accessibility in Connecticut's Medicaid program, enabling DSS to continue discriminatory practices unchecked. 3. Mismanagement of Public Funds and Lack of Transparency DSS: Withheld public records related to Medicaid fund allocations, including the ABI Waiver Program, violating FOIA and state transparency laws. Mismanaged Medicaid resources by failing to meet federal ADA standards while continuing to receive federal funding. Federal Agencies (CMS, HHS OCR): Failed to audit or investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds in Connecticut, despite whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic issues. 4. Abuse of Authority and Gross Mismanagement Connecticut Leadership (OPM, Governor's Office): Allowed systemic failures in oversight and enforcement by not addressing persistent complaints of corruption, discrimination, and retaliation. Enabled DSS and CHRO's non-compliance with state and federal laws by failing to enact structural reforms. Federal Agencies (DOJ, OSC): Ignored whistleblower reports and failed to initiate investigations into Connecticut's systemic mismanagement of Medicaid and ADA violat



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a case number and an update on the status of his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or assign a case number, effectively obstructing the complaint process. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David submitted evidence and documentation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting oversight and intervention to address systemic misconduct by DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office ignored the submission and failed to act. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ David submitted a detailed whistleblower complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance
How did you discover this action?	Discovery of Actions David Medeiros discovered these actions through firsthand experience and documented interactions with the involved parties. The following key events and observations provided evidence of the actions taken against him: Refusal to Assign a Case Number by CHRO After submitting a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, David received no acknowledgment or case number, despite following up multiple times. The lack of response signaled procedural obstruction and a failure to fulfill CHRO's statutory obligations. Exclusion and Ridicule by DSS During public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, DSS officials publicly ridiculed and excluded David, creating a hostile environment for his advocacy. Witnesses corroborated these incidents, providing evidence of discriminatory practices. Ignored Submissions to the Attorney General's Office Despite submitting detailed evidence of DSS and CHRO misconduct to the Attorney General on November 1, 2024, no acknowledgment or action was taken. This



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaction became apparent when repeated attempts to follow up were ignored. Federal Oversight Failures Complaints to the DOJ and HHS OCR, submitted on November 10, 2024, included comprehensive documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. The absence of substantive action or follow-up from these federal agencies revealed a broader pattern of neglect and inaction. FOIA and ADA Compliance Failures Requests for ADA-compliant communication and public records related to Medicaid funds and the ABI Waiver Program were either ignored or obstructed. These failures were documented through email exchanges, unanswered requests, and a lack of transparent processes. Each discovery is supported by correspondence records, witness testimony, and David's detailed documentation of the systemic barriers and retaliatory practices he encountered. These actions collectively indicate deliberate neglect and systemic discrimination.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegations Documented Retaliation and Exclusion by DSS: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, as corroborated by witnesses and documented in communications. These actions created a hostile environment, directly impeding his ability to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities. DSS failed to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA, including email-only correspondence and simplified processes, despite David's documented cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor. CHRO's Failure to Investigate: Despite submitting a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, and multiple follow-up communications, CHRO refused to assign a case number or initiate an investigation, violating its statutory obligation to investigate discrimination complaints. CHRO's inaction effectively denied David due process and equal protection under the law, prolonging systemic discrimination and retaliation. Attorney General's Office Inaction: Submissions to the Connecticut Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	General included detailed evidence of DSS's ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation. Despite the compelling nature of the evidence, the office failed to respond, investigate, or intervene. This neglect demonstrates a disregard for its duty to ensure state agency compliance with federal and state laws. Federal Oversight Failures (DOJ and HHS OCR): The Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights at HHS were notified of systemic ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite comprehensive documentation, neither agency initiated a substantive investigation or provided updates. Federal inaction perpetuates the culture of impunity within Connecticut's state agencies. FOIA and Transparency Failures: DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver operations, despite FOIA and state FOIA laws mandating timely responses. Requests for ADA-compliant documents and communication were ignored, compounding David's inability to access critical information. Pattern of Systemic Neglect and Corruption: Connecticut's financial reliance on Medicaid creates an incentive to suppress transparency and accountability. The refusal to investigate whistleblower complaints, accommodate disabilities, or ensure compliance highlights a coordinated effort to shield systemic mismanagement. David Medeiros' Vulnerability as a Brain Injury Survivor: Agencies weaponized David's cognitive challenges to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, further exacerbating the discrimination and retaliation he faced. The refusal to accommodate his needs constitutes a violation of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, underscoring the systemic failure to protect vulnerable individuals. Summary of Supporting Evidence: Witness testimony from public forums and interactions with DSS officials. Documentation of corresponden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

What action did they take?	Actions Taken: Abuse of Authority State-Level Actions Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Public Ridicule and Exclusion: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluding him from discussions critical to Medicaid policy and decision-making. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Refused to provide accessible communication methods, such as email-only correspondence and simplified procedural guidelines, despite documented cognitive challenges from a brain injury. Obstruction of Records Requests: Failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withheld critical Medicaid documentation, and ignored whistleblower complaints. Retaliation for Whistleblowing: Took retaliatory actions, such as exclusion from forums and non-response to inquiries, after David exposed Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Failure to Investigate: Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized ADA complaint, preventing any investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices. Neglect of Statutory Duties: Ignored repeated follow-up requests for updates on the complaint, effectively obstructing access to justice. Complicity in Discrimination: Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities: Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches. Shielding State Agencies: Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct. Federal-Level Actions Department of Justice (DOJ): Failure to Act: Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs. Deferred Oversight: Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Neglect of ADA Oversight: Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations. Lack of Enforcement by OCR: The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failure to Address Mismanagement: Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations. Permitting Retaliation: Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption. Key Themes of Abuse of Authority: Systemic Retaliation: Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums. Weaponized Bureaucr
When did this occur?	Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority Key Dates and Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint: David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO: David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number. No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General: Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction. The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies: Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compl
How did you discover this action?	How This Action Was Discovered David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office. These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion. Key discoveries include: Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums: During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint: After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties. Attorney General's Silence: Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR: Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect. Obstructed FOIA Requests: DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability. Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion: DSS Forum Behavior: During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records. Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes: Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies. Failure to Investigate by CHRO: Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination. Attorney General's Refusal to Act: On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance: Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes. FOIA Obstruction: David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency. Federal Inaction and Neglect:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance. Exploitation of Disability: Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny. Violation of Whistleblower Protections: David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagement
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Action: Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services. Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation. Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications. Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic corruption. Connecticut Attorney General's Office Action: Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) Action: Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice. Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws. Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR) Action: Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS. Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division Action: Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities. Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives) Action: Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers. Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes. Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation. Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy. Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparen



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations,
How did you discover this action?	David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include: Direct Personal Experience: While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation. Documented Evidence: David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures. Procedural Barriers: Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation: The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts: David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings. These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented Complaints and Correspondence: Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Office of the Connecticut Attorney General, and federal agencies like the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR). These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers: Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504). Retaliatory Actions: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy. FOIA and Transparency Failures: David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.).



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Testimonies and Community Evidence: Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability: Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm. Impact on Public Health and Safety: Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety. These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated gross waste of public funds. Specifically: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Improper Use of Medicaid Funds: Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for. Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity. Inadequate Financial Reporting: Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits: Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Approval of Questionable Allocations: Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies: Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight. Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Inadequate Legislative Oversight: Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked. Refusal to Investigate Complaints: Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending. State Comptroller's Office: Failure to Monitor Spending: Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglect of Transparency Requirements: Omitted mandatory public disclosures that would expose financial mismanagement. Federal Oversight Bodies: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failed to audit or investigate Connecticut's Medicaid program despite clear indicators of mismanagement. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Did not enforce federal requirements for accountability in state-administered Medicaid programs, allowing systemic waste to persist. Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS: Neglected its duty to investigate potential fraud, waste, and abuse in Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, particularly those impacting vulnerable populations. Other Actions Taken: Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Suppressed complaints and retaliated against individuals, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	David Medeiros, who attempted to expose wasteful practices or seek transparency. Deliberate Obfuscation of Records: Withheld or failed to provide critical financial documents and records in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The gross waste of public funds by the listed individuals and entities occurred over an extended period, spanning several years. Key timeframes include: Ongoing Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds Mismanagement within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program and other Medicaid services has persisted for at least the past five years, with notable escalation from 2020 through 2024 as whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts exposed systemic issues. Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Retaliation against David Medeiros began following his whistleblower activities in 2022 and has continued through 2024. These actions coincided with: Reports submitted to Connecticut DSS regarding Medicaid mismanagement. Complaints filed with the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) in 2023 and 2024. Failure of Oversight Bodies The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) failed to act on
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? David Medeiros, as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and founder of ABI Resources, uncovered these actions through firsthand involvement, research, and communication with affected populations. The following outlines how these actions were discovered: Direct Involvement in Advocacy and Reporting: As a Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver (ABI Waiver) provider, David observed consistent mismanagement, delays, and non-compliance with Medicaid policies, impacting vulnerable populations reliant on these services. His firsthand interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials revealed systemic failures in the administration of funds, refusal to provide transparency, and retaliatory practices against those raising concerns. Complaints Filed and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Ignored: After filing formal complaints with DSS, CHRO, and other state agencies, David noted repeated refusals to assign case numbers, investigate allegations, or address the reported issues, indicating procedural obstructions and systemic retaliation. Follow-ups with state and federal oversight bodies, including HHS OCR and DOJ, yielded no action, further exposing a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblowers. Testimonies from Affected Populations: Through his work with ABI Resources, David received testimonies from individuals and families, highlighting delayed Medicaid services, discriminatory practices, and inaccessible public forums for those with disabilities. These accounts confirmed widespread financial inefficiencies and administrative failures within state programs. Analysis of FOIA Responses and Obstructions: Requests for Medicaid fund records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) were delayed, denied, or inadequately addressed, further revealing the deliberate suppression of transparency regarding the misuse of federal and state funds. DSS and CHRO's refusal to provide complete, timely, and accurate information raised significant concerns about financial mismanagement. Patterns of Retaliation: Retaliation against David personally—including public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and denial of accommodations—demonstrated a coordinated effort to silence his whistleblower activities. Escalation to Federal Agencies: Escalation to DOJ and HHS OCR in 2023 and 2024 confirmed federal inaction despite substantial evidence of systemic violations, indicating complicit neglect or deferral of oversight.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations of gross waste of funds, mismanagement, and retaliatory practices by Connecticut state agencies and the federal inaction that has allowed these violations to persist: Documented FOIA Non-Compliance and Withheld Records: Numerous FOIA requests filed with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	other agencies remain unanswered, delayed, or improperly denied. Refusal to disclose Medicaid spending records and program oversight audits has raised concerns about the misallocation of funds and deliberate suppression of accountability. The lack of transparency violates FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and creates a strong presumption of financial mismanagement. Patterns of Financial Irregularities in Medicaid Waiver Programs: Analysis of publicly available records and reports from Medicaid beneficiaries highlights delays and denials in services promised under the ABI Waiver Program, indicating misuse or diversion of federal funds. Families and individuals reliant on Medicaid services have reported prolonged processing times and inadequate resource allocation, suggesting systemic inefficiencies. Unaddressed Whistleblower Retaliation: David Medeiros faced public ridicule, exclusion, and retaliation for raising concerns about Medicaid mismanagement. These actions deter further scrutiny of DSS's practices and reinforce a culture of impunity. State agencies have ignored whistleblower protections outlined in the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), further preventing transparency. Testimonies from Affected Individuals: Accounts from Medicaid beneficiaries and families describe delays in essential healthcare services and unexplained denials of claims, which directly contradict the program's intended purpose and funding requirements. Repeated Procedural Obstructions: DSS's refusal to issue detailed responses to formal complaints and case filings obstructs accountability efforts. The CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers prevents investigations into discrimination and non-compliance with ADA standards. Attorney General's Office inaction demonstrates systemic neglect, enabling DSS to continue its harmful practices unchecked. Expert Reports and Audits Ignored: Calls for independent audits of DSS's Medicaid programs have been ignored or dismissed, raising concerns about financial mismanagement and failure to adhere to federal funding regulations. Violation



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of Federal Oversight Obligations: Federal agencies, including HHS OCR and the DOJ, have failed to enforce ADA compliance or investigate allegations of systemic corruption, allowing ongoing violations to harm vulnerable populations. Exploitation of Disability Challenges: David Medeiros, a brain injury survivor, has faced procedural barriers intentionally designed to exploit his cognitive challenges, such as refusal to provide accessible communication formats or simplified processes requ

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Kristen	Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division:	Assistant Attorney General: Kristen Clarke Directly oversees enforcement of civil rights laws, inclu
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Director:	Responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act within federally funded heal
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Office of the Attorney General:	Primary legal officer for Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agencies comply with constitut
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services	Oversees Medicaid administration and implementation of programs



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		(DSS): Commissioner:	such as the ABI Waiver, directly tie
Tanya A. Hughes	Tanya A. Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Executive Director:	Investigates discrimination complaints, including ADA violations, but has shown inaction in your cas
Henry J. Kerner	Henry J. Kerner	U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC): Special Counsel:	Investigates whistleblower retaliation and reports of government wrongdoing.
Julie Su	Julie Su	U.S. Department of Labor (DOL):	Responsible for oversight of workplace ADA compliance and protections for individuals with disabilit
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator:	Responsible for federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including ensuring state compliance with fed
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	Governor of Connecticut:	The executive authority overseeing state agencies, including DSS and CHRO, with the power to order r
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH):	Ensures state compliance with health-related ADA requirements and public health safety.
Gary Winfield	Gary Winfield	Connecticut General Assembly, Judiciary Committee:	Legislative oversight for state agency practices, including transparency, civil rights compliance, a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrés J. Gallegos	Andrés J. Gallegos	National Council on Disability (NCD): Chair:	Federal agency advising the President and Congress on disability policies, including ADA compliance
Alina M. Semo	Alina M. Semo	Office of Government Information Services (OGIS): Director:	Mediates FOIA disputes and ensures transparency in government operations.
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	Investigates misuse of federal funds and systemic inefficiencies in federally funded programs like M
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor
Jeffrey Beckham	Jeffrey Beckham	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Secretary	Oversees budget and funding allocation, including Medicaid funds. Negligence or failure to ensure pr
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner
Colleen M. Murphy	Colleen M. Murphy	Executive Director and General Counsel	Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney	Martin M. Looney	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Senate President Pro Tempore	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Matthew Ritter	Matthew Ritter	House Speaker	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator
Charlotte Burrows	Charlotte Burrows	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC):	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC): Chair
Henry Kerner	Henry Kerner	Office of Special Counsel (OSC):	ederal oversight for whistleblower complaints. If whistleblower disclosures were ignored or mishandl
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General
Christi A. Grimm	Christi A. Grimm	Office of Inspector General (OIG), Department of Health and Human Services:	OIG is tasked with investigating fraud, waste, and abuse in federally funded programs like Medicaid.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Inspector General	
Deidre S. Gifford	Deidre S. Gifford	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Oversaw the agency's discriminatory practices, denial of ADA accommodations, and retaliatory actions
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Failed to ensure the investigation of complaints, neglected to assign case numbers, and ignored stat
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General	Ignored evidence of systemic failures and violations, failing to intervene or hold DSS and CHRO acco
Merrick B. Garland	Merrick B. Garland	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Neglected oversight responsibilities related to ADA compliance within Medicaid-funded programs.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Role: Allowed systemic Medicaid mismanagement and misuse of federal funds without intervention.
Lisa J. Pino	Lisa J. Pino	Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS	Failed to enforce ADA compliance and investigate systemic discrimination and retaliatory practices w



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD	Manisha Juthani, MD	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)	Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA com
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong	William Tong	Attorney General, State of Connecticut	Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
Robyn M. Colosimo	Robyn M. Colosimo	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.
Rosa DeLauro	Rosa DeLauro	U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District	Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.
Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS
Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS
Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		General, Connecticut	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division
Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut
Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):
Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.
Rob Kane	Rob Kane	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
Sean Scanlon	Sean Scanlon	State Comptroller	Monitors DSS Medicaid-related expenditures and financial reporting.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.
Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Comptroller General of the United States	Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.
Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.
Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Request for Federal Representation and Protection to Restore Constitutional Rights
To the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC):

This complaint requires immediate federal representation to protect David Medeiros, a whistleblower, taxpayer advocate, and person with a disability, whose constitutional rights have been systematically violated by Connecticut state agencies. The federal government must act decisively to ensure that David's rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as federal statutes like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Whistleblower Protection Act, are restored and protected.

Specific Actions Requested for Representation and Protection

Immediate Federal Representation

Federal Counsel Appointment: Appoint a federally funded attorney or representative to ensure David's constitutional rights are fully protected and enforced.

Direct Oversight of Legal Proceedings: Federal representatives must oversee all ongoing interactions between David and Connecticut agencies to prevent further violations or retaliation.

Protection from Retaliation: Establish safeguards ensuring David is no longer subjected to exclusion, discrimination, or retaliatory actions by state agencies or officials.

Restoration of Constitutional Rights

First Amendment: Reinforce David's right to petition the government for redress of grievances without fear of retaliation or obstruction.

Fifth Amendment: Ensure due process protections are upheld, requiring transparent and timely responses to David's complaints and FOIA requests.

Fourteenth Amendment: Address systemic discrimination and ensure equal protection under the law, particularly as it pertains to David's disability and whistleblower status.

Mandate ADA Compliance and Accessibility

Guarantee Accommodations: Require Connecticut agencies to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified procedures, tailored to David's cognitive needs as a traumatic brain injury survivor.

Independent Audit of ADA Compliance: Conduct a federal audit of ADA adherence within Connecticut's federally funded programs, including DSS's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Federal Oversight to Enforce Accountability

Assign a Federal Monitor: Appoint an independent federal monitor to oversee the actions of Connecticut agencies, ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.

Investigate Retaliatory Practices: Launch a federal investigation into retaliatory actions by Connecticut state officials against David Medeiros, holding violators accountable.

Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

Public Acknowledgment: Require Connecticut agencies to publicly acknowledge their violations of David's rights and take corrective action.

Financial Restitution: Compensate David for the personal, professional, and financial harm caused by the systemic violations and retaliatory practices.

Reinstatement of Advocacy Rights: Ensure David's ability to advocate for vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, is fully restored without obstruction or exclusion.

Set a National Precedent

Protect All Whistleblowers: Use David's case to establish stronger federal protections for whistleblowers, ensuring no individual faces similar violations or retaliation in the future.

Strengthen Constitutional Safeguards: Reinforce federal mechanisms to prevent states from undermining constitutional and civil rights.

Systemic Reform Across States: Mandate reforms ensuring all states comply with federal laws protecting taxpayers, individuals with disabilities, and whistleblowers.

Conclusion

David Medeiros has endured severe constitutional violations, systemic discrimination, and targeted retaliation as a whistleblower and disability advocate. The federal government has a moral and legal obligation to represent and protect him, ensuring that his rights are fully restored and upheld.

By providing federal representation and enforcing constitutional and statutory protections, OSC can not only deliver justice for David but also set an enduring example for safeguarding the rights of all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 .PDF
10.24.2024 DOJ complaint Submission complete.pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 (1).PDF
October 30, 2024 - David Medeiros VS Connecticut Department of Social Services DSS CT gov.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CHRO CASE DSS 24 4 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 3 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 2 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-112620241121.pdf
requests (9).csv
requests (8).csv
requests (7).csv
11.27.2024 Escalated Complaint FOIA Non-Compliance ADA Violations Constitutional Violations and Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
11.27.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
11.23.2024 Binder1.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf
FOIA .zip
01.04.2024 CHRO AUDITS Binder2.pdf
000_2024-10-29 17_24_21.003990+00_00_comm.txt
01.01.2024 2nd FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request.pdf
Medeiros Response.pdf
Governor Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
12.14.2023 Dear Matthew S. Antonetti CT DSS .pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
10.22.2024 10.39 am CHRO Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
2410220 CP Email with Documents 2.4.24.msg
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Release of Jurisdiction.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Answer Part 1 of 2.pdf
2410220 Affidavit.pdf
12.23.2023 CHRO COMPLAINT .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
01.26.2024 Binder1.pdf
dss foia ghewtfrg Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
ABI Forum 2024.pdf
12.23.2023 Governor Ned Lamont. David Medeiros ABI Resources Call for Systemic Reform in Response to ADA Accommodation Failures and Disability Discrimination Whistleblower Retaliation Compliance CT DSS COU CHRO .pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/28/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG) For DOJ: Disability Rights
Section For HHS: Office for Civil R

Street Address: 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Involved Parties 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): - Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating ADA and First Amendment protections. - Refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified processes. - Obstructed access to critical information about Medicaid programs, including ABI Waiver policies, in violation of FOIA. - Retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on systemic Medicaid mismanagement. 2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): - Refused to assign a case number or investigate David's notarized complaint alleging ADA violations and discrimination by DSS. - Ignored follow-up inquiries regarding the status of the complaint, denying due process and perpetuating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination. 3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: • Failed to act on evidence submitted by David Medeiros regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's inaction. • Neglected its statutory duty to uphold constitutional and civil rights protections in Connecticut, effectively enabling systemic violations. 4. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: • Ignored David's formal complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic corruption within Connecticut's Medicaid program. • Failed to investigate or enforce federal protections, allowing violations to persist unchecked. 5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): • Did not investigate systemic ADA violations in Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite clear evidence of non-compliance and harm to individuals with disabilities. 6. Connecticut Governor's Office: • Failed to intervene or provide oversight of DSS and CHRO despite being alerted to systemic violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. 7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): • Did not enforce federal Medicaid oversight to ensure that Connecticut DSS complies with federal regulations and ADA mandates. Summary of Actions: • Exclusion: Agencies excluded David from forums and processes critical to his advocacy and whistleblower activities. • Retaliation: State and federal actors retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement, violating whistleblower protections. • Non-Compliance: Agencies failed to uphold ADA requirements and constitutional rights, creating systemic barriers and procedural delays. • Neglect: Federal oversight bodies deferred action, enabling Connecticut agencies to act with impunity. Constitutional Violations and Crimes Committed 12. First Amendment Violations • Suppression of Petition Rights: By ignoring David Medeiros' complaints and failing to investigate or act on his claims, Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies have



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	suppressed his constitutional right to petition the government for redress of grievances. • Retaliation Against Free Speech: Retaliatory actions, such a
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 – Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismana
How did you discover this action?	3. When did this occur? ChatGPT said: Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 –



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement by Connecticut agencies. Despite the urgency, the DOJ failed to initiate an investigation or enforce compliance. November 28, 2024 – Current Status CHRO: Refuses to assign a case number or act on David’s complaint, obstructing due process. Connecticut Attorney General: Has ignored all communications, abdicating responsibility for overseeing state agency compliance. DOJ: Has not taken substantive action to investigate federal violations or ensure ADA compliance. HHS OCR: Remains unresponsive despite its mandate to enforce ADA compliance and Medicaid program integrity. These violations and inactions have created a continuous pattern of systemic neglect and retaliation against David Medeiros, with no resolution or accountability to date. You said: 4. How did you discover this action? ChatGPT said: How the Action Was Discovered David Medeiros, as a whistleblower and disability advocate, discovered the actions through direct personal experience and documentation, which include: Personal Experience with Discrimination and Retaliation David experienced firsthand acts of exclusion, public ridicule, and procedural barriers during interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials. For example: He was excluded from critical discussions related to the ABI Waiver Program. He was subjected to public ridicule during forums, despite being a known advocate for individuals with disabilities and a whistleblower exposing Medicaid mismanagement. Documented Failures of State Agencies David submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) detailing ADA violations and retaliation. However, CHRO failed to acknowledge the complaint, assign a case number, or investigate the allegations, creating procedural obstacles. Evidence of Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General Despite



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	escalating the matter and providing comprehensive evidence of systemic failure
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Documented Failures by State Agencies Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to: Assign a case number. Investigate the allegations. Provide any substantive acknowledgment or response, violating its statutory mandate to investigate discrimination complaints. This procedural inaction obstructs justice and denies David's right to due process. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Retaliation: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a whistleblower and disability advocate. DSS has refused to provide reasonable ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence), in direct violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Despite receiving evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and Medicaid mismanagement, the Attorney General's Office failed to investigate or respond, abdicating its responsibility to enforce state and federal laws. Federal Oversight Failures: The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR) were notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches in November 2024. Despite this, no federal investigations or enforcement actions have been initiated, perpetuating state agency misconduct. Evidence of ADA Non-Compliance: DSS repeatedly refused David's requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified processes, exacerbating the challenges he faces as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor. Mismanagement of Public Funds: DSS has



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	obstructed transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage, particularly funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer dollars. Exploitation of Disability: State and federal officials are aware of David's brain injury and cognitive challenges, yet they have exploited his disability by creating procedural barriers and denying accommodations, effectively excluding him from advocacy and justice processes. Pattern of Systemic Corruption and Retaliation: Agencies at both the state and federal levels have demonstrated a clear pattern of protecting their leadership and shielding systemic inefficiencies, corruption, and discrimination, rather than addressing legitimate complaints. By refusing to act on whistleblower disclosures, these agencies have enabled misconduct, eroded public trust, and fostered an environment where accountability is absent. Violation of Transparency Laws: DSS and CHRO have failed to comply with Freedom of Information
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	The listed individuals and entities engaged in or facilitated actions that collectively constitute systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and mismanagement of public funds. These actions include: 1. Suppression of Whistleblower Rights and Retaliation DSS (Connecticut Department of Social Services): Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros from critical forums related to Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed David's advocacy efforts by withholding ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and email-only correspondence. Refused to provide requested records or updates, effectively silencing his whistleblowing efforts and suppressing public accountability. CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities): Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized complaint, delaying and obstructing justice. Failed to investigate claims of systemic discrimination and retaliation, despite statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandates. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Ignored multiple submissions of evidence regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's failure to act. Failed to intervene or provide oversight to enforce transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections. 2. Violations of ADA Protections and Disability Rights DSS: Denied reasonable accommodations to David Medeiros, a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, making it impossible for him to meaningfully participate in public processes. Weaponized David's disability by exploiting his cognitive challenges to create procedural delays, obstruct communication, and undermine his advocacy. CHRO: Failed to enforce ADA and anti-discrimination statutes by not investigating documented violations or taking any corrective actions. Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR, CMS): Neglected oversight responsibilities to ensure ADA compliance and accessibility in Connecticut's Medicaid program, enabling DSS to continue discriminatory practices unchecked. 3. Mismanagement of Public Funds and Lack of Transparency DSS: Withheld public records related to Medicaid fund allocations, including the ABI Waiver Program, violating FOIA and state transparency laws. Mismanaged Medicaid resources by failing to meet federal ADA standards while continuing to receive federal funding. Federal Agencies (CMS, HHS OCR): Failed to audit or investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds in Connecticut, despite whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic issues. 4. Abuse of Authority and Gross Mismanagement Connecticut Leadership (OPM, Governor's Office): Allowed systemic failures in oversight and enforcement by not addressing persistent complaints of corruption, discrimination, and retaliation. Enabled DSS and CHRO's non-compliance with state and federal laws by failing to enact structural reforms. Federal Agencies (DOJ, OSC): Ignored whistleblower reports and failed to initiate investigations into Connecticut's systemic mismanagement of Medicaid and ADA violat



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a case number and an update on the status of his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or assign a case number, effectively obstructing the complaint process. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David submitted evidence and documentation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting oversight and intervention to address systemic misconduct by DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office ignored the submission and failed to act. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ David submitted a detailed whistleblower complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance
How did you discover this action?	Discovery of Actions David Medeiros discovered these actions through firsthand experience and documented interactions with the involved parties. The following key events and observations provided evidence of the actions taken against him: Refusal to Assign a Case Number by CHRO After submitting a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, David received no acknowledgment or case number, despite following up multiple times. The lack of response signaled procedural obstruction and a failure to fulfill CHRO's statutory obligations. Exclusion and Ridicule by DSS During public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, DSS officials publicly ridiculed and excluded David, creating a hostile environment for his advocacy. Witnesses corroborated these incidents, providing evidence of discriminatory practices. Ignored Submissions to the Attorney General's Office Despite submitting detailed evidence of DSS and CHRO misconduct to the Attorney General on November 1, 2024, no acknowledgment or action was taken. This



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaction became apparent when repeated attempts to follow up were ignored. Federal Oversight Failures Complaints to the DOJ and HHS OCR, submitted on November 10, 2024, included comprehensive documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. The absence of substantive action or follow-up from these federal agencies revealed a broader pattern of neglect and inaction. FOIA and ADA Compliance Failures Requests for ADA-compliant communication and public records related to Medicaid funds and the ABI Waiver Program were either ignored or obstructed. These failures were documented through email exchanges, unanswered requests, and a lack of transparent processes. Each discovery is supported by correspondence records, witness testimony, and David's detailed documentation of the systemic barriers and retaliatory practices he encountered. These actions collectively indicate deliberate neglect and systemic discrimination.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegations Documented Retaliation and Exclusion by DSS: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, as corroborated by witnesses and documented in communications. These actions created a hostile environment, directly impeding his ability to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities. DSS failed to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA, including email-only correspondence and simplified processes, despite David's documented cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor. CHRO's Failure to Investigate: Despite submitting a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, and multiple follow-up communications, CHRO refused to assign a case number or initiate an investigation, violating its statutory obligation to investigate discrimination complaints. CHRO's inaction effectively denied David due process and equal protection under the law, prolonging systemic discrimination and retaliation. Attorney General's Office Inaction: Submissions to the Connecticut Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	General included detailed evidence of DSS's ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation. Despite the compelling nature of the evidence, the office failed to respond, investigate, or intervene. This neglect demonstrates a disregard for its duty to ensure state agency compliance with federal and state laws. Federal Oversight Failures (DOJ and HHS OCR): The Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights at HHS were notified of systemic ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite comprehensive documentation, neither agency initiated a substantive investigation or provided updates. Federal inaction perpetuates the culture of impunity within Connecticut's state agencies. FOIA and Transparency Failures: DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver operations, despite FOIA and state FOIA laws mandating timely responses. Requests for ADA-compliant documents and communication were ignored, compounding David's inability to access critical information. Pattern of Systemic Neglect and Corruption: Connecticut's financial reliance on Medicaid creates an incentive to suppress transparency and accountability. The refusal to investigate whistleblower complaints, accommodate disabilities, or ensure compliance highlights a coordinated effort to shield systemic mismanagement. David Medeiros' Vulnerability as a Brain Injury Survivor: Agencies weaponized David's cognitive challenges to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, further exacerbating the discrimination and retaliation he faced. The refusal to accommodate his needs constitutes a violation of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, underscoring the systemic failure to protect vulnerable individuals. Summary of Supporting Evidence: Witness testimony from public forums and interactions with DSS officials. Documentation of corresponden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

What action did they take?	Actions Taken: Abuse of Authority State-Level Actions Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Public Ridicule and Exclusion: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluding him from discussions critical to Medicaid policy and decision-making. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Refused to provide accessible communication methods, such as email-only correspondence and simplified procedural guidelines, despite documented cognitive challenges from a brain injury. Obstruction of Records Requests: Failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withheld critical Medicaid documentation, and ignored whistleblower complaints. Retaliation for Whistleblowing: Took retaliatory actions, such as exclusion from forums and non-response to inquiries, after David exposed Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Failure to Investigate: Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized ADA complaint, preventing any investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices. Neglect of Statutory Duties: Ignored repeated follow-up requests for updates on the complaint, effectively obstructing access to justice. Complicity in Discrimination: Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities: Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches. Shielding State Agencies: Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct. Federal-Level Actions Department of Justice (DOJ): Failure to Act: Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs. Deferred Oversight: Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Neglect of ADA Oversight: Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations. Lack of Enforcement by OCR: The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failure to Address Mismanagement: Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations. Permitting Retaliation: Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption. Key Themes of Abuse of Authority: Systemic Retaliation: Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums. Weaponized Bureaucr
When did this occur?	Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority Key Dates and Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint: David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO: David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number. No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General: Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction. The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies: Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compl
How did you discover this action?	How This Action Was Discovered David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office. These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion. Key discoveries include: Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums: During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint: After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties. Attorney General's Silence: Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR: Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect. Obstructed FOIA Requests: DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability. Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion: DSS Forum Behavior: During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records. Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes: Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies. Failure to Investigate by CHRO: Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination. Attorney General's Refusal to Act: On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance: Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes. FOIA Obstruction: David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency. Federal Inaction and Neglect:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance. Exploitation of Disability: Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny. Violation of Whistleblower Protections: David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagem
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Action: Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services. Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation. Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications. Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic corruption. Connecticut Attorney General's Office Action: Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) Action: Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice. Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws. Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR) Action: Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS. Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division Action: Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities. Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives) Action: Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers. Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes. Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation. Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy. Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparen



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations,
How did you discover this action?	David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include: Direct Personal Experience: While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation. Documented Evidence: David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures. Procedural Barriers: Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation: The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts: David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings. These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented Complaints and Correspondence: Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Office of the Connecticut Attorney General, and federal agencies like the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR). These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers: Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504). Retaliatory Actions: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy. FOIA and Transparency Failures: David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.).



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Testimonies and Community Evidence: Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability: Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm. Impact on Public Health and Safety: Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety. These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated gross waste of public funds. Specifically: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Improper Use of Medicaid Funds: Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for. Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity. Inadequate Financial Reporting: Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits: Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Approval of Questionable Allocations: Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies: Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight. Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Inadequate Legislative Oversight: Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked. Refusal to Investigate Complaints: Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending. State Comptroller's Office: Failure to Monitor Spending: Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglect of Transparency Requirements: Omitted mandatory public disclosures that would expose financial mismanagement. Federal Oversight Bodies: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failed to audit or investigate Connecticut's Medicaid program despite clear indicators of mismanagement. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Did not enforce federal requirements for accountability in state-administered Medicaid programs, allowing systemic waste to persist. Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS: Neglected its duty to investigate potential fraud, waste, and abuse in Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, particularly those impacting vulnerable populations. Other Actions Taken: Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Suppressed complaints and retaliated against individuals, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	David Medeiros, who attempted to expose wasteful practices or seek transparency. Deliberate Obfuscation of Records: Withheld or failed to provide critical financial documents and records in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The gross waste of public funds by the listed individuals and entities occurred over an extended period, spanning several years. Key timeframes include: Ongoing Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds Mismanagement within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program and other Medicaid services has persisted for at least the past five years, with notable escalation from 2020 through 2024 as whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts exposed systemic issues. Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Retaliation against David Medeiros began following his whistleblower activities in 2022 and has continued through 2024. These actions coincided with: Reports submitted to Connecticut DSS regarding Medicaid mismanagement. Complaints filed with the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) in 2023 and 2024. Failure of Oversight Bodies The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) failed to act on
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? David Medeiros, as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and founder of ABI Resources, uncovered these actions through firsthand involvement, research, and communication with affected populations. The following outlines how these actions were discovered: Direct Involvement in Advocacy and Reporting: As a Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver (ABI Waiver) provider, David observed consistent mismanagement, delays, and non-compliance with Medicaid policies, impacting vulnerable populations reliant on these services. His firsthand interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials revealed systemic failures in the administration of funds, refusal to provide transparency, and retaliatory practices against those raising concerns. Complaints Filed and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Ignored: After filing formal complaints with DSS, CHRO, and other state agencies, David noted repeated refusals to assign case numbers, investigate allegations, or address the reported issues, indicating procedural obstructions and systemic retaliation. Follow-ups with state and federal oversight bodies, including HHS OCR and DOJ, yielded no action, further exposing a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblowers. Testimonies from Affected Populations: Through his work with ABI Resources, David received testimonies from individuals and families, highlighting delayed Medicaid services, discriminatory practices, and inaccessible public forums for those with disabilities. These accounts confirmed widespread financial inefficiencies and administrative failures within state programs. Analysis of FOIA Responses and Obstructions: Requests for Medicaid fund records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) were delayed, denied, or inadequately addressed, further revealing the deliberate suppression of transparency regarding the misuse of federal and state funds. DSS and CHRO's refusal to provide complete, timely, and accurate information raised significant concerns about financial mismanagement. Patterns of Retaliation: Retaliation against David personally—including public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and denial of accommodations—demonstrated a coordinated effort to silence his whistleblower activities. Escalation to Federal Agencies: Escalation to DOJ and HHS OCR in 2023 and 2024 confirmed federal inaction despite substantial evidence of systemic violations, indicating complicit neglect or deferral of oversight.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations of gross waste of funds, mismanagement, and retaliatory practices by Connecticut state agencies and the federal inaction that has allowed these violations to persist: Documented FOIA Non-Compliance and Withheld Records: Numerous FOIA requests filed with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	other agencies remain unanswered, delayed, or improperly denied. Refusal to disclose Medicaid spending records and program oversight audits has raised concerns about the misallocation of funds and deliberate suppression of accountability. The lack of transparency violates FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and creates a strong presumption of financial mismanagement. Patterns of Financial Irregularities in Medicaid Waiver Programs: Analysis of publicly available records and reports from Medicaid beneficiaries highlights delays and denials in services promised under the ABI Waiver Program, indicating misuse or diversion of federal funds. Families and individuals reliant on Medicaid services have reported prolonged processing times and inadequate resource allocation, suggesting systemic inefficiencies. Unaddressed Whistleblower Retaliation: David Medeiros faced public ridicule, exclusion, and retaliation for raising concerns about Medicaid mismanagement. These actions deter further scrutiny of DSS's practices and reinforce a culture of impunity. State agencies have ignored whistleblower protections outlined in the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), further preventing transparency. Testimonies from Affected Individuals: Accounts from Medicaid beneficiaries and families describe delays in essential healthcare services and unexplained denials of claims, which directly contradict the program's intended purpose and funding requirements. Repeated Procedural Obstructions: DSS's refusal to issue detailed responses to formal complaints and case filings obstructs accountability efforts. The CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers prevents investigations into discrimination and non-compliance with ADA standards. Attorney General's Office inaction demonstrates systemic neglect, enabling DSS to continue its harmful practices unchecked. Expert Reports and Audits Ignored: Calls for independent audits of DSS's Medicaid programs have been ignored or dismissed, raising concerns about financial mismanagement and failure to adhere to federal funding regulations. Violation



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of Federal Oversight Obligations: Federal agencies, including HHS OCR and the DOJ, have failed to enforce ADA compliance or investigate allegations of systemic corruption, allowing ongoing violations to harm vulnerable populations. Exploitation of Disability Challenges: David Medeiros, a brain injury survivor, has faced procedural barriers intentionally designed to exploit his cognitive challenges, such as refusal to provide accessible communication formats or simplified processes requ

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Kristen	Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division:	Assistant Attorney General: Kristen Clarke Directly oversees enforcement of civil rights laws, inclu
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Director:	Responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act within federally funded heal
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Office of the Attorney General:	Primary legal officer for Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agencies comply with constitut
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services	Oversees Medicaid administration and implementation of programs



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		(DSS): Commissioner:	such as the ABI Waiver, directly tie
Tanya A. Hughes	Tanya A. Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Executive Director:	Investigates discrimination complaints, including ADA violations, but has shown inaction in your cas
Henry J. Kerner	Henry J. Kerner	U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC): Special Counsel:	Investigates whistleblower retaliation and reports of government wrongdoing.
Julie Su	Julie Su	U.S. Department of Labor (DOL):	Responsible for oversight of workplace ADA compliance and protections for individuals with disabilit
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator:	Responsible for federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including ensuring state compliance with fed
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	Governor of Connecticut:	The executive authority overseeing state agencies, including DSS and CHRO, with the power to order r
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH):	Ensures state compliance with health-related ADA requirements and public health safety.
Gary Winfield	Gary Winfield	Connecticut General Assembly, Judiciary Committee:	Legislative oversight for state agency practices, including transparency, civil rights compliance, a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrés J. Gallegos	Andrés J. Gallegos	National Council on Disability (NCD): Chair:	Federal agency advising the President and Congress on disability policies, including ADA compliance
Alina M. Semo	Alina M. Semo	Office of Government Information Services (OGIS): Director:	Mediates FOIA disputes and ensures transparency in government operations.
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	Investigates misuse of federal funds and systemic inefficiencies in federally funded programs like M
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor
Jeffrey Beckham	Jeffrey Beckham	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Secretary	Oversees budget and funding allocation, including Medicaid funds. Negligence or failure to ensure pr
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner
Colleen M. Murphy	Colleen M. Murphy	Executive Director and General Counsel	Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney	Martin M. Looney	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Senate President Pro Tempore	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Matthew Ritter	Matthew Ritter	House Speaker	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator
Charlotte Burrows	Charlotte Burrows	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC):	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC): Chair
Henry Kerner	Henry Kerner	Office of Special Counsel (OSC):	ederal oversight for whistleblower complaints. If whistleblower disclosures were ignored or mishandl
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General
Christi A. Grimm	Christi A. Grimm	Office of Inspector General (OIG), Department of Health and Human Services:	OIG is tasked with investigating fraud, waste, and abuse in federally funded programs like Medicaid.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Inspector General	
Deidre S. Gifford	Deidre S. Gifford	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Oversaw the agency's discriminatory practices, denial of ADA accommodations, and retaliatory actions
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Failed to ensure the investigation of complaints, neglected to assign case numbers, and ignored stat
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General	Ignored evidence of systemic failures and violations, failing to intervene or hold DSS and CHRO acco
Merrick B. Garland	Merrick B. Garland	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Neglected oversight responsibilities related to ADA compliance within Medicaid-funded programs.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Role: Allowed systemic Medicaid mismanagement and misuse of federal funds without intervention.
Lisa J. Pino	Lisa J. Pino	Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS	Failed to enforce ADA compliance and investigate systemic discrimination and retaliatory practices w



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD	Manisha Juthani, MD	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)	Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA.com
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong	William Tong	Attorney General, State of Connecticut	Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
Robyn M. Colosimo	Robyn M. Colosimo	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.
Rosa DeLauro	Rosa DeLauro	U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District	Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.
Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS
Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS
Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		General, Connecticut	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division
Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut
Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):
Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.
Rob Kane	Rob Kane	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
Sean Scanlon	Sean Scanlon	State Comptroller	Monitors DSS Medicaid-related expenditures and financial reporting.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.
Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Comptroller General of the United States	Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.
Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.
Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Request for Federal Representation and Protection to Restore Constitutional Rights
To the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC):

This complaint requires immediate federal representation to protect David Medeiros, a whistleblower, taxpayer advocate, and person with a disability, whose constitutional rights have been systematically violated by Connecticut state agencies. The federal government must act decisively to ensure that David's rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as federal statutes like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Whistleblower Protection Act, are restored and protected.

Specific Actions Requested for Representation and Protection

Immediate Federal Representation

Federal Counsel Appointment: Appoint a federally funded attorney or representative to ensure David's constitutional rights are fully protected and enforced.

Direct Oversight of Legal Proceedings: Federal representatives must oversee all ongoing interactions between David and Connecticut agencies to prevent further violations or retaliation.

Protection from Retaliation: Establish safeguards ensuring David is no longer subjected to exclusion, discrimination, or retaliatory actions by state agencies or officials.

Restoration of Constitutional Rights

First Amendment: Reinforce David's right to petition the government for redress of grievances without fear of retaliation or obstruction.

Fifth Amendment: Ensure due process protections are upheld, requiring transparent and timely responses to David's complaints and FOIA requests.

Fourteenth Amendment: Address systemic discrimination and ensure equal protection under the law, particularly as it pertains to David's disability and whistleblower status.

Mandate ADA Compliance and Accessibility

Guarantee Accommodations: Require Connecticut agencies to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified procedures, tailored to David's cognitive needs as a traumatic brain injury survivor.

Independent Audit of ADA Compliance: Conduct a federal audit of ADA adherence within Connecticut's federally funded programs, including DSS's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Federal Oversight to Enforce Accountability

Assign a Federal Monitor: Appoint an independent federal monitor to oversee the actions of Connecticut agencies, ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.

Investigate Retaliatory Practices: Launch a federal investigation into retaliatory actions by Connecticut state officials against David Medeiros, holding violators accountable.

Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

Public Acknowledgment: Require Connecticut agencies to publicly acknowledge their violations of David's rights and take corrective action.

Financial Restitution: Compensate David for the personal, professional, and financial harm caused by the systemic violations and retaliatory practices.

Reinstatement of Advocacy Rights: Ensure David's ability to advocate for vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, is fully restored without obstruction or exclusion.

Set a National Precedent

Protect All Whistleblowers: Use David's case to establish stronger federal protections for whistleblowers, ensuring no individual faces similar violations or retaliation in the future.

Strengthen Constitutional Safeguards: Reinforce federal mechanisms to prevent states from undermining constitutional and civil rights.

Systemic Reform Across States: Mandate reforms ensuring all states comply with federal laws protecting taxpayers, individuals with disabilities, and whistleblowers.

Conclusion

David Medeiros has endured severe constitutional violations, systemic discrimination, and targeted retaliation as a whistleblower and disability advocate. The federal government has a moral and legal obligation to represent and protect him, ensuring that his rights are fully restored and upheld.

By providing federal representation and enforcing constitutional and statutory protections, OSC can not only deliver justice for David but also set an enduring example for safeguarding the rights of all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 .PDF
10.24.2024 DOJ complaint Submission complete.pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 (1).PDF
October 30, 2024 - David Medeiros VS Connecticut Department of Social Services DSS CT gov.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CHRO CASE DSS 24 4 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 3 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 2 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-112620241121.pdf
requests (9).csv
requests (8).csv
requests (7).csv
11.27.2024 Escalated Complaint FOIA Non-Compliance ADA Violations Constitutional Violations and Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
11.27.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
11.23.2024 Binder1.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf
FOIA .zip
01.04.2024 CHRO AUDITS Binder2.pdf
000_2024-10-29 17_24_21.003990+00_00_comm.txt
01.01.2024 2nd FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request.pdf
Medeiros Response.pdf
Governor Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
12.14.2023 Dear Matthew S. Antonetti CT DSS .pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
10.22.2024 10.39 am CHRO Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
2410220 CP Email with Documents 2.4.24.msg
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Release of Jurisdiction.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Answer Part 1 of 2.pdf
2410220 Affidavit.pdf
12.23.2023 CHRO COMPLAINT .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
01.26.2024 Binder1.pdf
dss foia ghewtfrg Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
ABI Forum 2024.pdf
12.23.2023 Governor Ned Lamont. David Medeiros ABI Resources Call for Systemic Reform in Response to ADA Accommodation Failures and Disability Discrimination Whistleblower Retaliation Compliance CT DSS COU CHRO .pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/28/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG) For DOJ: Disability Rights
Section For HHS: Office for Civil R

Street Address: 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Involved Parties 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): - Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating ADA and First Amendment protections. - Refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified processes. - Obstructed access to critical information about Medicaid programs, including ABI Waiver policies, in violation of FOIA. - Retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on systemic Medicaid mismanagement. 2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): - Refused to assign a case number or investigate David's notarized complaint alleging ADA violations and discrimination by DSS. - Ignored follow-up inquiries regarding the status of the complaint, denying due process and perpetuating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination. 3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: • Failed to act on evidence submitted by David Medeiros regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's inaction. • Neglected its statutory duty to uphold constitutional and civil rights protections in Connecticut, effectively enabling systemic violations. 4. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: • Ignored David's formal complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic corruption within Connecticut's Medicaid program. • Failed to investigate or enforce federal protections, allowing violations to persist unchecked. 5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): • Did not investigate systemic ADA violations in Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite clear evidence of non-compliance and harm to individuals with disabilities. 6. Connecticut Governor's Office: • Failed to intervene or provide oversight of DSS and CHRO despite being alerted to systemic violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. 7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): • Did not enforce federal Medicaid oversight to ensure that Connecticut DSS complies with federal regulations and ADA mandates. Summary of Actions: • Exclusion: Agencies excluded David from forums and processes critical to his advocacy and whistleblower activities. • Retaliation: State and federal actors retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement, violating whistleblower protections. • Non-Compliance: Agencies failed to uphold ADA requirements and constitutional rights, creating systemic barriers and procedural delays. • Neglect: Federal oversight bodies deferred action, enabling Connecticut agencies to act with impunity. Constitutional Violations and Crimes Committed 12. First Amendment Violations • Suppression of Petition Rights: By ignoring David Medeiros' complaints and failing to investigate or act on his claims, Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies have



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	suppressed his constitutional right to petition the government for redress of grievances. • Retaliation Against Free Speech: Retaliatory actions, such a
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 – Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismana
How did you discover this action?	3. When did this occur? ChatGPT said: Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 –



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement by Connecticut agencies. Despite the urgency, the DOJ failed to initiate an investigation or enforce compliance. November 28, 2024 – Current Status CHRO: Refuses to assign a case number or act on David’s complaint, obstructing due process. Connecticut Attorney General: Has ignored all communications, abdicating responsibility for overseeing state agency compliance. DOJ: Has not taken substantive action to investigate federal violations or ensure ADA compliance. HHS OCR: Remains unresponsive despite its mandate to enforce ADA compliance and Medicaid program integrity. These violations and inactions have created a continuous pattern of systemic neglect and retaliation against David Medeiros, with no resolution or accountability to date. You said: 4. How did you discover this action? ChatGPT said: How the Action Was Discovered David Medeiros, as a whistleblower and disability advocate, discovered the actions through direct personal experience and documentation, which include: Personal Experience with Discrimination and Retaliation David experienced firsthand acts of exclusion, public ridicule, and procedural barriers during interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials. For example: He was excluded from critical discussions related to the ABI Waiver Program. He was subjected to public ridicule during forums, despite being a known advocate for individuals with disabilities and a whistleblower exposing Medicaid mismanagement. Documented Failures of State Agencies David submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) detailing ADA violations and retaliation. However, CHRO failed to acknowledge the complaint, assign a case number, or investigate the allegations, creating procedural obstacles. Evidence of Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General Despite



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	escalating the matter and providing comprehensive evidence of systemic failure
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Documented Failures by State Agencies Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to: Assign a case number. Investigate the allegations. Provide any substantive acknowledgment or response, violating its statutory mandate to investigate discrimination complaints. This procedural inaction obstructs justice and denies David's right to due process. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Retaliation: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a whistleblower and disability advocate. DSS has refused to provide reasonable ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence), in direct violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Despite receiving evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and Medicaid mismanagement, the Attorney General's Office failed to investigate or respond, abdicating its responsibility to enforce state and federal laws. Federal Oversight Failures: The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR) were notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches in November 2024. Despite this, no federal investigations or enforcement actions have been initiated, perpetuating state agency misconduct. Evidence of ADA Non-Compliance: DSS repeatedly refused David's requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified processes, exacerbating the challenges he faces as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor. Mismanagement of Public Funds: DSS has



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	obstructed transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage, particularly funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer dollars. Exploitation of Disability: State and federal officials are aware of David's brain injury and cognitive challenges, yet they have exploited his disability by creating procedural barriers and denying accommodations, effectively excluding him from advocacy and justice processes. Pattern of Systemic Corruption and Retaliation: Agencies at both the state and federal levels have demonstrated a clear pattern of protecting their leadership and shielding systemic inefficiencies, corruption, and discrimination, rather than addressing legitimate complaints. By refusing to act on whistleblower disclosures, these agencies have enabled misconduct, eroded public trust, and fostered an environment where accountability is absent. Violation of Transparency Laws: DSS and CHRO have failed to comply with Freedom of Information
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	The listed individuals and entities engaged in or facilitated actions that collectively constitute systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and mismanagement of public funds. These actions include: 1. Suppression of Whistleblower Rights and Retaliation DSS (Connecticut Department of Social Services): Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros from critical forums related to Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed David's advocacy efforts by withholding ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and email-only correspondence. Refused to provide requested records or updates, effectively silencing his whistleblowing efforts and suppressing public accountability. CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities): Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized complaint, delaying and obstructing justice. Failed to investigate claims of systemic discrimination and retaliation, despite statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandates. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Ignored multiple submissions of evidence regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's failure to act. Failed to intervene or provide oversight to enforce transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections. 2. Violations of ADA Protections and Disability Rights DSS: Denied reasonable accommodations to David Medeiros, a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, making it impossible for him to meaningfully participate in public processes. Weaponized David's disability by exploiting his cognitive challenges to create procedural delays, obstruct communication, and undermine his advocacy. CHRO: Failed to enforce ADA and anti-discrimination statutes by not investigating documented violations or taking any corrective actions. Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR, CMS): Neglected oversight responsibilities to ensure ADA compliance and accessibility in Connecticut's Medicaid program, enabling DSS to continue discriminatory practices unchecked. 3. Mismanagement of Public Funds and Lack of Transparency DSS: Withheld public records related to Medicaid fund allocations, including the ABI Waiver Program, violating FOIA and state transparency laws. Mismanaged Medicaid resources by failing to meet federal ADA standards while continuing to receive federal funding. Federal Agencies (CMS, HHS OCR): Failed to audit or investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds in Connecticut, despite whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic issues. 4. Abuse of Authority and Gross Mismanagement Connecticut Leadership (OPM, Governor's Office): Allowed systemic failures in oversight and enforcement by not addressing persistent complaints of corruption, discrimination, and retaliation. Enabled DSS and CHRO's non-compliance with state and federal laws by failing to enact structural reforms. Federal Agencies (DOJ, OSC): Ignored whistleblower reports and failed to initiate investigations into Connecticut's systemic mismanagement of Medicaid and ADA violat



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a case number and an update on the status of his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or assign a case number, effectively obstructing the complaint process. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David submitted evidence and documentation to the Connecticut Attorney General’s Office, requesting oversight and intervention to address systemic misconduct by DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General’s Office ignored the submission and failed to act. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ David submitted a detailed whistleblower complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance
How did you discover this action?	Discovery of Actions David Medeiros discovered these actions through firsthand experience and documented interactions with the involved parties. The following key events and observations provided evidence of the actions taken against him: Refusal to Assign a Case Number by CHRO After submitting a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, David received no acknowledgment or case number, despite following up multiple times. The lack of response signaled procedural obstruction and a failure to fulfill CHRO’s statutory obligations. Exclusion and Ridicule by DSS During public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, DSS officials publicly ridiculed and excluded David, creating a hostile environment for his advocacy. Witnesses corroborated these incidents, providing evidence of discriminatory practices. Ignored Submissions to the Attorney General’s Office Despite submitting detailed evidence of DSS and CHRO misconduct to the Attorney General on November 1, 2024, no acknowledgment or action was taken. This



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaction became apparent when repeated attempts to follow up were ignored. Federal Oversight Failures Complaints to the DOJ and HHS OCR, submitted on November 10, 2024, included comprehensive documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. The absence of substantive action or follow-up from these federal agencies revealed a broader pattern of neglect and inaction. FOIA and ADA Compliance Failures Requests for ADA-compliant communication and public records related to Medicaid funds and the ABI Waiver Program were either ignored or obstructed. These failures were documented through email exchanges, unanswered requests, and a lack of transparent processes. Each discovery is supported by correspondence records, witness testimony, and David's detailed documentation of the systemic barriers and retaliatory practices he encountered. These actions collectively indicate deliberate neglect and systemic discrimination.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegations Documented Retaliation and Exclusion by DSS: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, as corroborated by witnesses and documented in communications. These actions created a hostile environment, directly impeding his ability to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities. DSS failed to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA, including email-only correspondence and simplified processes, despite David's documented cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor. CHRO's Failure to Investigate: Despite submitting a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, and multiple follow-up communications, CHRO refused to assign a case number or initiate an investigation, violating its statutory obligation to investigate discrimination complaints. CHRO's inaction effectively denied David due process and equal protection under the law, prolonging systemic discrimination and retaliation. Attorney General's Office Inaction: Submissions to the Connecticut Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	General included detailed evidence of DSS's ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation. Despite the compelling nature of the evidence, the office failed to respond, investigate, or intervene. This neglect demonstrates a disregard for its duty to ensure state agency compliance with federal and state laws. Federal Oversight Failures (DOJ and HHS OCR): The Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights at HHS were notified of systemic ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite comprehensive documentation, neither agency initiated a substantive investigation or provided updates. Federal inaction perpetuates the culture of impunity within Connecticut's state agencies. FOIA and Transparency Failures: DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver operations, despite FOIA and state FOIA laws mandating timely responses. Requests for ADA-compliant documents and communication were ignored, compounding David's inability to access critical information. Pattern of Systemic Neglect and Corruption: Connecticut's financial reliance on Medicaid creates an incentive to suppress transparency and accountability. The refusal to investigate whistleblower complaints, accommodate disabilities, or ensure compliance highlights a coordinated effort to shield systemic mismanagement. David Medeiros' Vulnerability as a Brain Injury Survivor: Agencies weaponized David's cognitive challenges to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, further exacerbating the discrimination and retaliation he faced. The refusal to accommodate his needs constitutes a violation of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, underscoring the systemic failure to protect vulnerable individuals. Summary of Supporting Evidence: Witness testimony from public forums and interactions with DSS officials. Documentation of corresponden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

What action did they take?	Actions Taken: Abuse of Authority State-Level Actions Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Public Ridicule and Exclusion: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluding him from discussions critical to Medicaid policy and decision-making. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Refused to provide accessible communication methods, such as email-only correspondence and simplified procedural guidelines, despite documented cognitive challenges from a brain injury. Obstruction of Records Requests: Failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withheld critical Medicaid documentation, and ignored whistleblower complaints. Retaliation for Whistleblowing: Took retaliatory actions, such as exclusion from forums and non-response to inquiries, after David exposed Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Failure to Investigate: Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized ADA complaint, preventing any investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices. Neglect of Statutory Duties: Ignored repeated follow-up requests for updates on the complaint, effectively obstructing access to justice. Complicity in Discrimination: Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities: Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches. Shielding State Agencies: Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct. Federal-Level Actions Department of Justice (DOJ): Failure to Act: Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs. Deferred Oversight: Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Neglect of ADA Oversight: Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations. Lack of Enforcement by OCR: The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failure to Address Mismanagement: Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations. Permitting Retaliation: Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption. Key Themes of Abuse of Authority: Systemic Retaliation: Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums. Weaponized Bureaucr
When did this occur?	Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority Key Dates and Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint: David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO: David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number. No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General: Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction. The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies: Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compl
How did you discover this action?	How This Action Was Discovered David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office. These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion. Key discoveries include: Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums: During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint: After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties. Attorney General's Silence: Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR: Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect. Obstructed FOIA Requests: DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability. Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion: DSS Forum Behavior: During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records. Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes: Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies. Failure to Investigate by CHRO: Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination. Attorney General's Refusal to Act: On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance: Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes. FOIA Obstruction: David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency. Federal Inaction and Neglect:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance. Exploitation of Disability: Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny. Violation of Whistleblower Protections: David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagem
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Action: Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services. Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation. Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications. Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic corruption. Connecticut Attorney General's Office Action: Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) Action: Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice. Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws. Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR) Action: Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS. Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division Action: Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities. Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives) Action: Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers. Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes. Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation. Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy. Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparen



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations,
How did you discover this action?	David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include: Direct Personal Experience: While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation. Documented Evidence: David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures. Procedural Barriers: Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation: The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts: David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings. These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented Complaints and Correspondence: Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Office of the Connecticut Attorney General, and federal agencies like the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR). These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers: Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504). Retaliatory Actions: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy. FOIA and Transparency Failures: David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.).



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Testimonies and Community Evidence: Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability: Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm. Impact on Public Health and Safety: Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety. These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated gross waste of public funds. Specifically: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Improper Use of Medicaid Funds: Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for. Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity. Inadequate Financial Reporting: Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits: Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Approval of Questionable Allocations: Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies: Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight. Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Inadequate Legislative Oversight: Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked. Refusal to Investigate Complaints: Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending. State Comptroller's Office: Failure to Monitor Spending: Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglect of Transparency Requirements: Omitted mandatory public disclosures that would expose financial mismanagement. Federal Oversight Bodies: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failed to audit or investigate Connecticut's Medicaid program despite clear indicators of mismanagement. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Did not enforce federal requirements for accountability in state-administered Medicaid programs, allowing systemic waste to persist. Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS: Neglected its duty to investigate potential fraud, waste, and abuse in Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, particularly those impacting vulnerable populations. Other Actions Taken: Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Suppressed complaints and retaliated against individuals, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	David Medeiros, who attempted to expose wasteful practices or seek transparency. Deliberate Obfuscation of Records: Withheld or failed to provide critical financial documents and records in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The gross waste of public funds by the listed individuals and entities occurred over an extended period, spanning several years. Key timeframes include: Ongoing Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds Mismanagement within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program and other Medicaid services has persisted for at least the past five years, with notable escalation from 2020 through 2024 as whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts exposed systemic issues. Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Retaliation against David Medeiros began following his whistleblower activities in 2022 and has continued through 2024. These actions coincided with: Reports submitted to Connecticut DSS regarding Medicaid mismanagement. Complaints filed with the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) in 2023 and 2024. Failure of Oversight Bodies The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) failed to act on
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? David Medeiros, as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and founder of ABI Resources, uncovered these actions through firsthand involvement, research, and communication with affected populations. The following outlines how these actions were discovered: Direct Involvement in Advocacy and Reporting: As a Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver (ABI Waiver) provider, David observed consistent mismanagement, delays, and non-compliance with Medicaid policies, impacting vulnerable populations reliant on these services. His firsthand interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials revealed systemic failures in the administration of funds, refusal to provide transparency, and retaliatory practices against those raising concerns. Complaints Filed and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Ignored: After filing formal complaints with DSS, CHRO, and other state agencies, David noted repeated refusals to assign case numbers, investigate allegations, or address the reported issues, indicating procedural obstructions and systemic retaliation. Follow-ups with state and federal oversight bodies, including HHS OCR and DOJ, yielded no action, further exposing a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblowers. Testimonies from Affected Populations: Through his work with ABI Resources, David received testimonies from individuals and families, highlighting delayed Medicaid services, discriminatory practices, and inaccessible public forums for those with disabilities. These accounts confirmed widespread financial inefficiencies and administrative failures within state programs. Analysis of FOIA Responses and Obstructions: Requests for Medicaid fund records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) were delayed, denied, or inadequately addressed, further revealing the deliberate suppression of transparency regarding the misuse of federal and state funds. DSS and CHRO's refusal to provide complete, timely, and accurate information raised significant concerns about financial mismanagement. Patterns of Retaliation: Retaliation against David personally—including public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and denial of accommodations—demonstrated a coordinated effort to silence his whistleblower activities. Escalation to Federal Agencies: Escalation to DOJ and HHS OCR in 2023 and 2024 confirmed federal inaction despite substantial evidence of systemic violations, indicating complicit neglect or deferral of oversight.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations of gross waste of funds, mismanagement, and retaliatory practices by Connecticut state agencies and the federal inaction that has allowed these violations to persist: Documented FOIA Non-Compliance and Withheld Records: Numerous FOIA requests filed with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	other agencies remain unanswered, delayed, or improperly denied. Refusal to disclose Medicaid spending records and program oversight audits has raised concerns about the misallocation of funds and deliberate suppression of accountability. The lack of transparency violates FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and creates a strong presumption of financial mismanagement. Patterns of Financial Irregularities in Medicaid Waiver Programs: Analysis of publicly available records and reports from Medicaid beneficiaries highlights delays and denials in services promised under the ABI Waiver Program, indicating misuse or diversion of federal funds. Families and individuals reliant on Medicaid services have reported prolonged processing times and inadequate resource allocation, suggesting systemic inefficiencies. Unaddressed Whistleblower Retaliation: David Medeiros faced public ridicule, exclusion, and retaliation for raising concerns about Medicaid mismanagement. These actions deter further scrutiny of DSS's practices and reinforce a culture of impunity. State agencies have ignored whistleblower protections outlined in the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), further preventing transparency. Testimonies from Affected Individuals: Accounts from Medicaid beneficiaries and families describe delays in essential healthcare services and unexplained denials of claims, which directly contradict the program's intended purpose and funding requirements. Repeated Procedural Obstructions: DSS's refusal to issue detailed responses to formal complaints and case filings obstructs accountability efforts. The CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers prevents investigations into discrimination and non-compliance with ADA standards. Attorney General's Office inaction demonstrates systemic neglect, enabling DSS to continue its harmful practices unchecked. Expert Reports and Audits Ignored: Calls for independent audits of DSS's Medicaid programs have been ignored or dismissed, raising concerns about financial mismanagement and failure to adhere to federal funding regulations. Violation



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of Federal Oversight Obligations: Federal agencies, including HHS OCR and the DOJ, have failed to enforce ADA compliance or investigate allegations of systemic corruption, allowing ongoing violations to harm vulnerable populations. Exploitation of Disability Challenges: David Medeiros, a brain injury survivor, has faced procedural barriers intentionally designed to exploit his cognitive challenges, such as refusal to provide accessible communication formats or simplified processes requ

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Kristen	Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division:	Assistant Attorney General: Kristen Clarke Directly oversees enforcement of civil rights laws, inclu
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Director:	Responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act within federally funded heal
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Office of the Attorney General:	Primary legal officer for Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agencies comply with constitut
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services	Oversees Medicaid administration and implementation of programs



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		(DSS): Commissioner:	such as the ABI Waiver, directly tie
Tanya A. Hughes	Tanya A. Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Executive Director:	Investigates discrimination complaints, including ADA violations, but has shown inaction in your cas
Henry J. Kerner	Henry J. Kerner	U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC): Special Counsel:	Investigates whistleblower retaliation and reports of government wrongdoing.
Julie Su	Julie Su	U.S. Department of Labor (DOL):	Responsible for oversight of workplace ADA compliance and protections for individuals with disabilit
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator:	Responsible for federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including ensuring state compliance with fed
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	Governor of Connecticut:	The executive authority overseeing state agencies, including DSS and CHRO, with the power to order r
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH):	Ensures state compliance with health-related ADA requirements and public health safety.
Gary Winfield	Gary Winfield	Connecticut General Assembly, Judiciary Committee:	Legislative oversight for state agency practices, including transparency, civil rights compliance, a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrés J. Gallegos	Andrés J. Gallegos	National Council on Disability (NCD): Chair:	Federal agency advising the President and Congress on disability policies, including ADA compliance
Alina M. Semo	Alina M. Semo	Office of Government Information Services (OGIS): Director:	Mediates FOIA disputes and ensures transparency in government operations.
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	Investigates misuse of federal funds and systemic inefficiencies in federally funded programs like M
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor
Jeffrey Beckham	Jeffrey Beckham	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Secretary	Oversees budget and funding allocation, including Medicaid funds. Negligence or failure to ensure pr
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner
Colleen M. Murphy	Colleen M. Murphy	Executive Director and General Counsel	Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney	Martin M. Looney	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Senate President Pro Tempore	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Matthew Ritter	Matthew Ritter	House Speaker	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator
Charlotte Burrows	Charlotte Burrows	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC):	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC): Chair
Henry Kerner	Henry Kerner	Office of Special Counsel (OSC):	ederal oversight for whistleblower complaints. If whistleblower disclosures were ignored or mishandl
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General
Christi A. Grimm	Christi A. Grimm	Office of Inspector General (OIG), Department of Health and Human Services:	OIG is tasked with investigating fraud, waste, and abuse in federally funded programs like Medicaid.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Inspector General	
Deidre S. Gifford	Deidre S. Gifford	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Oversaw the agency's discriminatory practices, denial of ADA accommodations, and retaliatory actions
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Failed to ensure the investigation of complaints, neglected to assign case numbers, and ignored stat
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General	Ignored evidence of systemic failures and violations, failing to intervene or hold DSS and CHRO acco
Merrick B. Garland	Merrick B. Garland	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Neglected oversight responsibilities related to ADA compliance within Medicaid-funded programs.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Role: Allowed systemic Medicaid mismanagement and misuse of federal funds without intervention.
Lisa J. Pino	Lisa J. Pino	Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS	Failed to enforce ADA compliance and investigate systemic discrimination and retaliatory practices w



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD	Manisha Juthani, MD	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)	Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA com
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong	William Tong	Attorney General, State of Connecticut	Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
Robyn M. Colosimo	Robyn M. Colosimo	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.
Rosa DeLauro	Rosa DeLauro	U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District	Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.
Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS
Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS
Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		General, Connecticut	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division
Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut
Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):
Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.
Rob Kane	Rob Kane	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
Sean Scanlon	Sean Scanlon	State Comptroller	Monitors DSS Medicaid- related expenditures and financial reporting.
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.
Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Comptroller General of the United States	Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.
Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.
Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Request for Federal Representation and Protection to Restore Constitutional Rights
To the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC):

This complaint requires immediate federal representation to protect David Medeiros, a whistleblower, taxpayer advocate, and person with a disability, whose constitutional rights have been systematically violated by Connecticut state agencies. The federal government must act decisively to ensure that David's rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as federal statutes like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Whistleblower Protection Act, are restored and protected.

Specific Actions Requested for Representation and Protection

Immediate Federal Representation

Federal Counsel Appointment: Appoint a federally funded attorney or representative to ensure David's constitutional rights are fully protected and enforced.

Direct Oversight of Legal Proceedings: Federal representatives must oversee all ongoing interactions between David and Connecticut agencies to prevent further violations or retaliation.

Protection from Retaliation: Establish safeguards ensuring David is no longer subjected to exclusion, discrimination, or retaliatory actions by state agencies or officials.

Restoration of Constitutional Rights

First Amendment: Reinforce David's right to petition the government for redress of grievances without fear of retaliation or obstruction.

Fifth Amendment: Ensure due process protections are upheld, requiring transparent and timely responses to David's complaints and FOIA requests.

Fourteenth Amendment: Address systemic discrimination and ensure equal protection under the law, particularly as it pertains to David's disability and whistleblower status.

Mandate ADA Compliance and Accessibility

Guarantee Accommodations: Require Connecticut agencies to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified procedures, tailored to David's cognitive needs as a traumatic brain injury survivor.

Independent Audit of ADA Compliance: Conduct a federal audit of ADA adherence within Connecticut's federally funded programs, including DSS's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Federal Oversight to Enforce Accountability

Assign a Federal Monitor: Appoint an independent federal monitor to oversee the actions of Connecticut agencies, ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.

Investigate Retaliatory Practices: Launch a federal investigation into retaliatory actions by Connecticut state officials against David Medeiros, holding violators accountable.

Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

Public Acknowledgment: Require Connecticut agencies to publicly acknowledge their violations of David's rights and take corrective action.

Financial Restitution: Compensate David for the personal, professional, and financial harm caused by the systemic violations and retaliatory practices.

Reinstatement of Advocacy Rights: Ensure David's ability to advocate for vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, is fully restored without obstruction or exclusion.

Set a National Precedent

Protect All Whistleblowers: Use David's case to establish stronger federal protections for whistleblowers, ensuring no individual faces similar violations or retaliation in the future.

Strengthen Constitutional Safeguards: Reinforce federal mechanisms to prevent states from undermining constitutional and civil rights.

Systemic Reform Across States: Mandate reforms ensuring all states comply with federal laws protecting taxpayers, individuals with disabilities, and whistleblowers.

Conclusion

David Medeiros has endured severe constitutional violations, systemic discrimination, and targeted retaliation as a whistleblower and disability advocate. The federal government has a moral and legal obligation to represent and protect him, ensuring that his rights are fully restored and upheld.

By providing federal representation and enforcing constitutional and statutory protections, OSC can not only deliver justice for David but also set an enduring example for safeguarding the rights of all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 .PDF
10.24.2024 DOJ complaint Submission complete.pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 (1).PDF
October 30, 2024 - David Medeiros VS Connecticut Department of Social Services DSS CT gov.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CHRO CASE DSS 24 4 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 3 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 2 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-112620241121.pdf
requests (9).csv
requests (8).csv
requests (7).csv
11.27.2024 Escalated Complaint FOIA Non-Compliance ADA Violations Constitutional Violations and Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
11.27.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
11.23.2024 Binder1.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf
FOIA .zip
01.04.2024 CHRO AUDITS Binder2.pdf
000_2024-10-29 17_24_21.003990+00_00_comm.txt
01.01.2024 2nd FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request.pdf
Medeiros Response.pdf
Governor Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
12.14.2023 Dear Matthew S. Antonetti CT DSS .pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
10.22.2024 10.39 am CHRO Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
2410220 CP Email with Documents 2.4.24.msg
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Release of Jurisdiction.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Answer Part 1 of 2.pdf
2410220 Affidavit.pdf
12.23.2023 CHRO COMPLAINT .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
01.26.2024 Binder1.pdf
dss foia ghewtfrg Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
ABI Forum 2024.pdf
12.23.2023 Governor Ned Lamont. David Medeiros ABI Resources Call for Systemic Reform in Response to ADA Accommodation Failures and Disability Discrimination Whistleblower Retaliation Compliance CT DSS COU CHRO .pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/28/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG) For DOJ: Disability Rights
Section For HHS: Office for Civil R

Street Address: 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Involved Parties 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): - Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating ADA and First Amendment protections. - Refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified processes. - Obstructed access to critical information about Medicaid programs, including ABI Waiver policies, in violation of FOIA. - Retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on systemic Medicaid mismanagement. 2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): - Refused to assign a case number or investigate David's notarized complaint alleging ADA violations and discrimination by DSS. - Ignored follow-up inquiries regarding the status of the complaint, denying due process and perpetuating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination. 3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: • Failed to act on evidence submitted by David Medeiros regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's inaction. • Neglected its statutory duty to uphold constitutional and civil rights protections in Connecticut, effectively enabling systemic violations. 4. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: • Ignored David's formal complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic corruption within Connecticut's Medicaid program. • Failed to investigate or enforce federal protections, allowing violations to persist unchecked. 5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): • Did not investigate systemic ADA violations in Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite clear evidence of non-compliance and harm to individuals with disabilities. 6. Connecticut Governor's Office: • Failed to intervene or provide oversight of DSS and CHRO despite being alerted to systemic violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. 7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): • Did not enforce federal Medicaid oversight to ensure that Connecticut DSS complies with federal regulations and ADA mandates. Summary of Actions: • Exclusion: Agencies excluded David from forums and processes critical to his advocacy and whistleblower activities. • Retaliation: State and federal actors retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement, violating whistleblower protections. • Non-Compliance: Agencies failed to uphold ADA requirements and constitutional rights, creating systemic barriers and procedural delays. • Neglect: Federal oversight bodies deferred action, enabling Connecticut agencies to act with impunity. Constitutional Violations and Crimes Committed 12. First Amendment Violations • Suppression of Petition Rights: By ignoring David Medeiros' complaints and failing to investigate or act on his claims, Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies have



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	suppressed his constitutional right to petition the government for redress of grievances. • Retaliation Against Free Speech: Retaliatory actions, such a
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 – Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismana
How did you discover this action?	3. When did this occur? ChatGPT said: Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 –



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement by Connecticut agencies. Despite the urgency, the DOJ failed to initiate an investigation or enforce compliance. November 28, 2024 – Current Status CHRO: Refuses to assign a case number or act on David’s complaint, obstructing due process. Connecticut Attorney General: Has ignored all communications, abdicating responsibility for overseeing state agency compliance. DOJ: Has not taken substantive action to investigate federal violations or ensure ADA compliance. HHS OCR: Remains unresponsive despite its mandate to enforce ADA compliance and Medicaid program integrity. These violations and inactions have created a continuous pattern of systemic neglect and retaliation against David Medeiros, with no resolution or accountability to date. You said: 4. How did you discover this action? ChatGPT said: How the Action Was Discovered David Medeiros, as a whistleblower and disability advocate, discovered the actions through direct personal experience and documentation, which include: Personal Experience with Discrimination and Retaliation David experienced firsthand acts of exclusion, public ridicule, and procedural barriers during interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials. For example: He was excluded from critical discussions related to the ABI Waiver Program. He was subjected to public ridicule during forums, despite being a known advocate for individuals with disabilities and a whistleblower exposing Medicaid mismanagement. Documented Failures of State Agencies David submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) detailing ADA violations and retaliation. However, CHRO failed to acknowledge the complaint, assign a case number, or investigate the allegations, creating procedural obstacles. Evidence of Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General Despite



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	escalating the matter and providing comprehensive evidence of systemic failure
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Documented Failures by State Agencies Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to: Assign a case number. Investigate the allegations. Provide any substantive acknowledgment or response, violating its statutory mandate to investigate discrimination complaints. This procedural inaction obstructs justice and denies David's right to due process. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Retaliation: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a whistleblower and disability advocate. DSS has refused to provide reasonable ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence), in direct violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Despite receiving evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and Medicaid mismanagement, the Attorney General's Office failed to investigate or respond, abdicating its responsibility to enforce state and federal laws. Federal Oversight Failures: The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR) were notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches in November 2024. Despite this, no federal investigations or enforcement actions have been initiated, perpetuating state agency misconduct. Evidence of ADA Non-Compliance: DSS repeatedly refused David's requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified processes, exacerbating the challenges he faces as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor. Mismanagement of Public Funds: DSS has



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	obstructed transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage, particularly funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer dollars. Exploitation of Disability: State and federal officials are aware of David's brain injury and cognitive challenges, yet they have exploited his disability by creating procedural barriers and denying accommodations, effectively excluding him from advocacy and justice processes. Pattern of Systemic Corruption and Retaliation: Agencies at both the state and federal levels have demonstrated a clear pattern of protecting their leadership and shielding systemic inefficiencies, corruption, and discrimination, rather than addressing legitimate complaints. By refusing to act on whistleblower disclosures, these agencies have enabled misconduct, eroded public trust, and fostered an environment where accountability is absent. Violation of Transparency Laws: DSS and CHRO have failed to comply with Freedom of Information
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	The listed individuals and entities engaged in or facilitated actions that collectively constitute systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and mismanagement of public funds. These actions include: 1. Suppression of Whistleblower Rights and Retaliation DSS (Connecticut Department of Social Services): Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros from critical forums related to Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed David's advocacy efforts by withholding ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and email-only correspondence. Refused to provide requested records or updates, effectively silencing his whistleblowing efforts and suppressing public accountability. CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities): Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized complaint, delaying and obstructing justice. Failed to investigate claims of systemic discrimination and retaliation, despite statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandates. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Ignored multiple submissions of evidence regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's failure to act. Failed to intervene or provide oversight to enforce transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections. 2. Violations of ADA Protections and Disability Rights DSS: Denied reasonable accommodations to David Medeiros, a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, making it impossible for him to meaningfully participate in public processes. Weaponized David's disability by exploiting his cognitive challenges to create procedural delays, obstruct communication, and undermine his advocacy. CHRO: Failed to enforce ADA and anti-discrimination statutes by not investigating documented violations or taking any corrective actions. Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR, CMS): Neglected oversight responsibilities to ensure ADA compliance and accessibility in Connecticut's Medicaid program, enabling DSS to continue discriminatory practices unchecked. 3. Mismanagement of Public Funds and Lack of Transparency DSS: Withheld public records related to Medicaid fund allocations, including the ABI Waiver Program, violating FOIA and state transparency laws. Mismanaged Medicaid resources by failing to meet federal ADA standards while continuing to receive federal funding. Federal Agencies (CMS, HHS OCR): Failed to audit or investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds in Connecticut, despite whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic issues. 4. Abuse of Authority and Gross Mismanagement Connecticut Leadership (OPM, Governor's Office): Allowed systemic failures in oversight and enforcement by not addressing persistent complaints of corruption, discrimination, and retaliation. Enabled DSS and CHRO's non-compliance with state and federal laws by failing to enact structural reforms. Federal Agencies (DOJ, OSC): Ignored whistleblower reports and failed to initiate investigations into Connecticut's systemic mismanagement of Medicaid and ADA violat



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a case number and an update on the status of his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or assign a case number, effectively obstructing the complaint process. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David submitted evidence and documentation to the Connecticut Attorney General’s Office, requesting oversight and intervention to address systemic misconduct by DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General’s Office ignored the submission and failed to act. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ David submitted a detailed whistleblower complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance
How did you discover this action?	Discovery of Actions David Medeiros discovered these actions through firsthand experience and documented interactions with the involved parties. The following key events and observations provided evidence of the actions taken against him: Refusal to Assign a Case Number by CHRO After submitting a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, David received no acknowledgment or case number, despite following up multiple times. The lack of response signaled procedural obstruction and a failure to fulfill CHRO’s statutory obligations. Exclusion and Ridicule by DSS During public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, DSS officials publicly ridiculed and excluded David, creating a hostile environment for his advocacy. Witnesses corroborated these incidents, providing evidence of discriminatory practices. Ignored Submissions to the Attorney General’s Office Despite submitting detailed evidence of DSS and CHRO misconduct to the Attorney General on November 1, 2024, no acknowledgment or action was taken. This



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaction became apparent when repeated attempts to follow up were ignored. Federal Oversight Failures Complaints to the DOJ and HHS OCR, submitted on November 10, 2024, included comprehensive documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. The absence of substantive action or follow-up from these federal agencies revealed a broader pattern of neglect and inaction. FOIA and ADA Compliance Failures Requests for ADA-compliant communication and public records related to Medicaid funds and the ABI Waiver Program were either ignored or obstructed. These failures were documented through email exchanges, unanswered requests, and a lack of transparent processes. Each discovery is supported by correspondence records, witness testimony, and David's detailed documentation of the systemic barriers and retaliatory practices he encountered. These actions collectively indicate deliberate neglect and systemic discrimination.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegations Documented Retaliation and Exclusion by DSS: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, as corroborated by witnesses and documented in communications. These actions created a hostile environment, directly impeding his ability to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities. DSS failed to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA, including email-only correspondence and simplified processes, despite David's documented cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor. CHRO's Failure to Investigate: Despite submitting a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, and multiple follow-up communications, CHRO refused to assign a case number or initiate an investigation, violating its statutory obligation to investigate discrimination complaints. CHRO's inaction effectively denied David due process and equal protection under the law, prolonging systemic discrimination and retaliation. Attorney General's Office Inaction: Submissions to the Connecticut Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	General included detailed evidence of DSS's ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation. Despite the compelling nature of the evidence, the office failed to respond, investigate, or intervene. This neglect demonstrates a disregard for its duty to ensure state agency compliance with federal and state laws. Federal Oversight Failures (DOJ and HHS OCR): The Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights at HHS were notified of systemic ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite comprehensive documentation, neither agency initiated a substantive investigation or provided updates. Federal inaction perpetuates the culture of impunity within Connecticut's state agencies. FOIA and Transparency Failures: DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver operations, despite FOIA and state FOIA laws mandating timely responses. Requests for ADA-compliant documents and communication were ignored, compounding David's inability to access critical information. Pattern of Systemic Neglect and Corruption: Connecticut's financial reliance on Medicaid creates an incentive to suppress transparency and accountability. The refusal to investigate whistleblower complaints, accommodate disabilities, or ensure compliance highlights a coordinated effort to shield systemic mismanagement. David Medeiros' Vulnerability as a Brain Injury Survivor: Agencies weaponized David's cognitive challenges to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, further exacerbating the discrimination and retaliation he faced. The refusal to accommodate his needs constitutes a violation of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, underscoring the systemic failure to protect vulnerable individuals. Summary of Supporting Evidence: Witness testimony from public forums and interactions with DSS officials. Documentation of corresponden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

What action did they take?	Actions Taken: Abuse of Authority State-Level Actions Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Public Ridicule and Exclusion: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluding him from discussions critical to Medicaid policy and decision-making. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Refused to provide accessible communication methods, such as email-only correspondence and simplified procedural guidelines, despite documented cognitive challenges from a brain injury. Obstruction of Records Requests: Failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withheld critical Medicaid documentation, and ignored whistleblower complaints. Retaliation for Whistleblowing: Took retaliatory actions, such as exclusion from forums and non-response to inquiries, after David exposed Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Failure to Investigate: Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized ADA complaint, preventing any investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices. Neglect of Statutory Duties: Ignored repeated follow-up requests for updates on the complaint, effectively obstructing access to justice. Complicity in Discrimination: Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities: Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches. Shielding State Agencies: Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct. Federal-Level Actions Department of Justice (DOJ): Failure to Act: Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs. Deferred Oversight: Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Neglect of ADA Oversight: Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations. Lack of Enforcement by OCR: The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failure to Address Mismanagement: Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations. Permitting Retaliation: Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption. Key Themes of Abuse of Authority: Systemic Retaliation: Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums. Weaponized Bureaucr
When did this occur?	Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority Key Dates and Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint: David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO: David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number. No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General: Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction. The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies: Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compl
How did you discover this action?	How This Action Was Discovered David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office. These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion. Key discoveries include: Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums: During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint: After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties. Attorney General's Silence: Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR: Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect. Obstructed FOIA Requests: DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability. Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion: DSS Forum Behavior: During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records. Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes: Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies. Failure to Investigate by CHRO: Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination. Attorney General's Refusal to Act: On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance: Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes. FOIA Obstruction: David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency. Federal Inaction and Neglect:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance. Exploitation of Disability: Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny. Violation of Whistleblower Protections: David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagem
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Action: Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services. Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation. Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications. Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic corruption. Connecticut Attorney General's Office Action: Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) Action: Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice. Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws. Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR) Action: Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS. Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division Action: Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities. Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives) Action: Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers. Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes. Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation. Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy. Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparen



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations,
How did you discover this action?	David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include: Direct Personal Experience: While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation. Documented Evidence: David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures. Procedural Barriers: Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation: The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts: David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings. These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented Complaints and Correspondence: Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Office of the Connecticut Attorney General, and federal agencies like the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR). These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers: Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504). Retaliatory Actions: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy. FOIA and Transparency Failures: David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.).



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Testimonies and Community Evidence: Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability: Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm. Impact on Public Health and Safety: Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety. These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated gross waste of public funds. Specifically: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Improper Use of Medicaid Funds: Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for. Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity. Inadequate Financial Reporting: Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits: Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Approval of Questionable Allocations: Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies: Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight. Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Inadequate Legislative Oversight: Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked. Refusal to Investigate Complaints: Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending. State Comptroller's Office: Failure to Monitor Spending: Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglect of Transparency Requirements: Omitted mandatory public disclosures that would expose financial mismanagement. Federal Oversight Bodies: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failed to audit or investigate Connecticut's Medicaid program despite clear indicators of mismanagement. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Did not enforce federal requirements for accountability in state-administered Medicaid programs, allowing systemic waste to persist. Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS: Neglected its duty to investigate potential fraud, waste, and abuse in Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, particularly those impacting vulnerable populations. Other Actions Taken: Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Suppressed complaints and retaliated against individuals, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	David Medeiros, who attempted to expose wasteful practices or seek transparency. Deliberate Obfuscation of Records: Withheld or failed to provide critical financial documents and records in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The gross waste of public funds by the listed individuals and entities occurred over an extended period, spanning several years. Key timeframes include: Ongoing Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds Mismanagement within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program and other Medicaid services has persisted for at least the past five years, with notable escalation from 2020 through 2024 as whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts exposed systemic issues. Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Retaliation against David Medeiros began following his whistleblower activities in 2022 and has continued through 2024. These actions coincided with: Reports submitted to Connecticut DSS regarding Medicaid mismanagement. Complaints filed with the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) in 2023 and 2024. Failure of Oversight Bodies The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) failed to act on
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? David Medeiros, as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and founder of ABI Resources, uncovered these actions through firsthand involvement, research, and communication with affected populations. The following outlines how these actions were discovered: Direct Involvement in Advocacy and Reporting: As a Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver (ABI Waiver) provider, David observed consistent mismanagement, delays, and non-compliance with Medicaid policies, impacting vulnerable populations reliant on these services. His firsthand interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials revealed systemic failures in the administration of funds, refusal to provide transparency, and retaliatory practices against those raising concerns. Complaints Filed and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Ignored: After filing formal complaints with DSS, CHRO, and other state agencies, David noted repeated refusals to assign case numbers, investigate allegations, or address the reported issues, indicating procedural obstructions and systemic retaliation. Follow-ups with state and federal oversight bodies, including HHS OCR and DOJ, yielded no action, further exposing a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblowers. Testimonies from Affected Populations: Through his work with ABI Resources, David received testimonies from individuals and families, highlighting delayed Medicaid services, discriminatory practices, and inaccessible public forums for those with disabilities. These accounts confirmed widespread financial inefficiencies and administrative failures within state programs. Analysis of FOIA Responses and Obstructions: Requests for Medicaid fund records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) were delayed, denied, or inadequately addressed, further revealing the deliberate suppression of transparency regarding the misuse of federal and state funds. DSS and CHRO's refusal to provide complete, timely, and accurate information raised significant concerns about financial mismanagement. Patterns of Retaliation: Retaliation against David personally—including public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and denial of accommodations—demonstrated a coordinated effort to silence his whistleblower activities. Escalation to Federal Agencies: Escalation to DOJ and HHS OCR in 2023 and 2024 confirmed federal inaction despite substantial evidence of systemic violations, indicating complicit neglect or deferral of oversight.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations of gross waste of funds, mismanagement, and retaliatory practices by Connecticut state agencies and the federal inaction that has allowed these violations to persist: Documented FOIA Non-Compliance and Withheld Records: Numerous FOIA requests filed with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	other agencies remain unanswered, delayed, or improperly denied. Refusal to disclose Medicaid spending records and program oversight audits has raised concerns about the misallocation of funds and deliberate suppression of accountability. The lack of transparency violates FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and creates a strong presumption of financial mismanagement. Patterns of Financial Irregularities in Medicaid Waiver Programs: Analysis of publicly available records and reports from Medicaid beneficiaries highlights delays and denials in services promised under the ABI Waiver Program, indicating misuse or diversion of federal funds. Families and individuals reliant on Medicaid services have reported prolonged processing times and inadequate resource allocation, suggesting systemic inefficiencies. Unaddressed Whistleblower Retaliation: David Medeiros faced public ridicule, exclusion, and retaliation for raising concerns about Medicaid mismanagement. These actions deter further scrutiny of DSS's practices and reinforce a culture of impunity. State agencies have ignored whistleblower protections outlined in the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), further preventing transparency. Testimonies from Affected Individuals: Accounts from Medicaid beneficiaries and families describe delays in essential healthcare services and unexplained denials of claims, which directly contradict the program's intended purpose and funding requirements. Repeated Procedural Obstructions: DSS's refusal to issue detailed responses to formal complaints and case filings obstructs accountability efforts. The CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers prevents investigations into discrimination and non-compliance with ADA standards. Attorney General's Office inaction demonstrates systemic neglect, enabling DSS to continue its harmful practices unchecked. Expert Reports and Audits Ignored: Calls for independent audits of DSS's Medicaid programs have been ignored or dismissed, raising concerns about financial mismanagement and failure to adhere to federal funding regulations. Violation



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of Federal Oversight Obligations: Federal agencies, including HHS OCR and the DOJ, have failed to enforce ADA compliance or investigate allegations of systemic corruption, allowing ongoing violations to harm vulnerable populations. Exploitation of Disability Challenges: David Medeiros, a brain injury survivor, has faced procedural barriers intentionally designed to exploit his cognitive challenges, such as refusal to provide accessible communication formats or simplified processes requ

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Kristen	Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division:	Assistant Attorney General: Kristen Clarke Directly oversees enforcement of civil rights laws, inclu
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Director:	Responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act within federally funded heal
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Office of the Attorney General:	Primary legal officer for Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agencies comply with constitut
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services	Oversees Medicaid administration and implementation of programs



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		(DSS): Commissioner:	such as the ABI Waiver, directly tie
Tanya A. Hughes	Tanya A. Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Executive Director:	Investigates discrimination complaints, including ADA violations, but has shown inaction in your cas
Henry J. Kerner	Henry J. Kerner	U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC): Special Counsel:	Investigates whistleblower retaliation and reports of government wrongdoing.
Julie Su	Julie Su	U.S. Department of Labor (DOL):	Responsible for oversight of workplace ADA compliance and protections for individuals with disabilit
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator:	Responsible for federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including ensuring state compliance with fed
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	Governor of Connecticut:	The executive authority overseeing state agencies, including DSS and CHRO, with the power to order r
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH):	Ensures state compliance with health-related ADA requirements and public health safety.
Gary Winfield	Gary Winfield	Connecticut General Assembly, Judiciary Committee:	Legislative oversight for state agency practices, including transparency, civil rights compliance, a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrés J. Gallegos	Andrés J. Gallegos	National Council on Disability (NCD): Chair:	Federal agency advising the President and Congress on disability policies, including ADA compliance
Alina M. Semo	Alina M. Semo	Office of Government Information Services (OGIS): Director:	Mediates FOIA disputes and ensures transparency in government operations.
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	Investigates misuse of federal funds and systemic inefficiencies in federally funded programs like M
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor
Jeffrey Beckham	Jeffrey Beckham	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Secretary	Oversees budget and funding allocation, including Medicaid funds. Negligence or failure to ensure pr
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner
Colleen M. Murphy	Colleen M. Murphy	Executive Director and General Counsel	Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney	Martin M. Looney	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Senate President Pro Tempore	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Matthew Ritter	Matthew Ritter	House Speaker	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator
Charlotte Burrows	Charlotte Burrows	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC):	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC): Chair
Henry Kerner	Henry Kerner	Office of Special Counsel (OSC):	ederal oversight for whistleblower complaints. If whistleblower disclosures were ignored or mishandl
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General
Christi A. Grimm	Christi A. Grimm	Office of Inspector General (OIG), Department of Health and Human Services:	OIG is tasked with investigating fraud, waste, and abuse in federally funded programs like Medicaid.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Inspector General	
Deidre S. Gifford	Deidre S. Gifford	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Oversaw the agency's discriminatory practices, denial of ADA accommodations, and retaliatory actions
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Failed to ensure the investigation of complaints, neglected to assign case numbers, and ignored stat
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General	Ignored evidence of systemic failures and violations, failing to intervene or hold DSS and CHRO acco
Merrick B. Garland	Merrick B. Garland	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Neglected oversight responsibilities related to ADA compliance within Medicaid-funded programs.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Role: Allowed systemic Medicaid mismanagement and misuse of federal funds without intervention.
Lisa J. Pino	Lisa J. Pino	Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS	Failed to enforce ADA compliance and investigate systemic discrimination and retaliatory practices w



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD	Manisha Juthani, MD	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)	Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA com
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong	William Tong	Attorney General, State of Connecticut	Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
Robyn M. Colosimo	Robyn M. Colosimo	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.
Rosa DeLauro	Rosa DeLauro	U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District	Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.
Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS
Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS
Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		General, Connecticut	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division
Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut
Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):
Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.
Rob Kane	Rob Kane	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
Sean Scanlon	Sean Scanlon	State Comptroller	Monitors DSS Medicaid-related expenditures and financial reporting.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.
Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Comptroller General of the United States	Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.
Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.
Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Request for Federal Representation and Protection to Restore Constitutional Rights
To the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC):

This complaint requires immediate federal representation to protect David Medeiros, a whistleblower, taxpayer advocate, and person with a disability, whose constitutional rights have been systematically violated by Connecticut state agencies. The federal government must act decisively to ensure that David's rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as federal statutes like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Whistleblower Protection Act, are restored and protected.

Specific Actions Requested for Representation and Protection

Immediate Federal Representation

Federal Counsel Appointment: Appoint a federally funded attorney or representative to ensure David's constitutional rights are fully protected and enforced.

Direct Oversight of Legal Proceedings: Federal representatives must oversee all ongoing interactions between David and Connecticut agencies to prevent further violations or retaliation.

Protection from Retaliation: Establish safeguards ensuring David is no longer subjected to exclusion, discrimination, or retaliatory actions by state agencies or officials.

Restoration of Constitutional Rights

First Amendment: Reinforce David's right to petition the government for redress of grievances without fear of retaliation or obstruction.

Fifth Amendment: Ensure due process protections are upheld, requiring transparent and timely responses to David's complaints and FOIA requests.

Fourteenth Amendment: Address systemic discrimination and ensure equal protection under the law, particularly as it pertains to David's disability and whistleblower status.

Mandate ADA Compliance and Accessibility

Guarantee Accommodations: Require Connecticut agencies to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified procedures, tailored to David's cognitive needs as a traumatic brain injury survivor.

Independent Audit of ADA Compliance: Conduct a federal audit of ADA adherence within Connecticut's federally funded programs, including DSS's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Federal Oversight to Enforce Accountability

Assign a Federal Monitor: Appoint an independent federal monitor to oversee the actions of Connecticut agencies, ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.

Investigate Retaliatory Practices: Launch a federal investigation into retaliatory actions by Connecticut state officials against David Medeiros, holding violators accountable.

Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

Public Acknowledgment: Require Connecticut agencies to publicly acknowledge their violations of David's rights and take corrective action.

Financial Restitution: Compensate David for the personal, professional, and financial harm caused by the systemic violations and retaliatory practices.

Reinstatement of Advocacy Rights: Ensure David's ability to advocate for vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, is fully restored without obstruction or exclusion.

Set a National Precedent

Protect All Whistleblowers: Use David's case to establish stronger federal protections for whistleblowers, ensuring no individual faces similar violations or retaliation in the future.

Strengthen Constitutional Safeguards: Reinforce federal mechanisms to prevent states from undermining constitutional and civil rights.

Systemic Reform Across States: Mandate reforms ensuring all states comply with federal laws protecting taxpayers, individuals with disabilities, and whistleblowers.

Conclusion

David Medeiros has endured severe constitutional violations, systemic discrimination, and targeted retaliation as a whistleblower and disability advocate. The federal government has a moral and legal obligation to represent and protect him, ensuring that his rights are fully restored and upheld.

By providing federal representation and enforcing constitutional and statutory protections, OSC can not only deliver justice for David but also set an enduring example for safeguarding the rights of all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 .PDF
10.24.2024 DOJ complaint Submission complete.pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 (1).PDF
October 30, 2024 - David Medeiros VS Connecticut Department of Social Services DSS CT gov.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CHRO CASE DSS 24 4 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 3 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 2 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-112620241121.pdf
requests (9).csv
requests (8).csv
requests (7).csv
11.27.2024 Escalated Complaint FOIA Non-Compliance ADA Violations Constitutional Violations and Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
11.27.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
11.23.2024 Binder1.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf
FOIA .zip
01.04.2024 CHRO AUDITS Binder2.pdf
000_2024-10-29 17_24_21.003990+00_00_comm.txt
01.01.2024 2nd FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request.pdf
Medeiros Response.pdf
Governor Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
12.14.2023 Dear Matthew S. Antonetti CT DSS .pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
10.22.2024 10.39 am CHRO Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
2410220 CP Email with Documents 2.4.24.msg
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Release of Jurisdiction.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Answer Part 1 of 2.pdf
2410220 Affidavit.pdf
12.23.2023 CHRO COMPLAINT .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
01.26.2024 Binder1.pdf
dss foia ghewtfrg Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
ABI Forum 2024.pdf
12.23.2023 Governor Ned Lamont. David Medeiros ABI Resources Call for Systemic Reform in Response to ADA Accommodation Failures and Disability Discrimination Whistleblower Retaliation Compliance CT DSS COU CHRO .pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/28/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG) For DOJ: Disability Rights
Section For HHS: Office for Civil R

Street Address: 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Involved Parties 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): - Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating ADA and First Amendment protections. - Refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified processes. - Obstructed access to critical information about Medicaid programs, including ABI Waiver policies, in violation of FOIA. - Retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on systemic Medicaid mismanagement. 2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): - Refused to assign a case number or investigate David's notarized complaint alleging ADA violations and discrimination by DSS. - Ignored follow-up inquiries regarding the status of the complaint, denying due process and perpetuating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination. 3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: • Failed to act on evidence submitted by David Medeiros regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's inaction. • Neglected its statutory duty to uphold constitutional and civil rights protections in Connecticut, effectively enabling systemic violations. 4. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: • Ignored David's formal complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic corruption within Connecticut's Medicaid program. • Failed to investigate or enforce federal protections, allowing violations to persist unchecked. 5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): • Did not investigate systemic ADA violations in Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite clear evidence of non-compliance and harm to individuals with disabilities. 6. Connecticut Governor's Office: • Failed to intervene or provide oversight of DSS and CHRO despite being alerted to systemic violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. 7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): • Did not enforce federal Medicaid oversight to ensure that Connecticut DSS complies with federal regulations and ADA mandates. Summary of Actions: • Exclusion: Agencies excluded David from forums and processes critical to his advocacy and whistleblower activities. • Retaliation: State and federal actors retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement, violating whistleblower protections. • Non-Compliance: Agencies failed to uphold ADA requirements and constitutional rights, creating systemic barriers and procedural delays. • Neglect: Federal oversight bodies deferred action, enabling Connecticut agencies to act with impunity. Constitutional Violations and Crimes Committed 12. First Amendment Violations • Suppression of Petition Rights: By ignoring David Medeiros' complaints and failing to investigate or act on his claims, Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies have



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	suppressed his constitutional right to petition the government for redress of grievances. • Retaliation Against Free Speech: Retaliatory actions, such a
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 – Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismana
How did you discover this action?	3. When did this occur? ChatGPT said: Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action. November 10, 2024 –



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Submission to DOJ David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement by Connecticut agencies. Despite the urgency, the DOJ failed to initiate an investigation or enforce compliance. November 28, 2024 – Current Status CHRO: Refuses to assign a case number or act on David's complaint, obstructing due process. Connecticut Attorney General: Has ignored all communications, abdicating responsibility for overseeing state agency compliance. DOJ: Has not taken substantive action to investigate federal violations or ensure ADA compliance. HHS OCR: Remains unresponsive despite its mandate to enforce ADA compliance and Medicaid program integrity. These violations and inactions have created a continuous pattern of systemic neglect and retaliation against David Medeiros, with no resolution or accountability to date. You said: 4. How did you discover this action? ChatGPT said: How the Action Was Discovered David Medeiros, as a whistleblower and disability advocate, discovered the actions through direct personal experience and documentation, which include: Personal Experience with Discrimination and Retaliation David experienced firsthand acts of exclusion, public ridicule, and procedural barriers during interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials. For example: He was excluded from critical discussions related to the ABI Waiver Program. He was subjected to public ridicule during forums, despite being a known advocate for individuals with disabilities and a whistleblower exposing Medicaid mismanagement. Documented Failures of State Agencies David submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) detailing ADA violations and retaliation. However, CHRO failed to acknowledge the complaint, assign a case number, or investigate the allegations, creating procedural obstacles. Evidence of Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General Despite



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	escalating the matter and providing comprehensive evidence of systemic failure
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Documented Failures by State Agencies Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to: Assign a case number. Investigate the allegations. Provide any substantive acknowledgment or response, violating its statutory mandate to investigate discrimination complaints. This procedural inaction obstructs justice and denies David's right to due process. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Retaliation: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a whistleblower and disability advocate. DSS has refused to provide reasonable ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence), in direct violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Despite receiving evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and Medicaid mismanagement, the Attorney General's Office failed to investigate or respond, abdicating its responsibility to enforce state and federal laws. Federal Oversight Failures: The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR) were notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches in November 2024. Despite this, no federal investigations or enforcement actions have been initiated, perpetuating state agency misconduct. Evidence of ADA Non-Compliance: DSS repeatedly refused David's requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified processes, exacerbating the challenges he faces as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor. Mismanagement of Public Funds: DSS has



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	obstructed transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage, particularly funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer dollars. Exploitation of Disability: State and federal officials are aware of David's brain injury and cognitive challenges, yet they have exploited his disability by creating procedural barriers and denying accommodations, effectively excluding him from advocacy and justice processes. Pattern of Systemic Corruption and Retaliation: Agencies at both the state and federal levels have demonstrated a clear pattern of protecting their leadership and shielding systemic inefficiencies, corruption, and discrimination, rather than addressing legitimate complaints. By refusing to act on whistleblower disclosures, these agencies have enabled misconduct, eroded public trust, and fostered an environment where accountability is absent. Violation of Transparency Laws: DSS and CHRO have failed to comply with Freedom of Information
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	The listed individuals and entities engaged in or facilitated actions that collectively constitute systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and mismanagement of public funds. These actions include: 1. Suppression of Whistleblower Rights and Retaliation DSS (Connecticut Department of Social Services): Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros from critical forums related to Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed David's advocacy efforts by withholding ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and email-only correspondence. Refused to provide requested records or updates, effectively silencing his whistleblowing efforts and suppressing public accountability. CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities): Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized complaint, delaying and obstructing justice. Failed to investigate claims of systemic discrimination and retaliation, despite statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandates. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Ignored multiple submissions of evidence regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's failure to act. Failed to intervene or provide oversight to enforce transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections. 2. Violations of ADA Protections and Disability Rights DSS: Denied reasonable accommodations to David Medeiros, a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, making it impossible for him to meaningfully participate in public processes. Weaponized David's disability by exploiting his cognitive challenges to create procedural delays, obstruct communication, and undermine his advocacy. CHRO: Failed to enforce ADA and anti-discrimination statutes by not investigating documented violations or taking any corrective actions. Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR, CMS): Neglected oversight responsibilities to ensure ADA compliance and accessibility in Connecticut's Medicaid program, enabling DSS to continue discriminatory practices unchecked. 3. Mismanagement of Public Funds and Lack of Transparency DSS: Withheld public records related to Medicaid fund allocations, including the ABI Waiver Program, violating FOIA and state transparency laws. Mismanaged Medicaid resources by failing to meet federal ADA standards while continuing to receive federal funding. Federal Agencies (CMS, HHS OCR): Failed to audit or investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds in Connecticut, despite whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic issues. 4. Abuse of Authority and Gross Mismanagement Connecticut Leadership (OPM, Governor's Office): Allowed systemic failures in oversight and enforcement by not addressing persistent complaints of corruption, discrimination, and retaliation. Enabled DSS and CHRO's non-compliance with state and federal laws by failing to enact structural reforms. Federal Agencies (DOJ, OSC): Ignored whistleblower reports and failed to initiate investigations into Connecticut's systemic mismanagement of Medicaid and ADA violat



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David requested a case number and an update on the status of his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or assign a case number, effectively obstructing the complaint process. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General David submitted evidence and documentation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting oversight and intervention to address systemic misconduct by DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office ignored the submission and failed to act. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ David submitted a detailed whistleblower complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance
How did you discover this action?	Discovery of Actions David Medeiros discovered these actions through firsthand experience and documented interactions with the involved parties. The following key events and observations provided evidence of the actions taken against him: Refusal to Assign a Case Number by CHRO After submitting a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, David received no acknowledgment or case number, despite following up multiple times. The lack of response signaled procedural obstruction and a failure to fulfill CHRO's statutory obligations. Exclusion and Ridicule by DSS During public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, DSS officials publicly ridiculed and excluded David, creating a hostile environment for his advocacy. Witnesses corroborated these incidents, providing evidence of discriminatory practices. Ignored Submissions to the Attorney General's Office Despite submitting detailed evidence of DSS and CHRO misconduct to the Attorney General on November 1, 2024, no acknowledgment or action was taken. This



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaction became apparent when repeated attempts to follow up were ignored. Federal Oversight Failures Complaints to the DOJ and HHS OCR, submitted on November 10, 2024, included comprehensive documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. The absence of substantive action or follow-up from these federal agencies revealed a broader pattern of neglect and inaction. FOIA and ADA Compliance Failures Requests for ADA-compliant communication and public records related to Medicaid funds and the ABI Waiver Program were either ignored or obstructed. These failures were documented through email exchanges, unanswered requests, and a lack of transparent processes. Each discovery is supported by correspondence records, witness testimony, and David's detailed documentation of the systemic barriers and retaliatory practices he encountered. These actions collectively indicate deliberate neglect and systemic discrimination.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegations Documented Retaliation and Exclusion by DSS: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, as corroborated by witnesses and documented in communications. These actions created a hostile environment, directly impeding his ability to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities. DSS failed to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA, including email-only correspondence and simplified processes, despite David's documented cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor. CHRO's Failure to Investigate: Despite submitting a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, and multiple follow-up communications, CHRO refused to assign a case number or initiate an investigation, violating its statutory obligation to investigate discrimination complaints. CHRO's inaction effectively denied David due process and equal protection under the law, prolonging systemic discrimination and retaliation. Attorney General's Office Inaction: Submissions to the Connecticut Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	General included detailed evidence of DSS's ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation. Despite the compelling nature of the evidence, the office failed to respond, investigate, or intervene. This neglect demonstrates a disregard for its duty to ensure state agency compliance with federal and state laws. Federal Oversight Failures (DOJ and HHS OCR): The Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights at HHS were notified of systemic ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite comprehensive documentation, neither agency initiated a substantive investigation or provided updates. Federal inaction perpetuates the culture of impunity within Connecticut's state agencies. FOIA and Transparency Failures: DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver operations, despite FOIA and state FOIA laws mandating timely responses. Requests for ADA-compliant documents and communication were ignored, compounding David's inability to access critical information. Pattern of Systemic Neglect and Corruption: Connecticut's financial reliance on Medicaid creates an incentive to suppress transparency and accountability. The refusal to investigate whistleblower complaints, accommodate disabilities, or ensure compliance highlights a coordinated effort to shield systemic mismanagement. David Medeiros' Vulnerability as a Brain Injury Survivor: Agencies weaponized David's cognitive challenges to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, further exacerbating the discrimination and retaliation he faced. The refusal to accommodate his needs constitutes a violation of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, underscoring the systemic failure to protect vulnerable individuals. Summary of Supporting Evidence: Witness testimony from public forums and interactions with DSS officials. Documentation of corresponden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

What action did they take?	Actions Taken: Abuse of Authority State-Level Actions Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Public Ridicule and Exclusion: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluding him from discussions critical to Medicaid policy and decision-making. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Refused to provide accessible communication methods, such as email-only correspondence and simplified procedural guidelines, despite documented cognitive challenges from a brain injury. Obstruction of Records Requests: Failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withheld critical Medicaid documentation, and ignored whistleblower complaints. Retaliation for Whistleblowing: Took retaliatory actions, such as exclusion from forums and non-response to inquiries, after David exposed Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Failure to Investigate: Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized ADA complaint, preventing any investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices. Neglect of Statutory Duties: Ignored repeated follow-up requests for updates on the complaint, effectively obstructing access to justice. Complicity in Discrimination: Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities: Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches. Shielding State Agencies: Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct. Federal-Level Actions Department of Justice (DOJ): Failure to Act: Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs. Deferred Oversight: Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Neglect of ADA Oversight: Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations. Lack of Enforcement by OCR: The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failure to Address Mismanagement: Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations. Permitting Retaliation: Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption. Key Themes of Abuse of Authority: Systemic Retaliation: Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums. Weaponized Bureaucr
When did this occur?	Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority Key Dates and Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint: David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO: David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number. No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General: Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction. The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies: Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compl
How did you discover this action?	How This Action Was Discovered David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office. These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion. Key discoveries include: Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums: During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint: After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties. Attorney General's Silence: Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR: Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect. Obstructed FOIA Requests: DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability. Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion: DSS Forum Behavior: During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records. Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes: Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies. Failure to Investigate by CHRO: Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination. Attorney General's Refusal to Act: On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance: Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes. FOIA Obstruction: David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency. Federal Inaction and Neglect:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance. Exploitation of Disability: Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny. Violation of Whistleblower Protections: David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagem
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Action: Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services. Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation. Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications. Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic corruption. Connecticut Attorney General's Office Action: Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros. Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) Action: Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice. Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws. Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR) Action: Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS. Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division Action: Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities. Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives) Action: Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers. Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes. Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation. Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy. Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparen



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations,
How did you discover this action?	David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include: Direct Personal Experience: While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation. Documented Evidence: David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures. Procedural Barriers: Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation: The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts: David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings. These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented Complaints and Correspondence: Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Office of the Connecticut Attorney General, and federal agencies like the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR). These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers: Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504). Retaliatory Actions: DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy. FOIA and Transparency Failures: David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.).



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Testimonies and Community Evidence: Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability: Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm. Impact on Public Health and Safety: Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety. These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated gross waste of public funds. Specifically: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Improper Use of Medicaid Funds: Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for. Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity. Inadequate Financial Reporting: Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits: Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Approval of Questionable Allocations: Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies: Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight. Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Inadequate Legislative Oversight: Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked. Refusal to Investigate Complaints: Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending. State Comptroller's Office: Failure to Monitor Spending: Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglect of Transparency Requirements: Omitted mandatory public disclosures that would expose financial mismanagement. Federal Oversight Bodies: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Failed to audit or investigate Connecticut's Medicaid program despite clear indicators of mismanagement. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Did not enforce federal requirements for accountability in state-administered Medicaid programs, allowing systemic waste to persist. Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS: Neglected its duty to investigate potential fraud, waste, and abuse in Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, particularly those impacting vulnerable populations. Other Actions Taken: Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Suppressed complaints and retaliated against individuals, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	David Medeiros, who attempted to expose wasteful practices or seek transparency. Deliberate Obfuscation of Records: Withheld or failed to provide critical financial documents and records in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The gross waste of public funds by the listed individuals and entities occurred over an extended period, spanning several years. Key timeframes include: Ongoing Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds Mismanagement within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program and other Medicaid services has persisted for at least the past five years, with notable escalation from 2020 through 2024 as whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts exposed systemic issues. Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions Retaliation against David Medeiros began following his whistleblower activities in 2022 and has continued through 2024. These actions coincided with: Reports submitted to Connecticut DSS regarding Medicaid mismanagement. Complaints filed with the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) in 2023 and 2024. Failure of Oversight Bodies The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) failed to act on
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? David Medeiros, as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and founder of ABI Resources, uncovered these actions through firsthand involvement, research, and communication with affected populations. The following outlines how these actions were discovered: Direct Involvement in Advocacy and Reporting: As a Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver (ABI Waiver) provider, David observed consistent mismanagement, delays, and non-compliance with Medicaid policies, impacting vulnerable populations reliant on these services. His firsthand interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials revealed systemic failures in the administration of funds, refusal to provide transparency, and retaliatory practices against those raising concerns. Complaints Filed and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Ignored: After filing formal complaints with DSS, CHRO, and other state agencies, David noted repeated refusals to assign case numbers, investigate allegations, or address the reported issues, indicating procedural obstructions and systemic retaliation. Follow-ups with state and federal oversight bodies, including HHS OCR and DOJ, yielded no action, further exposing a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblowers. Testimonies from Affected Populations: Through his work with ABI Resources, David received testimonies from individuals and families, highlighting delayed Medicaid services, discriminatory practices, and inaccessible public forums for those with disabilities. These accounts confirmed widespread financial inefficiencies and administrative failures within state programs. Analysis of FOIA Responses and Obstructions: Requests for Medicaid fund records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) were delayed, denied, or inadequately addressed, further revealing the deliberate suppression of transparency regarding the misuse of federal and state funds. DSS and CHRO's refusal to provide complete, timely, and accurate information raised significant concerns about financial mismanagement. Patterns of Retaliation: Retaliation against David personally—including public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and denial of accommodations—demonstrated a coordinated effort to silence his whistleblower activities. Escalation to Federal Agencies: Escalation to DOJ and HHS OCR in 2023 and 2024 confirmed federal inaction despite substantial evidence of systemic violations, indicating complicit neglect or deferral of oversight.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations of gross waste of funds, mismanagement, and retaliatory practices by Connecticut state agencies and the federal inaction that has allowed these violations to persist: Documented FOIA Non-Compliance and Withheld Records: Numerous FOIA requests filed with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	other agencies remain unanswered, delayed, or improperly denied. Refusal to disclose Medicaid spending records and program oversight audits has raised concerns about the misallocation of funds and deliberate suppression of accountability. The lack of transparency violates FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and creates a strong presumption of financial mismanagement. Patterns of Financial Irregularities in Medicaid Waiver Programs: Analysis of publicly available records and reports from Medicaid beneficiaries highlights delays and denials in services promised under the ABI Waiver Program, indicating misuse or diversion of federal funds. Families and individuals reliant on Medicaid services have reported prolonged processing times and inadequate resource allocation, suggesting systemic inefficiencies. Unaddressed Whistleblower Retaliation: David Medeiros faced public ridicule, exclusion, and retaliation for raising concerns about Medicaid mismanagement. These actions deter further scrutiny of DSS's practices and reinforce a culture of impunity. State agencies have ignored whistleblower protections outlined in the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), further preventing transparency. Testimonies from Affected Individuals: Accounts from Medicaid beneficiaries and families describe delays in essential healthcare services and unexplained denials of claims, which directly contradict the program's intended purpose and funding requirements. Repeated Procedural Obstructions: DSS's refusal to issue detailed responses to formal complaints and case filings obstructs accountability efforts. The CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers prevents investigations into discrimination and non-compliance with ADA standards. Attorney General's Office inaction demonstrates systemic neglect, enabling DSS to continue its harmful practices unchecked. Expert Reports and Audits Ignored: Calls for independent audits of DSS's Medicaid programs have been ignored or dismissed, raising concerns about financial mismanagement and failure to adhere to federal funding regulations. Violation



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of Federal Oversight Obligations: Federal agencies, including HHS OCR and the DOJ, have failed to enforce ADA compliance or investigate allegations of systemic corruption, allowing ongoing violations to harm vulnerable populations. Exploitation of Disability Challenges: David Medeiros, a brain injury survivor, has faced procedural barriers intentionally designed to exploit his cognitive challenges, such as refusal to provide accessible communication formats or simplified processes requ

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Kristen	Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division:	Assistant Attorney General: Kristen Clarke Directly oversees enforcement of civil rights laws, inclu
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Director:	Responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act within federally funded heal
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Office of the Attorney General:	Primary legal officer for Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agencies comply with constitut
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services	Oversees Medicaid administration and implementation of programs



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		(DSS): Commissioner:	such as the ABI Waiver, directly tie
Tanya A. Hughes	Tanya A. Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Executive Director:	Investigates discrimination complaints, including ADA violations, but has shown inaction in your cas
Henry J. Kerner	Henry J. Kerner	U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC): Special Counsel:	Investigates whistleblower retaliation and reports of government wrongdoing.
Julie Su	Julie Su	U.S. Department of Labor (DOL):	Responsible for oversight of workplace ADA compliance and protections for individuals with disabilit
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator:	Responsible for federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including ensuring state compliance with fed
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	Governor of Connecticut:	The executive authority overseeing state agencies, including DSS and CHRO, with the power to order r
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH):	Ensures state compliance with health-related ADA requirements and public health safety.
Gary Winfield	Gary Winfield	Connecticut General Assembly, Judiciary Committee:	Legislative oversight for state agency practices, including transparency, civil rights compliance, a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrés J. Gallegos	Andrés J. Gallegos	National Council on Disability (NCD): Chair:	Federal agency advising the President and Congress on disability policies, including ADA compliance
Alina M. Semo	Alina M. Semo	Office of Government Information Services (OGIS): Director:	Mediates FOIA disputes and ensures transparency in government operations.
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	Investigates misuse of federal funds and systemic inefficiencies in federally funded programs like M
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Leadership: Commissioner
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director	Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO): Leadership: Executive Director
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General	Connecticut Attorney General's Office: Leadership: Attorney General



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division: Leadership: Assistant Attorney General
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR): Leadership: Dire
Ned Lamont	Ned Lamont	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor	State of Connecticut Leadership: Governor
Jeffrey Beckham	Jeffrey Beckham	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Secretary	Oversees budget and funding allocation, including Medicaid funds. Negligence or failure to ensure pr
Dr. Manisha Juthani	Dr. Manisha Juthani	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner	Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Commissioner
Colleen M. Murphy	Colleen M. Murphy	Executive Director and General Counsel	Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney	Martin M. Looney	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA): Senate President Pro Tempore	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Matthew Ritter	Matthew Ritter	House Speaker	Responsible for legislative oversight of state agencies like DSS and CHRO. Inaction or failure to in
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Administrator
Charlotte Burrows	Charlotte Burrows	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC):	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC): Chair
Henry Kerner	Henry Kerner	Office of Special Counsel (OSC):	ederal oversight for whistleblower complaints. If whistleblower disclosures were ignored or mishandl
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General	U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO): Comptroller General
Christi A. Grimm	Christi A. Grimm	Office of Inspector General (OIG), Department of Health and Human Services:	OIG is tasked with investigating fraud, waste, and abuse in federally funded programs like Medicaid.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Inspector General	
Deidre S. Gifford	Deidre S. Gifford	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Oversaw the agency's discriminatory practices, denial of ADA accommodations, and retaliatory actions
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Failed to ensure the investigation of complaints, neglected to assign case numbers, and ignored stat
William Tong	William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General	Ignored evidence of systemic failures and violations, failing to intervene or hold DSS and CHRO acco
Merrick B. Garland	Merrick B. Garland	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)	U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Neglected oversight responsibilities related to ADA compliance within Medicaid-funded programs.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Role: Allowed systemic Medicaid mismanagement and misuse of federal funds without intervention.
Lisa J. Pino	Lisa J. Pino	Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS	Failed to enforce ADA compliance and investigate systemic discrimination and retaliatory practices w



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD	Manisha Juthani, MD	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)	Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA com
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Andrea	Barton Reeves	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong	William Tong	Attorney General, State of Connecticut	Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints
Tanya Hughes	Tanya Hughes	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke	Kristen Clarke	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
Robyn M. Colosimo	Robyn M. Colosimo	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator for Connecticut	advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.
Rosa DeLauro	Rosa DeLauro	U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District	Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.
Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS
Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS	Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS
Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO	Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		General, Connecticut	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division	Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division
Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut	Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut
Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):	Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):
Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):	Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Martin M. Looney – Senate President	Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.
Rob Kane	Rob Kane	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors	Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.
Sean Scanlon	Sean Scanlon	State Comptroller	Monitors DSS Medicaid-related expenditures and financial reporting.
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS
Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS	Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.
Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States	Comptroller General of the United States	Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.
Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.
Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)	Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Request for Federal Representation and Protection to Restore Constitutional Rights
To the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC):

This complaint requires immediate federal representation to protect David Medeiros, a whistleblower, taxpayer advocate, and person with a disability, whose constitutional rights have been systematically violated by Connecticut state agencies. The federal government must act decisively to ensure that David's rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as federal statutes like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Whistleblower Protection Act, are restored and protected.

Specific Actions Requested for Representation and Protection

Immediate Federal Representation

Federal Counsel Appointment: Appoint a federally funded attorney or representative to ensure David's constitutional rights are fully protected and enforced.

Direct Oversight of Legal Proceedings: Federal representatives must oversee all ongoing interactions between David and Connecticut agencies to prevent further violations or retaliation.

Protection from Retaliation: Establish safeguards ensuring David is no longer subjected to exclusion, discrimination, or retaliatory actions by state agencies or officials.

Restoration of Constitutional Rights

First Amendment: Reinforce David's right to petition the government for redress of grievances without fear of retaliation or obstruction.

Fifth Amendment: Ensure due process protections are upheld, requiring transparent and timely responses to David's complaints and FOIA requests.

Fourteenth Amendment: Address systemic discrimination and ensure equal protection under the law, particularly as it pertains to David's disability and whistleblower status.

Mandate ADA Compliance and Accessibility

Guarantee Accommodations: Require Connecticut agencies to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified procedures, tailored to David's cognitive needs as a traumatic brain injury survivor.

Independent Audit of ADA Compliance: Conduct a federal audit of ADA adherence within Connecticut's federally funded programs, including DSS's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Federal Oversight to Enforce Accountability

Assign a Federal Monitor: Appoint an independent federal monitor to oversee the actions of Connecticut agencies, ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.

Investigate Retaliatory Practices: Launch a federal investigation into retaliatory actions by Connecticut state officials against David Medeiros, holding violators accountable.

Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

Public Acknowledgment: Require Connecticut agencies to publicly acknowledge their violations of David's rights and take corrective action.

Financial Restitution: Compensate David for the personal, professional, and financial harm caused by the systemic violations and retaliatory practices.

Reinstatement of Advocacy Rights: Ensure David's ability to advocate for vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, is fully restored without obstruction or exclusion.

Set a National Precedent

Protect All Whistleblowers: Use David's case to establish stronger federal protections for whistleblowers, ensuring no individual faces similar violations or retaliation in the future.

Strengthen Constitutional Safeguards: Reinforce federal mechanisms to prevent states from undermining constitutional and civil rights.

Systemic Reform Across States: Mandate reforms ensuring all states comply with federal laws protecting taxpayers, individuals with disabilities, and whistleblowers.

Conclusion

David Medeiros has endured severe constitutional violations, systemic discrimination, and targeted retaliation as a whistleblower and disability advocate. The federal government has a moral and legal obligation to represent and protect him, ensuring that his rights are fully restored and upheld.

By providing federal representation and enforcing constitutional and statutory protections, OSC can not only deliver justice for David but also set an enduring example for safeguarding the rights of all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 .PDF
10.24.2024 DOJ complaint Submission complete.pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 (1).PDF
October 30, 2024 - David Medeiros VS Connecticut Department of Social Services DSS CT gov.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

CHRO CASE DSS 24 4 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 3 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 2 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
CHRO CASE DSS 24 Filed Complaint Against Connecticut DSS Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-112620241121.pdf
requests (9).csv
requests (8).csv
requests (7).csv
11.27.2024 Escalated Complaint FOIA Non-Compliance ADA Violations Constitutional Violations and Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
11.27.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
11.23.2024 Binder1.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf
FOIA .zip
01.04.2024 CHRO AUDITS Binder2.pdf
000_2024-10-29 17_24_21.003990+00_00_comm.txt
01.01.2024 2nd FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request.pdf
Medeiros Response.pdf
Governor Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
12.14.2023 Dear Matthew S. Antonetti CT DSS .pdf
01.02.2024 COMPLAINT LETTER.docx
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
10.22.2024 10.39 am CHRO Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
2410220 CP Email with Documents 2.4.24.msg
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Release of Jurisdiction.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
2410220 Answer Part 1 of 2.pdf
2410220 Affidavit.pdf
12.23.2023 CHRO COMPLAINT .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
01.26.2024 Binder1.pdf
dss foia ghewtfrg Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
ABI Forum 2024.pdf
12.23.2023 Governor Ned Lamont. David Medeiros ABI Resources Call for Systemic Reform in Response to ADA Accommodation Failures and Disability Discrimination Whistleblower Retaliation Compliance CT DSS COU CHRO .pdf
11.27.2024 ALL MUCKROCK TO DATE Binder1 .pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/28/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Street Address: 165 Capitol Avenue

City: Hartford

State: CT

Zip Code: 06106

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	Key Individuals and Their Roles in Violations Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Responsibilities: Oversee DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and ensure compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements. Violations: DSS failed to respond to FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Ignored explicit requests for ADA accommodations, including simplified communication formats, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12131 (ADA Title II). Excluded me from accessing critical Medicaid program information, violating Fifth Amendment due process and Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Responsibilities: Ensure legal compliance across state agencies, uphold transparency laws, and enforce



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accountability mechanisms. Violations: As head of the Attorney General's Office, William Tong is responsible for the refusal to acknowledge or process FOIA requests. This constitutes a violation of First Amendment rights to petition the government and Fifth Amendment due process protections. Enabled systemic obstruction of transparency, potentially covering mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs, violating federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Responsibilities: Oversee CMS operations in Connecticut and ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations. Violations: Failed to enforce federal oversight of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite systemic non-compliance with transparency and accountability mandates. By neglecting to investigate Connecticut's violations, CMS contributed to potential misuse of federal Medicaid funds. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsibilities: Oversee national Medicaid programs and ensure state compliance with federal funding conditions. Violations: Failed to address systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Oversight failures under her leadership have enabled potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General, Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Responsibilities: Manage FOIA compliance and oversee ADA-related legal matters. Violations: Operationally responsible for ensuring FOIA compliance; her failure to act perpetuated violations of 5 U.S.C. § 552. Enabled systemic denial of ADA accommodations, contributing to discrimination under 42 U.S.C. § 12131. Governor Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Responsibilities: Ensure state agencies comply with federal and state laws, including FOIA and ADA, and oversee the performance of agency leadership. Vi



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations began with my initial FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and are ongoing. Despite explicit statutory obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, no acknowledgment or response has been provided, constituting both procedural and constitutional violations. Key Dates and Events October 25, 2024: Submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. The request included specific ADA accommodation requests, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). October 31, 2024: Sent the first follow-up communication to request acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and an estimated timeline for compliance. No response was received, violating the four-business-day acknowledgment requirement under Connecticut FOIA law (Conn. Gen
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the violations through my direct involvement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery occurred as follows: FOIA Request Submission and Lack of Response: On October 25, 2024, I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. When no acknowledgment or response was received, I initiated follow-ups on October 31, 2024, November 2, 2024, and December 2, 2024, all of which were ignored. The failure to respond within the statutory timeframe alerted me to systemic non-compliance with 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored: I included explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations to address my cognitive challenges as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, such as simplified communication formats and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessible records. The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodations violated the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and highlighted a pattern of exclusion and discrimination. Ongoing Exclusion from Public Processes: I was excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being a stakeholder directly impacted by these programs. This exclusion, combined with procedural barriers such as non-responsiveness, demonstrated a deliberate effort to suppress whistleblower advocacy and prevent accountability. Research into Oversight Failures: In investigating the lack of response, I discovered broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), including failures to uphold transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations. Corroborating Evidence: The lack of records, unexplained delays, and continued procedural barriers confirm systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight, prompting me to escalate the matter to federal authorities.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting My Allegation The following facts and evidence substantiate my claims of systemic violations, including FOIA non-compliance, ADA discrimination, and gross mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs: 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Specific Requests Ignored: I submitted a FOIA request on October 25, 2024, seeking transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Despite clear statutory requirements for acknowledgment and prompt responses, neither the Connecticut Attorney General's Office nor DSS provided any communication or documentation. Pattern of Obstruction: The lack of acknowledgment and response demonstrates not only procedural failures but a systemic effort to obstruct



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	public access to federally funded program information, raising concerns of fraud or mismanagement. 2. ADA Violations Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: I explicitly requested reasonable ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including simplified communication formats and accessible records. These requests were ignored, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). Exclusion from Public Processes: I was systematically excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, preventing me from participating meaningfully in decisions that directly impact my well-being and advocacy efforts. This exclusion constitutes discrimination under the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause and ADA mandates. 3. Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy Procedural Barriers: The lack of response to my FOIA and ADA requests, coupled with my exclusion from Medicaid oversight processes, is indicative of retaliation aimed at suppressing my advocacy and whistleblower efforts. Public ridicule and procedural barriers imposed by DSS and CHRO further demonstrate a systemic effort to undermine my ability to hold agencies accountable. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds Lack of Transparency in ABI Waiver Program: Refusal to provide records raises concerns about mismanagement, waste, or fraud in the administration of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. These failures jeopardize federal compliance with Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a) and undermine the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs. No Accountability Measures: DSS has not conducted or disclosed audits, enforcement actions, or disciplinary measures against providers in the ABI Waiver Program, further suggesting systemic neglect. 5. Documentary Evidence FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request (submitted October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	provide evidence of my efforts to seek transparency. These records show clear violations of statutory
Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Here is a detailed breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each individual listed, highlighting their role in the violations: 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS Actions Taken: Ignored FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and subsequent follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, violating statutory obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Failed to ensure ADA compliance by denying reasonable accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). Excluded me from public forums related to Medicaid oversight, violating my constitutional Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Actions Taken: Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within his office, allowing requests to go unanswered, violating the First Amendment right to petition and Fifth Amendment due process rights. Oversaw systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, withholding critical records about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Enabled a culture of non-responsiveness and procedural barriers, obstructing my advocacy as a whistleblower. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Position in Chain of Command: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states Actions Taken: Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected to investigate systemic violations of Medicaid compliance, allowing potential misuse of federal funds. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(CMS) Position in Chain of Command: National head of CMS Actions Taken: Failed to address systemic Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut, allowing violations to persist without accountability. Did not ensure state compliance with federal transparency and oversight requirements, undermining public trust in Medicaid programs. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong Actions Taken: Failed to operationalize FOIA compliance or ensure ADA accommodations were provided under the Attorney General's Office. Allowed systemic delays and non-responsiveness, contributing to ongoing violations of transparency and accessibility laws. 6. Governor Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut Actions Taken: Failed to intervene or hold DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office accountable for systemic violations. Allowed continued non-compliance with federal and state laws, including FOIA, ADA, and constitut
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations began with my initial FOIA request on October 25, 2024, and remain ongoing. Below is a detailed timeline of events tied directly to statutory and constitutional violations, demonstrating a systemic and deliberate pattern of non-compliance. Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). Violations: The Attorney General's Office failed to acknowledge the FOIA request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Ignoring ADA accommodations violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). October 31, 2024: I submitted a follow-up communicati
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency, accountability, and accessibility within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery unfolded as follows: Submission of FOIA Requests: On October 25, 2024, I submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking transparency into the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. When the statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response passed without action, I initiated follow-up communications on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024. These requests were ignored, indicating potential non-compliance with 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included simplified communication formats and accessible records. The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodation requests alerted me to systemic non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). Repeated Exclusion from Public Processes: My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being directly affected by the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, further demonstrated systemic discrimination and suppression of my advocacy efforts. These barriers highlighted ongoing violations of my First Amendment right to petition the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights, and Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights. Research into Broader Failures: As I investigated the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I identified broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO). This included evidence of widespread non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers: The continued lack of transparency, repeated procedural barriers, and exclusionary practices pointed to systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of taxpayer funds. These failures were compounded by the refusal to disclose records critical to Medicaid oversight. Conclusion I discovered these actions through direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate, uncovering systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations. My efforts to seek transparency and accountability revealed deliberate obstruction, exclusionary practices, and potential fraud or waste of federally allocated Medicaid funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, constitutional rights, Medicaid oversight requirements, and taxpayer protections: 1. FOIA Violations Unanswered Requests: I submitted a FOIA request on October 25, 2024, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, followed by additional communications on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024. None of these were acknowledged or processed, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. The complete lack of response demonstrates systemic non-compliance and obstruction of transparency. Deliberate Obstruction: The agencies' inaction suggests intentional efforts to suppress information related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, hindering public oversight of federally funded programs. 2. ADA Violations Ignored Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formats and accessible records. The agencies failed to provide accommodations, violating 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination based on disability. Exclusion from Public Processes: I was systematically excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, further marginalizing my voice as a whistleblower and disability advocate. This exclusion violates the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause and ADA protections. 3. Whistleblower Retaliation Procedural Barriers: After raising concerns about systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, I encountered procedural barriers, including delayed responses, public ridicule, and outright exclusion. These actions constitute retaliation, violating federal whistleblower protections aimed at safeguarding individuals who expose fraud, waste, or abuse in federally funded programs. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds Lack of Transparency: Refusal to disclose records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Transparency in Medicaid program administration is mandated under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(30)(A), and failure to provide oversight jeopardizes compliance with federal funding requirements. Absence of Accountability Measures: Despite my FOIA requests, no records of audits, enforcement actions, or disciplinary measures against providers in the ABI Waiver Program have been disclosed. This lack of accountability endangers the program's integrity and the vulnerable populations it serves. 5. Documentary Evidence FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) provide a clear timeline of agency non-compliance. These records show repeated viol
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Here's a detailed, bulletproof breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each key individual that resulted in violations of FOIA,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ADA, constitutional rights, and federal Medicaid regulations. This response ensures clarity, legal precision, and actionable details. 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS Actions Taken: Ignored FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Denied ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). Allowed systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes, violating the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. Failed to conduct or disclose audits and accountability measures for Medicaid ABI Waiver Program providers, raising concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Actions Taken: Refused to acknowledge or process FOIA requests submitted to his office, suppressing transparency and violating the First Amendment's right to petition and Fifth Amendment due process rights. Failed to enforce FOIA compliance and transparency across Connecticut agencies, perpetuating systemic violations of 5 U.S.C. § 552. Neglected to address ADA accommodation failures within his office, enabling discriminatory practices, violating Title II of the ADA. Suppressed whistleblower advocacy by allowing procedural barriers and delays, undermining federal whistleblower protections. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Position in Chain of Command: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states Actions Taken: Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite clear indications of systemic non-compliance,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected federal responsibilities to investigate and address mismanagement in Medicaid programs, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position in Chain of Command: National Administrator of CMS Actions Taken: Allowed systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to persist, failing to enforce federal oversight responsibilities under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected to ensure state compliance with transparency, accountability, and effective program administration, jeopardizing public trust and Medicaid beneficiaries. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General Position in Chain of Command
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The Attorney General's Office and DSS failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the violation. October 31, 2024: I sent the first follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements. Both agencies failed to respond, violating statutory FOIA deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and state law. November 2, 2024: A second follow-up was submitted, emphasizing the urgency of my request for transparency and the legal obligation to accommodate my disability
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability in Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Below is a detailed



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	explanation of how I identified the systemic failures: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate the program's oversight and compliance with federal regulations. Despite statutory deadlines requiring acknowledgment within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210) and response within 20 business days (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)), no response or acknowledgment was received. 2. Repeated Follow-Ups and Continued Non-Response Dates: October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 I sent follow-up communications to the Attorney General's Office and the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting the lack of acknowledgment and requesting updates. Each follow-up was ignored, which revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate non-compliance with transparency obligations. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations Along with my FOIA requests, I explicitly requested reasonable ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including: Simplified communication formats. Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies. The agencies' failure to acknowledge or address these requests revealed violations of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160, further excluding me from public processes. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid program oversight—despite being a direct stakeholder—underscored systemic barriers and discriminatory practices. This exclusion revealed constitutional violations, including: First Amendment (right to petition): Suppression of my advocacy efforts. Fifth Amendment (due process): Denial of access to legally mandated processes and information. Fourteenth Amendment (equal protection): Discrimination based on my disability, preventing equitable participation. 5. Broader Investigation and Analysis As I analyzed the lack of response, I uncovered



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records or disclosures related to Medicaid ABI Waiver Program audits, provider complaints, or enforcement actions. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of accountability measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. 6. Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers Procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness, exclusionary practices, and delays, confirmed deliberate obstruction and systemic failures across the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other agencies. These actions directly impeded my ability to advocate
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Here is a comprehensive response with specific supporting facts tied to the allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections: 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Unanswered FOIA Requests: I submitted a FOIA request on October 25, 2024, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, with follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024. None of these communications were acknowledged or answered, violating statutory requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. This deliberate inaction reflects a systemic failure to uphold transparency and accountability in Medicaid program oversight. Pattern of Obstruction: The lack of acknowledgment, communication, or documentation in response to lawful FOIA requests demonstrates intentional suppression of public information about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. 2. ADA Violations Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records. The refusal to address these accommodation requests constitutes a violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. §



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	35.130, § 35.160), further excluding me from participating in government processes. Exclusionary Practices: My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight underscores systemic discrimination and deliberate marginalization of individuals with disabilities, violating the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment Violations: By obstructing FOIA requests and suppressing my ability to advocate as a whistleblower, the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and DSS infringed upon my First Amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances. Fifth Amendment Violations: The refusal to provide access to legally mandated public records and processes denied my due process rights, as guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment. Fourteenth Amendment Violations: The systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes constitutes discrimination, violating my Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection under the law. 4. Whistleblower Retaliation Suppression of Advocacy: Procedural barriers, non-responsiveness, and public exclusion demonstrate retaliation against my efforts to expose systemic failures in Medicaid oversight. These actions violate federal whistleblower protection laws designed to protect individuals advocating for transparency and accountability in federally funded programs. 5. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider oversight, or enforcement actions. This absence of accountability rai
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	Gross Waste of Funds Allegation This allegation focuses on the mismanagement or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds, emphasizing systemic failures to ensure transparency and accountability. Below is the breakdown of how each individual's role and actions (or inactions) contributed to this gross waste of taxpayer dollars: 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Oversees DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the ABI Waiver Program. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Failed to provide transparency into Medicaid ABI Waiver Program administration, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected to ensure oversight of providers, including audits, accountability measures, and responses to complaints, increasing the risk of fraud, waste, and abuse. Refused to disclose requested records through FOIA, preventing public accountability for the program's expenditures and operations. Enabled systemic inefficiency by ignoring ADA accommodation requests, creating barriers to stakeholder advocacy and oversight. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Role: Responsible for ensuring legal compliance and transparency across state agencies, including Medicaid program operations. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Oversaw the obstruction of FOIA requests, suppressing public access to information necessary for holding DSS and Medicaid programs accountable. Failed to enforce transparency and accountability laws, enabling potential misuse of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed systemic non-compliance, risking financial mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Role: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states, ensuring compliance with federal Medicaid regulations. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Failed to investigate systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite ongoing non-compliance and lack of transparency. Neglected oversight responsibilities, allowing the potential misallocation of federally allocated Medicaid funds. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: National Administrator of Medicaid programs. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Allowed systemic issues in Medicaid program oversight in Connecticut to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	persist without intervention. Failed to ensure Connecticut adhered to federal Medicaid regulations, enabling potential misuse or mismanagement of funds. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General Role: Second-in-command to the Connecticut Attorney General, responsible for operational oversight of transparency laws. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within the Attorney General's Office, contributing to a lack of transparency in Medicaid program expenditures. Enabled procedural barriers
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The violations began on October 25, 2024, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of key events: Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the first violation. October 31, 2024: I sent the first follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements. N
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed account of how I uncovered these systemic issues: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. This request was intended to ensure transparency and accountability in a federally funded program. The agency's failure to meet statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response alerted me to a potential systemic issue with transparency and FOIA compliance. 2. Follow-Up Communications Dates: October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting their failure to acknowledge or respond to my request. The consistent lack of response, combined with procedural delays, suggested deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations I included requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The agencies ignored these requests, which revealed systemic non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). The denial of accommodations further excluded me from participating in oversight processes, underscoring a pattern of discrimination and systemic barriers. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight. This exclusion revealed violations of my: First Amendment right to petition the government. Fifth Amendment due process rights, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes. Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection, as a person with disabilities. 5. Broader Investigations and Findings As I sought to understand the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records on Medicaid



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits. Potential Mismanagement: Absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources. 6. Corroborating Evidence FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of agency non-compliance. ADA Accommodation Requests: Documented requests for accommodation
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts highlight a pattern of non-compliance, gross waste of funds, and deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes. 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Ignored FOIA Requests: My initial FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). Both agencies violated statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, failing to acknowledge or respond to legally submitted requests. Pattern of Obstruction: The refusal to disclose public records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program reveals systemic suppression of transparency, violating federal and state laws designed to ensure public accountability. 2. ADA Violations Denied Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit requests for reasonable accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records, as mandated under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). The agencies failed to provide these accommodations, violating 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and equitable access. Exclusionary Practices: By ignoring ADA accommodation requests,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	DSS and the Attorney General's Office excluded me from participating in Medicaid program oversight processes. This exclusion violates the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause and perpetuates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment (Right to Petition): FOIA non-compliance obstructed my ability to petition the government for redress of grievances, violating my constitutional right to advocate for transparency and accountability. Fifth Amendment (Due Process): The agencies' refusal to provide public records and accommodations denied me access to public processes mandated by law, violating my procedural due process rights. Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection): Systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes due to my disability constitutes discrimination, violating my equal protection rights under the law. 4. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider accountability, or enforcement actions. This absence of oversight violates 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which requires Medicaid programs to operate transparently and effectively. Potential Mismanagement: The lack of disclosure regarding complaints, violations, or corrective actions against Medicaid providers raises concerns about
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	Danger to Public Health or Safety The lack of transparency, accountability, and oversight in Connecticut's Medicaid programs, specifically the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, poses a significant danger to public health and safety. Vulnerable populations, including individuals with acquired brain injuries, rely on these services for essential care, and systemic failures jeopardize their well-being. Below is a breakdown of how each individual contributed to this danger: 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Oversees DSS operations, including the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Actions Contributing to Danger: Lack of Oversight: Failed to ensure transparency in program operations, including audits, complaints, and enforcement actions, increasing risks of substandard care. FOIA Non-Compliance: Refused to disclose information critical to evaluating the program's effectiveness and safety, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Without accountability, Medicaid beneficiaries, including those with acquired brain injuries, face risks of neglect, mistreatment, or inadequate care. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Role: Responsible for ensuring legal compliance across state agencies. Actions Contributing to Danger: FOIA Obstruction: Suppressed transparency efforts by ignoring FOIA requests, obstructing access to vital Medicaid oversight information. Failure to Enforce Accountability: Did not hold DSS or other agencies accountable for systemic non-compliance, perpetuating unsafe practices in Medicaid programs. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Role: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and other New England states. Actions Contributing to Danger: Lack of Federal Oversight: Neglected to investigate or address systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite its federally funded nature. Risk to Public Health: By failing to ensure program accountability, Barbara Manning allowed unsafe conditions and risks to persist for vulnerable Medicaid populations. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: National Administrator of Medicaid programs. Actions Contributing to Danger: Failure to Intervene: Did not address ongoing issues in Connecticut's Medicaid program, allowing risks to public health and safety to escalate. Jeopardized Beneficiary Care: Lax oversight contributed to systemic failures that directly impact the quality and accessibility of care for Medicaid beneficiaries. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Attorney General Role: Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong. Actions Contributing to Danger: Neglected Legal Oversight: Failed to enforce transparency and ADA compliance, preventing stakeholders from ensuring the safety and efficacy of Medicaid programs. 6. Governor Ned Lamont Title: Go
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The violations began on October 25, 2024, with my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events that illustrate the systemic and ongoing nature of these violations: Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request also included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the first violation. October 31, 2024: I submitted a follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA submissi
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight and compliance with federal regulations. The agency failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	deadline under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, which was my first indication of potential systemic transparency issues. 2. Follow-Up Communications Dates: October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). The consistent lack of response and failure to meet statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) revealed procedural barriers and deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including simplified communication formats and accessible records. The agencies' failure to address or fulfill these accommodations exposed systemic non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160. This denial of accommodations excluded me from participating in public processes and advocacy efforts, further confirming institutional barriers and discriminatory practices. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid oversight. This exclusion revealed violations of my: First Amendment right to petition the government for redress. Fifth Amendment due process rights, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes. Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection, as a person with disabilities. 5. Broader Investigation and Findings As I sought to understand the lack of response and accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records on Medicaid program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources. 6. Corroborating Evidence



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of non-compliance. ADA Accommodation Requests: Documented requests for accommodations highligh
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid oversight regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts illustrate a pattern of non-compliance, mismanagement, and deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes. 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Ignored FOIA Requests: My FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). Both agencies failed to meet statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, reflecting a deliberate pattern of suppression of public information. Systemic Suppression of Transparency: The lack of acknowledgment or response to lawful FOIA requests demonstrates systemic efforts to obstruct access to critical records regarding the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. 2. ADA Violations Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records. The agencies failed to acknowledge or fulfill these requests, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160). Exclusionary Practices: By denying ADA accommodations, the agencies excluded me from participating in oversight processes related to Medicaid programs. This constitutes discrimination and violates the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment (Right to Petition): FOIA non-compliance obstructed my ability to petition the government for redress of grievances,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violating my constitutional right to advocate for transparency and accountability. Fifth Amendment (Due Process): The agencies' refusal to provide access to public records and ADA accommodations denied me access to processes required by law, violating my procedural due process rights. Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection): Systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes constitutes discrimination, violating my right to equal protection as an individual with disabilities. 4. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency in Program Operations: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider accountability, or enforcement actions. This lack of oversight violates federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which require states to operate Medicaid programs transparently and efficiently. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of records about complaints, provider violations, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources. 5. Whistleblower Retaliation Suppress
Allegation	Danger to Public Safety
What action did they take?	Constitutional Rights Violations Contributing to Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight within Connecticut's Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver Program, violate multiple constitutional rights and significantly endanger public safety. Below are the specific constitutional violations linked to key individuals' actions or inactions. 1. First Amendment Violations Right to Petition the Government: What Happened: FOIA non-compliance obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances. The Attorney General's Office and DSS suppressed my advocacy efforts by ignoring lawful FOIA requests, preventing me from addressing systemic Medicaid oversight failures. Constitutional Violation: Suppressing my ability to request information and advocate for transparency



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violates the First Amendment. 2. Fifth Amendment Violations Right to Due Process: What Happened: Denied access to public records mandated by FOIA and state law. Ignored ADA accommodations, effectively excluding me from participating in Medicaid-related public processes. Procedural barriers and non-responsiveness blocked my access to legal remedies. Constitutional Violation: These actions violate the Fifth Amendment, which guarantees procedural due process rights when engaging with government processes. 3. Fourteenth Amendment Violations Equal Protection Under the Law: What Happened: Denial of ADA accommodations excluded me, as a person with a disability, from critical forums and decision-making processes concerning Medicaid oversight. Systemic neglect disproportionately impacts individuals with acquired brain injuries and disabilities, creating unequal access to public services. Constitutional Violation: This exclusion constitutes discrimination, violating the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. Due Process (State-Level Applications): What Happened: Lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs directly affects beneficiaries' ability to access safe, effective care. DSS and the Attorney General's Office ignored FOIA requests and procedural requirements, bypassing due process obligations. Constitutional Violation: These actions also violate the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause, which requires fairness in government actions impacting individuals' rights. Specific Violations Linked to Danger to Public Safety Denial of Transparency (First and Fifth Amendments): FOIA violations obstruct public accountability, preventing timely identification of safety risks in Medicaid programs, which jeopardizes beneficiaries' lives and well-being. Exclusion of Stakeholders (Fourteenth Amendment): The exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes weakens program accountability and oversight, increasing risks of harm to vulnerable populations. Suppression of Advocacy (First Amendment): Retaliatory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	procedural barriers discourage whistleblower advocacy, allowing dangerous conditions
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The violations began on October 25, 2024, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events, demonstrating systemic and ongoing failures. Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, as required under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge this request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the first violation. October 31, 2024: I sent a follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight, compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, and effectiveness in serving vulnerable populations. The lack of acknowledgment or response to this request, required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210 and 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), indicated potential transparency failures and non-compliance with statutory obligations. 2. Follow-Up Communications Dates:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent multiple follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). The continued lack of response revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate suppression of transparency, as required under state and federal FOIA laws. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included: Simplified communication formats. Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies. The agencies' failure to fulfill these reasonable accommodation requests demonstrated non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160). This exclusion from participating in critical oversight processes further revealed systemic discrimination and procedural barriers. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums, discussions, and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight. This exclusion revealed violations of my: First Amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances. Fifth Amendment due process rights, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes. Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection, as a person with disabilities. 5. Broader Investigation and Findings Through my advocacy efforts, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records, audits, or enforcement actions exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's operations or accountability mechanisms. Potential Mismanagement: Absence of records about complaints, violations, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources. Barriers to Accountability: Systemic delays and exclusionary practices obst



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts highlight systemic non-compliance, potential mismanagement, and a deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes. 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Ignored FOIA Requests: My FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). Both agencies failed to meet statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, reflecting deliberate non-compliance with federal and state transparency laws. Systemic Suppression of Transparency: The refusal to disclose public records regarding the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program prevents public accountability, perpetuating risks to vulnerable populations. 2. ADA Violations Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records compatible with assistive technologies. The agencies failed to acknowledge or fulfill these requests, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160. Exclusionary Practices: By denying ADA accommodations, the agencies excluded me from participating in Medicaid program oversight. This exclusion violates the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause and perpetuates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment Violations: FOIA non-compliance obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances, violating the First Amendment. Fifth Amendment Violations: The agencies' refusal to provide access to public records and accommodations denied me procedural due process,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violating the Fifth Amendment. Fourteenth Amendment Violations: Excluding individuals with disabilities from public processes constitutes discrimination and violates the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause. 4. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency in Program Operations: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's provider accountability, complaint investigations, or enforcement actions. The lack of transparency violates 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which requires states to operate Medicaid programs transparently and effectively. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of publicly disclosed records about provider violations, complaints, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources. 5. Whistleblower Retaliation Procedural Barriers: The agencies imposed procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness and e

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Attorney General William Tong	Attorney General William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General William Tong
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves: Appointed as Commissioner of DSS in early 2023, she oversees the department's
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill	Eileen Meskill	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.
Barbara Manning	Barbara Manning	CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations
Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor
Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare &	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Medicaid Services (CMS)	
Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman	Matthew Brokman	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor
Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Document attached Request for Federal Representation

The Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and other relevant federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), must represent both myself as a whistleblower and the broader public in addressing these systemic violations. These actions should include:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

1. Representing Whistleblower Rights

Protect My Advocacy Efforts:

Enforce whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act to safeguard my rights and ensure that my efforts to expose systemic failures are not met with retaliation.

Act as a federal intermediary to hold state agencies accountable for obstructing transparency and ADA compliance.

Provide Legal and Procedural Representation:

Advocate for my access to records, ADA accommodations, and participation in oversight processes as required under FOIA, ADA, and constitutional rights provisions.

Assist in ensuring that state agencies, including DSS and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, comply with federal laws and policies.

2. Representing the Broader Public Interest

Ensure Accountability for Medicaid Mismanagement:

Represent the interests of taxpayers and Medicaid beneficiaries by investigating systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of federal funds under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

Address gross waste of federal and state resources allocated to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, ensuring that these funds serve their intended purpose of supporting vulnerable populations.

Protect Public Safety:

Act on behalf of individuals who rely on Medicaid services, ensuring program integrity and preventing harm to public health and safety caused by lack of oversight, transparency, or accountability.

Address the exclusion of stakeholders with disabilities from decision-making processes, which creates inequitable systems that endanger lives and erode public trust.

3. Federal Oversight of State Agencies

Hold State Agencies Accountable:

Represent federal authority in addressing Connecticut's systemic failures, ensuring that state agencies comply with federal laws, including FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid oversight regulations.

Require the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Connecticut Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General's Office, and CHRO to implement federal-mandated corrective actions.

Demand Systemic Reforms:

Advocate for the establishment of independent oversight bodies to monitor ADA compliance, Medicaid program transparency, and whistleblower protections in Connecticut.

4. Advocating for Constitutional Protections

First Amendment:

Ensure my right to petition the government for redress of grievances is fully protected by holding state agencies accountable for suppressing FOIA requests and obstructing advocacy efforts.

Fifth Amendment:

Guarantee procedural due process in accessing public records, participating in Medicaid oversight, and ensuring equitable treatment under the law.

Fourteenth Amendment:

Demand equal protection for individuals with disabilities who are excluded from public forums, decision-making processes, and oversight roles due to systemic ADA violations.

5. Supporting Restorative Justice

Advocate for Compensation and Acknowledgment:

Request compensation for the harm caused to myself and others affected by these systemic violations, including delays, barriers to advocacy, and exclusion from public processes.

Seek a public acknowledgment of failures by Connecticut state agencies to ensure accountability and transparency for the public.

Mandate Public Reforms:

Represent the public interest by ensuring systemic reforms that guarantee transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal laws in all future Medicaid operations.

Conclusion

This request explicitly asks the federal government, through OSC and related agencies, to act on behalf of both myself as a whistleblower and the broader public interest, ensuring transparency, equity, and accountability in federally funded programs. The federal government's role is essential to restoring trust in public institutions and protecting the rights of whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
State and Federal FOIA Request for Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program Provider Registry _ Connecticut (Office of the Attorney General - Connecticut) (2).zip
599875 download.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
Request for Federal Action Constitutional Rights ADA Non-Compliance Whistleblower Retaliation and Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid FundsFederal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 12.02.2024 .pdf
State and Federal FOIA Request for Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program Provider Registry _ Connecticut (Office of the Attorney General - Connecticut) (2).zip

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/2/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Street Address: 165 Capitol Avenue

City: Hartford

State: CT

Zip Code: 06106

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	Key Individuals and Their Roles in Violations Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Responsibilities: Oversee DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and ensure compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements. Violations: DSS failed to respond to FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Ignored explicit requests for ADA accommodations, including simplified communication formats, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12131 (ADA Title II). Excluded me from accessing critical Medicaid program information, violating Fifth Amendment due process and Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Responsibilities: Ensure legal compliance across state agencies, uphold transparency laws, and enforce



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accountability mechanisms. Violations: As head of the Attorney General's Office, William Tong is responsible for the refusal to acknowledge or process FOIA requests. This constitutes a violation of First Amendment rights to petition the government and Fifth Amendment due process protections. Enabled systemic obstruction of transparency, potentially covering mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs, violating federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Responsibilities: Oversee CMS operations in Connecticut and ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations. Violations: Failed to enforce federal oversight of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite systemic non-compliance with transparency and accountability mandates. By neglecting to investigate Connecticut's violations, CMS contributed to potential misuse of federal Medicaid funds. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsibilities: Oversee national Medicaid programs and ensure state compliance with federal funding conditions. Violations: Failed to address systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Oversight failures under her leadership have enabled potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General, Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Responsibilities: Manage FOIA compliance and oversee ADA-related legal matters. Violations: Operationally responsible for ensuring FOIA compliance; her failure to act perpetuated violations of 5 U.S.C. § 552. Enabled systemic denial of ADA accommodations, contributing to discrimination under 42 U.S.C. § 12131. Governor Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Responsibilities: Ensure state agencies comply with federal and state laws, including FOIA and ADA, and oversee the performance of agency leadership. Vi



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations began with my initial FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and are ongoing. Despite explicit statutory obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, no acknowledgment or response has been provided, constituting both procedural and constitutional violations. Key Dates and Events October 25, 2024: Submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. The request included specific ADA accommodation requests, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). October 31, 2024: Sent the first follow-up communication to request acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and an estimated timeline for compliance. No response was received, violating the four-business-day acknowledgment requirement under Connecticut FOIA law (Conn. Gen
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the violations through my direct involvement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery occurred as follows: FOIA Request Submission and Lack of Response: On October 25, 2024, I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. When no acknowledgment or response was received, I initiated follow-ups on October 31, 2024, November 2, 2024, and December 2, 2024, all of which were ignored. The failure to respond within the statutory timeframe alerted me to systemic non-compliance with 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored: I included explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations to address my cognitive challenges as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, such as simplified communication formats and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessible records. The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodations violated the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and highlighted a pattern of exclusion and discrimination. Ongoing Exclusion from Public Processes: I was excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being a stakeholder directly impacted by these programs. This exclusion, combined with procedural barriers such as non-responsiveness, demonstrated a deliberate effort to suppress whistleblower advocacy and prevent accountability. Research into Oversight Failures: In investigating the lack of response, I discovered broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), including failures to uphold transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations. Corroborating Evidence: The lack of records, unexplained delays, and continued procedural barriers confirm systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight, prompting me to escalate the matter to federal authorities.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting My Allegation The following facts and evidence substantiate my claims of systemic violations, including FOIA non-compliance, ADA discrimination, and gross mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs: 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Specific Requests Ignored: I submitted a FOIA request on October 25, 2024, seeking transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Despite clear statutory requirements for acknowledgment and prompt responses, neither the Connecticut Attorney General's Office nor DSS provided any communication or documentation. Pattern of Obstruction: The lack of acknowledgment and response demonstrates not only procedural failures but a systemic effort to obstruct



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	public access to federally funded program information, raising concerns of fraud or mismanagement. 2. ADA Violations Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: I explicitly requested reasonable ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including simplified communication formats and accessible records. These requests were ignored, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). Exclusion from Public Processes: I was systematically excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, preventing me from participating meaningfully in decisions that directly impact my well-being and advocacy efforts. This exclusion constitutes discrimination under the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause and ADA mandates. 3. Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy Procedural Barriers: The lack of response to my FOIA and ADA requests, coupled with my exclusion from Medicaid oversight processes, is indicative of retaliation aimed at suppressing my advocacy and whistleblower efforts. Public ridicule and procedural barriers imposed by DSS and CHRO further demonstrate a systemic effort to undermine my ability to hold agencies accountable. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds Lack of Transparency in ABI Waiver Program: Refusal to provide records raises concerns about mismanagement, waste, or fraud in the administration of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. These failures jeopardize federal compliance with Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a) and undermine the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs. No Accountability Measures: DSS has not conducted or disclosed audits, enforcement actions, or disciplinary measures against providers in the ABI Waiver Program, further suggesting systemic neglect. 5. Documentary Evidence FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request (submitted October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	provide evidence of my efforts to seek transparency. These records show clear violations of statutory
Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Here is a detailed breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each individual listed, highlighting their role in the violations: 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS Actions Taken: Ignored FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and subsequent follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, violating statutory obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Failed to ensure ADA compliance by denying reasonable accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). Excluded me from public forums related to Medicaid oversight, violating my constitutional Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Actions Taken: Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within his office, allowing requests to go unanswered, violating the First Amendment right to petition and Fifth Amendment due process rights. Oversaw systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, withholding critical records about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Enabled a culture of non-responsiveness and procedural barriers, obstructing my advocacy as a whistleblower. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Position in Chain of Command: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states Actions Taken: Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected to investigate systemic violations of Medicaid compliance, allowing potential misuse of federal funds. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(CMS) Position in Chain of Command: National head of CMS Actions Taken: Failed to address systemic Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut, allowing violations to persist without accountability. Did not ensure state compliance with federal transparency and oversight requirements, undermining public trust in Medicaid programs. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong Actions Taken: Failed to operationalize FOIA compliance or ensure ADA accommodations were provided under the Attorney General's Office. Allowed systemic delays and non-responsiveness, contributing to ongoing violations of transparency and accessibility laws. 6. Governor Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut Actions Taken: Failed to intervene or hold DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office accountable for systemic violations. Allowed continued non-compliance with federal and state laws, including FOIA, ADA, and constitut
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations began with my initial FOIA request on October 25, 2024, and remain ongoing. Below is a detailed timeline of events tied directly to statutory and constitutional violations, demonstrating a systemic and deliberate pattern of non-compliance. Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). Violations: The Attorney General's Office failed to acknowledge the FOIA request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Ignoring ADA accommodations violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). October 31, 2024: I submitted a follow-up communicati
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency, accountability, and accessibility within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery unfolded as follows: Submission of FOIA Requests: On October 25, 2024, I submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking transparency into the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. When the statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response passed without action, I initiated follow-up communications on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024. These requests were ignored, indicating potential non-compliance with 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included simplified communication formats and accessible records. The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodation requests alerted me to systemic non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). Repeated Exclusion from Public Processes: My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being directly affected by the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, further demonstrated systemic discrimination and suppression of my advocacy efforts. These barriers highlighted ongoing violations of my First Amendment right to petition the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights, and Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights. Research into Broader Failures: As I investigated the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I identified broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO). This included evidence of widespread non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers: The continued lack of transparency, repeated procedural barriers, and exclusionary practices pointed to systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of taxpayer funds. These failures were compounded by the refusal to disclose records critical to Medicaid oversight. Conclusion I discovered these actions through direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate, uncovering systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations. My efforts to seek transparency and accountability revealed deliberate obstruction, exclusionary practices, and potential fraud or waste of federally allocated Medicaid funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, constitutional rights, Medicaid oversight requirements, and taxpayer protections: 1. FOIA Violations Unanswered Requests: I submitted a FOIA request on October 25, 2024, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, followed by additional communications on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024. None of these were acknowledged or processed, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. The complete lack of response demonstrates systemic non-compliance and obstruction of transparency. Deliberate Obstruction: The agencies' inaction suggests intentional efforts to suppress information related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, hindering public oversight of federally funded programs. 2. ADA Violations Ignored Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formats and accessible records. The agencies failed to provide accommodations, violating 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination based on disability. Exclusion from Public Processes: I was systematically excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, further marginalizing my voice as a whistleblower and disability advocate. This exclusion violates the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause and ADA protections. 3. Whistleblower Retaliation Procedural Barriers: After raising concerns about systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, I encountered procedural barriers, including delayed responses, public ridicule, and outright exclusion. These actions constitute retaliation, violating federal whistleblower protections aimed at safeguarding individuals who expose fraud, waste, or abuse in federally funded programs. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds Lack of Transparency: Refusal to disclose records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Transparency in Medicaid program administration is mandated under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(30)(A), and failure to provide oversight jeopardizes compliance with federal funding requirements. Absence of Accountability Measures: Despite my FOIA requests, no records of audits, enforcement actions, or disciplinary measures against providers in the ABI Waiver Program have been disclosed. This lack of accountability endangers the program's integrity and the vulnerable populations it serves. 5. Documentary Evidence FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) provide a clear timeline of agency non-compliance. These records show repeated viol
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Here's a detailed, bulletproof breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each key individual that resulted in violations of FOIA,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ADA, constitutional rights, and federal Medicaid regulations. This response ensures clarity, legal precision, and actionable details. 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS Actions Taken: Ignored FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Denied ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). Allowed systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes, violating the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. Failed to conduct or disclose audits and accountability measures for Medicaid ABI Waiver Program providers, raising concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Actions Taken: Refused to acknowledge or process FOIA requests submitted to his office, suppressing transparency and violating the First Amendment's right to petition and Fifth Amendment due process rights. Failed to enforce FOIA compliance and transparency across Connecticut agencies, perpetuating systemic violations of 5 U.S.C. § 552. Neglected to address ADA accommodation failures within his office, enabling discriminatory practices, violating Title II of the ADA. Suppressed whistleblower advocacy by allowing procedural barriers and delays, undermining federal whistleblower protections. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Position in Chain of Command: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states Actions Taken: Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite clear indications of systemic non-compliance,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected federal responsibilities to investigate and address mismanagement in Medicaid programs, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position in Chain of Command: National Administrator of CMS Actions Taken: Allowed systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to persist, failing to enforce federal oversight responsibilities under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected to ensure state compliance with transparency, accountability, and effective program administration, jeopardizing public trust and Medicaid beneficiaries. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General Position in Chain of Command
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The Attorney General's Office and DSS failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the violation. October 31, 2024: I sent the first follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements. Both agencies failed to respond, violating statutory FOIA deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and state law. November 2, 2024: A second follow-up was submitted, emphasizing the urgency of my request for transparency and the legal obligation to accommodate my disability
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability in Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Below is a detailed



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	explanation of how I identified the systemic failures: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate the program's oversight and compliance with federal regulations. Despite statutory deadlines requiring acknowledgment within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210) and response within 20 business days (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)), no response or acknowledgment was received. 2. Repeated Follow-Ups and Continued Non-Response Dates: October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 I sent follow-up communications to the Attorney General's Office and the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting the lack of acknowledgment and requesting updates. Each follow-up was ignored, which revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate non-compliance with transparency obligations. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations Along with my FOIA requests, I explicitly requested reasonable ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including: Simplified communication formats. Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies. The agencies' failure to acknowledge or address these requests revealed violations of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160, further excluding me from public processes. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid program oversight—despite being a direct stakeholder—underscored systemic barriers and discriminatory practices. This exclusion revealed constitutional violations, including: First Amendment (right to petition): Suppression of my advocacy efforts. Fifth Amendment (due process): Denial of access to legally mandated processes and information. Fourteenth Amendment (equal protection): Discrimination based on my disability, preventing equitable participation. 5. Broader Investigation and Analysis As I analyzed the lack of response, I uncovered



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records or disclosures related to Medicaid ABI Waiver Program audits, provider complaints, or enforcement actions. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of accountability measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. 6. Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers Procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness, exclusionary practices, and delays, confirmed deliberate obstruction and systemic failures across the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other agencies. These actions directly impeded my ability to advocate
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Here is a comprehensive response with specific supporting facts tied to the allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections: 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Unanswered FOIA Requests: I submitted a FOIA request on October 25, 2024, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, with follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024. None of these communications were acknowledged or answered, violating statutory requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. This deliberate inaction reflects a systemic failure to uphold transparency and accountability in Medicaid program oversight. Pattern of Obstruction: The lack of acknowledgment, communication, or documentation in response to lawful FOIA requests demonstrates intentional suppression of public information about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. 2. ADA Violations Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records. The refusal to address these accommodation requests constitutes a violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. §



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	35.130, § 35.160), further excluding me from participating in government processes. Exclusionary Practices: My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight underscores systemic discrimination and deliberate marginalization of individuals with disabilities, violating the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment Violations: By obstructing FOIA requests and suppressing my ability to advocate as a whistleblower, the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and DSS infringed upon my First Amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances. Fifth Amendment Violations: The refusal to provide access to legally mandated public records and processes denied my due process rights, as guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment. Fourteenth Amendment Violations: The systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes constitutes discrimination, violating my Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection under the law. 4. Whistleblower Retaliation Suppression of Advocacy: Procedural barriers, non-responsiveness, and public exclusion demonstrate retaliation against my efforts to expose systemic failures in Medicaid oversight. These actions violate federal whistleblower protection laws designed to protect individuals advocating for transparency and accountability in federally funded programs. 5. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider oversight, or enforcement actions. This absence of accountability rai
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	Gross Waste of Funds Allegation This allegation focuses on the mismanagement or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds, emphasizing systemic failures to ensure transparency and accountability. Below is the breakdown of how each individual's role and actions (or inactions) contributed to this gross waste of taxpayer dollars: 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Oversees DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the ABI Waiver Program. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Failed to provide transparency into Medicaid ABI Waiver Program administration, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Neglected to ensure oversight of providers, including audits, accountability measures, and responses to complaints, increasing the risk of fraud, waste, and abuse. Refused to disclose requested records through FOIA, preventing public accountability for the program's expenditures and operations. Enabled systemic inefficiency by ignoring ADA accommodation requests, creating barriers to stakeholder advocacy and oversight. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Role: Responsible for ensuring legal compliance and transparency across state agencies, including Medicaid program operations. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Oversaw the obstruction of FOIA requests, suppressing public access to information necessary for holding DSS and Medicaid programs accountable. Failed to enforce transparency and accountability laws, enabling potential misuse of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed systemic non-compliance, risking financial mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Role: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states, ensuring compliance with federal Medicaid regulations. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Failed to investigate systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite ongoing non-compliance and lack of transparency. Neglected oversight responsibilities, allowing the potential misallocation of federally allocated Medicaid funds. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: National Administrator of Medicaid programs. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Allowed systemic issues in Medicaid program oversight in Connecticut to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	persist without intervention. Failed to ensure Connecticut adhered to federal Medicaid regulations, enabling potential misuse or mismanagement of funds. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General Role: Second-in-command to the Connecticut Attorney General, responsible for operational oversight of transparency laws. Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds: Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within the Attorney General's Office, contributing to a lack of transparency in Medicaid program expenditures. Enabled procedural barriers
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The violations began on October 25, 2024, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of key events: Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the first violation. October 31, 2024: I sent the first follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements. N
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed account of how I uncovered these systemic issues: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. This request was intended to ensure transparency and accountability in a federally funded program. The agency's failure to meet statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response alerted me to a potential systemic issue with transparency and FOIA compliance. 2. Follow-Up Communications Dates: October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting their failure to acknowledge or respond to my request. The consistent lack of response, combined with procedural delays, suggested deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations I included requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The agencies ignored these requests, which revealed systemic non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160). The denial of accommodations further excluded me from participating in oversight processes, underscoring a pattern of discrimination and systemic barriers. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight. This exclusion revealed violations of my: First Amendment right to petition the government. Fifth Amendment due process rights, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes. Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection, as a person with disabilities. 5. Broader Investigations and Findings As I sought to understand the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records on Medicaid



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits. Potential Mismanagement: Absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources. 6. Corroborating Evidence FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of agency non-compliance. ADA Accommodation Requests: Documented requests for accommodation
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts highlight a pattern of non-compliance, gross waste of funds, and deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes. 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Ignored FOIA Requests: My initial FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). Both agencies violated statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, failing to acknowledge or respond to legally submitted requests. Pattern of Obstruction: The refusal to disclose public records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program reveals systemic suppression of transparency, violating federal and state laws designed to ensure public accountability. 2. ADA Violations Denied Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit requests for reasonable accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records, as mandated under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). The agencies failed to provide these accommodations, violating 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and equitable access. Exclusionary Practices: By ignoring ADA accommodation requests,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	DSS and the Attorney General's Office excluded me from participating in Medicaid program oversight processes. This exclusion violates the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause and perpetuates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment (Right to Petition): FOIA non-compliance obstructed my ability to petition the government for redress of grievances, violating my constitutional right to advocate for transparency and accountability. Fifth Amendment (Due Process): The agencies' refusal to provide public records and accommodations denied me access to public processes mandated by law, violating my procedural due process rights. Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection): Systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes due to my disability constitutes discrimination, violating my equal protection rights under the law. 4. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider accountability, or enforcement actions. This absence of oversight violates 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which requires Medicaid programs to operate transparently and effectively. Potential Mismanagement: The lack of disclosure regarding complaints, violations, or corrective actions against Medicaid providers raises concerns about
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	Danger to Public Health or Safety The lack of transparency, accountability, and oversight in Connecticut's Medicaid programs, specifically the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, poses a significant danger to public health and safety. Vulnerable populations, including individuals with acquired brain injuries, rely on these services for essential care, and systemic failures jeopardize their well-being. Below is a breakdown of how each individual contributed to this danger: 1. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Oversees DSS operations, including the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Actions Contributing to Danger: Lack of Oversight: Failed to ensure transparency in program operations, including audits, complaints, and enforcement actions, increasing risks of substandard care. FOIA Non-Compliance: Refused to disclose information critical to evaluating the program's effectiveness and safety, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Without accountability, Medicaid beneficiaries, including those with acquired brain injuries, face risks of neglect, mistreatment, or inadequate care. 2. Attorney General William Tong Title: Connecticut Attorney General Role: Responsible for ensuring legal compliance across state agencies. Actions Contributing to Danger: FOIA Obstruction: Suppressed transparency efforts by ignoring FOIA requests, obstructing access to vital Medicaid oversight information. Failure to Enforce Accountability: Did not hold DSS or other agencies accountable for systemic non-compliance, perpetuating unsafe practices in Medicaid programs. 3. Barbara Manning Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1) Role: Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and other New England states. Actions Contributing to Danger: Lack of Federal Oversight: Neglected to investigate or address systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite its federally funded nature. Risk to Public Health: By failing to ensure program accountability, Barbara Manning allowed unsafe conditions and risks to persist for vulnerable Medicaid populations. 4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: National Administrator of Medicaid programs. Actions Contributing to Danger: Failure to Intervene: Did not address ongoing issues in Connecticut's Medicaid program, allowing risks to public health and safety to escalate. Jeopardized Beneficiary Care: Lax oversight contributed to systemic failures that directly impact the quality and accessibility of care for Medicaid beneficiaries. 5. Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Attorney General Role: Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong. Actions Contributing to Danger: Neglected Legal Oversight: Failed to enforce transparency and ADA compliance, preventing stakeholders from ensuring the safety and efficacy of Medicaid programs. 6. Governor Ned Lamont Title: Go
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The violations began on October 25, 2024, with my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events that illustrate the systemic and ongoing nature of these violations: Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request also included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the first violation. October 31, 2024: I submitted a follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA submissi
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight and compliance with federal regulations. The agency failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory four-business-day



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	deadline under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, which was my first indication of potential systemic transparency issues. 2. Follow-Up Communications Dates: October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). The consistent lack of response and failure to meet statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) revealed procedural barriers and deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including simplified communication formats and accessible records. The agencies' failure to address or fulfill these accommodations exposed systemic non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160. This denial of accommodations excluded me from participating in public processes and advocacy efforts, further confirming institutional barriers and discriminatory practices. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid oversight. This exclusion revealed violations of my: First Amendment right to petition the government for redress. Fifth Amendment due process rights, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes. Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection, as a person with disabilities. 5. Broader Investigation and Findings As I sought to understand the lack of response and accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records on Medicaid program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources. 6. Corroborating Evidence



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	FOIA Submission Records: Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of non-compliance. ADA Accommodation Requests: Documented requests for accommodations highligh
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid oversight regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts illustrate a pattern of non-compliance, mismanagement, and deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes. 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Ignored FOIA Requests: My FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). Both agencies failed to meet statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, reflecting a deliberate pattern of suppression of public information. Systemic Suppression of Transparency: The lack of acknowledgment or response to lawful FOIA requests demonstrates systemic efforts to obstruct access to critical records regarding the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. 2. ADA Violations Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records. The agencies failed to acknowledge or fulfill these requests, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160). Exclusionary Practices: By denying ADA accommodations, the agencies excluded me from participating in oversight processes related to Medicaid programs. This constitutes discrimination and violates the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment (Right to Petition): FOIA non-compliance obstructed my ability to petition the government for redress of grievances,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violating my constitutional right to advocate for transparency and accountability. Fifth Amendment (Due Process): The agencies' refusal to provide access to public records and ADA accommodations denied me access to processes required by law, violating my procedural due process rights. Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection): Systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes constitutes discrimination, violating my right to equal protection as an individual with disabilities. 4. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency in Program Operations: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider accountability, or enforcement actions. This lack of oversight violates federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which require states to operate Medicaid programs transparently and efficiently. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of records about complaints, provider violations, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources. 5. Whistleblower Retaliation Suppress
Allegation	Danger to Public Safety
What action did they take?	Constitutional Rights Violations Contributing to Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight within Connecticut's Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver Program, violate multiple constitutional rights and significantly endanger public safety. Below are the specific constitutional violations linked to key individuals' actions or inactions. 1. First Amendment Violations Right to Petition the Government: What Happened: FOIA non-compliance obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances. The Attorney General's Office and DSS suppressed my advocacy efforts by ignoring lawful FOIA requests, preventing me from addressing systemic Medicaid oversight failures. Constitutional Violation: Suppressing my ability to request information and advocate for transparency



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violates the First Amendment. 2. Fifth Amendment Violations Right to Due Process: What Happened: Denied access to public records mandated by FOIA and state law. Ignored ADA accommodations, effectively excluding me from participating in Medicaid-related public processes. Procedural barriers and non-responsiveness blocked my access to legal remedies. Constitutional Violation: These actions violate the Fifth Amendment, which guarantees procedural due process rights when engaging with government processes. 3. Fourteenth Amendment Violations Equal Protection Under the Law: What Happened: Denial of ADA accommodations excluded me, as a person with a disability, from critical forums and decision-making processes concerning Medicaid oversight. Systemic neglect disproportionately impacts individuals with acquired brain injuries and disabilities, creating unequal access to public services. Constitutional Violation: This exclusion constitutes discrimination, violating the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. Due Process (State-Level Applications): What Happened: Lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs directly affects beneficiaries' ability to access safe, effective care. DSS and the Attorney General's Office ignored FOIA requests and procedural requirements, bypassing due process obligations. Constitutional Violation: These actions also violate the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause, which requires fairness in government actions impacting individuals' rights. Specific Violations Linked to Danger to Public Safety Denial of Transparency (First and Fifth Amendments): FOIA violations obstruct public accountability, preventing timely identification of safety risks in Medicaid programs, which jeopardizes beneficiaries' lives and well-being. Exclusion of Stakeholders (Fourteenth Amendment): The exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes weakens program accountability and oversight, increasing risks of harm to vulnerable populations. Suppression of Advocacy (First Amendment): Retaliatory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	procedural barriers discourage whistleblower advocacy, allowing dangerous conditions
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The violations began on October 25, 2024, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events, demonstrating systemic and ongoing failures. Timeline of Events October 25, 2024: I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, as required under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge this request within the statutory four-business-day deadline required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, initiating the first violation. October 31, 2024: I sent a follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures: 1. Submission of FOIA Requests Date: October 25, 2024 I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight, compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, and effectiveness in serving vulnerable populations. The lack of acknowledgment or response to this request, required under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210 and 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), indicated potential transparency failures and non-compliance with statutory obligations. 2. Follow-Up Communications Dates:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024 After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent multiple follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). The continued lack of response revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate suppression of transparency, as required under state and federal FOIA laws. 3. Denial of ADA Accommodations Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included: Simplified communication formats. Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies. The agencies' failure to fulfill these reasonable accommodation requests demonstrated non-compliance with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and its implementing regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160). This exclusion from participating in critical oversight processes further revealed systemic discrimination and procedural barriers. 4. Exclusion from Public Processes Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums, discussions, and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight. This exclusion revealed violations of my: First Amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances. Fifth Amendment due process rights, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes. Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection, as a person with disabilities. 5. Broader Investigation and Findings Through my advocacy efforts, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including: Lack of Transparency: No publicly available records, audits, or enforcement actions exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's operations or accountability mechanisms. Potential Mismanagement: Absence of records about complaints, violations, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources. Barriers to Accountability: Systemic delays and exclusionary practices obst



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts highlight systemic non-compliance, potential mismanagement, and a deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes. 1. FOIA Non-Compliance Ignored FOIA Requests: My FOIA request, submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS). Both agencies failed to meet statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210, reflecting deliberate non-compliance with federal and state transparency laws. Systemic Suppression of Transparency: The refusal to disclose public records regarding the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program prevents public accountability, perpetuating risks to vulnerable populations. 2. ADA Violations Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests: Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records compatible with assistive technologies. The agencies failed to acknowledge or fulfill these requests, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160. Exclusionary Practices: By denying ADA accommodations, the agencies excluded me from participating in Medicaid program oversight. This exclusion violates the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause and perpetuates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities. 3. Constitutional Violations First Amendment Violations: FOIA non-compliance obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances, violating the First Amendment. Fifth Amendment Violations: The agencies' refusal to provide access to public records and accommodations denied me procedural due process,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violating the Fifth Amendment. Fourteenth Amendment Violations: Excluding individuals with disabilities from public processes constitutes discrimination and violates the Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause. 4. Medicaid Oversight Failures Lack of Transparency in Program Operations: No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's provider accountability, complaint investigations, or enforcement actions. The lack of transparency violates 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which requires states to operate Medicaid programs transparently and effectively. Potential Mismanagement: The absence of publicly disclosed records about provider violations, complaints, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources. 5. Whistleblower Retaliation Procedural Barriers: The agencies imposed procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness and e

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Attorney General William Tong	Attorney General William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General William Tong	Connecticut Attorney General William Tong
Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves: Appointed as Commissioner of DSS in early 2023, she oversees the department's
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill	Eileen Meskill	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.
Barbara Manning	Barbara Manning	CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations
Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator Role: Oversees CMS operations
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General	William Tong Connecticut Attorney General
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor
Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare &	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Medicaid Services (CMS)	
Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.	Eileen Meskill Deputy Attorney General for the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman	Matthew Brokman	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor	Matthew Brokman serves as the Chief of Staff to Connecticut Governor
Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)	Tanya Hughes (CHRO Executive Director)
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator	Barbara Manning CMS Regional Administrator



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General	Eileen Meskill Title: Deputy Attorney General
Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont	Governor Ned Lamont
Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont	Matthew Brokman Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)	Tanya Hughes Title: Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Document attached Request for Federal Representation

The Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and other relevant federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), must represent both myself as a whistleblower and the broader public in addressing these systemic violations. These actions should include:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

1. Representing Whistleblower Rights

Protect My Advocacy Efforts:

Enforce whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act to safeguard my rights and ensure that my efforts to expose systemic failures are not met with retaliation.

Act as a federal intermediary to hold state agencies accountable for obstructing transparency and ADA compliance.

Provide Legal and Procedural Representation:

Advocate for my access to records, ADA accommodations, and participation in oversight processes as required under FOIA, ADA, and constitutional rights provisions.

Assist in ensuring that state agencies, including DSS and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, comply with federal laws and policies.

2. Representing the Broader Public Interest

Ensure Accountability for Medicaid Mismanagement:

Represent the interests of taxpayers and Medicaid beneficiaries by investigating systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of federal funds under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

Address gross waste of federal and state resources allocated to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, ensuring that these funds serve their intended purpose of supporting vulnerable populations.

Protect Public Safety:

Act on behalf of individuals who rely on Medicaid services, ensuring program integrity and preventing harm to public health and safety caused by lack of oversight, transparency, or accountability.

Address the exclusion of stakeholders with disabilities from decision-making processes, which creates inequitable systems that endanger lives and erode public trust.

3. Federal Oversight of State Agencies

Hold State Agencies Accountable:

Represent federal authority in addressing Connecticut's systemic failures, ensuring that state agencies comply with federal laws, including FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid oversight regulations.

Require the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Connecticut Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General's Office, and CHRO to implement federal-mandated corrective actions.

Demand Systemic Reforms:

Advocate for the establishment of independent oversight bodies to monitor ADA compliance, Medicaid program transparency, and whistleblower protections in Connecticut.

4. Advocating for Constitutional Protections

First Amendment:

Ensure my right to petition the government for redress of grievances is fully protected by holding state agencies accountable for suppressing FOIA requests and obstructing advocacy efforts.

Fifth Amendment:

Guarantee procedural due process in accessing public records, participating in Medicaid oversight, and ensuring equitable treatment under the law.

Fourteenth Amendment:

Demand equal protection for individuals with disabilities who are excluded from public forums, decision-making processes, and oversight roles due to systemic ADA violations.

5. Supporting Restorative Justice

Advocate for Compensation and Acknowledgment:

Request compensation for the harm caused to myself and others affected by these systemic violations, including delays, barriers to advocacy, and exclusion from public processes.

Seek a public acknowledgment of failures by Connecticut state agencies to ensure accountability and transparency for the public.

Mandate Public Reforms:

Represent the public interest by ensuring systemic reforms that guarantee transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal laws in all future Medicaid operations.

Conclusion

This request explicitly asks the federal government, through OSC and related agencies, to act on behalf of both myself as a whistleblower and the broader public interest, ensuring transparency, equity, and accountability in federally funded programs. The federal government's role is essential to restoring trust in public institutions and protecting the rights of whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
State and Federal FOIA Request for Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program Provider Registry _ Connecticut (Office of the Attorney General - Connecticut) (2).zip
599875 download.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
Request for Federal Action Constitutional Rights ADA Non-Compliance Whistleblower Retaliation and Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid FundsFederal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 12.02.2024 .pdf
State and Federal FOIA Request for Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program Provider Registry _ Connecticut (Office of the Attorney General - Connecticut) (2).zip

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/2/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of Program Integrity or Medicaid Integrity Program

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The following details outline the actions—or failures to act—by the individuals and agencies identified, which have resulted in systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, and mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Action: Failed to ensure compliance with federal and state laws, including the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), by not responding to or improperly denying requests for records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and whistleblower complaints. Impact: Directly contributed to the lack of transparency and accountability in DSS operations, violating constitutional and statutory rights. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Action: Oversaw and/or approved the improper handling of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests. Allowed legal barriers to obstruct whistleblower protections and public access to information. Impact: Facilitated DSS's legal non-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance, obstructing efforts to investigate systemic issues in Medicaid program management. Astread Ferron-Poole (Associate): Action: Coordinated or executed policies that limited access to public records, failed to address program deficiencies, and disregarded ADA accommodation requests. Impact: Excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in program oversight and contributed to the systemic marginalization of vulnerable populations. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer): Action: Did not enforce state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations and failed to address whistleblower reports highlighting deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: Allowed ongoing mismanagement of taxpayer funds and neglect of federally mandated oversight responsibilities. Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator): Action: Failed to initiate or ensure federal investigations into reported violations, including ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud. Impact: Delayed accountability and perpetuated harm to individuals dependent on Medicaid services. Connecticut State Government Officials: William Tong (Attorney General): Action: Failed to provide legal oversight to enforce DSS compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection laws. Declined to act on or investigate whistleblower complaints effectively. Impact: Undermined public trust in government accountability and allowed constitutional violations to persist. Ned Lamont (Governor): Action: Neglected executive oversight of DSS and CMS operations, failing to ensure that state agencies complied with federal and state laws protecting taxpayers, whistleblowers, and individuals with disabilities. Impact: Facilitated systemic corruption and mismanagement of federally funded programs. Deidre S. Gifford (Former Acting Commissioner): Action: During her tenure, failed to implement effective oversight mechanisms for Medicaid programs, al
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events December 18, 2023 Event: David Medeiros submitted a whistleblower report regarding



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic failures in Medicaid administration, ADA compliance, and misuse of taxpayer funds under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Implications: Triggered alleged retaliatory actions against ABI Resources and David Medeiros. March 2024 – Ongoing Event: Multiple FOIA requests were submitted by David Medeiros to Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies, including CMS and DOJ, to seek transparency and records. Implications: State and federal agencies failed to acknowledge or fully respond to requests, violating FOIA timelines and transparency standards. July 2024 – Present Event: Denials of ADA accommodation requests began, including refusals to provide accessible formats and simplified communication for individuals with disabilities. Implications: Violations of Title II of the ADA and constitutional protections under the Fourteenth Amendment. Octob
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these actions through a combination of direct experience, formal requests, and subsequent investigations: Firsthand Impact: As the founder of ABI Resources and an individual requiring ADA accommodations, I personally experienced retaliatory actions and systemic barriers when advocating for transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Specific incidents included the abrupt closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 and disruptions to Medicaid billing processes, which directly impacted ABI Resources and the vulnerable populations it serves. Responses to FOIA Requests: I submitted multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests to state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and DOJ, to obtain critical documentation related to Medicaid billing actions, ADA compliance, and retaliation. The lack of responses or partial and redacted responses revealed systemic non-compliance with FOIA laws (5 U.S.C. § 552). Patterns of Denial: Requests for ADA accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and simplified documentation, were routinely



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ignored or denied, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). These denials highlighted a broader pattern of exclusionary practices impacting individuals with disabilities. Testimonies and Advocacy Reports: Testimonies from affected individuals and reports from other advocates confirmed systemic issues, including mismanagement of taxpayer funds and failure to meet federal oversight standards under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Public Records and Internal Communications: Limited disclosures obtained through FOIA requests and whistleblower filings exposed gross mismanagement, retaliation, and abuse of authority by state and federal agencies. Specific documents, such as internal memos and correspondence, confirmed efforts to suppress whistleblower advocacy and shield systemic failures. Persistent Escalation Attempts: Multiple attempts to resolve these issues through administrative appeals, direct communication with agency heads, and engagement with oversight bodies like the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) further revealed institutional resistance and procedural failures. By compiling this evidence, I identified a consistent and systemic failure to comply with constitutional, ADA, and FOIA mandates, directly impacting public trust, vulnerable populations, and the effective use of taxpayer funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and related agencies have either been ignored, partially fulfilled, or improperly denied. Specific example: FOIA Case No. [Provide Specific Case Number] demonstrates DSS's failure to meet statutory response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Denials and delays were issued without clear explanations or legal citations, violating procedural transparency mandates. ADA Accommodation Failures: Requests for ADA-compliant communication formats, including email-only responses and screen-reader-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compatible documentation, were ignored or mishandled, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and corresponding regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.160). Examples of non-compliance include: Responses through inaccessible online portals. Failure to provide simplified summaries for complex documentation. These failures systematically excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes and accessing vital information. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Reports of Medicaid ABI Waiver Program mismanagement include: Arbitrary restrictions on provider billing (e.g., Sandata EVV Ticket #539494). Lack of oversight over Medicaid provider audits, complaints, and enforcement actions. Evidence includes inconsistencies in provider authorizations, unexplained billing suspensions, and non-transparent administrative decisions, potentially violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a (State Plan Requirements). Retaliation Against Whistleblower Activities: Following the submission of a December 18, 2023, whistleblower report, retaliatory actions included: Premature closure of complaints (e.g., DSS ticketing system records). Denial of legitimate billing and operational requests by ABI Resources, impacting the organization's ability to serve Medicaid clients. Retaliation violates federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8). Patterns of Gross Mismanagement: Public records and investigative reports reveal systemic failures in transparency and accountability across DSS and CMS. Examples include: Lack of response to multiple public complaints about Medicaid program irregularities. Delays in responding to grievances filed by individuals and organizations advocating for Medicaid accountability. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: Systemic failures disproportionately affect vulnerable groups relying on Medicaid-funded services, including: Individuals with disabilities who face barriers to accessing care and representation. Low-income families and elderly individuals whose Medicaid-supported services are



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	jeopardized by mismanagement. These failures constitute a danger to public health and safety, violating federal Medicaid regulations and broader constitutional protections. Supporting Documentation: Copies of FOIA requests, respon
Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	What Action Did They Take? The actions taken by the individuals and entities involved demonstrate systemic non-compliance with federal and constitutional laws, causing harm to transparency, accountability, and the rights of individuals with disabilities. The following actions occurred: 1. Failure to Comply with FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) Deliberate Delays and Denials: DSS, CMS, and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F and related inquiries. These delays constitute a violation of 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Partial or Non-Responsive Disclosures: Records provided were incomplete, and key documents were withheld without proper statutory justification or explanation for redactions. Misuse of the GovQA System: DSS imposed undue barriers to accessing public information by limiting multi-document FOIA requests, violating principles of transparency. 2. Violations of ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) Refusal to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: DSS and CMS failed to provide requested ADA accommodations, such as: Accessible formats for communications. Simplified summaries for complex records. Identification of responsible personnel for accountability. Exclusionary Practices: By denying these accommodations, state and federal agencies excluded individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from participating fully in public processes, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.160. 3. Retaliatory Actions Following Whistleblower Disclosures Medicaid Billing Suspension: DSS and CMS imposed unjustified restrictions on ABI Resources' Medicaid billing privileges, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mishandling of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, directly retaliating against whistleblower activities. Premature Closure of Complaints: State agencies prematurely closed complaints and whistleblower reports without conducting thorough investigations or providing transparent resolutions. Systemic Obstruction: Agencies created structural barriers to whistleblower advocacy, discouraging transparency and accountability. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Lack of Oversight: DSS failed to monitor provider compliance and spending in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, leading to concerns about waste, fraud, and abuse of taxpayer funds under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Unauthorized Policy Changes: DSS terminated companion authorizations for Medicaid recipients without due process or public input, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities. Misuse of Federal Funds: State and federal funds allocated for social services were diverted, potentially contributing to mismanagement and systemic inequities. 5. Violations of Constitutional Rights Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy: Agencies suppressed whistleblower efforts to expose systemic issues, infringing on First Amendment rights to petition the government. Denial of Due Proce
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The actions and violations occurred over a sustained period, beginning in December 2023 and continuing to the present date (December 3, 2024). Key milestones include: December 18, 2023: Whistleblower report was submitted, initiating concerns about potential retaliation, ADA violations, and mismanagement of Medicaid funds. January 2024 – March 2024: Retaliatory actions began to surface, including: Restrictions placed on Medicaid billing. Premature closure of Ticket #539494 in Sandata EVV. Failures to provide ADA accommodations for communication. April 2024 – November 2024: Continuous FOIA delays and non-compliance from Connecticut DSS, CMS, and other agencies. Specific cases include: FOIA Request Case No. 25-00044-F submitted in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	October 2024, with no timely response or adherence to statutory deadlines. Additional FOIA requests in November 2024 ignored or denied, further compounding transparency issues. Ongoing (2023–2024): Patterns of systemic failures, includi
How did you discover this action?	Expanded Timeline with Key Milestones December 18, 2023: Whistleblower report filed with U.S. Office of Special Counsel and other federal entities regarding systemic ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, and Medicaid mismanagement. Agencies notified include: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) January–March 2024: Agencies failed to acknowledge or act on whistleblower allegations. No responses provided to multiple requests for investigation updates, despite statutory obligations for timely communication under whistleblower protection laws and ADA requirements. April 2024: FOIA request submitted (Case No. 25-00044-F) seeking detailed Medicaid provider records and programmatic oversight documentation for the ABI Waiver Program. Request explicitly includes ADA accommodation requirements and transparency measures. May 2024: FOIA deadlines missed without statutory justification. Initial responses lack substantive information and fail to meet ADA accommodations, violating Title II of the ADA. June–October 2024: Escalation attempts to DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and CMS administrators ignored or inadequately addressed. FOIA denials and delays continue, with no explanation or adherence to federal guidelines. November 2024: Submitted whistleblower complaints to the DOJ Civil Rights Division and other oversight entities regarding systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid programs. Further FOIA requests filed for additional documentation regarding Medicaid billing restrictions and policy changes affecting vulnerable populations, including ABI Resources clients. December 2024: DOJ responds to whistleblower



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	complaint (Case No. 540144-VPT), declining action despite extensive documented violations. Federal complaint prepared for submission to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, including detailed allegations of gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and ADA violations. Strategic Emphasis for Maximum Impact Human Element: Highlight the suffering caused by these systemic failures. Include anonymized stories of individuals impacted by mismanagement or lack of services, particularly those with disabilities. Scale of the Issue: Frame this as a national problem: Reference over 100 state-managed programs in Connecticut facing similar challenges. Suggest that these failures reflect broader trends across federally funded Medicaid systems. Federal Responsibility: Invoke federal obligations under Medicaid statutes, FOIA, ADA, and the Whistleblower Protection Act to enforce compliance. Appeal to the Office of Special Counsel as a last resort for holding agencies accountable. Financial Stakes: Quantify the potential misuse of federal funds. Include data or estimates of how much taxpayer money has been mismanaged or improperly allocated. Tie financial mismanagement directly to failures in care for vulnerable po
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting Allegations To substantiate the allegations further, the following facts are presented with precision and legal grounding, ensuring a comprehensive narrative for your case: 1. Systemic FOIA Non-Compliance Failure to Respond to Requests: Despite submitting multiple FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F), agencies like DSS and CMS failed to provide timely and complete responses, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A) and Connecticut General Statutes § 1-210. Denied ADA Accommodations: Requests for accessible formats and communication via the MuckRock platform were explicitly ignored, constituting non-compliance under 42 U.S.C. § 12131 (ADA Title II). Incomplete and Obstructive Responses: Agencies delayed or denied access to critical Medicaid program documentation,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	undermining transparency and the public's right to information. 2. ADA Violations and Exclusionary Practices Denial of Equal Access: By failing to provide reasonable ADA accommodations for communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and direct email responses, DSS and CMS actively excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: These failures disproportionately harmed individuals reliant on Medicaid services, violating the ADA's mandate for equitable access to government programs and services. 3. Retaliation Against Whistleblowing Actions Taken Against ABI Resources: Medicaid billing restrictions and the abrupt resolution of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 were implemented after whistleblower disclosures, reflecting a retaliatory pattern. Suppression of Advocacy: ABI Resources' efforts to expose systemic issues were met with obfuscation, delays, and financial restrictions, discouraging further whistleblower activity. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Improper Use of Federal Funds: Federal Medicaid funds intended for service delivery were mismanaged, with evidence suggesting potential redirection toward state pension liabilities, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Lack of Oversight and Audits: DSS failed to provide records of adequate program audits or actions taken against providers for complaints, creating risks of fraud, waste, and abuse. Termination of Companion Authorizations: The abrupt policy change ending companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program on December 31, 2023, adversely impacted program participants without proper public notice or justification. 5. Constitutional Violations Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy: By denying records and retaliating against disclosures, agencies infringed on First Amendment rights to petition the government. Due Process Violations: DSS and CMS failed to follow proper procedures for addressing Medicaid complaints and whistleblower allegations, violating Fifth Amendment protections. Equal Protection Denied:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Exclusionary practices under the ADA demonstrated systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, contrary to
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals listed in the complaint, representing both state and federal agencies, took the following actions that led to gross waste of taxpayer funds in the Medicaid program: State-Level Actions and Omissions: Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS): Mismanagement of Funds: Failed to oversee the proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered programs, leading to unaccounted expenditures. Negligence in Addressing Complaints: Ignored whistleblower complaints and reports of systemic inefficiencies, allowing fiscal mismanagement to continue unchecked. Failure to Implement Oversight Mechanisms: Did not enforce or improve existing financial accountability measures within DSS, enabling the misuse of funds. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director, DSS): Inadequate Legal Oversight: Did not initiate or support legal action to address known financial irregularities within Medicaid programs. Complicity in Non-Compliance: Allowed systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations by failing to enforce corrective actions. Astread Ferron-Poole (Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS): Ineffective Policy Implementation: Introduced or upheld administrative policies that lacked accountability measures for fund allocation. Administrative Inefficiency: Contributed to delays and errors in Medicaid provider payments and program oversight. Federal Oversight Failures: Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer, CMS): Failure to Conduct Audits: Neglected to ensure timely and comprehensive audits of Connecticut's Medicaid programs to identify wasteful spending. Inadequate Response to Whistleblower Complaints: Ignored documented evidence of fiscal mismanagement, further enabling the misuse of taxpayer dollars. Emmett



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Nicholson (Senior Administrator, CMS): Lack of Federal Oversight: Did not enforce federal Medicaid regulations requiring transparency and accountability in the use of funds. Permitted Wasteful Practices: Allowed significant discrepancies in the handling of Medicaid funds to persist without intervention or corrective measures. Higher-Level Negligence: William Tong (Attorney General, State of Connecticut): Failure to Investigate: Did not pursue legal investigations into reported instances of fraud, waste, or abuse within DSS or other state agencies involved in Medicaid program administration. Lack of Enforcement: Failed to hold state officials accountable for non-compliance with federal and state financial management laws. Ned Lamont (Governor, State of Connecticut): Policy Prioritization of Pension Debt Over Social Services: Directed funds meant for vulnerable populations toward resolving state pension obligations, depriving Medicaid programs of necessary resources. Ignored Whistleblower Reports: Did not take meaningful action to address whistleblower concerns regarding financial inefficiencies in state-administered programs. Key Violations: Misappropriation
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The actions leading to the gross waste of taxpayer funds occurred over a prolonged period, beginning in December 2023 and continuing to the present day. Specific milestones include: December 18, 2023: Whistleblower complaint submitted by David Medeiros to relevant authorities, identifying systemic failures and misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. December 2023 – June 2024: Initial evidence of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, including delays in addressing provider complaints and irregularities in fund allocation. March 2024: Repeated failures by state agencies, including DSS, to respond to FOIA requests seeking transparency about Medicaid fund management. Evidence surfaced that funds intended for social services were diverted toward state pension liabilities, violating the purpose of federal Medicaid funding. June 2024 – September 2024: Federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	oversight agencies, including CMS, failed to enforce corrective measures or c
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? The discovery of gross waste of funds resulted from a combination of direct involvement, diligent investigation, and whistleblower disclosures, including: First-Hand Observations: As the founder of ABI Resources and an active participant in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I directly observed systemic inefficiencies, administrative delays, and unexplained denials of services. These issues raised red flags about the program's integrity and management. Whistleblower Insights: Through ABI Resources' advocacy, reports surfaced from affected individuals and providers regarding improper denials of services, delayed reimbursements, and lack of accountability within the program. These issues suggested widespread mismanagement and potential misuse of funds. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: Multiple FOIA requests were filed with Connecticut agencies, including the Department of Social Services (DSS), to obtain transparency about Medicaid fund allocations and program administration. The consistent failure to provide complete or timely responses further substantiated concerns about intentional concealment of financial mismanagement. Patterns of ADA Non-Compliance: As an individual with a traumatic brain injury, I encountered repeated failures to provide reasonable ADA accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related information. This exclusionary behavior raised questions about the equitable distribution of funds and compliance with federal regulations. Public Disclosures and Testimonies: Testimonies from other advocates, Medicaid beneficiaries, and providers corroborated widespread systemic failures, including misappropriation of federal funds intended for social services. Documented Financial Irregularities: Analysis of Connecticut's fiscal practices revealed a concerning overlap between federal Medicaid funding and state pension debt. Federal funds



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	intended for vulnerable populations appeared to be diverted to address unrelated state financial obligations, violating Medicaid's statutory purpose. Lack of Federal Oversight: Despite raising these concerns with federal oversight bodies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), no substantive corrective actions were taken, allowing the wasteful practices to persist.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The allegation of gross waste of funds in Connecticut's Medicaid programs is supported by extensive, documented evidence of mismanagement, non-compliance with federal laws, and patterns of systemic failures. These facts include: 1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies have gone unanswered or were met with incomplete responses. Case Example: FOIA Case No. 25-00044-F sought information about Medicaid provider registry records and compliance practices. DSS failed to provide a complete response, violating FOIA obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut's FOIA statutes. This lack of transparency suggests efforts to conceal financial mismanagement or non-compliance with Medicaid regulations. 2. Disparities in Medicaid Service Allocation: Beneficiaries and providers under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program have reported delayed reimbursements, abrupt terminations of services, and inconsistent authorizations for care. Example: Companion authorizations were terminated without sufficient notice or explanation, depriving individuals with disabilities of necessary support while funds for these services remained unaccounted for. 3. Diversion of Federal Funds to State Pension Obligations: Analysis of Connecticut's budget practices revealed federal Medicaid dollars intended for vulnerable populations were used to offset state employee pension debt. Evidence: Public records and financial reports indicate that billions of dollars in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid allocations were indirectly funneled toward pension liabilities, violating the intended use of these federal funds under Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). 4. Widespread ADA Violations: DSS and other state agencies consistently failed to provide legally mandated accommodations for individuals with disabilities seeking to access program information. ADA Non-Compliance Examples: Denial of screen-reader-compatible documents. Refusal to embed response text directly in emails, as required for accessibility. Failure to accommodate requests submitted through platforms like MuckRock, disproportionately burdening individuals with cognitive disabilities. These failures disproportionately excluded individuals with disabilities from accessing Medicaid-funded services. 5. Whistleblower Retaliation: After raising concerns about Medicaid fund mismanagement, whistleblowers, including myself, experienced systemic retaliation. Example: Medicaid billing privileges for ABI Resources were restricted, and Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 was prematurely closed without resolution or justification. This retaliation aimed to suppress advocacy efforts and conceal ongoing wasteful practices. 6. Lack of Oversight and Accountability: Federal oversight agencies such as CMS and HHS failed to enforce compliance despite repeated reports of mismanagement. Evidence: Escalated complaints to the U.S. Department
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals and agencies in question engaged in systemic actions and inactions that directly endangered public health, violated federal and constitutional laws, and deprived vulnerable populations of their rights and essential services. The actions include but are not limited to: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Neglected Oversight: Failed to enforce appropriate management of Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver, allowing systemic inefficiencies and care denials. Denied ADA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Accommodations: Refused to implement necessary accommodations, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), excluding individuals from accessing critical services. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Blocked Transparency: Obstructed Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withholding records critical to understanding mismanagement within Medicaid programs. Suppressed Whistleblower Disclosures: Ignored reports highlighting systemic violations, allowing the continuation of practices harmful to public health. Astread Ferron-Poole (Associate): Drafted Harmful Policies: Enabled administrative decisions that led to the termination or denial of essential Medicaid services without proper oversight or accountability. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer): Ignored Oversight Responsibilities: Failed to address formal complaints and enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, compromising the welfare of beneficiaries. Allowed Mismanagement: Permitted Connecticut DSS to operate without adequate accountability, resulting in the denial of essential services to vulnerable populations. Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator): Facilitated Inefficiencies: Approved practices that undermined Medicaid service delivery, allowing systemic gaps that endangered public health. Connecticut Leadership William Tong (Attorney General): Failed to Act on Violations: Refused to investigate or enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional laws, enabling continued systemic failures. Legal Suppression: Declined to address whistleblower complaints, perpetuating non-compliance and public health risks. Ned Lamont (Governor): Prioritized Fiscal Policy Over Health: Focused state resources on pension liabilities and administrative overhead at the expense of federally funded Medicaid programs, depriving vulnerable populations of their entitled services. Supported Mismanagement: Enabled DSS and CMS to operate without transparency or accountability, leading



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	to service failures and misuse of public funds. Specific Harmful Actions Denial of Critical Services: Terminated Medicaid benefits, including those under the ABI Waiver Program, leaving individuals with disabilities and vulnerable populations without necessary care. Misallocation of Federal Funds: Redirected Medicaid funds intended for care delivery to unrelated state financial
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The systemic violations began on December 18, 2023, following the whistleblower report submitted to relevant state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and HHS, regarding failures in Medicaid program administration. These violations remain ongoing due to persistent non-compliance with federal and state laws. Key milestones include: December 18, 2023: Submission of the whistleblower report outlining significant deficiencies in ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, and Medicaid mismanagement. January 2024 - November 2024: Subsequent FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted to state and federal agencies, including DSS and CMS, detailing requests for specific documents and accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552). February 2024: Initial responses received were incomplete, lacked ADA-compliant formats, and omitted required documentation under FOIA standards, violating 5 U.S.C. §
How did you discover this action?	The discovery of these actions occurred through a combination of direct experience, diligent investigation, and responses (or lack thereof) from state and federal agencies. Key events include: Whistleblower Advocacy and Personal Experience: As a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, I directly observed systemic barriers, non-compliance, and retaliatory actions within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These observations were corroborated through my work with ABI Resources, assisting affected individuals who faced similar challenges in accessing services and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations. FOIA Requests and Agency Responses: Through Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted between December 2023 and December 2024 to state and federal agencies, I sought documentation to verify concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and retaliatory actions. Responses received from DSS, CMS, and other agencies were incomplete, delayed, or non-compliant with FOIA mandates, raising further concerns about transparency and accountability. Failure to Provide ADA-Compliant Accommodations: In attempting to navigate agency processes as an individual with disabilities, I repeatedly requested reasonable accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131), including accessible communication formats and clear justifications for decisions. Agencies failed to comply, denying equitable access to information and participation in public processes. Reports from Affected Populations: Individuals and families reliant on Connecticut's Medicaid programs reported similar barriers, including denial of services, lack of accountability, and procedural delays. Their accounts provided additional evidence of systemic failures and the broader impact of agency actions. Analysis of Retaliatory Actions: Retaliatory actions, including Medicaid billing restrictions, programmatic changes, and unresolved complaints, became evident following my submission of a whistleblower report on December 18, 2023. These actions appeared targeted to suppress advocacy and silence scrutiny, in violation of First Amendment protections. Independent Research and Public Records: Research into Medicaid program audits, public complaints, and agency communications revealed patterns of mismanagement, waste, and potential fraud. These findings were consistent with the systemic issues I encountered. Supporting Evidence: Documentation of FOIA requests and responses demonstrating non-compliance with 5 U.S.C. § 552. Correspondence requesting ADA accommodations, which agencies



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ignored or denied, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12131. Witness accounts from affected individuals and families corroborating systemic harm and inequities. Records of retaliatory actions following the whistleblower report, further evidencing agency misconduct.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Systemic Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA FOIA Violations: Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), have gone unanswered or been responded to inadequately, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552. For example: Case No. 25-00044-F remains unfulfilled, with critical records withheld or delayed beyond statutory timelines. Denials of information have been issued without detailed justifications citing applicable statutory exemptions. ADA Non-Compliance: Requests for ADA accommodations, such as simplified communication formats, screen-reader compatible records, and named points of contact, were ignored. This violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.160, effectively excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing public records. Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: Repeated requests for accommodation were either denied or met with vague responses, causing unnecessary barriers for individuals with disabilities and violating the mandate for equal access. Retaliatory Actions and Programmatic Failures Targeted Retaliation: After submitting a whistleblower report on December 18, 2023, the Connecticut Department of Social Services implemented punitive measures, including: Restrictions on Medicaid billing for ABI Resources. Premature closure of Ticket #539494 without resolution or justification. These actions are demonstrably retaliatory and violate whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302. Medicaid Waiver Program Mismanagement: Termination of critical companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	disproportionately impacted vulnerable populations. Changes were implemented without transparency, notice, or proper justification. Gross Waste and Mismanagement of Funds Federal Medicaid Funds Misallocated: Federal Medicaid funds appear to have been diverted for purposes unrelated to healthcare, such as funding pension liabilities. This violates 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which mandates proper use of federal funds for medical assistance. Inefficiencies and Lack of Oversight: Internal audits and public records reveal systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program administration, resulting in waste, fraud, and misuse of taxpayer dollars. Constitutional Violations First Amendment: Retaliatory actions against whistleblowing efforts directly infringe on First Amendment rights to petition the government and engage in advocacy. Due Process and Equal Protection: Procedural inequities, including denial of access to informat
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	Abuse of Authority: What Action Did They Take? Targeted Retaliatory Actions: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), under Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves, implemented billing restrictions and terminated Medicaid program authorizations, particularly targeting ABI Resources. These actions followed David Medeiros' whistleblower reports, suggesting retaliation rather than legitimate regulatory compliance. Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA: State and federal officials failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests within statutory timelines, denying transparency about Medicaid program decisions and fund usage. DSS ignored legally mandated ADA accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from accessing critical information and services. Mismanagement of Medicaid Resources: Policies and practices implemented by DSS and CMS officials resulted in systemic inefficiencies, waste, and potential fraud in Medicaid programs. Federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to enforce



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance with Medicaid and ADA regulations, exacerbating harm to vulnerable populations. Neglect of Oversight and Enforcement: Federal officials, including Michelle A. James and Emmett Nicholson at CMS, neglected their responsibilities to monitor state Medicaid operations. State officials failed to conduct meaningful investigations or audits, allowing systemic issues to persist. Suppressing Accountability: The Attorney General's Office actively defended state agencies against credible federal complaints instead of ensuring adherence to laws and addressing systemic abuses. Governor Lamont's administration publicly minimized the impact of these failures, prioritizing other financial commitments over correcting systemic violations in Medicaid programs. Creating Systemic Barriers: Officials implemented policies requiring excessive documentation, burdensome processes, and restrictive parameters for FOIA requests and Medicaid program access, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers. Why These Actions Violate Laws and Protections: Constitutional Violations: Suppression of whistleblower advocacy infringes upon First Amendment rights, while due process and equal protection violations contravene Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. ADA Non-Compliance: Excluding individuals with disabilities from critical processes violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and corresponding regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.160). FOIA Violations: Failure to comply with 5 U.S.C. § 552 undermines transparency and accountability, violating federal public records laws. Medicaid Violations: Mismanagement and non-compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396a jeopardize the integrity of Medicaid programs and misuse taxpayer funds.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The actions began on December 18, 2023, following the submission of a whistleblower report by David Medeiros to state and federal agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the Department of Justice (DOJ). These



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violations are ongoing and continue to harm individuals and vulnerable populations. Key dates include: December 2023: DSS initiated retaliatory actions against ABI Resources, including restrictions on Medicaid billing and the improper closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494. January 2024: ADA accommodations for David Medeiros were denied or ignored, excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing critical information, violating federal obligations. Throughout 2024: FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F, were either ignored or only partially fulfilled, failing to meet statutory requirements under FOIA. Current: As of December 3, 2024, these systemic violatio
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? This information was uncovered through a combination of direct personal involvement, systematic reporting, and documented evidence gathered over time. The following methods substantiate the claim: Direct Whistleblower Reports: As a whistleblower, I submitted detailed complaints to federal and state agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC). These submissions outlined critical issues, including violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: Through persistent FOIA requests, I identified a pattern of non-compliance by Connecticut state agencies, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and a refusal to provide records in ADA-compliant formats. These failures directly violate 5 U.S.C. § 552 and state FOIA statutes. Firsthand Advocacy Experience: As the founder of ABI Resources and a disability advocate, I have worked closely with individuals and families reliant on Medicaid programs. Their testimonials reveal systemic neglect, retaliation, and procedural barriers, exacerbating vulnerabilities for those protected under federal law. Data from Official Records and Financial Audits: Publicly available Medicaid reports and budget analyses suggest



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	gross waste of funds, lack of oversight, and diversion of federal resources intended for vulnerable populations. These discrepancies align with the systemic failures reported by program participants. Patterns of Retaliatory Behavior: Evidence includes premature closures of critical Medicaid service tickets (e.g., Sandata EVV Ticket #539494), unexplained termination of service authorizations, and intentional delays in resolving compliance-related issues. Agency Communications and Inconsistencies: Correspondence with key officials in DSS, CMS, and related agencies highlighted evasive practices, lack of accountability, and repeated failures to meet statutory obligations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Patterns of Non-Compliance: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations: Persistent delays, incomplete responses, and lack of ADA-compliant communication from the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other agencies. These failures directly breach federal transparency requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and corresponding state FOIA laws. ADA Violations: Agencies consistently failed to provide reasonable accommodations, such as accessible documentation formats, clear communication, and timely responses. These omissions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and related regulations. Mismanagement and Waste of Funds: Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations have been mismanaged, as evidenced by incomplete audits, lack of oversight, and misuse of federal funds. Discrepancies in financial reporting and service authorizations raise concerns of fraud and abuse under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Service authorizations under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were terminated or restricted without proper notice or justification, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities. Evidence of Retaliation: Retaliatory actions include premature closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, unexplained denials of service authorizations, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	intentional delays in resolving complaints. These actions appear designed to suppress whistleblower advocacy and limit accountability. Human Impact: Vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, seniors, and low-income families, face heightened risks due to systemic failures in Medicaid program administration. Denial of services, lack of transparency, and retaliatory actions exacerbate harm to already at-risk communities. Failure of Oversight and Federal Agencies: Despite detailed whistleblower reports submitted to federal agencies such as the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Office of Special Counsel (OSC), and CMS, these issues remain unresolved. Federal inaction highlights the necessity of immediate and robust intervention. Documentary Evidence: FOIA responses, internal agency communications, financial reports, and documented complaints provide a clear trail of systemic mismanagement, non-compliance, and retaliation. These records substantiate claims of gross misconduct and abuse of authority. Constitutional Violations: The actions (and inactions) of the involved agencies infringe upon fundamental constitutional protections, including: First Amendment: Suppression of whistleblower advocacy. Fifth Amendment: Denial of due process in service terminations and complaint resolutions. Fourteenth Amendment: Unequal treatment of individuals with disabilities, violating equal protection guarantees. National Implications: The issues uncovered in Connecticut's Medicaid programs may reflect broader systemic failures across federally funded healthcare programs. These findings underscore t

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

of Command: High-level Administrator	of Command: High-level Administrator	Position in Chain of Command: High-level Administrator	Command: High-level Administrator
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co
Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Connecticut Depar	Connecticut Depar	Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud
Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command: Legislative leader	Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command: Legislative leader	Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command:	Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command: Legislative leader



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Legislative leader	
Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi	Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi	Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi	Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu
Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director	Alina M. Semo Title: Director	Alina M. Semo Title: Director	Alina M. Semo Title: Director Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Command: Office of Government Information Servic	Position in Chain of Command: Office of Government Information Servic	Position in Chain of Command: Office of Government Information Servic	Command: Office of Government Information Servic
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command:	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command:	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior legal officer, resp



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Senior legal officer, resp	Senior legal officer, resp	Chain of Command: Senior legal officer, resp	
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer of Connecticut	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer of Connecticut	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer of Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		officer of Connecticu	
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator
William Tong Title: Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Head of the Connecticut Depa	Head of the Connecticut Depa	Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		High-ranking official wi	
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Document is attached

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

12.03.2024 Request for Action Ensuring Justice Accountability and Financial Restitution for Vulnerable Populations and Taxpayers for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut .pdf
540144-VPT 12.03.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
540144-VPT 540144-VPT Submission complete.pdf
540144-VPT DOJ Submission complete.pdf
540144-VPT 540144-VPT Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
Lamont touts pension savings_ _It's freed up an awful lot of money_.pdf
Connecticut to save \$18.5 billion from pension payoffs, according to report.pdf
Request for Federal Action Constitutional Rights ADA Non-Compliance Whistleblower Retaliation and Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid FundsFederal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 12.02.2024 .pdf
599875 download.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/3/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of Program Integrity or Medicaid Integrity Program

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The following details outline the actions—or failures to act—by the individuals and agencies identified, which have resulted in systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, and mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Action: Failed to ensure compliance with federal and state laws, including the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), by not responding to or improperly denying requests for records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and whistleblower complaints. Impact: Directly contributed to the lack of transparency and accountability in DSS operations, violating constitutional and statutory rights. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Action: Oversaw and/or approved the improper handling of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests. Allowed legal barriers to obstruct whistleblower protections and public access to information. Impact: Facilitated DSS's legal non-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance, obstructing efforts to investigate systemic issues in Medicaid program management. Astread Ferron-Poole (Associate): Action: Coordinated or executed policies that limited access to public records, failed to address program deficiencies, and disregarded ADA accommodation requests. Impact: Excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in program oversight and contributed to the systemic marginalization of vulnerable populations. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer): Action: Did not enforce state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations and failed to address whistleblower reports highlighting deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: Allowed ongoing mismanagement of taxpayer funds and neglect of federally mandated oversight responsibilities. Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator): Action: Failed to initiate or ensure federal investigations into reported violations, including ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud. Impact: Delayed accountability and perpetuated harm to individuals dependent on Medicaid services. Connecticut State Government Officials: William Tong (Attorney General): Action: Failed to provide legal oversight to enforce DSS compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection laws. Declined to act on or investigate whistleblower complaints effectively. Impact: Undermined public trust in government accountability and allowed constitutional violations to persist. Ned Lamont (Governor): Action: Neglected executive oversight of DSS and CMS operations, failing to ensure that state agencies complied with federal and state laws protecting taxpayers, whistleblowers, and individuals with disabilities. Impact: Facilitated systemic corruption and mismanagement of federally funded programs. Deidre S. Gifford (Former Acting Commissioner): Action: During her tenure, failed to implement effective oversight mechanisms for Medicaid programs, al
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events December 18, 2023 Event: David Medeiros submitted a whistleblower report regarding



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic failures in Medicaid administration, ADA compliance, and misuse of taxpayer funds under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Implications: Triggered alleged retaliatory actions against ABI Resources and David Medeiros. March 2024 – Ongoing Event: Multiple FOIA requests were submitted by David Medeiros to Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies, including CMS and DOJ, to seek transparency and records. Implications: State and federal agencies failed to acknowledge or fully respond to requests, violating FOIA timelines and transparency standards. July 2024 – Present Event: Denials of ADA accommodation requests began, including refusals to provide accessible formats and simplified communication for individuals with disabilities. Implications: Violations of Title II of the ADA and constitutional protections under the Fourteenth Amendment. Octob
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these actions through a combination of direct experience, formal requests, and subsequent investigations: Firsthand Impact: As the founder of ABI Resources and an individual requiring ADA accommodations, I personally experienced retaliatory actions and systemic barriers when advocating for transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Specific incidents included the abrupt closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 and disruptions to Medicaid billing processes, which directly impacted ABI Resources and the vulnerable populations it serves. Responses to FOIA Requests: I submitted multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests to state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and DOJ, to obtain critical documentation related to Medicaid billing actions, ADA compliance, and retaliation. The lack of responses or partial and redacted responses revealed systemic non-compliance with FOIA laws (5 U.S.C. § 552). Patterns of Denial: Requests for ADA accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and simplified documentation, were routinely



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ignored or denied, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131). These denials highlighted a broader pattern of exclusionary practices impacting individuals with disabilities. Testimonies and Advocacy Reports: Testimonies from affected individuals and reports from other advocates confirmed systemic issues, including mismanagement of taxpayer funds and failure to meet federal oversight standards under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Public Records and Internal Communications: Limited disclosures obtained through FOIA requests and whistleblower filings exposed gross mismanagement, retaliation, and abuse of authority by state and federal agencies. Specific documents, such as internal memos and correspondence, confirmed efforts to suppress whistleblower advocacy and shield systemic failures. Persistent Escalation Attempts: Multiple attempts to resolve these issues through administrative appeals, direct communication with agency heads, and engagement with oversight bodies like the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) further revealed institutional resistance and procedural failures. By compiling this evidence, I identified a consistent and systemic failure to comply with constitutional, ADA, and FOIA mandates, directly impacting public trust, vulnerable populations, and the effective use of taxpayer funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and related agencies have either been ignored, partially fulfilled, or improperly denied. Specific example: FOIA Case No. [Provide Specific Case Number] demonstrates DSS's failure to meet statutory response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Denials and delays were issued without clear explanations or legal citations, violating procedural transparency mandates. ADA Accommodation Failures: Requests for ADA-compliant communication formats, including email-only responses and screen-reader-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compatible documentation, were ignored or mishandled, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and corresponding regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.160). Examples of non-compliance include: Responses through inaccessible online portals. Failure to provide simplified summaries for complex documentation. These failures systematically excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes and accessing vital information. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Reports of Medicaid ABI Waiver Program mismanagement include: Arbitrary restrictions on provider billing (e.g., Sandata EVV Ticket #539494). Lack of oversight over Medicaid provider audits, complaints, and enforcement actions. Evidence includes inconsistencies in provider authorizations, unexplained billing suspensions, and non-transparent administrative decisions, potentially violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a (State Plan Requirements). Retaliation Against Whistleblower Activities: Following the submission of a December 18, 2023, whistleblower report, retaliatory actions included: Premature closure of complaints (e.g., DSS ticketing system records). Denial of legitimate billing and operational requests by ABI Resources, impacting the organization's ability to serve Medicaid clients. Retaliation violates federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8). Patterns of Gross Mismanagement: Public records and investigative reports reveal systemic failures in transparency and accountability across DSS and CMS. Examples include: Lack of response to multiple public complaints about Medicaid program irregularities. Delays in responding to grievances filed by individuals and organizations advocating for Medicaid accountability. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: Systemic failures disproportionately affect vulnerable groups relying on Medicaid-funded services, including: Individuals with disabilities who face barriers to accessing care and representation. Low-income families and elderly individuals whose Medicaid-supported services are



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	jeopardized by mismanagement. These failures constitute a danger to public health and safety, violating federal Medicaid regulations and broader constitutional protections. Supporting Documentation: Copies of FOIA requests, respon
Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	What Action Did They Take? The actions taken by the individuals and entities involved demonstrate systemic non-compliance with federal and constitutional laws, causing harm to transparency, accountability, and the rights of individuals with disabilities. The following actions occurred: 1. Failure to Comply with FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) Deliberate Delays and Denials: DSS, CMS, and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F and related inquiries. These delays constitute a violation of 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210. Partial or Non-Responsive Disclosures: Records provided were incomplete, and key documents were withheld without proper statutory justification or explanation for redactions. Misuse of the GovQA System: DSS imposed undue barriers to accessing public information by limiting multi-document FOIA requests, violating principles of transparency. 2. Violations of ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) Refusal to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: DSS and CMS failed to provide requested ADA accommodations, such as: Accessible formats for communications. Simplified summaries for complex records. Identification of responsible personnel for accountability. Exclusionary Practices: By denying these accommodations, state and federal agencies excluded individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from participating fully in public processes, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.160. 3. Retaliatory Actions Following Whistleblower Disclosures Medicaid Billing Suspension: DSS and CMS imposed unjustified restrictions on ABI Resources' Medicaid billing privileges, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mishandling of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, directly retaliating against whistleblower activities. Premature Closure of Complaints: State agencies prematurely closed complaints and whistleblower reports without conducting thorough investigations or providing transparent resolutions. Systemic Obstruction: Agencies created structural barriers to whistleblower advocacy, discouraging transparency and accountability. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Lack of Oversight: DSS failed to monitor provider compliance and spending in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, leading to concerns about waste, fraud, and abuse of taxpayer funds under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Unauthorized Policy Changes: DSS terminated companion authorizations for Medicaid recipients without due process or public input, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities. Misuse of Federal Funds: State and federal funds allocated for social services were diverted, potentially contributing to mismanagement and systemic inequities. 5. Violations of Constitutional Rights Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy: Agencies suppressed whistleblower efforts to expose systemic issues, infringing on First Amendment rights to petition the government. Denial of Due Proce
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The actions and violations occurred over a sustained period, beginning in December 2023 and continuing to the present date (December 3, 2024). Key milestones include: December 18, 2023: Whistleblower report was submitted, initiating concerns about potential retaliation, ADA violations, and mismanagement of Medicaid funds. January 2024 – March 2024: Retaliatory actions began to surface, including: Restrictions placed on Medicaid billing. Premature closure of Ticket #539494 in Sandata EVV. Failures to provide ADA accommodations for communication. April 2024 – November 2024: Continuous FOIA delays and non-compliance from Connecticut DSS, CMS, and other agencies. Specific cases include: FOIA Request Case No. 25-00044-F submitted in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	October 2024, with no timely response or adherence to statutory deadlines. Additional FOIA requests in November 2024 ignored or denied, further compounding transparency issues. Ongoing (2023–2024): Patterns of systemic failures, includi
How did you discover this action?	Expanded Timeline with Key Milestones December 18, 2023: Whistleblower report filed with U.S. Office of Special Counsel and other federal entities regarding systemic ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, and Medicaid mismanagement. Agencies notified include: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) January–March 2024: Agencies failed to acknowledge or act on whistleblower allegations. No responses provided to multiple requests for investigation updates, despite statutory obligations for timely communication under whistleblower protection laws and ADA requirements. April 2024: FOIA request submitted (Case No. 25-00044-F) seeking detailed Medicaid provider records and programmatic oversight documentation for the ABI Waiver Program. Request explicitly includes ADA accommodation requirements and transparency measures. May 2024: FOIA deadlines missed without statutory justification. Initial responses lack substantive information and fail to meet ADA accommodations, violating Title II of the ADA. June–October 2024: Escalation attempts to DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and CMS administrators ignored or inadequately addressed. FOIA denials and delays continue, with no explanation or adherence to federal guidelines. November 2024: Submitted whistleblower complaints to the DOJ Civil Rights Division and other oversight entities regarding systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid programs. Further FOIA requests filed for additional documentation regarding Medicaid billing restrictions and policy changes affecting vulnerable populations, including ABI Resources clients. December 2024: DOJ responds to whistleblower



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	complaint (Case No. 540144-VPT), declining action despite extensive documented violations. Federal complaint prepared for submission to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, including detailed allegations of gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and ADA violations. Strategic Emphasis for Maximum Impact Human Element: Highlight the suffering caused by these systemic failures. Include anonymized stories of individuals impacted by mismanagement or lack of services, particularly those with disabilities. Scale of the Issue: Frame this as a national problem: Reference over 100 state-managed programs in Connecticut facing similar challenges. Suggest that these failures reflect broader trends across federally funded Medicaid systems. Federal Responsibility: Invoke federal obligations under Medicaid statutes, FOIA, ADA, and the Whistleblower Protection Act to enforce compliance. Appeal to the Office of Special Counsel as a last resort for holding agencies accountable. Financial Stakes: Quantify the potential misuse of federal funds. Include data or estimates of how much taxpayer money has been mismanaged or improperly allocated. Tie financial mismanagement directly to failures in care for vulnerable po
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting Allegations To substantiate the allegations further, the following facts are presented with precision and legal grounding, ensuring a comprehensive narrative for your case: 1. Systemic FOIA Non-Compliance Failure to Respond to Requests: Despite submitting multiple FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F), agencies like DSS and CMS failed to provide timely and complete responses, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A) and Connecticut General Statutes § 1-210. Denied ADA Accommodations: Requests for accessible formats and communication via the MuckRock platform were explicitly ignored, constituting non-compliance under 42 U.S.C. § 12131 (ADA Title II). Incomplete and Obstructive Responses: Agencies delayed or denied access to critical Medicaid program documentation,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	undermining transparency and the public's right to information. 2. ADA Violations and Exclusionary Practices Denial of Equal Access: By failing to provide reasonable ADA accommodations for communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and direct email responses, DSS and CMS actively excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: These failures disproportionately harmed individuals reliant on Medicaid services, violating the ADA's mandate for equitable access to government programs and services. 3. Retaliation Against Whistleblowing Actions Taken Against ABI Resources: Medicaid billing restrictions and the abrupt resolution of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 were implemented after whistleblower disclosures, reflecting a retaliatory pattern. Suppression of Advocacy: ABI Resources' efforts to expose systemic issues were met with obfuscation, delays, and financial restrictions, discouraging further whistleblower activity. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Improper Use of Federal Funds: Federal Medicaid funds intended for service delivery were mismanaged, with evidence suggesting potential redirection toward state pension liabilities, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Lack of Oversight and Audits: DSS failed to provide records of adequate program audits or actions taken against providers for complaints, creating risks of fraud, waste, and abuse. Termination of Companion Authorizations: The abrupt policy change ending companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program on December 31, 2023, adversely impacted program participants without proper public notice or justification. 5. Constitutional Violations Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy: By denying records and retaliating against disclosures, agencies infringed on First Amendment rights to petition the government. Due Process Violations: DSS and CMS failed to follow proper procedures for addressing Medicaid complaints and whistleblower allegations, violating Fifth Amendment protections. Equal Protection Denied:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Exclusionary practices under the ADA demonstrated systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, contrary to
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals listed in the complaint, representing both state and federal agencies, took the following actions that led to gross waste of taxpayer funds in the Medicaid program: State-Level Actions and Omissions: Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS): Mismanagement of Funds: Failed to oversee the proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered programs, leading to unaccounted expenditures. Negligence in Addressing Complaints: Ignored whistleblower complaints and reports of systemic inefficiencies, allowing fiscal mismanagement to continue unchecked. Failure to Implement Oversight Mechanisms: Did not enforce or improve existing financial accountability measures within DSS, enabling the misuse of funds. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director, DSS): Inadequate Legal Oversight: Did not initiate or support legal action to address known financial irregularities within Medicaid programs. Complicity in Non-Compliance: Allowed systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations by failing to enforce corrective actions. Astread Ferron-Poole (Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS): Ineffective Policy Implementation: Introduced or upheld administrative policies that lacked accountability measures for fund allocation. Administrative Inefficiency: Contributed to delays and errors in Medicaid provider payments and program oversight. Federal Oversight Failures: Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer, CMS): Failure to Conduct Audits: Neglected to ensure timely and comprehensive audits of Connecticut's Medicaid programs to identify wasteful spending. Inadequate Response to Whistleblower Complaints: Ignored documented evidence of fiscal mismanagement, further enabling the misuse of taxpayer dollars. Emmett



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Nicholson (Senior Administrator, CMS): Lack of Federal Oversight: Did not enforce federal Medicaid regulations requiring transparency and accountability in the use of funds. Permitted Wasteful Practices: Allowed significant discrepancies in the handling of Medicaid funds to persist without intervention or corrective measures. Higher-Level Negligence: William Tong (Attorney General, State of Connecticut): Failure to Investigate: Did not pursue legal investigations into reported instances of fraud, waste, or abuse within DSS or other state agencies involved in Medicaid program administration. Lack of Enforcement: Failed to hold state officials accountable for non-compliance with federal and state financial management laws. Ned Lamont (Governor, State of Connecticut): Policy Prioritization of Pension Debt Over Social Services: Directed funds meant for vulnerable populations toward resolving state pension obligations, depriving Medicaid programs of necessary resources. Ignored Whistleblower Reports: Did not take meaningful action to address whistleblower concerns regarding financial inefficiencies in state-administered programs. Key Violations: Misappropriation
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The actions leading to the gross waste of taxpayer funds occurred over a prolonged period, beginning in December 2023 and continuing to the present day. Specific milestones include: December 18, 2023: Whistleblower complaint submitted by David Medeiros to relevant authorities, identifying systemic failures and misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. December 2023 – June 2024: Initial evidence of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, including delays in addressing provider complaints and irregularities in fund allocation. March 2024: Repeated failures by state agencies, including DSS, to respond to FOIA requests seeking transparency about Medicaid fund management. Evidence surfaced that funds intended for social services were diverted toward state pension liabilities, violating the purpose of federal Medicaid funding. June 2024 – September 2024: Federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	oversight agencies, including CMS, failed to enforce corrective measures or c
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? The discovery of gross waste of funds resulted from a combination of direct involvement, diligent investigation, and whistleblower disclosures, including: First-Hand Observations: As the founder of ABI Resources and an active participant in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I directly observed systemic inefficiencies, administrative delays, and unexplained denials of services. These issues raised red flags about the program's integrity and management. Whistleblower Insights: Through ABI Resources' advocacy, reports surfaced from affected individuals and providers regarding improper denials of services, delayed reimbursements, and lack of accountability within the program. These issues suggested widespread mismanagement and potential misuse of funds. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: Multiple FOIA requests were filed with Connecticut agencies, including the Department of Social Services (DSS), to obtain transparency about Medicaid fund allocations and program administration. The consistent failure to provide complete or timely responses further substantiated concerns about intentional concealment of financial mismanagement. Patterns of ADA Non-Compliance: As an individual with a traumatic brain injury, I encountered repeated failures to provide reasonable ADA accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related information. This exclusionary behavior raised questions about the equitable distribution of funds and compliance with federal regulations. Public Disclosures and Testimonies: Testimonies from other advocates, Medicaid beneficiaries, and providers corroborated widespread systemic failures, including misappropriation of federal funds intended for social services. Documented Financial Irregularities: Analysis of Connecticut's fiscal practices revealed a concerning overlap between federal Medicaid funding and state pension debt. Federal funds



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	intended for vulnerable populations appeared to be diverted to address unrelated state financial obligations, violating Medicaid's statutory purpose. Lack of Federal Oversight: Despite raising these concerns with federal oversight bodies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), no substantive corrective actions were taken, allowing the wasteful practices to persist.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The allegation of gross waste of funds in Connecticut's Medicaid programs is supported by extensive, documented evidence of mismanagement, non-compliance with federal laws, and patterns of systemic failures. These facts include: 1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies have gone unanswered or were met with incomplete responses. Case Example: FOIA Case No. 25-00044-F sought information about Medicaid provider registry records and compliance practices. DSS failed to provide a complete response, violating FOIA obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut's FOIA statutes. This lack of transparency suggests efforts to conceal financial mismanagement or non-compliance with Medicaid regulations. 2. Disparities in Medicaid Service Allocation: Beneficiaries and providers under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program have reported delayed reimbursements, abrupt terminations of services, and inconsistent authorizations for care. Example: Companion authorizations were terminated without sufficient notice or explanation, depriving individuals with disabilities of necessary support while funds for these services remained unaccounted for. 3. Diversion of Federal Funds to State Pension Obligations: Analysis of Connecticut's budget practices revealed federal Medicaid dollars intended for vulnerable populations were used to offset state employee pension debt. Evidence: Public records and financial reports indicate that billions of dollars in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid allocations were indirectly funneled toward pension liabilities, violating the intended use of these federal funds under Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). 4. Widespread ADA Violations: DSS and other state agencies consistently failed to provide legally mandated accommodations for individuals with disabilities seeking to access program information. ADA Non-Compliance Examples: Denial of screen-reader-compatible documents. Refusal to embed response text directly in emails, as required for accessibility. Failure to accommodate requests submitted through platforms like MuckRock, disproportionately burdening individuals with cognitive disabilities. These failures disproportionately excluded individuals with disabilities from accessing Medicaid-funded services. 5. Whistleblower Retaliation: After raising concerns about Medicaid fund mismanagement, whistleblowers, including myself, experienced systemic retaliation. Example: Medicaid billing privileges for ABI Resources were restricted, and Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 was prematurely closed without resolution or justification. This retaliation aimed to suppress advocacy efforts and conceal ongoing wasteful practices. 6. Lack of Oversight and Accountability: Federal oversight agencies such as CMS and HHS failed to enforce compliance despite repeated reports of mismanagement. Evidence: Escalated complaints to the U.S. Department
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The individuals and agencies in question engaged in systemic actions and inactions that directly endangered public health, violated federal and constitutional laws, and deprived vulnerable populations of their rights and essential services. The actions include but are not limited to: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Neglected Oversight: Failed to enforce appropriate management of Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver, allowing systemic inefficiencies and care denials. Denied ADA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Accommodations: Refused to implement necessary accommodations, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), excluding individuals from accessing critical services. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Blocked Transparency: Obstructed Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withholding records critical to understanding mismanagement within Medicaid programs. Suppressed Whistleblower Disclosures: Ignored reports highlighting systemic violations, allowing the continuation of practices harmful to public health. Astread Ferron-Poole (Associate): Drafted Harmful Policies: Enabled administrative decisions that led to the termination or denial of essential Medicaid services without proper oversight or accountability. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer): Ignored Oversight Responsibilities: Failed to address formal complaints and enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, compromising the welfare of beneficiaries. Allowed Mismanagement: Permitted Connecticut DSS to operate without adequate accountability, resulting in the denial of essential services to vulnerable populations. Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator): Facilitated Inefficiencies: Approved practices that undermined Medicaid service delivery, allowing systemic gaps that endangered public health. Connecticut Leadership William Tong (Attorney General): Failed to Act on Violations: Refused to investigate or enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional laws, enabling continued systemic failures. Legal Suppression: Declined to address whistleblower complaints, perpetuating non-compliance and public health risks. Ned Lamont (Governor): Prioritized Fiscal Policy Over Health: Focused state resources on pension liabilities and administrative overhead at the expense of federally funded Medicaid programs, depriving vulnerable populations of their entitled services. Supported Mismanagement: Enabled DSS and CMS to operate without transparency or accountability, leading



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	to service failures and misuse of public funds. Specific Harmful Actions Denial of Critical Services: Terminated Medicaid benefits, including those under the ABI Waiver Program, leaving individuals with disabilities and vulnerable populations without necessary care. Misallocation of Federal Funds: Redirected Medicaid funds intended for care delivery to unrelated state financial
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The systemic violations began on December 18, 2023, following the whistleblower report submitted to relevant state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and HHS, regarding failures in Medicaid program administration. These violations remain ongoing due to persistent non-compliance with federal and state laws. Key milestones include: December 18, 2023: Submission of the whistleblower report outlining significant deficiencies in ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, and Medicaid mismanagement. January 2024 - November 2024: Subsequent FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted to state and federal agencies, including DSS and CMS, detailing requests for specific documents and accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552). February 2024: Initial responses received were incomplete, lacked ADA-compliant formats, and omitted required documentation under FOIA standards, violating 5 U.S.C. §
How did you discover this action?	The discovery of these actions occurred through a combination of direct experience, diligent investigation, and responses (or lack thereof) from state and federal agencies. Key events include: Whistleblower Advocacy and Personal Experience: As a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, I directly observed systemic barriers, non-compliance, and retaliatory actions within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These observations were corroborated through my work with ABI Resources, assisting affected individuals who faced similar challenges in accessing services and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations. FOIA Requests and Agency Responses: Through Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted between December 2023 and December 2024 to state and federal agencies, I sought documentation to verify concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and retaliatory actions. Responses received from DSS, CMS, and other agencies were incomplete, delayed, or non-compliant with FOIA mandates, raising further concerns about transparency and accountability. Failure to Provide ADA-Compliant Accommodations: In attempting to navigate agency processes as an individual with disabilities, I repeatedly requested reasonable accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131), including accessible communication formats and clear justifications for decisions. Agencies failed to comply, denying equitable access to information and participation in public processes. Reports from Affected Populations: Individuals and families reliant on Connecticut's Medicaid programs reported similar barriers, including denial of services, lack of accountability, and procedural delays. Their accounts provided additional evidence of systemic failures and the broader impact of agency actions. Analysis of Retaliatory Actions: Retaliatory actions, including Medicaid billing restrictions, programmatic changes, and unresolved complaints, became evident following my submission of a whistleblower report on December 18, 2023. These actions appeared targeted to suppress advocacy and silence scrutiny, in violation of First Amendment protections. Independent Research and Public Records: Research into Medicaid program audits, public complaints, and agency communications revealed patterns of mismanagement, waste, and potential fraud. These findings were consistent with the systemic issues I encountered. Supporting Evidence: Documentation of FOIA requests and responses demonstrating non-compliance with 5 U.S.C. § 552. Correspondence requesting ADA accommodations, which agencies



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ignored or denied, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12131. Witness accounts from affected individuals and families corroborating systemic harm and inequities. Records of retaliatory actions following the whistleblower report, further evidencing agency misconduct.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Systemic Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA FOIA Violations: Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), have gone unanswered or been responded to inadequately, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552. For example: Case No. 25-00044-F remains unfulfilled, with critical records withheld or delayed beyond statutory timelines. Denials of information have been issued without detailed justifications citing applicable statutory exemptions. ADA Non-Compliance: Requests for ADA accommodations, such as simplified communication formats, screen-reader compatible records, and named points of contact, were ignored. This violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.160, effectively excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing public records. Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: Repeated requests for accommodation were either denied or met with vague responses, causing unnecessary barriers for individuals with disabilities and violating the mandate for equal access. Retaliatory Actions and Programmatic Failures Targeted Retaliation: After submitting a whistleblower report on December 18, 2023, the Connecticut Department of Social Services implemented punitive measures, including: Restrictions on Medicaid billing for ABI Resources. Premature closure of Ticket #539494 without resolution or justification. These actions are demonstrably retaliatory and violate whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302. Medicaid Waiver Program Mismanagement: Termination of critical companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	disproportionately impacted vulnerable populations. Changes were implemented without transparency, notice, or proper justification. Gross Waste and Mismanagement of Funds Federal Medicaid Funds Misallocated: Federal Medicaid funds appear to have been diverted for purposes unrelated to healthcare, such as funding pension liabilities. This violates 42 U.S.C. § 1396a, which mandates proper use of federal funds for medical assistance. Inefficiencies and Lack of Oversight: Internal audits and public records reveal systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program administration, resulting in waste, fraud, and misuse of taxpayer dollars. Constitutional Violations First Amendment: Retaliatory actions against whistleblowing efforts directly infringe on First Amendment rights to petition the government and engage in advocacy. Due Process and Equal Protection: Procedural inequities, including denial of access to informat
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	Abuse of Authority: What Action Did They Take? Targeted Retaliatory Actions: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), under Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves, implemented billing restrictions and terminated Medicaid program authorizations, particularly targeting ABI Resources. These actions followed David Medeiros' whistleblower reports, suggesting retaliation rather than legitimate regulatory compliance. Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA: State and federal officials failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests within statutory timelines, denying transparency about Medicaid program decisions and fund usage. DSS ignored legally mandated ADA accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from accessing critical information and services. Mismanagement of Medicaid Resources: Policies and practices implemented by DSS and CMS officials resulted in systemic inefficiencies, waste, and potential fraud in Medicaid programs. Federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to enforce



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance with Medicaid and ADA regulations, exacerbating harm to vulnerable populations. Neglect of Oversight and Enforcement: Federal officials, including Michelle A. James and Emmett Nicholson at CMS, neglected their responsibilities to monitor state Medicaid operations. State officials failed to conduct meaningful investigations or audits, allowing systemic issues to persist. Suppressing Accountability: The Attorney General's Office actively defended state agencies against credible federal complaints instead of ensuring adherence to laws and addressing systemic abuses. Governor Lamont's administration publicly minimized the impact of these failures, prioritizing other financial commitments over correcting systemic violations in Medicaid programs. Creating Systemic Barriers: Officials implemented policies requiring excessive documentation, burdensome processes, and restrictive parameters for FOIA requests and Medicaid program access, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers. Why These Actions Violate Laws and Protections: Constitutional Violations: Suppression of whistleblower advocacy infringes upon First Amendment rights, while due process and equal protection violations contravene Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. ADA Non-Compliance: Excluding individuals with disabilities from critical processes violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and corresponding regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.160). FOIA Violations: Failure to comply with 5 U.S.C. § 552 undermines transparency and accountability, violating federal public records laws. Medicaid Violations: Mismanagement and non-compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396a jeopardize the integrity of Medicaid programs and misuse taxpayer funds.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The actions began on December 18, 2023, following the submission of a whistleblower report by David Medeiros to state and federal agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the Department of Justice (DOJ). These



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	violations are ongoing and continue to harm individuals and vulnerable populations. Key dates include: December 2023: DSS initiated retaliatory actions against ABI Resources, including restrictions on Medicaid billing and the improper closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494. January 2024: ADA accommodations for David Medeiros were denied or ignored, excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing critical information, violating federal obligations. Throughout 2024: FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F, were either ignored or only partially fulfilled, failing to meet statutory requirements under FOIA. Current: As of December 3, 2024, these systemic violatio
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? This information was uncovered through a combination of direct personal involvement, systematic reporting, and documented evidence gathered over time. The following methods substantiate the claim: Direct Whistleblower Reports: As a whistleblower, I submitted detailed complaints to federal and state agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC). These submissions outlined critical issues, including violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: Through persistent FOIA requests, I identified a pattern of non-compliance by Connecticut state agencies, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and a refusal to provide records in ADA-compliant formats. These failures directly violate 5 U.S.C. § 552 and state FOIA statutes. Firsthand Advocacy Experience: As the founder of ABI Resources and a disability advocate, I have worked closely with individuals and families reliant on Medicaid programs. Their testimonials reveal systemic neglect, retaliation, and procedural barriers, exacerbating vulnerabilities for those protected under federal law. Data from Official Records and Financial Audits: Publicly available Medicaid reports and budget analyses suggest



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	gross waste of funds, lack of oversight, and diversion of federal resources intended for vulnerable populations. These discrepancies align with the systemic failures reported by program participants. Patterns of Retaliatory Behavior: Evidence includes premature closures of critical Medicaid service tickets (e.g., Sandata EVV Ticket #539494), unexplained termination of service authorizations, and intentional delays in resolving compliance-related issues. Agency Communications and Inconsistencies: Correspondence with key officials in DSS, CMS, and related agencies highlighted evasive practices, lack of accountability, and repeated failures to meet statutory obligations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Patterns of Non-Compliance: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations: Persistent delays, incomplete responses, and lack of ADA-compliant communication from the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other agencies. These failures directly breach federal transparency requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and corresponding state FOIA laws. ADA Violations: Agencies consistently failed to provide reasonable accommodations, such as accessible documentation formats, clear communication, and timely responses. These omissions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and related regulations. Mismanagement and Waste of Funds: Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations have been mismanaged, as evidenced by incomplete audits, lack of oversight, and misuse of federal funds. Discrepancies in financial reporting and service authorizations raise concerns of fraud and abuse under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Service authorizations under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were terminated or restricted without proper notice or justification, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities. Evidence of Retaliation: Retaliatory actions include premature closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, unexplained denials of service authorizations, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	intentional delays in resolving complaints. These actions appear designed to suppress whistleblower advocacy and limit accountability. Human Impact: Vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, seniors, and low-income families, face heightened risks due to systemic failures in Medicaid program administration. Denial of services, lack of transparency, and retaliatory actions exacerbate harm to already at-risk communities. Failure of Oversight and Federal Agencies: Despite detailed whistleblower reports submitted to federal agencies such as the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Office of Special Counsel (OSC), and CMS, these issues remain unresolved. Federal inaction highlights the necessity of immediate and robust intervention. Documentary Evidence: FOIA responses, internal agency communications, financial reports, and documented complaints provide a clear trail of systemic mismanagement, non-compliance, and retaliation. These records substantiate claims of gross misconduct and abuse of authority. Constitutional Violations: The actions (and inactions) of the involved agencies infringe upon fundamental constitutional protections, including: First Amendment: Suppression of whistleblower advocacy. Fifth Amendment: Denial of due process in service terminations and complaint resolutions. Fourteenth Amendment: Unequal treatment of individuals with disabilities, violating equal protection guarantees. National Implications: The issues uncovered in Connecticut's Medicaid programs may reflect broader systemic failures across federally funded healthcare programs. These findings underscore t

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

of Command: High-level Administrator	of Command: High-level Administrator	Position in Chain of Command: High-level Administrator	Command: High-level Administrator
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co
Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner (Former Acting) Position in Chain of Command: Past Commission
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Connecticut Depar	Connecticut Depar	Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud
Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command: Legislative leader	Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command: Legislative leader	Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command:	Martin Looney Title: Senate President Pro Tempore Position in Chain of Command: Legislative leader



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Legislative leader	
Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi	Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi	Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi	Erik Russell Title: Treasurer Position in Chain of Command: Manages Connecticut's finances, includi
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu
Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director	Alina M. Semo Title: Director	Alina M. Semo Title: Director	Alina M. Semo Title: Director Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Command: Office of Government Information Servic	Position in Chain of Command: Office of Government Information Servic	Position in Chain of Command: Office of Government Information Servic	Command: Office of Government Information Servic
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command:	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command:	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior legal officer, resp



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Senior legal officer, resp	Senior legal officer, resp	Chain of Command: Senior legal officer, resp	
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and program coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-level decision-make
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer of Connecticut	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer of Connecticut	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer of Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		officer of Connecticu	
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the stat
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator of CMS Position in Chain of Command: Federal official o
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary of Health and Human Services Position in Chain of Command: Responsi
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator
William Tong Title: Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of t
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Head of the Connecticut Depa	Head of the Connecticut Depa	Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer at DS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight role w
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-ranking official wi



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		High-ranking official wi	
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer for the Stat
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultim
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Heads the Department of
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Compliance Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente	Officer Position in Chain of Command: Oversight, Cente
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Position in Chain of Command: High-Level Administrator
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer, State of Co
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Document is attached

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

12.03.2024 Request for Action Ensuring Justice Accountability and Financial Restitution for Vulnerable Populations and Taxpayers for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut .pdf
540144-VPT 12.03.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
540144-VPT 540144-VPT Submission complete.pdf
540144-VPT DOJ Submission complete.pdf
540144-VPT 540144-VPT Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf
Lamont touts pension savings_ _It's freed up an awful lot of money_.pdf
Connecticut to save \$18.5 billion from pension payoffs, according to report.pdf
Request for Federal Action Constitutional Rights ADA Non-Compliance Whistleblower Retaliation and Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid FundsFederal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 12.02.2024 .pdf
599875 download.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/3/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), along with other relevant state and federal officials and entities, engaged in a series of actions and omissions that constitute violations of law, rule, and regulation. These actions include: Failure to Comply with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) Incomplete Records Production: DPH failed to provide comprehensive responses to the FOIA request submitted on November 2, 2024, concerning Medicaid services associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Requested records, such as contracts, compliance reports, and interagency communications, were not provided in full, hindering transparency and accountability. Lack of Referral to Relevant Agencies: Despite the scope of the requested records, DPH failed to refer the request to other relevant agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), as required under FOIA when records are not within the agency's



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	possession. Inadequate Justifications for Denials: Redacted or withheld records lacked legally sufficient explanations, including specific citations of FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). This non-compliance undermines statutory transparency obligations. ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794) Failure to Provide ADA Accommodations: DPH repeatedly ignored or failed to meet explicit, non-negotiable ADA accommodations necessary for equitable participation, including: Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for all communications. Delivery of documents as screen-reader-compatible PDFs. Inclusion of simplified summaries for complex or technical records. Identification of FOIA officers and responsible personnel in all correspondence. Accessibility Barriers: Directing the requester to external portals and password-protected links violated ADA standards by creating barriers for individuals with cognitive disabilities, contrary to Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Mismanagement of Medicaid Records and Oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396a) Failure to Ensure Regulatory Compliance: By not producing records related to provider compliance, Medicaid funding allocation, and consumer complaints, DPH obstructed transparency in Medicaid administration. This omission raises concerns about potential misuse of federal funds, monopolistic practices, and violations of consumer rights. Lack of Provider Accountability: No evidence was provided of efforts to address complaints, conduct inspections, or enforce standards related to the specified NPIs, reflecting systemic mismanagement. Potential Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy Deliberate Obfuscation: Actions such as partial responses, procedural delays, and failure to adhere to statutory requirements appear to target efforts to expose systemic issues, potentially retaliating against whistleblower advocacy aimed at improving Medicaid transparency and ADA enforcement. C
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations occurred over an extended period, reflecting a pattern of systemic non-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance and neglect: Initial Incident Timeline: The request was submitted on November 2, 2024, under the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and federal FOIA statutes. This marked the start of the Department of Public Health's (DPH) obligation to respond promptly and transparently. Acknowledgment of Receipt: The Department acknowledged receipt of the request on November 4, 2024 (Reference #: R000770-110424), initiating statutory deadlines for compliance. Ongoing Non-Compliance: From November 4, 2024, to December 4, 2024, the Department has repeatedly failed to adhere to FOIA and ADA requirements, despite follow-ups and formal appeals: Ignored mandated ADA accommodations, such as exclusive communication through MuckRock, accessible document formatting, and simplified summaries. Failed to provide a substantive response to the FOIA request, leaving critical questions
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? I discovered the violations and non-compliance by the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) during my direct engagement with the agency regarding multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, including but not limited to FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Despite my submission of a legally compliant request and repeated follow-ups, DPH's failure to provide the requested documents in a timely manner and refusal to comply with clearly articulated ADA accommodations became evident through their responses—or lack thereof. Key indicators of non-compliance included: Inadequate Responses to FOIA Requests: Communications from DPH failed to address the scope of my request, did not provide the required records, and omitted any detailed justifications for redactions or denials, as mandated by FOIA statutes. Non-Adherence to ADA Accommodations: Despite explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records, DPH consistently failed to comply. Their reliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	on external portals and password-protected links excluded me from full and equal participation. Pattern of Systemic Failures: Through the examination of DPH's handling of other FOIA-related matters and the broader operational challenges within Connecticut's Medicaid system, I identified a pattern of disregard for statutory obligations, transparency mandates, and equitable service delivery. Firsthand Experiences: My direct interactions with the agency and its representatives highlighted procedural deficiencies, including the absence of responsible personnel's identification in communications, which impeded accountability and transparency. These discoveries are supported by documentation, email correspondence, and records demonstrating DPH's continued non-compliance with federal laws such as FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101). This evidence underscores systemic issues requiring urgent intervention to safeguard the constitutional and statutory rights of individuals and taxpayers.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Pattern of Non-Compliance: The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) has demonstrated a consistent failure to comply with FOIA and ADA requirements. For instance: Repeated instructions to access external portals violate specific ADA accommodations requesting communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform. Failure to provide screen-reader compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex records, as explicitly outlined in prior requests, hinders accessibility. Lack of Transparency and Accountability: DPH has not identified the individuals responsible for handling the FOIA requests, breaching the requirement to include names, titles, and roles for accountability. There has been no detailed explanation for denied or redacted records, nor proper statutory citations, violating FOIA's transparency mandate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Untimely Responses: FOIA requires agencies to respond promptly (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)), and Connecticut FOIA statutes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandate a response within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a)). Despite these obligations, significant delays have occurred with no justification provided. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: The requested information directly impacts Medicaid consumers and providers under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, many of whom are disabled and rely on Medicaid-funded services. DPH's refusal to comply obstructs the ability to address systemic issues affecting their care and well-being. Legal Violations of ADA Title II and Section 504: DPH has ignored legally mandated accommodations under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). By failing to adhere to the requested accommodations, DPH has excluded individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation in public processes. Federal and State Oversight Failures: Despite DPH's responsibilities under state and federal Medicaid oversight frameworks, there is no evidence of coordination with federal entities like CMS to address concerns tied to Medicaid fraud, transparency, and provider accountability. Broader Implications: DPH's systemic failures suggest that similar issues may exist across other Connecticut state agencies, pointing to a potential pattern of mismanagement that warrants further investigation by federal oversight bodies. Enhancements for Maximum Impact: Emphasize the urgency of federal intervention to protect taxpayer funds and ensure transparency in Medicaid programs. Highlight the violation of constitutional principles, particularly the First and Fourteenth Amendments, in restricting access to public information and due process. Reinforce that the requested records are vital for safeguarding the rights of disabled individuals and addressing potential misuse of public funds.
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals engaged in or allowed actions that constitute gross mismanagement of Medicaid oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administration, violating federal and state laws and failing to uphold their duties to protect vulnerable populations. The specific actions include: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Action: Failed to enforce oversight mechanisms, resulting in unchecked fraud, waste, and abuse in Medicaid programs, particularly under the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: Denied Medicaid beneficiaries equitable access to services, violating constitutional rights and federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Action: Refused to investigate or respond adequately to whistleblower complaints about Medicaid provider malfeasance. Impact: Enabled the continuation of unlawful practices, including financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Manisha Juthani, MD (Commissioner): Action: Neglected to ensure regulatory compliance and failed to act on complaints regarding substandard care and provider misconduct associated with Medicaid-funded services. Impact: Compromised public health and safety, particularly for disabled individuals relying on Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of the Attorney General: William Tong (Attorney General): Action: Declined to investigate allegations of Medicaid fraud, financial mismanagement, and constitutional violations despite credible evidence from whistleblowers and advocacy groups. Impact: Allowed systemic abuses to persist unchecked, undermining public trust and accountability. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Xavier Becerra (Secretary): Action: Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, despite receiving numerous complaints of systemic non-compliance with federal standards. Impact: Perpetuated gross mismanagement and ADA violations, harming Medicaid beneficiaries and taxpayers. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator): Action: Allowed systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program operations, ignoring whistleblower allegations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and failing to conduct necessary audits and compliance reviews. Impact: Contributed to the misuse of taxpayer funds and erosion of public trust in government programs. Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Jeffrey Beckham (Secretary): Action: Misallocated Medicaid funds and failed to enforce financial accountability measures, despite evidence of fraud and abuse. Impact: Increased the financial burden on taxpayers and exacerbated inequities in Medicaid service delivery. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ): Kristen Clarke (Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division): Action: Failed to investigate systemic ADA non-compliance and whistleblower retaliation within Connecticut Medicaid programs. Impact: Denied justice to individuals reporting government wrongdoing and perpe
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures occurred over an extended period, highlighting persistent non-compliance and negligence across multiple agencies: Timeline of Events: January 1, 2013 – Present: Ongoing Medicaid Mismanagement: Misallocation of funds, lack of oversight, and failure to provide equitable services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA standards reported consistently throughout this period. December 18, 2023: Whistleblower Report Filed: Initial formal disclosure of systemic Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and whistleblower retaliation to state and federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). November 2, 2024: FOIA Request Submitted: Specific records requested from the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and other agencies regarding Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the systemic failures through a combination of direct interactions with state agencies, public records obtained via Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, and reports from impacted individuals and organizations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Specifically: FOIA Requests: I submitted FOIA requests to the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal agencies including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The records I received highlighted glaring inconsistencies, incomplete responses, and a failure to comply with federal and state transparency laws. Whistleblower Reports: As a whistleblower, I reported these violations to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). Despite my detailed submissions, these agencies either failed to act or deferred responsibility, further evidencing systemic non-compliance and federal oversight gaps. Testimonies and Complaints: Numerous individuals, including Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates, have shared personal testimonies and complaints regarding the lack of accountability, restrictive referral practices, and systemic exclusion of vulnerable populations from decision-making processes. Analysis of Regulatory Non-Compliance: My analysis of responses—or lack thereof—confirmed violations of: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Agencies failed to provide complete records, justify redactions, or adhere to statutory deadlines. ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Agencies systematically denied reasonable accommodations, including screen-reader compatible formats and simplified summaries, violating their obligations to ensure accessible communication. Constitutional Rights: The procedural delays, lack of transparency, and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitute violations of due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Statutory and Case Law Citations: FOIA: FOIA mandates transparency and accessibility in government records. The failure to provide complete responses or justify denials violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and U.S. Supreme Court precedent in <i>U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press</i> (1989), which emphasized the public's right to know about government operations. ADA Title II: Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requires public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination. In <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004), the Supreme Court affirmed the constitutional validity of Title II as it relates to accessibility and due process for individuals with disabilities. Constitutional Protections: The procedural failures infringe upon: First Amendment: The right to petition the government is undermined by non-compliance and inaccessibility. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Systemic exclusion and denial of accommodations constitute violations of due process and equal protection. Supremacy Clause: Under the
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? This allegation is supported by a comprehensive body of evidence, direct agency actions, statutory violations, and documented patterns of systemic failures that emphasize the urgent need for federal intervention. Key Supporting Facts: Non-Compliance with FOIA: Multiple requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut's FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) were either ignored, delayed, or responded to inadequately. This includes: Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide complete records or justify redactions in compliance with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552). Absence of Proper Search: No evidence of thorough or good-faith efforts to retrieve records from databases, archived files, or inter-agency communications. Statutory Violations: Agencies consistently failed to meet the statutory deadlines outlined in FOIA and Connecticut law. ADA Violations: Agencies failed to adhere to ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by: Denying reasonable accommodations explicitly requested to support accessibility for individuals with disabilities. Providing inaccessible communication formats, such as password-protected links and portal-based responses, contrary to the outlined accommodations. Refusing to provide simplified summaries for complex records, preventing full participation by individuals with cognitive challenges. Procedural Failures and Constitutional Concerns: Due



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Process Violations: Denial of access to requested records obstructs my right to petition the government and seek redress, protected under the First and Fifth Amendments. Equal Protection Violations: Individuals with disabilities were systematically excluded from accessing critical Medicaid program data due to procedural hurdles. Documented Complaints and Testimonies: Complaints and testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates highlight: Restrictive Referral Practices: Limitations on consumer choice and autonomy in provider selection. Neglect of Accountability: Agencies failed to address consumer complaints regarding program mismanagement and accessibility barriers. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Evidence suggests possible misuse or inefficiency in allocating federal and state Medicaid funds, including: Lack of transparency in provider payments, contracts, and oversight processes. Potential misapplication of taxpayer dollars, as indicated by incomplete audits and inadequate inter-agency oversight. Inconsistent Enforcement of Federal and State Laws: Communications and records reveal conflicting policies and inconsistent enforcement of Medicaid standards, suggesting a systemic disregard for legal and procedural obligations. Whistleblower Retaliation: Efforts to expose these systemic issues through whistleblower channels were met with delays, deflections, and denials, contrary to protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Legal Citations and Preceden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in systemic abuse of authority by taking the following actions that violated constitutional laws, ADA rights, whistleblower protections, and taxpayer interests: 1. Suppressing Transparency and Public Accountability Failure to Provide FOIA-Compliant Responses: Denied or delayed access to requested Medicaid records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	seq.). Repeatedly withheld or redacted records without citing appropriate legal exemptions, violating federal transparency laws. Directed responses to inaccessible external portals and password-protected links, ignoring ADA accommodations. 2. Violating ADA Rights and Accessibility Failure to Comply with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Refused to provide reasonable accommodations, including simplified summaries, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, as explicitly requested. Created barriers to participation by directing communications to inaccessible formats and failing to identify responsible personnel in responses. 3. Engaging in Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowers Obstructing Whistleblower Reports: Targeted whistleblowers, including David Medeiros, by dismissing or disregarding submitted complaints and retaliation claims under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Failed to protect whistleblower anonymity and actively delayed investigations into alleged abuses. 4. Mismanaging Medicaid Oversight Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs: Failed to audit or address financial irregularities in Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Allowed systemic provider referral restrictions and monopolistic practices that reduced consumer choice and violated Medicaid's fair access requirements (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Neglected enforcement of provider compliance with federal and state regulations. 5. Abusing Regulatory Authority Restricting Consumer Rights: Imposed policies limiting consumer autonomy and choice in Medicaid services, violating federal Medicaid standards. Manipulated referral practices to favor certain providers, creating conflicts of interest and reducing service availability. 6. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Gross Waste and Fraudulent Allocation of Public Resources: Redirected Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations toward operational inefficiencies and potential misuse, violating the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733). Failed to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ensure that taxpayer dollars were used to enhance equitable access to healthcare services, as mandated by federal law. These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures that undermined the constitutional rights of individuals, breached ADA requirements, retaliated against whistleblowers, and eroded public trust through gross mismanagement of Medicaid services.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The abuse of authority, violations of law, and systemic failures occurred over a prolonged period, beginning no later than January 1, 2013, and continuing to the present. Specific instances include: FOIA Non-Compliance: Initial failures to comply with federal and state FOIA laws occurred with requests submitted on November 2, 2024, as detailed in FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Ongoing violations include delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and the provision of inaccessible records as of December 4, 2024. ADA Violations: Refusal to honor explicitly requested ADA accommodations began with initial correspondence and has persisted in subsequent responses and communications. Whistleblower Retaliation: Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers were reported following the submission of complaints on December 18, 2023, and subsequent disclosures. Patterns of retaliation include dismissive responses, failure to protect anonymity, and delays in addressing compl
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these systemic violations and failures through a combination of personal advocacy, formal reporting, and diligent documentation of state and federal agency responses. Each interaction, request, and investigation provided concrete evidence of the actions described: FOIA Requests and Deficient Responses: Submitted multiple FOIA requests, including #R000770-110424, seeking transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. The responses, when provided, were incomplete, delayed, or contained unjustified redactions, violating FOIA's mandates under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Agencies failed to comply with legal obligations to provide



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requested records, leaving critical gaps in accountability. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored: Submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests to ensure accessibility under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). These accommodations included exclusive use of MuckRock for communication, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, simplified summaries, and identification of responsible personnel. Despite clear requests, responses repeatedly ignored these accommodations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities. Reports and Testimonies from Affected Communities: Engaged directly with impacted individuals, families, and providers who corroborated widespread failures, including restricted access to Medicaid services and retaliatory actions against whistleblowers. These accounts highlighted patterns of systemic mismanagement that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations. Regulatory and Financial Discrepancies: Identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding, compliance, and oversight through public records, agency responses, and internal documentation. These discrepancies point to potential gross mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds, violating federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Evasive Communication and Accountability Gaps: State and federal officials, including DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and other key personnel, provided evasive or inadequate responses, further evidencing systemic failures and potential abuse of authority. Lack of Statutory Justifications: Denials and redactions of requested records were issued without clear statutory citations, in direct violation of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)), further obstructing transparency and oversight. Community Advocacy and Whistleblower Actions: My role as an advocate and whistleblower, combined with repeated attempts to engage with regulatory bodies, uncovered entrenched systemic issues. This was further reinforced by the lack of substantive action following



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formal complaints submitted to federal oversight agencies, including the DOJ and CMS.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Failure to Fulfill ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite multiple formal requests, the Department of Public Health (DPH) has consistently failed to adhere to legally required ADA accommodations. These include exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, provision of screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records. These actions constitute a direct violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), as affirmed in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004). Inconsistent and Incomplete Responses to FOIA Requests: The department's responses to FOIA requests have been incomplete, with unexplained redactions, delayed timelines, and a lack of identified responsible personnel. This violates the federal FOIA statute (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA requirements (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), both of which mandate timely and comprehensive access to requested public records. Obstruction of Oversight and Accountability: Records critical to evaluating Medicaid program transparency have been delayed or withheld, obstructing public oversight. The lack of cooperation raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal Medicaid funds, which contravenes 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and principles of accountability. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: These failures have a disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations, including Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities. Denying timely access to information exacerbates their vulnerabilities, limits their ability to make informed decisions, and denies them equitable participation in federally funded programs. Evidence of Systemic Non-Compliance: Patterns of non-compliance across state agencies suggest systemic issues rather than isolated incidents. Communications between DSS and CMS demonstrate recurring failures in oversight, accountability, and corrective actions, despite repeated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	notifications of deficiencies. This systemic neglect undermines public trust in government programs. Potential Financial Mismanagement: The refusal to provide critical Medicaid-related records raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Federal statutes like the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) require agencies to ensure transparency and integrity in the use of federal funds, which DPH's non-compliance has jeopardized. Lack of Accountability: DPH's refusal to identify responsible personnel for FOIA responses violates transparency and accountability principles. The lack of named FOIA officers obstructs effective follow-up, denying the public a fair process for obtaining government-held information. Impact on Whistleblower Protections: The documented failures undermine whistleblower protections, deterring individuals from reporting abuses and exposing systemic non-compliance. Such actions violate the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)) and comprom
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Description of Actions Taken: Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Allocated Medicaid funds without proper oversight, resulting in potential waste and fraud. This includes payments to providers associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 without conducting thorough compliance reviews or addressing unresolved complaints of service deficiencies. Failure to Conduct Adequate Audits: Neglected to perform comprehensive audits on Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, to ensure appropriate use of taxpayer dollars in compliance with federal and state laws, such as 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733). Deliberate Non-Compliance with FOIA: Failed to respond fully or promptly to FOIA requests (e.g., #R000770-110424) seeking transparency on Medicaid expenditures and oversight processes. This failure obstructs public accountability and violates 5 U.S.C. § 552. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Ignored or inadequately fulfilled



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	explicit ADA accommodation requests, including the exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. These actions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Obstruction of Transparency: Obstructed the public's ability to scrutinize Medicaid program operations by failing to provide requested records, issuing incomplete or non-compliant responses, and not identifying responsible personnel. Exclusionary Practices: Excluded vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, from decision-making processes by denying accommodations and creating systemic barriers to participation. This constitutes a violation of constitutional rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Non-Referral to Relevant Agencies: Did not refer FOIA requests to other relevant agencies, such as DSS, CMS, or the Connecticut Attorney General, when records were outside the scope of their jurisdiction. This violates both federal and state referral obligations under FOIA and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a). Improper Awarding of Medicaid Contracts: Engaged in questionable procurement practices, awarding contracts without sufficient transparency or competitive bidding processes, potentially violating federal procurement standards. Failure to Address Consumer Complaints: Did not investigate or resolve consumer complaints regarding Medicaid services, including quality-of-care violations, housing conditions, and employment practices linked to the NPIs in question. Legal Implications: Violations of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOI laws (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.). Non-compliance with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Breaches of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) due to inadequate oversight of Medicaid funds. Violations of constitutional protections under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth A
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The timeline of these actions spans several years, with critical violations occurring



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	within the following periods: Initial Violations (2013 - Present): Beginning in January 2013, systemic issues in Medicaid administration and compliance failures emerged, linked to oversight and financial management deficiencies for NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Specific FOIA Violations: The Department of Public Health (DPH) failed to provide adequate responses to FOIA Request #R000770-110424 submitted on November 2, 2024, and acknowledged on November 4, 2024. Despite statutory deadlines requiring a response within four business days, the request remains unresolved as of December 4, 2024. Ongoing ADA Violations: Persistent non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests has been evident throughout the FOIA process. These violations include the refusal to provide accessible document formats, summaries for complex records, and exclusive communication through the MuckRock plat
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? Through meticulous review and analysis of publicly accessible records, personal engagement with affected individuals, and responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests submitted to various state and federal agencies, it became evident that systemic failures were impacting Medicaid services and taxpayer resources. Key discoveries included: Analysis of FOIA Responses: The limited, delayed, or incomplete responses to FOIA requests revealed significant non-compliance with statutory obligations, particularly regarding the management of Medicaid services and the misuse of taxpayer funds. Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific FOIA numbers] were either ignored or fulfilled in a manner that violated transparency standards mandated under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Testimonies from Stakeholders: Conversations with Medicaid beneficiaries and healthcare providers highlighted pervasive issues, including: Inefficient resource allocation. Arbitrary denial of services. Lack of accountability in Medicaid provider oversight. Discrepancies in Public Records: Reviews of financial audits, regulatory filings, and state compliance reports



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding allocations, suggesting possible mismanagement or fraud. Notable issues included the absence of required documentation for National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) [e.g., NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345]. ADA Non-Compliance Reports: Direct engagement with affected individuals and groups exposed failures to accommodate disabilities as required under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). This includes: Ignored requests for accessible communication formats. Barriers to participation in public health program discussions. Whistleblower Disclosures: Internal sources and whistleblower reports corroborated evidence of systemic inefficiencies, retaliation against whistleblowers, and non-compliance with oversight protocols. These disclosures align with protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). This discovery process underscores a clear pattern of systemic issues that necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and proper use of taxpayer funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies were either ignored, partially fulfilled, or delayed well beyond statutory deadlines. Agencies failed to provide detailed justifications for redactions or denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific requests] revealed incomplete data on Medicaid funding allocations and provider compliance. Confirmed ADA Violations: Despite explicit accommodations requests, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, agencies consistently failed to comply with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). This non-compliance excluded individuals with disabilities from fully participating in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid services. Discrepancies in Medicaid Expenditures: Audits and financial reviews revealed significant inconsistencies in the allocation of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid funds. Specifically: Unexplained variances in reimbursements for services provided under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Lack of transparency in contracts and agreements, suggesting possible misuse of funds or fraudulent practices. Evidence of Systemic Mismanagement: Internal reports and whistleblower disclosures highlighted failures in Medicaid provider oversight, including: Arbitrary enforcement of compliance standards. Preferential treatment for certain providers, undermining equitable service delivery. Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers reporting these issues, violating the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Adverse Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Medicaid beneficiaries reported: Denial of critical services without explanation. Reduced access to healthcare providers due to monopolistic practices. Housing instability stemming from mismanagement of Medicaid-supported housing programs. Public Interest Indicators: Investigations have revealed that these issues are not isolated but indicative of systemic failures across the Medicaid system, both in Connecticut and potentially nationwide. The misuse of taxpayer funds and the denial of ADA rights jeopardize public trust and disproportionately harm individuals reliant on government services. Lack of Accountability: Agencies have failed to identify or address key personnel responsible for these violations, further exacerbating systemic issues. Requests for the names and titles of FOIA officers, compliance officials, and decision-makers involved in handling these matters have gone unanswered, violating transparency and accountability standards. These facts collectively demonstrate an urgent need for federal oversight and corrective action to address gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), in collaboration with the Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic actions that jeopardize public health by failing to enforce adequate oversight, transparency, and regulatory compliance within Medicaid programs. Specifically: Failure to Address Health and Safety Concerns: Neglected to investigate or address documented complaints concerning substandard care, inadequate housing conditions, and unsafe environments for Medicaid beneficiaries, including those in the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed providers associated with National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) 1962278119 and 1023012345 to continue operations despite violations of health and safety standards. Inadequate Monitoring and Inspections: Failed to conduct timely inspections and audits of healthcare providers and facilities, resulting in unaddressed risks to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities and chronic health conditions. Overlooked repeated instances of non-compliance with federal and state Medicaid regulations, such as quality-of-care standards and infection control protocols. Non-Compliance with ADA Requirements: Did not ensure that Medicaid services and facilities complied with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12132), including the provision of accessible communication, auxiliary aids, and physical accommodations for beneficiaries with disabilities. Ignored repeated requests for reasonable accommodations in communication with whistleblowers and beneficiaries, thereby excluding disabled individuals from participating in decisions affecting their care. Systemic Transparency Failures: Withheld or delayed the release of critical public health records requested under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), obstructing efforts to address systemic risks. Provided incomplete or redacted information without statutory justification, undermining public trust and accountability. Disregard for Whistleblower Reports: Failed to act on whistleblower reports highlighting systemic risks to public health, including allegations of Medicaid fraud,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority within the program. Overlooked Oversight and Compliance Obligations: Ignored federal and state mandates requiring proactive oversight of Medicaid providers and the enforcement of standards designed to protect the health and well-being of beneficiaries. Did not establish or maintain adequate systems for reporting, investigating, and addressing health and safety violations in Medicaid-funded services. These actions collectively create a significant danger to public health, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations who rely on Medicaid for essential healthcare, housing, and support services. They also erode public trust and undermine the constitutional and statutory rights of Medicaid benefic
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events: Initial Oversight Failures: Dates back to January 1, 2013, with documented lapses in Medicaid oversight under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Records show repeated non-compliance with federally mandated Medicaid reporting and inspection protocols, affecting transparency and accountability. ADA Non-Compliance: ADA violations became evident from 2018 onward, as multiple requests for accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related public health information were ignored or inadequately addressed. This includes the denial of screen-reader-compatible formats and refusal to embed critical information directly into email communications. Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation: Whistleblower reports filed on December 18, 2023, identified these systemic issues, prompting retaliatory actions and suppression of further disclosures. Despite whistleblower protections under federal law, records and investigations were obstructed, exacerbating public health risks. Current and Ongo
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? The systemic failures and associated risks to public health were uncovered through extensive research, public records requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and direct communications with affected individuals and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	communities. Specific sources of discovery include: FOIA Responses and Non-Responses: Partial, delayed, or non-compliant responses to FOIA requests revealed significant gaps in transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and related agencies. These failures highlighted a broader pattern of systemic non-compliance. Direct Reports from Impacted Individuals and Families: Numerous reports from Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those under the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, detailed inadequate access to services, poor program oversight, and failures in addressing critical health needs. Agency Communications and Records: Correspondence and documentation reviewed under FOIA requests disclosed administrative inefficiencies, lack of oversight, and potential violations of federal laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Medicaid statutes. Independent Audits and Media Investigations: Reports from watchdog organizations, investigative journalists, and independent audits corroborated evidence of widespread administrative failures, misuse of taxpayer funds, and lack of compliance with public health standards. Personal Advocacy and Expert Analysis: As a survivor of a traumatic brain injury (TBI) and founder of ABI Resources, I leveraged personal advocacy and collaboration with legal and healthcare experts to analyze the operational deficiencies and legal violations, culminating in the discovery of risks to public health. These findings underscore systemic neglect, regulatory non-compliance, and the critical need for federal intervention to address and rectify these failures. The evidence aligns with federal statutes, including FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132), which mandate transparency, accessibility, and the protection of vulnerable populations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What additional facts support your allegation? Additional Facts Supporting Allegations Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance Records indicate repeated failures to adhere to ADA Title II mandates (42 U.S.C. §



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	12132) by ignoring legally required accommodations, such as accessible communications and MuckRock platform exclusivity. Prior requests for screen-reader-compatible documents, summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel have been disregarded, as documented in communications and acknowledgment responses. Systemic Procedural Failures The Department of Public Health (DPH) has provided incomplete responses, with no clear scope of the search conducted, violating FOIA's procedural requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3). Absence of detailed justifications for redactions or withheld records contravenes statutory obligations, indicating a lack of transparency. Clear Violation of FOIA's Referral Obligations Agencies failed to refer the request to appropriate entities, such as DSS, CMS, or the Attorney General's Office, when records were not within their jurisdiction. This neglect breaches 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and demonstrates a systemic lack of inter-agency cooperation. Public Harm Amplified by Non-Compliance Vulnerable populations, particularly Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities, have been directly harmed due to delays in accessing critical records related to Medicaid services, provider transparency, and compliance audits. These failures undermine their rights to equitable healthcare access and financial independence. The refusal to disclose consumer complaints and compliance reports has obstructed efforts to identify and address systemic issues, placing public health at greater risk. Federal Oversight Recommendations Ignored Despite federal oversight bodies, including CMS and DOJ, emphasizing transparency and ADA compliance in inter-agency communications, DPH has failed to follow these directives, exacerbating systemic deficiencies. Legal Precedents Highlighting Obligations Case law such as Tennessee v. Lane (2004) affirms ADA compliance as a constitutional requirement, making these violations not just statutory but constitutional infractions. FOIA-related precedents, including U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(1989), reinforce the necessity of prompt and complete access to public records for accountability. Lack of Accountability in Personnel Actions Failure to disclose the names, titles, and roles of FOIA officers responsible for these actions violates transparency principles and hinders oversight efforts, raising concerns of deliberate evasion. Mismanagement of Federal and State Resources Potential misuse of Medicaid funds, as evidenced by gaps in compliance records and consumer protections, suggests gross mismanagement and highlights the need for immediate corrective action to prevent further taxpayer harm. Federal Supremacy Obligations Ignored Under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitutio

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Executive of the State of Connecticut	Executive of the State of Connecticut	Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General Role: Respo	General Role: Respo	Attorney General Role: Respo	
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Servic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Comprehensive documentation is also attached.

Conduct a Comprehensive Investigation

Initiate a thorough investigation into the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) for violations of federal statutes, including:

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Non-compliance with accessibility accommodations and communication requirements.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552): Failure to provide timely, complete, and accessible responses to public records requests.

Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)): Potential retaliation against individuals reporting systemic violations.

Evaluate systemic mismanagement and identify the root causes of failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight.

Mandate Federal Oversight

Request independent federal oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and related state agencies to:

Ensure transparency and compliance with federal and constitutional laws.

Address systemic barriers preventing vulnerable populations from accessing equitable healthcare.

Appoint a federal monitor to oversee the implementation of corrective actions, ensuring long-term accountability and compliance.

Ensure Corrective Actions

Require the Connecticut Department of Public Health and all implicated agencies to:

Fully comply with ADA Title II by implementing the requested accommodations, including MuckRock platform usage, screen-reader-compatible documents, and accessible communication practices.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Conduct an expanded FOIA search, with a detailed explanation of the search scope, and provide all responsive records.

Disclose all withheld records with statutory justifications for redactions, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

Enforce compliance with federal whistleblower protections, ensuring safeguards against retaliation for individuals exposing mismanagement or fraud.

Enforce Accountability

Hold responsible individuals accountable for their roles in these systemic failures, including but not limited to:

Failure to enforce transparency and ADA compliance.

Neglecting obligations to protect whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.

Require disciplinary actions or sanctions against officials who knowingly failed to comply with federal mandates.

Pursue Financial Restitution

Recover misused taxpayer funds, including Medicaid allocations, resulting from gross mismanagement or fraudulent practices.

Redirect recovered funds to programs supporting individuals with disabilities and other vulnerable populations, ensuring equitable healthcare and housing opportunities.

Strengthen Federal-State Collaboration

Recommend legislative or policy changes to improve federal oversight of state-administered programs, ensuring transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.

Establish protocols for inter-agency collaboration to prevent future systemic failures and enhance accountability.

Implement Public Transparency Measures

Mandate the publication of Medicaid program audits, FOIA compliance reviews, and ADA accommodation reports to ensure ongoing public accountability.

Require regular updates on corrective actions and progress toward compliance, accessible to all stakeholders, including the general public.

Safeguard Against Future Violations

Develop and enforce robust federal guidelines for ADA accommodations and FOIA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

compliance to be followed by all state agencies.

Provide ongoing federal oversight and regular compliance audits to ensure long-term adherence to constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements.

Conclusion

The requested actions are necessary to rectify systemic failures, restore public trust, and protect the constitutional and statutory rights of all affected individuals. By addressing these issues comprehensively, OSC can set a national precedent for transparency, accountability, and the protection of vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.04.2024 Protecting and Representing All Americans A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice Accountability and Constitutional Rights Representation Medicaid DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Criminal Division) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Social Services) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
requests (10).csv

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218,
Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/4/2024

***PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND
TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT
YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.***



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), along with other relevant state and federal officials and entities, engaged in a series of actions and omissions that constitute violations of law, rule, and regulation. These actions include: Failure to Comply with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) Incomplete Records Production: DPH failed to provide comprehensive responses to the FOIA request submitted on November 2, 2024, concerning Medicaid services associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Requested records, such as contracts, compliance reports, and interagency communications, were not provided in full, hindering transparency and accountability. Lack of Referral to Relevant Agencies: Despite the scope of the requested records, DPH failed to refer the request to other relevant agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), as required under FOIA when records are not within the agency's



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	possession. Inadequate Justifications for Denials: Redacted or withheld records lacked legally sufficient explanations, including specific citations of FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). This non-compliance undermines statutory transparency obligations. ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794) Failure to Provide ADA Accommodations: DPH repeatedly ignored or failed to meet explicit, non-negotiable ADA accommodations necessary for equitable participation, including: Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for all communications. Delivery of documents as screen-reader-compatible PDFs. Inclusion of simplified summaries for complex or technical records. Identification of FOIA officers and responsible personnel in all correspondence. Accessibility Barriers: Directing the requester to external portals and password-protected links violated ADA standards by creating barriers for individuals with cognitive disabilities, contrary to Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Mismanagement of Medicaid Records and Oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396a) Failure to Ensure Regulatory Compliance: By not producing records related to provider compliance, Medicaid funding allocation, and consumer complaints, DPH obstructed transparency in Medicaid administration. This omission raises concerns about potential misuse of federal funds, monopolistic practices, and violations of consumer rights. Lack of Provider Accountability: No evidence was provided of efforts to address complaints, conduct inspections, or enforce standards related to the specified NPIs, reflecting systemic mismanagement. Potential Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy Deliberate Obfuscation: Actions such as partial responses, procedural delays, and failure to adhere to statutory requirements appear to target efforts to expose systemic issues, potentially retaliating against whistleblower advocacy aimed at improving Medicaid transparency and ADA enforcement. C
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations occurred over an extended period, reflecting a pattern of systemic non-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance and neglect: Initial Incident Timeline: The request was submitted on November 2, 2024, under the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and federal FOIA statutes. This marked the start of the Department of Public Health's (DPH) obligation to respond promptly and transparently. Acknowledgment of Receipt: The Department acknowledged receipt of the request on November 4, 2024 (Reference #: R000770-110424), initiating statutory deadlines for compliance. Ongoing Non-Compliance: From November 4, 2024, to December 4, 2024, the Department has repeatedly failed to adhere to FOIA and ADA requirements, despite follow-ups and formal appeals: Ignored mandated ADA accommodations, such as exclusive communication through MuckRock, accessible document formatting, and simplified summaries. Failed to provide a substantive response to the FOIA request, leaving critical questions
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? I discovered the violations and non-compliance by the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) during my direct engagement with the agency regarding multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, including but not limited to FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Despite my submission of a legally compliant request and repeated follow-ups, DPH's failure to provide the requested documents in a timely manner and refusal to comply with clearly articulated ADA accommodations became evident through their responses—or lack thereof. Key indicators of non-compliance included: Inadequate Responses to FOIA Requests: Communications from DPH failed to address the scope of my request, did not provide the required records, and omitted any detailed justifications for redactions or denials, as mandated by FOIA statutes. Non-Adherence to ADA Accommodations: Despite explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records, DPH consistently failed to comply. Their reliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	on external portals and password-protected links excluded me from full and equal participation. Pattern of Systemic Failures: Through the examination of DPH's handling of other FOIA-related matters and the broader operational challenges within Connecticut's Medicaid system, I identified a pattern of disregard for statutory obligations, transparency mandates, and equitable service delivery. Firsthand Experiences: My direct interactions with the agency and its representatives highlighted procedural deficiencies, including the absence of responsible personnel's identification in communications, which impeded accountability and transparency. These discoveries are supported by documentation, email correspondence, and records demonstrating DPH's continued non-compliance with federal laws such as FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101). This evidence underscores systemic issues requiring urgent intervention to safeguard the constitutional and statutory rights of individuals and taxpayers.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Pattern of Non-Compliance: The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) has demonstrated a consistent failure to comply with FOIA and ADA requirements. For instance: Repeated instructions to access external portals violate specific ADA accommodations requesting communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform. Failure to provide screen-reader compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex records, as explicitly outlined in prior requests, hinders accessibility. Lack of Transparency and Accountability: DPH has not identified the individuals responsible for handling the FOIA requests, breaching the requirement to include names, titles, and roles for accountability. There has been no detailed explanation for denied or redacted records, nor proper statutory citations, violating FOIA's transparency mandate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Untimely Responses: FOIA requires agencies to respond promptly (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)), and Connecticut FOIA statutes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandate a response within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a)). Despite these obligations, significant delays have occurred with no justification provided. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: The requested information directly impacts Medicaid consumers and providers under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, many of whom are disabled and rely on Medicaid-funded services. DPH's refusal to comply obstructs the ability to address systemic issues affecting their care and well-being. Legal Violations of ADA Title II and Section 504: DPH has ignored legally mandated accommodations under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). By failing to adhere to the requested accommodations, DPH has excluded individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation in public processes. Federal and State Oversight Failures: Despite DPH's responsibilities under state and federal Medicaid oversight frameworks, there is no evidence of coordination with federal entities like CMS to address concerns tied to Medicaid fraud, transparency, and provider accountability. Broader Implications: DPH's systemic failures suggest that similar issues may exist across other Connecticut state agencies, pointing to a potential pattern of mismanagement that warrants further investigation by federal oversight bodies. Enhancements for Maximum Impact: Emphasize the urgency of federal intervention to protect taxpayer funds and ensure transparency in Medicaid programs. Highlight the violation of constitutional principles, particularly the First and Fourteenth Amendments, in restricting access to public information and due process. Reinforce that the requested records are vital for safeguarding the rights of disabled individuals and addressing potential misuse of public funds.
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals engaged in or allowed actions that constitute gross mismanagement of Medicaid oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administration, violating federal and state laws and failing to uphold their duties to protect vulnerable populations. The specific actions include: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Action: Failed to enforce oversight mechanisms, resulting in unchecked fraud, waste, and abuse in Medicaid programs, particularly under the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: Denied Medicaid beneficiaries equitable access to services, violating constitutional rights and federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Action: Refused to investigate or respond adequately to whistleblower complaints about Medicaid provider malfeasance. Impact: Enabled the continuation of unlawful practices, including financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Manisha Juthani, MD (Commissioner): Action: Neglected to ensure regulatory compliance and failed to act on complaints regarding substandard care and provider misconduct associated with Medicaid-funded services. Impact: Compromised public health and safety, particularly for disabled individuals relying on Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of the Attorney General: William Tong (Attorney General): Action: Declined to investigate allegations of Medicaid fraud, financial mismanagement, and constitutional violations despite credible evidence from whistleblowers and advocacy groups. Impact: Allowed systemic abuses to persist unchecked, undermining public trust and accountability. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Xavier Becerra (Secretary): Action: Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, despite receiving numerous complaints of systemic non-compliance with federal standards. Impact: Perpetuated gross mismanagement and ADA violations, harming Medicaid beneficiaries and taxpayers. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator): Action: Allowed systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program operations, ignoring whistleblower allegations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and failing to conduct necessary audits and compliance reviews. Impact: Contributed to the misuse of taxpayer funds and erosion of public trust in government programs. Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Jeffrey Beckham (Secretary): Action: Misallocated Medicaid funds and failed to enforce financial accountability measures, despite evidence of fraud and abuse. Impact: Increased the financial burden on taxpayers and exacerbated inequities in Medicaid service delivery. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ): Kristen Clarke (Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division): Action: Failed to investigate systemic ADA non-compliance and whistleblower retaliation within Connecticut Medicaid programs. Impact: Denied justice to individuals reporting government wrongdoing and perpe
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures occurred over an extended period, highlighting persistent non-compliance and negligence across multiple agencies: Timeline of Events: January 1, 2013 – Present: Ongoing Medicaid Mismanagement: Misallocation of funds, lack of oversight, and failure to provide equitable services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA standards reported consistently throughout this period. December 18, 2023: Whistleblower Report Filed: Initial formal disclosure of systemic Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and whistleblower retaliation to state and federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). November 2, 2024: FOIA Request Submitted: Specific records requested from the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and other agencies regarding Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the systemic failures through a combination of direct interactions with state agencies, public records obtained via Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, and reports from impacted individuals and organizations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Specifically: FOIA Requests: I submitted FOIA requests to the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal agencies including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The records I received highlighted glaring inconsistencies, incomplete responses, and a failure to comply with federal and state transparency laws. Whistleblower Reports: As a whistleblower, I reported these violations to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). Despite my detailed submissions, these agencies either failed to act or deferred responsibility, further evidencing systemic non-compliance and federal oversight gaps. Testimonies and Complaints: Numerous individuals, including Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates, have shared personal testimonies and complaints regarding the lack of accountability, restrictive referral practices, and systemic exclusion of vulnerable populations from decision-making processes. Analysis of Regulatory Non-Compliance: My analysis of responses—or lack thereof—confirmed violations of: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Agencies failed to provide complete records, justify redactions, or adhere to statutory deadlines. ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Agencies systematically denied reasonable accommodations, including screen-reader compatible formats and simplified summaries, violating their obligations to ensure accessible communication. Constitutional Rights: The procedural delays, lack of transparency, and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitute violations of due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Statutory and Case Law Citations: FOIA: FOIA mandates transparency and accessibility in government records. The failure to provide complete responses or justify denials violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and U.S. Supreme Court precedent in <i>U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press</i> (1989), which emphasized the public's right to know about government operations. ADA Title II: Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requires public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination. In <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004), the Supreme Court affirmed the constitutional validity of Title II as it relates to accessibility and due process for individuals with disabilities. Constitutional Protections: The procedural failures infringe upon: First Amendment: The right to petition the government is undermined by non-compliance and inaccessibility. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Systemic exclusion and denial of accommodations constitute violations of due process and equal protection. Supremacy Clause: Under the
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? This allegation is supported by a comprehensive body of evidence, direct agency actions, statutory violations, and documented patterns of systemic failures that emphasize the urgent need for federal intervention. Key Supporting Facts: Non-Compliance with FOIA: Multiple requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut's FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) were either ignored, delayed, or responded to inadequately. This includes: Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide complete records or justify redactions in compliance with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552). Absence of Proper Search: No evidence of thorough or good-faith efforts to retrieve records from databases, archived files, or inter-agency communications. Statutory Violations: Agencies consistently failed to meet the statutory deadlines outlined in FOIA and Connecticut law. ADA Violations: Agencies failed to adhere to ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by: Denying reasonable accommodations explicitly requested to support accessibility for individuals with disabilities. Providing inaccessible communication formats, such as password-protected links and portal-based responses, contrary to the outlined accommodations. Refusing to provide simplified summaries for complex records, preventing full participation by individuals with cognitive challenges. Procedural Failures and Constitutional Concerns: Due



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Process Violations: Denial of access to requested records obstructs my right to petition the government and seek redress, protected under the First and Fifth Amendments. Equal Protection Violations: Individuals with disabilities were systematically excluded from accessing critical Medicaid program data due to procedural hurdles. Documented Complaints and Testimonies: Complaints and testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates highlight: Restrictive Referral Practices: Limitations on consumer choice and autonomy in provider selection. Neglect of Accountability: Agencies failed to address consumer complaints regarding program mismanagement and accessibility barriers. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Evidence suggests possible misuse or inefficiency in allocating federal and state Medicaid funds, including: Lack of transparency in provider payments, contracts, and oversight processes. Potential misapplication of taxpayer dollars, as indicated by incomplete audits and inadequate inter-agency oversight. Inconsistent Enforcement of Federal and State Laws: Communications and records reveal conflicting policies and inconsistent enforcement of Medicaid standards, suggesting a systemic disregard for legal and procedural obligations. Whistleblower Retaliation: Efforts to expose these systemic issues through whistleblower channels were met with delays, deflections, and denials, contrary to protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Legal Citations and Preceden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in systemic abuse of authority by taking the following actions that violated constitutional laws, ADA rights, whistleblower protections, and taxpayer interests: 1. Suppressing Transparency and Public Accountability Failure to Provide FOIA-Compliant Responses: Denied or delayed access to requested Medicaid records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	seq.). Repeatedly withheld or redacted records without citing appropriate legal exemptions, violating federal transparency laws. Directed responses to inaccessible external portals and password-protected links, ignoring ADA accommodations. 2. Violating ADA Rights and Accessibility Failure to Comply with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Refused to provide reasonable accommodations, including simplified summaries, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, as explicitly requested. Created barriers to participation by directing communications to inaccessible formats and failing to identify responsible personnel in responses. 3. Engaging in Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowers Obstructing Whistleblower Reports: Targeted whistleblowers, including David Medeiros, by dismissing or disregarding submitted complaints and retaliation claims under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Failed to protect whistleblower anonymity and actively delayed investigations into alleged abuses. 4. Mismanaging Medicaid Oversight Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs: Failed to audit or address financial irregularities in Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Allowed systemic provider referral restrictions and monopolistic practices that reduced consumer choice and violated Medicaid's fair access requirements (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Neglected enforcement of provider compliance with federal and state regulations. 5. Abusing Regulatory Authority Restricting Consumer Rights: Imposed policies limiting consumer autonomy and choice in Medicaid services, violating federal Medicaid standards. Manipulated referral practices to favor certain providers, creating conflicts of interest and reducing service availability. 6. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Gross Waste and Fraudulent Allocation of Public Resources: Redirected Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations toward operational inefficiencies and potential misuse, violating the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733). Failed to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ensure that taxpayer dollars were used to enhance equitable access to healthcare services, as mandated by federal law. These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures that undermined the constitutional rights of individuals, breached ADA requirements, retaliated against whistleblowers, and eroded public trust through gross mismanagement of Medicaid services.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The abuse of authority, violations of law, and systemic failures occurred over a prolonged period, beginning no later than January 1, 2013, and continuing to the present. Specific instances include: FOIA Non-Compliance: Initial failures to comply with federal and state FOIA laws occurred with requests submitted on November 2, 2024, as detailed in FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Ongoing violations include delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and the provision of inaccessible records as of December 4, 2024. ADA Violations: Refusal to honor explicitly requested ADA accommodations began with initial correspondence and has persisted in subsequent responses and communications. Whistleblower Retaliation: Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers were reported following the submission of complaints on December 18, 2023, and subsequent disclosures. Patterns of retaliation include dismissive responses, failure to protect anonymity, and delays in addressing compl
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these systemic violations and failures through a combination of personal advocacy, formal reporting, and diligent documentation of state and federal agency responses. Each interaction, request, and investigation provided concrete evidence of the actions described: FOIA Requests and Deficient Responses: Submitted multiple FOIA requests, including #R000770-110424, seeking transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. The responses, when provided, were incomplete, delayed, or contained unjustified redactions, violating FOIA's mandates under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Agencies failed to comply with legal obligations to provide



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requested records, leaving critical gaps in accountability. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored: Submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests to ensure accessibility under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). These accommodations included exclusive use of MuckRock for communication, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, simplified summaries, and identification of responsible personnel. Despite clear requests, responses repeatedly ignored these accommodations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities. Reports and Testimonies from Affected Communities: Engaged directly with impacted individuals, families, and providers who corroborated widespread failures, including restricted access to Medicaid services and retaliatory actions against whistleblowers. These accounts highlighted patterns of systemic mismanagement that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations. Regulatory and Financial Discrepancies: Identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding, compliance, and oversight through public records, agency responses, and internal documentation. These discrepancies point to potential gross mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds, violating federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Evasive Communication and Accountability Gaps: State and federal officials, including DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and other key personnel, provided evasive or inadequate responses, further evidencing systemic failures and potential abuse of authority. Lack of Statutory Justifications: Denials and redactions of requested records were issued without clear statutory citations, in direct violation of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)), further obstructing transparency and oversight. Community Advocacy and Whistleblower Actions: My role as an advocate and whistleblower, combined with repeated attempts to engage with regulatory bodies, uncovered entrenched systemic issues. This was further reinforced by the lack of substantive action following



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formal complaints submitted to federal oversight agencies, including the DOJ and CMS.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Failure to Fulfill ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite multiple formal requests, the Department of Public Health (DPH) has consistently failed to adhere to legally required ADA accommodations. These include exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, provision of screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records. These actions constitute a direct violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), as affirmed in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004). Inconsistent and Incomplete Responses to FOIA Requests: The department's responses to FOIA requests have been incomplete, with unexplained redactions, delayed timelines, and a lack of identified responsible personnel. This violates the federal FOIA statute (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA requirements (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), both of which mandate timely and comprehensive access to requested public records. Obstruction of Oversight and Accountability: Records critical to evaluating Medicaid program transparency have been delayed or withheld, obstructing public oversight. The lack of cooperation raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal Medicaid funds, which contravenes 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and principles of accountability. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: These failures have a disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations, including Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities. Denying timely access to information exacerbates their vulnerabilities, limits their ability to make informed decisions, and denies them equitable participation in federally funded programs. Evidence of Systemic Non-Compliance: Patterns of non-compliance across state agencies suggest systemic issues rather than isolated incidents. Communications between DSS and CMS demonstrate recurring failures in oversight, accountability, and corrective actions, despite repeated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	notifications of deficiencies. This systemic neglect undermines public trust in government programs. Potential Financial Mismanagement: The refusal to provide critical Medicaid-related records raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Federal statutes like the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) require agencies to ensure transparency and integrity in the use of federal funds, which DPH's non-compliance has jeopardized. Lack of Accountability: DPH's refusal to identify responsible personnel for FOIA responses violates transparency and accountability principles. The lack of named FOIA officers obstructs effective follow-up, denying the public a fair process for obtaining government-held information. Impact on Whistleblower Protections: The documented failures undermine whistleblower protections, deterring individuals from reporting abuses and exposing systemic non-compliance. Such actions violate the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)) and comprom
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Description of Actions Taken: Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Allocated Medicaid funds without proper oversight, resulting in potential waste and fraud. This includes payments to providers associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 without conducting thorough compliance reviews or addressing unresolved complaints of service deficiencies. Failure to Conduct Adequate Audits: Neglected to perform comprehensive audits on Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, to ensure appropriate use of taxpayer dollars in compliance with federal and state laws, such as 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733). Deliberate Non-Compliance with FOIA: Failed to respond fully or promptly to FOIA requests (e.g., #R000770-110424) seeking transparency on Medicaid expenditures and oversight processes. This failure obstructs public accountability and violates 5 U.S.C. § 552. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Ignored or inadequately fulfilled



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	explicit ADA accommodation requests, including the exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. These actions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Obstruction of Transparency: Obstructed the public's ability to scrutinize Medicaid program operations by failing to provide requested records, issuing incomplete or non-compliant responses, and not identifying responsible personnel. Exclusionary Practices: Excluded vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, from decision-making processes by denying accommodations and creating systemic barriers to participation. This constitutes a violation of constitutional rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Non-Referral to Relevant Agencies: Did not refer FOIA requests to other relevant agencies, such as DSS, CMS, or the Connecticut Attorney General, when records were outside the scope of their jurisdiction. This violates both federal and state referral obligations under FOIA and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a). Improper Awarding of Medicaid Contracts: Engaged in questionable procurement practices, awarding contracts without sufficient transparency or competitive bidding processes, potentially violating federal procurement standards. Failure to Address Consumer Complaints: Did not investigate or resolve consumer complaints regarding Medicaid services, including quality-of-care violations, housing conditions, and employment practices linked to the NPIs in question. Legal Implications: Violations of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOI laws (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.). Non-compliance with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Breaches of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) due to inadequate oversight of Medicaid funds. Violations of constitutional protections under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth A
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The timeline of these actions spans several years, with critical violations occurring



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	within the following periods: Initial Violations (2013 - Present): Beginning in January 2013, systemic issues in Medicaid administration and compliance failures emerged, linked to oversight and financial management deficiencies for NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Specific FOIA Violations: The Department of Public Health (DPH) failed to provide adequate responses to FOIA Request #R000770-110424 submitted on November 2, 2024, and acknowledged on November 4, 2024. Despite statutory deadlines requiring a response within four business days, the request remains unresolved as of December 4, 2024. Ongoing ADA Violations: Persistent non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests has been evident throughout the FOIA process. These violations include the refusal to provide accessible document formats, summaries for complex records, and exclusive communication through the MuckRock plat
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? Through meticulous review and analysis of publicly accessible records, personal engagement with affected individuals, and responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests submitted to various state and federal agencies, it became evident that systemic failures were impacting Medicaid services and taxpayer resources. Key discoveries included: Analysis of FOIA Responses: The limited, delayed, or incomplete responses to FOIA requests revealed significant non-compliance with statutory obligations, particularly regarding the management of Medicaid services and the misuse of taxpayer funds. Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific FOIA numbers] were either ignored or fulfilled in a manner that violated transparency standards mandated under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Testimonies from Stakeholders: Conversations with Medicaid beneficiaries and healthcare providers highlighted pervasive issues, including: Inefficient resource allocation. Arbitrary denial of services. Lack of accountability in Medicaid provider oversight. Discrepancies in Public Records: Reviews of financial audits, regulatory filings, and state compliance reports



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding allocations, suggesting possible mismanagement or fraud. Notable issues included the absence of required documentation for National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) [e.g., NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345]. ADA Non-Compliance Reports: Direct engagement with affected individuals and groups exposed failures to accommodate disabilities as required under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). This includes: Ignored requests for accessible communication formats. Barriers to participation in public health program discussions. Whistleblower Disclosures: Internal sources and whistleblower reports corroborated evidence of systemic inefficiencies, retaliation against whistleblowers, and non-compliance with oversight protocols. These disclosures align with protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). This discovery process underscores a clear pattern of systemic issues that necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and proper use of taxpayer funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies were either ignored, partially fulfilled, or delayed well beyond statutory deadlines. Agencies failed to provide detailed justifications for redactions or denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific requests] revealed incomplete data on Medicaid funding allocations and provider compliance. Confirmed ADA Violations: Despite explicit accommodations requests, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, agencies consistently failed to comply with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). This non-compliance excluded individuals with disabilities from fully participating in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid services. Discrepancies in Medicaid Expenditures: Audits and financial reviews revealed significant inconsistencies in the allocation of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid funds. Specifically: Unexplained variances in reimbursements for services provided under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Lack of transparency in contracts and agreements, suggesting possible misuse of funds or fraudulent practices. Evidence of Systemic Mismanagement: Internal reports and whistleblower disclosures highlighted failures in Medicaid provider oversight, including: Arbitrary enforcement of compliance standards. Preferential treatment for certain providers, undermining equitable service delivery. Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers reporting these issues, violating the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Adverse Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Medicaid beneficiaries reported: Denial of critical services without explanation. Reduced access to healthcare providers due to monopolistic practices. Housing instability stemming from mismanagement of Medicaid-supported housing programs. Public Interest Indicators: Investigations have revealed that these issues are not isolated but indicative of systemic failures across the Medicaid system, both in Connecticut and potentially nationwide. The misuse of taxpayer funds and the denial of ADA rights jeopardize public trust and disproportionately harm individuals reliant on government services. Lack of Accountability: Agencies have failed to identify or address key personnel responsible for these violations, further exacerbating systemic issues. Requests for the names and titles of FOIA officers, compliance officials, and decision-makers involved in handling these matters have gone unanswered, violating transparency and accountability standards. These facts collectively demonstrate an urgent need for federal oversight and corrective action to address gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), in collaboration with the Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic actions that jeopardize public health by failing to enforce adequate oversight, transparency, and regulatory compliance within Medicaid programs. Specifically: Failure to Address Health and Safety Concerns: Neglected to investigate or address documented complaints concerning substandard care, inadequate housing conditions, and unsafe environments for Medicaid beneficiaries, including those in the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed providers associated with National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) 1962278119 and 1023012345 to continue operations despite violations of health and safety standards. Inadequate Monitoring and Inspections: Failed to conduct timely inspections and audits of healthcare providers and facilities, resulting in unaddressed risks to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities and chronic health conditions. Overlooked repeated instances of non-compliance with federal and state Medicaid regulations, such as quality-of-care standards and infection control protocols. Non-Compliance with ADA Requirements: Did not ensure that Medicaid services and facilities complied with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12132), including the provision of accessible communication, auxiliary aids, and physical accommodations for beneficiaries with disabilities. Ignored repeated requests for reasonable accommodations in communication with whistleblowers and beneficiaries, thereby excluding disabled individuals from participating in decisions affecting their care. Systemic Transparency Failures: Withheld or delayed the release of critical public health records requested under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), obstructing efforts to address systemic risks. Provided incomplete or redacted information without statutory justification, undermining public trust and accountability. Disregard for Whistleblower Reports: Failed to act on whistleblower reports highlighting systemic risks to public health, including allegations of Medicaid fraud,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority within the program. Overlooked Oversight and Compliance Obligations: Ignored federal and state mandates requiring proactive oversight of Medicaid providers and the enforcement of standards designed to protect the health and well-being of beneficiaries. Did not establish or maintain adequate systems for reporting, investigating, and addressing health and safety violations in Medicaid-funded services. These actions collectively create a significant danger to public health, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations who rely on Medicaid for essential healthcare, housing, and support services. They also erode public trust and undermine the constitutional and statutory rights of Medicaid benefic
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events: Initial Oversight Failures: Dates back to January 1, 2013, with documented lapses in Medicaid oversight under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Records show repeated non-compliance with federally mandated Medicaid reporting and inspection protocols, affecting transparency and accountability. ADA Non-Compliance: ADA violations became evident from 2018 onward, as multiple requests for accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related public health information were ignored or inadequately addressed. This includes the denial of screen-reader-compatible formats and refusal to embed critical information directly into email communications. Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation: Whistleblower reports filed on December 18, 2023, identified these systemic issues, prompting retaliatory actions and suppression of further disclosures. Despite whistleblower protections under federal law, records and investigations were obstructed, exacerbating public health risks. Current and Ongo
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? The systemic failures and associated risks to public health were uncovered through extensive research, public records requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and direct communications with affected individuals and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	communities. Specific sources of discovery include: FOIA Responses and Non-Responses: Partial, delayed, or non-compliant responses to FOIA requests revealed significant gaps in transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and related agencies. These failures highlighted a broader pattern of systemic non-compliance. Direct Reports from Impacted Individuals and Families: Numerous reports from Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those under the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, detailed inadequate access to services, poor program oversight, and failures in addressing critical health needs. Agency Communications and Records: Correspondence and documentation reviewed under FOIA requests disclosed administrative inefficiencies, lack of oversight, and potential violations of federal laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Medicaid statutes. Independent Audits and Media Investigations: Reports from watchdog organizations, investigative journalists, and independent audits corroborated evidence of widespread administrative failures, misuse of taxpayer funds, and lack of compliance with public health standards. Personal Advocacy and Expert Analysis: As a survivor of a traumatic brain injury (TBI) and founder of ABI Resources, I leveraged personal advocacy and collaboration with legal and healthcare experts to analyze the operational deficiencies and legal violations, culminating in the discovery of risks to public health. These findings underscore systemic neglect, regulatory non-compliance, and the critical need for federal intervention to address and rectify these failures. The evidence aligns with federal statutes, including FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132), which mandate transparency, accessibility, and the protection of vulnerable populations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What additional facts support your allegation? Additional Facts Supporting Allegations Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance Records indicate repeated failures to adhere to ADA Title II mandates (42 U.S.C. §



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	12132) by ignoring legally required accommodations, such as accessible communications and MuckRock platform exclusivity. Prior requests for screen-reader-compatible documents, summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel have been disregarded, as documented in communications and acknowledgment responses. Systemic Procedural Failures The Department of Public Health (DPH) has provided incomplete responses, with no clear scope of the search conducted, violating FOIA's procedural requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3). Absence of detailed justifications for redactions or withheld records contravenes statutory obligations, indicating a lack of transparency. Clear Violation of FOIA's Referral Obligations Agencies failed to refer the request to appropriate entities, such as DSS, CMS, or the Attorney General's Office, when records were not within their jurisdiction. This neglect breaches 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and demonstrates a systemic lack of inter-agency cooperation. Public Harm Amplified by Non-Compliance Vulnerable populations, particularly Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities, have been directly harmed due to delays in accessing critical records related to Medicaid services, provider transparency, and compliance audits. These failures undermine their rights to equitable healthcare access and financial independence. The refusal to disclose consumer complaints and compliance reports has obstructed efforts to identify and address systemic issues, placing public health at greater risk. Federal Oversight Recommendations Ignored Despite federal oversight bodies, including CMS and DOJ, emphasizing transparency and ADA compliance in inter-agency communications, DPH has failed to follow these directives, exacerbating systemic deficiencies. Legal Precedents Highlighting Obligations Case law such as Tennessee v. Lane (2004) affirms ADA compliance as a constitutional requirement, making these violations not just statutory but constitutional infractions. FOIA-related precedents, including U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(1989), reinforce the necessity of prompt and complete access to public records for accountability. Lack of Accountability in Personnel Actions Failure to disclose the names, titles, and roles of FOIA officers responsible for these actions violates transparency principles and hinders oversight efforts, raising concerns of deliberate evasion. Mismanagement of Federal and State Resources Potential misuse of Medicaid funds, as evidenced by gaps in compliance records and consumer protections, suggests gross mismanagement and highlights the need for immediate corrective action to prevent further taxpayer harm. Federal Supremacy Obligations Ignored Under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitutio

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Executive of the State of Connecticut	Executive of the State of Connecticut	Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Role: Respo



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General Role: Respo	General Role: Respo	Attorney General Role: Respo	
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Servic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Comprehensive documentation is also attached.

Conduct a Comprehensive Investigation

Initiate a thorough investigation into the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) for violations of federal statutes, including:

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Non-compliance with accessibility accommodations and communication requirements.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552): Failure to provide timely, complete, and accessible responses to public records requests.

Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)): Potential retaliation against individuals reporting systemic violations.

Evaluate systemic mismanagement and identify the root causes of failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight.

Mandate Federal Oversight

Request independent federal oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and related state agencies to:

Ensure transparency and compliance with federal and constitutional laws.

Address systemic barriers preventing vulnerable populations from accessing equitable healthcare.

Appoint a federal monitor to oversee the implementation of corrective actions, ensuring long-term accountability and compliance.

Ensure Corrective Actions

Require the Connecticut Department of Public Health and all implicated agencies to:

Fully comply with ADA Title II by implementing the requested accommodations, including MuckRock platform usage, screen-reader-compatible documents, and accessible communication practices.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Conduct an expanded FOIA search, with a detailed explanation of the search scope, and provide all responsive records.

Disclose all withheld records with statutory justifications for redactions, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

Enforce compliance with federal whistleblower protections, ensuring safeguards against retaliation for individuals exposing mismanagement or fraud.

Enforce Accountability

Hold responsible individuals accountable for their roles in these systemic failures, including but not limited to:

Failure to enforce transparency and ADA compliance.

Neglecting obligations to protect whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.

Require disciplinary actions or sanctions against officials who knowingly failed to comply with federal mandates.

Pursue Financial Restitution

Recover misused taxpayer funds, including Medicaid allocations, resulting from gross mismanagement or fraudulent practices.

Redirect recovered funds to programs supporting individuals with disabilities and other vulnerable populations, ensuring equitable healthcare and housing opportunities.

Strengthen Federal-State Collaboration

Recommend legislative or policy changes to improve federal oversight of state-administered programs, ensuring transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.

Establish protocols for inter-agency collaboration to prevent future systemic failures and enhance accountability.

Implement Public Transparency Measures

Mandate the publication of Medicaid program audits, FOIA compliance reviews, and ADA accommodation reports to ensure ongoing public accountability.

Require regular updates on corrective actions and progress toward compliance, accessible to all stakeholders, including the general public.

Safeguard Against Future Violations

Develop and enforce robust federal guidelines for ADA accommodations and FOIA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

compliance to be followed by all state agencies.

Provide ongoing federal oversight and regular compliance audits to ensure long-term adherence to constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements.

Conclusion

The requested actions are necessary to rectify systemic failures, restore public trust, and protect the constitutional and statutory rights of all affected individuals. By addressing these issues comprehensively, OSC can set a national precedent for transparency, accountability, and the protection of vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.04.2024 Protecting and Representing All Americans A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice Accountability and Constitutional Rights Representation Medicaid DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Criminal Division) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Social Services) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
requests (10).csv

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218,
Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/4/2024

***PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND
TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT
YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.***



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), along with other relevant state and federal officials and entities, engaged in a series of actions and omissions that constitute violations of law, rule, and regulation. These actions include: Failure to Comply with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) Incomplete Records Production: DPH failed to provide comprehensive responses to the FOIA request submitted on November 2, 2024, concerning Medicaid services associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Requested records, such as contracts, compliance reports, and interagency communications, were not provided in full, hindering transparency and accountability. Lack of Referral to Relevant Agencies: Despite the scope of the requested records, DPH failed to refer the request to other relevant agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), as required under FOIA when records are not within the agency's



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	possession. Inadequate Justifications for Denials: Redacted or withheld records lacked legally sufficient explanations, including specific citations of FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). This non-compliance undermines statutory transparency obligations. ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794) Failure to Provide ADA Accommodations: DPH repeatedly ignored or failed to meet explicit, non-negotiable ADA accommodations necessary for equitable participation, including: Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for all communications. Delivery of documents as screen-reader-compatible PDFs. Inclusion of simplified summaries for complex or technical records. Identification of FOIA officers and responsible personnel in all correspondence. Accessibility Barriers: Directing the requester to external portals and password-protected links violated ADA standards by creating barriers for individuals with cognitive disabilities, contrary to Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Mismanagement of Medicaid Records and Oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396a) Failure to Ensure Regulatory Compliance: By not producing records related to provider compliance, Medicaid funding allocation, and consumer complaints, DPH obstructed transparency in Medicaid administration. This omission raises concerns about potential misuse of federal funds, monopolistic practices, and violations of consumer rights. Lack of Provider Accountability: No evidence was provided of efforts to address complaints, conduct inspections, or enforce standards related to the specified NPIs, reflecting systemic mismanagement. Potential Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy Deliberate Obfuscation: Actions such as partial responses, procedural delays, and failure to adhere to statutory requirements appear to target efforts to expose systemic issues, potentially retaliating against whistleblower advocacy aimed at improving Medicaid transparency and ADA enforcement. C
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations occurred over an extended period, reflecting a pattern of systemic non-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance and neglect: Initial Incident Timeline: The request was submitted on November 2, 2024, under the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and federal FOIA statutes. This marked the start of the Department of Public Health's (DPH) obligation to respond promptly and transparently. Acknowledgment of Receipt: The Department acknowledged receipt of the request on November 4, 2024 (Reference #: R000770-110424), initiating statutory deadlines for compliance. Ongoing Non-Compliance: From November 4, 2024, to December 4, 2024, the Department has repeatedly failed to adhere to FOIA and ADA requirements, despite follow-ups and formal appeals: Ignored mandated ADA accommodations, such as exclusive communication through MuckRock, accessible document formatting, and simplified summaries. Failed to provide a substantive response to the FOIA request, leaving critical questions
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? I discovered the violations and non-compliance by the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) during my direct engagement with the agency regarding multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, including but not limited to FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Despite my submission of a legally compliant request and repeated follow-ups, DPH's failure to provide the requested documents in a timely manner and refusal to comply with clearly articulated ADA accommodations became evident through their responses—or lack thereof. Key indicators of non-compliance included: Inadequate Responses to FOIA Requests: Communications from DPH failed to address the scope of my request, did not provide the required records, and omitted any detailed justifications for redactions or denials, as mandated by FOIA statutes. Non-Adherence to ADA Accommodations: Despite explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records, DPH consistently failed to comply. Their reliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	on external portals and password-protected links excluded me from full and equal participation. Pattern of Systemic Failures: Through the examination of DPH's handling of other FOIA-related matters and the broader operational challenges within Connecticut's Medicaid system, I identified a pattern of disregard for statutory obligations, transparency mandates, and equitable service delivery. Firsthand Experiences: My direct interactions with the agency and its representatives highlighted procedural deficiencies, including the absence of responsible personnel's identification in communications, which impeded accountability and transparency. These discoveries are supported by documentation, email correspondence, and records demonstrating DPH's continued non-compliance with federal laws such as FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101). This evidence underscores systemic issues requiring urgent intervention to safeguard the constitutional and statutory rights of individuals and taxpayers.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Pattern of Non-Compliance: The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) has demonstrated a consistent failure to comply with FOIA and ADA requirements. For instance: Repeated instructions to access external portals violate specific ADA accommodations requesting communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform. Failure to provide screen-reader compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex records, as explicitly outlined in prior requests, hinders accessibility. Lack of Transparency and Accountability: DPH has not identified the individuals responsible for handling the FOIA requests, breaching the requirement to include names, titles, and roles for accountability. There has been no detailed explanation for denied or redacted records, nor proper statutory citations, violating FOIA's transparency mandate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Untimely Responses: FOIA requires agencies to respond promptly (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)), and Connecticut FOIA statutes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandate a response within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a)). Despite these obligations, significant delays have occurred with no justification provided. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: The requested information directly impacts Medicaid consumers and providers under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, many of whom are disabled and rely on Medicaid-funded services. DPH's refusal to comply obstructs the ability to address systemic issues affecting their care and well-being. Legal Violations of ADA Title II and Section 504: DPH has ignored legally mandated accommodations under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). By failing to adhere to the requested accommodations, DPH has excluded individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation in public processes. Federal and State Oversight Failures: Despite DPH's responsibilities under state and federal Medicaid oversight frameworks, there is no evidence of coordination with federal entities like CMS to address concerns tied to Medicaid fraud, transparency, and provider accountability. Broader Implications: DPH's systemic failures suggest that similar issues may exist across other Connecticut state agencies, pointing to a potential pattern of mismanagement that warrants further investigation by federal oversight bodies. Enhancements for Maximum Impact: Emphasize the urgency of federal intervention to protect taxpayer funds and ensure transparency in Medicaid programs. Highlight the violation of constitutional principles, particularly the First and Fourteenth Amendments, in restricting access to public information and due process. Reinforce that the requested records are vital for safeguarding the rights of disabled individuals and addressing potential misuse of public funds.
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals engaged in or allowed actions that constitute gross mismanagement of Medicaid oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administration, violating federal and state laws and failing to uphold their duties to protect vulnerable populations. The specific actions include: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Action: Failed to enforce oversight mechanisms, resulting in unchecked fraud, waste, and abuse in Medicaid programs, particularly under the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: Denied Medicaid beneficiaries equitable access to services, violating constitutional rights and federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Action: Refused to investigate or respond adequately to whistleblower complaints about Medicaid provider malfeasance. Impact: Enabled the continuation of unlawful practices, including financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Manisha Juthani, MD (Commissioner): Action: Neglected to ensure regulatory compliance and failed to act on complaints regarding substandard care and provider misconduct associated with Medicaid-funded services. Impact: Compromised public health and safety, particularly for disabled individuals relying on Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of the Attorney General: William Tong (Attorney General): Action: Declined to investigate allegations of Medicaid fraud, financial mismanagement, and constitutional violations despite credible evidence from whistleblowers and advocacy groups. Impact: Allowed systemic abuses to persist unchecked, undermining public trust and accountability. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Xavier Becerra (Secretary): Action: Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, despite receiving numerous complaints of systemic non-compliance with federal standards. Impact: Perpetuated gross mismanagement and ADA violations, harming Medicaid beneficiaries and taxpayers. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator): Action: Allowed systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program operations, ignoring whistleblower allegations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and failing to conduct necessary audits and compliance reviews. Impact: Contributed to the misuse of taxpayer funds and erosion of public trust in government programs. Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Jeffrey Beckham (Secretary): Action: Misallocated Medicaid funds and failed to enforce financial accountability measures, despite evidence of fraud and abuse. Impact: Increased the financial burden on taxpayers and exacerbated inequities in Medicaid service delivery. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ): Kristen Clarke (Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division): Action: Failed to investigate systemic ADA non-compliance and whistleblower retaliation within Connecticut Medicaid programs. Impact: Denied justice to individuals reporting government wrongdoing and perpe
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures occurred over an extended period, highlighting persistent non-compliance and negligence across multiple agencies: Timeline of Events: January 1, 2013 – Present: Ongoing Medicaid Mismanagement: Misallocation of funds, lack of oversight, and failure to provide equitable services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA standards reported consistently throughout this period. December 18, 2023: Whistleblower Report Filed: Initial formal disclosure of systemic Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and whistleblower retaliation to state and federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). November 2, 2024: FOIA Request Submitted: Specific records requested from the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and other agencies regarding Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the systemic failures through a combination of direct interactions with state agencies, public records obtained via Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, and reports from impacted individuals and organizations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Specifically: FOIA Requests: I submitted FOIA requests to the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal agencies including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The records I received highlighted glaring inconsistencies, incomplete responses, and a failure to comply with federal and state transparency laws. Whistleblower Reports: As a whistleblower, I reported these violations to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). Despite my detailed submissions, these agencies either failed to act or deferred responsibility, further evidencing systemic non-compliance and federal oversight gaps. Testimonies and Complaints: Numerous individuals, including Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates, have shared personal testimonies and complaints regarding the lack of accountability, restrictive referral practices, and systemic exclusion of vulnerable populations from decision-making processes. Analysis of Regulatory Non-Compliance: My analysis of responses—or lack thereof—confirmed violations of: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Agencies failed to provide complete records, justify redactions, or adhere to statutory deadlines. ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Agencies systematically denied reasonable accommodations, including screen-reader compatible formats and simplified summaries, violating their obligations to ensure accessible communication. Constitutional Rights: The procedural delays, lack of transparency, and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitute violations of due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Statutory and Case Law Citations: FOIA: FOIA mandates transparency and accessibility in government records. The failure to provide complete responses or justify denials violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and U.S. Supreme Court precedent in <i>U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press</i> (1989), which emphasized the public's right to know about government operations. ADA Title II: Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requires public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination. In <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004), the Supreme Court affirmed the constitutional validity of Title II as it relates to accessibility and due process for individuals with disabilities. Constitutional Protections: The procedural failures infringe upon: First Amendment: The right to petition the government is undermined by non-compliance and inaccessibility. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Systemic exclusion and denial of accommodations constitute violations of due process and equal protection. Supremacy Clause: Under the
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? This allegation is supported by a comprehensive body of evidence, direct agency actions, statutory violations, and documented patterns of systemic failures that emphasize the urgent need for federal intervention. Key Supporting Facts: Non-Compliance with FOIA: Multiple requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut's FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) were either ignored, delayed, or responded to inadequately. This includes: Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide complete records or justify redactions in compliance with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552). Absence of Proper Search: No evidence of thorough or good-faith efforts to retrieve records from databases, archived files, or inter-agency communications. Statutory Violations: Agencies consistently failed to meet the statutory deadlines outlined in FOIA and Connecticut law. ADA Violations: Agencies failed to adhere to ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by: Denying reasonable accommodations explicitly requested to support accessibility for individuals with disabilities. Providing inaccessible communication formats, such as password-protected links and portal-based responses, contrary to the outlined accommodations. Refusing to provide simplified summaries for complex records, preventing full participation by individuals with cognitive challenges. Procedural Failures and Constitutional Concerns: Due



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Process Violations: Denial of access to requested records obstructs my right to petition the government and seek redress, protected under the First and Fifth Amendments. Equal Protection Violations: Individuals with disabilities were systematically excluded from accessing critical Medicaid program data due to procedural hurdles. Documented Complaints and Testimonies: Complaints and testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates highlight: Restrictive Referral Practices: Limitations on consumer choice and autonomy in provider selection. Neglect of Accountability: Agencies failed to address consumer complaints regarding program mismanagement and accessibility barriers. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Evidence suggests possible misuse or inefficiency in allocating federal and state Medicaid funds, including: Lack of transparency in provider payments, contracts, and oversight processes. Potential misapplication of taxpayer dollars, as indicated by incomplete audits and inadequate inter-agency oversight. Inconsistent Enforcement of Federal and State Laws: Communications and records reveal conflicting policies and inconsistent enforcement of Medicaid standards, suggesting a systemic disregard for legal and procedural obligations. Whistleblower Retaliation: Efforts to expose these systemic issues through whistleblower channels were met with delays, deflections, and denials, contrary to protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Legal Citations and Preceden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in systemic abuse of authority by taking the following actions that violated constitutional laws, ADA rights, whistleblower protections, and taxpayer interests: 1. Suppressing Transparency and Public Accountability Failure to Provide FOIA-Compliant Responses: Denied or delayed access to requested Medicaid records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	seq.). Repeatedly withheld or redacted records without citing appropriate legal exemptions, violating federal transparency laws. Directed responses to inaccessible external portals and password-protected links, ignoring ADA accommodations. 2. Violating ADA Rights and Accessibility Failure to Comply with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Refused to provide reasonable accommodations, including simplified summaries, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, as explicitly requested. Created barriers to participation by directing communications to inaccessible formats and failing to identify responsible personnel in responses. 3. Engaging in Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowers Obstructing Whistleblower Reports: Targeted whistleblowers, including David Medeiros, by dismissing or disregarding submitted complaints and retaliation claims under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Failed to protect whistleblower anonymity and actively delayed investigations into alleged abuses. 4. Mismanaging Medicaid Oversight Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs: Failed to audit or address financial irregularities in Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Allowed systemic provider referral restrictions and monopolistic practices that reduced consumer choice and violated Medicaid's fair access requirements (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Neglected enforcement of provider compliance with federal and state regulations. 5. Abusing Regulatory Authority Restricting Consumer Rights: Imposed policies limiting consumer autonomy and choice in Medicaid services, violating federal Medicaid standards. Manipulated referral practices to favor certain providers, creating conflicts of interest and reducing service availability. 6. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Gross Waste and Fraudulent Allocation of Public Resources: Redirected Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations toward operational inefficiencies and potential misuse, violating the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733). Failed to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ensure that taxpayer dollars were used to enhance equitable access to healthcare services, as mandated by federal law. These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures that undermined the constitutional rights of individuals, breached ADA requirements, retaliated against whistleblowers, and eroded public trust through gross mismanagement of Medicaid services.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The abuse of authority, violations of law, and systemic failures occurred over a prolonged period, beginning no later than January 1, 2013, and continuing to the present. Specific instances include: FOIA Non-Compliance: Initial failures to comply with federal and state FOIA laws occurred with requests submitted on November 2, 2024, as detailed in FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Ongoing violations include delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and the provision of inaccessible records as of December 4, 2024. ADA Violations: Refusal to honor explicitly requested ADA accommodations began with initial correspondence and has persisted in subsequent responses and communications. Whistleblower Retaliation: Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers were reported following the submission of complaints on December 18, 2023, and subsequent disclosures. Patterns of retaliation include dismissive responses, failure to protect anonymity, and delays in addressing compl
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these systemic violations and failures through a combination of personal advocacy, formal reporting, and diligent documentation of state and federal agency responses. Each interaction, request, and investigation provided concrete evidence of the actions described: FOIA Requests and Deficient Responses: Submitted multiple FOIA requests, including #R000770-110424, seeking transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. The responses, when provided, were incomplete, delayed, or contained unjustified redactions, violating FOIA's mandates under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Agencies failed to comply with legal obligations to provide



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requested records, leaving critical gaps in accountability. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored: Submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests to ensure accessibility under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). These accommodations included exclusive use of MuckRock for communication, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, simplified summaries, and identification of responsible personnel. Despite clear requests, responses repeatedly ignored these accommodations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities. Reports and Testimonies from Affected Communities: Engaged directly with impacted individuals, families, and providers who corroborated widespread failures, including restricted access to Medicaid services and retaliatory actions against whistleblowers. These accounts highlighted patterns of systemic mismanagement that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations. Regulatory and Financial Discrepancies: Identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding, compliance, and oversight through public records, agency responses, and internal documentation. These discrepancies point to potential gross mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds, violating federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Evasive Communication and Accountability Gaps: State and federal officials, including DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and other key personnel, provided evasive or inadequate responses, further evidencing systemic failures and potential abuse of authority. Lack of Statutory Justifications: Denials and redactions of requested records were issued without clear statutory citations, in direct violation of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)), further obstructing transparency and oversight. Community Advocacy and Whistleblower Actions: My role as an advocate and whistleblower, combined with repeated attempts to engage with regulatory bodies, uncovered entrenched systemic issues. This was further reinforced by the lack of substantive action following



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formal complaints submitted to federal oversight agencies, including the DOJ and CMS.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Failure to Fulfill ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite multiple formal requests, the Department of Public Health (DPH) has consistently failed to adhere to legally required ADA accommodations. These include exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, provision of screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records. These actions constitute a direct violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), as affirmed in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004). Inconsistent and Incomplete Responses to FOIA Requests: The department's responses to FOIA requests have been incomplete, with unexplained redactions, delayed timelines, and a lack of identified responsible personnel. This violates the federal FOIA statute (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA requirements (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), both of which mandate timely and comprehensive access to requested public records. Obstruction of Oversight and Accountability: Records critical to evaluating Medicaid program transparency have been delayed or withheld, obstructing public oversight. The lack of cooperation raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal Medicaid funds, which contravenes 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and principles of accountability. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: These failures have a disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations, including Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities. Denying timely access to information exacerbates their vulnerabilities, limits their ability to make informed decisions, and denies them equitable participation in federally funded programs. Evidence of Systemic Non-Compliance: Patterns of non-compliance across state agencies suggest systemic issues rather than isolated incidents. Communications between DSS and CMS demonstrate recurring failures in oversight, accountability, and corrective actions, despite repeated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	notifications of deficiencies. This systemic neglect undermines public trust in government programs. Potential Financial Mismanagement: The refusal to provide critical Medicaid-related records raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Federal statutes like the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) require agencies to ensure transparency and integrity in the use of federal funds, which DPH's non-compliance has jeopardized. Lack of Accountability: DPH's refusal to identify responsible personnel for FOIA responses violates transparency and accountability principles. The lack of named FOIA officers obstructs effective follow-up, denying the public a fair process for obtaining government-held information. Impact on Whistleblower Protections: The documented failures undermine whistleblower protections, deterring individuals from reporting abuses and exposing systemic non-compliance. Such actions violate the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)) and comprom
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Description of Actions Taken: Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Allocated Medicaid funds without proper oversight, resulting in potential waste and fraud. This includes payments to providers associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 without conducting thorough compliance reviews or addressing unresolved complaints of service deficiencies. Failure to Conduct Adequate Audits: Neglected to perform comprehensive audits on Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, to ensure appropriate use of taxpayer dollars in compliance with federal and state laws, such as 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733). Deliberate Non-Compliance with FOIA: Failed to respond fully or promptly to FOIA requests (e.g., #R000770-110424) seeking transparency on Medicaid expenditures and oversight processes. This failure obstructs public accountability and violates 5 U.S.C. § 552. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Ignored or inadequately fulfilled



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	explicit ADA accommodation requests, including the exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. These actions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Obstruction of Transparency: Obstructed the public's ability to scrutinize Medicaid program operations by failing to provide requested records, issuing incomplete or non-compliant responses, and not identifying responsible personnel. Exclusionary Practices: Excluded vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, from decision-making processes by denying accommodations and creating systemic barriers to participation. This constitutes a violation of constitutional rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Non-Referral to Relevant Agencies: Did not refer FOIA requests to other relevant agencies, such as DSS, CMS, or the Connecticut Attorney General, when records were outside the scope of their jurisdiction. This violates both federal and state referral obligations under FOIA and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a). Improper Awarding of Medicaid Contracts: Engaged in questionable procurement practices, awarding contracts without sufficient transparency or competitive bidding processes, potentially violating federal procurement standards. Failure to Address Consumer Complaints: Did not investigate or resolve consumer complaints regarding Medicaid services, including quality-of-care violations, housing conditions, and employment practices linked to the NPIs in question. Legal Implications: Violations of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOI laws (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.). Non-compliance with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Breaches of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) due to inadequate oversight of Medicaid funds. Violations of constitutional protections under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth A
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The timeline of these actions spans several years, with critical violations occurring



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	within the following periods: Initial Violations (2013 - Present): Beginning in January 2013, systemic issues in Medicaid administration and compliance failures emerged, linked to oversight and financial management deficiencies for NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Specific FOIA Violations: The Department of Public Health (DPH) failed to provide adequate responses to FOIA Request #R000770-110424 submitted on November 2, 2024, and acknowledged on November 4, 2024. Despite statutory deadlines requiring a response within four business days, the request remains unresolved as of December 4, 2024. Ongoing ADA Violations: Persistent non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests has been evident throughout the FOIA process. These violations include the refusal to provide accessible document formats, summaries for complex records, and exclusive communication through the MuckRock plat
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? Through meticulous review and analysis of publicly accessible records, personal engagement with affected individuals, and responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests submitted to various state and federal agencies, it became evident that systemic failures were impacting Medicaid services and taxpayer resources. Key discoveries included: Analysis of FOIA Responses: The limited, delayed, or incomplete responses to FOIA requests revealed significant non-compliance with statutory obligations, particularly regarding the management of Medicaid services and the misuse of taxpayer funds. Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific FOIA numbers] were either ignored or fulfilled in a manner that violated transparency standards mandated under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Testimonies from Stakeholders: Conversations with Medicaid beneficiaries and healthcare providers highlighted pervasive issues, including: Inefficient resource allocation. Arbitrary denial of services. Lack of accountability in Medicaid provider oversight. Discrepancies in Public Records: Reviews of financial audits, regulatory filings, and state compliance reports



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding allocations, suggesting possible mismanagement or fraud. Notable issues included the absence of required documentation for National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) [e.g., NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345]. ADA Non-Compliance Reports: Direct engagement with affected individuals and groups exposed failures to accommodate disabilities as required under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). This includes: Ignored requests for accessible communication formats. Barriers to participation in public health program discussions. Whistleblower Disclosures: Internal sources and whistleblower reports corroborated evidence of systemic inefficiencies, retaliation against whistleblowers, and non-compliance with oversight protocols. These disclosures align with protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). This discovery process underscores a clear pattern of systemic issues that necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and proper use of taxpayer funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies were either ignored, partially fulfilled, or delayed well beyond statutory deadlines. Agencies failed to provide detailed justifications for redactions or denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific requests] revealed incomplete data on Medicaid funding allocations and provider compliance. Confirmed ADA Violations: Despite explicit accommodations requests, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, agencies consistently failed to comply with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). This non-compliance excluded individuals with disabilities from fully participating in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid services. Discrepancies in Medicaid Expenditures: Audits and financial reviews revealed significant inconsistencies in the allocation of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid funds. Specifically: Unexplained variances in reimbursements for services provided under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Lack of transparency in contracts and agreements, suggesting possible misuse of funds or fraudulent practices. Evidence of Systemic Mismanagement: Internal reports and whistleblower disclosures highlighted failures in Medicaid provider oversight, including: Arbitrary enforcement of compliance standards. Preferential treatment for certain providers, undermining equitable service delivery. Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers reporting these issues, violating the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Adverse Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Medicaid beneficiaries reported: Denial of critical services without explanation. Reduced access to healthcare providers due to monopolistic practices. Housing instability stemming from mismanagement of Medicaid-supported housing programs. Public Interest Indicators: Investigations have revealed that these issues are not isolated but indicative of systemic failures across the Medicaid system, both in Connecticut and potentially nationwide. The misuse of taxpayer funds and the denial of ADA rights jeopardize public trust and disproportionately harm individuals reliant on government services. Lack of Accountability: Agencies have failed to identify or address key personnel responsible for these violations, further exacerbating systemic issues. Requests for the names and titles of FOIA officers, compliance officials, and decision-makers involved in handling these matters have gone unanswered, violating transparency and accountability standards. These facts collectively demonstrate an urgent need for federal oversight and corrective action to address gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), in collaboration with the Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic actions that jeopardize public health by failing to enforce adequate oversight, transparency, and regulatory compliance within Medicaid programs. Specifically: Failure to Address Health and Safety Concerns: Neglected to investigate or address documented complaints concerning substandard care, inadequate housing conditions, and unsafe environments for Medicaid beneficiaries, including those in the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed providers associated with National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) 1962278119 and 1023012345 to continue operations despite violations of health and safety standards. Inadequate Monitoring and Inspections: Failed to conduct timely inspections and audits of healthcare providers and facilities, resulting in unaddressed risks to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities and chronic health conditions. Overlooked repeated instances of non-compliance with federal and state Medicaid regulations, such as quality-of-care standards and infection control protocols. Non-Compliance with ADA Requirements: Did not ensure that Medicaid services and facilities complied with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12132), including the provision of accessible communication, auxiliary aids, and physical accommodations for beneficiaries with disabilities. Ignored repeated requests for reasonable accommodations in communication with whistleblowers and beneficiaries, thereby excluding disabled individuals from participating in decisions affecting their care. Systemic Transparency Failures: Withheld or delayed the release of critical public health records requested under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), obstructing efforts to address systemic risks. Provided incomplete or redacted information without statutory justification, undermining public trust and accountability. Disregard for Whistleblower Reports: Failed to act on whistleblower reports highlighting systemic risks to public health, including allegations of Medicaid fraud,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority within the program. Overlooked Oversight and Compliance Obligations: Ignored federal and state mandates requiring proactive oversight of Medicaid providers and the enforcement of standards designed to protect the health and well-being of beneficiaries. Did not establish or maintain adequate systems for reporting, investigating, and addressing health and safety violations in Medicaid-funded services. These actions collectively create a significant danger to public health, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations who rely on Medicaid for essential healthcare, housing, and support services. They also erode public trust and undermine the constitutional and statutory rights of Medicaid benefic
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events: Initial Oversight Failures: Dates back to January 1, 2013, with documented lapses in Medicaid oversight under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Records show repeated non-compliance with federally mandated Medicaid reporting and inspection protocols, affecting transparency and accountability. ADA Non-Compliance: ADA violations became evident from 2018 onward, as multiple requests for accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related public health information were ignored or inadequately addressed. This includes the denial of screen-reader-compatible formats and refusal to embed critical information directly into email communications. Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation: Whistleblower reports filed on December 18, 2023, identified these systemic issues, prompting retaliatory actions and suppression of further disclosures. Despite whistleblower protections under federal law, records and investigations were obstructed, exacerbating public health risks. Current and Ongo
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? The systemic failures and associated risks to public health were uncovered through extensive research, public records requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and direct communications with affected individuals and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	communities. Specific sources of discovery include: FOIA Responses and Non-Responses: Partial, delayed, or non-compliant responses to FOIA requests revealed significant gaps in transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and related agencies. These failures highlighted a broader pattern of systemic non-compliance. Direct Reports from Impacted Individuals and Families: Numerous reports from Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those under the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, detailed inadequate access to services, poor program oversight, and failures in addressing critical health needs. Agency Communications and Records: Correspondence and documentation reviewed under FOIA requests disclosed administrative inefficiencies, lack of oversight, and potential violations of federal laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Medicaid statutes. Independent Audits and Media Investigations: Reports from watchdog organizations, investigative journalists, and independent audits corroborated evidence of widespread administrative failures, misuse of taxpayer funds, and lack of compliance with public health standards. Personal Advocacy and Expert Analysis: As a survivor of a traumatic brain injury (TBI) and founder of ABI Resources, I leveraged personal advocacy and collaboration with legal and healthcare experts to analyze the operational deficiencies and legal violations, culminating in the discovery of risks to public health. These findings underscore systemic neglect, regulatory non-compliance, and the critical need for federal intervention to address and rectify these failures. The evidence aligns with federal statutes, including FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132), which mandate transparency, accessibility, and the protection of vulnerable populations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What additional facts support your allegation? Additional Facts Supporting Allegations Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance Records indicate repeated failures to adhere to ADA Title II mandates (42 U.S.C. §



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	12132) by ignoring legally required accommodations, such as accessible communications and MuckRock platform exclusivity. Prior requests for screen-reader-compatible documents, summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel have been disregarded, as documented in communications and acknowledgment responses. Systemic Procedural Failures The Department of Public Health (DPH) has provided incomplete responses, with no clear scope of the search conducted, violating FOIA's procedural requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3). Absence of detailed justifications for redactions or withheld records contravenes statutory obligations, indicating a lack of transparency. Clear Violation of FOIA's Referral Obligations Agencies failed to refer the request to appropriate entities, such as DSS, CMS, or the Attorney General's Office, when records were not within their jurisdiction. This neglect breaches 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and demonstrates a systemic lack of inter-agency cooperation. Public Harm Amplified by Non-Compliance Vulnerable populations, particularly Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities, have been directly harmed due to delays in accessing critical records related to Medicaid services, provider transparency, and compliance audits. These failures undermine their rights to equitable healthcare access and financial independence. The refusal to disclose consumer complaints and compliance reports has obstructed efforts to identify and address systemic issues, placing public health at greater risk. Federal Oversight Recommendations Ignored Despite federal oversight bodies, including CMS and DOJ, emphasizing transparency and ADA compliance in inter-agency communications, DPH has failed to follow these directives, exacerbating systemic deficiencies. Legal Precedents Highlighting Obligations Case law such as Tennessee v. Lane (2004) affirms ADA compliance as a constitutional requirement, making these violations not just statutory but constitutional infractions. FOIA-related precedents, including U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(1989), reinforce the necessity of prompt and complete access to public records for accountability. Lack of Accountability in Personnel Actions Failure to disclose the names, titles, and roles of FOIA officers responsible for these actions violates transparency principles and hinders oversight efforts, raising concerns of deliberate evasion. Mismanagement of Federal and State Resources Potential misuse of Medicaid funds, as evidenced by gaps in compliance records and consumer protections, suggests gross mismanagement and highlights the need for immediate corrective action to prevent further taxpayer harm. Federal Supremacy Obligations Ignored Under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitutio

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Executive of the State of Connecticut	Executive of the State of Connecticut	Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General Role: Respo	General Role: Respo	Attorney General Role: Respo	
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Servic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Comprehensive documentation is also attached.

Conduct a Comprehensive Investigation

Initiate a thorough investigation into the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) for violations of federal statutes, including:

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Non-compliance with accessibility accommodations and communication requirements.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552): Failure to provide timely, complete, and accessible responses to public records requests.

Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)): Potential retaliation against individuals reporting systemic violations.

Evaluate systemic mismanagement and identify the root causes of failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight.

Mandate Federal Oversight

Request independent federal oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and related state agencies to:

Ensure transparency and compliance with federal and constitutional laws.

Address systemic barriers preventing vulnerable populations from accessing equitable healthcare.

Appoint a federal monitor to oversee the implementation of corrective actions, ensuring long-term accountability and compliance.

Ensure Corrective Actions

Require the Connecticut Department of Public Health and all implicated agencies to:

Fully comply with ADA Title II by implementing the requested accommodations, including MuckRock platform usage, screen-reader-compatible documents, and accessible communication practices.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Conduct an expanded FOIA search, with a detailed explanation of the search scope, and provide all responsive records.

Disclose all withheld records with statutory justifications for redactions, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

Enforce compliance with federal whistleblower protections, ensuring safeguards against retaliation for individuals exposing mismanagement or fraud.

Enforce Accountability

Hold responsible individuals accountable for their roles in these systemic failures, including but not limited to:

Failure to enforce transparency and ADA compliance.

Neglecting obligations to protect whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.

Require disciplinary actions or sanctions against officials who knowingly failed to comply with federal mandates.

Pursue Financial Restitution

Recover misused taxpayer funds, including Medicaid allocations, resulting from gross mismanagement or fraudulent practices.

Redirect recovered funds to programs supporting individuals with disabilities and other vulnerable populations, ensuring equitable healthcare and housing opportunities.

Strengthen Federal-State Collaboration

Recommend legislative or policy changes to improve federal oversight of state-administered programs, ensuring transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.

Establish protocols for inter-agency collaboration to prevent future systemic failures and enhance accountability.

Implement Public Transparency Measures

Mandate the publication of Medicaid program audits, FOIA compliance reviews, and ADA accommodation reports to ensure ongoing public accountability.

Require regular updates on corrective actions and progress toward compliance, accessible to all stakeholders, including the general public.

Safeguard Against Future Violations

Develop and enforce robust federal guidelines for ADA accommodations and FOIA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

compliance to be followed by all state agencies.

Provide ongoing federal oversight and regular compliance audits to ensure long-term adherence to constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements.

Conclusion

The requested actions are necessary to rectify systemic failures, restore public trust, and protect the constitutional and statutory rights of all affected individuals. By addressing these issues comprehensively, OSC can set a national precedent for transparency, accountability, and the protection of vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.04.2024 Protecting and Representing All Americans A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice Accountability and Constitutional Rights Representation Medicaid DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Criminal Division) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Social Services) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
requests (10).csv

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218,
Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/4/2024

***PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND
TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT
YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.***



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)

Street Address: 7500 Security Boulevard

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), along with other relevant state and federal officials and entities, engaged in a series of actions and omissions that constitute violations of law, rule, and regulation. These actions include: Failure to Comply with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) Incomplete Records Production: DPH failed to provide comprehensive responses to the FOIA request submitted on November 2, 2024, concerning Medicaid services associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Requested records, such as contracts, compliance reports, and interagency communications, were not provided in full, hindering transparency and accountability. Lack of Referral to Relevant Agencies: Despite the scope of the requested records, DPH failed to refer the request to other relevant agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), as required under FOIA when records are not within the agency's



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	possession. Inadequate Justifications for Denials: Redacted or withheld records lacked legally sufficient explanations, including specific citations of FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). This non-compliance undermines statutory transparency obligations. ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794) Failure to Provide ADA Accommodations: DPH repeatedly ignored or failed to meet explicit, non-negotiable ADA accommodations necessary for equitable participation, including: Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for all communications. Delivery of documents as screen-reader-compatible PDFs. Inclusion of simplified summaries for complex or technical records. Identification of FOIA officers and responsible personnel in all correspondence. Accessibility Barriers: Directing the requester to external portals and password-protected links violated ADA standards by creating barriers for individuals with cognitive disabilities, contrary to Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Mismanagement of Medicaid Records and Oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396a) Failure to Ensure Regulatory Compliance: By not producing records related to provider compliance, Medicaid funding allocation, and consumer complaints, DPH obstructed transparency in Medicaid administration. This omission raises concerns about potential misuse of federal funds, monopolistic practices, and violations of consumer rights. Lack of Provider Accountability: No evidence was provided of efforts to address complaints, conduct inspections, or enforce standards related to the specified NPIs, reflecting systemic mismanagement. Potential Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy Deliberate Obfuscation: Actions such as partial responses, procedural delays, and failure to adhere to statutory requirements appear to target efforts to expose systemic issues, potentially retaliating against whistleblower advocacy aimed at improving Medicaid transparency and ADA enforcement. C
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations occurred over an extended period, reflecting a pattern of systemic non-



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	compliance and neglect: Initial Incident Timeline: The request was submitted on November 2, 2024, under the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and federal FOIA statutes. This marked the start of the Department of Public Health's (DPH) obligation to respond promptly and transparently. Acknowledgment of Receipt: The Department acknowledged receipt of the request on November 4, 2024 (Reference #: R000770-110424), initiating statutory deadlines for compliance. Ongoing Non-Compliance: From November 4, 2024, to December 4, 2024, the Department has repeatedly failed to adhere to FOIA and ADA requirements, despite follow-ups and formal appeals: Ignored mandated ADA accommodations, such as exclusive communication through MuckRock, accessible document formatting, and simplified summaries. Failed to provide a substantive response to the FOIA request, leaving critical questions
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? I discovered the violations and non-compliance by the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) during my direct engagement with the agency regarding multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, including but not limited to FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Despite my submission of a legally compliant request and repeated follow-ups, DPH's failure to provide the requested documents in a timely manner and refusal to comply with clearly articulated ADA accommodations became evident through their responses—or lack thereof. Key indicators of non-compliance included: Inadequate Responses to FOIA Requests: Communications from DPH failed to address the scope of my request, did not provide the required records, and omitted any detailed justifications for redactions or denials, as mandated by FOIA statutes. Non-Adherence to ADA Accommodations: Despite explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records, DPH consistently failed to comply. Their reliance



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	on external portals and password-protected links excluded me from full and equal participation. Pattern of Systemic Failures: Through the examination of DPH's handling of other FOIA-related matters and the broader operational challenges within Connecticut's Medicaid system, I identified a pattern of disregard for statutory obligations, transparency mandates, and equitable service delivery. Firsthand Experiences: My direct interactions with the agency and its representatives highlighted procedural deficiencies, including the absence of responsible personnel's identification in communications, which impeded accountability and transparency. These discoveries are supported by documentation, email correspondence, and records demonstrating DPH's continued non-compliance with federal laws such as FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101). This evidence underscores systemic issues requiring urgent intervention to safeguard the constitutional and statutory rights of individuals and taxpayers.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Pattern of Non-Compliance: The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) has demonstrated a consistent failure to comply with FOIA and ADA requirements. For instance: Repeated instructions to access external portals violate specific ADA accommodations requesting communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform. Failure to provide screen-reader compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex records, as explicitly outlined in prior requests, hinders accessibility. Lack of Transparency and Accountability: DPH has not identified the individuals responsible for handling the FOIA requests, breaching the requirement to include names, titles, and roles for accountability. There has been no detailed explanation for denied or redacted records, nor proper statutory citations, violating FOIA's transparency mandate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Untimely Responses: FOIA requires agencies to respond promptly (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)), and Connecticut FOIA statutes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mandate a response within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a)). Despite these obligations, significant delays have occurred with no justification provided. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: The requested information directly impacts Medicaid consumers and providers under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, many of whom are disabled and rely on Medicaid-funded services. DPH's refusal to comply obstructs the ability to address systemic issues affecting their care and well-being. Legal Violations of ADA Title II and Section 504: DPH has ignored legally mandated accommodations under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). By failing to adhere to the requested accommodations, DPH has excluded individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation in public processes. Federal and State Oversight Failures: Despite DPH's responsibilities under state and federal Medicaid oversight frameworks, there is no evidence of coordination with federal entities like CMS to address concerns tied to Medicaid fraud, transparency, and provider accountability. Broader Implications: DPH's systemic failures suggest that similar issues may exist across other Connecticut state agencies, pointing to a potential pattern of mismanagement that warrants further investigation by federal oversight bodies. Enhancements for Maximum Impact: Emphasize the urgency of federal intervention to protect taxpayer funds and ensure transparency in Medicaid programs. Highlight the violation of constitutional principles, particularly the First and Fourteenth Amendments, in restricting access to public information and due process. Reinforce that the requested records are vital for safeguarding the rights of disabled individuals and addressing potential misuse of public funds.
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals engaged in or allowed actions that constitute gross mismanagement of Medicaid oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administration, violating federal and state laws and failing to uphold their duties to protect vulnerable populations. The specific actions include: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner): Action: Failed to enforce oversight mechanisms, resulting in unchecked fraud, waste, and abuse in Medicaid programs, particularly under the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: Denied Medicaid beneficiaries equitable access to services, violating constitutional rights and federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director): Action: Refused to investigate or respond adequately to whistleblower complaints about Medicaid provider malfeasance. Impact: Enabled the continuation of unlawful practices, including financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance. Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH): Manisha Juthani, MD (Commissioner): Action: Neglected to ensure regulatory compliance and failed to act on complaints regarding substandard care and provider misconduct associated with Medicaid-funded services. Impact: Compromised public health and safety, particularly for disabled individuals relying on Medicaid services. Connecticut Office of the Attorney General: William Tong (Attorney General): Action: Declined to investigate allegations of Medicaid fraud, financial mismanagement, and constitutional violations despite credible evidence from whistleblowers and advocacy groups. Impact: Allowed systemic abuses to persist unchecked, undermining public trust and accountability. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS): Xavier Becerra (Secretary): Action: Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, despite receiving numerous complaints of systemic non-compliance with federal standards. Impact: Perpetuated gross mismanagement and ADA violations, harming Medicaid beneficiaries and taxpayers. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator): Action: Allowed systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program operations, ignoring whistleblower allegations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and failing to conduct necessary audits and compliance reviews. Impact: Contributed to the misuse of taxpayer funds and erosion of public trust in government programs. Office of Policy and Management (OPM): Jeffrey Beckham (Secretary): Action: Misallocated Medicaid funds and failed to enforce financial accountability measures, despite evidence of fraud and abuse. Impact: Increased the financial burden on taxpayers and exacerbated inequities in Medicaid service delivery. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ): Kristen Clarke (Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division): Action: Failed to investigate systemic ADA non-compliance and whistleblower retaliation within Connecticut Medicaid programs. Impact: Denied justice to individuals reporting government wrongdoing and perpe
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures occurred over an extended period, highlighting persistent non-compliance and negligence across multiple agencies: Timeline of Events: January 1, 2013 – Present: Ongoing Medicaid Mismanagement: Misallocation of funds, lack of oversight, and failure to provide equitable services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA standards reported consistently throughout this period. December 18, 2023: Whistleblower Report Filed: Initial formal disclosure of systemic Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and whistleblower retaliation to state and federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). November 2, 2024: FOIA Request Submitted: Specific records requested from the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and other agencies regarding Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered the systemic failures through a combination of direct interactions with state agencies, public records obtained via Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, and reports from impacted individuals and organizations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Specifically: FOIA Requests: I submitted FOIA requests to the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal agencies including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The records I received highlighted glaring inconsistencies, incomplete responses, and a failure to comply with federal and state transparency laws. Whistleblower Reports: As a whistleblower, I reported these violations to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). Despite my detailed submissions, these agencies either failed to act or deferred responsibility, further evidencing systemic non-compliance and federal oversight gaps. Testimonies and Complaints: Numerous individuals, including Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates, have shared personal testimonies and complaints regarding the lack of accountability, restrictive referral practices, and systemic exclusion of vulnerable populations from decision-making processes. Analysis of Regulatory Non-Compliance: My analysis of responses—or lack thereof—confirmed violations of: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Agencies failed to provide complete records, justify redactions, or adhere to statutory deadlines. ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Agencies systematically denied reasonable accommodations, including screen-reader compatible formats and simplified summaries, violating their obligations to ensure accessible communication. Constitutional Rights: The procedural delays, lack of transparency, and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitute violations of due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Statutory and Case Law Citations: FOIA: FOIA mandates transparency and accessibility in government records. The failure to provide complete responses or justify denials violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and U.S. Supreme Court precedent in <i>U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press</i> (1989), which emphasized the public's right to know about government operations. ADA Title II: Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requires public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination. In <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004), the Supreme Court affirmed the constitutional validity of Title II as it relates to accessibility and due process for individuals with disabilities. Constitutional Protections: The procedural failures infringe upon: First Amendment: The right to petition the government is undermined by non-compliance and inaccessibility. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Systemic exclusion and denial of accommodations constitute violations of due process and equal protection. Supremacy Clause: Under the
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? This allegation is supported by a comprehensive body of evidence, direct agency actions, statutory violations, and documented patterns of systemic failures that emphasize the urgent need for federal intervention. Key Supporting Facts: Non-Compliance with FOIA: Multiple requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut's FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) were either ignored, delayed, or responded to inadequately. This includes: Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide complete records or justify redactions in compliance with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552). Absence of Proper Search: No evidence of thorough or good-faith efforts to retrieve records from databases, archived files, or inter-agency communications. Statutory Violations: Agencies consistently failed to meet the statutory deadlines outlined in FOIA and Connecticut law. ADA Violations: Agencies failed to adhere to ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by: Denying reasonable accommodations explicitly requested to support accessibility for individuals with disabilities. Providing inaccessible communication formats, such as password-protected links and portal-based responses, contrary to the outlined accommodations. Refusing to provide simplified summaries for complex records, preventing full participation by individuals with cognitive challenges. Procedural Failures and Constitutional Concerns: Due



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Process Violations: Denial of access to requested records obstructs my right to petition the government and seek redress, protected under the First and Fifth Amendments. Equal Protection Violations: Individuals with disabilities were systematically excluded from accessing critical Medicaid program data due to procedural hurdles. Documented Complaints and Testimonies: Complaints and testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates highlight: Restrictive Referral Practices: Limitations on consumer choice and autonomy in provider selection. Neglect of Accountability: Agencies failed to address consumer complaints regarding program mismanagement and accessibility barriers. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Evidence suggests possible misuse or inefficiency in allocating federal and state Medicaid funds, including: Lack of transparency in provider payments, contracts, and oversight processes. Potential misapplication of taxpayer dollars, as indicated by incomplete audits and inadequate inter-agency oversight. Inconsistent Enforcement of Federal and State Laws: Communications and records reveal conflicting policies and inconsistent enforcement of Medicaid standards, suggesting a systemic disregard for legal and procedural obligations. Whistleblower Retaliation: Efforts to expose these systemic issues through whistleblower channels were met with delays, deflections, and denials, contrary to protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Legal Citations and Preceden
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in systemic abuse of authority by taking the following actions that violated constitutional laws, ADA rights, whistleblower protections, and taxpayer interests: 1. Suppressing Transparency and Public Accountability Failure to Provide FOIA-Compliant Responses: Denied or delayed access to requested Medicaid records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	seq.). Repeatedly withheld or redacted records without citing appropriate legal exemptions, violating federal transparency laws. Directed responses to inaccessible external portals and password-protected links, ignoring ADA accommodations. 2. Violating ADA Rights and Accessibility Failure to Comply with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Refused to provide reasonable accommodations, including simplified summaries, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, as explicitly requested. Created barriers to participation by directing communications to inaccessible formats and failing to identify responsible personnel in responses. 3. Engaging in Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowers Obstructing Whistleblower Reports: Targeted whistleblowers, including David Medeiros, by dismissing or disregarding submitted complaints and retaliation claims under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Failed to protect whistleblower anonymity and actively delayed investigations into alleged abuses. 4. Mismanaging Medicaid Oversight Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs: Failed to audit or address financial irregularities in Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Allowed systemic provider referral restrictions and monopolistic practices that reduced consumer choice and violated Medicaid's fair access requirements (42 U.S.C. § 1396a). Neglected enforcement of provider compliance with federal and state regulations. 5. Abusing Regulatory Authority Restricting Consumer Rights: Imposed policies limiting consumer autonomy and choice in Medicaid services, violating federal Medicaid standards. Manipulated referral practices to favor certain providers, creating conflicts of interest and reducing service availability. 6. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Gross Waste and Fraudulent Allocation of Public Resources: Redirected Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations toward operational inefficiencies and potential misuse, violating the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733). Failed to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ensure that taxpayer dollars were used to enhance equitable access to healthcare services, as mandated by federal law. These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures that undermined the constitutional rights of individuals, breached ADA requirements, retaliated against whistleblowers, and eroded public trust through gross mismanagement of Medicaid services.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The abuse of authority, violations of law, and systemic failures occurred over a prolonged period, beginning no later than January 1, 2013, and continuing to the present. Specific instances include: FOIA Non-Compliance: Initial failures to comply with federal and state FOIA laws occurred with requests submitted on November 2, 2024, as detailed in FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Ongoing violations include delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and the provision of inaccessible records as of December 4, 2024. ADA Violations: Refusal to honor explicitly requested ADA accommodations began with initial correspondence and has persisted in subsequent responses and communications. Whistleblower Retaliation: Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers were reported following the submission of complaints on December 18, 2023, and subsequent disclosures. Patterns of retaliation include dismissive responses, failure to protect anonymity, and delays in addressing compl
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? I discovered these systemic violations and failures through a combination of personal advocacy, formal reporting, and diligent documentation of state and federal agency responses. Each interaction, request, and investigation provided concrete evidence of the actions described: FOIA Requests and Deficient Responses: Submitted multiple FOIA requests, including #R000770-110424, seeking transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. The responses, when provided, were incomplete, delayed, or contained unjustified redactions, violating FOIA's mandates under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Agencies failed to comply with legal obligations to provide



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	requested records, leaving critical gaps in accountability. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored: Submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests to ensure accessibility under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). These accommodations included exclusive use of MuckRock for communication, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, simplified summaries, and identification of responsible personnel. Despite clear requests, responses repeatedly ignored these accommodations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities. Reports and Testimonies from Affected Communities: Engaged directly with impacted individuals, families, and providers who corroborated widespread failures, including restricted access to Medicaid services and retaliatory actions against whistleblowers. These accounts highlighted patterns of systemic mismanagement that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations. Regulatory and Financial Discrepancies: Identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding, compliance, and oversight through public records, agency responses, and internal documentation. These discrepancies point to potential gross mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds, violating federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. Evasive Communication and Accountability Gaps: State and federal officials, including DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and other key personnel, provided evasive or inadequate responses, further evidencing systemic failures and potential abuse of authority. Lack of Statutory Justifications: Denials and redactions of requested records were issued without clear statutory citations, in direct violation of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)), further obstructing transparency and oversight. Community Advocacy and Whistleblower Actions: My role as an advocate and whistleblower, combined with repeated attempts to engage with regulatory bodies, uncovered entrenched systemic issues. This was further reinforced by the lack of substantive action following



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formal complaints submitted to federal oversight agencies, including the DOJ and CMS.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation Failure to Fulfill ADA Accommodation Requests: Despite multiple formal requests, the Department of Public Health (DPH) has consistently failed to adhere to legally required ADA accommodations. These include exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, provision of screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records. These actions constitute a direct violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), as affirmed in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004). Inconsistent and Incomplete Responses to FOIA Requests: The department's responses to FOIA requests have been incomplete, with unexplained redactions, delayed timelines, and a lack of identified responsible personnel. This violates the federal FOIA statute (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA requirements (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), both of which mandate timely and comprehensive access to requested public records. Obstruction of Oversight and Accountability: Records critical to evaluating Medicaid program transparency have been delayed or withheld, obstructing public oversight. The lack of cooperation raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal Medicaid funds, which contravenes 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and principles of accountability. Harm to Vulnerable Populations: These failures have a disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations, including Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities. Denying timely access to information exacerbates their vulnerabilities, limits their ability to make informed decisions, and denies them equitable participation in federally funded programs. Evidence of Systemic Non-Compliance: Patterns of non-compliance across state agencies suggest systemic issues rather than isolated incidents. Communications between DSS and CMS demonstrate recurring failures in oversight, accountability, and corrective actions, despite repeated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	notifications of deficiencies. This systemic neglect undermines public trust in government programs. Potential Financial Mismanagement: The refusal to provide critical Medicaid-related records raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Federal statutes like the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) require agencies to ensure transparency and integrity in the use of federal funds, which DPH's non-compliance has jeopardized. Lack of Accountability: DPH's refusal to identify responsible personnel for FOIA responses violates transparency and accountability principles. The lack of named FOIA officers obstructs effective follow-up, denying the public a fair process for obtaining government-held information. Impact on Whistleblower Protections: The documented failures undermine whistleblower protections, deterring individuals from reporting abuses and exposing systemic non-compliance. Such actions violate the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)) and comprom
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Description of Actions Taken: Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds: Allocated Medicaid funds without proper oversight, resulting in potential waste and fraud. This includes payments to providers associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 without conducting thorough compliance reviews or addressing unresolved complaints of service deficiencies. Failure to Conduct Adequate Audits: Neglected to perform comprehensive audits on Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, to ensure appropriate use of taxpayer dollars in compliance with federal and state laws, such as 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733). Deliberate Non-Compliance with FOIA: Failed to respond fully or promptly to FOIA requests (e.g., #R000770-110424) seeking transparency on Medicaid expenditures and oversight processes. This failure obstructs public accountability and violates 5 U.S.C. § 552. Denial of ADA Accommodations: Ignored or inadequately fulfilled



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	explicit ADA accommodation requests, including the exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. These actions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Obstruction of Transparency: Obstructed the public's ability to scrutinize Medicaid program operations by failing to provide requested records, issuing incomplete or non-compliant responses, and not identifying responsible personnel. Exclusionary Practices: Excluded vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, from decision-making processes by denying accommodations and creating systemic barriers to participation. This constitutes a violation of constitutional rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Non-Referral to Relevant Agencies: Did not refer FOIA requests to other relevant agencies, such as DSS, CMS, or the Connecticut Attorney General, when records were outside the scope of their jurisdiction. This violates both federal and state referral obligations under FOIA and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a). Improper Awarding of Medicaid Contracts: Engaged in questionable procurement practices, awarding contracts without sufficient transparency or competitive bidding processes, potentially violating federal procurement standards. Failure to Address Consumer Complaints: Did not investigate or resolve consumer complaints regarding Medicaid services, including quality-of-care violations, housing conditions, and employment practices linked to the NPIs in question. Legal Implications: Violations of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOI laws (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.). Non-compliance with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Breaches of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) due to inadequate oversight of Medicaid funds. Violations of constitutional protections under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth A
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? The timeline of these actions spans several years, with critical violations occurring



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	within the following periods: Initial Violations (2013 - Present): Beginning in January 2013, systemic issues in Medicaid administration and compliance failures emerged, linked to oversight and financial management deficiencies for NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345. Specific FOIA Violations: The Department of Public Health (DPH) failed to provide adequate responses to FOIA Request #R000770-110424 submitted on November 2, 2024, and acknowledged on November 4, 2024. Despite statutory deadlines requiring a response within four business days, the request remains unresolved as of December 4, 2024. Ongoing ADA Violations: Persistent non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests has been evident throughout the FOIA process. These violations include the refusal to provide accessible document formats, summaries for complex records, and exclusive communication through the MuckRock plat
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? Through meticulous review and analysis of publicly accessible records, personal engagement with affected individuals, and responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests submitted to various state and federal agencies, it became evident that systemic failures were impacting Medicaid services and taxpayer resources. Key discoveries included: Analysis of FOIA Responses: The limited, delayed, or incomplete responses to FOIA requests revealed significant non-compliance with statutory obligations, particularly regarding the management of Medicaid services and the misuse of taxpayer funds. Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific FOIA numbers] were either ignored or fulfilled in a manner that violated transparency standards mandated under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Testimonies from Stakeholders: Conversations with Medicaid beneficiaries and healthcare providers highlighted pervasive issues, including: Inefficient resource allocation. Arbitrary denial of services. Lack of accountability in Medicaid provider oversight. Discrepancies in Public Records: Reviews of financial audits, regulatory filings, and state compliance reports



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding allocations, suggesting possible mismanagement or fraud. Notable issues included the absence of required documentation for National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) [e.g., NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345]. ADA Non-Compliance Reports: Direct engagement with affected individuals and groups exposed failures to accommodate disabilities as required under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). This includes: Ignored requests for accessible communication formats. Barriers to participation in public health program discussions. Whistleblower Disclosures: Internal sources and whistleblower reports corroborated evidence of systemic inefficiencies, retaliation against whistleblowers, and non-compliance with oversight protocols. These disclosures align with protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). This discovery process underscores a clear pattern of systemic issues that necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and proper use of taxpayer funds.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Documented FOIA Non-Compliance: Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies were either ignored, partially fulfilled, or delayed well beyond statutory deadlines. Agencies failed to provide detailed justifications for redactions or denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific requests] revealed incomplete data on Medicaid funding allocations and provider compliance. Confirmed ADA Violations: Despite explicit accommodations requests, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, agencies consistently failed to comply with Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). This non-compliance excluded individuals with disabilities from fully participating in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid services. Discrepancies in Medicaid Expenditures: Audits and financial reviews revealed significant inconsistencies in the allocation of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid funds. Specifically: Unexplained variances in reimbursements for services provided under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Lack of transparency in contracts and agreements, suggesting possible misuse of funds or fraudulent practices. Evidence of Systemic Mismanagement: Internal reports and whistleblower disclosures highlighted failures in Medicaid provider oversight, including: Arbitrary enforcement of compliance standards. Preferential treatment for certain providers, undermining equitable service delivery. Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers reporting these issues, violating the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Adverse Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Medicaid beneficiaries reported: Denial of critical services without explanation. Reduced access to healthcare providers due to monopolistic practices. Housing instability stemming from mismanagement of Medicaid-supported housing programs. Public Interest Indicators: Investigations have revealed that these issues are not isolated but indicative of systemic failures across the Medicaid system, both in Connecticut and potentially nationwide. The misuse of taxpayer funds and the denial of ADA rights jeopardize public trust and disproportionately harm individuals reliant on government services. Lack of Accountability: Agencies have failed to identify or address key personnel responsible for these violations, further exacerbating systemic issues. Requests for the names and titles of FOIA officers, compliance officials, and decision-makers involved in handling these matters have gone unanswered, violating transparency and accountability standards. These facts collectively demonstrate an urgent need for federal oversight and corrective action to address gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), in collaboration with the Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	systemic actions that jeopardize public health by failing to enforce adequate oversight, transparency, and regulatory compliance within Medicaid programs. Specifically: Failure to Address Health and Safety Concerns: Neglected to investigate or address documented complaints concerning substandard care, inadequate housing conditions, and unsafe environments for Medicaid beneficiaries, including those in the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed providers associated with National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) 1962278119 and 1023012345 to continue operations despite violations of health and safety standards. Inadequate Monitoring and Inspections: Failed to conduct timely inspections and audits of healthcare providers and facilities, resulting in unaddressed risks to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities and chronic health conditions. Overlooked repeated instances of non-compliance with federal and state Medicaid regulations, such as quality-of-care standards and infection control protocols. Non-Compliance with ADA Requirements: Did not ensure that Medicaid services and facilities complied with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12132), including the provision of accessible communication, auxiliary aids, and physical accommodations for beneficiaries with disabilities. Ignored repeated requests for reasonable accommodations in communication with whistleblowers and beneficiaries, thereby excluding disabled individuals from participating in decisions affecting their care. Systemic Transparency Failures: Withheld or delayed the release of critical public health records requested under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), obstructing efforts to address systemic risks. Provided incomplete or redacted information without statutory justification, undermining public trust and accountability. Disregard for Whistleblower Reports: Failed to act on whistleblower reports highlighting systemic risks to public health, including allegations of Medicaid fraud,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority within the program. Overlooked Oversight and Compliance Obligations: Ignored federal and state mandates requiring proactive oversight of Medicaid providers and the enforcement of standards designed to protect the health and well-being of beneficiaries. Did not establish or maintain adequate systems for reporting, investigating, and addressing health and safety violations in Medicaid-funded services. These actions collectively create a significant danger to public health, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations who rely on Medicaid for essential healthcare, housing, and support services. They also erode public trust and undermine the constitutional and statutory rights of Medicaid benefic
When did this occur?	Timeline of Events: Initial Oversight Failures: Dates back to January 1, 2013, with documented lapses in Medicaid oversight under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Records show repeated non-compliance with federally mandated Medicaid reporting and inspection protocols, affecting transparency and accountability. ADA Non-Compliance: ADA violations became evident from 2018 onward, as multiple requests for accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related public health information were ignored or inadequately addressed. This includes the denial of screen-reader-compatible formats and refusal to embed critical information directly into email communications. Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation: Whistleblower reports filed on December 18, 2023, identified these systemic issues, prompting retaliatory actions and suppression of further disclosures. Despite whistleblower protections under federal law, records and investigations were obstructed, exacerbating public health risks. Current and Ongo
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? The systemic failures and associated risks to public health were uncovered through extensive research, public records requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and direct communications with affected individuals and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	communities. Specific sources of discovery include: FOIA Responses and Non-Responses: Partial, delayed, or non-compliant responses to FOIA requests revealed significant gaps in transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and related agencies. These failures highlighted a broader pattern of systemic non-compliance. Direct Reports from Impacted Individuals and Families: Numerous reports from Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those under the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, detailed inadequate access to services, poor program oversight, and failures in addressing critical health needs. Agency Communications and Records: Correspondence and documentation reviewed under FOIA requests disclosed administrative inefficiencies, lack of oversight, and potential violations of federal laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Medicaid statutes. Independent Audits and Media Investigations: Reports from watchdog organizations, investigative journalists, and independent audits corroborated evidence of widespread administrative failures, misuse of taxpayer funds, and lack of compliance with public health standards. Personal Advocacy and Expert Analysis: As a survivor of a traumatic brain injury (TBI) and founder of ABI Resources, I leveraged personal advocacy and collaboration with legal and healthcare experts to analyze the operational deficiencies and legal violations, culminating in the discovery of risks to public health. These findings underscore systemic neglect, regulatory non-compliance, and the critical need for federal intervention to address and rectify these failures. The evidence aligns with federal statutes, including FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132), which mandate transparency, accessibility, and the protection of vulnerable populations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What additional facts support your allegation? Additional Facts Supporting Allegations Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance Records indicate repeated failures to adhere to ADA Title II mandates (42 U.S.C. §



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	12132) by ignoring legally required accommodations, such as accessible communications and MuckRock platform exclusivity. Prior requests for screen-reader-compatible documents, summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel have been disregarded, as documented in communications and acknowledgment responses. Systemic Procedural Failures The Department of Public Health (DPH) has provided incomplete responses, with no clear scope of the search conducted, violating FOIA's procedural requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3). Absence of detailed justifications for redactions or withheld records contravenes statutory obligations, indicating a lack of transparency. Clear Violation of FOIA's Referral Obligations Agencies failed to refer the request to appropriate entities, such as DSS, CMS, or the Attorney General's Office, when records were not within their jurisdiction. This neglect breaches 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and demonstrates a systemic lack of inter-agency cooperation. Public Harm Amplified by Non-Compliance Vulnerable populations, particularly Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities, have been directly harmed due to delays in accessing critical records related to Medicaid services, provider transparency, and compliance audits. These failures undermine their rights to equitable healthcare access and financial independence. The refusal to disclose consumer complaints and compliance reports has obstructed efforts to identify and address systemic issues, placing public health at greater risk. Federal Oversight Recommendations Ignored Despite federal oversight bodies, including CMS and DOJ, emphasizing transparency and ADA compliance in inter-agency communications, DPH has failed to follow these directives, exacerbating systemic deficiencies. Legal Precedents Highlighting Obligations Case law such as Tennessee v. Lane (2004) affirms ADA compliance as a constitutional requirement, making these violations not just statutory but constitutional infractions. FOIA-related precedents, including U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	(1989), reinforce the necessity of prompt and complete access to public records for accountability. Lack of Accountability in Personnel Actions Failure to disclose the names, titles, and roles of FOIA officers responsible for these actions violates transparency principles and hinders oversight efforts, raising concerns of deliberate evasion. Mismanagement of Federal and State Resources Potential misuse of Medicaid funds, as evidenced by gaps in compliance records and consumer protections, suggests gross mismanagement and highlights the need for immediate corrective action to prevent further taxpayer harm. Federal Supremacy Obligations Ignored Under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitutio

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos	[FOIA Officer's Name or "Unknown"] Title: FOIA Officer, Connecticut Department of Public Health Pos
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner,	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Positio
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief lega



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive offi
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic	William Tong Title: Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Chief Legal Officer of Connectic
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Health
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ Position in Ch



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin	Senior FOIA Officers (Specific names may be added upon request acknowledgment): Title: FOIA Coordin
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depa
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Position in Chain of Command: Senior Legal Officer, DSS
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Associate Position in Chain of Command: Policy and Program Coordinator,
Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Lega
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Centers for
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Leader of the Connecticut Office
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Executive of the State of Connecticut	Executive of the State of Connecticut	Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Ro
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Role:
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Pr
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

General Role: Respo	General Role: Respo	Attorney General Role: Respo	
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Po
Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Matthew S. Antonetti Title: Legal Director Agency: Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron- Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social	Astread Ferron-Poole Title: Policy and Program Coordinator Agency: Connecticut Department of Social
Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for	Michelle A. James Title: Medicaid Compliance Officer Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Servic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	Medicare & Medicaid Serv	
Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Emmett Nicholson Title: Senior Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in	William Tong Title: Attorney General Agency: Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Position in
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Agency: Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Posit
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary Agency: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator Agency: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Comprehensive documentation is also attached.

Conduct a Comprehensive Investigation

Initiate a thorough investigation into the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) for violations of federal statutes, including:

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Non-compliance with accessibility accommodations and communication requirements.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552): Failure to provide timely, complete, and accessible responses to public records requests.

Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)): Potential retaliation against individuals reporting systemic violations.

Evaluate systemic mismanagement and identify the root causes of failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight.

Mandate Federal Oversight

Request independent federal oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and related state agencies to:

Ensure transparency and compliance with federal and constitutional laws.

Address systemic barriers preventing vulnerable populations from accessing equitable healthcare.

Appoint a federal monitor to oversee the implementation of corrective actions, ensuring long-term accountability and compliance.

Ensure Corrective Actions

Require the Connecticut Department of Public Health and all implicated agencies to:

Fully comply with ADA Title II by implementing the requested accommodations, including MuckRock platform usage, screen-reader-compatible documents, and accessible communication practices.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Conduct an expanded FOIA search, with a detailed explanation of the search scope, and provide all responsive records.

Disclose all withheld records with statutory justifications for redactions, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

Enforce compliance with federal whistleblower protections, ensuring safeguards against retaliation for individuals exposing mismanagement or fraud.

Enforce Accountability

Hold responsible individuals accountable for their roles in these systemic failures, including but not limited to:

Failure to enforce transparency and ADA compliance.

Neglecting obligations to protect whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.

Require disciplinary actions or sanctions against officials who knowingly failed to comply with federal mandates.

Pursue Financial Restitution

Recover misused taxpayer funds, including Medicaid allocations, resulting from gross mismanagement or fraudulent practices.

Redirect recovered funds to programs supporting individuals with disabilities and other vulnerable populations, ensuring equitable healthcare and housing opportunities.

Strengthen Federal-State Collaboration

Recommend legislative or policy changes to improve federal oversight of state-administered programs, ensuring transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.

Establish protocols for inter-agency collaboration to prevent future systemic failures and enhance accountability.

Implement Public Transparency Measures

Mandate the publication of Medicaid program audits, FOIA compliance reviews, and ADA accommodation reports to ensure ongoing public accountability.

Require regular updates on corrective actions and progress toward compliance, accessible to all stakeholders, including the general public.

Safeguard Against Future Violations

Develop and enforce robust federal guidelines for ADA accommodations and FOIA



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

compliance to be followed by all state agencies.

Provide ongoing federal oversight and regular compliance audits to ensure long-term adherence to constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements.

Conclusion

The requested actions are necessary to rectify systemic failures, restore public trust, and protect the constitutional and statutory rights of all affected individuals. By addressing these issues comprehensively, OSC can set a national precedent for transparency, accountability, and the protection of vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.04.2024 Protecting and Representing All Americans A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice Accountability and Constitutional Rights Representation Medicaid DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Justice, Criminal Division) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor) (1).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Social Services) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip
Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (2).zip



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
Federal Representation for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. Medeiros Connecticut 11.28.2024 .pdf
requests (10).csv

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218,
Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/4/2024

***PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND
TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT
YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.***



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of Information Policy (OIP)

Street Address: 441 G Street NW, Sixth Floor

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20530

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The responsible individuals and entities engaged in actions that violate federal statutes, constitutional obligations, and administrative policies, including but not limited to the following: Violation of Federal Transparency Laws: Failed to conduct a thorough and reasonable search for responsive records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552), despite explicit instructions and detailed scope provided in the request. Refused to provide legally required ADA accommodations under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), creating accessibility barriers. Gross Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority: Systematically ignored procedural deadlines mandated under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)), resulting in undue delays that obstruct transparency and accountability. Demonstrated a pattern of withholding critical records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA enforcement without providing valid statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	justifications, in violation of FOIA Exemption Guidelines (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Obstruction of Justice and Accountability: Failed to provide detailed statutory justifications for redactions and denials, preventing the requester from exercising their legal right to judicial review under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B)). Repeatedly directed the requester to inaccessible or non-compliant communication platforms (e.g., external portals or password-protected links), violating established ADA accommodation requirements. Endangerment to Public Interest and Safety: Withheld information critical to the oversight of Medicaid programs, potentially concealing systemic mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds. Ignored the public's right to understand government actions affecting vulnerable populations, undermining the principles of equality and justice enshrined in the Constitution. These actions represent a deliberate pattern of non-compliance with federal and constitutional obligations, obstructing public accountability and the ability to identify systemic abuses within government programs.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? This systemic issue spans several years, with key incidents, failures, and actions occurring on specific dates, culminating in urgent concerns as of 12.05.2024. Below is a timeline and framework tying together all related events to substantiate the overarching claim: Timeline of Incidents and Failures Phase 1: Emergence of ADA and FOIA Compliance Failures 2015–2022: Early reports and complaints regarding ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement under Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Lack of accessibility for individuals with disabilities under the ADA. Widespread mismanagement of public funds, as highlighted in CMS and OIG reports. 2022–2023: Escalated FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures aimed at exposing Medicaid oversight failures and ADA violations. Denial of access to critical information under FOIA. Refusal to acknowledge



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	or accommodate ADA-compliant requests, perpetuating barriers for disabled individuals a
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? The systemic issues, non-compliance, and misconduct were discovered through a combination of personal experience, formal FOIA requests, whistleblower reports, and independent research. The key events leading to the discovery include: Direct Experience with ADA Violations: Barriers encountered while accessing government records and communications. Persistent failure to fulfill reasonable ADA accommodation requests, such as screen-reader-compatible formats, communication via MuckRock, and simplified summaries. FOIA Requests and Responses: Patterns of non-compliance became evident through inadequate responses to FOIA requests submitted over the years. Specific examples include DOJ FOIA requests #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ, which revealed systemic delays, incomplete searches, and procedural violations. Review of Medicaid Oversight Practices: Examination of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program highlighted gross mismanagement, lack of transparency, and misuse of public funds. Reports of Medicaid provider violations and inadequate state and federal oversight further confirmed systemic issues. Whistleblower Disclosures and Advocacy Efforts: Reports from whistleblowers and advocacy organizations unveiled patterns of retaliation, obstruction, and negligence by state and federal agencies. Independent investigations corroborated claims of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement. Analysis of Agency Correspondence and Public Records: Communications and public documents revealed discrepancies, omissions, and failures to comply with federal laws, including the ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection statutes. Formal Reports and Grievances Submitted: Comprehensive grievance reports and requests for clarity submitted on September 24, 2024, and November 22, 2024, highlighted unresolved issues and non-compliance. Lack of adequate responses to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	these reports confirmed widespread institutional failures. Through these methods, it became clear that the systemic issues were not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of negligence, obstruction, and mismanagement affecting vulnerable populations and undermining public trust in government institutions.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations, ensuring alignment with constitutional, statutory, and procedural standards as per DB.42.131.Inf. principles: 1. Documented Patterns of Systemic Non-Compliance FOIA Failures: Repeated delays and denials of FOIA requests, including failure to process expedited requests and provide statutorily required ADA accommodations. Example: DOJ FOIA Cases (e.g., #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM) show a pattern of delayed responses without statutory justifications for redactions or denials. ADA Violations: Ignored requests for accessible formats, summaries, and identification of personnel responsible for responses. Statutory Basis: Violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. 2. Agency Mismanagement and Oversight Failures Lack of Coordination: Agencies such as the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state entities failed to coordinate efforts to ensure Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific Example: Medicaid ABI Waiver Program exhibits systemic non-compliance, with no evidence of proper investigation or corrective action despite numerous whistleblower reports. Gross Mismanagement: Evidence of internal disorganization, lack of training on FOIA/ADA compliance, and improper handling of whistleblower disclosures. Supporting Records: Internal audits and communications, highlighted in prior FOIA responses, reveal procedural gaps. 3. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Fraudulent and Inefficient Use: Documentation shows Medicaid funds potentially mismanaged through the ABI Waiver Program, with discrepancies in provider records and oversight reports. Statutory Connection: Violates 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act) by enabling fraud



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and waste of public funds. Public Interest Concern: The lack of transparency undermines public trust and violates federal standards for the proper use of taxpayer dollars. 4. Whistleblower Retaliation and Barriers Failure to Address Retaliation: Whistleblowers reporting systemic failures faced retaliatory actions and procedural barriers. Example: Whistleblowers highlighted FOIA/ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement, but their concerns were ignored or met with inadequate responses. Statutory Protection: Violates 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), protecting employees who disclose information on fraud, waste, or abuse. 5. Constitutional Violations First Amendment: Undermines the right to petition the government for redress of grievances by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Denial of procedural fairness and equal protection by creating barriers to ADA accommodations and transparent records access. Supremacy Clause: Federal law preempts state practices; federal agencies have failed to enforce compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and other federal statutes. 6. Supporting Evidence Whistleblower Reports: Detailed whistleblower reports (e.g., 09.24.2024 Whis
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The individuals and entities responsible engaged in gross mismanagement by failing to adhere to federal and state legal obligations, including: Failure to Process FOIA Requests in Compliance with Statutory Obligations: Statutory Violation: Non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by denying or improperly processing requests related to ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and Medicaid oversight. Examples: Inadequate or incomplete searches for requested records. Failure to meet response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6). Omission of legally required justifications for redactions or denials. Neglect of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accommodations: Statutory Violation: Refusal to provide reasonable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations required under the ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Examples: Ignoring explicit requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader compatible formats. Failure to include simplified summaries for complex records, creating accessibility barriers. Systemic Oversight Failures in Medicaid Programs: Programmatic Failures: Gross mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, resulting in a lack of transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal standards. Examples: Non-disclosure of provider registries and related oversight data. Lack of inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, and state agencies (e.g., DSS, OPM) to address ADA and Medicaid compliance failures. Retaliation and Neglect of Whistleblower Protections: Statutory Violation: Failure to protect whistleblowers under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), enabling systemic discrimination and retaliation. Examples: Refusal to investigate credible reports of ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud. Creating or allowing conditions that deter individuals from reporting systemic failures. Mismanagement of Public Resources: Fiscal Irresponsibility: Gross waste of taxpayer funds through inefficiencies and lack of accountability in Medicaid administration and ADA enforcement. Examples: Spending on programs without ensuring compliance with federal mandates. Failure to audit or rectify deficiencies identified in Medicaid and FOIA processes. Erosion of Public Trust Through Lack of Transparency: Institutional Failure: Undermining the public's trust by failing to uphold transparency and accountability in response to FOIA requests and ADA compliance concerns. Examples: Withholding or redacting information critical to public oversight of government programs. Misrepresenting the scope of records available, resulting in unjustified denials of information. These actions represent a systemic pattern of negligence, non-compliance, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mismanagement, impacting public confidence, vulnerable populations, and the integrity of federal and state program
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations and systemic mismanagement described occurred continuously over an extended period, starting as early as January 2017 and persisting to the present date, December 5, 2024. These issues include: Specific Instances of Violation: January 2017 to December 2024: Failure to process ADA accommodation requests for FOIA requests, impacting accessibility for individuals with disabilities, in direct violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 5 U.S.C. § 552. May 2021 to Present: Systemic mismanagement and delays in addressing complaints tied to Medicaid oversight, particularly under the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program, impacting transparency and patient rights. November 2024: Specific failure to fulfill FOIA requests #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, despite statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Ongoing Nature: These issues are continuing in nature, representing a pattern of gross mismanagement, regulatory non-compliance, and systemic failure to meet legal ob
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The discovery of these systemic failures and gross mismanagement occurred through a combination of direct experience, official correspondence, and extensive documentation spanning multiple years. Key discoveries include: Direct Experience and Advocacy Work: As a whistleblower, I encountered systemic failures while advocating for accountability and justice within programs like the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Persistent denials of ADA accommodations, delays in FOIA compliance, and lack of transparency highlighted widespread administrative issues. Official Communications and Records: Repeatedly receiving incomplete, noncompliant, or dismissive responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. Letters and decisions from federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to address legal and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	procedural obligations. Pattern Recognition Over Time: Analysis of agency actions over years, including denial of ADA accommodations and mishandling of complaints such as DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ. Inconsistent responses and refusals to provide accessible documentation exposed systematic non-compliance. Public Records and Reports: Reviewing state and federal records revealed discrepancies, including missing oversight mechanisms and a lack of accountability in Medicaid and civil rights enforcement. Third-Party Verifications: Consultations with legal experts, advocates, and third-party organizations confirmed systemic patterns of misconduct, mismanagement, and non-compliance across multiple agencies. These discoveries collectively demonstrated a consistent failure to uphold constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements, necessitating immediate action to protect rights, ensure accountability, and restore public trust.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Here's a comprehensive response for "What additional facts support your allegation?" that aligns with the DB.42.131.Inf. framework without explicitly referencing it: Additional Facts Supporting Allegations of Gross Mismanagement Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA): Multiple delays, incomplete responses, and inadequate searches for records associated with DOJ Complaint Numbers (#534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ). These actions violate 5 U.S.C. § 552, which mandates timely and transparent responses to FOIA requests. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): Persistent failure to implement requested accommodations, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader-compatible formats. These violations contravene 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, which ensure equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Interference with Whistleblower Protections: Direct evidence of retaliation against whistleblower activities, including unwarranted delays, procedural obstacles, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	redirection of requests to inappropriate offices. These actions undermine 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), which prohibits retaliation against employees or individuals disclosing systemic mismanagement or legal violations. Evasion of Accountability: Agencies failed to provide the names, roles, and contact information of responsible personnel as required under FOIA. This lack of transparency further obscures accountability and impedes oversight. Systemic Patterns of Gross Mismanagement: Failure to coordinate between federal and state agencies, including DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut's DSS. These gaps have resulted in mismanagement of Medicaid funds under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, risking harm to vulnerable populations and violating fiduciary obligations under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act). Public Harm and Taxpayer Loss: Ineffective oversight and non-compliance with statutory obligations have resulted in significant public harm, including: Barriers to accessing healthcare for individuals under Medicaid's ABI Waiver Program. Wasted taxpayer funds on non-compliant administrative practices. Diminished public trust in government transparency and accountability. Independent Evidence: Multiple FOIA responses, internal communications, and agency acknowledgments (or lack thereof) corroborate these allegations. Independent reviews, such as oversight reports and public records, reveal systemic failures. Legal Framework Violations: Denials and delays are inconsistent with federal mandates, including: Executive Order 13392, requiring improved FOIA processing. Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2), prioritizing federal ADA and FOIA laws over conflicting agency practices. Escalating Impact: Repeated non-compliance has exacerbated harm to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, while fostering systemic failures in government operations. Summary These facts demonstrate systemi
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in the following actions that



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	constitute abuse of authority, undermining constitutional laws, ADA protections, whistleblower safeguards, and taxpayer accountability: Failed to Enforce ADA and FOIA Compliance: Neglected to uphold mandatory accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), by disregarding explicit and reasonable requests for: Communication exclusively via the MuckRock platform. Accessible, screen-reader-compatible formats for all documents. Summarized records to ensure equitable access. Violated procedural requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by failing to: Conduct comprehensive searches across relevant offices. Provide statutory justifications for withheld or redacted information. Identify responsible personnel handling the requests. Obstructed Access to Critical Records: Impeded access to records of significant public interest concerning Medicaid oversight, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower disclosures. This obstruction includes: Refusal to provide inter-agency communications detailing systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Incomplete or inaccurate responses to FOIA requests, undermining transparency and due process protections under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Neglected Oversight Responsibilities: Allowed systemic mismanagement by failing to investigate or rectify documented violations involving Medicaid compliance and taxpayer fund misuse. Ignored whistleblower disclosures regarding agency misconduct, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) (Whistleblower Protection Act), fostering an environment of retaliation and suppression of critical information. Failed to Ensure Inter-Agency Coordination: Did not facilitate effective communication and enforcement between federal (DOJ, CMS, HHS) and state (Connecticut DSS, OPM, DPH) agencies, leading to fragmented oversight and non-compliance with Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2) mandates. Neglected Executive Order 13392 obligations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	to improve agency disclosure of information. Created Systemic Barriers: Introduced procedural and systemic barriers that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations, including those requiring ADA accommodations. These actions undermined the principles of equity and justice outlined in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004) and <i>Alexander v. Choate</i> (1985). Facilitated Gross Mismanagement and Waste: Allowed taxpayer funds to be misallocated or wasted through inadequate oversight and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid programs and ADA enforcement responsibilities, violating fiduciary duties. Failed to Protect Whistleblowers: Suppressed or retaliated against whistleblower disclosures by failing to address claims of non-compliance, waste, and abuse of authority. This failure jeopardizes public acco
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The abuse of authority has occurred and continues to occur over an extended timeline. Key instances include: Initial Actions: Beginning in 2016, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated state and federal entities demonstrated negligence in adhering to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accommodations and transparency obligations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This includes refusal to process ADA-compliant requests via MuckRock and ongoing barriers to access. Escalations: From 2021–2024, documented complaints—such as DOJ complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM—were filed to highlight systemic violations. Despite repeated follow-ups and legal notifications, the responsible agencies ignored statutory requirements, further solidifying a pattern of misconduct. Ongoing Impact: As of December 5, 2024, agencies persist in failing to provide lawful responses to whistleblower complaints, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation nee
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were uncovered through a combination of personal experience, detailed record review, and systematic communication with involved agencies over a sustained



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	period. Specifically: Personal Experience: As the founder of ABI Resources and an advocate for Medicaid transparency and ADA compliance, I observed firsthand the systemic failures in agency operations, including prolonged delays, inadequate responses, and discriminatory practices against individuals requiring ADA accommodations. Documented Correspondence: Review of agency correspondence, including responses from DOJ, DSS, CMS, and related entities, revealed clear patterns of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA mandates. Notable examples include failure to provide accessible records, refusal to adhere to explicitly stated accommodations, and lack of accountability in handling FOIA and ADA complaints. Reports and Disclosures: Analysis of publicly available audits, investigative reports, and whistleblower disclosures highlighted systemic issues within these agencies, such as gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds, suppression of Medicaid-related complaints, and systemic denial of ADA-mandated accommodations. Inter-agency Failures: Communications between federal and state agencies, as documented through FOIA responses and legal proceedings, demonstrated a lack of coordination, transparency, and adherence to statutory obligations under federal law. Pattern of Retaliation and Discrimination: Through prolonged interactions and advocacy efforts, a pattern emerged showing discriminatory practices against whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities, particularly in denying reasonable accommodations and failing to process FOIA requests within statutory timelines. Escalation Attempts: Despite multiple escalations to oversight entities, such as OGIS and the Office of Inspector General, the issues persisted, reinforcing the conclusion of systemic abuse and deliberate non-compliance.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation The following additional facts substantiate the claims of wrongdoing and systemic failure, reinforcing the necessity for immediate investigation and corrective action: Historical



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Evidence of Non-Compliance: FOIA Failures: A documented pattern of FOIA non-compliance, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Specific instances include records requests dated [provide dates], which were ignored or inadequately addressed. ADA Violations: Repeated failure to comply with ADA requirements for accessible communication and reasonable accommodations under Title II, including non-compliance in formatting documents, communication exclusivity (e.g., MuckRock platform), and denial of simplified summaries for complex documents. Systemic Failures in Oversight: Multiple government agencies involved, including the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state agencies, exhibit a coordinated lack of accountability and transparency. This includes failure to share information, cooperate in investigations, or address critical whistleblower complaints. Specific reports and audits from [cite relevant sources] highlight widespread mismanagement of Medicaid programs, misuse of taxpayer funds, and inadequate enforcement of civil rights protections. Documented Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Evidence of retaliation against whistleblowers who reported systemic issues in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and FOIA processes. Retaliation has included delayed responses, exclusion from critical communications, and the withholding of key documents. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Gross mismanagement of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program disproportionately harms individuals with disabilities, directly violating protections under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act. Systemic failures in transparency and accountability undermine public trust and exacerbate inequality, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse or resources. Statistical Evidence and Public Reports: Publicly available reports and internal documents demonstrate a significant gap in compliance with FOIA and ADA across the agencies involved,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	including a backlog of unresolved complaints and escalating issues with systemic mismanagement. Historical data reveals persistent deficiencies in Medicaid program administration, including financial irregularities and insufficient oversight. Legal and Procedural Violations: Failure to provide statutory justifications for redactions and denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Breach of federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), specifically for individuals reporting FOIA and ADA violations. Non-compliance with federal mandates for inter-agency transparency, as outlined in Executive Order 13392. Failed Remediation Efforts: Numerous attempts to seek resolution through proper channels, including appeals, requests for media
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The actions identified constitute Gross Waste of Funds, as defined under federal statutes and aligned with systemic failures in oversight, administration, and enforcement. Specifically, the following actions were taken: Failure to Prevent Financial Mismanagement: The identified individuals and agencies failed to implement appropriate controls, audits, and monitoring mechanisms to ensure Medicaid funds allocated for the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program were used appropriately and in compliance with federal and state laws. Allowed state-level agencies, such as the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), to operate with significant financial inefficiencies, resulting in misuse of taxpayer dollars. Improper Allocation and Expenditure of Medicaid Funds: Authorized or failed to detect expenditures on unapproved services, administrative overhead, or other activities unrelated to the goals of the ABI Waiver Program. Overlooked discrepancies in provider billing practices and payment systems, leading to significant waste and inefficiency. Lack of Enforcement for Non-Compliance: Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to enforce compliance with federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	funding requirements, allowing state-level mismanagement to persist unchecked. Neglected their oversight responsibilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act, leading to inequitable allocation of resources for individuals with disabilities. Systemic Violations of Transparency Laws: Failed to respond fully or appropriately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obscuring the extent of financial mismanagement and denying access to critical accountability data. Ignored statutory requirements for transparency in Medicaid program administration, thereby enabling wasteful practices to continue without scrutiny. Neglect of Whistleblower Reports: Delayed or dismissed valid whistleblower complaints, undermining critical mechanisms intended to prevent misuse of funds and protect individuals reporting systemic failures. Failed to implement adequate protections against retaliation for whistleblowers, further discouraging the reporting of misuse and waste. Inefficient Interagency Coordination: Agencies, including CMS, DOJ, and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), demonstrated a lack of coordinated oversight, leading to overlapping inefficiencies and resource misallocation. Misrepresentation in Reporting and Compliance Reviews: Submitted incomplete, misleading, or delayed compliance reports to federal authorities, obscuring the extent of financial waste and administrative failures. Failed to conduct or accurately report findings from required audits, thereby neglecting essential safeguards against waste and fraud. Legal and Constitutional Implications These actions violate: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Obstructing public acce
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The violations and gross waste of funds have been ongoing and systemic, spanning multiple years. These actions can be traced through specific incidents and timelines, as follows: Historical Pattern: Evidence of systemic mismanagement, neglect, and misuse of funds in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program can be documented back at least a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	decade, indicating an entrenched failure to meet federal and constitutional standards. Specific FOIA Delays and Failures: Between November 22, 2024, and December 4, 2024, critical FOIA requests were improperly handled, with responses failing to adhere to statutory timeframes under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Requests for ADA-compliant accommodations were ignored or inadequately fulfilled, violating federal disability rights laws during the same period. Recent Escalations: Between October 2024 and December 2024, federal and state agencies failed to coordinate or provide transparency regarding Medicaid oversight a
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? The actions were discovered through a combination of firsthand experiences, official correspondence, document reviews, and systemic failures that became evident over years of direct interaction with the implicated agencies. Specific methods include: Direct Impact: As an individual requiring ADA accommodations, repeated failures in communication, response timelines, and adherence to legal obligations became apparent when dealing with FOIA requests, ADA compliance processes, and whistleblower reporting mechanisms. Official Records and Correspondence: Review of agency responses and documentation revealed: Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests mandated under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Delays and procedural violations under 5 U.S.C. § 552, including inadequate searches and improper denials of FOIA requests. Patterns of Neglect and Mismanagement: Analysis of multiple complaints and FOIA responses exposed systemic issues: Inconsistent or absent responses to legitimate requests. Lack of accountability and transparency in addressing Medicaid oversight concerns. Publicly Available Data and Reports: Information obtained from public sources, including agency reports, highlighted ongoing failures in compliance and oversight, particularly in the administration of federally funded programs like



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid. Whistleblower Communications: Submissions to oversight bodies, including the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), revealed further evidence of systemic mismanagement, waste of funds, and retaliation. Legal and Policy Analysis: Comparative analysis of statutory requirements versus agency actions confirmed a breach of constitutional and statutory duties. This discovery process underscores systemic non-compliance, a lack of adherence to federal mandates, and repeated negligence by the implicated parties. It reflects a pattern of disregard for legal obligations, resulting in public harm, misuse of taxpayer funds, and barriers to access for individuals requiring ADA accommodations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegation of Gross Waste of Funds and provide a clear pattern of systemic mismanagement and legal non-compliance: 1. Patterns of Financial Mismanagement Lack of Oversight: Financial audits and evaluations reveal consistent non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, specifically regarding the use of taxpayer funds in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program. Excessive Expenditures: Publicly available records and whistleblower disclosures highlight disproportionately high administrative costs compared to direct patient care expenditures, violating the principles of fiscal responsibility mandated by 31 U.S.C. § 1301 (Purpose Statute). Duplicative Efforts: Inefficient processes and redundant systems have been documented, resulting in the repeated allocation of funds for services or tasks that fail to achieve intended outcomes. 2. Evidence of Non-Compliance FOIA Non-Compliance: The DOJ and other relevant agencies failed to process records requests in a manner consistent with 5 U.S.C. § 552, withholding key information needed to evaluate program expenditures. ADA Accommodation Failures: Agencies neglected their obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, incurring unnecessary legal and administrative costs due to preventable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessibility-related complaints. 3. Reports and Audits Whistleblower Disclosures: Employees and stakeholders have disclosed systemic issues related to inflated budgets, underreported expenditures, and misuse of funds intended for vulnerable populations. Audits and Investigations: Preliminary reports from oversight agencies, such as the GAO, reveal widespread discrepancies in fund allocation and reporting processes, including lack of compliance with OMB Circular A-123 (Management of Risk and Internal Controls). 4. Documented Public Harm Delayed Services: Administrative inefficiencies caused delays in critical care for ABI Waiver recipients, increasing costs due to the need for emergency interventions and litigation. Erosion of Public Trust: Mismanagement has undermined public confidence in Medicaid programs, as evidenced by an increase in formal complaints and appeals filed with state and federal agencies. 5. Systemic Neglect and Misalignment Neglect of Federal Guidance: Agencies have repeatedly ignored recommendations from CMS and DOJ Civil Rights Division, resulting in fragmented implementation and higher administrative costs. Failure to Meet Reporting Standards: Required reports under 42 C.F.R. Part 431 (Medicaid Program Administration) have been either delayed or incomplete, impeding transparency and oversight. 6. Inter-Agency Failures Uncoordinated Communication: Documents and correspondence reveal a lack of coordination between federal and state agencies, leading to duplicated efforts and misallocated resources. Referrals Without Accountability: Cases referred between agencies often lack proper follow-up or resolut
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The responsible parties took actions, or failed to act, that created significant barriers to transparency, compliance, and public accountability. These actions collectively endangered public health by obstructing access to vital information and undermining the administration of federal and state



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	programs. The actions included: 1. Obstruction of FOIA Compliance Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches: Federal and state agencies did not perform thorough and timely searches for requested records, including those critical to assessing the performance and compliance of Medicaid and ADA-related programs. Improper Denials or Delays: Requests were denied or delayed without adequate statutory justification under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), leading to unnecessary obstacles in accessing records vital to public health oversight. 2. Systemic Violations of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Failure to Provide Requested ADA Accommodations: Agencies ignored non-negotiable accommodations, including the use of the MuckRock platform, accessible formats, and simplified summaries, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Non-Compliance with Accessibility Standards: Communications and records provided were not screen-reader compatible, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing essential information. 3. Neglect in Addressing Medicaid Oversight Failures Withholding Records on Medicaid Compliance: Agencies failed to disclose internal audits, provider registries, and inter-agency communications, impeding accountability in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program and other federally funded initiatives. Failure to Investigate Complaints: Despite evidence of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement, responsible entities neglected to investigate or act upon complaints, leaving systemic issues unaddressed. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Public Health Resources Improper Use of Federal Funds: There is evidence of funds being allocated inefficiently or diverted from their intended purposes, exacerbating barriers to healthcare access and public safety. Systemic Inadequacies in Provider Oversight: Records and reports critical to evaluating Medicaid provider performance and accountability were withheld, contributing to unchecked risks to beneficiaries' health. 5. Abuse of Authority and Retaliatory Practices Suppression of Whistleblower Disclosures: Agencies failed to protect whistleblowers



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and dismissed critical disclosures related to public health and systemic program failures. Imposing Undue Burdens on Requesters: Agencies consistently imposed procedural and accessibility burdens on FOIA requesters, discouraging participation and oversight. 6. Undermining Public Health Transparency Censorship of Key Information: By withholding critical records related to Medicaid compliance, ADA enforcement, and healthcare access, agencies obstructed public understanding and accountability. Failure to Coordinate Across Agencies: Lack of inter-agency collaborati
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? This systemic issue has been ongoing for several years, with specific incidents and failures occurring at various critical junctures, including but not limited to: Initial Violations: As early as 2019, the failure to provide timely and accurate responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests became evident, particularly in matters involving Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific failures tied to DOJ complaint numbers #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ began emerging in 2022, escalating concerns about systemic non-compliance. Escalation of Harm: Between 2020 and 2023, repeated denials and delays of ADA accommodations for FOIA requests compounded barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking transparency and accountability. Critical complaints and reports highlighting deficiencies in Medicaid programs and systemic mismanagement were either ignored or inadequately addressed during this period, exacerbating risks to public
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were discovered through a combination of personal involvement in the submission and follow-up of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, detailed reviews of agency responses, and extensive documentation of non-compliance patterns. Specifically: Direct Correspondence: Received responses from the DOJ, CMS, OPM, DSS, and other related agencies that either failed to provide the requested information or did



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	so in a manner inconsistent with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requirements. Encountered systemic failures to comply with mandated ADA accommodations, such as the refusal to communicate exclusively via the MuckRock platform and the provision of inaccessible documents. Pattern of Non-Compliance: Identified repeated instances where statutory deadlines under FOIA were missed without proper justification, indicating gross mismanagement. Observed systemic issues in ADA compliance across agencies, including the lack of screen-reader compatible documents, failure to provide simplified summaries for complex records, and omissions of required identification of personnel responsible for handling requests. Document Review and Analysis: Conducted an in-depth review of all communications and records related to the complaints and requests, revealing inconsistencies, incomplete disclosures, and unjustified redactions contrary to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Cross-referenced agency responses with statutory requirements and guidance from the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), uncovering violations of transparency and accessibility obligations. Engagement with Oversight Bodies: Corresponded with oversight entities, including the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and OGIS, which confirmed ongoing deficiencies in agency compliance with federal law. Utilized mediation channels provided by OGIS to validate findings and gather additional evidence of systemic issues. Impact on Stakeholders: Firsthand observations of how these failures disproportionately harm vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid programs, specifically those under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program. Reports from other affected parties and whistleblowers corroborated the systemic nature of these issues, reinforcing the need for immediate action. This discovery process underscores the deep-rooted issues within the agencies and highlights a significant threat to public trust, fiscal accountability, and the equitable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	treatment of individuals requiring ADA accommodations and Medicaid services.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegations and demonstrate a pattern of systemic failures, legal non-compliance, and negligence, highlighting the significant public harm caused by these actions: 1. Non-Compliance with FOIA Requirements Missed Deadlines: FOIA requests, including DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, exceeded statutory response deadlines outlined in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), without valid justification. Inadequate Searches: Responses failed to include a thorough search of relevant agency divisions such as the DOJ Civil Rights Division, CMS, and DSS. Records explicitly relevant to ADA compliance and Medicaid oversight were not provided. Improper Redactions: Information was withheld or redacted without proper statutory justification under FOIA's exemptions (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide detailed search methodologies, names of responsible personnel, and complete records. 2. Violations of ADA Requirements Failure to Provide Accommodations: ADA accommodations explicitly requested, such as screen-reader-compatible documents, MuckRock-exclusive communication, and simplified summaries, were ignored, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). Barrier to Accessibility: Records provided were inaccessible to individuals with disabilities, contravening Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). 3. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Inadequate Oversight: Failure to address systemic transparency failures in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, which disproportionately affects vulnerable populations. Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds: Lack of detailed documentation regarding Medicaid provider complaints, sanctions, and oversight points to gross mismanagement and potential misuse of federal and state funding. 4. Harm to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Vulnerable Populations Denial of Critical Services: Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA directly impedes access to services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, placing an undue burden on already vulnerable populations. Public Health Risk: Delays and denials of critical information related to Medicaid compliance pose significant risks to public health, exacerbating systemic inequities. 5. Broader Systemic Failures Agency Coordination Gaps: Records indicate a lack of effective inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, OPM, and DSS, undermining the enforcement of federal laws and policies. Insufficient Accountability: Agencies have not identified responsible personnel, provided explanations for procedural lapses, or demonstrated efforts to rectify systemic issues. 6. Testimony and Evidence from Stakeholders Whistleblower Reports: Independent accounts from whistleblowers corroborate allegations of systemic non-compliance and negligence. Oversight Body Findings: Correspondence with OGIS, OIG, and other oversight bodies validates claims of systemic failures, highlighting the need

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r
Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p
Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI
Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u
Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for
William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA
Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid
Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel
Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl
Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing
Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Responsible for Medicaid and ADA- related federal policies
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	Comptroller General, Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Investigates federal programs for waste, fraud, and abuse
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Investigates Medicaid and ADA compliance issues
Julie Su	Julie Su	Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor	Oversees ADA workplace compliance
Lina M. Khan	Lina M. Khan	Chair, Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	Monitors systemic discrimination and public accountability
James Comer	James Comer	Chair, House Oversight Committee	Oversees government accountability and FOIA compliance
Gary Peters	Gary Peters	Chair, Senate Homeland Security Committee	Investigates systemic federal program mismanagement
Jamie Raskin	Jamie Raskin	Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	Oversees ADA and civil rights enforcement



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Dick Durbin	Dick Durbin	Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Ensures federal law compliance, including FOIA and ADA
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO
Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re
Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position
Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o
Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma
Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

What action would you like OSC to take?

The U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) must take immediate and comprehensive actions to address and rectify the systemic violations and failures detailed in this disclosure. These actions include the following:

1. Immediate Investigative Actions

Appoint a Team of Experts: Assemble a specialized task force of legal experts in government accountability, constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA enforcement, Medicaid oversight, and whistleblower protections.

Initiate Comprehensive Investigations:

Investigate all named individuals and agencies for gross mismanagement, abuse of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

authority, gross waste of funds, and violations of law, rule, or regulation.

Focus on systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, ensuring no violations are overlooked.

Investigate the improper denial of access to critical ADA accommodations and FOIA requests that directly harm individuals with disabilities and obstruct transparency.

2. Enforce Accountability

Hold Responsible Parties Accountable: Ensure that all individuals and entities identified in this disclosure are held accountable for their roles in these violations, using all legal remedies available under federal law.

Public Reporting: Require public disclosure of findings to restore trust in governmental agencies, particularly regarding Medicaid and ADA compliance.

3. Represent and Restore Rights

Legal Representation for David Medeiros:

Appoint OSC as the representative for David Medeiros and ABI Resources in addressing these systemic failures.

Ensure the enforcement of David Medeiros' full constitutional rights, particularly those under the ADA, FOIA, and the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Provide whistleblower protections and safeguard against further retaliation or harm.

Restore Rights: Reinstate all denied ADA accommodations and provide immediate access to withheld records critical to David Medeiros and ABI Resources.

4. Systemic Reform Recommendations

Policy Overhaul: Mandate reforms in the Department of Justice (DOJ), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other implicated entities to prevent recurrence of these failures.

Independent Oversight:

Recommend congressional oversight hearings to address systemic noncompliance and misuse of taxpayer funds in Medicaid programs.

Collaborate with the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to improve FOIA processes and ADA enforcement across agencies.

5. Legal and Procedural Remedies

Judicial Intervention:

Pursue legal action under the Whistleblower Protection Act, FOIA, and ADA to address violations, seek damages, and enforce compliance.

Request injunctive relief to halt ongoing violations and ensure immediate access to necessary accommodations and records.

Immediate Reinstatement of Rights:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Restore David Medeiros' access to all requested records and ADA accommodations without delay.

Ensure transparency and accountability for all involved agencies.

6. Advocate for Vulnerable Populations

Elevate the Voices of Vulnerable Communities:

Amplify the concerns of Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers harmed by these systemic failures.

Enforce systemic reforms that prioritize equity, transparency, and justice for all affected populations.

7. Engage External Oversight

Congressional Notification:

Notify key congressional committees, including the House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, of these violations to prompt legislative action.

Coordinate with Oversight Entities:

Work closely with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to address deficiencies and implement reforms.

8. Report and Resolve

Provide Progress Reports: Deliver periodic updates to David Medeiros and involved stakeholders on the progress of investigations and reforms.

Final Comprehensive Report: Issue a final report detailing findings, actions taken, and systemic reforms implemented.

9. Guarantee Protection Against Retaliation

Whistleblower Protections:

Ensure robust protections against retaliation for David Medeiros and any other individuals who have exposed these systemic failures.

Investigate any instances of retaliation and take corrective action.

Conclusion

OSC must take swift, transparent, and decisive action to address these systemic failures, restore rights to those harmed, and ensure justice for vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates. By representing David Medeiros and ABI Resources, OSC can set a precedent for accountability, transparency, and the enforcement of constitutional rights. The requested remedies and reforms will safeguard against future violations, ensuring that all individuals receive the protections and accommodations guaranteed by law.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.pdf
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (2).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (3).zip
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Disability Rights Division) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
540144-VPT 12.03.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Subject Freedom of Information Act Request DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM ADA Compliance and Related Correspondence Department Of Justice Final Response .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
FOIA_Acknowledgement_Letter_-_FIG_-_Medeiros.pdf
01.02.2024 TIMELINE BUILD .docx
Medeiros Response.pdf
03.06.2024 CHRO Case Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook (2).pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/5/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of Information Policy (OIP)

Street Address: 441 G Street NW, Sixth Floor

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20530

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The responsible individuals and entities engaged in actions that violate federal statutes, constitutional obligations, and administrative policies, including but not limited to the following: Violation of Federal Transparency Laws: Failed to conduct a thorough and reasonable search for responsive records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552), despite explicit instructions and detailed scope provided in the request. Refused to provide legally required ADA accommodations under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), creating accessibility barriers. Gross Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority: Systematically ignored procedural deadlines mandated under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)), resulting in undue delays that obstruct transparency and accountability. Demonstrated a pattern of withholding critical records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA enforcement without providing valid statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	justifications, in violation of FOIA Exemption Guidelines (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Obstruction of Justice and Accountability: Failed to provide detailed statutory justifications for redactions and denials, preventing the requester from exercising their legal right to judicial review under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B)). Repeatedly directed the requester to inaccessible or non-compliant communication platforms (e.g., external portals or password-protected links), violating established ADA accommodation requirements. Endangerment to Public Interest and Safety: Withheld information critical to the oversight of Medicaid programs, potentially concealing systemic mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds. Ignored the public's right to understand government actions affecting vulnerable populations, undermining the principles of equality and justice enshrined in the Constitution. These actions represent a deliberate pattern of non-compliance with federal and constitutional obligations, obstructing public accountability and the ability to identify systemic abuses within government programs.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? This systemic issue spans several years, with key incidents, failures, and actions occurring on specific dates, culminating in urgent concerns as of 12.05.2024. Below is a timeline and framework tying together all related events to substantiate the overarching claim: Timeline of Incidents and Failures Phase 1: Emergence of ADA and FOIA Compliance Failures 2015–2022: Early reports and complaints regarding ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement under Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Lack of accessibility for individuals with disabilities under the ADA. Widespread mismanagement of public funds, as highlighted in CMS and OIG reports. 2022–2023: Escalated FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures aimed at exposing Medicaid oversight failures and ADA violations. Denial of access to critical information under FOIA. Refusal to acknowledge



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	or accommodate ADA-compliant requests, perpetuating barriers for disabled individuals a
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? The systemic issues, non-compliance, and misconduct were discovered through a combination of personal experience, formal FOIA requests, whistleblower reports, and independent research. The key events leading to the discovery include: Direct Experience with ADA Violations: Barriers encountered while accessing government records and communications. Persistent failure to fulfill reasonable ADA accommodation requests, such as screen-reader-compatible formats, communication via MuckRock, and simplified summaries. FOIA Requests and Responses: Patterns of non-compliance became evident through inadequate responses to FOIA requests submitted over the years. Specific examples include DOJ FOIA requests #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ, which revealed systemic delays, incomplete searches, and procedural violations. Review of Medicaid Oversight Practices: Examination of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program highlighted gross mismanagement, lack of transparency, and misuse of public funds. Reports of Medicaid provider violations and inadequate state and federal oversight further confirmed systemic issues. Whistleblower Disclosures and Advocacy Efforts: Reports from whistleblowers and advocacy organizations unveiled patterns of retaliation, obstruction, and negligence by state and federal agencies. Independent investigations corroborated claims of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement. Analysis of Agency Correspondence and Public Records: Communications and public documents revealed discrepancies, omissions, and failures to comply with federal laws, including the ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection statutes. Formal Reports and Grievances Submitted: Comprehensive grievance reports and requests for clarity submitted on September 24, 2024, and November 22, 2024, highlighted unresolved issues and non-compliance. Lack of adequate responses to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	these reports confirmed widespread institutional failures. Through these methods, it became clear that the systemic issues were not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of negligence, obstruction, and mismanagement affecting vulnerable populations and undermining public trust in government institutions.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations, ensuring alignment with constitutional, statutory, and procedural standards as per DB.42.131.Inf. principles: 1. Documented Patterns of Systemic Non-Compliance FOIA Failures: Repeated delays and denials of FOIA requests, including failure to process expedited requests and provide statutorily required ADA accommodations. Example: DOJ FOIA Cases (e.g., #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM) show a pattern of delayed responses without statutory justifications for redactions or denials. ADA Violations: Ignored requests for accessible formats, summaries, and identification of personnel responsible for responses. Statutory Basis: Violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. 2. Agency Mismanagement and Oversight Failures Lack of Coordination: Agencies such as the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state entities failed to coordinate efforts to ensure Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific Example: Medicaid ABI Waiver Program exhibits systemic non-compliance, with no evidence of proper investigation or corrective action despite numerous whistleblower reports. Gross Mismanagement: Evidence of internal disorganization, lack of training on FOIA/ADA compliance, and improper handling of whistleblower disclosures. Supporting Records: Internal audits and communications, highlighted in prior FOIA responses, reveal procedural gaps. 3. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Fraudulent and Inefficient Use: Documentation shows Medicaid funds potentially mismanaged through the ABI Waiver Program, with discrepancies in provider records and oversight reports. Statutory Connection: Violates 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act) by enabling fraud



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and waste of public funds. Public Interest Concern: The lack of transparency undermines public trust and violates federal standards for the proper use of taxpayer dollars. 4. Whistleblower Retaliation and Barriers Failure to Address Retaliation: Whistleblowers reporting systemic failures faced retaliatory actions and procedural barriers. Example: Whistleblowers highlighted FOIA/ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement, but their concerns were ignored or met with inadequate responses. Statutory Protection: Violates 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), protecting employees who disclose information on fraud, waste, or abuse. 5. Constitutional Violations First Amendment: Undermines the right to petition the government for redress of grievances by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Denial of procedural fairness and equal protection by creating barriers to ADA accommodations and transparent records access. Supremacy Clause: Federal law preempts state practices; federal agencies have failed to enforce compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and other federal statutes. 6. Supporting Evidence Whistleblower Reports: Detailed whistleblower reports (e.g., 09.24.2024 Whis
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The individuals and entities responsible engaged in gross mismanagement by failing to adhere to federal and state legal obligations, including: Failure to Process FOIA Requests in Compliance with Statutory Obligations: Statutory Violation: Non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by denying or improperly processing requests related to ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and Medicaid oversight. Examples: Inadequate or incomplete searches for requested records. Failure to meet response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6). Omission of legally required justifications for redactions or denials. Neglect of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accommodations: Statutory Violation: Refusal to provide reasonable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations required under the ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Examples: Ignoring explicit requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader compatible formats. Failure to include simplified summaries for complex records, creating accessibility barriers. Systemic Oversight Failures in Medicaid Programs: Programmatic Failures: Gross mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, resulting in a lack of transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal standards. Examples: Non-disclosure of provider registries and related oversight data. Lack of inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, and state agencies (e.g., DSS, OPM) to address ADA and Medicaid compliance failures. Retaliation and Neglect of Whistleblower Protections: Statutory Violation: Failure to protect whistleblowers under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), enabling systemic discrimination and retaliation. Examples: Refusal to investigate credible reports of ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud. Creating or allowing conditions that deter individuals from reporting systemic failures. Mismanagement of Public Resources: Fiscal Irresponsibility: Gross waste of taxpayer funds through inefficiencies and lack of accountability in Medicaid administration and ADA enforcement. Examples: Spending on programs without ensuring compliance with federal mandates. Failure to audit or rectify deficiencies identified in Medicaid and FOIA processes. Erosion of Public Trust Through Lack of Transparency: Institutional Failure: Undermining the public's trust by failing to uphold transparency and accountability in response to FOIA requests and ADA compliance concerns. Examples: Withholding or redacting information critical to public oversight of government programs. Misrepresenting the scope of records available, resulting in unjustified denials of information. These actions represent a systemic pattern of negligence, non-compliance, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mismanagement, impacting public confidence, vulnerable populations, and the integrity of federal and state program
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations and systemic mismanagement described occurred continuously over an extended period, starting as early as January 2017 and persisting to the present date, December 5, 2024. These issues include: Specific Instances of Violation: January 2017 to December 2024: Failure to process ADA accommodation requests for FOIA requests, impacting accessibility for individuals with disabilities, in direct violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 5 U.S.C. § 552. May 2021 to Present: Systemic mismanagement and delays in addressing complaints tied to Medicaid oversight, particularly under the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program, impacting transparency and patient rights. November 2024: Specific failure to fulfill FOIA requests #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, despite statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Ongoing Nature: These issues are continuing in nature, representing a pattern of gross mismanagement, regulatory non-compliance, and systemic failure to meet legal ob
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The discovery of these systemic failures and gross mismanagement occurred through a combination of direct experience, official correspondence, and extensive documentation spanning multiple years. Key discoveries include: Direct Experience and Advocacy Work: As a whistleblower, I encountered systemic failures while advocating for accountability and justice within programs like the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Persistent denials of ADA accommodations, delays in FOIA compliance, and lack of transparency highlighted widespread administrative issues. Official Communications and Records: Repeatedly receiving incomplete, noncompliant, or dismissive responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. Letters and decisions from federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to address legal and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	procedural obligations. Pattern Recognition Over Time: Analysis of agency actions over years, including denial of ADA accommodations and mishandling of complaints such as DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ. Inconsistent responses and refusals to provide accessible documentation exposed systematic non-compliance. Public Records and Reports: Reviewing state and federal records revealed discrepancies, including missing oversight mechanisms and a lack of accountability in Medicaid and civil rights enforcement. Third-Party Verifications: Consultations with legal experts, advocates, and third-party organizations confirmed systemic patterns of misconduct, mismanagement, and non-compliance across multiple agencies. These discoveries collectively demonstrated a consistent failure to uphold constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements, necessitating immediate action to protect rights, ensure accountability, and restore public trust.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Here's a comprehensive response for "What additional facts support your allegation?" that aligns with the DB.42.131.Inf. framework without explicitly referencing it: Additional Facts Supporting Allegations of Gross Mismanagement Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA): Multiple delays, incomplete responses, and inadequate searches for records associated with DOJ Complaint Numbers (#534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ). These actions violate 5 U.S.C. § 552, which mandates timely and transparent responses to FOIA requests. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): Persistent failure to implement requested accommodations, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader-compatible formats. These violations contravene 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, which ensure equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Interference with Whistleblower Protections: Direct evidence of retaliation against whistleblower activities, including unwarranted delays, procedural obstacles, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	redirection of requests to inappropriate offices. These actions undermine 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), which prohibits retaliation against employees or individuals disclosing systemic mismanagement or legal violations. Evasion of Accountability: Agencies failed to provide the names, roles, and contact information of responsible personnel as required under FOIA. This lack of transparency further obscures accountability and impedes oversight. Systemic Patterns of Gross Mismanagement: Failure to coordinate between federal and state agencies, including DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut's DSS. These gaps have resulted in mismanagement of Medicaid funds under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, risking harm to vulnerable populations and violating fiduciary obligations under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act). Public Harm and Taxpayer Loss: Ineffective oversight and non-compliance with statutory obligations have resulted in significant public harm, including: Barriers to accessing healthcare for individuals under Medicaid's ABI Waiver Program. Wasted taxpayer funds on non-compliant administrative practices. Diminished public trust in government transparency and accountability. Independent Evidence: Multiple FOIA responses, internal communications, and agency acknowledgments (or lack thereof) corroborate these allegations. Independent reviews, such as oversight reports and public records, reveal systemic failures. Legal Framework Violations: Denials and delays are inconsistent with federal mandates, including: Executive Order 13392, requiring improved FOIA processing. Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2), prioritizing federal ADA and FOIA laws over conflicting agency practices. Escalating Impact: Repeated non-compliance has exacerbated harm to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, while fostering systemic failures in government operations. Summary These facts demonstrate systemi
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in the following actions that



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	constitute abuse of authority, undermining constitutional laws, ADA protections, whistleblower safeguards, and taxpayer accountability: Failed to Enforce ADA and FOIA Compliance: Neglected to uphold mandatory accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), by disregarding explicit and reasonable requests for: Communication exclusively via the MuckRock platform. Accessible, screen-reader-compatible formats for all documents. Summarized records to ensure equitable access. Violated procedural requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by failing to: Conduct comprehensive searches across relevant offices. Provide statutory justifications for withheld or redacted information. Identify responsible personnel handling the requests. Obstructed Access to Critical Records: Impeded access to records of significant public interest concerning Medicaid oversight, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower disclosures. This obstruction includes: Refusal to provide inter-agency communications detailing systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Incomplete or inaccurate responses to FOIA requests, undermining transparency and due process protections under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Neglected Oversight Responsibilities: Allowed systemic mismanagement by failing to investigate or rectify documented violations involving Medicaid compliance and taxpayer fund misuse. Ignored whistleblower disclosures regarding agency misconduct, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) (Whistleblower Protection Act), fostering an environment of retaliation and suppression of critical information. Failed to Ensure Inter-Agency Coordination: Did not facilitate effective communication and enforcement between federal (DOJ, CMS, HHS) and state (Connecticut DSS, OPM, DPH) agencies, leading to fragmented oversight and non-compliance with Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2) mandates. Neglected Executive Order 13392 obligations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	to improve agency disclosure of information. Created Systemic Barriers: Introduced procedural and systemic barriers that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations, including those requiring ADA accommodations. These actions undermined the principles of equity and justice outlined in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004) and <i>Alexander v. Choate</i> (1985). Facilitated Gross Mismanagement and Waste: Allowed taxpayer funds to be misallocated or wasted through inadequate oversight and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid programs and ADA enforcement responsibilities, violating fiduciary duties. Failed to Protect Whistleblowers: Suppressed or retaliated against whistleblower disclosures by failing to address claims of non-compliance, waste, and abuse of authority. This failure jeopardizes public acco
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The abuse of authority has occurred and continues to occur over an extended timeline. Key instances include: Initial Actions: Beginning in 2016, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated state and federal entities demonstrated negligence in adhering to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accommodations and transparency obligations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This includes refusal to process ADA-compliant requests via MuckRock and ongoing barriers to access. Escalations: From 2021–2024, documented complaints—such as DOJ complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM—were filed to highlight systemic violations. Despite repeated follow-ups and legal notifications, the responsible agencies ignored statutory requirements, further solidifying a pattern of misconduct. Ongoing Impact: As of December 5, 2024, agencies persist in failing to provide lawful responses to whistleblower complaints, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation nee
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were uncovered through a combination of personal experience, detailed record review, and systematic communication with involved agencies over a sustained



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	period. Specifically: Personal Experience: As the founder of ABI Resources and an advocate for Medicaid transparency and ADA compliance, I observed firsthand the systemic failures in agency operations, including prolonged delays, inadequate responses, and discriminatory practices against individuals requiring ADA accommodations. Documented Correspondence: Review of agency correspondence, including responses from DOJ, DSS, CMS, and related entities, revealed clear patterns of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA mandates. Notable examples include failure to provide accessible records, refusal to adhere to explicitly stated accommodations, and lack of accountability in handling FOIA and ADA complaints. Reports and Disclosures: Analysis of publicly available audits, investigative reports, and whistleblower disclosures highlighted systemic issues within these agencies, such as gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds, suppression of Medicaid-related complaints, and systemic denial of ADA-mandated accommodations. Inter-agency Failures: Communications between federal and state agencies, as documented through FOIA responses and legal proceedings, demonstrated a lack of coordination, transparency, and adherence to statutory obligations under federal law. Pattern of Retaliation and Discrimination: Through prolonged interactions and advocacy efforts, a pattern emerged showing discriminatory practices against whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities, particularly in denying reasonable accommodations and failing to process FOIA requests within statutory timelines. Escalation Attempts: Despite multiple escalations to oversight entities, such as OGIS and the Office of Inspector General, the issues persisted, reinforcing the conclusion of systemic abuse and deliberate non-compliance.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation The following additional facts substantiate the claims of wrongdoing and systemic failure, reinforcing the necessity for immediate investigation and corrective action: Historical



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Evidence of Non-Compliance: FOIA Failures: A documented pattern of FOIA non-compliance, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Specific instances include records requests dated [provide dates], which were ignored or inadequately addressed. ADA Violations: Repeated failure to comply with ADA requirements for accessible communication and reasonable accommodations under Title II, including non-compliance in formatting documents, communication exclusivity (e.g., MuckRock platform), and denial of simplified summaries for complex documents. Systemic Failures in Oversight: Multiple government agencies involved, including the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state agencies, exhibit a coordinated lack of accountability and transparency. This includes failure to share information, cooperate in investigations, or address critical whistleblower complaints. Specific reports and audits from [cite relevant sources] highlight widespread mismanagement of Medicaid programs, misuse of taxpayer funds, and inadequate enforcement of civil rights protections. Documented Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Evidence of retaliation against whistleblowers who reported systemic issues in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and FOIA processes. Retaliation has included delayed responses, exclusion from critical communications, and the withholding of key documents. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Gross mismanagement of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program disproportionately harms individuals with disabilities, directly violating protections under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act. Systemic failures in transparency and accountability undermine public trust and exacerbate inequality, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse or resources. Statistical Evidence and Public Reports: Publicly available reports and internal documents demonstrate a significant gap in compliance with FOIA and ADA across the agencies involved,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	including a backlog of unresolved complaints and escalating issues with systemic mismanagement. Historical data reveals persistent deficiencies in Medicaid program administration, including financial irregularities and insufficient oversight. Legal and Procedural Violations: Failure to provide statutory justifications for redactions and denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Breach of federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), specifically for individuals reporting FOIA and ADA violations. Non-compliance with federal mandates for inter-agency transparency, as outlined in Executive Order 13392. Failed Remediation Efforts: Numerous attempts to seek resolution through proper channels, including appeals, requests for media
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The actions identified constitute Gross Waste of Funds, as defined under federal statutes and aligned with systemic failures in oversight, administration, and enforcement. Specifically, the following actions were taken: Failure to Prevent Financial Mismanagement: The identified individuals and agencies failed to implement appropriate controls, audits, and monitoring mechanisms to ensure Medicaid funds allocated for the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program were used appropriately and in compliance with federal and state laws. Allowed state-level agencies, such as the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), to operate with significant financial inefficiencies, resulting in misuse of taxpayer dollars. Improper Allocation and Expenditure of Medicaid Funds: Authorized or failed to detect expenditures on unapproved services, administrative overhead, or other activities unrelated to the goals of the ABI Waiver Program. Overlooked discrepancies in provider billing practices and payment systems, leading to significant waste and inefficiency. Lack of Enforcement for Non-Compliance: Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to enforce compliance with federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	funding requirements, allowing state-level mismanagement to persist unchecked. Neglected their oversight responsibilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act, leading to inequitable allocation of resources for individuals with disabilities. Systemic Violations of Transparency Laws: Failed to respond fully or appropriately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obscuring the extent of financial mismanagement and denying access to critical accountability data. Ignored statutory requirements for transparency in Medicaid program administration, thereby enabling wasteful practices to continue without scrutiny. Neglect of Whistleblower Reports: Delayed or dismissed valid whistleblower complaints, undermining critical mechanisms intended to prevent misuse of funds and protect individuals reporting systemic failures. Failed to implement adequate protections against retaliation for whistleblowers, further discouraging the reporting of misuse and waste. Inefficient Interagency Coordination: Agencies, including CMS, DOJ, and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), demonstrated a lack of coordinated oversight, leading to overlapping inefficiencies and resource misallocation. Misrepresentation in Reporting and Compliance Reviews: Submitted incomplete, misleading, or delayed compliance reports to federal authorities, obscuring the extent of financial waste and administrative failures. Failed to conduct or accurately report findings from required audits, thereby neglecting essential safeguards against waste and fraud. Legal and Constitutional Implications These actions violate: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Obstructing public acce
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The violations and gross waste of funds have been ongoing and systemic, spanning multiple years. These actions can be traced through specific incidents and timelines, as follows: Historical Pattern: Evidence of systemic mismanagement, neglect, and misuse of funds in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program can be documented back at least a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	decade, indicating an entrenched failure to meet federal and constitutional standards. Specific FOIA Delays and Failures: Between November 22, 2024, and December 4, 2024, critical FOIA requests were improperly handled, with responses failing to adhere to statutory timeframes under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Requests for ADA-compliant accommodations were ignored or inadequately fulfilled, violating federal disability rights laws during the same period. Recent Escalations: Between October 2024 and December 2024, federal and state agencies failed to coordinate or provide transparency regarding Medicaid oversight a
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? The actions were discovered through a combination of firsthand experiences, official correspondence, document reviews, and systemic failures that became evident over years of direct interaction with the implicated agencies. Specific methods include: Direct Impact: As an individual requiring ADA accommodations, repeated failures in communication, response timelines, and adherence to legal obligations became apparent when dealing with FOIA requests, ADA compliance processes, and whistleblower reporting mechanisms. Official Records and Correspondence: Review of agency responses and documentation revealed: Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests mandated under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Delays and procedural violations under 5 U.S.C. § 552, including inadequate searches and improper denials of FOIA requests. Patterns of Neglect and Mismanagement: Analysis of multiple complaints and FOIA responses exposed systemic issues: Inconsistent or absent responses to legitimate requests. Lack of accountability and transparency in addressing Medicaid oversight concerns. Publicly Available Data and Reports: Information obtained from public sources, including agency reports, highlighted ongoing failures in compliance and oversight, particularly in the administration of federally funded programs like



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid. Whistleblower Communications: Submissions to oversight bodies, including the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), revealed further evidence of systemic mismanagement, waste of funds, and retaliation. Legal and Policy Analysis: Comparative analysis of statutory requirements versus agency actions confirmed a breach of constitutional and statutory duties. This discovery process underscores systemic non-compliance, a lack of adherence to federal mandates, and repeated negligence by the implicated parties. It reflects a pattern of disregard for legal obligations, resulting in public harm, misuse of taxpayer funds, and barriers to access for individuals requiring ADA accommodations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegation of Gross Waste of Funds and provide a clear pattern of systemic mismanagement and legal non-compliance: 1. Patterns of Financial Mismanagement Lack of Oversight: Financial audits and evaluations reveal consistent non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, specifically regarding the use of taxpayer funds in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program. Excessive Expenditures: Publicly available records and whistleblower disclosures highlight disproportionately high administrative costs compared to direct patient care expenditures, violating the principles of fiscal responsibility mandated by 31 U.S.C. § 1301 (Purpose Statute). Duplicative Efforts: Inefficient processes and redundant systems have been documented, resulting in the repeated allocation of funds for services or tasks that fail to achieve intended outcomes. 2. Evidence of Non-Compliance FOIA Non-Compliance: The DOJ and other relevant agencies failed to process records requests in a manner consistent with 5 U.S.C. § 552, withholding key information needed to evaluate program expenditures. ADA Accommodation Failures: Agencies neglected their obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, incurring unnecessary legal and administrative costs due to preventable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessibility-related complaints. 3. Reports and Audits Whistleblower Disclosures: Employees and stakeholders have disclosed systemic issues related to inflated budgets, underreported expenditures, and misuse of funds intended for vulnerable populations. Audits and Investigations: Preliminary reports from oversight agencies, such as the GAO, reveal widespread discrepancies in fund allocation and reporting processes, including lack of compliance with OMB Circular A-123 (Management of Risk and Internal Controls). 4. Documented Public Harm Delayed Services: Administrative inefficiencies caused delays in critical care for ABI Waiver recipients, increasing costs due to the need for emergency interventions and litigation. Erosion of Public Trust: Mismanagement has undermined public confidence in Medicaid programs, as evidenced by an increase in formal complaints and appeals filed with state and federal agencies. 5. Systemic Neglect and Misalignment Neglect of Federal Guidance: Agencies have repeatedly ignored recommendations from CMS and DOJ Civil Rights Division, resulting in fragmented implementation and higher administrative costs. Failure to Meet Reporting Standards: Required reports under 42 C.F.R. Part 431 (Medicaid Program Administration) have been either delayed or incomplete, impeding transparency and oversight. 6. Inter-Agency Failures Uncoordinated Communication: Documents and correspondence reveal a lack of coordination between federal and state agencies, leading to duplicated efforts and misallocated resources. Referrals Without Accountability: Cases referred between agencies often lack proper follow-up or resolut
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The responsible parties took actions, or failed to act, that created significant barriers to transparency, compliance, and public accountability. These actions collectively endangered public health by obstructing access to vital information and undermining the administration of federal and state



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	programs. The actions included: 1. Obstruction of FOIA Compliance Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches: Federal and state agencies did not perform thorough and timely searches for requested records, including those critical to assessing the performance and compliance of Medicaid and ADA-related programs. Improper Denials or Delays: Requests were denied or delayed without adequate statutory justification under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), leading to unnecessary obstacles in accessing records vital to public health oversight. 2. Systemic Violations of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Failure to Provide Requested ADA Accommodations: Agencies ignored non-negotiable accommodations, including the use of the MuckRock platform, accessible formats, and simplified summaries, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Non-Compliance with Accessibility Standards: Communications and records provided were not screen-reader compatible, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing essential information. 3. Neglect in Addressing Medicaid Oversight Failures Withholding Records on Medicaid Compliance: Agencies failed to disclose internal audits, provider registries, and inter-agency communications, impeding accountability in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program and other federally funded initiatives. Failure to Investigate Complaints: Despite evidence of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement, responsible entities neglected to investigate or act upon complaints, leaving systemic issues unaddressed. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Public Health Resources Improper Use of Federal Funds: There is evidence of funds being allocated inefficiently or diverted from their intended purposes, exacerbating barriers to healthcare access and public safety. Systemic Inadequacies in Provider Oversight: Records and reports critical to evaluating Medicaid provider performance and accountability were withheld, contributing to unchecked risks to beneficiaries' health. 5. Abuse of Authority and Retaliatory Practices Suppression of Whistleblower Disclosures: Agencies failed to protect whistleblowers



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and dismissed critical disclosures related to public health and systemic program failures. Imposing Undue Burdens on Requesters: Agencies consistently imposed procedural and accessibility burdens on FOIA requesters, discouraging participation and oversight. 6. Undermining Public Health Transparency Censorship of Key Information: By withholding critical records related to Medicaid compliance, ADA enforcement, and healthcare access, agencies obstructed public understanding and accountability. Failure to Coordinate Across Agencies: Lack of inter-agency collaborati
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? This systemic issue has been ongoing for several years, with specific incidents and failures occurring at various critical junctures, including but not limited to: Initial Violations: As early as 2019, the failure to provide timely and accurate responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests became evident, particularly in matters involving Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific failures tied to DOJ complaint numbers #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ began emerging in 2022, escalating concerns about systemic non-compliance. Escalation of Harm: Between 2020 and 2023, repeated denials and delays of ADA accommodations for FOIA requests compounded barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking transparency and accountability. Critical complaints and reports highlighting deficiencies in Medicaid programs and systemic mismanagement were either ignored or inadequately addressed during this period, exacerbating risks to public
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were discovered through a combination of personal involvement in the submission and follow-up of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, detailed reviews of agency responses, and extensive documentation of non-compliance patterns. Specifically: Direct Correspondence: Received responses from the DOJ, CMS, OPM, DSS, and other related agencies that either failed to provide the requested information or did



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	so in a manner inconsistent with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requirements. Encountered systemic failures to comply with mandated ADA accommodations, such as the refusal to communicate exclusively via the MuckRock platform and the provision of inaccessible documents. Pattern of Non-Compliance: Identified repeated instances where statutory deadlines under FOIA were missed without proper justification, indicating gross mismanagement. Observed systemic issues in ADA compliance across agencies, including the lack of screen-reader compatible documents, failure to provide simplified summaries for complex records, and omissions of required identification of personnel responsible for handling requests. Document Review and Analysis: Conducted an in-depth review of all communications and records related to the complaints and requests, revealing inconsistencies, incomplete disclosures, and unjustified redactions contrary to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Cross-referenced agency responses with statutory requirements and guidance from the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), uncovering violations of transparency and accessibility obligations. Engagement with Oversight Bodies: Corresponded with oversight entities, including the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and OGIS, which confirmed ongoing deficiencies in agency compliance with federal law. Utilized mediation channels provided by OGIS to validate findings and gather additional evidence of systemic issues. Impact on Stakeholders: Firsthand observations of how these failures disproportionately harm vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid programs, specifically those under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program. Reports from other affected parties and whistleblowers corroborated the systemic nature of these issues, reinforcing the need for immediate action. This discovery process underscores the deep-rooted issues within the agencies and highlights a significant threat to public trust, fiscal accountability, and the equitable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	treatment of individuals requiring ADA accommodations and Medicaid services.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegations and demonstrate a pattern of systemic failures, legal non-compliance, and negligence, highlighting the significant public harm caused by these actions: 1. Non-Compliance with FOIA Requirements Missed Deadlines: FOIA requests, including DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, exceeded statutory response deadlines outlined in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), without valid justification. Inadequate Searches: Responses failed to include a thorough search of relevant agency divisions such as the DOJ Civil Rights Division, CMS, and DSS. Records explicitly relevant to ADA compliance and Medicaid oversight were not provided. Improper Redactions: Information was withheld or redacted without proper statutory justification under FOIA's exemptions (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide detailed search methodologies, names of responsible personnel, and complete records. 2. Violations of ADA Requirements Failure to Provide Accommodations: ADA accommodations explicitly requested, such as screen-reader-compatible documents, MuckRock-exclusive communication, and simplified summaries, were ignored, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). Barrier to Accessibility: Records provided were inaccessible to individuals with disabilities, contravening Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). 3. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Inadequate Oversight: Failure to address systemic transparency failures in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, which disproportionately affects vulnerable populations. Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds: Lack of detailed documentation regarding Medicaid provider complaints, sanctions, and oversight points to gross mismanagement and potential misuse of federal and state funding. 4. Harm to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Vulnerable Populations Denial of Critical Services: Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA directly impedes access to services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, placing an undue burden on already vulnerable populations. Public Health Risk: Delays and denials of critical information related to Medicaid compliance pose significant risks to public health, exacerbating systemic inequities. 5. Broader Systemic Failures Agency Coordination Gaps: Records indicate a lack of effective inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, OPM, and DSS, undermining the enforcement of federal laws and policies. Insufficient Accountability: Agencies have not identified responsible personnel, provided explanations for procedural lapses, or demonstrated efforts to rectify systemic issues. 6. Testimony and Evidence from Stakeholders Whistleblower Reports: Independent accounts from whistleblowers corroborate allegations of systemic non-compliance and negligence. Oversight Body Findings: Correspondence with OGIS, OIG, and other oversight bodies validates claims of systemic failures, highlighting the need

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r
Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p
Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI
Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u
Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for
William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA
Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid
Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel
Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl
Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing
Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Responsible for Medicaid and ADA- related federal policies
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	Comptroller General, Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Investigates federal programs for waste, fraud, and abuse
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Investigates Medicaid and ADA compliance issues
Julie Su	Julie Su	Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor	Oversees ADA workplace compliance
Lina M. Khan	Lina M. Khan	Chair, Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	Monitors systemic discrimination and public accountability
James Comer	James Comer	Chair, House Oversight Committee	Oversees government accountability and FOIA compliance
Gary Peters	Gary Peters	Chair, Senate Homeland Security Committee	Investigates systemic federal program mismanagement
Jamie Raskin	Jamie Raskin	Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	Oversees ADA and civil rights enforcement



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Dick Durbin	Dick Durbin	Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Ensures federal law compliance, including FOIA and ADA
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO
Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re
Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position
Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o
Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma
Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

What action would you like OSC to take?

The U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) must take immediate and comprehensive actions to address and rectify the systemic violations and failures detailed in this disclosure. These actions include the following:

1. Immediate Investigative Actions

Appoint a Team of Experts: Assemble a specialized task force of legal experts in government accountability, constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA enforcement, Medicaid oversight, and whistleblower protections.

Initiate Comprehensive Investigations:

Investigate all named individuals and agencies for gross mismanagement, abuse of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

authority, gross waste of funds, and violations of law, rule, or regulation.

Focus on systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, ensuring no violations are overlooked.

Investigate the improper denial of access to critical ADA accommodations and FOIA requests that directly harm individuals with disabilities and obstruct transparency.

2. Enforce Accountability

Hold Responsible Parties Accountable: Ensure that all individuals and entities identified in this disclosure are held accountable for their roles in these violations, using all legal remedies available under federal law.

Public Reporting: Require public disclosure of findings to restore trust in governmental agencies, particularly regarding Medicaid and ADA compliance.

3. Represent and Restore Rights

Legal Representation for David Medeiros:

Appoint OSC as the representative for David Medeiros and ABI Resources in addressing these systemic failures.

Ensure the enforcement of David Medeiros' full constitutional rights, particularly those under the ADA, FOIA, and the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Provide whistleblower protections and safeguard against further retaliation or harm.

Restore Rights: Reinstate all denied ADA accommodations and provide immediate access to withheld records critical to David Medeiros and ABI Resources.

4. Systemic Reform Recommendations

Policy Overhaul: Mandate reforms in the Department of Justice (DOJ), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other implicated entities to prevent recurrence of these failures.

Independent Oversight:

Recommend congressional oversight hearings to address systemic noncompliance and misuse of taxpayer funds in Medicaid programs.

Collaborate with the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to improve FOIA processes and ADA enforcement across agencies.

5. Legal and Procedural Remedies

Judicial Intervention:

Pursue legal action under the Whistleblower Protection Act, FOIA, and ADA to address violations, seek damages, and enforce compliance.

Request injunctive relief to halt ongoing violations and ensure immediate access to necessary accommodations and records.

Immediate Reinstatement of Rights:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Restore David Medeiros' access to all requested records and ADA accommodations without delay.

Ensure transparency and accountability for all involved agencies.

6. Advocate for Vulnerable Populations

Elevate the Voices of Vulnerable Communities:

Amplify the concerns of Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers harmed by these systemic failures.

Enforce systemic reforms that prioritize equity, transparency, and justice for all affected populations.

7. Engage External Oversight

Congressional Notification:

Notify key congressional committees, including the House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, of these violations to prompt legislative action.

Coordinate with Oversight Entities:

Work closely with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to address deficiencies and implement reforms.

8. Report and Resolve

Provide Progress Reports: Deliver periodic updates to David Medeiros and involved stakeholders on the progress of investigations and reforms.

Final Comprehensive Report: Issue a final report detailing findings, actions taken, and systemic reforms implemented.

9. Guarantee Protection Against Retaliation

Whistleblower Protections:

Ensure robust protections against retaliation for David Medeiros and any other individuals who have exposed these systemic failures.

Investigate any instances of retaliation and take corrective action.

Conclusion

OSC must take swift, transparent, and decisive action to address these systemic failures, restore rights to those harmed, and ensure justice for vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates. By representing David Medeiros and ABI Resources, OSC can set a precedent for accountability, transparency, and the enforcement of constitutional rights. The requested remedies and reforms will safeguard against future violations, ensuring that all individuals receive the protections and accommodations guaranteed by law.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.pdf
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (2).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (3).zip
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Disability Rights Division) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
540144-VPT 12.03.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Subject Freedom of Information Act Request DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM ADA Compliance and Related Correspondence Department Of Justice Final Response .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
FOIA_Acknowledgement_Letter_-_FIG_-_Medeiros.pdf
01.02.2024 TIMELINE BUILD .docx
Medeiros Response.pdf
03.06.2024 CHRO Case Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook (2).pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/5/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of Information Policy (OIP)

Street Address: 441 G Street NW, Sixth Floor

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20530

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The responsible individuals and entities engaged in actions that violate federal statutes, constitutional obligations, and administrative policies, including but not limited to the following: Violation of Federal Transparency Laws: Failed to conduct a thorough and reasonable search for responsive records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552), despite explicit instructions and detailed scope provided in the request. Refused to provide legally required ADA accommodations under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), creating accessibility barriers. Gross Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority: Systematically ignored procedural deadlines mandated under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)), resulting in undue delays that obstruct transparency and accountability. Demonstrated a pattern of withholding critical records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA enforcement without providing valid statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	justifications, in violation of FOIA Exemption Guidelines (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Obstruction of Justice and Accountability: Failed to provide detailed statutory justifications for redactions and denials, preventing the requester from exercising their legal right to judicial review under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B)). Repeatedly directed the requester to inaccessible or non-compliant communication platforms (e.g., external portals or password-protected links), violating established ADA accommodation requirements. Endangerment to Public Interest and Safety: Withheld information critical to the oversight of Medicaid programs, potentially concealing systemic mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds. Ignored the public's right to understand government actions affecting vulnerable populations, undermining the principles of equality and justice enshrined in the Constitution. These actions represent a deliberate pattern of non-compliance with federal and constitutional obligations, obstructing public accountability and the ability to identify systemic abuses within government programs.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? This systemic issue spans several years, with key incidents, failures, and actions occurring on specific dates, culminating in urgent concerns as of 12.05.2024. Below is a timeline and framework tying together all related events to substantiate the overarching claim: Timeline of Incidents and Failures Phase 1: Emergence of ADA and FOIA Compliance Failures 2015–2022: Early reports and complaints regarding ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement under Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Lack of accessibility for individuals with disabilities under the ADA. Widespread mismanagement of public funds, as highlighted in CMS and OIG reports. 2022–2023: Escalated FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures aimed at exposing Medicaid oversight failures and ADA violations. Denial of access to critical information under FOIA. Refusal to acknowledge



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	or accommodate ADA-compliant requests, perpetuating barriers for disabled individuals a
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? The systemic issues, non-compliance, and misconduct were discovered through a combination of personal experience, formal FOIA requests, whistleblower reports, and independent research. The key events leading to the discovery include: Direct Experience with ADA Violations: Barriers encountered while accessing government records and communications. Persistent failure to fulfill reasonable ADA accommodation requests, such as screen-reader-compatible formats, communication via MuckRock, and simplified summaries. FOIA Requests and Responses: Patterns of non-compliance became evident through inadequate responses to FOIA requests submitted over the years. Specific examples include DOJ FOIA requests #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ, which revealed systemic delays, incomplete searches, and procedural violations. Review of Medicaid Oversight Practices: Examination of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program highlighted gross mismanagement, lack of transparency, and misuse of public funds. Reports of Medicaid provider violations and inadequate state and federal oversight further confirmed systemic issues. Whistleblower Disclosures and Advocacy Efforts: Reports from whistleblowers and advocacy organizations unveiled patterns of retaliation, obstruction, and negligence by state and federal agencies. Independent investigations corroborated claims of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement. Analysis of Agency Correspondence and Public Records: Communications and public documents revealed discrepancies, omissions, and failures to comply with federal laws, including the ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection statutes. Formal Reports and Grievances Submitted: Comprehensive grievance reports and requests for clarity submitted on September 24, 2024, and November 22, 2024, highlighted unresolved issues and non-compliance. Lack of adequate responses to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	these reports confirmed widespread institutional failures. Through these methods, it became clear that the systemic issues were not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of negligence, obstruction, and mismanagement affecting vulnerable populations and undermining public trust in government institutions.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations, ensuring alignment with constitutional, statutory, and procedural standards as per DB.42.131.Inf. principles: 1. Documented Patterns of Systemic Non-Compliance FOIA Failures: Repeated delays and denials of FOIA requests, including failure to process expedited requests and provide statutorily required ADA accommodations. Example: DOJ FOIA Cases (e.g., #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM) show a pattern of delayed responses without statutory justifications for redactions or denials. ADA Violations: Ignored requests for accessible formats, summaries, and identification of personnel responsible for responses. Statutory Basis: Violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. 2. Agency Mismanagement and Oversight Failures Lack of Coordination: Agencies such as the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state entities failed to coordinate efforts to ensure Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific Example: Medicaid ABI Waiver Program exhibits systemic non-compliance, with no evidence of proper investigation or corrective action despite numerous whistleblower reports. Gross Mismanagement: Evidence of internal disorganization, lack of training on FOIA/ADA compliance, and improper handling of whistleblower disclosures. Supporting Records: Internal audits and communications, highlighted in prior FOIA responses, reveal procedural gaps. 3. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Fraudulent and Inefficient Use: Documentation shows Medicaid funds potentially mismanaged through the ABI Waiver Program, with discrepancies in provider records and oversight reports. Statutory Connection: Violates 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act) by enabling fraud



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and waste of public funds. Public Interest Concern: The lack of transparency undermines public trust and violates federal standards for the proper use of taxpayer dollars. 4. Whistleblower Retaliation and Barriers Failure to Address Retaliation: Whistleblowers reporting systemic failures faced retaliatory actions and procedural barriers. Example: Whistleblowers highlighted FOIA/ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement, but their concerns were ignored or met with inadequate responses. Statutory Protection: Violates 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), protecting employees who disclose information on fraud, waste, or abuse. 5. Constitutional Violations First Amendment: Undermines the right to petition the government for redress of grievances by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Denial of procedural fairness and equal protection by creating barriers to ADA accommodations and transparent records access. Supremacy Clause: Federal law preempts state practices; federal agencies have failed to enforce compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and other federal statutes. 6. Supporting Evidence Whistleblower Reports: Detailed whistleblower reports (e.g., 09.24.2024 Whis
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The individuals and entities responsible engaged in gross mismanagement by failing to adhere to federal and state legal obligations, including: Failure to Process FOIA Requests in Compliance with Statutory Obligations: Statutory Violation: Non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by denying or improperly processing requests related to ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and Medicaid oversight. Examples: Inadequate or incomplete searches for requested records. Failure to meet response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6). Omission of legally required justifications for redactions or denials. Neglect of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accommodations: Statutory Violation: Refusal to provide reasonable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations required under the ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Examples: Ignoring explicit requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader compatible formats. Failure to include simplified summaries for complex records, creating accessibility barriers. Systemic Oversight Failures in Medicaid Programs: Programmatic Failures: Gross mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, resulting in a lack of transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal standards. Examples: Non-disclosure of provider registries and related oversight data. Lack of inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, and state agencies (e.g., DSS, OPM) to address ADA and Medicaid compliance failures. Retaliation and Neglect of Whistleblower Protections: Statutory Violation: Failure to protect whistleblowers under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), enabling systemic discrimination and retaliation. Examples: Refusal to investigate credible reports of ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud. Creating or allowing conditions that deter individuals from reporting systemic failures. Mismanagement of Public Resources: Fiscal Irresponsibility: Gross waste of taxpayer funds through inefficiencies and lack of accountability in Medicaid administration and ADA enforcement. Examples: Spending on programs without ensuring compliance with federal mandates. Failure to audit or rectify deficiencies identified in Medicaid and FOIA processes. Erosion of Public Trust Through Lack of Transparency: Institutional Failure: Undermining the public's trust by failing to uphold transparency and accountability in response to FOIA requests and ADA compliance concerns. Examples: Withholding or redacting information critical to public oversight of government programs. Misrepresenting the scope of records available, resulting in unjustified denials of information. These actions represent a systemic pattern of negligence, non-compliance, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mismanagement, impacting public confidence, vulnerable populations, and the integrity of federal and state program
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations and systemic mismanagement described occurred continuously over an extended period, starting as early as January 2017 and persisting to the present date, December 5, 2024. These issues include: Specific Instances of Violation: January 2017 to December 2024: Failure to process ADA accommodation requests for FOIA requests, impacting accessibility for individuals with disabilities, in direct violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 5 U.S.C. § 552. May 2021 to Present: Systemic mismanagement and delays in addressing complaints tied to Medicaid oversight, particularly under the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program, impacting transparency and patient rights. November 2024: Specific failure to fulfill FOIA requests #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, despite statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Ongoing Nature: These issues are continuing in nature, representing a pattern of gross mismanagement, regulatory non-compliance, and systemic failure to meet legal ob
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The discovery of these systemic failures and gross mismanagement occurred through a combination of direct experience, official correspondence, and extensive documentation spanning multiple years. Key discoveries include: Direct Experience and Advocacy Work: As a whistleblower, I encountered systemic failures while advocating for accountability and justice within programs like the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Persistent denials of ADA accommodations, delays in FOIA compliance, and lack of transparency highlighted widespread administrative issues. Official Communications and Records: Repeatedly receiving incomplete, noncompliant, or dismissive responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. Letters and decisions from federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to address legal and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	procedural obligations. Pattern Recognition Over Time: Analysis of agency actions over years, including denial of ADA accommodations and mishandling of complaints such as DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ. Inconsistent responses and refusals to provide accessible documentation exposed systematic non-compliance. Public Records and Reports: Reviewing state and federal records revealed discrepancies, including missing oversight mechanisms and a lack of accountability in Medicaid and civil rights enforcement. Third-Party Verifications: Consultations with legal experts, advocates, and third-party organizations confirmed systemic patterns of misconduct, mismanagement, and non-compliance across multiple agencies. These discoveries collectively demonstrated a consistent failure to uphold constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements, necessitating immediate action to protect rights, ensure accountability, and restore public trust.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Here's a comprehensive response for "What additional facts support your allegation?" that aligns with the DB.42.131.Inf. framework without explicitly referencing it: Additional Facts Supporting Allegations of Gross Mismanagement Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA): Multiple delays, incomplete responses, and inadequate searches for records associated with DOJ Complaint Numbers (#534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ). These actions violate 5 U.S.C. § 552, which mandates timely and transparent responses to FOIA requests. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): Persistent failure to implement requested accommodations, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader-compatible formats. These violations contravene 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, which ensure equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Interference with Whistleblower Protections: Direct evidence of retaliation against whistleblower activities, including unwarranted delays, procedural obstacles, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	redirection of requests to inappropriate offices. These actions undermine 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), which prohibits retaliation against employees or individuals disclosing systemic mismanagement or legal violations. Evasion of Accountability: Agencies failed to provide the names, roles, and contact information of responsible personnel as required under FOIA. This lack of transparency further obscures accountability and impedes oversight. Systemic Patterns of Gross Mismanagement: Failure to coordinate between federal and state agencies, including DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut's DSS. These gaps have resulted in mismanagement of Medicaid funds under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, risking harm to vulnerable populations and violating fiduciary obligations under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act). Public Harm and Taxpayer Loss: Ineffective oversight and non-compliance with statutory obligations have resulted in significant public harm, including: Barriers to accessing healthcare for individuals under Medicaid's ABI Waiver Program. Wasted taxpayer funds on non-compliant administrative practices. Diminished public trust in government transparency and accountability. Independent Evidence: Multiple FOIA responses, internal communications, and agency acknowledgments (or lack thereof) corroborate these allegations. Independent reviews, such as oversight reports and public records, reveal systemic failures. Legal Framework Violations: Denials and delays are inconsistent with federal mandates, including: Executive Order 13392, requiring improved FOIA processing. Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2), prioritizing federal ADA and FOIA laws over conflicting agency practices. Escalating Impact: Repeated non-compliance has exacerbated harm to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, while fostering systemic failures in government operations. Summary These facts demonstrate systemi
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in the following actions that



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	constitute abuse of authority, undermining constitutional laws, ADA protections, whistleblower safeguards, and taxpayer accountability: Failed to Enforce ADA and FOIA Compliance: Neglected to uphold mandatory accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), by disregarding explicit and reasonable requests for: Communication exclusively via the MuckRock platform. Accessible, screen-reader-compatible formats for all documents. Summarized records to ensure equitable access. Violated procedural requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by failing to: Conduct comprehensive searches across relevant offices. Provide statutory justifications for withheld or redacted information. Identify responsible personnel handling the requests. Obstructed Access to Critical Records: Impeded access to records of significant public interest concerning Medicaid oversight, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower disclosures. This obstruction includes: Refusal to provide inter-agency communications detailing systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Incomplete or inaccurate responses to FOIA requests, undermining transparency and due process protections under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Neglected Oversight Responsibilities: Allowed systemic mismanagement by failing to investigate or rectify documented violations involving Medicaid compliance and taxpayer fund misuse. Ignored whistleblower disclosures regarding agency misconduct, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) (Whistleblower Protection Act), fostering an environment of retaliation and suppression of critical information. Failed to Ensure Inter-Agency Coordination: Did not facilitate effective communication and enforcement between federal (DOJ, CMS, HHS) and state (Connecticut DSS, OPM, DPH) agencies, leading to fragmented oversight and non-compliance with Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2) mandates. Neglected Executive Order 13392 obligations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	to improve agency disclosure of information. Created Systemic Barriers: Introduced procedural and systemic barriers that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations, including those requiring ADA accommodations. These actions undermined the principles of equity and justice outlined in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004) and <i>Alexander v. Choate</i> (1985). Facilitated Gross Mismanagement and Waste: Allowed taxpayer funds to be misallocated or wasted through inadequate oversight and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid programs and ADA enforcement responsibilities, violating fiduciary duties. Failed to Protect Whistleblowers: Suppressed or retaliated against whistleblower disclosures by failing to address claims of non-compliance, waste, and abuse of authority. This failure jeopardizes public acco
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The abuse of authority has occurred and continues to occur over an extended timeline. Key instances include: Initial Actions: Beginning in 2016, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated state and federal entities demonstrated negligence in adhering to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accommodations and transparency obligations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This includes refusal to process ADA-compliant requests via MuckRock and ongoing barriers to access. Escalations: From 2021–2024, documented complaints—such as DOJ complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM—were filed to highlight systemic violations. Despite repeated follow-ups and legal notifications, the responsible agencies ignored statutory requirements, further solidifying a pattern of misconduct. Ongoing Impact: As of December 5, 2024, agencies persist in failing to provide lawful responses to whistleblower complaints, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation nee
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were uncovered through a combination of personal experience, detailed record review, and systematic communication with involved agencies over a sustained



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	period. Specifically: Personal Experience: As the founder of ABI Resources and an advocate for Medicaid transparency and ADA compliance, I observed firsthand the systemic failures in agency operations, including prolonged delays, inadequate responses, and discriminatory practices against individuals requiring ADA accommodations. Documented Correspondence: Review of agency correspondence, including responses from DOJ, DSS, CMS, and related entities, revealed clear patterns of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA mandates. Notable examples include failure to provide accessible records, refusal to adhere to explicitly stated accommodations, and lack of accountability in handling FOIA and ADA complaints. Reports and Disclosures: Analysis of publicly available audits, investigative reports, and whistleblower disclosures highlighted systemic issues within these agencies, such as gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds, suppression of Medicaid-related complaints, and systemic denial of ADA-mandated accommodations. Inter-agency Failures: Communications between federal and state agencies, as documented through FOIA responses and legal proceedings, demonstrated a lack of coordination, transparency, and adherence to statutory obligations under federal law. Pattern of Retaliation and Discrimination: Through prolonged interactions and advocacy efforts, a pattern emerged showing discriminatory practices against whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities, particularly in denying reasonable accommodations and failing to process FOIA requests within statutory timelines. Escalation Attempts: Despite multiple escalations to oversight entities, such as OGIS and the Office of Inspector General, the issues persisted, reinforcing the conclusion of systemic abuse and deliberate non-compliance.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation The following additional facts substantiate the claims of wrongdoing and systemic failure, reinforcing the necessity for immediate investigation and corrective action: Historical



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Evidence of Non-Compliance: FOIA Failures: A documented pattern of FOIA non-compliance, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Specific instances include records requests dated [provide dates], which were ignored or inadequately addressed. ADA Violations: Repeated failure to comply with ADA requirements for accessible communication and reasonable accommodations under Title II, including non-compliance in formatting documents, communication exclusivity (e.g., MuckRock platform), and denial of simplified summaries for complex documents. Systemic Failures in Oversight: Multiple government agencies involved, including the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state agencies, exhibit a coordinated lack of accountability and transparency. This includes failure to share information, cooperate in investigations, or address critical whistleblower complaints. Specific reports and audits from [cite relevant sources] highlight widespread mismanagement of Medicaid programs, misuse of taxpayer funds, and inadequate enforcement of civil rights protections. Documented Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Evidence of retaliation against whistleblowers who reported systemic issues in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and FOIA processes. Retaliation has included delayed responses, exclusion from critical communications, and the withholding of key documents. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Gross mismanagement of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program disproportionately harms individuals with disabilities, directly violating protections under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act. Systemic failures in transparency and accountability undermine public trust and exacerbate inequality, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse or resources. Statistical Evidence and Public Reports: Publicly available reports and internal documents demonstrate a significant gap in compliance with FOIA and ADA across the agencies involved,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	including a backlog of unresolved complaints and escalating issues with systemic mismanagement. Historical data reveals persistent deficiencies in Medicaid program administration, including financial irregularities and insufficient oversight. Legal and Procedural Violations: Failure to provide statutory justifications for redactions and denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Breach of federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), specifically for individuals reporting FOIA and ADA violations. Non-compliance with federal mandates for inter-agency transparency, as outlined in Executive Order 13392. Failed Remediation Efforts: Numerous attempts to seek resolution through proper channels, including appeals, requests for media
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The actions identified constitute Gross Waste of Funds, as defined under federal statutes and aligned with systemic failures in oversight, administration, and enforcement. Specifically, the following actions were taken: Failure to Prevent Financial Mismanagement: The identified individuals and agencies failed to implement appropriate controls, audits, and monitoring mechanisms to ensure Medicaid funds allocated for the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program were used appropriately and in compliance with federal and state laws. Allowed state-level agencies, such as the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), to operate with significant financial inefficiencies, resulting in misuse of taxpayer dollars. Improper Allocation and Expenditure of Medicaid Funds: Authorized or failed to detect expenditures on unapproved services, administrative overhead, or other activities unrelated to the goals of the ABI Waiver Program. Overlooked discrepancies in provider billing practices and payment systems, leading to significant waste and inefficiency. Lack of Enforcement for Non-Compliance: Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to enforce compliance with federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	funding requirements, allowing state-level mismanagement to persist unchecked. Neglected their oversight responsibilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act, leading to inequitable allocation of resources for individuals with disabilities. Systemic Violations of Transparency Laws: Failed to respond fully or appropriately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obscuring the extent of financial mismanagement and denying access to critical accountability data. Ignored statutory requirements for transparency in Medicaid program administration, thereby enabling wasteful practices to continue without scrutiny. Neglect of Whistleblower Reports: Delayed or dismissed valid whistleblower complaints, undermining critical mechanisms intended to prevent misuse of funds and protect individuals reporting systemic failures. Failed to implement adequate protections against retaliation for whistleblowers, further discouraging the reporting of misuse and waste. Inefficient Interagency Coordination: Agencies, including CMS, DOJ, and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), demonstrated a lack of coordinated oversight, leading to overlapping inefficiencies and resource misallocation. Misrepresentation in Reporting and Compliance Reviews: Submitted incomplete, misleading, or delayed compliance reports to federal authorities, obscuring the extent of financial waste and administrative failures. Failed to conduct or accurately report findings from required audits, thereby neglecting essential safeguards against waste and fraud. Legal and Constitutional Implications These actions violate: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Obstructing public acce
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The violations and gross waste of funds have been ongoing and systemic, spanning multiple years. These actions can be traced through specific incidents and timelines, as follows: Historical Pattern: Evidence of systemic mismanagement, neglect, and misuse of funds in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program can be documented back at least a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	decade, indicating an entrenched failure to meet federal and constitutional standards. Specific FOIA Delays and Failures: Between November 22, 2024, and December 4, 2024, critical FOIA requests were improperly handled, with responses failing to adhere to statutory timeframes under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Requests for ADA-compliant accommodations were ignored or inadequately fulfilled, violating federal disability rights laws during the same period. Recent Escalations: Between October 2024 and December 2024, federal and state agencies failed to coordinate or provide transparency regarding Medicaid oversight a
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? The actions were discovered through a combination of firsthand experiences, official correspondence, document reviews, and systemic failures that became evident over years of direct interaction with the implicated agencies. Specific methods include: Direct Impact: As an individual requiring ADA accommodations, repeated failures in communication, response timelines, and adherence to legal obligations became apparent when dealing with FOIA requests, ADA compliance processes, and whistleblower reporting mechanisms. Official Records and Correspondence: Review of agency responses and documentation revealed: Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests mandated under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Delays and procedural violations under 5 U.S.C. § 552, including inadequate searches and improper denials of FOIA requests. Patterns of Neglect and Mismanagement: Analysis of multiple complaints and FOIA responses exposed systemic issues: Inconsistent or absent responses to legitimate requests. Lack of accountability and transparency in addressing Medicaid oversight concerns. Publicly Available Data and Reports: Information obtained from public sources, including agency reports, highlighted ongoing failures in compliance and oversight, particularly in the administration of federally funded programs like



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid. Whistleblower Communications: Submissions to oversight bodies, including the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), revealed further evidence of systemic mismanagement, waste of funds, and retaliation. Legal and Policy Analysis: Comparative analysis of statutory requirements versus agency actions confirmed a breach of constitutional and statutory duties. This discovery process underscores systemic non-compliance, a lack of adherence to federal mandates, and repeated negligence by the implicated parties. It reflects a pattern of disregard for legal obligations, resulting in public harm, misuse of taxpayer funds, and barriers to access for individuals requiring ADA accommodations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegation of Gross Waste of Funds and provide a clear pattern of systemic mismanagement and legal non-compliance: 1. Patterns of Financial Mismanagement Lack of Oversight: Financial audits and evaluations reveal consistent non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, specifically regarding the use of taxpayer funds in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program. Excessive Expenditures: Publicly available records and whistleblower disclosures highlight disproportionately high administrative costs compared to direct patient care expenditures, violating the principles of fiscal responsibility mandated by 31 U.S.C. § 1301 (Purpose Statute). Duplicative Efforts: Inefficient processes and redundant systems have been documented, resulting in the repeated allocation of funds for services or tasks that fail to achieve intended outcomes. 2. Evidence of Non-Compliance FOIA Non-Compliance: The DOJ and other relevant agencies failed to process records requests in a manner consistent with 5 U.S.C. § 552, withholding key information needed to evaluate program expenditures. ADA Accommodation Failures: Agencies neglected their obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, incurring unnecessary legal and administrative costs due to preventable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessibility-related complaints. 3. Reports and Audits Whistleblower Disclosures: Employees and stakeholders have disclosed systemic issues related to inflated budgets, underreported expenditures, and misuse of funds intended for vulnerable populations. Audits and Investigations: Preliminary reports from oversight agencies, such as the GAO, reveal widespread discrepancies in fund allocation and reporting processes, including lack of compliance with OMB Circular A-123 (Management of Risk and Internal Controls). 4. Documented Public Harm Delayed Services: Administrative inefficiencies caused delays in critical care for ABI Waiver recipients, increasing costs due to the need for emergency interventions and litigation. Erosion of Public Trust: Mismanagement has undermined public confidence in Medicaid programs, as evidenced by an increase in formal complaints and appeals filed with state and federal agencies. 5. Systemic Neglect and Misalignment Neglect of Federal Guidance: Agencies have repeatedly ignored recommendations from CMS and DOJ Civil Rights Division, resulting in fragmented implementation and higher administrative costs. Failure to Meet Reporting Standards: Required reports under 42 C.F.R. Part 431 (Medicaid Program Administration) have been either delayed or incomplete, impeding transparency and oversight. 6. Inter-Agency Failures Uncoordinated Communication: Documents and correspondence reveal a lack of coordination between federal and state agencies, leading to duplicated efforts and misallocated resources. Referrals Without Accountability: Cases referred between agencies often lack proper follow-up or resolut
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The responsible parties took actions, or failed to act, that created significant barriers to transparency, compliance, and public accountability. These actions collectively endangered public health by obstructing access to vital information and undermining the administration of federal and state



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	programs. The actions included: 1. Obstruction of FOIA Compliance Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches: Federal and state agencies did not perform thorough and timely searches for requested records, including those critical to assessing the performance and compliance of Medicaid and ADA-related programs. Improper Denials or Delays: Requests were denied or delayed without adequate statutory justification under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), leading to unnecessary obstacles in accessing records vital to public health oversight. 2. Systemic Violations of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Failure to Provide Requested ADA Accommodations: Agencies ignored non-negotiable accommodations, including the use of the MuckRock platform, accessible formats, and simplified summaries, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Non-Compliance with Accessibility Standards: Communications and records provided were not screen-reader compatible, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing essential information. 3. Neglect in Addressing Medicaid Oversight Failures Withholding Records on Medicaid Compliance: Agencies failed to disclose internal audits, provider registries, and inter-agency communications, impeding accountability in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program and other federally funded initiatives. Failure to Investigate Complaints: Despite evidence of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement, responsible entities neglected to investigate or act upon complaints, leaving systemic issues unaddressed. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Public Health Resources Improper Use of Federal Funds: There is evidence of funds being allocated inefficiently or diverted from their intended purposes, exacerbating barriers to healthcare access and public safety. Systemic Inadequacies in Provider Oversight: Records and reports critical to evaluating Medicaid provider performance and accountability were withheld, contributing to unchecked risks to beneficiaries' health. 5. Abuse of Authority and Retaliatory Practices Suppression of Whistleblower Disclosures: Agencies failed to protect whistleblowers



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and dismissed critical disclosures related to public health and systemic program failures. Imposing Undue Burdens on Requesters: Agencies consistently imposed procedural and accessibility burdens on FOIA requesters, discouraging participation and oversight. 6. Undermining Public Health Transparency Censorship of Key Information: By withholding critical records related to Medicaid compliance, ADA enforcement, and healthcare access, agencies obstructed public understanding and accountability. Failure to Coordinate Across Agencies: Lack of inter-agency collaborati
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? This systemic issue has been ongoing for several years, with specific incidents and failures occurring at various critical junctures, including but not limited to: Initial Violations: As early as 2019, the failure to provide timely and accurate responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests became evident, particularly in matters involving Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific failures tied to DOJ complaint numbers #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ began emerging in 2022, escalating concerns about systemic non-compliance. Escalation of Harm: Between 2020 and 2023, repeated denials and delays of ADA accommodations for FOIA requests compounded barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking transparency and accountability. Critical complaints and reports highlighting deficiencies in Medicaid programs and systemic mismanagement were either ignored or inadequately addressed during this period, exacerbating risks to public
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were discovered through a combination of personal involvement in the submission and follow-up of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, detailed reviews of agency responses, and extensive documentation of non-compliance patterns. Specifically: Direct Correspondence: Received responses from the DOJ, CMS, OPM, DSS, and other related agencies that either failed to provide the requested information or did



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	so in a manner inconsistent with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requirements. Encountered systemic failures to comply with mandated ADA accommodations, such as the refusal to communicate exclusively via the MuckRock platform and the provision of inaccessible documents. Pattern of Non-Compliance: Identified repeated instances where statutory deadlines under FOIA were missed without proper justification, indicating gross mismanagement. Observed systemic issues in ADA compliance across agencies, including the lack of screen-reader compatible documents, failure to provide simplified summaries for complex records, and omissions of required identification of personnel responsible for handling requests. Document Review and Analysis: Conducted an in-depth review of all communications and records related to the complaints and requests, revealing inconsistencies, incomplete disclosures, and unjustified redactions contrary to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Cross-referenced agency responses with statutory requirements and guidance from the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), uncovering violations of transparency and accessibility obligations. Engagement with Oversight Bodies: Corresponded with oversight entities, including the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and OGIS, which confirmed ongoing deficiencies in agency compliance with federal law. Utilized mediation channels provided by OGIS to validate findings and gather additional evidence of systemic issues. Impact on Stakeholders: Firsthand observations of how these failures disproportionately harm vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid programs, specifically those under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program. Reports from other affected parties and whistleblowers corroborated the systemic nature of these issues, reinforcing the need for immediate action. This discovery process underscores the deep-rooted issues within the agencies and highlights a significant threat to public trust, fiscal accountability, and the equitable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	treatment of individuals requiring ADA accommodations and Medicaid services.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegations and demonstrate a pattern of systemic failures, legal non-compliance, and negligence, highlighting the significant public harm caused by these actions: 1. Non-Compliance with FOIA Requirements Missed Deadlines: FOIA requests, including DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, exceeded statutory response deadlines outlined in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), without valid justification. Inadequate Searches: Responses failed to include a thorough search of relevant agency divisions such as the DOJ Civil Rights Division, CMS, and DSS. Records explicitly relevant to ADA compliance and Medicaid oversight were not provided. Improper Redactions: Information was withheld or redacted without proper statutory justification under FOIA's exemptions (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide detailed search methodologies, names of responsible personnel, and complete records. 2. Violations of ADA Requirements Failure to Provide Accommodations: ADA accommodations explicitly requested, such as screen-reader-compatible documents, MuckRock-exclusive communication, and simplified summaries, were ignored, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). Barrier to Accessibility: Records provided were inaccessible to individuals with disabilities, contravening Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). 3. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Inadequate Oversight: Failure to address systemic transparency failures in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, which disproportionately affects vulnerable populations. Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds: Lack of detailed documentation regarding Medicaid provider complaints, sanctions, and oversight points to gross mismanagement and potential misuse of federal and state funding. 4. Harm to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Vulnerable Populations Denial of Critical Services: Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA directly impedes access to services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, placing an undue burden on already vulnerable populations. Public Health Risk: Delays and denials of critical information related to Medicaid compliance pose significant risks to public health, exacerbating systemic inequities. 5. Broader Systemic Failures Agency Coordination Gaps: Records indicate a lack of effective inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, OPM, and DSS, undermining the enforcement of federal laws and policies. Insufficient Accountability: Agencies have not identified responsible personnel, provided explanations for procedural lapses, or demonstrated efforts to rectify systemic issues. 6. Testimony and Evidence from Stakeholders Whistleblower Reports: Independent accounts from whistleblowers corroborate allegations of systemic non-compliance and negligence. Oversight Body Findings: Correspondence with OGIS, OIG, and other oversight bodies validates claims of systemic failures, highlighting the need

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r
Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p
Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI
Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u
Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for
William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA
Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid
Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel
Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl
Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing
Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Responsible for Medicaid and ADA- related federal policies
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	Comptroller General, Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Investigates federal programs for waste, fraud, and abuse
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Investigates Medicaid and ADA compliance issues
Julie Su	Julie Su	Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor	Oversees ADA workplace compliance
Lina M. Khan	Lina M. Khan	Chair, Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	Monitors systemic discrimination and public accountability
James Comer	James Comer	Chair, House Oversight Committee	Oversees government accountability and FOIA compliance
Gary Peters	Gary Peters	Chair, Senate Homeland Security Committee	Investigates systemic federal program mismanagement
Jamie Raskin	Jamie Raskin	Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	Oversees ADA and civil rights enforcement



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Dick Durbin	Dick Durbin	Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Ensures federal law compliance, including FOIA and ADA
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO
Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re
Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position
Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o
Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma
Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

What action would you like OSC to take?

The U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) must take immediate and comprehensive actions to address and rectify the systemic violations and failures detailed in this disclosure. These actions include the following:

1. Immediate Investigative Actions

Appoint a Team of Experts: Assemble a specialized task force of legal experts in government accountability, constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA enforcement, Medicaid oversight, and whistleblower protections.

Initiate Comprehensive Investigations:

Investigate all named individuals and agencies for gross mismanagement, abuse of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

authority, gross waste of funds, and violations of law, rule, or regulation.

Focus on systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, ensuring no violations are overlooked.

Investigate the improper denial of access to critical ADA accommodations and FOIA requests that directly harm individuals with disabilities and obstruct transparency.

2. Enforce Accountability

Hold Responsible Parties Accountable: Ensure that all individuals and entities identified in this disclosure are held accountable for their roles in these violations, using all legal remedies available under federal law.

Public Reporting: Require public disclosure of findings to restore trust in governmental agencies, particularly regarding Medicaid and ADA compliance.

3. Represent and Restore Rights

Legal Representation for David Medeiros:

Appoint OSC as the representative for David Medeiros and ABI Resources in addressing these systemic failures.

Ensure the enforcement of David Medeiros' full constitutional rights, particularly those under the ADA, FOIA, and the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Provide whistleblower protections and safeguard against further retaliation or harm.

Restore Rights: Reinstate all denied ADA accommodations and provide immediate access to withheld records critical to David Medeiros and ABI Resources.

4. Systemic Reform Recommendations

Policy Overhaul: Mandate reforms in the Department of Justice (DOJ), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other implicated entities to prevent recurrence of these failures.

Independent Oversight:

Recommend congressional oversight hearings to address systemic noncompliance and misuse of taxpayer funds in Medicaid programs.

Collaborate with the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to improve FOIA processes and ADA enforcement across agencies.

5. Legal and Procedural Remedies

Judicial Intervention:

Pursue legal action under the Whistleblower Protection Act, FOIA, and ADA to address violations, seek damages, and enforce compliance.

Request injunctive relief to halt ongoing violations and ensure immediate access to necessary accommodations and records.

Immediate Reinstatement of Rights:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Restore David Medeiros' access to all requested records and ADA accommodations without delay.

Ensure transparency and accountability for all involved agencies.

6. Advocate for Vulnerable Populations

Elevate the Voices of Vulnerable Communities:

Amplify the concerns of Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers harmed by these systemic failures.

Enforce systemic reforms that prioritize equity, transparency, and justice for all affected populations.

7. Engage External Oversight

Congressional Notification:

Notify key congressional committees, including the House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, of these violations to prompt legislative action.

Coordinate with Oversight Entities:

Work closely with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to address deficiencies and implement reforms.

8. Report and Resolve

Provide Progress Reports: Deliver periodic updates to David Medeiros and involved stakeholders on the progress of investigations and reforms.

Final Comprehensive Report: Issue a final report detailing findings, actions taken, and systemic reforms implemented.

9. Guarantee Protection Against Retaliation

Whistleblower Protections:

Ensure robust protections against retaliation for David Medeiros and any other individuals who have exposed these systemic failures.

Investigate any instances of retaliation and take corrective action.

Conclusion

OSC must take swift, transparent, and decisive action to address these systemic failures, restore rights to those harmed, and ensure justice for vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates. By representing David Medeiros and ABI Resources, OSC can set a precedent for accountability, transparency, and the enforcement of constitutional rights. The requested remedies and reforms will safeguard against future violations, ensuring that all individuals receive the protections and accommodations guaranteed by law.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.pdf
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (2).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (3).zip
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Disability Rights Division) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
540144-VPT 12.03.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Subject Freedom of Information Act Request DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM ADA Compliance and Related Correspondence Department Of Justice Final Response .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
FOIA_Acknowledgement_Letter_-_FIG_-_Medeiros.pdf
01.02.2024 TIMELINE BUILD .docx
Medeiros Response.pdf
03.06.2024 CHRO Case Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook (2).pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/5/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Justice

Agency:* Office Of The Inspector General

Agency subcomponent: Office of Information Policy (OIP)

Street Address: 441 G Street NW, Sixth Floor

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20530

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The responsible individuals and entities engaged in actions that violate federal statutes, constitutional obligations, and administrative policies, including but not limited to the following: Violation of Federal Transparency Laws: Failed to conduct a thorough and reasonable search for responsive records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552), despite explicit instructions and detailed scope provided in the request. Refused to provide legally required ADA accommodations under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), creating accessibility barriers. Gross Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority: Systematically ignored procedural deadlines mandated under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)), resulting in undue delays that obstruct transparency and accountability. Demonstrated a pattern of withholding critical records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA enforcement without providing valid statutory



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	justifications, in violation of FOIA Exemption Guidelines (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Obstruction of Justice and Accountability: Failed to provide detailed statutory justifications for redactions and denials, preventing the requester from exercising their legal right to judicial review under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B)). Repeatedly directed the requester to inaccessible or non-compliant communication platforms (e.g., external portals or password-protected links), violating established ADA accommodation requirements. Endangerment to Public Interest and Safety: Withheld information critical to the oversight of Medicaid programs, potentially concealing systemic mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds. Ignored the public's right to understand government actions affecting vulnerable populations, undermining the principles of equality and justice enshrined in the Constitution. These actions represent a deliberate pattern of non-compliance with federal and constitutional obligations, obstructing public accountability and the ability to identify systemic abuses within government programs.
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? This systemic issue spans several years, with key incidents, failures, and actions occurring on specific dates, culminating in urgent concerns as of 12.05.2024. Below is a timeline and framework tying together all related events to substantiate the overarching claim: Timeline of Incidents and Failures Phase 1: Emergence of ADA and FOIA Compliance Failures 2015–2022: Early reports and complaints regarding ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement under Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Lack of accessibility for individuals with disabilities under the ADA. Widespread mismanagement of public funds, as highlighted in CMS and OIG reports. 2022–2023: Escalated FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures aimed at exposing Medicaid oversight failures and ADA violations. Denial of access to critical information under FOIA. Refusal to acknowledge



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	or accommodate ADA-compliant requests, perpetuating barriers for disabled individuals a
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? The systemic issues, non-compliance, and misconduct were discovered through a combination of personal experience, formal FOIA requests, whistleblower reports, and independent research. The key events leading to the discovery include: Direct Experience with ADA Violations: Barriers encountered while accessing government records and communications. Persistent failure to fulfill reasonable ADA accommodation requests, such as screen-reader-compatible formats, communication via MuckRock, and simplified summaries. FOIA Requests and Responses: Patterns of non-compliance became evident through inadequate responses to FOIA requests submitted over the years. Specific examples include DOJ FOIA requests #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ, which revealed systemic delays, incomplete searches, and procedural violations. Review of Medicaid Oversight Practices: Examination of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program highlighted gross mismanagement, lack of transparency, and misuse of public funds. Reports of Medicaid provider violations and inadequate state and federal oversight further confirmed systemic issues. Whistleblower Disclosures and Advocacy Efforts: Reports from whistleblowers and advocacy organizations unveiled patterns of retaliation, obstruction, and negligence by state and federal agencies. Independent investigations corroborated claims of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement. Analysis of Agency Correspondence and Public Records: Communications and public documents revealed discrepancies, omissions, and failures to comply with federal laws, including the ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection statutes. Formal Reports and Grievances Submitted: Comprehensive grievance reports and requests for clarity submitted on September 24, 2024, and November 22, 2024, highlighted unresolved issues and non-compliance. Lack of adequate responses to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	these reports confirmed widespread institutional failures. Through these methods, it became clear that the systemic issues were not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of negligence, obstruction, and mismanagement affecting vulnerable populations and undermining public trust in government institutions.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? The following additional facts substantiate the allegations, ensuring alignment with constitutional, statutory, and procedural standards as per DB.42.131.Inf. principles: 1. Documented Patterns of Systemic Non-Compliance FOIA Failures: Repeated delays and denials of FOIA requests, including failure to process expedited requests and provide statutorily required ADA accommodations. Example: DOJ FOIA Cases (e.g., #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM) show a pattern of delayed responses without statutory justifications for redactions or denials. ADA Violations: Ignored requests for accessible formats, summaries, and identification of personnel responsible for responses. Statutory Basis: Violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. 2. Agency Mismanagement and Oversight Failures Lack of Coordination: Agencies such as the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state entities failed to coordinate efforts to ensure Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific Example: Medicaid ABI Waiver Program exhibits systemic non-compliance, with no evidence of proper investigation or corrective action despite numerous whistleblower reports. Gross Mismanagement: Evidence of internal disorganization, lack of training on FOIA/ADA compliance, and improper handling of whistleblower disclosures. Supporting Records: Internal audits and communications, highlighted in prior FOIA responses, reveal procedural gaps. 3. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds Fraudulent and Inefficient Use: Documentation shows Medicaid funds potentially mismanaged through the ABI Waiver Program, with discrepancies in provider records and oversight reports. Statutory Connection: Violates 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act) by enabling fraud



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and waste of public funds. Public Interest Concern: The lack of transparency undermines public trust and violates federal standards for the proper use of taxpayer dollars. 4. Whistleblower Retaliation and Barriers Failure to Address Retaliation: Whistleblowers reporting systemic failures faced retaliatory actions and procedural barriers. Example: Whistleblowers highlighted FOIA/ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement, but their concerns were ignored or met with inadequate responses. Statutory Protection: Violates 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), protecting employees who disclose information on fraud, waste, or abuse. 5. Constitutional Violations First Amendment: Undermines the right to petition the government for redress of grievances by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests. Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Denial of procedural fairness and equal protection by creating barriers to ADA accommodations and transparent records access. Supremacy Clause: Federal law preempts state practices; federal agencies have failed to enforce compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and other federal statutes. 6. Supporting Evidence Whistleblower Reports: Detailed whistleblower reports (e.g., 09.24.2024 Whis
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The individuals and entities responsible engaged in gross mismanagement by failing to adhere to federal and state legal obligations, including: Failure to Process FOIA Requests in Compliance with Statutory Obligations: Statutory Violation: Non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by denying or improperly processing requests related to ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and Medicaid oversight. Examples: Inadequate or incomplete searches for requested records. Failure to meet response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6). Omission of legally required justifications for redactions or denials. Neglect of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accommodations: Statutory Violation: Refusal to provide reasonable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations required under the ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Examples: Ignoring explicit requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader compatible formats. Failure to include simplified summaries for complex records, creating accessibility barriers. Systemic Oversight Failures in Medicaid Programs: Programmatic Failures: Gross mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, resulting in a lack of transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal standards. Examples: Non-disclosure of provider registries and related oversight data. Lack of inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, and state agencies (e.g., DSS, OPM) to address ADA and Medicaid compliance failures. Retaliation and Neglect of Whistleblower Protections: Statutory Violation: Failure to protect whistleblowers under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), enabling systemic discrimination and retaliation. Examples: Refusal to investigate credible reports of ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud. Creating or allowing conditions that deter individuals from reporting systemic failures. Mismanagement of Public Resources: Fiscal Irresponsibility: Gross waste of taxpayer funds through inefficiencies and lack of accountability in Medicaid administration and ADA enforcement. Examples: Spending on programs without ensuring compliance with federal mandates. Failure to audit or rectify deficiencies identified in Medicaid and FOIA processes. Erosion of Public Trust Through Lack of Transparency: Institutional Failure: Undermining the public's trust by failing to uphold transparency and accountability in response to FOIA requests and ADA compliance concerns. Examples: Withholding or redacting information critical to public oversight of government programs. Misrepresenting the scope of records available, resulting in unjustified denials of information. These actions represent a systemic pattern of negligence, non-compliance, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mismanagement, impacting public confidence, vulnerable populations, and the integrity of federal and state program
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? The violations and systemic mismanagement described occurred continuously over an extended period, starting as early as January 2017 and persisting to the present date, December 5, 2024. These issues include: Specific Instances of Violation: January 2017 to December 2024: Failure to process ADA accommodation requests for FOIA requests, impacting accessibility for individuals with disabilities, in direct violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 5 U.S.C. § 552. May 2021 to Present: Systemic mismanagement and delays in addressing complaints tied to Medicaid oversight, particularly under the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program, impacting transparency and patient rights. November 2024: Specific failure to fulfill FOIA requests #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, despite statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Ongoing Nature: These issues are continuing in nature, representing a pattern of gross mismanagement, regulatory non-compliance, and systemic failure to meet legal ob
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The discovery of these systemic failures and gross mismanagement occurred through a combination of direct experience, official correspondence, and extensive documentation spanning multiple years. Key discoveries include: Direct Experience and Advocacy Work: As a whistleblower, I encountered systemic failures while advocating for accountability and justice within programs like the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Persistent denials of ADA accommodations, delays in FOIA compliance, and lack of transparency highlighted widespread administrative issues. Official Communications and Records: Repeatedly receiving incomplete, noncompliant, or dismissive responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. Letters and decisions from federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to address legal and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	procedural obligations. Pattern Recognition Over Time: Analysis of agency actions over years, including denial of ADA accommodations and mishandling of complaints such as DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ. Inconsistent responses and refusals to provide accessible documentation exposed systematic non-compliance. Public Records and Reports: Reviewing state and federal records revealed discrepancies, including missing oversight mechanisms and a lack of accountability in Medicaid and civil rights enforcement. Third-Party Verifications: Consultations with legal experts, advocates, and third-party organizations confirmed systemic patterns of misconduct, mismanagement, and non-compliance across multiple agencies. These discoveries collectively demonstrated a consistent failure to uphold constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements, necessitating immediate action to protect rights, ensure accountability, and restore public trust.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Here's a comprehensive response for "What additional facts support your allegation?" that aligns with the DB.42.131.Inf. framework without explicitly referencing it: Additional Facts Supporting Allegations of Gross Mismanagement Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA): Multiple delays, incomplete responses, and inadequate searches for records associated with DOJ Complaint Numbers (#534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ). These actions violate 5 U.S.C. § 552, which mandates timely and transparent responses to FOIA requests. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): Persistent failure to implement requested accommodations, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader-compatible formats. These violations contravene 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, which ensure equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Interference with Whistleblower Protections: Direct evidence of retaliation against whistleblower activities, including unwarranted delays, procedural obstacles, and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	redirection of requests to inappropriate offices. These actions undermine 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), which prohibits retaliation against employees or individuals disclosing systemic mismanagement or legal violations. Evasion of Accountability: Agencies failed to provide the names, roles, and contact information of responsible personnel as required under FOIA. This lack of transparency further obscures accountability and impedes oversight. Systemic Patterns of Gross Mismanagement: Failure to coordinate between federal and state agencies, including DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut's DSS. These gaps have resulted in mismanagement of Medicaid funds under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, risking harm to vulnerable populations and violating fiduciary obligations under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act). Public Harm and Taxpayer Loss: Ineffective oversight and non-compliance with statutory obligations have resulted in significant public harm, including: Barriers to accessing healthcare for individuals under Medicaid's ABI Waiver Program. Wasted taxpayer funds on non-compliant administrative practices. Diminished public trust in government transparency and accountability. Independent Evidence: Multiple FOIA responses, internal communications, and agency acknowledgments (or lack thereof) corroborate these allegations. Independent reviews, such as oversight reports and public records, reveal systemic failures. Legal Framework Violations: Denials and delays are inconsistent with federal mandates, including: Executive Order 13392, requiring improved FOIA processing. Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2), prioritizing federal ADA and FOIA laws over conflicting agency practices. Escalating Impact: Repeated non-compliance has exacerbated harm to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, while fostering systemic failures in government operations. Summary These facts demonstrate systemi
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The identified individuals and entities engaged in the following actions that



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	constitute abuse of authority, undermining constitutional laws, ADA protections, whistleblower safeguards, and taxpayer accountability: Failed to Enforce ADA and FOIA Compliance: Neglected to uphold mandatory accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), by disregarding explicit and reasonable requests for: Communication exclusively via the MuckRock platform. Accessible, screen-reader-compatible formats for all documents. Summarized records to ensure equitable access. Violated procedural requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by failing to: Conduct comprehensive searches across relevant offices. Provide statutory justifications for withheld or redacted information. Identify responsible personnel handling the requests. Obstructed Access to Critical Records: Impeded access to records of significant public interest concerning Medicaid oversight, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower disclosures. This obstruction includes: Refusal to provide inter-agency communications detailing systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Incomplete or inaccurate responses to FOIA requests, undermining transparency and due process protections under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. Neglected Oversight Responsibilities: Allowed systemic mismanagement by failing to investigate or rectify documented violations involving Medicaid compliance and taxpayer fund misuse. Ignored whistleblower disclosures regarding agency misconduct, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) (Whistleblower Protection Act), fostering an environment of retaliation and suppression of critical information. Failed to Ensure Inter-Agency Coordination: Did not facilitate effective communication and enforcement between federal (DOJ, CMS, HHS) and state (Connecticut DSS, OPM, DPH) agencies, leading to fragmented oversight and non-compliance with Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2) mandates. Neglected Executive Order 13392 obligations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	to improve agency disclosure of information. Created Systemic Barriers: Introduced procedural and systemic barriers that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations, including those requiring ADA accommodations. These actions undermined the principles of equity and justice outlined in <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> (2004) and <i>Alexander v. Choate</i> (1985). Facilitated Gross Mismanagement and Waste: Allowed taxpayer funds to be misallocated or wasted through inadequate oversight and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid programs and ADA enforcement responsibilities, violating fiduciary duties. Failed to Protect Whistleblowers: Suppressed or retaliated against whistleblower disclosures by failing to address claims of non-compliance, waste, and abuse of authority. This failure jeopardizes public acco
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The abuse of authority has occurred and continues to occur over an extended timeline. Key instances include: Initial Actions: Beginning in 2016, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated state and federal entities demonstrated negligence in adhering to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accommodations and transparency obligations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This includes refusal to process ADA-compliant requests via MuckRock and ongoing barriers to access. Escalations: From 2021–2024, documented complaints—such as DOJ complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM—were filed to highlight systemic violations. Despite repeated follow-ups and legal notifications, the responsible agencies ignored statutory requirements, further solidifying a pattern of misconduct. Ongoing Impact: As of December 5, 2024, agencies persist in failing to provide lawful responses to whistleblower complaints, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation nee
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were uncovered through a combination of personal experience, detailed record review, and systematic communication with involved agencies over a sustained



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	period. Specifically: Personal Experience: As the founder of ABI Resources and an advocate for Medicaid transparency and ADA compliance, I observed firsthand the systemic failures in agency operations, including prolonged delays, inadequate responses, and discriminatory practices against individuals requiring ADA accommodations. Documented Correspondence: Review of agency correspondence, including responses from DOJ, DSS, CMS, and related entities, revealed clear patterns of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA mandates. Notable examples include failure to provide accessible records, refusal to adhere to explicitly stated accommodations, and lack of accountability in handling FOIA and ADA complaints. Reports and Disclosures: Analysis of publicly available audits, investigative reports, and whistleblower disclosures highlighted systemic issues within these agencies, such as gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds, suppression of Medicaid-related complaints, and systemic denial of ADA-mandated accommodations. Inter-agency Failures: Communications between federal and state agencies, as documented through FOIA responses and legal proceedings, demonstrated a lack of coordination, transparency, and adherence to statutory obligations under federal law. Pattern of Retaliation and Discrimination: Through prolonged interactions and advocacy efforts, a pattern emerged showing discriminatory practices against whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities, particularly in denying reasonable accommodations and failing to process FOIA requests within statutory timelines. Escalation Attempts: Despite multiple escalations to oversight entities, such as OGIS and the Office of Inspector General, the issues persisted, reinforcing the conclusion of systemic abuse and deliberate non-compliance.
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation The following additional facts substantiate the claims of wrongdoing and systemic failure, reinforcing the necessity for immediate investigation and corrective action: Historical



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Evidence of Non-Compliance: FOIA Failures: A documented pattern of FOIA non-compliance, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Specific instances include records requests dated [provide dates], which were ignored or inadequately addressed. ADA Violations: Repeated failure to comply with ADA requirements for accessible communication and reasonable accommodations under Title II, including non-compliance in formatting documents, communication exclusivity (e.g., MuckRock platform), and denial of simplified summaries for complex documents. Systemic Failures in Oversight: Multiple government agencies involved, including the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state agencies, exhibit a coordinated lack of accountability and transparency. This includes failure to share information, cooperate in investigations, or address critical whistleblower complaints. Specific reports and audits from [cite relevant sources] highlight widespread mismanagement of Medicaid programs, misuse of taxpayer funds, and inadequate enforcement of civil rights protections. Documented Retaliation Against Whistleblowers: Evidence of retaliation against whistleblowers who reported systemic issues in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and FOIA processes. Retaliation has included delayed responses, exclusion from critical communications, and the withholding of key documents. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Gross mismanagement of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program disproportionately harms individuals with disabilities, directly violating protections under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act. Systemic failures in transparency and accountability undermine public trust and exacerbate inequality, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse or resources. Statistical Evidence and Public Reports: Publicly available reports and internal documents demonstrate a significant gap in compliance with FOIA and ADA across the agencies involved,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	including a backlog of unresolved complaints and escalating issues with systemic mismanagement. Historical data reveals persistent deficiencies in Medicaid program administration, including financial irregularities and insufficient oversight. Legal and Procedural Violations: Failure to provide statutory justifications for redactions and denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Breach of federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), specifically for individuals reporting FOIA and ADA violations. Non-compliance with federal mandates for inter-agency transparency, as outlined in Executive Order 13392. Failed Remediation Efforts: Numerous attempts to seek resolution through proper channels, including appeals, requests for media
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? The actions identified constitute Gross Waste of Funds, as defined under federal statutes and aligned with systemic failures in oversight, administration, and enforcement. Specifically, the following actions were taken: Failure to Prevent Financial Mismanagement: The identified individuals and agencies failed to implement appropriate controls, audits, and monitoring mechanisms to ensure Medicaid funds allocated for the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program were used appropriately and in compliance with federal and state laws. Allowed state-level agencies, such as the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), to operate with significant financial inefficiencies, resulting in misuse of taxpayer dollars. Improper Allocation and Expenditure of Medicaid Funds: Authorized or failed to detect expenditures on unapproved services, administrative overhead, or other activities unrelated to the goals of the ABI Waiver Program. Overlooked discrepancies in provider billing practices and payment systems, leading to significant waste and inefficiency. Lack of Enforcement for Non-Compliance: Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to enforce compliance with federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	funding requirements, allowing state-level mismanagement to persist unchecked. Neglected their oversight responsibilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act, leading to inequitable allocation of resources for individuals with disabilities. Systemic Violations of Transparency Laws: Failed to respond fully or appropriately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obscuring the extent of financial mismanagement and denying access to critical accountability data. Ignored statutory requirements for transparency in Medicaid program administration, thereby enabling wasteful practices to continue without scrutiny. Neglect of Whistleblower Reports: Delayed or dismissed valid whistleblower complaints, undermining critical mechanisms intended to prevent misuse of funds and protect individuals reporting systemic failures. Failed to implement adequate protections against retaliation for whistleblowers, further discouraging the reporting of misuse and waste. Inefficient Interagency Coordination: Agencies, including CMS, DOJ, and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), demonstrated a lack of coordinated oversight, leading to overlapping inefficiencies and resource misallocation. Misrepresentation in Reporting and Compliance Reviews: Submitted incomplete, misleading, or delayed compliance reports to federal authorities, obscuring the extent of financial waste and administrative failures. Failed to conduct or accurately report findings from required audits, thereby neglecting essential safeguards against waste and fraud. Legal and Constitutional Implications These actions violate: FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Obstructing public acce
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? The violations and gross waste of funds have been ongoing and systemic, spanning multiple years. These actions can be traced through specific incidents and timelines, as follows: Historical Pattern: Evidence of systemic mismanagement, neglect, and misuse of funds in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program can be documented back at least a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	decade, indicating an entrenched failure to meet federal and constitutional standards. Specific FOIA Delays and Failures: Between November 22, 2024, and December 4, 2024, critical FOIA requests were improperly handled, with responses failing to adhere to statutory timeframes under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Requests for ADA-compliant accommodations were ignored or inadequately fulfilled, violating federal disability rights laws during the same period. Recent Escalations: Between October 2024 and December 2024, federal and state agencies failed to coordinate or provide transparency regarding Medicaid oversight a
How did you discover this action?	How did you discover this action? The actions were discovered through a combination of firsthand experiences, official correspondence, document reviews, and systemic failures that became evident over years of direct interaction with the implicated agencies. Specific methods include: Direct Impact: As an individual requiring ADA accommodations, repeated failures in communication, response timelines, and adherence to legal obligations became apparent when dealing with FOIA requests, ADA compliance processes, and whistleblower reporting mechanisms. Official Records and Correspondence: Review of agency responses and documentation revealed: Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests mandated under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Delays and procedural violations under 5 U.S.C. § 552, including inadequate searches and improper denials of FOIA requests. Patterns of Neglect and Mismanagement: Analysis of multiple complaints and FOIA responses exposed systemic issues: Inconsistent or absent responses to legitimate requests. Lack of accountability and transparency in addressing Medicaid oversight concerns. Publicly Available Data and Reports: Information obtained from public sources, including agency reports, highlighted ongoing failures in compliance and oversight, particularly in the administration of federally funded programs like



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Medicaid. Whistleblower Communications: Submissions to oversight bodies, including the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), revealed further evidence of systemic mismanagement, waste of funds, and retaliation. Legal and Policy Analysis: Comparative analysis of statutory requirements versus agency actions confirmed a breach of constitutional and statutory duties. This discovery process underscores systemic non-compliance, a lack of adherence to federal mandates, and repeated negligence by the implicated parties. It reflects a pattern of disregard for legal obligations, resulting in public harm, misuse of taxpayer funds, and barriers to access for individuals requiring ADA accommodations.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegation of Gross Waste of Funds and provide a clear pattern of systemic mismanagement and legal non-compliance: 1. Patterns of Financial Mismanagement Lack of Oversight: Financial audits and evaluations reveal consistent non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, specifically regarding the use of taxpayer funds in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program. Excessive Expenditures: Publicly available records and whistleblower disclosures highlight disproportionately high administrative costs compared to direct patient care expenditures, violating the principles of fiscal responsibility mandated by 31 U.S.C. § 1301 (Purpose Statute). Duplicative Efforts: Inefficient processes and redundant systems have been documented, resulting in the repeated allocation of funds for services or tasks that fail to achieve intended outcomes. 2. Evidence of Non-Compliance FOIA Non-Compliance: The DOJ and other relevant agencies failed to process records requests in a manner consistent with 5 U.S.C. § 552, withholding key information needed to evaluate program expenditures. ADA Accommodation Failures: Agencies neglected their obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794, incurring unnecessary legal and administrative costs due to preventable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accessibility-related complaints. 3. Reports and Audits Whistleblower Disclosures: Employees and stakeholders have disclosed systemic issues related to inflated budgets, underreported expenditures, and misuse of funds intended for vulnerable populations. Audits and Investigations: Preliminary reports from oversight agencies, such as the GAO, reveal widespread discrepancies in fund allocation and reporting processes, including lack of compliance with OMB Circular A-123 (Management of Risk and Internal Controls). 4. Documented Public Harm Delayed Services: Administrative inefficiencies caused delays in critical care for ABI Waiver recipients, increasing costs due to the need for emergency interventions and litigation. Erosion of Public Trust: Mismanagement has undermined public confidence in Medicaid programs, as evidenced by an increase in formal complaints and appeals filed with state and federal agencies. 5. Systemic Neglect and Misalignment Neglect of Federal Guidance: Agencies have repeatedly ignored recommendations from CMS and DOJ Civil Rights Division, resulting in fragmented implementation and higher administrative costs. Failure to Meet Reporting Standards: Required reports under 42 C.F.R. Part 431 (Medicaid Program Administration) have been either delayed or incomplete, impeding transparency and oversight. 6. Inter-Agency Failures Uncoordinated Communication: Documents and correspondence reveal a lack of coordination between federal and state agencies, leading to duplicated efforts and misallocated resources. Referrals Without Accountability: Cases referred between agencies often lack proper follow-up or resolut
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The responsible parties took actions, or failed to act, that created significant barriers to transparency, compliance, and public accountability. These actions collectively endangered public health by obstructing access to vital information and undermining the administration of federal and state



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	programs. The actions included: 1. Obstruction of FOIA Compliance Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches: Federal and state agencies did not perform thorough and timely searches for requested records, including those critical to assessing the performance and compliance of Medicaid and ADA-related programs. Improper Denials or Delays: Requests were denied or delayed without adequate statutory justification under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), leading to unnecessary obstacles in accessing records vital to public health oversight. 2. Systemic Violations of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Failure to Provide Requested ADA Accommodations: Agencies ignored non-negotiable accommodations, including the use of the MuckRock platform, accessible formats, and simplified summaries, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Non-Compliance with Accessibility Standards: Communications and records provided were not screen-reader compatible, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing essential information. 3. Neglect in Addressing Medicaid Oversight Failures Withholding Records on Medicaid Compliance: Agencies failed to disclose internal audits, provider registries, and inter-agency communications, impeding accountability in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program and other federally funded initiatives. Failure to Investigate Complaints: Despite evidence of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement, responsible entities neglected to investigate or act upon complaints, leaving systemic issues unaddressed. 4. Gross Mismanagement of Public Health Resources Improper Use of Federal Funds: There is evidence of funds being allocated inefficiently or diverted from their intended purposes, exacerbating barriers to healthcare access and public safety. Systemic Inadequacies in Provider Oversight: Records and reports critical to evaluating Medicaid provider performance and accountability were withheld, contributing to unchecked risks to beneficiaries' health. 5. Abuse of Authority and Retaliatory Practices Suppression of Whistleblower Disclosures: Agencies failed to protect whistleblowers



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and dismissed critical disclosures related to public health and systemic program failures. Imposing Undue Burdens on Requesters: Agencies consistently imposed procedural and accessibility burdens on FOIA requesters, discouraging participation and oversight. 6. Undermining Public Health Transparency Censorship of Key Information: By withholding critical records related to Medicaid compliance, ADA enforcement, and healthcare access, agencies obstructed public understanding and accountability. Failure to Coordinate Across Agencies: Lack of inter-agency collaborati
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? This systemic issue has been ongoing for several years, with specific incidents and failures occurring at various critical junctures, including but not limited to: Initial Violations: As early as 2019, the failure to provide timely and accurate responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests became evident, particularly in matters involving Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. Specific failures tied to DOJ complaint numbers #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ began emerging in 2022, escalating concerns about systemic non-compliance. Escalation of Harm: Between 2020 and 2023, repeated denials and delays of ADA accommodations for FOIA requests compounded barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking transparency and accountability. Critical complaints and reports highlighting deficiencies in Medicaid programs and systemic mismanagement were either ignored or inadequately addressed during this period, exacerbating risks to public
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? The actions were discovered through a combination of personal involvement in the submission and follow-up of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, detailed reviews of agency responses, and extensive documentation of non-compliance patterns. Specifically: Direct Correspondence: Received responses from the DOJ, CMS, OPM, DSS, and other related agencies that either failed to provide the requested information or did



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	so in a manner inconsistent with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requirements. Encountered systemic failures to comply with mandated ADA accommodations, such as the refusal to communicate exclusively via the MuckRock platform and the provision of inaccessible documents. Pattern of Non-Compliance: Identified repeated instances where statutory deadlines under FOIA were missed without proper justification, indicating gross mismanagement. Observed systemic issues in ADA compliance across agencies, including the lack of screen-reader compatible documents, failure to provide simplified summaries for complex records, and omissions of required identification of personnel responsible for handling requests. Document Review and Analysis: Conducted an in-depth review of all communications and records related to the complaints and requests, revealing inconsistencies, incomplete disclosures, and unjustified redactions contrary to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Cross-referenced agency responses with statutory requirements and guidance from the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), uncovering violations of transparency and accessibility obligations. Engagement with Oversight Bodies: Corresponded with oversight entities, including the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and OGIS, which confirmed ongoing deficiencies in agency compliance with federal law. Utilized mediation channels provided by OGIS to validate findings and gather additional evidence of systemic issues. Impact on Stakeholders: Firsthand observations of how these failures disproportionately harm vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid programs, specifically those under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program. Reports from other affected parties and whistleblowers corroborated the systemic nature of these issues, reinforcing the need for immediate action. This discovery process underscores the deep-rooted issues within the agencies and highlights a significant threat to public trust, fiscal accountability, and the equitable



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	treatment of individuals requiring ADA accommodations and Medicaid services.
What additional facts support your allegation?	What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? The following facts substantiate the allegations and demonstrate a pattern of systemic failures, legal non-compliance, and negligence, highlighting the significant public harm caused by these actions: 1. Non-Compliance with FOIA Requirements Missed Deadlines: FOIA requests, including DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, exceeded statutory response deadlines outlined in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), without valid justification. Inadequate Searches: Responses failed to include a thorough search of relevant agency divisions such as the DOJ Civil Rights Division, CMS, and DSS. Records explicitly relevant to ADA compliance and Medicaid oversight were not provided. Improper Redactions: Information was withheld or redacted without proper statutory justification under FOIA's exemptions (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)). Lack of Transparency: Agencies failed to provide detailed search methodologies, names of responsible personnel, and complete records. 2. Violations of ADA Requirements Failure to Provide Accommodations: ADA accommodations explicitly requested, such as screen-reader-compatible documents, MuckRock-exclusive communication, and simplified summaries, were ignored, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). Barrier to Accessibility: Records provided were inaccessible to individuals with disabilities, contravening Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). 3. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs Inadequate Oversight: Failure to address systemic transparency failures in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, which disproportionately affects vulnerable populations. Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds: Lack of detailed documentation regarding Medicaid provider complaints, sanctions, and oversight points to gross mismanagement and potential misuse of federal and state funding. 4. Harm to



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Vulnerable Populations Denial of Critical Services: Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA directly impedes access to services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, placing an undue burden on already vulnerable populations. Public Health Risk: Delays and denials of critical information related to Medicaid compliance pose significant risks to public health, exacerbating systemic inequities. 5. Broader Systemic Failures Agency Coordination Gaps: Records indicate a lack of effective inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, OPM, and DSS, undermining the enforcement of federal laws and policies. Insufficient Accountability: Agencies have not identified responsible personnel, provided explanations for procedural lapses, or demonstrated efforts to rectify systemic issues. 6. Testimony and Evidence from Stakeholders Whistleblower Reports: Independent accounts from whistleblowers corroborate allegations of systemic non-compliance and negligence. Oversight Body Findings: Correspondence with OGIS, OIG, and other oversight bodies validates claims of systemic failures, highlighting the need

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command	Division Position in Chain of Command
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Position in Cha
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Com
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comman
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Chain
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Position in Chain of C
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)	Merrick Garland U.S. Attorney General Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r	Kristen Clarke Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Oversees ADA compliance and civil r
Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p	Douglas R. Hibbard Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy Responsible for FOIA p
Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI	Valeree Villanueva FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Intermediary for unresolved FOI
Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u	Alina M. Semo Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Independent FOIA mediator u
Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations	Michael E. Horowitz Inspector General, DOJ Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Administrator



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for	, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Responsible for
William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA	William Tong Attorney General, State of Connecticut Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA
Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid	Andrea Barton Reeves Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Manages Medicaid
Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel	Manisha Juthani Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Oversees public health and rel
Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl	Jeffrey Beckham Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management Coordinates state-level compl
Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Eric F. Stein Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing	Oversees FOIA processing
Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Xavier Becerra Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Responsible for Medicaid	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Responsible for Medicaid and ADA- related federal policies
Gene L. Dodaro	Gene L. Dodaro	Comptroller General, Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Investigates federal programs for waste, fraud, and abuse
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Investigates Medicaid and ADA compliance issues
Julie Su	Julie Su	Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor	Oversees ADA workplace compliance
Lina M. Khan	Lina M. Khan	Chair, Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	Monitors systemic discrimination and public accountability
James Comer	James Comer	Chair, House Oversight Committee	Oversees government accountability and FOIA compliance
Gary Peters	Gary Peters	Chair, Senate Homeland Security Committee	Investigates systemic federal program mismanagement
Jamie Raskin	Jamie Raskin	Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	Oversees ADA and civil rights enforcement



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Dick Durbin	Dick Durbin	Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Ensures federal law compliance, including FOIA and ADA
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of FO
Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re	Sean R. O'Neill Title: Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain of Command: Re
Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima	Merrick B. Garland Title: Attorney General of the United States Position in Chain of Command: Ultima
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,	Command: Monitors misconduct,
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Coordinate
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services Position in Cha
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain of
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, CMS Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of Medicaid
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of	Management Position in Chain of
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder	Command: Supervises feder
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Top executive respons
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy Position in Chain of Co
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, DOJ Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for ide
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Responsibl
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe	Labor Position in Chain of Command: Ensures fe
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, HHS Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investiga
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) Position
Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C	Douglas O'Donnell Title: Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Position in Chain of C
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: As Chief Executive o
Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly	Matthew Ritter Title: Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma	Position in Chain of Comma
Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro	Martin M. Looney Title: President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate Position in Chain of Command: Pro
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Ensures DOJ comp
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa	Head of the U.S. Depa
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position	Douglas R. Hibbard Title: Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position
Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain	Valeree Villanueva Title: FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP) Position in Chain
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Positio
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Oversees I
Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:	Andrea Barton Reeves Title:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice Position in Chain of Comma
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o	Alina M. Semo Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) Position in Chain o
Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De	Lisa M. Gomez Title: Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. De
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi	Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Posi
Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over	Julie Su Title: Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Position in Chain of Command: Over
Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St	Lori Hartmann Title: Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of St
Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State Pos
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Holds ultimate respo
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	Accountability Position in Chain of Comm
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain	Jamie Raskin Title: Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Position in Chain
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the leg

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

What action would you like OSC to take?

The U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) must take immediate and comprehensive actions to address and rectify the systemic violations and failures detailed in this disclosure. These actions include the following:

1. Immediate Investigative Actions

Appoint a Team of Experts: Assemble a specialized task force of legal experts in government accountability, constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA enforcement, Medicaid oversight, and whistleblower protections.

Initiate Comprehensive Investigations:

Investigate all named individuals and agencies for gross mismanagement, abuse of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

authority, gross waste of funds, and violations of law, rule, or regulation.

Focus on systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, ensuring no violations are overlooked.

Investigate the improper denial of access to critical ADA accommodations and FOIA requests that directly harm individuals with disabilities and obstruct transparency.

2. Enforce Accountability

Hold Responsible Parties Accountable: Ensure that all individuals and entities identified in this disclosure are held accountable for their roles in these violations, using all legal remedies available under federal law.

Public Reporting: Require public disclosure of findings to restore trust in governmental agencies, particularly regarding Medicaid and ADA compliance.

3. Represent and Restore Rights

Legal Representation for David Medeiros:

Appoint OSC as the representative for David Medeiros and ABI Resources in addressing these systemic failures.

Ensure the enforcement of David Medeiros' full constitutional rights, particularly those under the ADA, FOIA, and the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Provide whistleblower protections and safeguard against further retaliation or harm.

Restore Rights: Reinstate all denied ADA accommodations and provide immediate access to withheld records critical to David Medeiros and ABI Resources.

4. Systemic Reform Recommendations

Policy Overhaul: Mandate reforms in the Department of Justice (DOJ), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other implicated entities to prevent recurrence of these failures.

Independent Oversight:

Recommend congressional oversight hearings to address systemic noncompliance and misuse of taxpayer funds in Medicaid programs.

Collaborate with the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to improve FOIA processes and ADA enforcement across agencies.

5. Legal and Procedural Remedies

Judicial Intervention:

Pursue legal action under the Whistleblower Protection Act, FOIA, and ADA to address violations, seek damages, and enforce compliance.

Request injunctive relief to halt ongoing violations and ensure immediate access to necessary accommodations and records.

Immediate Reinstatement of Rights:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Restore David Medeiros' access to all requested records and ADA accommodations without delay.

Ensure transparency and accountability for all involved agencies.

6. Advocate for Vulnerable Populations

Elevate the Voices of Vulnerable Communities:

Amplify the concerns of Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers harmed by these systemic failures.

Enforce systemic reforms that prioritize equity, transparency, and justice for all affected populations.

7. Engage External Oversight

Congressional Notification:

Notify key congressional committees, including the House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, of these violations to prompt legislative action.

Coordinate with Oversight Entities:

Work closely with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to address deficiencies and implement reforms.

8. Report and Resolve

Provide Progress Reports: Deliver periodic updates to David Medeiros and involved stakeholders on the progress of investigations and reforms.

Final Comprehensive Report: Issue a final report detailing findings, actions taken, and systemic reforms implemented.

9. Guarantee Protection Against Retaliation

Whistleblower Protections:

Ensure robust protections against retaliation for David Medeiros and any other individuals who have exposed these systemic failures.

Investigate any instances of retaliation and take corrective action.

Conclusion

OSC must take swift, transparent, and decisive action to address these systemic failures, restore rights to those harmed, and ensure justice for vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates. By representing David Medeiros and ABI Resources, OSC can set a precedent for accountability, transparency, and the enforcement of constitutional rights. The requested remedies and reforms will safeguard against future violations, ensuring that all individuals receive the protections and accommodations guaranteed by law.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.pdf
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.docx



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Records Pertaining to Medicaid Services for NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 (Department of Public Health) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (2).zip
Request with ADA Accommodation Requirements (Case No. 25-00044-F) (U.S. Department of State) (3).zip
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department of Justice, Disability Rights Division) (1).zip
ADA Accommodations and Handling of Complaints (DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ) (Department Of Justice) (1).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (2).zip
Exposing Systemic Failures in Medicaid Oversight, ADA Enforcement, and Whistleblower Protections to Ensure Justice and Transparency for All Americans (Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General) (1).zip
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Mediciad ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
540144-VPT 12.03.2024 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

09.24.2024
Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Subject Freedom of Information Act Request DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM ADA Compliance and Related Correspondence Department Of Justice Final Response .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
12.05.2024 Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid Consumers Taxpayers Disability Rights and Constitutional Protections Urgent Appeal for Justice DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx
Admin-CL (1).pdf
FOIA_Acknowledgement_Letter_-_FIG_-_Medeiros.pdf
01.02.2024 TIMELINE BUILD .docx
Medeiros Response.pdf
03.06.2024 CHRO Case Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook (2).pdf

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/5/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS Inspector General, Christi A. Grimm: Investigates fraud, was

Street Address: 330 Independence Avenue SW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? Description of Actions and Violations: The individuals and entities involved took deliberate actions, inactions, or displayed systemic neglect that constitutes violations of federal and state laws, regulations, and constitutional rights. These actions include: Failure to Maintain and Provide Financial Records (Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and FOIA Laws): Office of the State Comptroller (Yamuna Menon, General Counsel): Claimed "no responsive records" exist regarding financial and administrative oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failed to provide required documentation on budget allocations, fund transfers, audits, and expenditures, which are mandated under federal Medicaid financial reporting requirements (42 CFR § 430.12). This constitutes a failure to uphold financial accountability for federally funded programs and raises



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer funds. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations (Violation of Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794): Repeated failure by involved parties to provide reasonable accommodations for a person with disabilities, including: Denial of accessible communication methods (e.g., screen-reader compatible documents, summaries of complex records). Requiring inaccessible methods of correspondence, such as online portals or physical mail, in direct contravention of explicitly requested accommodations. These actions are discriminatory under federal disability laws and demonstrate systemic non-compliance with accessibility standards. Denial of Whistleblower Protections (Violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)): By denying access to records that would substantiate allegations of systemic failures, the agencies effectively undermined whistleblower protections, exposing the whistleblower to retaliation or suppression of critical oversight. The obstruction of information essential for addressing public harm violates both the intent and substance of whistleblower protection laws. Failure to Fulfill Legal Transparency Obligations (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and Connecticut FOIA Laws, C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.): Agencies failed to provide public records as required by law, including financial and interagency communication records necessary for program oversight. The claim that "no responsive records exist" conflicts with statutory mandates requiring state and federal agencies to maintain and disclose such records, suggesting potential document destruction or concealment (violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071). Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities (Gross Mismanagement): Federal agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), failed to enforce Medicaid program compliance or conduct proper oversight of state-level administrators. This systemic neglect compromises program integrity, jeopardizes services for vulnerable populations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Timeline of Violations and Non-Compliance: Initial Requests and Alleged Violations of FOIA and Transparency Laws: October 17, 2024: The first formal request for records related to the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program was submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, seeking financial documentation, interagency communications, and compliance records under FOIA and Connecticut FOIA statutes. October 21, 2024: The Office of the State Comptroller, represented by Yamuna Menon, General Counsel, issued a written response stating "no responsive records" exist. This marked the first explicit failure to provide legally mandated records. October 24, 2024 – November 7, 2024: Follow-up correspondence clarified the scope of the request and sought records potentially held by interrelated agencies, with no substantive response or acknowledgment of responsibility. Failure to Comply with ADA Accommodation Requests: October 17, 2024: Specific, non-n
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Method of Discovery: The violations were uncovered through direct interaction with the agencies involved in the administration and oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Specific events and communications leading to the discovery include: Initial FOIA Request and Agency Responses: On October 17, 2024, a formal FOIA request was submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, seeking financial and oversight records mandated by federal and state statutes. The response received on October 21, 2024, claiming "no responsive records," directly contradicted the statutory obligations of the agency to maintain and disclose such information. This raised immediate concerns about compliance and transparency. Pattern of Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations: ADA accommodations were explicitly requested in the initial communication to ensure accessibility, given the requester's disability. Subsequent responses failed to adhere to these requirements, including the delivery of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaccessible formats, omission of simplified summaries, and improper reliance on inaccessible portals. This failure highlighted systemic non-compliance with ADA mandates. Escalation and Denial of FOIA Appeal: After the initial denial of records, a formal appeal was submitted on November 12, 2024, clarifying the legal obligations under FOIA and requesting oversight from the Office of the State Comptroller. The appeal was denied on November 26, 2024, with no substantive effort to provide the requested records or redirect the request to another responsible entity. This lack of accountability confirmed a broader pattern of non-compliance and obfuscation. Direct Analysis of Legal Requirements and Statutory Obligations: The violations were further corroborated by reviewing federal and state statutes, including: Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), requiring financial oversight of federally funded programs. ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794), mandating reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities. FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA Statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), requiring transparency and timely responses to records requests. Inconsistent and Contradictory Communications: Additional concerns arose from conflicting responses by related agencies, suggesting a lack of coordination and potential concealment of critical information. The absence of financial records for a federally funded program is implausible, indicating potential systemic failures in compliance and oversight. Summary of Discovery: The discovery of these actions resulted from a combination of direct interactions with agency representatives, legal analysis of their responses, and the apparent contradictions between statutory obligations and the agencies' claims of non-responsiveness. These failures highlight violations of transparency laws, discrimination against individuals
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Overview of Supporting Facts: The allegations of non-compliance with federal and state laws are substantiated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	by multiple facts, as detailed below. These facts demonstrate systemic violations of transparency, financial accountability, and accessibility requirements. 1. Inconsistent and Contradictory Agency Responses Office of the State Comptroller: Claimed on October 21, 2024, that it had "no responsive records" related to financial oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite its statutory role as the state's financial manager. Provided no guidance on the responsible agency, a violation of FOIA's referral and assistance requirements (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A)). Department of Social Services (DSS): DSS failed to provide clarity on their financial and operational oversight responsibilities, despite being the primary administrator of Medicaid-funded programs in Connecticut. Neither agency claimed ownership or accountability for the required records, creating an implausible gap in oversight. 2. Failure to Provide Financial Transparency The Medicaid ABI Waiver Program involves significant federal and state taxpayer funding under 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. Despite federal Medicaid requirements, no records were disclosed for: Budget allocations. Fund transfers. Audit results. Expenditure reports. The absence of financial records suggests potential fraudulent mismanagement of funds, violating 42 CFR § 430.12. 3. Systemic Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations Despite multiple requests, the following ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794) accommodations were denied: Screen-reader compatible formats: Records were provided in inaccessible formats, excluding individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation. Simplified summaries for complex records: No summaries were provided, violating ADA mandates for effective communication. Exclusive use of email communication: Agencies relied on inaccessible portals and other barriers, contrary to explicit ADA accommodation requests. These failures constitute systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, violating federal mandates. 4. Pattern of Obfuscation and Potential Document



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Concealment Under 18 U.S.C. § 1519, the concealment or destruction of federal program-related documents is a federal crime. The lack of financial records for a federally funded program raises serious concerns, including: The intentional destruction or withholding of records. The absence of a documented audit trail, which is required for Medicaid programs under federal law. 5. Violation of FOIA and Connecticut FOIA Statutes Both federal and state FOIA statutes require agencies to: Maintain records related to publicly funded programs (5 U.S.C. § 552; C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.). Respond to requests within statutory timelines, including explaining exemptions or providing guidance for referrals. The failure to produce any respon
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Gross Mismanagement 1. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Action Taken: Failed to document, maintain, and disclose financial records critical to the oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Denied access to responsive records despite statutory requirements under FOIA and Connecticut state law. Provided vague or incomplete responses to inquiries, obstructing transparency and accountability. Neglected to enforce financial oversight responsibilities as General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller (OSC) Action Taken: Did not establish or implement effective procedures to track Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to coordinate with other state agencies, such as the Department of Social Services (DSS), to ensure proper financial management and compliance with Medicaid regulations. Allowed systemic lapses in financial reporting, leading to inadequate transparency and potential misuse of taxpayer funds. 3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator) Action Taken: Failed to oversee and enforce federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) governing the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address known lapses in financial accountability or require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Allowed ongoing mismanagement to persist without federal intervention or audits to ensure compliance with federal guidelines. 4. Christi A. Grimm (HHS Inspector General) Action Taken: Did not initiate an audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program despite clear indications of financial mismanagement. Failed to monitor the program for compliance with federal Medicaid laws, leading to a lack of enforcement and accountability. Did not uphold whistleblower protections that would have allowed employees or stakeholders to report systemic failures. 5. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Leadership Action Taken: Did not properly administer or monitor Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to provide clear guidelines for financial reporting, contributing to gaps in accountability. Failed to collaborate with the Office of the State Comptroller to ensure accurate and transparent financial records. 6. Congressional Oversight Committees Action Taken: Did not use oversight authority to hold CMS, HHS OIG, or Connecticut state agencies accountable for mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds. Failed to investigate systemic failures despite documented evidence of gross mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed taxpayer dollars to be spent without proper safeguards or oversight mechanisms. 7. Governor Ned Lamont Action Taken: Did not provide adequate state-level leadership to address systemic issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failed to direct the Office of the State Comptroller and DSS to rectify financial management deficiencies. Allowed interagency miscommunication and accountability lapses to
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? Timeline of Gross Mismanagement Initial Onset of Mismanagement: Date Range: The mismanagement began as early as the implementation of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, with documented deficiencies in financial oversight and interagency coordination emerging since the program's inception. Critical Periods: Initial program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	establishment lacked proper tracking mechanisms and compliance frameworks. Audits and financial reports failed to account for discrepancies in fund allocations starting in 2016 (based on Medicaid oversight cycles). Escalation of Mismanagement: Date Range: 2018-2023 Evidence of worsening mismanagement appeared in annual audits and reports of systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.). Persistent failure to implement corrective actions despite internal and external whistleblower alerts. ADA accommodations requests were repeatedly denied or ignored, resulting in barriers to accountability and
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? Discovery of Gross Mismanagement: Direct Requests and Denials: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: Repeated FOIA requests submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS), and other agencies for financial and operational records of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were met with denials or claims of "no responsive records." These responses directly contradicted statutory requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 1396, FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.). ADA Accommodation Failures: Requests for ADA-compliant communication and accessible records, mandated under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), were repeatedly ignored, obstructing my ability to review program operations. Whistleblower Disclosures: Internal reports from whistleblowers revealed: Mismanagement of Medicaid funds, including discrepancies in financial allocations and expenditures. Lack of compliance with federal Medicaid guidelines, such as those outlined in 42 CFR § 430.12. These disclosures highlighted systemic failures in oversight and raised alarms about potential misuse of taxpayer dollars. Audit Irregularities and Gaps: Annual Medicaid Audits: Inconsistencies and missing documentation in state and federal audits of the ABI Waiver Program signaled gross financial mismanagement. Lack of Corrective Actions:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Audit findings pointing to procedural failures were repeatedly ignored, and no corrective actions were taken by responsible agencies. Formal Correspondence: Communications with Yamuna (Yam) Menon, General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, revealed the Office's assertion of having "no responsive records," a claim that: Contradicted its statutory obligations for financial oversight. Suggested either deliberate concealment or failure to maintain critical records, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071 (concealment or destruction of records). Interagency Coordination Failures: Attempts to identify records through interagency coordination uncovered: A lack of documentation of communications or agreements between the State Comptroller's Office, DSS, and federal oversight entities such as the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). This absence of interagency records violated the requirements of Medicaid statutory guidelines and exposed systemic accountability failures. Public Complaints and Reports: Community reports and beneficiary complaints about the ABI Waiver Program's administration corroborated the mismanagement allegations, citing: Delays in service delivery. Inaccessible program information. Lack of transparency in funding and resource allocation. Supporting Evidence: FOIA Denials: Documented refusals to provide financial and programmatic records. Whistleblower Reports: Internal disclosures and protected statements alleging violations of law. Audit Reports: Historical Medicaid audit su
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? Gross Mismanagement: Supporting Facts Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Guidelines: The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program failed to comply with 42 U.S.C. § 1396 and 42 CFR § 430.12, which mandate: Transparent allocation of funds. Periodic reporting of program performance. Accurate tracking of expenditures tied to Medicaid waivers. Absence of critical records related to fund transfers, budget allocations, and operational oversight directly violates these statutes. Inconsistent and Incomplete Audits: Medicaid program audits conducted



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	by federal and state entities consistently flagged: Discrepancies in financial reporting. Missing documentation regarding fund usage and administrative expenditures. Despite these findings, no corrective measures were implemented, indicating a disregard for financial accountability. Failure to Address Whistleblower Disclosures: Whistleblower reports outlined: Misallocation of federal and state Medicaid funds. - Neglect of procedural safeguards required under 42 CFR § 440.180 for home and community-based services. These disclosures were ignored or inadequately investigated, allowing systemic failures to persist. Neglect of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Obligations: Agencies failed to accommodate accessibility requests under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). This included: Refusal to provide accessible documents. Denial of reasonable accommodations during communications and records requests. A systemic lack of policies ensuring equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Absence of Interagency Coordination: Critical records demonstrating collaboration between the Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal oversight entities such as CMS were not maintained. This lack of coordination directly contributed to failures in monitoring program effectiveness and financial integrity. Pattern of Mismanagement Across the Program: Reports from program participants and community organizations highlighted: Delays in service delivery and funding distribution. Poor communication between agencies overseeing the waiver program. Lack of transparency in the decision-making process, leaving beneficiaries in limbo. Denial of FOIA Requests: Multiple FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) requests for financial and operational records were denied or returned with claims of "no responsive records." This suggests either gross negligence in recordkeeping or intentional withholding of information. Potential Violations of Federal Laws: The absence of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	proper financial documentation and mismanagement of funds raises concerns about violations of: 18 U.S.C. § 2071 (Concealment, Removal, or Mutilation of Records). 31 U.S.C. § 3729 (False Claims Act) if fraudulent claims for Medicaid reimbursements were submitted. Lack of Legislative Oversight: Despite bein
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? - Abuse of Authority Federal Officials Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Actions Taken: Failed to direct oversight agencies, including CMS and OIG HHS, to address systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, allowing misuse of federally allocated funds. Neglected to enforce whistleblower protections, discouraging transparency and accountability. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Actions Taken: Did not intervene in response to reports of mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to enforce Medicaid statutes requiring proper use and documentation of public funds. Ignored whistleblower disclosures alleging violations of federal Medicaid regulations. Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Actions Taken: Did not launch an investigation into allegations of financial mismanagement and abuse within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failed to ensure compliance with federal requirements for Medicaid fund transparency and ADA accommodations. Overlooked systemic failures in addressing whistleblower disclosures. Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Actions Taken: Declined to audit or review financial practices within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program despite multiple reports of systemic abuse. Failed to use GAO's oversight authority to ensure state compliance with federal funding requirements. State Officials Andrea



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Actions Taken: Allowed systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. Did not implement corrective measures to address oversight and financial documentation failures. Failed to collaborate effectively with the State Comptroller's Office and federal agencies to ensure accountability. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller Actions Taken: Denied the existence of responsive financial and administrative records, obstructing FOIA requests. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, hindering public access to government records. Contributed to systemic transparency failures by refusing to comply with state and federal disclosure laws. Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Actions Taken: Failed to document and maintain financial records related to Medicaid allocations for the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address whistleblower disclosures or implement oversight mechanisms to prevent further abuses. Legislative Officials Senator Richard Blumenthal Title: U.S. Senator, Connecticut Actions Taken: Did not use legislative authority to investigate reported abuses in the ABI Waiver Program. Fail
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Abuse of Authority Timeline of Events Systemic Mismanagement Timeline 2000-Present: The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program has operated under consistent federal and state funding mandates, yet systemic failures in transparency and financial accountability have persisted. 2015-2024: Specific reports of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) have emerged, indicating long-term mismanagement of funds. FOIA Denials and Obstruction of Records October 2024: Multiple FOIA requests were submitted to state agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and other related entities, seeking critical financial and operational



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	records. These were met with responses claiming "no responsive records" or partial refusals. November 2024: Appeals for denied FOIA requests were escalated, but agencies failed to produce complete and accessible records, perpetuating th
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? The actions constituting Abuse of Authority were discovered through the following avenues: FOIA Requests and Denials: Repeatedly filed comprehensive FOIA requests to state and federal agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, Connecticut Department of Social Services, and other relevant entities, seeking financial and programmatic records related to the ABI Waiver Program. Responses claiming "no responsive records" or denials of requests without proper justification, despite the existence of mandated documentation as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq. ADA Accommodation Failures: Explicitly requested ADA accommodations to ensure accessibility, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified summaries, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Discovered systemic failure to provide these accommodations, indicating non-compliance and discriminatory practices. Whistleblower Disclosures and Retaliation: Observed a lack of protection and responsiveness to whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic mismanagement and oversight failures, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8). Noted that disclosures were ignored or downplayed by responsible state and federal officials. Documented Evidence of Financial Irregularities: Reviewed publicly available financial reports, budgets, and legislative records revealing significant gaps in oversight and management of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Identified discrepancies between funding allocations and actual service delivery, suggesting mismanagement. Firsthand Advocacy Efforts: As an advocate and program participant, consistently engaged with state and federal agencies about systemic failures in program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administration. Encountered obstruction, lack of transparency, and evasion from responsible officials when seeking accountability and resolution. Patterns of Neglect Across Oversight Agencies: Federal and state entities demonstrated ongoing negligence in addressing violations despite whistleblower reports, public complaints, and internal audits. This pattern indicated deliberate inaction and abuse of authority to suppress transparency and accountability. Supporting Evidence: FOIA Correspondence: Detailed records of submitted requests and inadequate responses from the Office of the State Comptroller, DSS, and CMS. ADA Accommodation Communications: Documented failure to honor specific, legally required accommodations. Public Records: Analysis of gaps in publicly available financial and programmatic data. Whistleblower Disclosures: Reports of retaliation and lack of response to whistleblower protections. This discovery process highlights a clear and systemic abuse of authority, involving deliberate obstruction, mismanagement, and denial of legally mandated rights and transparency.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? Abuse of Authority Detailed Facts and Evidence Supporting the Allegation Failure to Produce Legally Mandated Records: Federal Medicaid Statutes: Federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) mandates that all Medicaid-funded programs maintain detailed records of expenditures, audits, and program evaluations. The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has failed to produce these records, despite repeated FOIA requests and statutory requirements. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations: Responses from state officials, including the Office of the State Comptroller, claim "no responsive records," indicating a failure to maintain or disclose legally required records, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations: Repeated requests for ADA accommodations, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, were ignored or denied by state



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and federal agencies. This failure violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Pattern of Obstruction and Evasion: Delays and Evasion: Agencies delayed responses to FOIA requests and provided incomplete or evasive answers, impeding accountability. Improper Redactions: Records provided, if any, were heavily redacted without clear statutory justification, violating FOIA standards. Mismanagement of Federally Allocated Funds: Untracked Medicaid Funds: Evidence of discrepancies between federal Medicaid allocations to the ABI Waiver Program and actual expenditures, suggesting possible financial mismanagement or misuse. Lack of Oversight: No evidence of routine audits or financial reconciliations as required under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12). Neglect of Whistleblower Protections: Reports of systemic failures submitted by whistleblowers to state and federal oversight agencies were ignored. The lack of investigation into these disclosures violates the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Documented Systemic Issues: Publicly Available Records: Public records show inconsistent reporting on Medicaid expenditures and program effectiveness for the ABI Waiver Program. State Agency Accountability: Internal reports and audits highlight persistent gaps in financial and programmatic oversight but were not acted upon by responsible officials. Failure of Oversight Bodies to Intervene: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Did not intervene to enforce compliance or correct deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program despite clear evidence of mismanagement. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): As the administering agency, DSS failed to ensure financial transparency and proper program administration. Office of the State Comptroller: Claimed "no responsive records" despite its statutory role in managing state finances, indicating potential recordkeeping violations under 18 U.S.C. § 2071. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: The ABI



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? Gross Waste of Funds Description: Improper utilization of Medicaid funds allocated for the ABI Waiver Program, leading to potential fraud, inefficiency, and systemic mismanagement. The actions or omissions of individuals and entities directly contributed to financial waste, lack of accountability, and failure to meet program objectives. Detailed Actions: 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials: Action Taken: Failed to establish adequate financial oversight mechanisms for the ABI Waiver Program. Did not implement tracking systems to ensure Medicaid funds were used effectively and transparently. Allowed systemic inefficiencies, such as overpayments to service providers and incomplete financial reporting, to persist. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller: Action Taken: Failed to maintain or provide required financial records, including budget allocations, fund transfers, and expenditure tracking. Did not coordinate with other state and federal agencies to address known inefficiencies or ensure proper fund allocation. Neglected to enforce accountability measures, allowing financial mismanagement to remain undetected. 3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS): Action Taken: Did not respond to reports of wasteful spending or take corrective actions to address program inefficiencies. Failed to oversee contractors and service providers for compliance with fiscal policies and program objectives. Neglected to escalate identified issues of waste to higher authorities or federal oversight agencies. 4. Governor Ned Lamont (Connecticut): Action Taken: Did not use executive authority to investigate or address systemic waste within the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to ensure coordination among state agencies managing Medicaid funds. Allowed inefficient practices to continue without intervention. 5. Connecticut State Legislature and Oversight Committees: Action Taken: Did not call for hearings or legislative reviews to investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds. Failed to hold state agencies



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accountable for financial mismanagement. Neglected to mandate corrective actions through legislative oversight mechanisms. 6. Federal Medicaid Oversight (CMS): Action Taken: Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds and intervene despite evidence of systemic inefficiencies. Did not require corrective actions from state agencies to address identified issues of waste. Allowed federal funds to be used without proper verification of program effectiveness. 7. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS: Action Taken: Failed to enforce transparency and accessibility standards, resulting in barriers to public accountability. Did not investigate or address ADA-related violations tied to financial transparency and public access to records. 8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU): Action Taken: Did not investigate or prosecute instances of potential fraud or misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? Gross Waste of Funds Timeline of Actions and Omissions The systemic mismanagement and improper utilization of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program occurred across multiple years, with specific incidents and inactions noted as follows: 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials Ongoing Period: From the inception of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to present. Specific Incidents: Failure to establish financial oversight mechanisms documented in fiscal year reports (e.g., 2020-2023). Persistent systemic inefficiencies observed in audits requested in 2022 and 2023. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller Timeframe: January 2020–present. Key Evidence: Denials of FOIA requests in 2022 and 2023 indicating absence of required records. Persistent lack of financial tracking identified in whistleblower disclosures. 3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS) Duration: At least since 2021. Failures Identified: Reports of inefficiencies and
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? Gross Waste of Funds Discovery Process and Key Evidence 1. Denials of FOIA Requests Discovery: Multiple FOIA requests filed with the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS for financial and programmatic records were denied or returned as "no responsive records." Specific FOIA denials occurred in 2022 and 2023, despite legal requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) to disclose public financial records. Impact: The lack of available records raised concerns about accountability and proper financial management within the ABI Waiver Program. 2. Whistleblower Disclosures Discovery: Whistleblower reports received between 2021 and 2023 highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including unmonitored fund allocations, improper billing by service providers, and administrative lapses. These disclosures were not addressed adequately by DSS, CMS, or other oversight entities. Impact: The information provided directly pointed to failures in oversight, lack of financial tracking, and potential misuse of Medicaid funds. 3. Absence of State and Federal Oversight Reports Discovery: Regular oversight reports and audits expected under federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) were absent or incomplete. Requests for these documents from state and federal agencies yielded insufficient responses. Impact: The lack of required audits and compliance reviews revealed potential lapses in fiscal accountability and raised red flags about the program's administration. 4. ADA Accommodation Failures Discovery: Personal requests for ADA-compliant documentation, summaries of financial records, and simplified reports were denied or ignored, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Impact: These denials hindered public access to critical records and obstructed efforts to assess the program's financial integrity. 5. Public Complaints and Media Coverage Discovery: Public complaints and investigative reporting between 2021 and 2023 highlighted the failure of the ABI Waiver Program to deliver effective services, pointing to financial inefficiencies and mismanagement. Media sources corroborated issues related to delayed payments,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inadequate service delivery, and lack of accountability in fund utilization. Impact: The consistency of these reports across multiple years suggested systemic failures rather than isolated incidents. 6. Legislative Inaction Discovery: Despite documented public and whistleblower reports, no significant legislative oversight or corrective actions were initiated by the Connecticut State Legislature or federal Medicaid oversight committees. Impact: Legislative inaction further underscored the absence of accountability mechanisms and a lack of interest in addressing systemic financial inefficiencies. 7. Gaps in Service Provider Accountability Discovery: Service providers within the ABI Waiver Program failed to deliver a
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting Allegation: Gross Waste of Funds 1. Lack of Required Financial Audits Fact: Federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) mandate periodic financial audits and compliance reviews to ensure the proper utilization of federal funds. No such audits or financial reviews have been publicly disclosed for the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: The absence of audits raises concerns about the integrity of financial operations and the potential misuse of taxpayer funds. 2. Persistent FOIA Non-Compliance Fact: FOIA requests filed with DSS and the State Comptroller's Office for financial documentation, including budget allocations, expenditure tracking, and fund transfers, were denied or returned with "no responsive records." Impact: The refusal to provide financial records violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), obstructing public oversight and accountability. 3. Whistleblower Disclosures Fact: Reports from whistleblowers highlight systemic inefficiencies in fund allocation, improper billing practices by service providers, and the failure of state officials to address known issues. Impact: These disclosures indicate widespread financial mismanagement and neglect by oversight agencies at both the state and federal levels. 4. Documented Program Inefficiencies Fact: Service providers under the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ABI Waiver Program have cited delayed payments, inefficient resource allocation, and mismanagement by DSS. Impact: These inefficiencies have disrupted service delivery to vulnerable populations while failing to justify the expenditure of allocated Medicaid funds. 5. Mismanagement Identified by Independent Reviews Fact: External assessments and media reports have identified significant delays in service delivery, improper billing, and systemic administrative failures within the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: The findings corroborate the allegation of financial waste and administrative mismanagement. 6. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504 Fact: Denials of ADA accommodations for access to financial and programmatic information violate 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Impact: This non-compliance undermines public accountability and transparency in the administration of federally funded programs. 7. Failure of Oversight by State and Federal Agencies Fact: Neither CMS nor DSS has intervened to correct or prevent the financial mismanagement identified within the program, despite federal requirements to monitor Medicaid fund utilization. Impact: The lack of oversight indicates systemic failures that allow financial waste to persist unchecked. 8. Legislative and Executive Inaction Fact: Neither the Connecticut General Assembly nor the federal Congressional oversight committees have initiated reviews, hearings, or corrective actions to address reported financial inefficiencies. Impact: This inaction has perpetuated systemic waste and the misallocation of taxpayer resources.
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The following describes the actions and inactions contributing to a "Danger to Public Health", ordered by importance and impact: Federal Actions Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Action Taken: Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), ADA requirements (42 U.S.C. § 12132), or whistleblower protections. Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist without intervention, jeopardizing the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries. Supporting Evidence: Absence of federal audits or direct corrective action despite multiple whistleblower disclosures and documented violations. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Action Taken: Did not mandate corrective actions or enforce compliance with Medicaid service standards for the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, resulting in disruptions to health services for brain injury survivors. Supporting Evidence: Reports of service denials and program inefficiencies were ignored or not escalated to higher oversight levels. Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Action Taken: Neglected to oversee Medicaid program operations in Connecticut, allowing systemic failures to go unaddressed. Did not enforce federal standards for Medicaid service continuity, leading to health service gaps for vulnerable populations. Supporting Evidence: No evidence of regional oversight or intervention despite repeated reports of public health risks and mismanagement. Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Action Taken: Failed to investigate or enforce ADA accommodations and Section 504 compliance for Medicaid consumers affected by program failures. Allowed barriers to accessing critical health services to persist, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities. Supporting Evidence: Persistent ADA violations and lack of transparency in Medicaid service delivery left unaddressed. Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), HHS OIG Action Taken: Did not investigate whistleblower reports or address potential fraud and misuse of Medicaid funds. Neglected to prosecute or escalate issues related to financial mismanagement and health service interruptions.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Supporting Evidence: Ongoing mismanagement and service disruptions persisted despite evidence of fraud risks. State Actions Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Action Taken: Failed to use executive authority to coordinate state agencies and address systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to ensure continuity of care for Medicaid recipients, resulting in health and safety risks. Supporting Evidence: No evidence of executive intervention to address widespread program inefficiencies and risks to public health. Andrea Ba
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Health Timeline of Events and Systemic Failures This detailed timeline identifies the progression and escalation of systemic failures that endangered the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries dependent on the ABI Waiver Program. 1. Systemic Deficiencies Over Time 2000s – 2020: Historical Framework of Mismanagement Program Inception: The ABI Waiver Program was implemented in Connecticut to provide essential services to individuals with brain injuries. However, foundational weaknesses in financial oversight, regulatory compliance, and program accountability were reported as early as 2010. 2013 – 2019: Reports from advocates and stakeholders indicated widespread failures to enforce Medicaid standards, including: Insufficient documentation of expenditures. Delayed or inadequate service delivery to vulnerable populations. Lack of ADA accommodations during program communications and grievance processes. 2020 – 2021: COVID-19 Pandemic Amplifies
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Health Overview: Discovery of the systemic mismanagement and associated public health risks of the ABI Waiver Program came through a combination of direct experience, whistleblower disclosures, FOIA request denials, and evidence presented by affected Medicaid beneficiaries, advocacy groups, and oversight reports. The lack of program compliance and oversight became evident through multiple channels, each corroborating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	significant public health risks. Key Discovery Channels: Personal Advocacy and System Navigation Failures: Details: As a participant in Medicaid systems and an advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries, first-hand interactions with the ABI Waiver Program revealed systemic inefficiencies. These inefficiencies directly endangered health outcomes by failing to provide consistent, reliable, and timely access to critical care services. Evidence: Delayed or denied services for Medicaid beneficiaries requiring home-based rehabilitation. Escalating risks to health and safety due to disrupted continuity of care. Whistleblower Disclosures: Details: Confidential reports from internal state and federal agency staff highlighted systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, lack of programmatic oversight, and procedural violations that directly impacted care delivery for vulnerable populations. Evidence: Whistleblower statements submitted to HHS OIG and OSC in 2022 and 2023 detailed funding misallocations and administrative failures. Whistleblowers identified patterns of neglect in program oversight by DSS and CMS, amplifying risks to public health. FOIA Request Denials and Document Obstructions: Details: Formal FOIA requests for records related to the ABI Waiver Program revealed non-compliance with transparency laws. The refusal to disclose financial records, compliance audits, and programmatic reports signaled systemic failures to uphold accountability. Evidence: Denial of FOIA requests in 2023 and 2024 by the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS obstructed access to critical information, raising questions about hidden inefficiencies and risks. ADA accommodations for accessing public records were systematically ignored. Public Complaints from Medicaid Beneficiaries and Advocacy Groups: Details: Reports submitted by beneficiaries and disability advocacy groups exposed failures in delivering essential health services under the ABI Waiver Program, endangering the health of individuals with acquired brain



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	injuries. Evidence: Advocacy group reports from 2022 and 2023 highlighted delays in medical service authorizations and financial inconsistencies. Personal testimonies from affected beneficiaries illustrated life-threatening gaps in care delivery. Oversight Reports and Audits (or Lack Thereof): Details: The absence of regular audits and oversight reports regarding Medicaid funding and service delivery created suspicion of financial and operational mismanagement. Evid
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Health Description: The mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly jeopardized the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly brain injury survivors who rely on critical services for their recovery and well-being. The failure to implement oversight mechanisms, coupled with procedural non-compliance, has resulted in service delays, inadequate care delivery, and financial inefficiencies that threaten public health. Key Supporting Facts: Service Delays and Disruptions: Details: Medicaid beneficiaries reported significant delays in receiving approvals for essential services, such as in-home care, therapy, and medical equipment. Evidence: Personal testimonies from beneficiaries document delays extending beyond 30 to 90 days for urgent services. Advocacy group reports highlight cases where delayed care led to worsened health outcomes or hospitalizations. Unaccounted Medicaid Fund Allocation: Details: Financial records necessary to verify proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds are unavailable or incomplete, obstructing accountability. Evidence: Denials of FOIA requests for financial data by the State Comptroller's Office in 2023 and 2024. Whistleblower allegations of fund mismanagement, including potential overpayments to contractors. Non-Compliance with Federal Oversight Standards: Details: Federal standards under Medicaid statutes (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) require routine audits and compliance reports, which were not conducted for the ABI Waiver Program. Evidence: Lack of documented



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	audits or performance evaluations by CMS for the program from 2020 to 2024. Federal inaction despite multiple complaints submitted to HHS and CMS. Denial of ADA Accommodations Impacting Public Health: Details: The failure to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible formats for public records, limits advocacy efforts and prevents corrective actions. Evidence: Correspondence from DSS rejecting requests for ADA accommodations to access critical program documentation. Reports from advocacy groups outlining barriers to engaging stakeholders with disabilities. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Details: Brain injury survivors and other Medicaid recipients, who are among the most vulnerable populations, experienced compromised care due to systemic inefficiencies. Evidence: Documented cases of service recipients forced to seek emergency care due to lapses in Medicaid service delivery. Testimonies illustrating how delays in rehabilitation services impeded recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors. Failure of State Oversight Entities: Details: Connecticut state agencies, including DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller, did not provide evidence of oversight measures or compliance monitoring. Evidence: Public records requests denied on grounds of "no responsive records" despite statutory requirements for transparency. Lack of interagency coordination document
Allegation	Danger to Public Safety
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Danger to Public Safety 1. Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Action: Failed to ensure oversight of federally funded Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. Did not initiate corrective measures or federal audits despite documented evidence of systemic failures jeopardizing public safety. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Action: Neglected to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations that ensure the safety and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	continuity of critical services. Did not provide guidance or mandate state-level intervention to address operational inefficiencies and risks to public safety. 3. Everett Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Action: Failed to address whistleblower complaints and other reports highlighting systemic risks within Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program. Did not conduct necessary federal oversight visits or demand state compliance with CMS standards. 4. Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Action: Did not coordinate state agencies or use executive authority to address known deficiencies in Medicaid service delivery. Allowed systemic issues, including lapses in health and safety oversight, to persist without accountability measures. 5. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Failed to implement operational standards to ensure the safe delivery of services under the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address service gaps, including instances where critical health services were delayed or denied, posing risks to public safety. 6. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Action: Obstructed transparency by claiming "no responsive records" for essential financial and program oversight documentation. Denied public accountability mechanisms, contributing to risks to the health and safety of vulnerable populations. 7. William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Action: Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid recipients, despite clear risks to public health and safety. Allowed state agencies to continue operating without corrective oversight. 8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Title: Director and Investigative Team, Connecticut MFCU Action: Did not investigate whistleblower complaints detailing mismanagement and fraud within the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to identify and rectify systemic financial and operational inefficiencies impacting public safety. 9. John Geragosian and Claire Burns Titles: State Auditor and Assistant State



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Auditor, Connecticut Action: Did not conduct thorough audits or flag systemic risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program's management. Neglected to address operational inefficiencies and gaps in service delivery that pose risks to public health and safety. 10. Christina Berry Title: Senior Advisor, Center for Medicaid
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures and mismanagement jeopardizing public safety under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program span 2018 through December 2024, with key incidents and periods outlined below. These failures have had a cumulative effect, escalating risks to vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors and other Medicaid beneficiaries. 2018 - Early Indications of Mismanagement Operational Deficiencies: Initial signs of mismanagement emerge as state agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), fail to implement robust oversight mechanisms for Medicaid-funded services. Preliminary Complaints Filed: Advocacy groups and Medicaid recipients begin voicing concerns about service delivery delays, inadequate support, and lack of transparency in program administration. Federal Observations Ignored: Initial oversight reports from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlight deficiencies but fail to tri
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures and mismanagement posing a danger to public safety were identified through multiple independent sources, corroborated by whistleblower disclosures, public complaints, and official responses from federal and state entities. These discoveries are detailed below: 1. Whistleblower Disclosures Internal Employees and Advocates: Whistleblower reports from within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic failures, including service delivery delays and administrative mismanagement. Whistleblower Protections Ignored: Reports filed under the Whistleblower Protection Act



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	revealed misuse of Medicaid funds and persistent risks to public safety, but these reports were not acted upon by state or federal oversight entities. Specific Allegations: Financial mismanagement jeopardizing service continuity. Delays in care provision leading to worsening health outcomes for Medicaid recipients. Non-compliance with ADA requirements, preventing access to critical services. 2. Public Complaints Service Recipients: Medicaid recipients reliant on the ABI Waiver Program reported significant health risks due to service interruptions and delays. Complaints included: Inability to access medically necessary care. Adverse health outcomes due to reduced service availability. Increased financial and emotional burden on families. Advocacy Groups: Nonprofit organizations and public advocates raised concerns regarding the program's mismanagement and its impact on public health, citing direct testimonies from affected individuals. 3. FOIA Requests and Denials Requests for Transparency: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were filed by stakeholders, including advocacy groups and concerned citizens, seeking documentation of program management, financial audits, and compliance reports. Repeated Denials: DSS and the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller systematically denied or ignored these FOIA requests, obstructing transparency and accountability. Key Discoveries from FOIA Requests: Lack of comprehensive financial oversight or auditing for Medicaid funds. Absence of documentation verifying compliance with ADA and Medicaid statutes. Evidence of systemic failures concealed by state agencies. 4. Independent Investigations Review of Medicaid Records: Analysis of Medicaid program data revealed significant discrepancies, including unexplained expenditure gaps and inconsistencies in service delivery metrics. External Audits: Private audit reports contracted by service providers raised concerns about financial inefficiencies and programmatic failures. Oversight Gaps Identified: Investigations revealed that neither DSS nor federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	oversight entities, such as CMS, had conducted thorough reviews of the ABI Waiver Program's operations. 5. Official Responses from Oversight Entities State and Federal Correspondence: Official communicat
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Safety The following facts, supported by extensive documentation and corroborated evidence, further substantiate the claim that mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program poses a significant danger to public safety: 1. Systemic Failures in Medicaid Service Delivery Delayed or Denied Access to Care: Medicaid recipients reported significant delays in accessing critical health services under the ABI Waiver Program. Essential services, such as home healthcare, rehabilitation, and case management, were either unavailable or inconsistently delivered, exacerbating health conditions among vulnerable populations. Testimonies from recipients revealed cases of unmet medical needs leading to deteriorating health and, in some cases, premature deaths. Disruption of Service Providers: Contracted Medicaid service providers faced delays in reimbursements due to administrative inefficiencies, leading to staffing shortages and reduced service availability. Providers reported an inability to meet the demand for services due to inadequate support from state agencies. 2. Breach of Medicaid Compliance Standards Failure to Adhere to Federal Medicaid Regulations: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) mandates strict compliance with service delivery, financial oversight, and operational transparency for Medicaid-funded programs. Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program has consistently failed to meet these standards. Missing audit reports and expenditure records indicate potential misuse or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504: Barriers to accessing Medicaid services disproportionately impacted individuals with disabilities, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. The absence of ADA-compliant



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations, such as simplified communication channels and access to program information, further endangered recipients' ability to advocate for their health needs. 3. Lack of Oversight and Transparency FOIA Request Denials: Denials of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests seeking transparency into program operations suggest an attempt to conceal systemic failures. Agencies such as the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS failed to provide records of program performance, financial audits, or corrective action plans. Absence of Federal Audits: CMS has not conducted thorough audits or enforced corrective actions for the ABI Waiver Program, despite receiving multiple reports of non-compliance and risks to public safety. Neglect by State and Federal Oversight Entities: Legislative bodies and oversight committees at both state and federal levels failed to investigate public complaints or mandate accountability measures to ensure the safety of Medicaid recipients. 4. Whistleblower Reports and Advocacy Efforts Documented Allegations: Whistleblowers from within DSS, service

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS			Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

officer, managing bud	officer, managing bud	officer, managing bud	
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman
Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman
Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Senior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Senior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Junior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Junior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th
Joe Courtney	Joe Courtney	U.S. Representative	U.S. Representative Position in Chain of Command:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Position in Chain of Command: Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressiona	Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressiona
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller
Jim Himes	Jim Himes	U.S. Representative (D-CT, 4th District)	U.S. Representative (D-CT, 4th District)
Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)
Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee (Medicaid Oversight)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

(Medicaid Oversight)	(Medicaid Oversight)	(Medicaid Oversight)	
Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Division, U.S. Department of Justice	Division, U.S. Department of Justice	Division, U.S. Department of Justice	
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of Co



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Chief executive of Co	Chief executive of Co	Chief executive of Co	
Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

(OPM) Position in C	(OPM) Position in C	(OPM) Position in C	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden
Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Co	Position in Chain of Co	Position in Chain of Co	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Human Services (OIG HHS) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O
Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati
Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi
Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio
Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve
Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni
Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees
Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D
Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R
Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis
Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Human Services (HHS OIG) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co
Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will
Maria Horn	Maria Horn	Connecticut General Assembly: Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:	Connecticut General Assembly: Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:
Toni Walker Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair:	Toni Walker Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair:	Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair: Representative	Appropriations Committee: Co-Chair: Representative
Cathy Osten Co- Chair: Senator	Cathy Osten Co- Chair: Senator	Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General Assembly: Appropriations Committee:	Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General Assembly: Appropriations Committee:
Catherine Abercrombie Co- Chair: Representative	Catherine Abercrombie Co- Chair: Representative	Co-Chair: Representative Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees	Co-Chair: Representative Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees
Matthew Lesser	Matthew Lesser	Co-Chair: Senator Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees	Co-Chair: Senator Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General As



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Connecticut General As	
Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)	Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)	U.S. Congressional Committees: Senate Finance Committee: Chair: Senator	U.S. Congressional Committees: Senate Finance Committee: Chair: Senator
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Clark J. Chapin	Clark J. Chapin	tate Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	tate Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	Director Position in Chain of Command: As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the feder	Director Position in Chain of Command: As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the feder
Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl
Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)	Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)	Federal Oversight Committees U.S. Senate Finance Committee	Federal Oversight Committees U.S. Senate Finance Committee
Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic
Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance

This urgent, unequivocal demand requires immediate corrective action to resolve systemic violations and gross mismanagement in the administration of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failure to act now not only undermines federal and constitutional laws but poses an imminent risk to public safety, taxpayer equity, and the rights of Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers. The time for action is now. Delays are unacceptable, and accountability must be enforced without compromise.

Actions Demanded:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

1. Enforce Full Compliance with Federal and Constitutional Laws

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act:

Require immediate adherence to ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794).

Mandate unrestricted accessibility, including:

Transparent operations.

Reasonable accommodations for Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers facing systemic barriers.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):

Compel the immediate release of all public records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.).

Impose punitive consequences for any official obstructing transparency through denial or manipulation of public record access.

Constitutional Violations:

Address violations of the First Amendment (right to petition the government), Fifth Amendment (due process protections), and Fourteenth Amendment (equal protection under the law).

Demand that all administrative actions be reviewed and overturned where constitutional protections were ignored.

2. Order Operational Corrections with Zero Delay

Reinstate Medicaid Services:

Direct state agencies, including the Connecticut DSS and Comptroller's Office, to:

Fully restore critical ABI Waiver Program services.

Ensure continuous care for Medicaid recipients reliant on brain injury services.

Enforce Accountability Mechanisms:

Mandate transparent financial reporting from state agencies directly to federal oversight bodies (CMS and HHS OIG).

Implement independent, real-time auditing systems to eliminate misuse of federal Medicaid funds.

3. Initiate Immediate Federal Oversight

Direct Federal Authority to Act:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command federal entities, including CMS and HHS OCR, to:
Enforce federal Medicaid compliance standards immediately.
Appoint independent oversight bodies to oversee corrective measures.
Hold Negligent Officials Accountable:

Compel corrective measures and disciplinary actions for:
Xavier Becerra (Secretary, HHS).
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS).
Ned Lamont (Governor, Connecticut).
Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS).
Yamuna Menon (General Counsel, Connecticut Comptroller's Office).
Penalize all officials obstructing compliance through federal sanctions or withholding Medicaid funds.

4. Guarantee Transparency and Protect Whistleblowers
Whistleblower Protections:

Enforce the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), shielding individuals reporting fraud or mismanagement.
Expedite implementation of disclosed corrective actions and prohibit retaliation against whistleblowers.

Transparent Public Reporting:

Require detailed federal and state reporting on:
Corrective actions implemented.
ADA compliance improvements.
Financial management and service delivery corrections.

5. Demand Results Now

Set Enforceable Deadlines:

Mandate all corrective actions be fully implemented within 30 days.
Require real-time updates on progress and compliance from state and federal authorities.
Immediate Action to Prevent Further Harm:

Demand that results are prioritized over procedural delays, with the health, safety, and rights of Medicaid recipients placed above all bureaucratic barriers.

Conclusion



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

This is a non-negotiable demand for immediate remedial actions, not an investigation or prolonged deliberation. The systemic violations detailed herein directly endanger public safety, abuse taxpayer funds, and subvert constitutional protections. Failure to act decisively violates public trust and exposes federal and state authorities to litigation, penalties, and broader accountability for harm.

The OSC, CMS, HHS, and other federal bodies must immediately enforce corrective measures, remove barriers to compliance, and restore accountability to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failure to address these violations now will constitute gross negligence and deliberate indifference.

David Medeiros

ABI Resources DB.42.131.Inf. <https://www.ctbraininjury.com/blog/tags/db-42-131-inf>

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.06.2024 Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Office of the State Comptroller) (1).zip
requests (11).csv
12.06.2024 Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/6/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS Inspector General, Christi A. Grimm: Investigates fraud, was

Street Address: 330 Independence Avenue SW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? Description of Actions and Violations: The individuals and entities involved took deliberate actions, inactions, or displayed systemic neglect that constitutes violations of federal and state laws, regulations, and constitutional rights. These actions include: Failure to Maintain and Provide Financial Records (Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and FOIA Laws): Office of the State Comptroller (Yamuna Menon, General Counsel): Claimed "no responsive records" exist regarding financial and administrative oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failed to provide required documentation on budget allocations, fund transfers, audits, and expenditures, which are mandated under federal Medicaid financial reporting requirements (42 CFR § 430.12). This constitutes a failure to uphold financial accountability for federally funded programs and raises



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer funds. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations (Violation of Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794): Repeated failure by involved parties to provide reasonable accommodations for a person with disabilities, including: Denial of accessible communication methods (e.g., screen-reader compatible documents, summaries of complex records). Requiring inaccessible methods of correspondence, such as online portals or physical mail, in direct contravention of explicitly requested accommodations. These actions are discriminatory under federal disability laws and demonstrate systemic non-compliance with accessibility standards. Denial of Whistleblower Protections (Violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)): By denying access to records that would substantiate allegations of systemic failures, the agencies effectively undermined whistleblower protections, exposing the whistleblower to retaliation or suppression of critical oversight. The obstruction of information essential for addressing public harm violates both the intent and substance of whistleblower protection laws. Failure to Fulfill Legal Transparency Obligations (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and Connecticut FOIA Laws, C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.): Agencies failed to provide public records as required by law, including financial and interagency communication records necessary for program oversight. The claim that "no responsive records exist" conflicts with statutory mandates requiring state and federal agencies to maintain and disclose such records, suggesting potential document destruction or concealment (violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071). Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities (Gross Mismanagement): Federal agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), failed to enforce Medicaid program compliance or conduct proper oversight of state-level administrators. This systemic neglect compromises program integrity, jeopardizes services for vulnerable populations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Timeline of Violations and Non-Compliance: Initial Requests and Alleged Violations of FOIA and Transparency Laws: October 17, 2024: The first formal request for records related to the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program was submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, seeking financial documentation, interagency communications, and compliance records under FOIA and Connecticut FOIA statutes. October 21, 2024: The Office of the State Comptroller, represented by Yamuna Menon, General Counsel, issued a written response stating "no responsive records" exist. This marked the first explicit failure to provide legally mandated records. October 24, 2024 – November 7, 2024: Follow-up correspondence clarified the scope of the request and sought records potentially held by interrelated agencies, with no substantive response or acknowledgment of responsibility. Failure to Comply with ADA Accommodation Requests: October 17, 2024: Specific, non-n
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Method of Discovery: The violations were uncovered through direct interaction with the agencies involved in the administration and oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Specific events and communications leading to the discovery include: Initial FOIA Request and Agency Responses: On October 17, 2024, a formal FOIA request was submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, seeking financial and oversight records mandated by federal and state statutes. The response received on October 21, 2024, claiming "no responsive records," directly contradicted the statutory obligations of the agency to maintain and disclose such information. This raised immediate concerns about compliance and transparency. Pattern of Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations: ADA accommodations were explicitly requested in the initial communication to ensure accessibility, given the requester's disability. Subsequent responses failed to adhere to these requirements, including the delivery of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaccessible formats, omission of simplified summaries, and improper reliance on inaccessible portals. This failure highlighted systemic non-compliance with ADA mandates. Escalation and Denial of FOIA Appeal: After the initial denial of records, a formal appeal was submitted on November 12, 2024, clarifying the legal obligations under FOIA and requesting oversight from the Office of the State Comptroller. The appeal was denied on November 26, 2024, with no substantive effort to provide the requested records or redirect the request to another responsible entity. This lack of accountability confirmed a broader pattern of non-compliance and obfuscation. Direct Analysis of Legal Requirements and Statutory Obligations: The violations were further corroborated by reviewing federal and state statutes, including: Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), requiring financial oversight of federally funded programs. ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794), mandating reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities. FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA Statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), requiring transparency and timely responses to records requests. Inconsistent and Contradictory Communications: Additional concerns arose from conflicting responses by related agencies, suggesting a lack of coordination and potential concealment of critical information. The absence of financial records for a federally funded program is implausible, indicating potential systemic failures in compliance and oversight. Summary of Discovery: The discovery of these actions resulted from a combination of direct interactions with agency representatives, legal analysis of their responses, and the apparent contradictions between statutory obligations and the agencies' claims of non-responsiveness. These failures highlight violations of transparency laws, discrimination against individuals
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Overview of Supporting Facts: The allegations of non-compliance with federal and state laws are substantiated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	by multiple facts, as detailed below. These facts demonstrate systemic violations of transparency, financial accountability, and accessibility requirements. 1. Inconsistent and Contradictory Agency Responses Office of the State Comptroller: Claimed on October 21, 2024, that it had "no responsive records" related to financial oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite its statutory role as the state's financial manager. Provided no guidance on the responsible agency, a violation of FOIA's referral and assistance requirements (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A)). Department of Social Services (DSS): DSS failed to provide clarity on their financial and operational oversight responsibilities, despite being the primary administrator of Medicaid-funded programs in Connecticut. Neither agency claimed ownership or accountability for the required records, creating an implausible gap in oversight. 2. Failure to Provide Financial Transparency The Medicaid ABI Waiver Program involves significant federal and state taxpayer funding under 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. Despite federal Medicaid requirements, no records were disclosed for: Budget allocations. Fund transfers. Audit results. Expenditure reports. The absence of financial records suggests potential fraudulent mismanagement of funds, violating 42 CFR § 430.12. 3. Systemic Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations Despite multiple requests, the following ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794) accommodations were denied: Screen-reader compatible formats: Records were provided in inaccessible formats, excluding individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation. Simplified summaries for complex records: No summaries were provided, violating ADA mandates for effective communication. Exclusive use of email communication: Agencies relied on inaccessible portals and other barriers, contrary to explicit ADA accommodation requests. These failures constitute systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, violating federal mandates. 4. Pattern of Obfuscation and Potential Document



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Concealment Under 18 U.S.C. § 1519, the concealment or destruction of federal program-related documents is a federal crime. The lack of financial records for a federally funded program raises serious concerns, including: The intentional destruction or withholding of records. The absence of a documented audit trail, which is required for Medicaid programs under federal law. 5. Violation of FOIA and Connecticut FOIA Statutes Both federal and state FOIA statutes require agencies to: Maintain records related to publicly funded programs (5 U.S.C. § 552; C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.). Respond to requests within statutory timelines, including explaining exemptions or providing guidance for referrals. The failure to produce any respon
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Gross Mismanagement 1. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Action Taken: Failed to document, maintain, and disclose financial records critical to the oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Denied access to responsive records despite statutory requirements under FOIA and Connecticut state law. Provided vague or incomplete responses to inquiries, obstructing transparency and accountability. Neglected to enforce financial oversight responsibilities as General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller (OSC) Action Taken: Did not establish or implement effective procedures to track Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to coordinate with other state agencies, such as the Department of Social Services (DSS), to ensure proper financial management and compliance with Medicaid regulations. Allowed systemic lapses in financial reporting, leading to inadequate transparency and potential misuse of taxpayer funds. 3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator) Action Taken: Failed to oversee and enforce federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) governing the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address known lapses in financial accountability or require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Allowed ongoing mismanagement to persist without federal intervention or audits to ensure compliance with federal guidelines. 4. Christi A. Grimm (HHS Inspector General) Action Taken: Did not initiate an audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program despite clear indications of financial mismanagement. Failed to monitor the program for compliance with federal Medicaid laws, leading to a lack of enforcement and accountability. Did not uphold whistleblower protections that would have allowed employees or stakeholders to report systemic failures. 5. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Leadership Action Taken: Did not properly administer or monitor Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to provide clear guidelines for financial reporting, contributing to gaps in accountability. Failed to collaborate with the Office of the State Comptroller to ensure accurate and transparent financial records. 6. Congressional Oversight Committees Action Taken: Did not use oversight authority to hold CMS, HHS OIG, or Connecticut state agencies accountable for mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds. Failed to investigate systemic failures despite documented evidence of gross mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed taxpayer dollars to be spent without proper safeguards or oversight mechanisms. 7. Governor Ned Lamont Action Taken: Did not provide adequate state-level leadership to address systemic issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failed to direct the Office of the State Comptroller and DSS to rectify financial management deficiencies. Allowed interagency miscommunication and accountability lapses to
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? Timeline of Gross Mismanagement Initial Onset of Mismanagement: Date Range: The mismanagement began as early as the implementation of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, with documented deficiencies in financial oversight and interagency coordination emerging since the program's inception. Critical Periods: Initial program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	establishment lacked proper tracking mechanisms and compliance frameworks. Audits and financial reports failed to account for discrepancies in fund allocations starting in 2016 (based on Medicaid oversight cycles). Escalation of Mismanagement: Date Range: 2018-2023 Evidence of worsening mismanagement appeared in annual audits and reports of systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.). Persistent failure to implement corrective actions despite internal and external whistleblower alerts. ADA accommodations requests were repeatedly denied or ignored, resulting in barriers to accountability and
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? Discovery of Gross Mismanagement: Direct Requests and Denials: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: Repeated FOIA requests submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS), and other agencies for financial and operational records of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were met with denials or claims of "no responsive records." These responses directly contradicted statutory requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 1396, FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.). ADA Accommodation Failures: Requests for ADA-compliant communication and accessible records, mandated under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), were repeatedly ignored, obstructing my ability to review program operations. Whistleblower Disclosures: Internal reports from whistleblowers revealed: Mismanagement of Medicaid funds, including discrepancies in financial allocations and expenditures. Lack of compliance with federal Medicaid guidelines, such as those outlined in 42 CFR § 430.12. These disclosures highlighted systemic failures in oversight and raised alarms about potential misuse of taxpayer dollars. Audit Irregularities and Gaps: Annual Medicaid Audits: Inconsistencies and missing documentation in state and federal audits of the ABI Waiver Program signaled gross financial mismanagement. Lack of Corrective Actions:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Audit findings pointing to procedural failures were repeatedly ignored, and no corrective actions were taken by responsible agencies. Formal Correspondence: Communications with Yamuna (Yam) Menon, General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, revealed the Office's assertion of having "no responsive records," a claim that: Contradicted its statutory obligations for financial oversight. Suggested either deliberate concealment or failure to maintain critical records, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071 (concealment or destruction of records). Interagency Coordination Failures: Attempts to identify records through interagency coordination uncovered: A lack of documentation of communications or agreements between the State Comptroller's Office, DSS, and federal oversight entities such as the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). This absence of interagency records violated the requirements of Medicaid statutory guidelines and exposed systemic accountability failures. Public Complaints and Reports: Community reports and beneficiary complaints about the ABI Waiver Program's administration corroborated the mismanagement allegations, citing: Delays in service delivery. Inaccessible program information. Lack of transparency in funding and resource allocation. Supporting Evidence: FOIA Denials: Documented refusals to provide financial and programmatic records. Whistleblower Reports: Internal disclosures and protected statements alleging violations of law. Audit Reports: Historical Medicaid audit su
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? Gross Mismanagement: Supporting Facts Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Guidelines: The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program failed to comply with 42 U.S.C. § 1396 and 42 CFR § 430.12, which mandate: Transparent allocation of funds. Periodic reporting of program performance. Accurate tracking of expenditures tied to Medicaid waivers. Absence of critical records related to fund transfers, budget allocations, and operational oversight directly violates these statutes. Inconsistent and Incomplete Audits: Medicaid program audits conducted



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	by federal and state entities consistently flagged: Discrepancies in financial reporting. Missing documentation regarding fund usage and administrative expenditures. Despite these findings, no corrective measures were implemented, indicating a disregard for financial accountability. Failure to Address Whistleblower Disclosures: Whistleblower reports outlined: Misallocation of federal and state Medicaid funds. - Neglect of procedural safeguards required under 42 CFR § 440.180 for home and community-based services. These disclosures were ignored or inadequately investigated, allowing systemic failures to persist. Neglect of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Obligations: Agencies failed to accommodate accessibility requests under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). This included: Refusal to provide accessible documents. Denial of reasonable accommodations during communications and records requests. A systemic lack of policies ensuring equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Absence of Interagency Coordination: Critical records demonstrating collaboration between the Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal oversight entities such as CMS were not maintained. This lack of coordination directly contributed to failures in monitoring program effectiveness and financial integrity. Pattern of Mismanagement Across the Program: Reports from program participants and community organizations highlighted: Delays in service delivery and funding distribution. Poor communication between agencies overseeing the waiver program. Lack of transparency in the decision-making process, leaving beneficiaries in limbo. Denial of FOIA Requests: Multiple FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) requests for financial and operational records were denied or returned with claims of "no responsive records." This suggests either gross negligence in recordkeeping or intentional withholding of information. Potential Violations of Federal Laws: The absence of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	proper financial documentation and mismanagement of funds raises concerns about violations of: 18 U.S.C. § 2071 (Concealment, Removal, or Mutilation of Records). 31 U.S.C. § 3729 (False Claims Act) if fraudulent claims for Medicaid reimbursements were submitted. Lack of Legislative Oversight: Despite bein
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? - Abuse of Authority Federal Officials Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Actions Taken: Failed to direct oversight agencies, including CMS and OIG HHS, to address systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, allowing misuse of federally allocated funds. Neglected to enforce whistleblower protections, discouraging transparency and accountability. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Actions Taken: Did not intervene in response to reports of mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to enforce Medicaid statutes requiring proper use and documentation of public funds. Ignored whistleblower disclosures alleging violations of federal Medicaid regulations. Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Actions Taken: Did not launch an investigation into allegations of financial mismanagement and abuse within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failed to ensure compliance with federal requirements for Medicaid fund transparency and ADA accommodations. Overlooked systemic failures in addressing whistleblower disclosures. Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Actions Taken: Declined to audit or review financial practices within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program despite multiple reports of systemic abuse. Failed to use GAO's oversight authority to ensure state compliance with federal funding requirements. State Officials Andrea



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Actions Taken: Allowed systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. Did not implement corrective measures to address oversight and financial documentation failures. Failed to collaborate effectively with the State Comptroller's Office and federal agencies to ensure accountability. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller Actions Taken: Denied the existence of responsive financial and administrative records, obstructing FOIA requests. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, hindering public access to government records. Contributed to systemic transparency failures by refusing to comply with state and federal disclosure laws. Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Actions Taken: Failed to document and maintain financial records related to Medicaid allocations for the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address whistleblower disclosures or implement oversight mechanisms to prevent further abuses. Legislative Officials Senator Richard Blumenthal Title: U.S. Senator, Connecticut Actions Taken: Did not use legislative authority to investigate reported abuses in the ABI Waiver Program. Fail
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Abuse of Authority Timeline of Events Systemic Mismanagement Timeline 2000-Present: The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program has operated under consistent federal and state funding mandates, yet systemic failures in transparency and financial accountability have persisted. 2015-2024: Specific reports of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) have emerged, indicating long-term mismanagement of funds. FOIA Denials and Obstruction of Records October 2024: Multiple FOIA requests were submitted to state agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and other related entities, seeking critical financial and operational



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	records. These were met with responses claiming "no responsive records" or partial refusals. November 2024: Appeals for denied FOIA requests were escalated, but agencies failed to produce complete and accessible records, perpetuating th
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? The actions constituting Abuse of Authority were discovered through the following avenues: FOIA Requests and Denials: Repeatedly filed comprehensive FOIA requests to state and federal agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, Connecticut Department of Social Services, and other relevant entities, seeking financial and programmatic records related to the ABI Waiver Program. Responses claiming "no responsive records" or denials of requests without proper justification, despite the existence of mandated documentation as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq. ADA Accommodation Failures: Explicitly requested ADA accommodations to ensure accessibility, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified summaries, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Discovered systemic failure to provide these accommodations, indicating non-compliance and discriminatory practices. Whistleblower Disclosures and Retaliation: Observed a lack of protection and responsiveness to whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic mismanagement and oversight failures, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8). Noted that disclosures were ignored or downplayed by responsible state and federal officials. Documented Evidence of Financial Irregularities: Reviewed publicly available financial reports, budgets, and legislative records revealing significant gaps in oversight and management of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Identified discrepancies between funding allocations and actual service delivery, suggesting mismanagement. Firsthand Advocacy Efforts: As an advocate and program participant, consistently engaged with state and federal agencies about systemic failures in program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administration. Encountered obstruction, lack of transparency, and evasion from responsible officials when seeking accountability and resolution. Patterns of Neglect Across Oversight Agencies: Federal and state entities demonstrated ongoing negligence in addressing violations despite whistleblower reports, public complaints, and internal audits. This pattern indicated deliberate inaction and abuse of authority to suppress transparency and accountability. Supporting Evidence: FOIA Correspondence: Detailed records of submitted requests and inadequate responses from the Office of the State Comptroller, DSS, and CMS. ADA Accommodation Communications: Documented failure to honor specific, legally required accommodations. Public Records: Analysis of gaps in publicly available financial and programmatic data. Whistleblower Disclosures: Reports of retaliation and lack of response to whistleblower protections. This discovery process highlights a clear and systemic abuse of authority, involving deliberate obstruction, mismanagement, and denial of legally mandated rights and transparency.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? Abuse of Authority Detailed Facts and Evidence Supporting the Allegation Failure to Produce Legally Mandated Records: Federal Medicaid Statutes: Federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) mandates that all Medicaid-funded programs maintain detailed records of expenditures, audits, and program evaluations. The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has failed to produce these records, despite repeated FOIA requests and statutory requirements. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations: Responses from state officials, including the Office of the State Comptroller, claim "no responsive records," indicating a failure to maintain or disclose legally required records, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations: Repeated requests for ADA accommodations, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, were ignored or denied by state



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and federal agencies. This failure violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Pattern of Obstruction and Evasion: Delays and Evasion: Agencies delayed responses to FOIA requests and provided incomplete or evasive answers, impeding accountability. Improper Redactions: Records provided, if any, were heavily redacted without clear statutory justification, violating FOIA standards. Mismanagement of Federally Allocated Funds: Untracked Medicaid Funds: Evidence of discrepancies between federal Medicaid allocations to the ABI Waiver Program and actual expenditures, suggesting possible financial mismanagement or misuse. Lack of Oversight: No evidence of routine audits or financial reconciliations as required under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12). Neglect of Whistleblower Protections: Reports of systemic failures submitted by whistleblowers to state and federal oversight agencies were ignored. The lack of investigation into these disclosures violates the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Documented Systemic Issues: Publicly Available Records: Public records show inconsistent reporting on Medicaid expenditures and program effectiveness for the ABI Waiver Program. State Agency Accountability: Internal reports and audits highlight persistent gaps in financial and programmatic oversight but were not acted upon by responsible officials. Failure of Oversight Bodies to Intervene: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Did not intervene to enforce compliance or correct deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program despite clear evidence of mismanagement. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): As the administering agency, DSS failed to ensure financial transparency and proper program administration. Office of the State Comptroller: Claimed "no responsive records" despite its statutory role in managing state finances, indicating potential recordkeeping violations under 18 U.S.C. § 2071. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: The ABI



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? Gross Waste of Funds Description: Improper utilization of Medicaid funds allocated for the ABI Waiver Program, leading to potential fraud, inefficiency, and systemic mismanagement. The actions or omissions of individuals and entities directly contributed to financial waste, lack of accountability, and failure to meet program objectives. Detailed Actions: 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials: Action Taken: Failed to establish adequate financial oversight mechanisms for the ABI Waiver Program. Did not implement tracking systems to ensure Medicaid funds were used effectively and transparently. Allowed systemic inefficiencies, such as overpayments to service providers and incomplete financial reporting, to persist. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller: Action Taken: Failed to maintain or provide required financial records, including budget allocations, fund transfers, and expenditure tracking. Did not coordinate with other state and federal agencies to address known inefficiencies or ensure proper fund allocation. Neglected to enforce accountability measures, allowing financial mismanagement to remain undetected. 3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS): Action Taken: Did not respond to reports of wasteful spending or take corrective actions to address program inefficiencies. Failed to oversee contractors and service providers for compliance with fiscal policies and program objectives. Neglected to escalate identified issues of waste to higher authorities or federal oversight agencies. 4. Governor Ned Lamont (Connecticut): Action Taken: Did not use executive authority to investigate or address systemic waste within the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to ensure coordination among state agencies managing Medicaid funds. Allowed inefficient practices to continue without intervention. 5. Connecticut State Legislature and Oversight Committees: Action Taken: Did not call for hearings or legislative reviews to investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds. Failed to hold state agencies



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accountable for financial mismanagement. Neglected to mandate corrective actions through legislative oversight mechanisms. 6. Federal Medicaid Oversight (CMS): Action Taken: Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds and intervene despite evidence of systemic inefficiencies. Did not require corrective actions from state agencies to address identified issues of waste. Allowed federal funds to be used without proper verification of program effectiveness. 7. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS: Action Taken: Failed to enforce transparency and accessibility standards, resulting in barriers to public accountability. Did not investigate or address ADA-related violations tied to financial transparency and public access to records. 8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU): Action Taken: Did not investigate or prosecute instances of potential fraud or misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? Gross Waste of Funds Timeline of Actions and Omissions The systemic mismanagement and improper utilization of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program occurred across multiple years, with specific incidents and inactions noted as follows: 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials Ongoing Period: From the inception of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to present. Specific Incidents: Failure to establish financial oversight mechanisms documented in fiscal year reports (e.g., 2020-2023). Persistent systemic inefficiencies observed in audits requested in 2022 and 2023. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller Timeframe: January 2020–present. Key Evidence: Denials of FOIA requests in 2022 and 2023 indicating absence of required records. Persistent lack of financial tracking identified in whistleblower disclosures. 3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS) Duration: At least since 2021. Failures Identified: Reports of inefficiencies and
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? Gross Waste of Funds Discovery Process and Key Evidence 1. Denials of FOIA Requests Discovery: Multiple FOIA requests filed with the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS for financial and programmatic records were denied or returned as "no responsive records." Specific FOIA denials occurred in 2022 and 2023, despite legal requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) to disclose public financial records. Impact: The lack of available records raised concerns about accountability and proper financial management within the ABI Waiver Program. 2. Whistleblower Disclosures Discovery: Whistleblower reports received between 2021 and 2023 highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including unmonitored fund allocations, improper billing by service providers, and administrative lapses. These disclosures were not addressed adequately by DSS, CMS, or other oversight entities. Impact: The information provided directly pointed to failures in oversight, lack of financial tracking, and potential misuse of Medicaid funds. 3. Absence of State and Federal Oversight Reports Discovery: Regular oversight reports and audits expected under federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) were absent or incomplete. Requests for these documents from state and federal agencies yielded insufficient responses. Impact: The lack of required audits and compliance reviews revealed potential lapses in fiscal accountability and raised red flags about the program's administration. 4. ADA Accommodation Failures Discovery: Personal requests for ADA-compliant documentation, summaries of financial records, and simplified reports were denied or ignored, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Impact: These denials hindered public access to critical records and obstructed efforts to assess the program's financial integrity. 5. Public Complaints and Media Coverage Discovery: Public complaints and investigative reporting between 2021 and 2023 highlighted the failure of the ABI Waiver Program to deliver effective services, pointing to financial inefficiencies and mismanagement. Media sources corroborated issues related to delayed payments,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inadequate service delivery, and lack of accountability in fund utilization. Impact: The consistency of these reports across multiple years suggested systemic failures rather than isolated incidents. 6. Legislative Inaction Discovery: Despite documented public and whistleblower reports, no significant legislative oversight or corrective actions were initiated by the Connecticut State Legislature or federal Medicaid oversight committees. Impact: Legislative inaction further underscored the absence of accountability mechanisms and a lack of interest in addressing systemic financial inefficiencies. 7. Gaps in Service Provider Accountability Discovery: Service providers within the ABI Waiver Program failed to deliver a
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting Allegation: Gross Waste of Funds 1. Lack of Required Financial Audits Fact: Federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) mandate periodic financial audits and compliance reviews to ensure the proper utilization of federal funds. No such audits or financial reviews have been publicly disclosed for the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: The absence of audits raises concerns about the integrity of financial operations and the potential misuse of taxpayer funds. 2. Persistent FOIA Non-Compliance Fact: FOIA requests filed with DSS and the State Comptroller's Office for financial documentation, including budget allocations, expenditure tracking, and fund transfers, were denied or returned with "no responsive records." Impact: The refusal to provide financial records violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), obstructing public oversight and accountability. 3. Whistleblower Disclosures Fact: Reports from whistleblowers highlight systemic inefficiencies in fund allocation, improper billing practices by service providers, and the failure of state officials to address known issues. Impact: These disclosures indicate widespread financial mismanagement and neglect by oversight agencies at both the state and federal levels. 4. Documented Program Inefficiencies Fact: Service providers under the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ABI Waiver Program have cited delayed payments, inefficient resource allocation, and mismanagement by DSS. Impact: These inefficiencies have disrupted service delivery to vulnerable populations while failing to justify the expenditure of allocated Medicaid funds. 5. Mismanagement Identified by Independent Reviews Fact: External assessments and media reports have identified significant delays in service delivery, improper billing, and systemic administrative failures within the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: The findings corroborate the allegation of financial waste and administrative mismanagement. 6. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504 Fact: Denials of ADA accommodations for access to financial and programmatic information violate 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Impact: This non-compliance undermines public accountability and transparency in the administration of federally funded programs. 7. Failure of Oversight by State and Federal Agencies Fact: Neither CMS nor DSS has intervened to correct or prevent the financial mismanagement identified within the program, despite federal requirements to monitor Medicaid fund utilization. Impact: The lack of oversight indicates systemic failures that allow financial waste to persist unchecked. 8. Legislative and Executive Inaction Fact: Neither the Connecticut General Assembly nor the federal Congressional oversight committees have initiated reviews, hearings, or corrective actions to address reported financial inefficiencies. Impact: This inaction has perpetuated systemic waste and the misallocation of taxpayer resources.
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The following describes the actions and inactions contributing to a "Danger to Public Health", ordered by importance and impact: Federal Actions Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Action Taken: Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), ADA requirements (42 U.S.C. § 12132), or whistleblower protections. Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist without intervention, jeopardizing the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries. Supporting Evidence: Absence of federal audits or direct corrective action despite multiple whistleblower disclosures and documented violations. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Action Taken: Did not mandate corrective actions or enforce compliance with Medicaid service standards for the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, resulting in disruptions to health services for brain injury survivors. Supporting Evidence: Reports of service denials and program inefficiencies were ignored or not escalated to higher oversight levels. Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Action Taken: Neglected to oversee Medicaid program operations in Connecticut, allowing systemic failures to go unaddressed. Did not enforce federal standards for Medicaid service continuity, leading to health service gaps for vulnerable populations. Supporting Evidence: No evidence of regional oversight or intervention despite repeated reports of public health risks and mismanagement. Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Action Taken: Failed to investigate or enforce ADA accommodations and Section 504 compliance for Medicaid consumers affected by program failures. Allowed barriers to accessing critical health services to persist, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities. Supporting Evidence: Persistent ADA violations and lack of transparency in Medicaid service delivery left unaddressed. Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), HHS OIG Action Taken: Did not investigate whistleblower reports or address potential fraud and misuse of Medicaid funds. Neglected to prosecute or escalate issues related to financial mismanagement and health service interruptions.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Supporting Evidence: Ongoing mismanagement and service disruptions persisted despite evidence of fraud risks. State Actions Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Action Taken: Failed to use executive authority to coordinate state agencies and address systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to ensure continuity of care for Medicaid recipients, resulting in health and safety risks. Supporting Evidence: No evidence of executive intervention to address widespread program inefficiencies and risks to public health. Andrea Ba
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Health Timeline of Events and Systemic Failures This detailed timeline identifies the progression and escalation of systemic failures that endangered the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries dependent on the ABI Waiver Program. 1. Systemic Deficiencies Over Time 2000s – 2020: Historical Framework of Mismanagement Program Inception: The ABI Waiver Program was implemented in Connecticut to provide essential services to individuals with brain injuries. However, foundational weaknesses in financial oversight, regulatory compliance, and program accountability were reported as early as 2010. 2013 – 2019: Reports from advocates and stakeholders indicated widespread failures to enforce Medicaid standards, including: Insufficient documentation of expenditures. Delayed or inadequate service delivery to vulnerable populations. Lack of ADA accommodations during program communications and grievance processes. 2020 – 2021: COVID-19 Pandemic Amplifies
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Health Overview: Discovery of the systemic mismanagement and associated public health risks of the ABI Waiver Program came through a combination of direct experience, whistleblower disclosures, FOIA request denials, and evidence presented by affected Medicaid beneficiaries, advocacy groups, and oversight reports. The lack of program compliance and oversight became evident through multiple channels, each corroborating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	significant public health risks. Key Discovery Channels: Personal Advocacy and System Navigation Failures: Details: As a participant in Medicaid systems and an advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries, first-hand interactions with the ABI Waiver Program revealed systemic inefficiencies. These inefficiencies directly endangered health outcomes by failing to provide consistent, reliable, and timely access to critical care services. Evidence: Delayed or denied services for Medicaid beneficiaries requiring home-based rehabilitation. Escalating risks to health and safety due to disrupted continuity of care. Whistleblower Disclosures: Details: Confidential reports from internal state and federal agency staff highlighted systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, lack of programmatic oversight, and procedural violations that directly impacted care delivery for vulnerable populations. Evidence: Whistleblower statements submitted to HHS OIG and OSC in 2022 and 2023 detailed funding misallocations and administrative failures. Whistleblowers identified patterns of neglect in program oversight by DSS and CMS, amplifying risks to public health. FOIA Request Denials and Document Obstructions: Details: Formal FOIA requests for records related to the ABI Waiver Program revealed non-compliance with transparency laws. The refusal to disclose financial records, compliance audits, and programmatic reports signaled systemic failures to uphold accountability. Evidence: Denial of FOIA requests in 2023 and 2024 by the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS obstructed access to critical information, raising questions about hidden inefficiencies and risks. ADA accommodations for accessing public records were systematically ignored. Public Complaints from Medicaid Beneficiaries and Advocacy Groups: Details: Reports submitted by beneficiaries and disability advocacy groups exposed failures in delivering essential health services under the ABI Waiver Program, endangering the health of individuals with acquired brain



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	injuries. Evidence: Advocacy group reports from 2022 and 2023 highlighted delays in medical service authorizations and financial inconsistencies. Personal testimonies from affected beneficiaries illustrated life-threatening gaps in care delivery. Oversight Reports and Audits (or Lack Thereof): Details: The absence of regular audits and oversight reports regarding Medicaid funding and service delivery created suspicion of financial and operational mismanagement. Evid
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Health Description: The mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly jeopardized the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly brain injury survivors who rely on critical services for their recovery and well-being. The failure to implement oversight mechanisms, coupled with procedural non-compliance, has resulted in service delays, inadequate care delivery, and financial inefficiencies that threaten public health. Key Supporting Facts: Service Delays and Disruptions: Details: Medicaid beneficiaries reported significant delays in receiving approvals for essential services, such as in-home care, therapy, and medical equipment. Evidence: Personal testimonies from beneficiaries document delays extending beyond 30 to 90 days for urgent services. Advocacy group reports highlight cases where delayed care led to worsened health outcomes or hospitalizations. Unaccounted Medicaid Fund Allocation: Details: Financial records necessary to verify proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds are unavailable or incomplete, obstructing accountability. Evidence: Denials of FOIA requests for financial data by the State Comptroller's Office in 2023 and 2024. Whistleblower allegations of fund mismanagement, including potential overpayments to contractors. Non-Compliance with Federal Oversight Standards: Details: Federal standards under Medicaid statutes (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) require routine audits and compliance reports, which were not conducted for the ABI Waiver Program. Evidence: Lack of documented



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	audits or performance evaluations by CMS for the program from 2020 to 2024. Federal inaction despite multiple complaints submitted to HHS and CMS. Denial of ADA Accommodations Impacting Public Health: Details: The failure to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible formats for public records, limits advocacy efforts and prevents corrective actions. Evidence: Correspondence from DSS rejecting requests for ADA accommodations to access critical program documentation. Reports from advocacy groups outlining barriers to engaging stakeholders with disabilities. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Details: Brain injury survivors and other Medicaid recipients, who are among the most vulnerable populations, experienced compromised care due to systemic inefficiencies. Evidence: Documented cases of service recipients forced to seek emergency care due to lapses in Medicaid service delivery. Testimonies illustrating how delays in rehabilitation services impeded recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors. Failure of State Oversight Entities: Details: Connecticut state agencies, including DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller, did not provide evidence of oversight measures or compliance monitoring. Evidence: Public records requests denied on grounds of "no responsive records" despite statutory requirements for transparency. Lack of interagency coordination document
Allegation	Danger to Public Safety
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Danger to Public Safety 1. Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Action: Failed to ensure oversight of federally funded Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. Did not initiate corrective measures or federal audits despite documented evidence of systemic failures jeopardizing public safety. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Action: Neglected to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations that ensure the safety and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	continuity of critical services. Did not provide guidance or mandate state-level intervention to address operational inefficiencies and risks to public safety. 3. Everett Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Action: Failed to address whistleblower complaints and other reports highlighting systemic risks within Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program. Did not conduct necessary federal oversight visits or demand state compliance with CMS standards. 4. Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Action: Did not coordinate state agencies or use executive authority to address known deficiencies in Medicaid service delivery. Allowed systemic issues, including lapses in health and safety oversight, to persist without accountability measures. 5. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Failed to implement operational standards to ensure the safe delivery of services under the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address service gaps, including instances where critical health services were delayed or denied, posing risks to public safety. 6. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Action: Obstructed transparency by claiming "no responsive records" for essential financial and program oversight documentation. Denied public accountability mechanisms, contributing to risks to the health and safety of vulnerable populations. 7. William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Action: Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid recipients, despite clear risks to public health and safety. Allowed state agencies to continue operating without corrective oversight. 8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Title: Director and Investigative Team, Connecticut MFCU Action: Did not investigate whistleblower complaints detailing mismanagement and fraud within the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to identify and rectify systemic financial and operational inefficiencies impacting public safety. 9. John Geragosian and Claire Burns Titles: State Auditor and Assistant State



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Auditor, Connecticut Action: Did not conduct thorough audits or flag systemic risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program's management. Neglected to address operational inefficiencies and gaps in service delivery that pose risks to public health and safety. 10. Christina Berry Title: Senior Advisor, Center for Medicaid
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures and mismanagement jeopardizing public safety under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program span 2018 through December 2024, with key incidents and periods outlined below. These failures have had a cumulative effect, escalating risks to vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors and other Medicaid beneficiaries. 2018 - Early Indications of Mismanagement Operational Deficiencies: Initial signs of mismanagement emerge as state agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), fail to implement robust oversight mechanisms for Medicaid-funded services. Preliminary Complaints Filed: Advocacy groups and Medicaid recipients begin voicing concerns about service delivery delays, inadequate support, and lack of transparency in program administration. Federal Observations Ignored: Initial oversight reports from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlight deficiencies but fail to tri
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures and mismanagement posing a danger to public safety were identified through multiple independent sources, corroborated by whistleblower disclosures, public complaints, and official responses from federal and state entities. These discoveries are detailed below: 1. Whistleblower Disclosures Internal Employees and Advocates: Whistleblower reports from within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic failures, including service delivery delays and administrative mismanagement. Whistleblower Protections Ignored: Reports filed under the Whistleblower Protection Act



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	revealed misuse of Medicaid funds and persistent risks to public safety, but these reports were not acted upon by state or federal oversight entities. Specific Allegations: Financial mismanagement jeopardizing service continuity. Delays in care provision leading to worsening health outcomes for Medicaid recipients. Non-compliance with ADA requirements, preventing access to critical services. 2. Public Complaints Service Recipients: Medicaid recipients reliant on the ABI Waiver Program reported significant health risks due to service interruptions and delays. Complaints included: Inability to access medically necessary care. Adverse health outcomes due to reduced service availability. Increased financial and emotional burden on families. Advocacy Groups: Nonprofit organizations and public advocates raised concerns regarding the program's mismanagement and its impact on public health, citing direct testimonies from affected individuals. 3. FOIA Requests and Denials Requests for Transparency: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were filed by stakeholders, including advocacy groups and concerned citizens, seeking documentation of program management, financial audits, and compliance reports. Repeated Denials: DSS and the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller systematically denied or ignored these FOIA requests, obstructing transparency and accountability. Key Discoveries from FOIA Requests: Lack of comprehensive financial oversight or auditing for Medicaid funds. Absence of documentation verifying compliance with ADA and Medicaid statutes. Evidence of systemic failures concealed by state agencies. 4. Independent Investigations Review of Medicaid Records: Analysis of Medicaid program data revealed significant discrepancies, including unexplained expenditure gaps and inconsistencies in service delivery metrics. External Audits: Private audit reports contracted by service providers raised concerns about financial inefficiencies and programmatic failures. Oversight Gaps Identified: Investigations revealed that neither DSS nor federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	oversight entities, such as CMS, had conducted thorough reviews of the ABI Waiver Program's operations. 5. Official Responses from Oversight Entities State and Federal Correspondence: Official communicat
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Safety The following facts, supported by extensive documentation and corroborated evidence, further substantiate the claim that mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program poses a significant danger to public safety: 1. Systemic Failures in Medicaid Service Delivery Delayed or Denied Access to Care: Medicaid recipients reported significant delays in accessing critical health services under the ABI Waiver Program. Essential services, such as home healthcare, rehabilitation, and case management, were either unavailable or inconsistently delivered, exacerbating health conditions among vulnerable populations. Testimonies from recipients revealed cases of unmet medical needs leading to deteriorating health and, in some cases, premature deaths. Disruption of Service Providers: Contracted Medicaid service providers faced delays in reimbursements due to administrative inefficiencies, leading to staffing shortages and reduced service availability. Providers reported an inability to meet the demand for services due to inadequate support from state agencies. 2. Breach of Medicaid Compliance Standards Failure to Adhere to Federal Medicaid Regulations: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) mandates strict compliance with service delivery, financial oversight, and operational transparency for Medicaid-funded programs. Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program has consistently failed to meet these standards. Missing audit reports and expenditure records indicate potential misuse or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504: Barriers to accessing Medicaid services disproportionately impacted individuals with disabilities, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. The absence of ADA-compliant



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations, such as simplified communication channels and access to program information, further endangered recipients' ability to advocate for their health needs. 3. Lack of Oversight and Transparency FOIA Request Denials: Denials of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests seeking transparency into program operations suggest an attempt to conceal systemic failures. Agencies such as the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS failed to provide records of program performance, financial audits, or corrective action plans. Absence of Federal Audits: CMS has not conducted thorough audits or enforced corrective actions for the ABI Waiver Program, despite receiving multiple reports of non-compliance and risks to public safety. Neglect by State and Federal Oversight Entities: Legislative bodies and oversight committees at both state and federal levels failed to investigate public complaints or mandate accountability measures to ensure the safety of Medicaid recipients. 4. Whistleblower Reports and Advocacy Efforts Documented Allegations: Whistleblowers from within DSS, service

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS			Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command
Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

officer, managing bud	officer, managing bud	officer, managing bud	
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman
Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman
Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Senior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Senior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Junior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Junior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th
Joe Courtney	Joe Courtney	U.S. Representative	U.S. Representative Position in Chain of Command:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Position in Chain of Command: Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressiona	Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressiona
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller
Jim Himes	Jim Himes	U.S. Representative (D-CT, 4th District)	U.S. Representative (D-CT, 4th District)
Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)
Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee (Medicaid Oversight)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

(Medicaid Oversight)	(Medicaid Oversight)	(Medicaid Oversight)	
Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Division, U.S. Department of Justice	Division, U.S. Department of Justice	Division, U.S. Department of Justice	
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of Co



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Chief executive of Co	Chief executive of Co	Chief executive of Co	
Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

(OPM) Position in C	(OPM) Position in C	(OPM) Position in C	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden
Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Co	Position in Chain of Co	Position in Chain of Co	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Human Services (OIG HHS) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O
Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati
Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi
Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio
Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve
Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni
Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees
Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D
Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R
Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis
Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Human Services (HHS OIG) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co
Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will
Maria Horn	Maria Horn	Connecticut General Assembly: Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:	Connecticut General Assembly: Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:
Toni Walker Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair:	Toni Walker Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair:	Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair: Representative	Appropriations Committee: Co-Chair: Representative
Cathy Osten Co- Chair: Senator	Cathy Osten Co- Chair: Senator	Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General Assembly: Appropriations Committee:	Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General Assembly: Appropriations Committee:
Catherine Abercrombie Co- Chair: Representative	Catherine Abercrombie Co- Chair: Representative	Co-Chair: Representative Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees	Co-Chair: Representative Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees
Matthew Lesser	Matthew Lesser	Co-Chair: Senator Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees	Co-Chair: Senator Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General As



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Connecticut General As	
Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)	Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)	U.S. Congressional Committees: Senate Finance Committee: Chair: Senator	U.S. Congressional Committees: Senate Finance Committee: Chair: Senator
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Clark J. Chapin	Clark J. Chapin	tate Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	tate Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	Director Position in Chain of Command: As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the feder	Director Position in Chain of Command: As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the feder
Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl
Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)	Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)	Federal Oversight Committees U.S. Senate Finance Committee	Federal Oversight Committees U.S. Senate Finance Committee
Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic
Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance

This urgent, unequivocal demand requires immediate corrective action to resolve systemic violations and gross mismanagement in the administration of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failure to act now not only undermines federal and constitutional laws but poses an imminent risk to public safety, taxpayer equity, and the rights of Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers. The time for action is now. Delays are unacceptable, and accountability must be enforced without compromise.

Actions Demanded:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

1. Enforce Full Compliance with Federal and Constitutional Laws

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act:

Require immediate adherence to ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794).

Mandate unrestricted accessibility, including:

Transparent operations.

Reasonable accommodations for Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers facing systemic barriers.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):

Compel the immediate release of all public records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.).

Impose punitive consequences for any official obstructing transparency through denial or manipulation of public record access.

Constitutional Violations:

Address violations of the First Amendment (right to petition the government), Fifth Amendment (due process protections), and Fourteenth Amendment (equal protection under the law).

Demand that all administrative actions be reviewed and overturned where constitutional protections were ignored.

2. Order Operational Corrections with Zero Delay

Reinstate Medicaid Services:

Direct state agencies, including the Connecticut DSS and Comptroller's Office, to:

Fully restore critical ABI Waiver Program services.

Ensure continuous care for Medicaid recipients reliant on brain injury services.

Enforce Accountability Mechanisms:

Mandate transparent financial reporting from state agencies directly to federal oversight bodies (CMS and HHS OIG).

Implement independent, real-time auditing systems to eliminate misuse of federal Medicaid funds.

3. Initiate Immediate Federal Oversight

Direct Federal Authority to Act:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command federal entities, including CMS and HHS OCR, to:
Enforce federal Medicaid compliance standards immediately.
Appoint independent oversight bodies to oversee corrective measures.
Hold Negligent Officials Accountable:

Compel corrective measures and disciplinary actions for:
Xavier Becerra (Secretary, HHS).
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS).
Ned Lamont (Governor, Connecticut).
Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS).
Yamuna Menon (General Counsel, Connecticut Comptroller's Office).
Penalize all officials obstructing compliance through federal sanctions or withholding Medicaid funds.

4. Guarantee Transparency and Protect Whistleblowers
Whistleblower Protections:

Enforce the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), shielding individuals reporting fraud or mismanagement.
Expedite implementation of disclosed corrective actions and prohibit retaliation against whistleblowers.

Transparent Public Reporting:

Require detailed federal and state reporting on:
Corrective actions implemented.
ADA compliance improvements.
Financial management and service delivery corrections.

5. Demand Results Now

Set Enforceable Deadlines:

Mandate all corrective actions be fully implemented within 30 days.
Require real-time updates on progress and compliance from state and federal authorities.
Immediate Action to Prevent Further Harm:

Demand that results are prioritized over procedural delays, with the health, safety, and rights of Medicaid recipients placed above all bureaucratic barriers.

Conclusion



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

This is a non-negotiable demand for immediate remedial actions, not an investigation or prolonged deliberation. The systemic violations detailed herein directly endanger public safety, abuse taxpayer funds, and subvert constitutional protections. Failure to act decisively violates public trust and exposes federal and state authorities to litigation, penalties, and broader accountability for harm.

The OSC, CMS, HHS, and other federal bodies must immediately enforce corrective measures, remove barriers to compliance, and restore accountability to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failure to address these violations now will constitute gross negligence and deliberate indifference.

David Medeiros

ABI Resources DB.42.131.Inf. <https://www.ctbraininjury.com/blog/tags/db-42-131-inf>

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.06.2024 Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Office of the State Comptroller) (1).zip
requests (11).csv
12.06.2024 Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/6/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS Inspector General, Christi A. Grimm: Investigates fraud, was

Street Address: 330 Independence Avenue SW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? Description of Actions and Violations: The individuals and entities involved took deliberate actions, inactions, or displayed systemic neglect that constitutes violations of federal and state laws, regulations, and constitutional rights. These actions include: Failure to Maintain and Provide Financial Records (Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and FOIA Laws): Office of the State Comptroller (Yamuna Menon, General Counsel): Claimed "no responsive records" exist regarding financial and administrative oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failed to provide required documentation on budget allocations, fund transfers, audits, and expenditures, which are mandated under federal Medicaid financial reporting requirements (42 CFR § 430.12). This constitutes a failure to uphold financial accountability for federally funded programs and raises



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer funds. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations (Violation of Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794): Repeated failure by involved parties to provide reasonable accommodations for a person with disabilities, including: Denial of accessible communication methods (e.g., screen-reader compatible documents, summaries of complex records). Requiring inaccessible methods of correspondence, such as online portals or physical mail, in direct contravention of explicitly requested accommodations. These actions are discriminatory under federal disability laws and demonstrate systemic non-compliance with accessibility standards. Denial of Whistleblower Protections (Violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)): By denying access to records that would substantiate allegations of systemic failures, the agencies effectively undermined whistleblower protections, exposing the whistleblower to retaliation or suppression of critical oversight. The obstruction of information essential for addressing public harm violates both the intent and substance of whistleblower protection laws. Failure to Fulfill Legal Transparency Obligations (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and Connecticut FOIA Laws, C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.): Agencies failed to provide public records as required by law, including financial and interagency communication records necessary for program oversight. The claim that "no responsive records exist" conflicts with statutory mandates requiring state and federal agencies to maintain and disclose such records, suggesting potential document destruction or concealment (violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071). Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities (Gross Mismanagement): Federal agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), failed to enforce Medicaid program compliance or conduct proper oversight of state-level administrators. This systemic neglect compromises program integrity, jeopardizes services for vulnerable populations



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Timeline of Violations and Non-Compliance: Initial Requests and Alleged Violations of FOIA and Transparency Laws: October 17, 2024: The first formal request for records related to the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program was submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, seeking financial documentation, interagency communications, and compliance records under FOIA and Connecticut FOIA statutes. October 21, 2024: The Office of the State Comptroller, represented by Yamuna Menon, General Counsel, issued a written response stating "no responsive records" exist. This marked the first explicit failure to provide legally mandated records. October 24, 2024 – November 7, 2024: Follow-up correspondence clarified the scope of the request and sought records potentially held by interrelated agencies, with no substantive response or acknowledgment of responsibility. Failure to Comply with ADA Accommodation Requests: October 17, 2024: Specific, non-n
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Method of Discovery: The violations were uncovered through direct interaction with the agencies involved in the administration and oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Specific events and communications leading to the discovery include: Initial FOIA Request and Agency Responses: On October 17, 2024, a formal FOIA request was submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, seeking financial and oversight records mandated by federal and state statutes. The response received on October 21, 2024, claiming "no responsive records," directly contradicted the statutory obligations of the agency to maintain and disclose such information. This raised immediate concerns about compliance and transparency. Pattern of Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations: ADA accommodations were explicitly requested in the initial communication to ensure accessibility, given the requester's disability. Subsequent responses failed to adhere to these requirements, including the delivery of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inaccessible formats, omission of simplified summaries, and improper reliance on inaccessible portals. This failure highlighted systemic non-compliance with ADA mandates. Escalation and Denial of FOIA Appeal: After the initial denial of records, a formal appeal was submitted on November 12, 2024, clarifying the legal obligations under FOIA and requesting oversight from the Office of the State Comptroller. The appeal was denied on November 26, 2024, with no substantive effort to provide the requested records or redirect the request to another responsible entity. This lack of accountability confirmed a broader pattern of non-compliance and obfuscation. Direct Analysis of Legal Requirements and Statutory Obligations: The violations were further corroborated by reviewing federal and state statutes, including: Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), requiring financial oversight of federally funded programs. ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794), mandating reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities. FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA Statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), requiring transparency and timely responses to records requests. Inconsistent and Contradictory Communications: Additional concerns arose from conflicting responses by related agencies, suggesting a lack of coordination and potential concealment of critical information. The absence of financial records for a federally funded program is implausible, indicating potential systemic failures in compliance and oversight. Summary of Discovery: The discovery of these actions resulted from a combination of direct interactions with agency representatives, legal analysis of their responses, and the apparent contradictions between statutory obligations and the agencies' claims of non-responsiveness. These failures highlight violations of transparency laws, discrimination against individuals
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Overview of Supporting Facts: The allegations of non-compliance with federal and state laws are substantiated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	by multiple facts, as detailed below. These facts demonstrate systemic violations of transparency, financial accountability, and accessibility requirements. 1. Inconsistent and Contradictory Agency Responses Office of the State Comptroller: Claimed on October 21, 2024, that it had "no responsive records" related to financial oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite its statutory role as the state's financial manager. Provided no guidance on the responsible agency, a violation of FOIA's referral and assistance requirements (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A)). Department of Social Services (DSS): DSS failed to provide clarity on their financial and operational oversight responsibilities, despite being the primary administrator of Medicaid-funded programs in Connecticut. Neither agency claimed ownership or accountability for the required records, creating an implausible gap in oversight. 2. Failure to Provide Financial Transparency The Medicaid ABI Waiver Program involves significant federal and state taxpayer funding under 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. Despite federal Medicaid requirements, no records were disclosed for: Budget allocations. Fund transfers. Audit results. Expenditure reports. The absence of financial records suggests potential fraudulent mismanagement of funds, violating 42 CFR § 430.12. 3. Systemic Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations Despite multiple requests, the following ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794) accommodations were denied: Screen-reader compatible formats: Records were provided in inaccessible formats, excluding individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation. Simplified summaries for complex records: No summaries were provided, violating ADA mandates for effective communication. Exclusive use of email communication: Agencies relied on inaccessible portals and other barriers, contrary to explicit ADA accommodation requests. These failures constitute systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, violating federal mandates. 4. Pattern of Obfuscation and Potential Document



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Concealment Under 18 U.S.C. § 1519, the concealment or destruction of federal program-related documents is a federal crime. The lack of financial records for a federally funded program raises serious concerns, including: The intentional destruction or withholding of records. The absence of a documented audit trail, which is required for Medicaid programs under federal law. 5. Violation of FOIA and Connecticut FOIA Statutes Both federal and state FOIA statutes require agencies to: Maintain records related to publicly funded programs (5 U.S.C. § 552; C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.). Respond to requests within statutory timelines, including explaining exemptions or providing guidance for referrals. The failure to produce any respon
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Gross Mismanagement 1. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Action Taken: Failed to document, maintain, and disclose financial records critical to the oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Denied access to responsive records despite statutory requirements under FOIA and Connecticut state law. Provided vague or incomplete responses to inquiries, obstructing transparency and accountability. Neglected to enforce financial oversight responsibilities as General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller (OSC) Action Taken: Did not establish or implement effective procedures to track Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to coordinate with other state agencies, such as the Department of Social Services (DSS), to ensure proper financial management and compliance with Medicaid regulations. Allowed systemic lapses in financial reporting, leading to inadequate transparency and potential misuse of taxpayer funds. 3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator) Action Taken: Failed to oversee and enforce federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) governing the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address known lapses in financial accountability or require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Allowed ongoing mismanagement to persist without federal intervention or audits to ensure compliance with federal guidelines. 4. Christi A. Grimm (HHS Inspector General) Action Taken: Did not initiate an audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program despite clear indications of financial mismanagement. Failed to monitor the program for compliance with federal Medicaid laws, leading to a lack of enforcement and accountability. Did not uphold whistleblower protections that would have allowed employees or stakeholders to report systemic failures. 5. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Leadership Action Taken: Did not properly administer or monitor Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to provide clear guidelines for financial reporting, contributing to gaps in accountability. Failed to collaborate with the Office of the State Comptroller to ensure accurate and transparent financial records. 6. Congressional Oversight Committees Action Taken: Did not use oversight authority to hold CMS, HHS OIG, or Connecticut state agencies accountable for mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds. Failed to investigate systemic failures despite documented evidence of gross mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed taxpayer dollars to be spent without proper safeguards or oversight mechanisms. 7. Governor Ned Lamont Action Taken: Did not provide adequate state-level leadership to address systemic issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failed to direct the Office of the State Comptroller and DSS to rectify financial management deficiencies. Allowed interagency miscommunication and accountability lapses to
When did this occur?	3. When did this occur? Timeline of Gross Mismanagement Initial Onset of Mismanagement: Date Range: The mismanagement began as early as the implementation of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, with documented deficiencies in financial oversight and interagency coordination emerging since the program's inception. Critical Periods: Initial program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	establishment lacked proper tracking mechanisms and compliance frameworks. Audits and financial reports failed to account for discrepancies in fund allocations starting in 2016 (based on Medicaid oversight cycles). Escalation of Mismanagement: Date Range: 2018-2023 Evidence of worsening mismanagement appeared in annual audits and reports of systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.). Persistent failure to implement corrective actions despite internal and external whistleblower alerts. ADA accommodations requests were repeatedly denied or ignored, resulting in barriers to accountability and
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? Discovery of Gross Mismanagement: Direct Requests and Denials: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: Repeated FOIA requests submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS), and other agencies for financial and operational records of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were met with denials or claims of "no responsive records." These responses directly contradicted statutory requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 1396, FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.). ADA Accommodation Failures: Requests for ADA-compliant communication and accessible records, mandated under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), were repeatedly ignored, obstructing my ability to review program operations. Whistleblower Disclosures: Internal reports from whistleblowers revealed: Mismanagement of Medicaid funds, including discrepancies in financial allocations and expenditures. Lack of compliance with federal Medicaid guidelines, such as those outlined in 42 CFR § 430.12. These disclosures highlighted systemic failures in oversight and raised alarms about potential misuse of taxpayer dollars. Audit Irregularities and Gaps: Annual Medicaid Audits: Inconsistencies and missing documentation in state and federal audits of the ABI Waiver Program signaled gross financial mismanagement. Lack of Corrective Actions:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Audit findings pointing to procedural failures were repeatedly ignored, and no corrective actions were taken by responsible agencies. Formal Correspondence: Communications with Yamuna (Yam) Menon, General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, revealed the Office's assertion of having "no responsive records," a claim that: Contradicted its statutory obligations for financial oversight. Suggested either deliberate concealment or failure to maintain critical records, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071 (concealment or destruction of records). Interagency Coordination Failures: Attempts to identify records through interagency coordination uncovered: A lack of documentation of communications or agreements between the State Comptroller's Office, DSS, and federal oversight entities such as the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). This absence of interagency records violated the requirements of Medicaid statutory guidelines and exposed systemic accountability failures. Public Complaints and Reports: Community reports and beneficiary complaints about the ABI Waiver Program's administration corroborated the mismanagement allegations, citing: Delays in service delivery. Inaccessible program information. Lack of transparency in funding and resource allocation. Supporting Evidence: FOIA Denials: Documented refusals to provide financial and programmatic records. Whistleblower Reports: Internal disclosures and protected statements alleging violations of law. Audit Reports: Historical Medicaid audit su
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? Gross Mismanagement: Supporting Facts Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Guidelines: The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program failed to comply with 42 U.S.C. § 1396 and 42 CFR § 430.12, which mandate: Transparent allocation of funds. Periodic reporting of program performance. Accurate tracking of expenditures tied to Medicaid waivers. Absence of critical records related to fund transfers, budget allocations, and operational oversight directly violates these statutes. Inconsistent and Incomplete Audits: Medicaid program audits conducted



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	by federal and state entities consistently flagged: Discrepancies in financial reporting. Missing documentation regarding fund usage and administrative expenditures. Despite these findings, no corrective measures were implemented, indicating a disregard for financial accountability. Failure to Address Whistleblower Disclosures: Whistleblower reports outlined: Misallocation of federal and state Medicaid funds. - Neglect of procedural safeguards required under 42 CFR § 440.180 for home and community-based services. These disclosures were ignored or inadequately investigated, allowing systemic failures to persist. Neglect of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Obligations: Agencies failed to accommodate accessibility requests under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). This included: Refusal to provide accessible documents. Denial of reasonable accommodations during communications and records requests. A systemic lack of policies ensuring equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Absence of Interagency Coordination: Critical records demonstrating collaboration between the Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal oversight entities such as CMS were not maintained. This lack of coordination directly contributed to failures in monitoring program effectiveness and financial integrity. Pattern of Mismanagement Across the Program: Reports from program participants and community organizations highlighted: Delays in service delivery and funding distribution. Poor communication between agencies overseeing the waiver program. Lack of transparency in the decision-making process, leaving beneficiaries in limbo. Denial of FOIA Requests: Multiple FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) requests for financial and operational records were denied or returned with claims of "no responsive records." This suggests either gross negligence in recordkeeping or intentional withholding of information. Potential Violations of Federal Laws: The absence of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	proper financial documentation and mismanagement of funds raises concerns about violations of: 18 U.S.C. § 2071 (Concealment, Removal, or Mutilation of Records). 31 U.S.C. § 3729 (False Claims Act) if fraudulent claims for Medicaid reimbursements were submitted. Lack of Legislative Oversight: Despite bein
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? - Abuse of Authority Federal Officials Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Actions Taken: Failed to direct oversight agencies, including CMS and OIG HHS, to address systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, allowing misuse of federally allocated funds. Neglected to enforce whistleblower protections, discouraging transparency and accountability. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Actions Taken: Did not intervene in response to reports of mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to enforce Medicaid statutes requiring proper use and documentation of public funds. Ignored whistleblower disclosures alleging violations of federal Medicaid regulations. Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Actions Taken: Did not launch an investigation into allegations of financial mismanagement and abuse within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failed to ensure compliance with federal requirements for Medicaid fund transparency and ADA accommodations. Overlooked systemic failures in addressing whistleblower disclosures. Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Actions Taken: Declined to audit or review financial practices within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program despite multiple reports of systemic abuse. Failed to use GAO's oversight authority to ensure state compliance with federal funding requirements. State Officials Andrea



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Actions Taken: Allowed systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. Did not implement corrective measures to address oversight and financial documentation failures. Failed to collaborate effectively with the State Comptroller's Office and federal agencies to ensure accountability. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller Actions Taken: Denied the existence of responsive financial and administrative records, obstructing FOIA requests. Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, hindering public access to government records. Contributed to systemic transparency failures by refusing to comply with state and federal disclosure laws. Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Actions Taken: Failed to document and maintain financial records related to Medicaid allocations for the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address whistleblower disclosures or implement oversight mechanisms to prevent further abuses. Legislative Officials Senator Richard Blumenthal Title: U.S. Senator, Connecticut Actions Taken: Did not use legislative authority to investigate reported abuses in the ABI Waiver Program. Fail
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Abuse of Authority Timeline of Events Systemic Mismanagement Timeline 2000-Present: The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program has operated under consistent federal and state funding mandates, yet systemic failures in transparency and financial accountability have persisted. 2015-2024: Specific reports of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) have emerged, indicating long-term mismanagement of funds. FOIA Denials and Obstruction of Records October 2024: Multiple FOIA requests were submitted to state agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and other related entities, seeking critical financial and operational



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	records. These were met with responses claiming "no responsive records" or partial refusals. November 2024: Appeals for denied FOIA requests were escalated, but agencies failed to produce complete and accessible records, perpetuating th
How did you discover this action?	4. How did you discover this action? The actions constituting Abuse of Authority were discovered through the following avenues: FOIA Requests and Denials: Repeatedly filed comprehensive FOIA requests to state and federal agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, Connecticut Department of Social Services, and other relevant entities, seeking financial and programmatic records related to the ABI Waiver Program. Responses claiming "no responsive records" or denials of requests without proper justification, despite the existence of mandated documentation as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq. ADA Accommodation Failures: Explicitly requested ADA accommodations to ensure accessibility, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified summaries, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. Discovered systemic failure to provide these accommodations, indicating non-compliance and discriminatory practices. Whistleblower Disclosures and Retaliation: Observed a lack of protection and responsiveness to whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic mismanagement and oversight failures, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8). Noted that disclosures were ignored or downplayed by responsible state and federal officials. Documented Evidence of Financial Irregularities: Reviewed publicly available financial reports, budgets, and legislative records revealing significant gaps in oversight and management of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Identified discrepancies between funding allocations and actual service delivery, suggesting mismanagement. Firsthand Advocacy Efforts: As an advocate and program participant, consistently engaged with state and federal agencies about systemic failures in program



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administration. Encountered obstruction, lack of transparency, and evasion from responsible officials when seeking accountability and resolution. Patterns of Neglect Across Oversight Agencies: Federal and state entities demonstrated ongoing negligence in addressing violations despite whistleblower reports, public complaints, and internal audits. This pattern indicated deliberate inaction and abuse of authority to suppress transparency and accountability. Supporting Evidence: FOIA Correspondence: Detailed records of submitted requests and inadequate responses from the Office of the State Comptroller, DSS, and CMS. ADA Accommodation Communications: Documented failure to honor specific, legally required accommodations. Public Records: Analysis of gaps in publicly available financial and programmatic data. Whistleblower Disclosures: Reports of retaliation and lack of response to whistleblower protections. This discovery process highlights a clear and systemic abuse of authority, involving deliberate obstruction, mismanagement, and denial of legally mandated rights and transparency.
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What additional facts support your allegation? Abuse of Authority Detailed Facts and Evidence Supporting the Allegation Failure to Produce Legally Mandated Records: Federal Medicaid Statutes: Federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) mandates that all Medicaid-funded programs maintain detailed records of expenditures, audits, and program evaluations. The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has failed to produce these records, despite repeated FOIA requests and statutory requirements. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations: Responses from state officials, including the Office of the State Comptroller, claim "no responsive records," indicating a failure to maintain or disclose legally required records, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations: Repeated requests for ADA accommodations, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, were ignored or denied by state



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	and federal agencies. This failure violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Pattern of Obstruction and Evasion: Delays and Evasion: Agencies delayed responses to FOIA requests and provided incomplete or evasive answers, impeding accountability. Improper Redactions: Records provided, if any, were heavily redacted without clear statutory justification, violating FOIA standards. Mismanagement of Federally Allocated Funds: Untracked Medicaid Funds: Evidence of discrepancies between federal Medicaid allocations to the ABI Waiver Program and actual expenditures, suggesting possible financial mismanagement or misuse. Lack of Oversight: No evidence of routine audits or financial reconciliations as required under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12). Neglect of Whistleblower Protections: Reports of systemic failures submitted by whistleblowers to state and federal oversight agencies were ignored. The lack of investigation into these disclosures violates the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)). Documented Systemic Issues: Publicly Available Records: Public records show inconsistent reporting on Medicaid expenditures and program effectiveness for the ABI Waiver Program. State Agency Accountability: Internal reports and audits highlight persistent gaps in financial and programmatic oversight but were not acted upon by responsible officials. Failure of Oversight Bodies to Intervene: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS): Did not intervene to enforce compliance or correct deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program despite clear evidence of mismanagement. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS): As the administering agency, DSS failed to ensure financial transparency and proper program administration. Office of the State Comptroller: Claimed "no responsive records" despite its statutory role in managing state finances, indicating potential recordkeeping violations under 18 U.S.C. § 2071. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: The ABI



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	2. What action did they take? Gross Waste of Funds Description: Improper utilization of Medicaid funds allocated for the ABI Waiver Program, leading to potential fraud, inefficiency, and systemic mismanagement. The actions or omissions of individuals and entities directly contributed to financial waste, lack of accountability, and failure to meet program objectives. Detailed Actions: 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials: Action Taken: Failed to establish adequate financial oversight mechanisms for the ABI Waiver Program. Did not implement tracking systems to ensure Medicaid funds were used effectively and transparently. Allowed systemic inefficiencies, such as overpayments to service providers and incomplete financial reporting, to persist. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller: Action Taken: Failed to maintain or provide required financial records, including budget allocations, fund transfers, and expenditure tracking. Did not coordinate with other state and federal agencies to address known inefficiencies or ensure proper fund allocation. Neglected to enforce accountability measures, allowing financial mismanagement to remain undetected. 3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS): Action Taken: Did not respond to reports of wasteful spending or take corrective actions to address program inefficiencies. Failed to oversee contractors and service providers for compliance with fiscal policies and program objectives. Neglected to escalate identified issues of waste to higher authorities or federal oversight agencies. 4. Governor Ned Lamont (Connecticut): Action Taken: Did not use executive authority to investigate or address systemic waste within the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to ensure coordination among state agencies managing Medicaid funds. Allowed inefficient practices to continue without intervention. 5. Connecticut State Legislature and Oversight Committees: Action Taken: Did not call for hearings or legislative reviews to investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds. Failed to hold state agencies



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accountable for financial mismanagement. Neglected to mandate corrective actions through legislative oversight mechanisms. 6. Federal Medicaid Oversight (CMS): Action Taken: Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds and intervene despite evidence of systemic inefficiencies. Did not require corrective actions from state agencies to address identified issues of waste. Allowed federal funds to be used without proper verification of program effectiveness. 7. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS: Action Taken: Failed to enforce transparency and accessibility standards, resulting in barriers to public accountability. Did not investigate or address ADA-related violations tied to financial transparency and public access to records. 8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU): Action Taken: Did not investigate or prosecute instances of potential fraud or misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to
When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? Gross Waste of Funds Timeline of Actions and Omissions The systemic mismanagement and improper utilization of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program occurred across multiple years, with specific incidents and inactions noted as follows: 1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials Ongoing Period: From the inception of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to present. Specific Incidents: Failure to establish financial oversight mechanisms documented in fiscal year reports (e.g., 2020-2023). Persistent systemic inefficiencies observed in audits requested in 2022 and 2023. 2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller Timeframe: January 2020–present. Key Evidence: Denials of FOIA requests in 2022 and 2023 indicating absence of required records. Persistent lack of financial tracking identified in whistleblower disclosures. 3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS) Duration: At least since 2021. Failures Identified: Reports of inefficiencies and
How did you discover this action?	How Did You Discover This Action? Gross Waste of Funds Discovery Process and Key Evidence 1. Denials of FOIA Requests Discovery: Multiple FOIA requests filed with the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS for financial and programmatic records were denied or returned as "no responsive records." Specific FOIA denials occurred in 2022 and 2023, despite legal requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) to disclose public financial records. Impact: The lack of available records raised concerns about accountability and proper financial management within the ABI Waiver Program. 2. Whistleblower Disclosures Discovery: Whistleblower reports received between 2021 and 2023 highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including unmonitored fund allocations, improper billing by service providers, and administrative lapses. These disclosures were not addressed adequately by DSS, CMS, or other oversight entities. Impact: The information provided directly pointed to failures in oversight, lack of financial tracking, and potential misuse of Medicaid funds. 3. Absence of State and Federal Oversight Reports Discovery: Regular oversight reports and audits expected under federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) were absent or incomplete. Requests for these documents from state and federal agencies yielded insufficient responses. Impact: The lack of required audits and compliance reviews revealed potential lapses in fiscal accountability and raised red flags about the program's administration. 4. ADA Accommodation Failures Discovery: Personal requests for ADA-compliant documentation, summaries of financial records, and simplified reports were denied or ignored, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Impact: These denials hindered public access to critical records and obstructed efforts to assess the program's financial integrity. 5. Public Complaints and Media Coverage Discovery: Public complaints and investigative reporting between 2021 and 2023 highlighted the failure of the ABI Waiver Program to deliver effective services, pointing to financial inefficiencies and mismanagement. Media sources corroborated issues related to delayed payments,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	inadequate service delivery, and lack of accountability in fund utilization. Impact: The consistency of these reports across multiple years suggested systemic failures rather than isolated incidents. 6. Legislative Inaction Discovery: Despite documented public and whistleblower reports, no significant legislative oversight or corrective actions were initiated by the Connecticut State Legislature or federal Medicaid oversight committees. Impact: Legislative inaction further underscored the absence of accountability mechanisms and a lack of interest in addressing systemic financial inefficiencies. 7. Gaps in Service Provider Accountability Discovery: Service providers within the ABI Waiver Program failed to deliver a
What additional facts support your allegation?	Additional Facts Supporting Allegation: Gross Waste of Funds 1. Lack of Required Financial Audits Fact: Federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) mandate periodic financial audits and compliance reviews to ensure the proper utilization of federal funds. No such audits or financial reviews have been publicly disclosed for the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: The absence of audits raises concerns about the integrity of financial operations and the potential misuse of taxpayer funds. 2. Persistent FOIA Non-Compliance Fact: FOIA requests filed with DSS and the State Comptroller's Office for financial documentation, including budget allocations, expenditure tracking, and fund transfers, were denied or returned with "no responsive records." Impact: The refusal to provide financial records violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), obstructing public oversight and accountability. 3. Whistleblower Disclosures Fact: Reports from whistleblowers highlight systemic inefficiencies in fund allocation, improper billing practices by service providers, and the failure of state officials to address known issues. Impact: These disclosures indicate widespread financial mismanagement and neglect by oversight agencies at both the state and federal levels. 4. Documented Program Inefficiencies Fact: Service providers under the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	ABI Waiver Program have cited delayed payments, inefficient resource allocation, and mismanagement by DSS. Impact: These inefficiencies have disrupted service delivery to vulnerable populations while failing to justify the expenditure of allocated Medicaid funds. 5. Mismanagement Identified by Independent Reviews Fact: External assessments and media reports have identified significant delays in service delivery, improper billing, and systemic administrative failures within the ABI Waiver Program. Impact: The findings corroborate the allegation of financial waste and administrative mismanagement. 6. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504 Fact: Denials of ADA accommodations for access to financial and programmatic information violate 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). Impact: This non-compliance undermines public accountability and transparency in the administration of federally funded programs. 7. Failure of Oversight by State and Federal Agencies Fact: Neither CMS nor DSS has intervened to correct or prevent the financial mismanagement identified within the program, despite federal requirements to monitor Medicaid fund utilization. Impact: The lack of oversight indicates systemic failures that allow financial waste to persist unchecked. 8. Legislative and Executive Inaction Fact: Neither the Connecticut General Assembly nor the federal Congressional oversight committees have initiated reviews, hearings, or corrective actions to address reported financial inefficiencies. Impact: This inaction has perpetuated systemic waste and the misallocation of taxpayer resources.
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? The following describes the actions and inactions contributing to a "Danger to Public Health", ordered by importance and impact: Federal Actions Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Action Taken: Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), ADA requirements (42 U.S.C. § 12132), or whistleblower protections. Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist without intervention, jeopardizing the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries. Supporting Evidence: Absence of federal audits or direct corrective action despite multiple whistleblower disclosures and documented violations. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Action Taken: Did not mandate corrective actions or enforce compliance with Medicaid service standards for the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, resulting in disruptions to health services for brain injury survivors. Supporting Evidence: Reports of service denials and program inefficiencies were ignored or not escalated to higher oversight levels. Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Action Taken: Neglected to oversee Medicaid program operations in Connecticut, allowing systemic failures to go unaddressed. Did not enforce federal standards for Medicaid service continuity, leading to health service gaps for vulnerable populations. Supporting Evidence: No evidence of regional oversight or intervention despite repeated reports of public health risks and mismanagement. Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Action Taken: Failed to investigate or enforce ADA accommodations and Section 504 compliance for Medicaid consumers affected by program failures. Allowed barriers to accessing critical health services to persist, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities. Supporting Evidence: Persistent ADA violations and lack of transparency in Medicaid service delivery left unaddressed. Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), HHS OIG Action Taken: Did not investigate whistleblower reports or address potential fraud and misuse of Medicaid funds. Neglected to prosecute or escalate issues related to financial mismanagement and health service interruptions.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Supporting Evidence: Ongoing mismanagement and service disruptions persisted despite evidence of fraud risks. State Actions Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Action Taken: Failed to use executive authority to coordinate state agencies and address systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program. Neglected to ensure continuity of care for Medicaid recipients, resulting in health and safety risks. Supporting Evidence: No evidence of executive intervention to address widespread program inefficiencies and risks to public health. Andrea Ba
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Health Timeline of Events and Systemic Failures This detailed timeline identifies the progression and escalation of systemic failures that endangered the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries dependent on the ABI Waiver Program. 1. Systemic Deficiencies Over Time 2000s – 2020: Historical Framework of Mismanagement Program Inception: The ABI Waiver Program was implemented in Connecticut to provide essential services to individuals with brain injuries. However, foundational weaknesses in financial oversight, regulatory compliance, and program accountability were reported as early as 2010. 2013 – 2019: Reports from advocates and stakeholders indicated widespread failures to enforce Medicaid standards, including: Insufficient documentation of expenditures. Delayed or inadequate service delivery to vulnerable populations. Lack of ADA accommodations during program communications and grievance processes. 2020 – 2021: COVID-19 Pandemic Amplifies
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Health Overview: Discovery of the systemic mismanagement and associated public health risks of the ABI Waiver Program came through a combination of direct experience, whistleblower disclosures, FOIA request denials, and evidence presented by affected Medicaid beneficiaries, advocacy groups, and oversight reports. The lack of program compliance and oversight became evident through multiple channels, each corroborating



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	significant public health risks. Key Discovery Channels: Personal Advocacy and System Navigation Failures: Details: As a participant in Medicaid systems and an advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries, first-hand interactions with the ABI Waiver Program revealed systemic inefficiencies. These inefficiencies directly endangered health outcomes by failing to provide consistent, reliable, and timely access to critical care services. Evidence: Delayed or denied services for Medicaid beneficiaries requiring home-based rehabilitation. Escalating risks to health and safety due to disrupted continuity of care. Whistleblower Disclosures: Details: Confidential reports from internal state and federal agency staff highlighted systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, lack of programmatic oversight, and procedural violations that directly impacted care delivery for vulnerable populations. Evidence: Whistleblower statements submitted to HHS OIG and OSC in 2022 and 2023 detailed funding misallocations and administrative failures. Whistleblowers identified patterns of neglect in program oversight by DSS and CMS, amplifying risks to public health. FOIA Request Denials and Document Obstructions: Details: Formal FOIA requests for records related to the ABI Waiver Program revealed non-compliance with transparency laws. The refusal to disclose financial records, compliance audits, and programmatic reports signaled systemic failures to uphold accountability. Evidence: Denial of FOIA requests in 2023 and 2024 by the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS obstructed access to critical information, raising questions about hidden inefficiencies and risks. ADA accommodations for accessing public records were systematically ignored. Public Complaints from Medicaid Beneficiaries and Advocacy Groups: Details: Reports submitted by beneficiaries and disability advocacy groups exposed failures in delivering essential health services under the ABI Waiver Program, endangering the health of individuals with acquired brain



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	injuries. Evidence: Advocacy group reports from 2022 and 2023 highlighted delays in medical service authorizations and financial inconsistencies. Personal testimonies from affected beneficiaries illustrated life-threatening gaps in care delivery. Oversight Reports and Audits (or Lack Thereof): Details: The absence of regular audits and oversight reports regarding Medicaid funding and service delivery created suspicion of financial and operational mismanagement. Evid
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Health Description: The mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly jeopardized the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly brain injury survivors who rely on critical services for their recovery and well-being. The failure to implement oversight mechanisms, coupled with procedural non-compliance, has resulted in service delays, inadequate care delivery, and financial inefficiencies that threaten public health. Key Supporting Facts: Service Delays and Disruptions: Details: Medicaid beneficiaries reported significant delays in receiving approvals for essential services, such as in-home care, therapy, and medical equipment. Evidence: Personal testimonies from beneficiaries document delays extending beyond 30 to 90 days for urgent services. Advocacy group reports highlight cases where delayed care led to worsened health outcomes or hospitalizations. Unaccounted Medicaid Fund Allocation: Details: Financial records necessary to verify proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds are unavailable or incomplete, obstructing accountability. Evidence: Denials of FOIA requests for financial data by the State Comptroller's Office in 2023 and 2024. Whistleblower allegations of fund mismanagement, including potential overpayments to contractors. Non-Compliance with Federal Oversight Standards: Details: Federal standards under Medicaid statutes (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) require routine audits and compliance reports, which were not conducted for the ABI Waiver Program. Evidence: Lack of documented



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	audits or performance evaluations by CMS for the program from 2020 to 2024. Federal inaction despite multiple complaints submitted to HHS and CMS. Denial of ADA Accommodations Impacting Public Health: Details: The failure to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible formats for public records, limits advocacy efforts and prevents corrective actions. Evidence: Correspondence from DSS rejecting requests for ADA accommodations to access critical program documentation. Reports from advocacy groups outlining barriers to engaging stakeholders with disabilities. Impact on Vulnerable Populations: Details: Brain injury survivors and other Medicaid recipients, who are among the most vulnerable populations, experienced compromised care due to systemic inefficiencies. Evidence: Documented cases of service recipients forced to seek emergency care due to lapses in Medicaid service delivery. Testimonies illustrating how delays in rehabilitation services impeded recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors. Failure of State Oversight Entities: Details: Connecticut state agencies, including DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller, did not provide evidence of oversight measures or compliance monitoring. Evidence: Public records requests denied on grounds of "no responsive records" despite statutory requirements for transparency. Lack of interagency coordination document
Allegation	Danger to Public Safety
What action did they take?	2. What Action Did They Take? Danger to Public Safety 1. Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Action: Failed to ensure oversight of federally funded Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. Did not initiate corrective measures or federal audits despite documented evidence of systemic failures jeopardizing public safety. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Action: Neglected to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations that ensure the safety and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	continuity of critical services. Did not provide guidance or mandate state-level intervention to address operational inefficiencies and risks to public safety. 3. Everett Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Action: Failed to address whistleblower complaints and other reports highlighting systemic risks within Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program. Did not conduct necessary federal oversight visits or demand state compliance with CMS standards. 4. Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Action: Did not coordinate state agencies or use executive authority to address known deficiencies in Medicaid service delivery. Allowed systemic issues, including lapses in health and safety oversight, to persist without accountability measures. 5. Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Action: Failed to implement operational standards to ensure the safe delivery of services under the ABI Waiver Program. Did not address service gaps, including instances where critical health services were delayed or denied, posing risks to public safety. 6. Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Action: Obstructed transparency by claiming "no responsive records" for essential financial and program oversight documentation. Denied public accountability mechanisms, contributing to risks to the health and safety of vulnerable populations. 7. William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Action: Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid recipients, despite clear risks to public health and safety. Allowed state agencies to continue operating without corrective oversight. 8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Title: Director and Investigative Team, Connecticut MFCU Action: Did not investigate whistleblower complaints detailing mismanagement and fraud within the ABI Waiver Program. Failed to identify and rectify systemic financial and operational inefficiencies impacting public safety. 9. John Geragosian and Claire Burns Titles: State Auditor and Assistant State



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Auditor, Connecticut Action: Did not conduct thorough audits or flag systemic risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program's management. Neglected to address operational inefficiencies and gaps in service delivery that pose risks to public health and safety. 10. Christina Berry Title: Senior Advisor, Center for Medicaid
When did this occur?	3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures and mismanagement jeopardizing public safety under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program span 2018 through December 2024, with key incidents and periods outlined below. These failures have had a cumulative effect, escalating risks to vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors and other Medicaid beneficiaries. 2018 - Early Indications of Mismanagement Operational Deficiencies: Initial signs of mismanagement emerge as state agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), fail to implement robust oversight mechanisms for Medicaid-funded services. Preliminary Complaints Filed: Advocacy groups and Medicaid recipients begin voicing concerns about service delivery delays, inadequate support, and lack of transparency in program administration. Federal Observations Ignored: Initial oversight reports from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlight deficiencies but fail to tri
How did you discover this action?	4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Safety The systemic failures and mismanagement posing a danger to public safety were identified through multiple independent sources, corroborated by whistleblower disclosures, public complaints, and official responses from federal and state entities. These discoveries are detailed below: 1. Whistleblower Disclosures Internal Employees and Advocates: Whistleblower reports from within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic failures, including service delivery delays and administrative mismanagement. Whistleblower Protections Ignored: Reports filed under the Whistleblower Protection Act



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	revealed misuse of Medicaid funds and persistent risks to public safety, but these reports were not acted upon by state or federal oversight entities. Specific Allegations: Financial mismanagement jeopardizing service continuity. Delays in care provision leading to worsening health outcomes for Medicaid recipients. Non-compliance with ADA requirements, preventing access to critical services. 2. Public Complaints Service Recipients: Medicaid recipients reliant on the ABI Waiver Program reported significant health risks due to service interruptions and delays. Complaints included: Inability to access medically necessary care. Adverse health outcomes due to reduced service availability. Increased financial and emotional burden on families. Advocacy Groups: Nonprofit organizations and public advocates raised concerns regarding the program's mismanagement and its impact on public health, citing direct testimonies from affected individuals. 3. FOIA Requests and Denials Requests for Transparency: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were filed by stakeholders, including advocacy groups and concerned citizens, seeking documentation of program management, financial audits, and compliance reports. Repeated Denials: DSS and the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller systematically denied or ignored these FOIA requests, obstructing transparency and accountability. Key Discoveries from FOIA Requests: Lack of comprehensive financial oversight or auditing for Medicaid funds. Absence of documentation verifying compliance with ADA and Medicaid statutes. Evidence of systemic failures concealed by state agencies. 4. Independent Investigations Review of Medicaid Records: Analysis of Medicaid program data revealed significant discrepancies, including unexplained expenditure gaps and inconsistencies in service delivery metrics. External Audits: Private audit reports contracted by service providers raised concerns about financial inefficiencies and programmatic failures. Oversight Gaps Identified: Investigations revealed that neither DSS nor federal



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	oversight entities, such as CMS, had conducted thorough reviews of the ABI Waiver Program's operations. 5. Official Responses from Oversight Entities State and Federal Correspondence: Official communicat
What additional facts support your allegation?	5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Safety The following facts, supported by extensive documentation and corroborated evidence, further substantiate the claim that mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program poses a significant danger to public safety: 1. Systemic Failures in Medicaid Service Delivery Delayed or Denied Access to Care: Medicaid recipients reported significant delays in accessing critical health services under the ABI Waiver Program. Essential services, such as home healthcare, rehabilitation, and case management, were either unavailable or inconsistently delivered, exacerbating health conditions among vulnerable populations. Testimonies from recipients revealed cases of unmet medical needs leading to deteriorating health and, in some cases, premature deaths. Disruption of Service Providers: Contracted Medicaid service providers faced delays in reimbursements due to administrative inefficiencies, leading to staffing shortages and reduced service availability. Providers reported an inability to meet the demand for services due to inadequate support from state agencies. 2. Breach of Medicaid Compliance Standards Failure to Adhere to Federal Medicaid Regulations: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) mandates strict compliance with service delivery, financial oversight, and operational transparency for Medicaid-funded programs. Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program has consistently failed to meet these standards. Missing audit reports and expenditure records indicate potential misuse or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504: Barriers to accessing Medicaid services disproportionately impacted individuals with disabilities, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. The absence of ADA-compliant



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	accommodations, such as simplified communication channels and access to program information, further endangered recipients' ability to advocate for their health needs. 3. Lack of Oversight and Transparency FOIA Request Denials: Denials of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests seeking transparency into program operations suggest an attempt to conceal systemic failures. Agencies such as the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS failed to provide records of program performance, financial audits, or corrective action plans. Absence of Federal Audits: CMS has not conducted thorough audits or enforced corrective actions for the ABI Waiver Program, despite receiving multiple reports of non-compliance and risks to public safety. Neglect by State and Federal Oversight Entities: Legislative bodies and oversight committees at both state and federal levels failed to investigate public complaints or mandate accountability measures to ensure the safety of Medicaid recipients. 4. Whistleblower Reports and Advocacy Efforts Documented Allegations: Whistleblowers from within DSS, service

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS			Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command
Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department	Merrick Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Oversees the Department
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial	Sean Scanlon Title: Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: State financial officer, managing bud



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

officer, managing bud	officer, managing bud	officer, managing bud	
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman
Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight) Position in Chain of Comman
Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee Position in Chain of Comman
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG) Position in Chain



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Legal overs
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health Position in Chain o
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Senior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Senior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Junior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th	U.S. Senator Position in Chain of Command: Junior Senator for the State of Connecticut, Member of th
Joe Courtney	Joe Courtney	U.S. Representative	U.S. Representative Position in Chain of Command:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Position in Chain of Command: Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressiona	Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressiona
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller	Natalie Braswell Title: Connecticut State Comptroller
Jim Himes	Jim Himes	U.S. Representative (D-CT, 4th District)	U.S. Representative (D-CT, 4th District)
Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)	Rosa DeLauro Title: U.S. Representative (D-CT, 3rd District)
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ OIG)
Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee	Cathy McMorris Rodgers Title: Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee (Medicaid Oversight)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

(Medicaid Oversight)	(Medicaid Oversight)	(Medicaid Oversight)	
Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)	Ron Wyden Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Finance (Medicaid Oversight)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Division, U.S. Department of Justice	Division, U.S. Department of Justice	Division, U.S. Department of Justice	
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Richard Blumenthal	Richard Blumenthal	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi
Chris Murphy	Chris Murphy	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi	Titles: U.S. Senators for Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Federal representatives responsi
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command:	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of Co



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Chief executive of Co	Chief executive of Co	Chief executive of Co	
Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha	Joe Courtney Title: U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District Position in Cha
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comma
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) Position in C
Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Jeffrey R. Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in C



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

(OPM) Position in C	(OPM) Position in C	(OPM) Position in C	
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)	Christi A. Grimm: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden	Senate Finance Committee Members: Chair: Senator Ron Wyden
Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members	Mike Crapo Senate Finance Committee Members
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ) Position in Chain of Co
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Position in Chain of Co	Position in Chain of Co	Position in Chain of Co	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroll
Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th	Ned Lamont Title: Governor, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of th



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Melinda Staff Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS) Po	Human Services (OIG HHS) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in C
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th	Jim O'Neill Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: As th
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Role: O
Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo	Barbara Richards Title: Deputy Director, Medicaid & CHIP Operations Group, CMS Role: Responsible fo



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati
Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi	Betsy Rosenfeld, JD Title: Regional Health Administrator, HHS Region 1 Role: Acts as the chief regi
Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio	Kathleen Otte (Acting) Title: Acting Regional Administrator, CMS Boston Role: Oversees CMS operatio
Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve	Andrea Palm Title: Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Role: Serve
Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni	Roger Severino Title: Former Director, Office for Civil Rights, HHS Role: Was considered for a seni
Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut	Natalie Braswell Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees	of Policy and Management (OPM) Role: Oversees
Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state
Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D	Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH Title: Former Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (D
Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R	Matthew Barrett Title: President and CEO, Connecticut Association of Health Care Facilities Role: R
Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis	Elizabeth Duryea Title: Chief of Staff, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Role: Assis
Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i	Lisa J. Pino Title: Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Role: Ensures ADA compliance and i



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command:
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position i
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing a
William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal	William Tong Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Position
Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S.	Christi A. Grimm Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG) Po	Human Services (HHS OIG) Po
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GA)
Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman	Shalanda D. Young Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Position in Chain of Comman
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Chai
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ Position in Chain of Co
Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc	Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Title: Director of OCR Position in Chain of Command: Federal agenc



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will	William Halsey Title: Medicaid Director Position in Chain of Command: As the Medicaid Director, Will
Maria Horn	Maria Horn	Connecticut General Assembly: Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:	Connecticut General Assembly: Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:
Toni Walker Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair:	Toni Walker Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair:	Appropriations Committee: Co- Chair: Representative	Appropriations Committee: Co-Chair: Representative
Cathy Osten Co- Chair: Senator	Cathy Osten Co- Chair: Senator	Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General Assembly: Appropriations Committee:	Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General Assembly: Appropriations Committee:
Catherine Abercrombie Co- Chair: Representative	Catherine Abercrombie Co- Chair: Representative	Co-Chair: Representative Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees	Co-Chair: Representative Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees
Matthew Lesser	Matthew Lesser	Co-Chair: Senator Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees	Co-Chair: Senator Human Services Committee Legislative Oversight Committees Connecticut General As



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Connecticut General As	
Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)	Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)	U.S. Congressional Committees: Senate Finance Committee: Chair: Senator	U.S. Congressional Committees: Senate Finance Committee: Chair: Senator
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Clark J. Chapin	Clark J. Chapin	tate Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	tate Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Melanie Fontes Rainer	Melanie Fontes Rainer	Director Position in Chain of Command: As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the feder	Director Position in Chain of Command: As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the feder
Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl	Sean Scanlon Title: State Comptroller Position in Chain of Command: As State Comptroller, Sean Scanl
Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)	Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)	Federal Oversight Committees U.S. Senate Finance Committee	Federal Oversight Committees U.S. Senate Finance Committee
Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As	Michelle Gilman Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: As Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	Commissioner, Michelle Gilman I	
Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic	Ned Lamont Title: Governor of Connecticut Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of Connectic
Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C	Deidre S. Gifford Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position in C
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Position

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance

This urgent, unequivocal demand requires immediate corrective action to resolve systemic violations and gross mismanagement in the administration of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failure to act now not only undermines federal and constitutional laws but poses an imminent risk to public safety, taxpayer equity, and the rights of Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers. The time for action is now. Delays are unacceptable, and accountability must be enforced without compromise.

Actions Demanded:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

1. Enforce Full Compliance with Federal and Constitutional Laws

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act:

Require immediate adherence to ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794).

Mandate unrestricted accessibility, including:

Transparent operations.

Reasonable accommodations for Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers facing systemic barriers.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):

Compel the immediate release of all public records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.).

Impose punitive consequences for any official obstructing transparency through denial or manipulation of public record access.

Constitutional Violations:

Address violations of the First Amendment (right to petition the government), Fifth Amendment (due process protections), and Fourteenth Amendment (equal protection under the law).

Demand that all administrative actions be reviewed and overturned where constitutional protections were ignored.

2. Order Operational Corrections with Zero Delay

Reinstate Medicaid Services:

Direct state agencies, including the Connecticut DSS and Comptroller's Office, to:

Fully restore critical ABI Waiver Program services.

Ensure continuous care for Medicaid recipients reliant on brain injury services.

Enforce Accountability Mechanisms:

Mandate transparent financial reporting from state agencies directly to federal oversight bodies (CMS and HHS OIG).

Implement independent, real-time auditing systems to eliminate misuse of federal Medicaid funds.

3. Initiate Immediate Federal Oversight

Direct Federal Authority to Act:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Command federal entities, including CMS and HHS OCR, to:
Enforce federal Medicaid compliance standards immediately.
Appoint independent oversight bodies to oversee corrective measures.
Hold Negligent Officials Accountable:

Compel corrective measures and disciplinary actions for:
Xavier Becerra (Secretary, HHS).
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS).
Ned Lamont (Governor, Connecticut).
Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS).
Yamuna Menon (General Counsel, Connecticut Comptroller's Office).
Penalize all officials obstructing compliance through federal sanctions or withholding Medicaid funds.

4. Guarantee Transparency and Protect Whistleblowers
Whistleblower Protections:

Enforce the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), shielding individuals reporting fraud or mismanagement.
Expedite implementation of disclosed corrective actions and prohibit retaliation against whistleblowers.

Transparent Public Reporting:

Require detailed federal and state reporting on:
Corrective actions implemented.
ADA compliance improvements.
Financial management and service delivery corrections.

5. Demand Results Now

Set Enforceable Deadlines:

Mandate all corrective actions be fully implemented within 30 days.
Require real-time updates on progress and compliance from state and federal authorities.
Immediate Action to Prevent Further Harm:

Demand that results are prioritized over procedural delays, with the health, safety, and rights of Medicaid recipients placed above all bureaucratic barriers.

Conclusion



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

This is a non-negotiable demand for immediate remedial actions, not an investigation or prolonged deliberation. The systemic violations detailed herein directly endanger public safety, abuse taxpayer funds, and subvert constitutional protections. Failure to act decisively violates public trust and exposes federal and state authorities to litigation, penalties, and broader accountability for harm.

The OSC, CMS, HHS, and other federal bodies must immediately enforce corrective measures, remove barriers to compliance, and restore accountability to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Failure to address these violations now will constitute gross negligence and deliberate indifference.

David Medeiros

ABI Resources DB.42.131.Inf. <https://www.ctbraininjury.com/blog/tags/db-42-131-inf>

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.06.2024 Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity Whistleblower Report Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.pdf
09.24.2024 Federal_Intervention_HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Office of the State Comptroller) (1).zip
requests (11).csv
12.06.2024 Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/6/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING. REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

Street Address: 200 Independence Avenue, SW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Federal Officials and Departments 1. Xavier Becerra Action Taken: o Failed to enforce ADA and Medicaid compliance standards across federally funded state programs, allowing systemic violations to persist in the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program. o Did not act on multiple reports of non-compliance from whistleblowers and stakeholders. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Action Taken: o Did not ensure that CMS effectively monitored Connecticut's Medicaid program for ADA compliance, financial transparency, and operational



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	integrity. o Allowed state-level agencies to obstruct transparency and deny whistleblower protections without federal oversight. 3. Kristen Clarke Action Taken: o Failed to initiate enforcement of ADA Title II protections and investigate systemic violations of civil rights impacting individuals with disabilities. o Did not address FOIA non-compliance and transparency issues raised by whistleblowers. 4. Gene L. Dodaro Action Taken: o Did not initiate audits or reviews of Connecticut's Medicaid spending, particularly concerning allegations of misuse of funds in the ABI Waiver Program. o Allowed gaps in oversight to compromise federal accountability for taxpayer-funded programs. 5. Michael E. Horowitz Action Taken: o Did not investigate whistleblower claims or systemic failures reported within Connecticut's Medicaid administration. o Failed to ensure DOJ acted on reports of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements. 6. Christi Grimm Action Taken: o Neglected to investigate complaints regarding financial mismanagement and lack of ADA compliance in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. o Did not enforce federal oversight mechanisms to correct systemic issues despite whistleblower disclosures. 7. Eric F. Stein Action Taken: o Closed FOIA requests prematurely, providing insufficient justifications and failing to release requested records essential to transparency and accountability. o Did not uphold FOIA procedural requirements, violating federal transparency mandates. State Officials 8. Ned Lamont Action Taken: o Failed to exercise executive authority to ensure state agencies complied with federal Medicaid regulations, ADA standards, and whistleblower protections. o Neglected to address systemic mismanagement within the ABI Waiver Program under his administration. 9. Andrea Barton Reeves Action Taken: o Did not implement accountability measures to address financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mismanagement, ADA non-compliance, and operational failures in the ABI Waiver Program. o Ignored whi
When did this occur?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Timeline of Violations 1. Initial Onset of Violations: 2013 - 2024 o Persistent and systemic non-compliance with FOIA requests and ADA accommodation obligations began January 2013 and remains ongoing. o Patterns of failure in Medicaid program oversight were identified, including mismanagement of federal funds and failure to implement corrective actions in response to whistleblower complaints. 2. Key Escalation Events o November 2018 - August 2022: Reports from whistleblowers highlighted failures in Medicaid oversight, including financial mismanagemen
How did you discover this action?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Discovery Timeline and Process 1. Personal Experience with ADA Non-Compliance o In March 2024, I personally requested ADA accommodations in relation to accessing critical information under FOIA. These accommodations, including screen-reader-compatible documents, email-only communication, and simplified summaries, were explicitly denied or ignored by multiple agencies. This demonstrated a systematic failure to adhere to ADA and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act requirements. 2. Analysis of FOIA Request Responses o Between 2018 and 2024, a pattern emerged across several FOIA submissions where: • Requests were marked as "Closed" without statutory explanations or records provided, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552. • Denials of records lacked detailed justification under FOIA exemption codes, hindering transparency. • Agencies failed to release information necessary for evaluating Medicaid compliance, highlighting systemic obfuscation. 3. Whistleblower and Oversight Disclosures o Reports from whistleblowers and oversight agencies, reviewed between 2020 and 2023, revealed critical failures in Medicaid oversight. These included mismanagement of federal funds, lack of transparency, and barriers to accountability mechanisms. These disclosures were systematically disregarded by state and federal entities. 4. Review of Public and Internal Records o In 2023, I conducted an analysis of publicly available reports and agency documents. The absence of critical records related to Medicaid provider audits, enforcement of ADA compliance, and corrective actions highlighted systemic failures. Additionally: • Records requested under FOIA were not provided. • Internal communications obtained through secondary sources revealed deliberate delays and procedural barriers. 5. External Reports and Investigations o Independent reports from advocacy organizations and state auditors, reviewed in 2022, indicated pervasive issues, including financial mismanagement and inadequate ADA accommodations. These findings aligned with my personal observations and whistleblower disclosures, further validating systemic violations. 6. Direct Evidence from Correspondence and Requests o Communications with agencies like the DOJ, HHS, and CMS between 2023 and 2024 explicitly documented failures to comply with statutory obligations under FOIA and ADA. Key examples include: • Denials of accessible documentation formats. • Mismanagement



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of Medicaid provider complaints and audit records. • Refusal to provide detailed explanations for procedural denials, violating fed
What additional facts support your allegation?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Detailed Supporting Facts 1. Failure to Adhere to ADA Standards o Federal and state agencies ignored legally mandated accommodations, creating systemic barriers to access: • Specific Violations: • Denial of screen-reader-compatible documents. • Failure to provide simplified summaries of complex records. • Refusal to use email-only communication despite explicit requests. • Impact: • This excluded individuals with disabilities, including myself, from equitable access to critical public information. 2. FOIA Mismanagement o Agencies repeatedly failed to comply with FOIA's statutory obligations: • Denials and Delays: • Requests were marked "Closed" without adequate justification or explanations for withholding records. • Agencies provided incomplete or non-existent Vaughn Indices, violating the requirements for transparency under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). • Patterns Identified: • Requests involving ADA compliance and Medicaid transparency were deprioritized or dismissed without legal grounds. 3. Obstruction of Medicaid Oversight o Agencies failed to provide records critical for assessing compliance with Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.: • Financial Mismanagement: • Records detailing the allocation and expenditure of Medicaid funds were withheld or claimed to not exist. • Independent audits suggested mismanagement of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	federal funds in state-level programs. • Service Gaps: • Lack of transparency obstructed accountability for service disruptions impacting vulnerable Medicaid recipients. 4. Whistleblower Reports Ignored o Whistleblower disclosures provided direct evidence of systemic issues: • Unaddressed Allegations: • Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and non-compliance with ADA standards. • Retaliation against individuals reporting misconduct within government agencies. • Impact: • Whistleblowers were denied protection under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), further undermining transparency and accountability. 5. Systemic Barriers to Accountability o Internal and external communication failures obstructed oversight: • Agency Coordination Failures: • • Federal and state agencies failed to collaborate effectively to resolve transparency and compliance issues. • • Records requests routed between agencies were never fulfilled or confirmed. • Lack of Oversight: • Congressional oversight committees and federal agencies with jurisdiction did not take action to address systemic violations despite documented evidence. 6. Public Interest and Broader Implications o The violations documented have broader systemic impacts: • Health an
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	Description: Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. _____ Actions Taken by Key Individuals and Entities 1. Xavier Becerra o Action: As Secretary of HHS, failed to direct federal oversight or mandate corrective actions to ensure accountability for Medicaid funding allocated to Connecticut. Did not require CMS to enforce transparency or compliance measures for the ABI Waiver



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Program. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure o Action: As Administrator of CMS, did not initiate or enforce audits, compliance reviews, or corrective actions for systemic financial mismanagement and lack of service delivery within the program. Ignored whistleblower reports highlighting mismanagement. 3. Ned Lamont o Action: As Governor of Connecticut, neglected to use executive authority to investigate or address systemic issues within the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed state agencies to operate without adequate oversight, leading to unchecked financial inefficiencies and lapses in service provision. 4. Andrea Barton Reeves o Action: As Commissioner of Connecticut DSS, failed to establish financial tracking systems, enforce accountability measures, or address repeated instances of financial and operational inefficiencies. Did not ensure service providers met contractual and program standards. 5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon o Action: As General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, claimed "no responsive records" existed for financial oversight related to the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed transparency by failing to provide critical documentation required for accountability. 6. Gene L. Dodaro o Action: As Comptroller General of GAO, did not initiate an independent federal investigation or audit to address whistleblower allegations and evidence of financial mismanagement. Did not leverage GAO's authority to enforce Medicaid fund oversight. 7. William Tong o Action: As Attorney General of Connecticut, failed to investigate or prosecute financial mismanagement and systemic violations within the ABI Waiver Program. Did not enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations or state transparency laws. 8. Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD) o Action: Failed to enforce CMS's standards for Medicaid program oversight in Connecticut. Did not ensure corrective actions for financial mismanagement or address systemic operational failures. 9. State Medicaid Director (Name TBD) o Action: Neglected to address reports



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of financial mismanagement, inefficiencies, and non-compliance. Did not escalate critical issues to federal oversight entities for resolution. 10. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD) o Action: Did not investigate whistleblower allegations or pursue cases of financial fraud a
When did this occur?	Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. Timeline of Occurrences 1. Initial Mismanagement (2015-2024): Systemic issues in Medicaid fund management began surfacing as early as 2015, marked by repeated reports of non-compliance with financial and operational oversight requirements. These issues persisted over the years without substantial corrective actions by state or federal authorities. 2. Whistleblower Reports (2020-Present): Numerous whistleblower complaints and reports from concerned stakeholders highlighted mismanagement and non-compliance in Medicaid funding. These reports, submitted to both state and federal agencies, were either ignored or inadequately addressed, exac
How did you discover this action?	Description: Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. Discovery Timeline and Sources 1. Personal Experience as a Medicaid Consumer Advocate (2015-Present): Through years of direct involvement as a consumer advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries (ABI), systemic issues in Medicaid fund allocation and program management became apparent. Reports of service disruptions, inconsistent reimbursements, and poor



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administrative oversight were consistently raised by program participants and providers. 2. FOIA Requests and Denials (2022-2024): Formal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were submitted to obtain records on Medicaid program operations, including provider registry information, financial audits, and compliance reports. These requests were systematically denied or delayed, revealing a lack of transparency and accountability within the system. 3. Whistleblower Reports and Disclosures (2020-Present): Whistleblower reports from within state agencies and contracted service providers highlighted mismanagement of funds and insufficient oversight. These disclosures corroborated ongoing issues and indicated systemic failures across multiple agencies. 4. Failed ADA Accommodations and Accessibility Barriers (2021-Present): Attempts to access program-related records and services revealed ADA non-compliance, including the failure to provide accessible communication formats and reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities. This further obstructed transparency and accountability efforts. 5. Incomplete Financial Audits and Reporting (2023-2024): Reviews of publicly available financial audits and government reports indicated significant gaps in documentation and discrepancies in fund allocation. These findings were consistent with whistleblower disclosures and personal observations of systemic inefficiencies. 6. Stakeholder Feedback and Advocacy Efforts (2018-Present): Continuous feedback from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocacy organizations reinforced concerns about mismanagement, service inconsistencies, and the lack of corrective actions by responsible agencies. _____ Key Observations • Inaccessible Records: Repeated FOIA denials and incomplete audit reports hindered transparency. • Service Disruptions: Reports from beneficiaries and providers revealed lapses in critical Medicaid services due to poor management. • Whistleblower Consistency:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Multiple reports from internal and external stakeholders consistently pointed to systemic mismanagement. • Lack of Accountability: Evidence of coordination failures between federal and state agencies highlighted the absence
What additional facts support your allegation?	Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. _____ Supporting Facts 1. Incomplete and Delayed Financial Audits: o Fact: Publicly available audit reports lack critical financial details, including fund allocation records and provider payment data. Annual audits mandated under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 431.16) are incomplete or delayed. o Evidence: Reviews of Connecticut State Auditors' reports and federal CMS audits reveal unaddressed discrepancies in Medicaid fund distribution and utilization. 2. Lack of Accountability Measures: o Fact: Key oversight bodies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Office of the State Comptroller, failed to implement effective accountability mechanisms for monitoring Medicaid expenditures. o Evidence: Whistleblower disclosures and stakeholder complaints indicate that funds were mismanaged without adequate tracking systems or follow-up actions. 3. Service Disruptions and Beneficiary Impact: o Fact: Medicaid ABI Waiver Program participants experienced service interruptions due to delayed provider payments and administrative inefficiencies. o Evidence: Testimonies from affected beneficiaries and providers document cases of delayed reimbursements, resulting in lapses in care and service quality. 4. Whistleblower Reports of Mismanagement: o Fact: Multiple whistleblowers within state agencies and contracted service providers have reported systemic failures in fund management, including overpayments,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	underpayments, and misuse of federal Medicaid funds. o Evidence: Official whistleblower reports submitted to federal and state oversight bodies detail persistent mismanagement practices over several years. 5. FOIA Non-Compliance: o Fact: Systematic denials of FOIA requests for financial and operational records indicate deliberate obfuscation by state agencies. o Evidence: FOIA requests submitted between 2022 and 2024 were either denied or delayed without valid legal justification, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552. 6. Failure to Implement Corrective Actions: o Fact: Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), failed to enforce remedial measures to address identified deficiencies. o Evidence: CMS oversight reports highlight repeated compliance failures without evidence of substantive enforcement actions or penalties. 7. Discrepancies in Provider Records: o Fact: Provider registry records for the ABI Waiver Program lack updated enrollment statuses, areas of specialty, and disciplinary actions. o Evidence: Reviews of publicly available registry data reveal outdated or incomplete information, raising concerns about transparency and oversight. 8. Coordination Failures
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. _____ Federal Officials and Entities 1. Merrick B. Garland Action Taken: o Failed to ensure DOJ divisions complied with FOIA transparency standards and ADA accommodations. o Allowed systemic delays and lack of enforcement for whistleblower protections. o Did not initiate corrective measures despite clear evidence of non-compliance. 2. Kristen Clarke Action Taken: o



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Did not enforce ADA requirements or ensure FOIA accommodations were provided by the Civil Rights Division. o Allowed denials of requests without detailed justifications or statutory basis, violating FOIA and ADA regulations. o Ignored whistleblower disclosures highlighting systemic failures. 3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Action Taken: o Failed to implement oversight measures ensuring Medicaid program compliance with ADA standards. o Did not enforce accountability for state-level violations or escalate systemic issues impacting transparency and service delivery. o Neglected whistleblower reports identifying mismanagement and ADA violations in Medicaid programs. 4. Xavier Becerra Action Taken: o Allowed systemic inaction by HHS and its subdivisions, including CMS and OCR, in addressing ADA and FOIA compliance. o Failed to enforce measures ensuring federally allocated funds were managed transparently. o Did not address barriers to accessibility or whistleblower retaliation in federally funded programs. 5. Gene L. Dodaro Action Taken: o Did not initiate audits or reviews addressing systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protections. o Failed to ensure GAO fulfilled its oversight responsibilities to safeguard taxpayer funds and public accountability. 6. Christi Grimm Action Taken: o Ignored whistleblower complaints and failed to investigate systemic ADA and FOIA violations reported within HHS-administered programs. o Did not enforce HHS OIG oversight responsibilities, allowing abuse of authority to persist unchecked. _____ State Officials and Entities 1. Ned Lamont Action Taken: o Did not use executive authority to address systemic failures in ADA compliance and Medicaid program transparency. o Allowed state agencies to deny FOIA requests and obstruct ADA-required accommodations. o Failed to ensure public accountability despite multiple reports of systemic violations. 2. Andrea Barton Reeves Action Taken: o Did not



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	enforce DSS compliance with ADA standards or ensure Medicaid transparency. o Allowed denials of FOIA requests for Medicaid provider information essential to accountability. o Ignored whistleblower disclosures identifying critical programmatic failure
When did this occur?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. _____ Comprehensive Timeline and Details of Occurrence 1. Initial Acts of Non-Compliance • Start Date: January 1, 2023 • Description: Systematic failures to comply with federal requirements began in early 2023. This included refusals to: o Respond to FOIA requests promptly or completely under 5 U.S.C. § 552. o Honor ADA accommodation requests under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. o Provide requested transparency regarding Medicaid ABI Waiver Program records. • Evidence: o Ignored FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies for records on Medicaid provider accountability and expenditures.
How did you discover this action?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Discovery Process 1. Direct Impact from FOIA Request Denials • Initial Request Experience: I submitted multiple FOIA requests to federal and state agencies, including the U.S. Department of State, HHS, and DOJ, seeking information critical to Medicaid oversight, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	protections. Despite providing detailed records, case numbers, and accommodations for my disabilities, the requests were either ignored, improperly denied, or marked as "Closed" without substantive response. • Examples of FOIA Denials: o Case #F-2025-03145: The U.S. Department of State claimed no responsive records existed despite clear evidence that such records were under their purview. o Agencies failed to cite valid FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), instead providing vague or irrelevant justifications, obstructing access to crucial records. 2. ADA Accommodation Violations and Barriers • Failure to Honor ADA Requests: My FOIA requests included explicit ADA accommodation requirements, such as: o Providing email-only communication. o Delivering accessible, screen-reader-compatible PDFs. o Including simplified summaries for complex documents. o Embedding response text directly in email bodies. • Observed Violations: Agencies consistently ignored these accommodations, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and 29 U.S.C. § 794 (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act). For example: o Responses required navigating inaccessible portals. o Key documents were provided in formats incompatible with assistive technologies. o Denials lacked accompanying justifications or detailed summaries. 3. Escalation by Whistleblower Reports • Whistleblower Disclosures: As a whistleblower and advocate, I received corroborating reports from other stakeholders, including: o Medicaid providers frustrated by the lack of oversight and financial accountability in the ABI Waiver Program. o Beneficiaries who faced service disruptions due to mismanagement. o State and federal employees who witnessed internal failures but feared retaliation under inadequate whistleblower protections. • Corroborated Failures: Reports highlighted deliberate non-compliance, systemic fraud, and barriers to transparency that aligned with my own experiences, underscoring the depth of abuse. 4. Documented Patterns of Obstruction •



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Repeated Lack of Transparency: Agencies demonstrated a recurring pattern of: - o Providing non-substantive or contradictory responses to FOIA and whistleblower inquiries. - o Closing cases without addressing the core issues or fulfilling requests. - o Avoiding account
What additional facts support your allegation?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. Comprehensive and Detailed Additional Facts 1. Pattern of FOIA Denials Without Legal Justification • Improperly Closed Requests: Numerous FOIA requests, including Case #F-2025-03145, were marked as "Closed" without substantive responses or valid exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Agencies consistently failed to: - o Provide a Vaughn Index or detailed explanation for redactions or denials. - o Cite specific legal grounds for withholding requested records. • Obstructive Practices: Agencies imposed barriers such as: - o Redirecting requests to inaccessible external portals. - o Requiring the use of password-protected links incompatible with ADA accommodations. - o Refusing to identify responsible personnel or decision-makers in responses. 2. Systematic ADA Accommodation Violations • Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: Despite explicit ADA requests based on cognitive impairments resulting from a traumatic brain injury, agencies repeatedly: - o Failed to use email-only communication as requested. - o Denied requests to embed text directly in the email body for screen-reader compatibility. - o Provided documents in inaccessible formats, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and 29 U.S.C. § 794 (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act). • Evidence of Non-Compliance: - o Multiple correspondences lacked accessible



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formatting, rendering the information unusable for individuals with disabilities. o Requests for simplified summaries of complex records were ignored, creating further barriers to understanding. 3. Whistleblower Disclosures Highlighting Agency Failures • Corroborating Testimonies: Whistleblowers within federal and state agencies reported systemic efforts to avoid accountability, including: o Suppressing records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. o Failing to address internal reports of non-compliance with federal transparency and anti-discrimination laws. • Retaliation Risks: Whistleblowers expressed concerns about retaliation, citing inadequate protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) (Whistleblower Protection Act). 4. Lack of Oversight and Accountability • State and Federal Coordination Failures: Agencies failed to collaborate effectively to address known issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. For example: o The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and Office of the State Comptroller failed to provide requested financial records to federal oversight entities. o Federal agencies, including CMS and OCR, did not enforce corrective actions or audits to address these gaps. • Absence of Oversight Reports: Publicly available audits and
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence demonstrates systemic lack of financial accountability, resulting in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Detailed Actions Taken by Key Individuals and Entities 1. Xavier Becerra o Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) o Actions Taken: • Failed to implement federal oversight mechanisms to prevent improper fund usage at the state level. • Did not mandate audits or issue directives for immediate corrective action despite reports of financial irregularities



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	in Medicaid programs. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure o Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) o Actions Taken: • Neglected to enforce Medicaid financial compliance and reporting standards in Connecticut. • Failed to require Connecticut DSS to reconcile discrepancies in expenditures and address improper payments to providers. 3. Ned Lamont o Title: Governor of Connecticut o Actions Taken: • Allowed systemic inefficiencies and financial mismanagement to persist under his administration. • Did not provide adequate state-level oversight or allocate resources to prevent improper Medicaid fund utilization. 4. Andrea Barton Reeves o Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) o Actions Taken: • Allowed overpayments and unverified billing by service providers. • Failed to implement transparent financial tracking systems or address known inefficiencies within the ABI Waiver Program. 5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon o Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut o Actions Taken: • Did not ensure financial accountability or enforce compliance with Medicaid fund allocation requirements. • Failed to conduct audits to address discrepancies in financial reporting. 6. William Tong o Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut o Actions Taken: • Did not investigate or pursue legal actions against parties involved in the misuse of Medicaid funds. • Ignored whistleblower complaints and reports of systemic financial mismanagement. 7. Everett L. Handford o Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 o Actions Taken: • Failed to conduct regional audits or investigations to ensure compliance with Medicaid financial standards. • Neglected to enforce accountability measures for state agencies managing Medicaid funds. 8. Marjorie Sozanski o Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) o Actions Taken: • Did not investigate fraudulent claims or financial mismanagement within the ABI Waiver



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Program. • Allowed improper billing practices to persist unchecked. 9. John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane o Title: State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts o Actions Taken: • Failed to identify or address systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid fund utilization during audits. • Did not publish detailed findings on the mismanagement of
When did this occur?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Detailed Timeline of Events and Actions Phase 1: Initial Failures to Establish Accountability Mechanisms (2013–2017) 1. Timeline: o Financial mismanagement within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program began as early as 2013, following changes to Medicaid fund allocation policies and lack of internal checks. 2. Evidence: o Auditor Reports: Early internal DSS reviews identified missing provider documentation, including unverified claims and missing invoices. o Whistleblower Attempts: Several internal whistleblowers flagged the lack of standardized financial oversight protocols during this period. 3. Impact: o Opportunities for early intervention were missed, enabling systemic inefficiencies and overpa
How did you discover this action?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Discovery Timeline Phase 1: Initial Observations and Emerging Red Flags (2013–2017) 1. Inconsistent Financial Records: • During a review of publicly available Medicaid expenditure data and state-level reports, inconsistencies emerged between allocated federal Medicaid funds and reported program expenditures. • Certain categories of expenditures were either unaccounted for or significantly inflated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	without supporting documentation. 2. Provider Complaints and Anecdotal Evidence: • Direct communication from service providers indicated delays in payments, while others reported unexplained overpayments. • Providers shared concerns that the Department of Social Services (DSS) lacked adequate mechanisms to verify service claims. 3. Internal Whistleblower Disclosures: • Several DSS employees confidentially disclosed concerns about the absence of a standardized auditing process to track Medicaid funds. • These disclosures highlighted that mismanagement stemmed from systemic inefficiencies and a lack of oversight. Phase 2: Escalation of Irregularities and Whistleblower Reports (2018–2020) 1. Access to Internal Reports and State Audit Summaries: • Access to internal summaries revealed substantial gaps in financial audits conducted by DSS and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM). • Audit summaries lacked reconciliation data, with certain financial anomalies labeled as "pending review" for years without resolution. 2. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: • Formal FOIA requests for detailed financial records and program audits yielded insufficient responses or outright denials, raising further concerns about transparency. • Redacted documents failed to provide clarity regarding the disposition of unspent Medicaid funds. 3. Advocacy and Beneficiary Reports: • Advocacy organizations working with Medicaid beneficiaries documented recurring service delays attributed to unexplained funding shortages. • Beneficiaries reported disruptions in critical services, such as in-home support and rehabilitative care, which providers cited as stemming from inconsistent fund allocation. Phase 3: Federal Oversight and FOIA Disclosures (2021–2023) 1. Responses from Federal Agencies (CMS and HHS): • Responses to FOIA requests from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) revealed a lack of federal oversight into Connecticut's Medicaid expenditures. • CMS officials acknowledged receiving "high-level concerns" about Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program but failed to provide detailed compliance reports. 2. Whistle
What additional facts support your allegation?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Supporting Facts A. Audit Deficiencies and Financial Mismanagement 1. Absence of Comprehensive Audits oThe Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to conduct or provide complete and accurate audits of Medicaid expenditures within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. o State Auditors of Public Accounts did not identify or resolve discrepancies in provider claims, leaving millions of dollars unaccounted for. o Annual reports lacked reconciliation between allocated federal Medicaid funds and actual program expenditures. 2. Overpayments and Unverified Claims o Evidence from whistleblower reports indicates overpayments to service providers who submitted unverified or incomplete claims. o Medicaid service providers billed for services outside their contractual scope, and no mechanism was in place to flag or investigate these discrepancies. 3. Improper Fund Allocations o Significant funds were categorized under vague or generic labels such as "miscellaneous expenditures," without supporting documentation or explanation. o Disproportionate increases in administrative costs were recorded with no corresponding improvements in program delivery. _____ B. Federal and State Oversight Failures 1. Inadequate Federal Oversight by CMS o The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) did not enforce financial accountability measures for state-level Medicaid



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	operations in Connecticut. o Despite receiving high-level complaints about inefficiencies and waste, CMS failed to initiate audits or corrective actions. 2. Neglect by the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) o The Connecticut Office of Policy and Management allowed systemic inefficiencies to persist without coordinating with DSS or other oversight bodies to implement corrective measures. 3. HHS Region 1 Negligence o The HHS Regional Director did not ensure that DSS complied with Medicaid program integrity standards, contributing to unchecked financial waste. _____ C. Whistleblower and Advocacy Disclosures 1. Whistleblower Testimonies o Internal DSS whistleblowers reported systemic mismanagement, including deliberate efforts to suppress findings of financial discrepancies to avoid federal scrutiny. o Whistleblower disclosures documented gaps in financial reporting, including missing expenditure details and unverified provider reimbursements. 2. Advocacy Organization Investigations o Nonprofit organizations investigating Medicaid program inefficiencies found patterns of financial irregularities, including delayed reimbursements and gaps in service delivery tied to unaccounted funds. _____
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	The mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program by federal, state, and contracted entities has directly jeopardized the health and safety of brain injury survivors. These failures include non-compliance with Medicaid regulations, lack of ADA accommodations, and insufficient oversight, all of which have resulted in inadequate care and service disruptions for vulnerable populations. Below is a comprehensive detailing of the actions and omissions that contributed to this systemic failure. _____ Federal Oversight Failures 1. Xavier Becerra • Action Taken: o Did not establish or enforce oversight mechanisms within HHS to monitor Connecticut's Medicaid ABI



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Waiver Program. o Allowed gaps in program accountability that led to service failures for brain injury survivors. o Failed to mandate corrective actions despite reports of systemic violations affecting public health. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure • Action Taken: o Did not enforce federal Medicaid standards requiring states to ensure continuity of care for beneficiaries. o Allowed Connecticut DSS to operate the ABI Waiver Program without addressing critical failures in compliance and service delivery. o Neglected to conduct federal-level audits or impose penalties for non-compliance, leaving programmatic failures unchecked. 3. Everett L. Handford • Action Taken: o Failed to require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies under his purview as HHS Region 1 Director. o Did not intervene to address complaints or public health risks reported by beneficiaries and whistleblowers. o Neglected to provide direct oversight or escalate systemic issues to CMS leadership. State Leadership Failures 4. Ned Lamont • Action Taken: o Did not use executive authority to initiate investigations or reforms to address deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program. o Allowed inadequate funding and mismanagement to persist, compromising essential healthcare services. o Failed to establish an inter-agency task force to address widespread non-compliance and service disruptions. 5. Andrea Barton Reeves • Action Taken: o Did not implement operational or compliance reforms within DSS to ensure adequate service delivery under the ABI Waiver Program. o Allowed unverified service providers and contractors to continue operating without accountability, resulting in gaps in care. o Overlooked systemic complaints from Medicaid beneficiaries regarding lapses in healthcare services. 6. William Tong • Action Taken: o Failed to investigate legal violations tied to mismanagement and public health risks within the ABI



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Waiver Program. o Did not initiate lawsuits or legal actions to compel programmatic compliance with state and federal laws. o Ignored whistleblower complaints highlighting imminent risks to vulnerable populations. State Medicaid Leadership Failures 7. Jeffrey Beckham • Action Taken: o Did not address
When did this occur?	The mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has persisted over a decade, marked by systemic failures, lapses in oversight, and non-compliance with federal laws. These failures have directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors reliant on Medicaid services. Below is a comprehensive and detailed timeline highlighting key events and patterns of negligence contributing to the ongoing public health crisis. _____ Detailed Timeline of Events 1. Program Establishment and Initial Oversight Failures (2010–2015): • Program Creation and Goals: The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program was created to provide essential services for individuals with brain injuries, enabling them to live independently in the community. • Early Indicators of Non-Compliance: o Lack of foundational oversight mechanisms to ensure compliance with Medicaid standards, including the verification of service provider qualific
How did you discover this action?	Mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors dependent on Medicaid services. This discovery stems from a combination of firsthand observations, whistleblower disclosures, beneficiary complaints, documented evidence of non-compliance, and systematic failures reported across multiple oversight entities. _____ Detailed and Comprehensive Account 1. Firsthand Observations and Personal Experience • Direct Interactions with the Program: As a stakeholder in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I have experienced recurring issues, including: o Delays in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	service provision such as cognitive rehabilitation, case management, and transportation services. o Denials of essential care despite documented medical necessity. o Non-compliance with ADA accommodations, creating barriers to accessing information and program resources. • Impact on Beneficiaries: Personal interactions with other program beneficiaries revealed a widespread pattern of unmet healthcare needs, inconsistent service delivery, and financial strain due to out-of-pocket expenses for services that Medicaid is obligated to cover. _____ 2. Whistleblower Disclosures • Internal Reports of Program Mismanagement: Whistleblowers, including state employees and Medicaid service providers, have disclosed critical information, including: o Improper financial management, including delayed reimbursements and unverified claims. o Neglect of federal compliance requirements, particularly related to ADA, FOIA, and Medicaid standards. o Service gaps resulting from systemic inefficiencies and lack of accountability. • Barriers to Transparency: Whistleblower reports have also highlighted deliberate obstruction by state and federal agencies to prevent public scrutiny, including: o Denial of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. o Refusal to disclose internal audits and corrective action plans. _____ 3. Beneficiary Complaints • Recurring Themes in Complaints: Formal and informal complaints submitted by program beneficiaries reveal systemic failures, including: o Interrupted access to care due to provider shortages and reimbursement delays. o Poor communication and lack of guidance from program administrators. o Health and safety risks resulting from delays or denials of medically necessary services. • Documented Cases: Specific cases include: o Individuals who experienced worsened medical conditions due to delayed therapies or treatments. o Caregivers who were forced to bear the financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	burden of essential services after Medicaid failed to reimburse providers. _____ 4. FOIA Responses and Non-Responses • Incomplete or Denied FOIA Requests: o FOIA requests submitted to federal (CMS, HHS) and state agencies (DSS, State Comptroller) have been met with either outright denials or inc
What additional facts support your allegation?	Mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors dependent on Medicaid services. Additional facts underscore programmatic failures, systemic negligence, and non-compliance with federal laws, resulting in immediate and long-term risks to public health. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Evidence 1. Documented Failures in Program Administration • Service Interruptions: o Significant delays in care delivery, including cognitive rehabilitation, behavioral therapy, and transportation, have been widely reported. These interruptions jeopardize recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors. o Gaps in service provider availability have led to individuals missing critical medical appointments and therapies, exacerbating pre-existing conditions. • Failure to Reassess Eligibility Timely: o Many beneficiaries report being dropped from the program or facing delays in eligibility reassessments, leaving them without access to essential medical and support services. o DSS failed to meet its obligation to ensure continuity of care during transitional periods, violating Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. _____ 2. Non-Compliance with ADA and Accessibility Requirements • Lack of ADA-Compliant Communication: o DSS and state contractors have failed to provide clear, accessible communication methods for individuals with disabilities, as mandated by Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). o Beneficiaries with cognitive impairments, including those caused by brain injuries, were denied reasonable accommodations to navigate the program, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	simplified application processes and accessible documentation. • Barriers to Transparency: o FOIA requests related to program operations were systematically denied or delayed without justification, further obstructing beneficiaries' ability to understand or challenge program decisions. _____ 3. Evidence of Systemic Neglect by Oversight Entities • Failure to Enforce Compliance: o Federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to enforce compliance with Medicaid standards and ADA requirements, despite clear evidence of systemic violations. o HHS Region 1, which oversees Medicaid operations in Connecticut, did not conduct regular audits or require corrective actions to address deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program. • Whistleblower Testimonies: o Internal whistleblower reports highlighted severe administrative lapses, including the diversion of funds intended for beneficiary services and negligence in addressing service complaints. These reports were ignored or suppressed by DSS and related agencies. _____ 4. Financial Mismanagement Impacting Public Health • Improper Allocation of Funds: o A significant portion of Medicaid funds was diverted to administrative overhead, rather than being used to directly benefit bra
Allegation	Danger to Public Safety
What action did they take?	Ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program have directly contributed to systemic risks threatening public safety. These actions—or inactions—by federal, state, and contracted entities have jeopardized the health and well-being of vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services. _____ Detailed Actions Taken Federal Entities and Officials 1. Xavier Becerra o Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) o Action Taken: • Failed to ensure that federal Medicaid oversight



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mechanisms effectively addressed public safety risks tied to programmatic failures. • Did not enforce corrective measures or direct HHS agencies, such as CMS, to intervene in the systemic issues reported in Connecticut. • Allowed the continuation of non-compliance with federal Medicaid standards and ADA regulations. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure o Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) o Action Taken: • Did not mandate state-level compliance with Medicaid program standards to protect public safety. • Neglected to enforce the timely resolution of risks identified in whistleblower disclosures and audits. • Allowed Connecticut DSS to operate without proper oversight or accountability measures to address public safety concerns. 3. Everett L. Handford o Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 o Action Taken: • Failed to monitor Connecticut's compliance with Medicaid service delivery standards. • Did not escalate known risks to federal authorities or direct interventions to mitigate public safety concerns in the ABI Waiver Program. _____ State Entities and Officials 4. Ned Lamont o Title: Governor of Connecticut o Action Taken: • Failed to exercise executive authority to resolve systemic issues in the ABI Waiver Program. • Did not direct state agencies to prioritize public safety or implement reforms to mitigate risks to Medicaid beneficiaries. • Allowed ineffective policies and practices to persist under his administration, threatening vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services. 5. Andrea Barton Reeves o Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) o Action Taken: • Did not implement oversight mechanisms to ensure that Medicaid services met required safety standards. • Failed to address reported deficiencies in service delivery, including delays, denials, and inadequate care for brain injury survivors. • Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist, compromising the health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	safety of Medicaid recipients. 6. William Tong o Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut o Action Taken: • Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid service delivery and public safety. • Failed to respond to whistleblower disclosures detailing risks to vulnerable populations. 7. Yamuna (Yam) Menon o Title: General Counsel
When did this occur?	Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services began manifesting years ago due to repeated failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These failures evolved into a systemic crisis, marked by recurring incidents and escalating public safety threats from 2015 through the present day. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Timeline Phase 1: Early Warning Signs and Systemic Weaknesses (2015–2018) • 2015: o Event: Initial whistleblower complaints about improper fund allocations within the ABI Waiver Program. o Impact: • Delays in approving Medicaid service claims for brain injury survivors. • Complaints from providers about non-payment and inconsistencies in reimbursement processes. • 2016: o Event: Audits from the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management flagged irregularities in Medicaid fund usage, but no corrective measu
How did you discover this action?	Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services caused by the ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery. Evidence underscores potential harm to public trust and safety if these issues persist. The discovery of these systemic failures was the result of a combination of direct experiences, whistleblower reports, formal complaints, and document reviews highlighting critical gaps in Medicaid program administration and public safety measures. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Account of Discovery 1.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Direct Experiences as a Medicaid Consumer and Advocate (2015–Present) • Observation of Service Failures: As an advocate for brain injury survivors, I have consistently encountered cases where Medicaid recipients under the ABI Waiver Program were denied necessary medical and rehabilitative services due to administrative inefficiencies. o Impact: • Delays in accessing life-sustaining care. • Increased risk of hospitalization and long-term health complications for brain injury survivors. • Reports from Medicaid Recipients and Families: o Recipients reported excessive delays in service approvals, arbitrary denials of coverage, and inconsistent communication from the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). o Families detailed the emotional and financial toll caused by service interruptions and administrative barriers. _____ 2. Whistleblower Disclosures (2017–Present) • 2017–2020: o Whistleblowers within DSS and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including improper billing practices, untracked expenditures, and a lack of oversight for service delivery. o Complaints filed with DSS leadership and federal agencies, including CMS and HHS Region 1, failed to prompt meaningful action. • 2021–2024: o Disclosures to advocacy groups and federal oversight agencies, including the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), revealed: • Repeated violations of ADA requirements for equitable service delivery. • Failures to investigate or address complaints regarding Medicaid program transparency and accountability. o Whistleblower reports were supported by internal emails, incomplete financial records, and audit reports that demonstrated gross mismanagement. _____ 3. Formal Complaints and FOIA Requests (2020–2024) • Filing of Complaints: o Numerous complaints were filed with DSS, CMS, and OCR concerning gaps in Medicaid service



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	delivery, financial tracking, and ADA compliance. o These complaints were met with inadequate responses, with federal and state agencies often redirecting responsibility without taking corrective action. • FOIA Requests: o Requests for transparency, including records on Medicaid provider compliance, financial audits, and internal oversight policies, were systematically denied or delayed. o Respo
What additional facts support your allegation?	Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services caused by the ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery. Evidence underscores potential harm to public trust and safety if these issues persist. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Supporting Facts 1. Documented Service Delivery Failures • Inconsistent Access to Services: Medicaid recipients under the ABI Waiver Program have faced delays, denials, and inconsistencies in accessing critical medical and rehabilitative services. o Examples: • Home care services denied or delayed, leaving recipients without essential daily living assistance. • • Medical transportation services inconsistently provided, causing missed appointments and worsening health outcomes. • • Provider Shortages and Disruptions: Reports indicate frequent interruptions in services due to provider shortages, contract mismanagement, and financial instability. o Evidence: • Testimonies from recipients and providers during legislative hearings cite systemic gaps in service coverage. • Complaints filed with DSS highlight the program's inability to ensure service continuity. _____ 2. Whistleblower Reports Highlighting Negligence • Systemic Neglect by State and Federal Agencies: Whistleblowers have provided detailed accounts of negligence within DSS, CMS, and HHS oversight processes. o Issues Identified: • Lack of investigations into complaints about service failures. • Ignoring patterns of non-compliance with ADA and Medicaid program requirements. o Evidence Provided: •



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Internal emails, budget records, and complaints submitted to DSS and CMS documenting inefficiencies. _____ 3. Financial Mismanagement Impacting Public Safety • Improper Fund Allocation: Gross mismanagement of federally allocated Medicaid funds has resulted in overpayments to certain providers and insufficient funding for critical services. o Evidence: • Financial audits from Connecticut's Office of the State Comptroller reveal discrepancies in fund allocation. • Lack of proper financial tracking mechanisms cited in whistleblower reports. • Impact on Public Safety: Mismanagement has directly undermined the availability and quality of services essential to public health, increasing risks of preventable hospitalizations, deteriorating health outcomes, and even fatalities. _____ 4. Inadequate Oversight and Accountability • Lack of Federal Intervention: Despite evidence of systemic failures, federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS Region 1, failed to enforce corrective actions or conduct meaningful audits. oEvidence: • Absence of any comprehensive federal audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program over the past five years. • CMS documentation highlighting deficiencies but failing to mandate corrective measures. • State-Level Oversight Gaps: Connecticut DSS and the

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Position in Chain of Comm	
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Social Services (DSS)	Department of Social Services (DSS)	Department of Social Services (DSS)	
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)	Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)	Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)	Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)
State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)	State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)	State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)	State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)
Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)
State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Legislative Oversight Committees	Legislative Oversight Committees	Legislative Oversight Committees	Legislative Oversight Committees



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1	Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1	Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1	Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1
Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	ate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	ate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	ate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state
Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut	Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut	Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut	Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Governmental Affairs Position i	Governmental Affairs Position i	Governmental Affairs Position i	
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Supervises feder
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant	Y



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati
Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts	State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts
Robert Kane Title: State Auditor, Connecticut	Robert M. Kane Title: State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Account	Robert Kane Title: State Auditor, Connecticut	Robert Kane Title: State Auditor, Connecticut
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director	CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director	CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director	CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Private Auditing Firms	Private Auditing Firms	Private Auditing Firms	Private Auditing Firms
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee	Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee	Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee	Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee
State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)
Robert M. Kane Title: State	Robert M. Kane Title: State	State Auditors of Public Accounts	Robert M. Kane



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Account	Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Account	(John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Private Auditing Firms Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS	Private Auditing Firms Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS	Private Auditing Firms Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS	Private Auditing Firms
Connecticut State Legislature Title: Members of the General Assembly	Connecticut State Legislature	Connecticut State Legislature Title: Members of the General Assembly	Connecticut State Legislature Title: Members of the General Assembly
Congressional Oversight Committees	Congressional Oversight Committees	Congressional Oversight Committees	Congressional Oversight Committees

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Documentation Attached. This submission constitutes an urgent and non-negotiable demand for immediate enforcement of federal and constitutional laws to rectify systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

violations and gross mismanagement in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. The current failings jeopardize public safety, waste taxpayer resources, and violate the constitutional rights of Medicaid recipients, whistleblowers, and taxpayers. The Office of Special Counsel (OSC), in collaboration with federal oversight bodies, must act decisively to restore accountability, ensure compliance, and protect vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.07.2024 Enforce Federal and Constitutional Compliance Without Delay HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
efilecomplaint · Custom Portal.pdf
USAJOBS 2 - Profile Dashboard.pdf
Thank You, David Medeiros!.pdf
643743803.pdf
David Medeiros (1).pdf
USAJOBS - Profile Dashboard.pdf
643741292.pdf
Application Progress Review and Submit.pdf
Application Progress CMS Thank You, !.pdf
CMS application fro employment Review and Submit.pdf
545526-ZDV DOJ Submission complete.pdf
545526-ZDV DOJ Submission complete.pdf
requests (22).csv
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Office of the State Comptroller) (3).zip
12.07.2024 Enforce Federal and Constitutional Compliance Without Delay HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-12022024333 (1).pdf
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-11252024342 (2).pdf
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.pdf
12.04.2024 Protecting and Representing All Americans A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice Accountability and Constitutional Rights Representation Medicaid DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 .PDF
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
requests (26).csv



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

FOIA_Acknowledgement_Letter_-_FIG_-_Medeiros.pdf
Admin-CL (1).pdf
01.01.2024 2nd FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request.pdf
4_Day_Acknowledgement_Letter_FOI_24-86..pdf
Medeiros Response.pdf
Governor Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.zip
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
12.14.2023 Dear Matthew S. Antonetti CT DSS .pdf
12.23.2023 CHRO COMPLAINT .docx

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/11/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

Street Address: 200 Independence Avenue, SW

City: Washington

State: DC

Zip Code: 20201

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Violation of Law, Rule or Regulation
What action did they take?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Federal Officials and Departments 1. Xavier Becerra Action Taken: o Failed to enforce ADA and Medicaid compliance standards across federally funded state programs, allowing systemic violations to persist in the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program. o Did not act on multiple reports of non-compliance from whistleblowers and stakeholders. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Action Taken: o Did not ensure that CMS effectively monitored Connecticut's Medicaid program for ADA compliance, financial transparency, and operational



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	integrity. o Allowed state-level agencies to obstruct transparency and deny whistleblower protections without federal oversight. 3. Kristen Clarke Action Taken: o Failed to initiate enforcement of ADA Title II protections and investigate systemic violations of civil rights impacting individuals with disabilities. o Did not address FOIA non-compliance and transparency issues raised by whistleblowers. 4. Gene L. Dodaro Action Taken: o Did not initiate audits or reviews of Connecticut's Medicaid spending, particularly concerning allegations of misuse of funds in the ABI Waiver Program. o Allowed gaps in oversight to compromise federal accountability for taxpayer-funded programs. 5. Michael E. Horowitz Action Taken: o Did not investigate whistleblower claims or systemic failures reported within Connecticut's Medicaid administration. o Failed to ensure DOJ acted on reports of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements. 6. Christi Grimm Action Taken: o Neglected to investigate complaints regarding financial mismanagement and lack of ADA compliance in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. o Did not enforce federal oversight mechanisms to correct systemic issues despite whistleblower disclosures. 7. Eric F. Stein Action Taken: o Closed FOIA requests prematurely, providing insufficient justifications and failing to release requested records essential to transparency and accountability. o Did not uphold FOIA procedural requirements, violating federal transparency mandates. State Officials 8. Ned Lamont Action Taken: o Failed to exercise executive authority to ensure state agencies complied with federal Medicaid regulations, ADA standards, and whistleblower protections. o Neglected to address systemic mismanagement within the ABI Waiver Program under his administration. 9. Andrea Barton Reeves Action Taken: o Did not implement accountability measures to address financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mismanagement, ADA non-compliance, and operational failures in the ABI Waiver Program. o Ignored whi
When did this occur?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Timeline of Violations 1. Initial Onset of Violations: 2013 - 2024 o Persistent and systemic non-compliance with FOIA requests and ADA accommodation obligations began January 2013 and remains ongoing. o Patterns of failure in Medicaid program oversight were identified, including mismanagement of federal funds and failure to implement corrective actions in response to whistleblower complaints. 2. Key Escalation Events o November 2018 - August 2022: Reports from whistleblowers highlighted failures in Medicaid oversight, including financial mismanagemen
How did you discover this action?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Discovery Timeline and Process 1. Personal Experience with ADA Non-Compliance o In March 2024, I personally requested ADA accommodations in relation to accessing critical information under FOIA. These accommodations, including screen-reader-compatible documents, email-only communication, and simplified summaries, were explicitly denied or ignored by multiple agencies. This demonstrated a systematic failure to adhere to ADA and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act requirements. 2. Analysis of FOIA Request Responses o Between 2018 and 2024, a pattern emerged across several FOIA submissions where: • Requests were marked as "Closed" without statutory explanations or records provided, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552. • Denials of records lacked detailed justification under FOIA exemption codes, hindering transparency. • Agencies failed to release information necessary for evaluating Medicaid compliance, highlighting systemic obfuscation. 3. Whistleblower and Oversight Disclosures o Reports from whistleblowers and oversight agencies, reviewed between 2020 and 2023, revealed critical failures in Medicaid oversight. These included mismanagement of federal funds, lack of transparency, and barriers to accountability mechanisms. These disclosures were systematically disregarded by state and federal entities. 4. Review of Public and Internal Records o In 2023, I conducted an analysis of publicly available reports and agency documents. The absence of critical records related to Medicaid provider audits, enforcement of ADA compliance, and corrective actions highlighted systemic failures. Additionally: • Records requested under FOIA were not provided. • Internal communications obtained through secondary sources revealed deliberate delays and procedural barriers. 5. External Reports and Investigations o Independent reports from advocacy organizations and state auditors, reviewed in 2022, indicated pervasive issues, including financial mismanagement and inadequate ADA accommodations. These findings aligned with my personal observations and whistleblower disclosures, further validating systemic violations. 6. Direct Evidence from Correspondence and Requests o Communications with agencies like the DOJ, HHS, and CMS between 2023 and 2024 explicitly documented failures to comply with statutory obligations under FOIA and ADA. Key examples include: • Denials of accessible documentation formats. • Mismanagement



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of Medicaid provider complaints and audit records. • Refusal to provide detailed explanations for procedural denials, violating fed
What additional facts support your allegation?	Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation Description: Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability. _____ Detailed Supporting Facts 1. Failure to Adhere to ADA Standards o Federal and state agencies ignored legally mandated accommodations, creating systemic barriers to access: • Specific Violations: • Denial of screen-reader-compatible documents. • Failure to provide simplified summaries of complex records. • Refusal to use email-only communication despite explicit requests. • Impact: • This excluded individuals with disabilities, including myself, from equitable access to critical public information. 2. FOIA Mismanagement o Agencies repeatedly failed to comply with FOIA's statutory obligations: • Denials and Delays: • Requests were marked "Closed" without adequate justification or explanations for withholding records. • Agencies provided incomplete or non-existent Vaughn Indices, violating the requirements for transparency under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). • Patterns Identified: • Requests involving ADA compliance and Medicaid transparency were deprioritized or dismissed without legal grounds. 3. Obstruction of Medicaid Oversight o Agencies failed to provide records critical for assessing compliance with Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.: • Financial Mismanagement: • Records detailing the allocation and expenditure of Medicaid funds were withheld or claimed to not exist. • Independent audits suggested mismanagement of



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	federal funds in state-level programs. • Service Gaps: • Lack of transparency obstructed accountability for service disruptions impacting vulnerable Medicaid recipients. 4. Whistleblower Reports Ignored o Whistleblower disclosures provided direct evidence of systemic issues: • Unaddressed Allegations: • Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and non-compliance with ADA standards. • Retaliation against individuals reporting misconduct within government agencies. • Impact: • Whistleblowers were denied protection under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), further undermining transparency and accountability. 5. Systemic Barriers to Accountability o Internal and external communication failures obstructed oversight: • Agency Coordination Failures: • • Federal and state agencies failed to collaborate effectively to resolve transparency and compliance issues. • • Records requests routed between agencies were never fulfilled or confirmed. • Lack of Oversight: • Congressional oversight committees and federal agencies with jurisdiction did not take action to address systemic violations despite documented evidence. 6. Public Interest and Broader Implications o The violations documented have broader systemic impacts: • Health an
Allegation	Gross Mismanagement
What action did they take?	Description: Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. _____ Actions Taken by Key Individuals and Entities 1. Xavier Becerra o Action: As Secretary of HHS, failed to direct federal oversight or mandate corrective actions to ensure accountability for Medicaid funding allocated to Connecticut. Did not require CMS to enforce transparency or compliance measures for the ABI Waiver



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Program. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure o Action: As Administrator of CMS, did not initiate or enforce audits, compliance reviews, or corrective actions for systemic financial mismanagement and lack of service delivery within the program. Ignored whistleblower reports highlighting mismanagement. 3. Ned Lamont o Action: As Governor of Connecticut, neglected to use executive authority to investigate or address systemic issues within the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed state agencies to operate without adequate oversight, leading to unchecked financial inefficiencies and lapses in service provision. 4. Andrea Barton Reeves o Action: As Commissioner of Connecticut DSS, failed to establish financial tracking systems, enforce accountability measures, or address repeated instances of financial and operational inefficiencies. Did not ensure service providers met contractual and program standards. 5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon o Action: As General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, claimed "no responsive records" existed for financial oversight related to the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed transparency by failing to provide critical documentation required for accountability. 6. Gene L. Dodaro o Action: As Comptroller General of GAO, did not initiate an independent federal investigation or audit to address whistleblower allegations and evidence of financial mismanagement. Did not leverage GAO's authority to enforce Medicaid fund oversight. 7. William Tong o Action: As Attorney General of Connecticut, failed to investigate or prosecute financial mismanagement and systemic violations within the ABI Waiver Program. Did not enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations or state transparency laws. 8. Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD) o Action: Failed to enforce CMS's standards for Medicaid program oversight in Connecticut. Did not ensure corrective actions for financial mismanagement or address systemic operational failures. 9. State Medicaid Director (Name TBD) o Action: Neglected to address reports



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	of financial mismanagement, inefficiencies, and non-compliance. Did not escalate critical issues to federal oversight entities for resolution. 10. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD) o Action: Did not investigate whistleblower allegations or pursue cases of financial fraud a
When did this occur?	Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. Timeline of Occurrences 1. Initial Mismanagement (2015-2024): Systemic issues in Medicaid fund management began surfacing as early as 2015, marked by repeated reports of non-compliance with financial and operational oversight requirements. These issues persisted over the years without substantial corrective actions by state or federal authorities. 2. Whistleblower Reports (2020-Present): Numerous whistleblower complaints and reports from concerned stakeholders highlighted mismanagement and non-compliance in Medicaid funding. These reports, submitted to both state and federal agencies, were either ignored or inadequately addressed, exac
How did you discover this action?	Description: Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. Discovery Timeline and Sources 1. Personal Experience as a Medicaid Consumer Advocate (2015-Present): Through years of direct involvement as a consumer advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries (ABI), systemic issues in Medicaid fund allocation and program management became apparent. Reports of service disruptions, inconsistent reimbursements, and poor



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	administrative oversight were consistently raised by program participants and providers. 2. FOIA Requests and Denials (2022-2024): Formal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were submitted to obtain records on Medicaid program operations, including provider registry information, financial audits, and compliance reports. These requests were systematically denied or delayed, revealing a lack of transparency and accountability within the system. 3. Whistleblower Reports and Disclosures (2020-Present): Whistleblower reports from within state agencies and contracted service providers highlighted mismanagement of funds and insufficient oversight. These disclosures corroborated ongoing issues and indicated systemic failures across multiple agencies. 4. Failed ADA Accommodations and Accessibility Barriers (2021-Present): Attempts to access program-related records and services revealed ADA non-compliance, including the failure to provide accessible communication formats and reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities. This further obstructed transparency and accountability efforts. 5. Incomplete Financial Audits and Reporting (2023-2024): Reviews of publicly available financial audits and government reports indicated significant gaps in documentation and discrepancies in fund allocation. These findings were consistent with whistleblower disclosures and personal observations of systemic inefficiencies. 6. Stakeholder Feedback and Advocacy Efforts (2018-Present): Continuous feedback from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocacy organizations reinforced concerns about mismanagement, service inconsistencies, and the lack of corrective actions by responsible agencies. Key Observations • - Inaccessible Records: Repeated FOIA denials and incomplete audit reports hindered transparency. • - Service Disruptions: Reports from beneficiaries and providers revealed lapses in critical Medicaid services due to poor management. • - Whistleblower Consistency:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Multiple reports from internal and external stakeholders consistently pointed to systemic mismanagement. • Lack of Accountability: Evidence of coordination failures between federal and state agencies highlighted the absence
What additional facts support your allegation?	Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds. _____ Supporting Facts 1. Incomplete and Delayed Financial Audits: o Fact: Publicly available audit reports lack critical financial details, including fund allocation records and provider payment data. Annual audits mandated under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 431.16) are incomplete or delayed. o Evidence: Reviews of Connecticut State Auditors' reports and federal CMS audits reveal unaddressed discrepancies in Medicaid fund distribution and utilization. 2. Lack of Accountability Measures: o Fact: Key oversight bodies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Office of the State Comptroller, failed to implement effective accountability mechanisms for monitoring Medicaid expenditures. o Evidence: Whistleblower disclosures and stakeholder complaints indicate that funds were mismanaged without adequate tracking systems or follow-up actions. 3. Service Disruptions and Beneficiary Impact: o Fact: Medicaid ABI Waiver Program participants experienced service interruptions due to delayed provider payments and administrative inefficiencies. o Evidence: Testimonies from affected beneficiaries and providers document cases of delayed reimbursements, resulting in lapses in care and service quality. 4. Whistleblower Reports of Mismanagement: o Fact: Multiple whistleblowers within state agencies and contracted service providers have reported systemic failures in fund management, including overpayments,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	underpayments, and misuse of federal Medicaid funds. o Evidence: Official whistleblower reports submitted to federal and state oversight bodies detail persistent mismanagement practices over several years. 5. FOIA Non-Compliance: o Fact: Systematic denials of FOIA requests for financial and operational records indicate deliberate obfuscation by state agencies. o Evidence: FOIA requests submitted between 2022 and 2024 were either denied or delayed without valid legal justification, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552. 6. Failure to Implement Corrective Actions: o Fact: Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), failed to enforce remedial measures to address identified deficiencies. o Evidence: CMS oversight reports highlight repeated compliance failures without evidence of substantive enforcement actions or penalties. 7. Discrepancies in Provider Records: o Fact: Provider registry records for the ABI Waiver Program lack updated enrollment statuses, areas of specialty, and disciplinary actions. o Evidence: Reviews of publicly available registry data reveal outdated or incomplete information, raising concerns about transparency and oversight. 8. Coordination Failures
Allegation	Abuse of Authority
What action did they take?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. _____ Federal Officials and Entities 1. Merrick B. Garland Action Taken: o Failed to ensure DOJ divisions complied with FOIA transparency standards and ADA accommodations. o Allowed systemic delays and lack of enforcement for whistleblower protections. o Did not initiate corrective measures despite clear evidence of non-compliance. 2. Kristen Clarke Action Taken: o



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Did not enforce ADA requirements or ensure FOIA accommodations were provided by the Civil Rights Division. o Allowed denials of requests without detailed justifications or statutory basis, violating FOIA and ADA regulations. o Ignored whistleblower disclosures highlighting systemic failures. 3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Action Taken: o Failed to implement oversight measures ensuring Medicaid program compliance with ADA standards. o Did not enforce accountability for state-level violations or escalate systemic issues impacting transparency and service delivery. o Neglected whistleblower reports identifying mismanagement and ADA violations in Medicaid programs. 4. Xavier Becerra Action Taken: o Allowed systemic inaction by HHS and its subdivisions, including CMS and OCR, in addressing ADA and FOIA compliance. o Failed to enforce measures ensuring federally allocated funds were managed transparently. o Did not address barriers to accessibility or whistleblower retaliation in federally funded programs. 5. Gene L. Dodaro Action Taken: o Did not initiate audits or reviews addressing systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protections. o Failed to ensure GAO fulfilled its oversight responsibilities to safeguard taxpayer funds and public accountability. 6. Christi Grimm Action Taken: o Ignored whistleblower complaints and failed to investigate systemic ADA and FOIA violations reported within HHS-administered programs. o Did not enforce HHS OIG oversight responsibilities, allowing abuse of authority to persist unchecked. _____ State Officials and Entities 1. Ned Lamont Action Taken: o Did not use executive authority to address systemic failures in ADA compliance and Medicaid program transparency. o Allowed state agencies to deny FOIA requests and obstruct ADA-required accommodations. o Failed to ensure public accountability despite multiple reports of systemic violations. 2. Andrea Barton Reeves Action Taken: o Did not



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	enforce DSS compliance with ADA standards or ensure Medicaid transparency. o Allowed denials of FOIA requests for Medicaid provider information essential to accountability. o Ignored whistleblower disclosures identifying critical programmatic failure
When did this occur?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. _____ Comprehensive Timeline and Details of Occurrence 1. Initial Acts of Non-Compliance • Start Date: January 1, 2023 • Description: Systematic failures to comply with federal requirements began in early 2023. This included refusals to: o Respond to FOIA requests promptly or completely under 5 U.S.C. § 552. o Honor ADA accommodation requests under 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794. o Provide requested transparency regarding Medicaid ABI Waiver Program records. • Evidence: o Ignored FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies for records on Medicaid provider accountability and expenditures.
How did you discover this action?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Discovery Process 1. Direct Impact from FOIA Request Denials • Initial Request Experience: I submitted multiple FOIA requests to federal and state agencies, including the U.S. Department of State, HHS, and DOJ, seeking information critical to Medicaid oversight, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	protections. Despite providing detailed records, case numbers, and accommodations for my disabilities, the requests were either ignored, improperly denied, or marked as "Closed" without substantive response. • Examples of FOIA Denials: o Case #F-2025-03145: The U.S. Department of State claimed no responsive records existed despite clear evidence that such records were under their purview. o Agencies failed to cite valid FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), instead providing vague or irrelevant justifications, obstructing access to crucial records. 2. ADA Accommodation Violations and Barriers • Failure to Honor ADA Requests: My FOIA requests included explicit ADA accommodation requirements, such as: o Providing email-only communication. o Delivering accessible, screen-reader-compatible PDFs. o Including simplified summaries for complex documents. o Embedding response text directly in email bodies. • Observed Violations: Agencies consistently ignored these accommodations, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and 29 U.S.C. § 794 (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act). For example: o Responses required navigating inaccessible portals. o Key documents were provided in formats incompatible with assistive technologies. o Denials lacked accompanying justifications or detailed summaries. 3. Escalation by Whistleblower Reports • Whistleblower Disclosures: As a whistleblower and advocate, I received corroborating reports from other stakeholders, including: o Medicaid providers frustrated by the lack of oversight and financial accountability in the ABI Waiver Program. o Beneficiaries who faced service disruptions due to mismanagement. o State and federal employees who witnessed internal failures but feared retaliation under inadequate whistleblower protections. • Corroborated Failures: Reports highlighted deliberate non-compliance, systemic fraud, and barriers to transparency that aligned with my own experiences, underscoring the depth of abuse. 4. Documented Patterns of Obstruction •



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Repeated Lack of Transparency: Agencies demonstrated a recurring pattern of: - o Providing non-substantive or contradictory responses to FOIA and whistleblower inquiries. - o Closing cases without addressing the core issues or fulfilling requests. - o Avoiding account
What additional facts support your allegation?	Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Additional Facts 1. Pattern of FOIA Denials Without Legal Justification • Improperly Closed Requests: Numerous FOIA requests, including Case #F-2025-03145, were marked as "Closed" without substantive responses or valid exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Agencies consistently failed to: - o Provide a Vaughn Index or detailed explanation for redactions or denials. - o Cite specific legal grounds for withholding requested records. • Obstructive Practices: Agencies imposed barriers such as: - o Redirecting requests to inaccessible external portals. - o Requiring the use of password-protected links incompatible with ADA accommodations. - o Refusing to identify responsible personnel or decision-makers in responses. 2. Systematic ADA Accommodation Violations • Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations: Despite explicit ADA requests based on cognitive impairments resulting from a traumatic brain injury, agencies repeatedly: - o Failed to use email-only communication as requested. - o Denied requests to embed text directly in the email body for screen-reader compatibility. - o Provided documents in inaccessible formats, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and 29 U.S.C. § 794 (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act). • Evidence of Non-Compliance: - o Multiple correspondences lacked accessible



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	formatting, rendering the information unusable for individuals with disabilities. o Requests for simplified summaries of complex records were ignored, creating further barriers to understanding. 3. Whistleblower Disclosures Highlighting Agency Failures • Corroborating Testimonies: Whistleblowers within federal and state agencies reported systemic efforts to avoid accountability, including: o Suppressing records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. o Failing to address internal reports of non-compliance with federal transparency and anti-discrimination laws. • Retaliation Risks: Whistleblowers expressed concerns about retaliation, citing inadequate protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) (Whistleblower Protection Act). 4. Lack of Oversight and Accountability • State and Federal Coordination Failures: Agencies failed to collaborate effectively to address known issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. For example: o The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and Office of the State Comptroller failed to provide requested financial records to federal oversight entities. o Federal agencies, including CMS and OCR, did not enforce corrective actions or audits to address these gaps. • Absence of Oversight Reports: Publicly available audits and
Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence demonstrates systemic lack of financial accountability, resulting in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Detailed Actions Taken by Key Individuals and Entities 1. Xavier Becerra o Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) o Actions Taken: • Failed to implement federal oversight mechanisms to prevent improper fund usage at the state level. • Did not mandate audits or issue directives for immediate corrective action despite reports of financial irregularities



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	in Medicaid programs. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure o Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) o Actions Taken: • Neglected to enforce Medicaid financial compliance and reporting standards in Connecticut. • Failed to require Connecticut DSS to reconcile discrepancies in expenditures and address improper payments to providers. 3. Ned Lamont o Title: Governor of Connecticut o Actions Taken: • Allowed systemic inefficiencies and financial mismanagement to persist under his administration. • Did not provide adequate state-level oversight or allocate resources to prevent improper Medicaid fund utilization. 4. Andrea Barton Reeves o Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) o Actions Taken: • Allowed overpayments and unverified billing by service providers. • Failed to implement transparent financial tracking systems or address known inefficiencies within the ABI Waiver Program. 5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon o Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut o Actions Taken: • Did not ensure financial accountability or enforce compliance with Medicaid fund allocation requirements. • Failed to conduct audits to address discrepancies in financial reporting. 6. William Tong o Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut o Actions Taken: • Did not investigate or pursue legal actions against parties involved in the misuse of Medicaid funds. • Ignored whistleblower complaints and reports of systemic financial mismanagement. 7. Everett L. Handford o Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 o Actions Taken: • Failed to conduct regional audits or investigations to ensure compliance with Medicaid financial standards. • Neglected to enforce accountability measures for state agencies managing Medicaid funds. 8. Marjorie Sozanski o Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) o Actions Taken: • Did not investigate fraudulent claims or financial mismanagement within the ABI Waiver



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Program. • Allowed improper billing practices to persist unchecked. 9. John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane o Title: State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts o Actions Taken: • Failed to identify or address systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid fund utilization during audits. • Did not publish detailed findings on the mismanagement of
When did this occur?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Detailed Timeline of Events and Actions Phase 1: Initial Failures to Establish Accountability Mechanisms (2013–2017) 1. Timeline: o Financial mismanagement within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program began as early as 2013, following changes to Medicaid fund allocation policies and lack of internal checks. 2. Evidence: o Auditor Reports: Early internal DSS reviews identified missing provider documentation, including unverified claims and missing invoices. o Whistleblower Attempts: Several internal whistleblowers flagged the lack of standardized financial oversight protocols during this period. 3. Impact: o Opportunities for early intervention were missed, enabling systemic inefficiencies and overpa
How did you discover this action?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Discovery Timeline Phase 1: Initial Observations and Emerging Red Flags (2013–2017) 1. Inconsistent Financial Records: • During a review of publicly available Medicaid expenditure data and state-level reports, inconsistencies emerged between allocated federal Medicaid funds and reported program expenditures. • Certain categories of expenditures were either unaccounted for or significantly inflated



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	without supporting documentation. 2. Provider Complaints and Anecdotal Evidence: • Direct communication from service providers indicated delays in payments, while others reported unexplained overpayments. • Providers shared concerns that the Department of Social Services (DSS) lacked adequate mechanisms to verify service claims. 3. Internal Whistleblower Disclosures: • Several DSS employees confidentially disclosed concerns about the absence of a standardized auditing process to track Medicaid funds. • These disclosures highlighted that mismanagement stemmed from systemic inefficiencies and a lack of oversight. _____ Phase 2: Escalation of Irregularities and Whistleblower Reports (2018–2020) 1. Access to Internal Reports and State Audit Summaries: • Access to internal summaries revealed substantial gaps in financial audits conducted by DSS and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM). • Audit summaries lacked reconciliation data, with certain financial anomalies labeled as "pending review" for years without resolution. 2. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests: • Formal FOIA requests for detailed financial records and program audits yielded insufficient responses or outright denials, raising further concerns about transparency. • Redacted documents failed to provide clarity regarding the disposition of unspent Medicaid funds. 3. Advocacy and Beneficiary Reports: • Advocacy organizations working with Medicaid beneficiaries documented recurring service delays attributed to unexplained funding shortages. • Beneficiaries reported disruptions in critical services, such as in-home support and rehabilitative care, which providers cited as stemming from inconsistent fund allocation. _____ Phase 3: Federal Oversight and FOIA Disclosures (2021–2023) 1. Responses from Federal Agencies (CMS and HHS): • Responses to FOIA requests from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) revealed a lack of federal oversight into Connecticut's Medicaid expenditures. • CMS officials acknowledged receiving "high-level concerns" about Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program but failed to provide detailed compliance reports. 2. Whistle
What additional facts support your allegation?	Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Supporting Facts A. Audit Deficiencies and Financial Mismanagement 1. Absence of Comprehensive Audits oThe Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to conduct or provide complete and accurate audits of Medicaid expenditures within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. o State Auditors of Public Accounts did not identify or resolve discrepancies in provider claims, leaving millions of dollars unaccounted for. o Annual reports lacked reconciliation between allocated federal Medicaid funds and actual program expenditures. 2. Overpayments and Unverified Claims o Evidence from whistleblower reports indicates overpayments to service providers who submitted unverified or incomplete claims. o Medicaid service providers billed for services outside their contractual scope, and no mechanism was in place to flag or investigate these discrepancies. 3. Improper Fund Allocations o Significant funds were categorized under vague or generic labels such as "miscellaneous expenditures," without supporting documentation or explanation. o Disproportionate increases in administrative costs were recorded with no corresponding improvements in program delivery. _____ B. Federal and State Oversight Failures 1. Inadequate Federal Oversight by CMS o The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) did not enforce financial accountability measures for state-level Medicaid



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	operations in Connecticut. o Despite receiving high-level complaints about inefficiencies and waste, CMS failed to initiate audits or corrective actions. 2. Neglect by the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) o The Connecticut Office of Policy and Management allowed systemic inefficiencies to persist without coordinating with DSS or other oversight bodies to implement corrective measures. 3. HHS Region 1 Negligence o The HHS Regional Director did not ensure that DSS complied with Medicaid program integrity standards, contributing to unchecked financial waste. _____ C. Whistleblower and Advocacy Disclosures 1. Whistleblower Testimonies o Internal DSS whistleblowers reported systemic mismanagement, including deliberate efforts to suppress findings of financial discrepancies to avoid federal scrutiny. o Whistleblower disclosures documented gaps in financial reporting, including missing expenditure details and unverified provider reimbursements. 2. Advocacy Organization Investigations o Nonprofit organizations investigating Medicaid program inefficiencies found patterns of financial irregularities, including delayed reimbursements and gaps in service delivery tied to unaccounted funds. _____
Allegation	Danger to Public Health
What action did they take?	The mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program by federal, state, and contracted entities has directly jeopardized the health and safety of brain injury survivors. These failures include non-compliance with Medicaid regulations, lack of ADA accommodations, and insufficient oversight, all of which have resulted in inadequate care and service disruptions for vulnerable populations. Below is a comprehensive detailing of the actions and omissions that contributed to this systemic failure. _____ Federal Oversight Failures 1. Xavier Becerra • Action Taken: o Did not establish or enforce oversight mechanisms within HHS to monitor Connecticut's Medicaid ABI



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Waiver Program. o Allowed gaps in program accountability that led to service failures for brain injury survivors. o Failed to mandate corrective actions despite reports of systemic violations affecting public health. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure • Action Taken: o Did not enforce federal Medicaid standards requiring states to ensure continuity of care for beneficiaries. o Allowed Connecticut DSS to operate the ABI Waiver Program without addressing critical failures in compliance and service delivery. o Neglected to conduct federal-level audits or impose penalties for non-compliance, leaving programmatic failures unchecked. 3. Everett L. Handford • Action Taken: o Failed to require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies under his purview as HHS Region 1 Director. o Did not intervene to address complaints or public health risks reported by beneficiaries and whistleblowers. o Neglected to provide direct oversight or escalate systemic issues to CMS leadership. State Leadership Failures 4. Ned Lamont • Action Taken: o Did not use executive authority to initiate investigations or reforms to address deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program. o Allowed inadequate funding and mismanagement to persist, compromising essential healthcare services. o Failed to establish an inter-agency task force to address widespread non-compliance and service disruptions. 5. Andrea Barton Reeves • Action Taken: o Did not implement operational or compliance reforms within DSS to ensure adequate service delivery under the ABI Waiver Program. o Allowed unverified service providers and contractors to continue operating without accountability, resulting in gaps in care. o Overlooked systemic complaints from Medicaid beneficiaries regarding lapses in healthcare services. 6. William Tong • Action Taken: o Failed to investigate legal violations tied to mismanagement and public health risks within the ABI



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Waiver Program. o Did not initiate lawsuits or legal actions to compel programmatic compliance with state and federal laws. o Ignored whistleblower complaints highlighting imminent risks to vulnerable populations. State Medicaid Leadership Failures 7. Jeffrey Beckham • Action Taken: o Did not address
When did this occur?	The mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has persisted over a decade, marked by systemic failures, lapses in oversight, and non-compliance with federal laws. These failures have directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors reliant on Medicaid services. Below is a comprehensive and detailed timeline highlighting key events and patterns of negligence contributing to the ongoing public health crisis. _____ Detailed Timeline of Events 1. Program Establishment and Initial Oversight Failures (2010–2015): • Program Creation and Goals: The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program was created to provide essential services for individuals with brain injuries, enabling them to live independently in the community. • Early Indicators of Non-Compliance: o Lack of foundational oversight mechanisms to ensure compliance with Medicaid standards, including the verification of service provider qualific
How did you discover this action?	Mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors dependent on Medicaid services. This discovery stems from a combination of firsthand observations, whistleblower disclosures, beneficiary complaints, documented evidence of non-compliance, and systematic failures reported across multiple oversight entities. _____ Detailed and Comprehensive Account 1. Firsthand Observations and Personal Experience • Direct Interactions with the Program: As a stakeholder in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I have experienced recurring issues, including: o Delays in



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	service provision such as cognitive rehabilitation, case management, and transportation services. o Denials of essential care despite documented medical necessity. o Non-compliance with ADA accommodations, creating barriers to accessing information and program resources. • Impact on Beneficiaries: Personal interactions with other program beneficiaries revealed a widespread pattern of unmet healthcare needs, inconsistent service delivery, and financial strain due to out-of-pocket expenses for services that Medicaid is obligated to cover. _____ 2. Whistleblower Disclosures • Internal Reports of Program Mismanagement: Whistleblowers, including state employees and Medicaid service providers, have disclosed critical information, including: o Improper financial management, including delayed reimbursements and unverified claims. o Neglect of federal compliance requirements, particularly related to ADA, FOIA, and Medicaid standards. o Service gaps resulting from systemic inefficiencies and lack of accountability. • Barriers to Transparency: Whistleblower reports have also highlighted deliberate obstruction by state and federal agencies to prevent public scrutiny, including: o Denial of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. o Refusal to disclose internal audits and corrective action plans. _____ 3. Beneficiary Complaints • Recurring Themes in Complaints: Formal and informal complaints submitted by program beneficiaries reveal systemic failures, including: o Interrupted access to care due to provider shortages and reimbursement delays. o Poor communication and lack of guidance from program administrators. o Health and safety risks resulting from delays or denials of medically necessary services. • Documented Cases: Specific cases include: o Individuals who experienced worsened medical conditions due to delayed therapies or treatments. o Caregivers who were forced to bear the financial



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	burden of essential services after Medicaid failed to reimburse providers. _____ 4. FOIA Responses and Non-Responses • Incomplete or Denied FOIA Requests: o FOIA requests submitted to federal (CMS, HHS) and state agencies (DSS, State Comptroller) have been met with either outright denials or inc
What additional facts support your allegation?	Mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors dependent on Medicaid services. Additional facts underscore programmatic failures, systemic negligence, and non-compliance with federal laws, resulting in immediate and long-term risks to public health. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Evidence 1. Documented Failures in Program Administration • Service Interruptions: o Significant delays in care delivery, including cognitive rehabilitation, behavioral therapy, and transportation, have been widely reported. These interruptions jeopardize recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors. o Gaps in service provider availability have led to individuals missing critical medical appointments and therapies, exacerbating pre-existing conditions. • Failure to Reassess Eligibility Timely: o Many beneficiaries report being dropped from the program or facing delays in eligibility reassessments, leaving them without access to essential medical and support services. o DSS failed to meet its obligation to ensure continuity of care during transitional periods, violating Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a. _____ 2. Non-Compliance with ADA and Accessibility Requirements • Lack of ADA-Compliant Communication: o DSS and state contractors have failed to provide clear, accessible communication methods for individuals with disabilities, as mandated by Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132). o Beneficiaries with cognitive impairments, including those caused by brain injuries, were denied reasonable accommodations to navigate the program, including



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	simplified application processes and accessible documentation. • Barriers to Transparency: o FOIA requests related to program operations were systematically denied or delayed without justification, further obstructing beneficiaries' ability to understand or challenge program decisions. _____ 3. Evidence of Systemic Neglect by Oversight Entities • Failure to Enforce Compliance: o Federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to enforce compliance with Medicaid standards and ADA requirements, despite clear evidence of systemic violations. o HHS Region 1, which oversees Medicaid operations in Connecticut, did not conduct regular audits or require corrective actions to address deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program. • Whistleblower Testimonies: o Internal whistleblower reports highlighted severe administrative lapses, including the diversion of funds intended for beneficiary services and negligence in addressing service complaints. These reports were ignored or suppressed by DSS and related agencies. _____ 4. Financial Mismanagement Impacting Public Health • Improper Allocation of Funds: o A significant portion of Medicaid funds was diverted to administrative overhead, rather than being used to directly benefit bra
Allegation	Danger to Public Safety
What action did they take?	Ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program have directly contributed to systemic risks threatening public safety. These actions—or inactions—by federal, state, and contracted entities have jeopardized the health and well-being of vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services. _____ Detailed Actions Taken Federal Entities and Officials 1. Xavier Becerra o Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) o Action Taken: • Failed to ensure that federal Medicaid oversight



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	mechanisms effectively addressed public safety risks tied to programmatic failures. • Did not enforce corrective measures or direct HHS agencies, such as CMS, to intervene in the systemic issues reported in Connecticut. • Allowed the continuation of non-compliance with federal Medicaid standards and ADA regulations. 2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure o Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) o Action Taken: • Did not mandate state-level compliance with Medicaid program standards to protect public safety. • Neglected to enforce the timely resolution of risks identified in whistleblower disclosures and audits. • Allowed Connecticut DSS to operate without proper oversight or accountability measures to address public safety concerns. 3. Everett L. Handford o Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 o Action Taken: • Failed to monitor Connecticut's compliance with Medicaid service delivery standards. • Did not escalate known risks to federal authorities or direct interventions to mitigate public safety concerns in the ABI Waiver Program. _____ State Entities and Officials 4. Ned Lamont o Title: Governor of Connecticut o Action Taken: • Failed to exercise executive authority to resolve systemic issues in the ABI Waiver Program. • Did not direct state agencies to prioritize public safety or implement reforms to mitigate risks to Medicaid beneficiaries. • Allowed ineffective policies and practices to persist under his administration, threatening vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services. 5. Andrea Barton Reeves o Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) o Action Taken: • Did not implement oversight mechanisms to ensure that Medicaid services met required safety standards. • Failed to address reported deficiencies in service delivery, including delays, denials, and inadequate care for brain injury survivors. • Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist, compromising the health and



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	safety of Medicaid recipients. 6. William Tong o Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut o Action Taken: • Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid service delivery and public safety. • Failed to respond to whistleblower disclosures detailing risks to vulnerable populations. 7. Yamuna (Yam) Menon o Title: General Counsel
When did this occur?	Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services began manifesting years ago due to repeated failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These failures evolved into a systemic crisis, marked by recurring incidents and escalating public safety threats from 2015 through the present day. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Timeline Phase 1: Early Warning Signs and Systemic Weaknesses (2015–2018) • 2015: o Event: Initial whistleblower complaints about improper fund allocations within the ABI Waiver Program. o Impact: • Delays in approving Medicaid service claims for brain injury survivors. • Complaints from providers about non-payment and inconsistencies in reimbursement processes. • 2016: o Event: Audits from the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management flagged irregularities in Medicaid fund usage, but no corrective measu
How did you discover this action?	Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services caused by the ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery. Evidence underscores potential harm to public trust and safety if these issues persist. The discovery of these systemic failures was the result of a combination of direct experiences, whistleblower reports, formal complaints, and document reviews highlighting critical gaps in Medicaid program administration and public safety measures. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Account of Discovery 1.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Direct Experiences as a Medicaid Consumer and Advocate (2015–Present) • Observation of Service Failures: As an advocate for brain injury survivors, I have consistently encountered cases where Medicaid recipients under the ABI Waiver Program were denied necessary medical and rehabilitative services due to administrative inefficiencies. o Impact: • Delays in accessing life-sustaining care. • Increased risk of hospitalization and long-term health complications for brain injury survivors. • Reports from Medicaid Recipients and Families: o Recipients reported excessive delays in service approvals, arbitrary denials of coverage, and inconsistent communication from the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). o Families detailed the emotional and financial toll caused by service interruptions and administrative barriers. 2. Whistleblower Disclosures (2017–Present) • 2017–2020: o Whistleblowers within DSS and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including improper billing practices, untracked expenditures, and a lack of oversight for service delivery. o Complaints filed with DSS leadership and federal agencies, including CMS and HHS Region 1, failed to prompt meaningful action. • 2021–2024: o Disclosures to advocacy groups and federal oversight agencies, including the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), revealed: • Repeated violations of ADA requirements for equitable service delivery. • Failures to investigate or address complaints regarding Medicaid program transparency and accountability. o Whistleblower reports were supported by internal emails, incomplete financial records, and audit reports that demonstrated gross mismanagement. 3. Formal Complaints and FOIA Requests (2020–2024) • Filing of Complaints: o Numerous complaints were filed with DSS, CMS, and OCR concerning gaps in Medicaid service



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	delivery, financial tracking, and ADA compliance. o These complaints were met with inadequate responses, with federal and state agencies often redirecting responsibility without taking corrective action. FOIA Requests: o Requests for transparency, including records on Medicaid provider compliance, financial audits, and internal oversight policies, were systematically denied or delayed. o Respo
What additional facts support your allegation?	Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services caused by the ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery. Evidence underscores potential harm to public trust and safety if these issues persist. _____ Comprehensive and Detailed Supporting Facts 1. Documented Service Delivery Failures • Inconsistent Access to Services: Medicaid recipients under the ABI Waiver Program have faced delays, denials, and inconsistencies in accessing critical medical and rehabilitative services. o Examples: - Home care services denied or delayed, leaving recipients without essential daily living assistance. • - Medical transportation services inconsistently provided, causing missed appointments and worsening health outcomes. • - Provider Shortages and Disruptions: Reports indicate frequent interruptions in services due to provider shortages, contract mismanagement, and financial instability. o Evidence: • Testimonies from recipients and providers during legislative hearings cite systemic gaps in service coverage. • Complaints filed with DSS highlight the program's inability to ensure service continuity. _____ 2. Whistleblower Reports Highlighting Negligence • Systemic Neglect by State and Federal Agencies: Whistleblowers have provided detailed accounts of negligence within DSS, CMS, and HHS oversight processes. o Issues Identified: • Lack of investigations into complaints about service failures. • Ignoring patterns of non-compliance with ADA and Medicaid program requirements. o Evidence Provided: •



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	Internal emails, budget records, and complaints submitted to DSS and CMS documenting inefficiencies. _____ 3. Financial Mismanagement Impacting Public Safety • Improper Fund Allocation: Gross mismanagement of federally allocated Medicaid funds has resulted in overpayments to certain providers and insufficient funding for critical services. o Evidence: • Financial audits from Connecticut's Office of the State Comptroller reveal discrepancies in fund allocation. • Lack of proper financial tracking mechanisms cited in whistleblower reports. • Impact on Public Safety: Mismanagement has directly undermined the availability and quality of services essential to public health, increasing risks of preventable hospitalizations, deteriorating health outcomes, and even fatalities. _____ 4. Inadequate Oversight and Accountability • Lack of Federal Intervention: Despite evidence of systemic failures, federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS Region 1, failed to enforce corrective actions or conduct meaningful audits. oEvidence: • Absence of any comprehensive federal audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program over the past five years. • CMS documentation highlighting deficiencies but failing to mandate corrective measures. • State-Level Oversight Gaps: Connecticut DSS and the

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Comman
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o	Michael E. Horowitz Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Department of Justice, o
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm	Eric F. Stein Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services Position in Chain of Comm
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

		Position in Chain of Comm	
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Department of Social Services (DSS)	Department of Social Services (DSS)	Department of Social Services (DSS)	
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)	Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)	Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)	Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)
State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)	State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)	State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)	State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)
Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)
State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Legislative Oversight Committees	Legislative Oversight Committees	Legislative Oversight Committees	Legislative Oversight Committees



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1	Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1	Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1	Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1
Kate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	ate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	ate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state	ate McEvoy Title: Former Director, Connecticut Medicaid Program Role: Previously oversaw the state
Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut	Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut	Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut	Christopher Godialis Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), State of Connecticut
Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department	Merrick B. Garland Title: U.S. Attorney General Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Department
Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command	Kristen Clarke Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division Position in Chain of Command
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in	Gene L. Dodaro Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Position in
Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS	Christi Grimm Title: Inspector General Position in Chain of Command: Health and Human Services (HHS)
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar	Manisha Juthani, MD Title: Commissioner Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Depar
James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability Position in Chain of Comm	James Comer
Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and	Gary Peters Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Position i



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Governmental Affairs Position i	Governmental Affairs Position i	Governmental Affairs Position i	
Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Dick Durbin Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee Position in Chain of Command: Supervises feder
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant	Y



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati
Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts	State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts
Robert Kane Title: State Auditor, Connecticut	Robert M. Kane Title: State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Account	Robert Kane Title: State Auditor, Connecticut	Robert Kane Title: State Auditor, Connecticut
Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Connecticut Office of Po
CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director	CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director	CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director	CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Private Auditing Firms	Private Auditing Firms	Private Auditing Firms	Private Auditing Firms
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha	Jeffrey Beckham Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) Position in Cha
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Marjorie Sozanski Title: Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee	Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee	Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee	Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee
State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)
John C. Geragosian	John C. Geragosian	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)
Robert M. Kane Title: State	Robert M. Kane Title: State	State Auditors of Public Accounts	Robert M. Kane



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Account	Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Account	(John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)	
Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha	Xavier Becerra Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Position in Cha
Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks- LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1	Everett L. Handford Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1 Role: Serves as the primary representati
Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu	Ned Lamont Title: Governor Position in Chain of Command: Chief Executive of the State of Connecticu
Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Andrea Barton Reeves Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut	William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut
Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta	Yamuna (Yam) Menon Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut Office of the Sta
Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)	Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)	State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)
Private Auditing Firms Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS	Private Auditing Firms Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS	Private Auditing Firms Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS	Private Auditing Firms
Connecticut State Legislature Title: Members of the General Assembly	Connecticut State Legislature	Connecticut State Legislature Title: Members of the General Assembly	Connecticut State Legislature Title: Members of the General Assembly
Congressional Oversight Committees	Congressional Oversight Committees	Congressional Oversight Committees	Congressional Oversight Committees

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

Documentation Attached. This submission constitutes an urgent and non-negotiable demand for immediate enforcement of federal and constitutional laws to rectify systemic



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

violations and gross mismanagement in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. The current failings jeopardize public safety, waste taxpayer resources, and violate the constitutional rights of Medicaid recipients, whistleblowers, and taxpayers. The Office of Special Counsel (OSC), in collaboration with federal oversight bodies, must act decisively to restore accountability, ensure compliance, and protect vulnerable populations.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
12.07.2024 Enforce Federal and Constitutional Compliance Without Delay HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
efilecomplaint · Custom Portal.pdf
USAJOBS 2 - Profile Dashboard.pdf
Thank You, David Medeiros!.pdf
643743803.pdf
David Medeiros (1).pdf
USAJOBS - Profile Dashboard.pdf
643741292.pdf
Application Progress Review and Submit.pdf
Application Progress CMS Thank You, !.pdf
CMS application fro employment Review and Submit.pdf
545526-ZDV DOJ Submission complete.pdf
545526-ZDV DOJ Submission complete.pdf
requests (22).csv
Subject_ Comprehensive FOIA Request for Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program Records (Office of the State Comptroller) (3).zip
12.07.2024 Enforce Federal and Constitutional Compliance Without Delay HHS CMS OCR OIG DOJ GAO USC FOIA ADA DSS OSC DAS OAG FOIC CGS DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .docx
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-12022024333 (1).pdf
OSCFiling-MedeirosDavid-DI-11252024342 (2).pdf
DOJ Complaints 534659-XGL and 539298-RJM, ADA Compliance, and Related Correspondence (Department Of Justice) (3).zip
12.05.2024 foia doj Binder1.pdf
12.04.2024 Protecting and Representing All Americans A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice Accountability and Constitutional Rights Representation Medicaid DB.42.131.Inf. ABI Medeiros Connecticut DOGE .pdf
DAVID MEDEIROS VS CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DSS CT GOV 10.30.2024 .PDF
09.24.2024
Federal Intervention HHS_OIG_CMS_GAO_DOJ_OCR_Whistleblower_Report_2024.pdf
requests (26).csv



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

FOIA_Acknowledgement_Letter_-_FIG_-_Medeiros.pdf
Admin-CL (1).pdf
01.01.2024 2nd FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request.pdf
4_Day_Acknowledgement_Letter_FOI_24-86..pdf
Medeiros Response.pdf
Governor Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.zip
2410220 CAR Dismissal .pdf
12.14.2023 Dear Matthew S. Antonetti CT DSS .pdf
12.23.2023 CHRO COMPLAINT .docx

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218, Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 12/11/2024

PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Medicaid Oversight for the Connecticut Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver

Street Address: 7500 Security Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21244

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	Failed to Conduct a Reasonable FOIA Search: CMS improperly claimed no responsive federal records existed for my FOIA request (No. 110620247022), despite its statutory oversight of Medicaid programs. Improperly Deferred Responsibility to State Agencies: CMS instructed me to contact Connecticut state agencies for Medicaid-related records, even though my request pertains to federal oversight, communications, and compliance activities. Violated ADA Accommodations: CMS ignored explicit accommodations for my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including exclusive MuckRock communication, accessible formats, and simplified summaries. Obstructed Transparency and Accountability: CMS's inadequate response delayed access to records critical for Medicaid program oversight, whistleblower protections, and public accountability.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? Initial FOIA Request Submission: October 29, 2024 Acknowledgment of Request: November 7, 2024 CMS's Adverse Response: November 12, 2024 Continued Non-Compliance: CMS has not addressed follow-up requests for ADA accommodations, clarification of search methodology, or its oversight role.
How did you discover this action?	I discovered CMS's failures and misconduct through its official response to my FOIA request. The response: Claimed no responsive records existed. Directed me to state agencies despite the federal nature of the request. Did not acknowledge or implement required ADA accommodations. These actions revealed systemic issues in CMS's FOIA processing, accessibility practices, and oversight responsibilities.
What additional facts support your allegation?	FOIA Obligations Ignored: CMS failed to fulfill its responsibilities under 5 U.S.C. § 552, which requires agencies to search for and provide records in their possession or control. CMS's oversight role for Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver, inherently involves federal communications, audits, and compliance documentation. ADA Accommodations Violated: CMS ignored explicit accommodations outlined in my FOIA request, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). My accommodations included exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. Potential Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority: CMS's refusal to disclose records involving contractors (Accenture and Manatt) raises concerns about the misuse of federal funds and inadequate oversight. The requested records are critical for assessing Medicaid program integrity, particularly the ABI Waiver, which serves vulnerable populations. Constitutional Rights Violations: CMS's inadequate response infringes upon my First Amendment right to petition the government and my Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection and due process. Delayed Transparency Impacts Public Accountability: CMS's actions obstruct efforts to identify potential waste,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	fraud, or abuse, undermining whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302). What Action Would You Like OSC to Take? Investigate CMS for Mismanagement and Violations: Evaluate CMS's handling of FOIA requests for systemic issues, including its failure to conduct reasonable searches and comply with ADA accommodations. Investigate CMS's oversight of contractors (Accenture and Manatt) to ensure no misuse of federal Medicaid funds. Compel CMS to Comply with FOIA and ADA: Require CMS to conduct a new, comprehensive search for responsive records. Enforce ADA accommodations for all communications and document delivery. Address Systemic Failures: Recommend reforms to CMS's FOIA and ADA compliance practices to prevent future violations. Evaluate CMS's records management practices under the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. § 3101). Protect Whistleblower Transparency: Ensure CMS's actions do not obstruct access to critical records needed for public accountability or whistleblower disclosures.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Chiquita	Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, CMS, responsible for agency-wide oversight and compliance.	Administrator, CMS, responsible for agency-wide oversight and compliance.
Emmett	Nicholson	Director, Division of FOIA Analysis – C	Oversees FOIA processing and compliance within the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), r



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Desiree	Gaynor	FOIA Public Liaison, CMS,	responsible for ensuring FOIA requesters receive transparent and accessible service.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

1. Investigate CMS for Mismanagement and Violations

Evaluate CMS's Handling of FOIA Requests:

Conduct a comprehensive investigation into CMS's systemic failures in processing FOIA requests, including:

Inadequate searches that fail to meet the "reasonably calculated" standard required under 5 U.S.C. § 552.

Repeated violations of ADA accommodations as mandated by 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794.

Examine CMS's Oversight of Contractors (Accenture and Manatt):

Investigate potential misuse of taxpayer funds related to Medicaid program contracts with Accenture and Manatt, including:

Lack of transparency in contractor oversight.

Whether CMS's failures have allowed waste, fraud, or abuse in federal Medicaid funding programs such as the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver.

2. Compel CMS to Comply with FOIA and ADA

Require a New, Comprehensive Search for Responsive Records:

Direct CMS to conduct a proper search for records responsive to FOIA Request No. 110620247022. This search must include:

Oversight records, federal communications, compliance audits, and policy guidance related to Connecticut Medicaid programs.

Communications involving contractors like Accenture and Manatt.

Transparent documentation of CMS's search methodology, including divisions, databases, and personnel involved.

Enforce Full ADA Compliance:

Mandate that CMS comply with legally required ADA accommodations, ensuring:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for communication and document delivery.

Accessible formats for records, including screen-reader-compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex documents.

Identification of responsible personnel in all communications for accountability.

3. Address Systemic Failures

Recommend Reforms to FOIA and ADA Compliance Practices:

Propose corrective actions for CMS to ensure future compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection laws, such as:

Improved training for personnel handling FOIA requests.

Clear accountability mechanisms for ADA accommodations during FOIA processing.

Evaluate CMS's Records Management Practices:

Investigate whether CMS's records management complies with the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. § 3101), particularly regarding Medicaid oversight records. Recommend improvements to ensure CMS properly retains and retrieves federally mandated documents.

4. Protect Whistleblower Transparency

Ensure CMS Does Not Obstruct Whistleblower Protections:

Evaluate CMS's practices to prevent any obstruction of access to records critical for public accountability, whistleblower disclosures, and potential investigations into waste, fraud, or abuse.

Safeguard Transparency for Public Interest:

Ensure CMS's actions uphold whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), particularly regarding Medicaid oversight and contractor accountability.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Records Regarding Connecticut Medicaid Programs, ABI Waiver, Amendments, and Federal Agency Oversight and Communications with Accenture and Manatt (2012–Present) (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services).zip
Directory of all FOIA Contacts and Administrative Guidelines for all Connecticut Government Agencies (Office Of Policy And Management) (1).zip
110620247022 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
requests (6).csv
requests (5).csv
requests (4).csv

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218,
Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/26/2024

***PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND
TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT
YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.***



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Medicaid Oversight for the Connecticut Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver

Street Address: 7500 Security Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21244

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	Failed to Conduct a Reasonable FOIA Search: CMS improperly claimed no responsive federal records existed for my FOIA request (No. 110620247022), despite its statutory oversight of Medicaid programs. Improperly Deferred Responsibility to State Agencies: CMS instructed me to contact Connecticut state agencies for Medicaid-related records, even though my request pertains to federal oversight, communications, and compliance activities. Violated ADA Accommodations: CMS ignored explicit accommodations for my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including exclusive MuckRock communication, accessible formats, and simplified summaries. Obstructed Transparency and Accountability: CMS's inadequate response delayed access to records critical for Medicaid program oversight, whistleblower protections, and public accountability.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? Initial FOIA Request Submission: October 29, 2024 Acknowledgment of Request: November 7, 2024 CMS's Adverse Response: November 12, 2024 Continued Non-Compliance: CMS has not addressed follow-up requests for ADA accommodations, clarification of search methodology, or its oversight role.
How did you discover this action?	I discovered CMS's failures and misconduct through its official response to my FOIA request. The response: Claimed no responsive records existed. Directed me to state agencies despite the federal nature of the request. Did not acknowledge or implement required ADA accommodations. These actions revealed systemic issues in CMS's FOIA processing, accessibility practices, and oversight responsibilities.
What additional facts support your allegation?	FOIA Obligations Ignored: CMS failed to fulfill its responsibilities under 5 U.S.C. § 552, which requires agencies to search for and provide records in their possession or control. CMS's oversight role for Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver, inherently involves federal communications, audits, and compliance documentation. ADA Accommodations Violated: CMS ignored explicit accommodations outlined in my FOIA request, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). My accommodations included exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. Potential Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority: CMS's refusal to disclose records involving contractors (Accenture and Manatt) raises concerns about the misuse of federal funds and inadequate oversight. The requested records are critical for assessing Medicaid program integrity, particularly the ABI Waiver, which serves vulnerable populations. Constitutional Rights Violations: CMS's inadequate response infringes upon my First Amendment right to petition the government and my Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection and due process. Delayed Transparency Impacts Public Accountability: CMS's actions obstruct efforts to identify potential waste,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	fraud, or abuse, undermining whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302). What Action Would You Like OSC to Take? Investigate CMS for Mismanagement and Violations: Evaluate CMS's handling of FOIA requests for systemic issues, including its failure to conduct reasonable searches and comply with ADA accommodations. Investigate CMS's oversight of contractors (Accenture and Manatt) to ensure no misuse of federal Medicaid funds. Compel CMS to Comply with FOIA and ADA: Require CMS to conduct a new, comprehensive search for responsive records. Enforce ADA accommodations for all communications and document delivery. Address Systemic Failures: Recommend reforms to CMS's FOIA and ADA compliance practices to prevent future violations. Evaluate CMS's records management practices under the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. § 3101). Protect Whistleblower Transparency: Ensure CMS's actions do not obstruct access to critical records needed for public accountability or whistleblower disclosures.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Chiquita	Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, CMS, responsible for agency-wide oversight and compliance.	Administrator, CMS, responsible for agency-wide oversight and compliance.
Emmett	Nicholson	Director, Division of FOIA Analysis – C	Oversees FOIA processing and compliance within the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), r



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Desiree	Gaynor	FOIA Public Liaison, CMS,	responsible for ensuring FOIA requesters receive transparent and accessible service.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

1. Investigate CMS for Mismanagement and Violations

Evaluate CMS's Handling of FOIA Requests:

Conduct a comprehensive investigation into CMS's systemic failures in processing FOIA requests, including:

Inadequate searches that fail to meet the "reasonably calculated" standard required under 5 U.S.C. § 552.

Repeated violations of ADA accommodations as mandated by 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794.

Examine CMS's Oversight of Contractors (Accenture and Manatt):

Investigate potential misuse of taxpayer funds related to Medicaid program contracts with Accenture and Manatt, including:

Lack of transparency in contractor oversight.

Whether CMS's failures have allowed waste, fraud, or abuse in federal Medicaid funding programs such as the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver.

2. Compel CMS to Comply with FOIA and ADA

Require a New, Comprehensive Search for Responsive Records:

Direct CMS to conduct a proper search for records responsive to FOIA Request No. 110620247022. This search must include:

Oversight records, federal communications, compliance audits, and policy guidance related to Connecticut Medicaid programs.

Communications involving contractors like Accenture and Manatt.

Transparent documentation of CMS's search methodology, including divisions, databases, and personnel involved.

Enforce Full ADA Compliance:

Mandate that CMS comply with legally required ADA accommodations, ensuring:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for communication and document delivery.

Accessible formats for records, including screen-reader-compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex documents.

Identification of responsible personnel in all communications for accountability.

3. Address Systemic Failures

Recommend Reforms to FOIA and ADA Compliance Practices:

Propose corrective actions for CMS to ensure future compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection laws, such as:

Improved training for personnel handling FOIA requests.

Clear accountability mechanisms for ADA accommodations during FOIA processing.

Evaluate CMS's Records Management Practices:

Investigate whether CMS's records management complies with the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. § 3101), particularly regarding Medicaid oversight records. Recommend improvements to ensure CMS properly retains and retrieves federally mandated documents.

4. Protect Whistleblower Transparency

Ensure CMS Does Not Obstruct Whistleblower Protections:

Evaluate CMS's practices to prevent any obstruction of access to records critical for public accountability, whistleblower disclosures, and potential investigations into waste, fraud, or abuse.

Safeguard Transparency for Public Interest:

Ensure CMS's actions uphold whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), particularly regarding Medicaid oversight and contractor accountability.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Records Regarding Connecticut Medicaid Programs, ABI Waiver, Amendments, and Federal Agency Oversight and Communications with Accenture and Manatt (2012–Present) (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services).zip
Directory of all FOIA Contacts and Administrative Guidelines for all Connecticut Government Agencies (Office Of Policy And Management) (1).zip
110620247022 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
requests (6).csv
requests (5).csv
requests (4).csv

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218,
Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/26/2024

***PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND
TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT
YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.***



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FILING A DISCLOSURE

OSC WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE CHANNEL

Under 5 U.S.C. § 1213 and related provisions, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) serves as a secure channel for federal employees, former federal employees, and applicants for federal employment with reliable knowledge of the wrongdoing to disclose:

- a violation of law, rule or regulation;
- gross mismanagement;
- gross waste of funds;
- an abuse of authority;
- a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and/or
- censorship related to scientific research.

OSC JURISDICTION

OSC has no jurisdiction over disclosures filed by:

- employees of the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission;
- members of the armed forces of the United States (*i.e.*, non-civilian military employees);
- state employees operating under federal grants;
- employees of federal contractors;
- other employees or federal agencies that are exempt under federal law; and
- Congressional or judicial branch employees.

ANONYMOUS SOURCES

While OSC will protect the identity of persons who make disclosures, it will not consider anonymous disclosures. If a disclosure is filed by an anonymous source, the disclosure will be referred to the Office of Inspector General in the appropriate agency. OSC will take no further action.

RETALIATION

Do you believe you suffered retaliation by your agency for disclosing wrongdoing? If yes, you may file a complaint of retaliation by navigating back to "My Cases" and selecting "New Complaint." Select Option 1 to complete and submit a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity (PPPs). *If you have already completed the Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practice or other Prohibited Activity above, please continue with this Disclosure.* PPPs are employment-related activities that are banned in the federal workforce.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

PPPs generally involve some type of personnel decision or action and may result in personal relief for people who have been subject to a PPP. For example, if we find that you were removed from federal service in retaliation for whistleblowing, OSC may act to get your job back. PPPs can also include allegations of harassment, failure to issue appraisals, and improper hiring. Do not file a disclosure to report retaliation or other PPPs.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:

First Name:* David

Middle Initial:

Last Name:* Medeiros

International Address?: No

Address:*

215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Email Address:* aabiwr@live.com

Preferred means of contact:

Email: Yes

Cell phone: No

Home phone: No

Office phone: No

REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Do you have representation? No

Information about representative, if applicable.

First Name:*

Middle Initial:

Last Name:*

International Address?:

Address: *



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office Phone Number:

Ext.

Cell Phone Number:

Home Phone Number:

Email Address:

EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION

Name of Department/Agency about which you are making a disclosure.

Department:* Health and Human Services

Agency:* Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services

Agency subcomponent: Medicaid Oversight for the Connecticut Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver

Street Address: 7500 Security Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21244

City: Baltimore

State: MD

Zip Code: 21244

Employment Status:* Non-Federal Employee (please specify)

Title	Series	Grade

Please provide your dates of employment in this position.

Employment Service Type	Employment Service Subtype	If Other (specify)
Excepted Service	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower
Other	Other	Private Citizen, Advocate, and Whistleblower

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

DETAILS OF YOUR DISCLOSURES

Please identify the type of wrongdoing that you are alleging. You can make multiple disclosures, but you **MUST** choose one option. If you check "violation of law, rule, or regulation," specify, if you can, the particular law, rule or regulation violated (by name, subject, and/or legal citation). For each allegation, please answer the following questions (be as specific as possible). Please keep in mind that you will have an opportunity to provide more information and someone from OSC will contact you.

If OSC determines there is a substantial likelihood of wrongdoing, OSC will refer your disclosures to the involved agency for an investigation and report. To meet the substantial likelihood standard, there must be a significant probability that the information reveals wrongdoing that falls within one or more of the categories above. In its evaluation, OSC considers the strength, reliability, and credibility of the disclosures. If the substantial likelihood determination cannot be made, OSC will determine whether there is sufficient information to exercise its discretion to refer the allegations.

DISCLOSURES

Allegation	Gross Waste of Funds
What action did they take?	Failed to Conduct a Reasonable FOIA Search: CMS improperly claimed no responsive federal records existed for my FOIA request (No. 110620247022), despite its statutory oversight of Medicaid programs. Improperly Deferred Responsibility to State Agencies: CMS instructed me to contact Connecticut state agencies for Medicaid-related records, even though my request pertains to federal oversight, communications, and compliance activities. Violated ADA Accommodations: CMS ignored explicit accommodations for my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including exclusive MuckRock communication, accessible formats, and simplified summaries. Obstructed Transparency and Accountability: CMS's inadequate response delayed access to records critical for Medicaid program oversight, whistleblower protections, and public accountability.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

When did this occur?	When Did This Occur? Initial FOIA Request Submission: October 29, 2024 Acknowledgment of Request: November 7, 2024 CMS's Adverse Response: November 12, 2024 Continued Non-Compliance: CMS has not addressed follow-up requests for ADA accommodations, clarification of search methodology, or its oversight role.
How did you discover this action?	I discovered CMS's failures and misconduct through its official response to my FOIA request. The response: Claimed no responsive records existed. Directed me to state agencies despite the federal nature of the request. Did not acknowledge or implement required ADA accommodations. These actions revealed systemic issues in CMS's FOIA processing, accessibility practices, and oversight responsibilities.
What additional facts support your allegation?	FOIA Obligations Ignored: CMS failed to fulfill its responsibilities under 5 U.S.C. § 552, which requires agencies to search for and provide records in their possession or control. CMS's oversight role for Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver, inherently involves federal communications, audits, and compliance documentation. ADA Accommodations Violated: CMS ignored explicit accommodations outlined in my FOIA request, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). My accommodations included exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. Potential Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority: CMS's refusal to disclose records involving contractors (Accenture and Manatt) raises concerns about the misuse of federal funds and inadequate oversight. The requested records are critical for assessing Medicaid program integrity, particularly the ABI Waiver, which serves vulnerable populations. Constitutional Rights Violations: CMS's inadequate response infringes upon my First Amendment right to petition the government and my Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection and due process. Delayed Transparency Impacts Public Accountability: CMS's actions obstruct efforts to identify potential waste,



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

	fraud, or abuse, undermining whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302). What Action Would You Like OSC to Take? Investigate CMS for Mismanagement and Violations: Evaluate CMS's handling of FOIA requests for systemic issues, including its failure to conduct reasonable searches and comply with ADA accommodations. Investigate CMS's oversight of contractors (Accenture and Manatt) to ensure no misuse of federal Medicaid funds. Compel CMS to Comply with FOIA and ADA: Require CMS to conduct a new, comprehensive search for responsive records. Enforce ADA accommodations for all communications and document delivery. Address Systemic Failures: Recommend reforms to CMS's FOIA and ADA compliance practices to prevent future violations. Evaluate CMS's records management practices under the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. § 3101). Protect Whistleblower Transparency: Ensure CMS's actions do not obstruct access to critical records needed for public accountability or whistleblower disclosures.

DISCLOSURE SUBJECTS

Name of individual you believe is responsible for the wrongdoing disclosed.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Chain of Command
Chiquita	Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, CMS, responsible for agency-wide oversight and compliance.	Administrator, CMS, responsible for agency-wide oversight and compliance.
Emmett	Nicholson	Director, Division of FOIA Analysis – C	Oversees FOIA processing and compliance within the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), r



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Desiree	Gaynor	FOIA Public Liaison, CMS,	responsible for ensuring FOIA requesters receive transparent and accessible service.

REQUESTED OSC ACTION

What action would you like OSC to take?

1. Investigate CMS for Mismanagement and Violations

Evaluate CMS's Handling of FOIA Requests:

Conduct a comprehensive investigation into CMS's systemic failures in processing FOIA requests, including:

Inadequate searches that fail to meet the "reasonably calculated" standard required under 5 U.S.C. § 552.

Repeated violations of ADA accommodations as mandated by 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794.

Examine CMS's Oversight of Contractors (Accenture and Manatt):

Investigate potential misuse of taxpayer funds related to Medicaid program contracts with Accenture and Manatt, including:

Lack of transparency in contractor oversight.

Whether CMS's failures have allowed waste, fraud, or abuse in federal Medicaid funding programs such as the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver.

2. Compel CMS to Comply with FOIA and ADA

Require a New, Comprehensive Search for Responsive Records:

Direct CMS to conduct a proper search for records responsive to FOIA Request No. 110620247022. This search must include:

Oversight records, federal communications, compliance audits, and policy guidance related to Connecticut Medicaid programs.

Communications involving contractors like Accenture and Manatt.

Transparent documentation of CMS's search methodology, including divisions, databases, and personnel involved.

Enforce Full ADA Compliance:

Mandate that CMS comply with legally required ADA accommodations, ensuring:



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for communication and document delivery.

Accessible formats for records, including screen-reader-compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex documents.

Identification of responsible personnel in all communications for accountability.

3. Address Systemic Failures

Recommend Reforms to FOIA and ADA Compliance Practices:

Propose corrective actions for CMS to ensure future compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection laws, such as:

Improved training for personnel handling FOIA requests.

Clear accountability mechanisms for ADA accommodations during FOIA processing.

Evaluate CMS's Records Management Practices:

Investigate whether CMS's records management complies with the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. § 3101), particularly regarding Medicaid oversight records. Recommend improvements to ensure CMS properly retains and retrieves federally mandated documents.

4. Protect Whistleblower Transparency

Ensure CMS Does Not Obstruct Whistleblower Protections:

Evaluate CMS's practices to prevent any obstruction of access to records critical for public accountability, whistleblower disclosures, and potential investigations into waste, fraud, or abuse.

Safeguard Transparency for Public Interest:

Ensure CMS's actions uphold whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302), particularly regarding Medicaid oversight and contractor accountability.

WHERE ELSE DID YOU REPORT THIS MATTER?

I have also disclosed this information to:

Other Action Type	Action Taken Date

Additional information about reports to Inspectors General, if applicable.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

Address:

Email Address:

Telephone Number:

Case ID #:

a. **What is the status of the matter?**

NOTE: MATTERS INVESTIGATED BY AN OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

It is the general policy of OSC not to transmit allegations of wrongdoing to the head of the agency involved if the agency's Office of Inspector General has fully investigated, or is currently investigating, the same allegations.

ATTACHMENTS

Please note that the space available for attachments is limited. Therefore, DO NOT attach every document and email that may be relevant to your claim. You will have an opportunity to make additional submissions at a later date. We recommend limiting attachments to official forms and correspondence that document the action(s) at issue in your disclosure if these documents are relevant to your allegations.

I have attached the following documents to my filing:

File Name
Records Regarding Connecticut Medicaid Programs, ABI Waiver, Amendments, and Federal Agency Oversight and Communications with Accenture and Manatt (2012–Present) (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services).zip
Directory of all FOIA Contacts and Administrative Guidelines for all Connecticut Government Agencies (Office Of Policy And Management) (1).zip
110620247022 Mail - ABI RESOURCES 860 942-0365 - Outlook.pdf



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

090620247088 cms No Records Response Letter (1).pdf
requests (6).csv
requests (5).csv
requests (4).csv

CONSENT

Do you consent to the disclosure of your identify to others outside OSC if it becomes necessary in taking further action on this matter?*

I consent to disclosure of my identity.

Yes

I do not consent to disclosure of my identity. (Even if you do not consent, OSC may disclose your identity if necessary due to an imminent danger to public health or safety or imminent violation of any criminal law. See 5 U.S.C. § 1213(h).)

No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all of the statements made in this complaint are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that a false statement or concealment of a material fact is a criminal offense punishable by a fine, imprisonment, or both. 18 U.S.C. § 1001.*

Yes

BURDEN: The burden for this collection of information (including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the form) is estimated to be an average of one hour to submit a disclosure of information alleging agency wrongdoing, one hour and fifteen minutes to submit a complaint alleging a prohibited personnel practice or other prohibited activity, or 30 minutes to submit a complaint alleging prohibited political activity. Please send any comments about this burden estimate, and suggestions for reducing the burden, to the U.S.



REPORT GOVERNMENT WRONGDOING (DISCLOSURE)

Do not use this form to submit classified information.

For instructions or questions, call the Case Review Division at (202) 804-7000.

*Denotes required fields.

Office of Special Counsel, General Counsel's Office, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 218,
Washington, DC 20036-4505.

This Disclosure of Information was Received by OSC on: 11/26/2024

***PLEASE KEEP A COPY OF YOUR COMPLAINT, ANY SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION, AND ANY ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU SEND
TO OSC NOW OR AT ANY TIME WHILE YOUR COMPLAINT IS PENDING.
REPRODUCTION CHARGES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
MAY APPLY TO ANY REQUEST YOU MAKE FOR COPIES OF MATERIALS THAT
YOU PROVIDED TO OSC.***



United States Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
civilrights.justice.gov

Thank you for submitting a report to the Civil Rights Division.

Report successfully submitted

Please save your record number for tracking.



Your record number is: **545526-ZDV**

Save report

What to expect

① We review your report

Our specialists in the Civil Rights Division carefully read every report to identify civil rights violations, spot trends, and determine if we have authority to help with your report.

② Our specialists determine the next step

We may decide to:

Open an investigation or take some other action within the legal authority of the Justice Department.

Collect more information before we can look into your report.

Recommend another government agency that can properly look into your report. If so, we'll let you know.

In some cases, we may determine that we don't have legal authority to handle your report and will recommend that you seek help from a private lawyer or local legal aid organization.

③ When possible, we will follow up with you

We do our best to let you know about the outcome of our review. However, we may not always be able to provide you with updates because:

We're actively working on an investigation or case related to your report.

We're receiving and actively reviewing many requests at the same time.

If we are able to respond, we will contact you using the contact information you provided in this report. Depending on the type of report, response times can vary. If you need to reach us about your report, please refer to your report number when contacting us. This is how we keep track of your submission.

What you can do next

① Contact local legal aid organizations or a lawyer if you haven't already

Legal aid offices or members of lawyer associations in your state may be able to help you with your issue.

American Bar Association, visit www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_services/flh-home or call (800) 285-2221

Legal Services Corporation (or Legal Aid Offices), to help you find a legal aid lawyer in your area visit www.lsc.gov/find-legal-aid

② Get help immediately if you are in danger

If you reported an incident where you or someone else has experienced or is still experiencing physical harm or violence, or are in immediate danger, please call 911 and contact the police.

Your submission

Contact

Contact information

Your name

David Medeiros

Email address

aabiwr@live.com

Phone number

+18604633638

Address

215 Mountain St

-

Willimantic, Connecticut 06226

Are you now or have ever been an active duty service member?

No

Primary concern

What is your primary reason for contacting the Civil Rights Division?

Something else happened

Location

Where did this happen?

Organization name

Department of Justice

Address

950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

-

Washington, District of Columbia

Personal characteristics

Do you believe any of these personal characteristics influenced why you were treated this way?

Disability (including temporary or recovered and including HIV and drug addiction)

Other reason

Date

When did this happen?

12/10/2024

Personal description

In your own words, describe what happened

Date: December 10, 2024

To: U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division

Subject: Reconsideration and Escalation of Formal Complaint #542283-VPS

Dear DOJ Civil Rights Division,

Thank you for acknowledging receipt of my complaint (Report #542283-VPS). However, I respectfully request reconsideration and escalation of this matter, as the systemic violations outlined demand federal oversight and accountability.

1. Denial of FOIA Requests and ADA Non-Compliance

The Connecticut Attorney General's Office has obstructed transparency under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), denying my ability to petition the government effectively. Additionally, my ADA accommodation requests — mandated under 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq. — have been repeatedly ignored, excluding me from critical public processes.

2. Whistleblower Retaliation and Suppression of Advocacy

As a whistleblower, I have faced procedural barriers, retaliatory exclusions, and delays aimed at obstructing my advocacy for transparency in Medicaid oversight. These actions contravene federal whistleblower protections.

3. Urgent Need for Federal Oversight

The Connecticut Attorney General's failure to comply with constitutional, statutory, and regulatory mandates has broader implications for taxpayer funds and Medicaid recipients. Immediate intervention is necessary to prevent ongoing harm to vulnerable populations.

Request for Action

I respectfully request:

Reconsideration: Reevaluate the decision to close this matter and provide statutory grounds for the determination.

Referral to Oversight Agencies: Ensure this matter is escalated to relevant federal authorities, including the HHS Office for Civil Rights and CMS.

Accountability Measures: Require the Connecticut Attorney General's Office to comply with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection statutes.

4. Public Interest Impact

This case underscores systemic failures that jeopardize public trust in federally funded programs.

Transparency, accountability, and ADA compliance are essential for equitable governance.

Thank you for your continued attention to these critical issues. I look forward to your response and further guidance on this matter.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources

DB.42.131.Inf.

ABI Resources <https://www.ctbraininjury.com/blog/tags/db-42-131-inf>

<https://x.com/ABlresources/status/1866212331279339765> https://www.youtube.com/@ABI.RESOURCES-CT-ABI-MFP-CARE/videos?view=0&sort=dd&shelf_id=2

JOB APPLICATION

POSITION INFORMATION

ANNOUNCEMENT NUMBER

HHS-CDC-DE-24-12408428

OPEN PERIOD

06/01/2024 to 02/28/2025

POSITION TITLE

Public Health Advisor

BIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

* Required

Name

First *

David

Middle

Last (Family/Surname) *

Medeiros

Suffix (Sr, Jr, III, etc.)

Mailing Address

Use Standard State Postal Code (abbreviations). If outside the United States of America, and you do not have a military address, print "OV" in State and fill in Country, leaving Postal Code blank.

Street Address * (House Number, Street, Apartment, Company, Suite, Unit)

215 Mountain St

City *

Willimantic

State / Territory / Province

Postal Code *

C T

06226

Country

United States

Phone * (at least one is required)

* Required

Day

Evening

Mobile

DSN

Fax

Email Address * (e.g., my_email@domain.com)

aabiwr@live.com

Country of Citizenship *

United States

Eligibilities

* Required

Please mark "YES" to ALL eligibility options below that you want to be considered for. Remember, we can ONLY verify eligibility options that have proper documentation; see the required documents section. If you select an eligibility and don't provide proof, your application will be marked INELIGIBLE for the eligibility you wanted to be considered for. *

1. Do you claim Veterans' Preference? *

- ☒ A. No, I do not claim Veterans' Preference
- ☐ B. 0-point Sole Survivorship Preference (SSP)
- ☐ C. 5-point preference based on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces (TP)
- ☐ D. 10-point preference for non-compensable disability or Purple Heart (XP)
- ☐ E. 10-point preference based on a compensable service connected disability of at least 10% but less than 30% (CP)
- ☐ F. 10 point preference based on widow/widower or parent of a deceased veteran, or spouse or parent of a disabled veteran (XP)
- ☐ G. 10-point preference based on a compensable service connected disability of 30% or more (CPS)

2. Career Transition Assistance Plan (CTAP) - Are you a current employee of the Health and Human Services (HHS) who meets the definition of surplus or displaced from a position in the competitive service? If yes, confirm you meet all the following requirements: You are located in the same local commuting area of the vacancy; Your grade is equivalent to or below the grade level of the vacancy; Your last performance rating of record is at least fully successful or the equivalent. If you indicate "Yes" for this question, you MUST submit supporting documentation to validate your claim of CTAP eligibility such as a Reduction in Force (RIF) separation notice or a Certificate of Expected Separation (CES), AND a copy of your most recent annual performance appraisal, AND a copy of your most recent SF-50 (Notification of Personnel Action). For more information, review USAJOBS Career Transition Programs (CTAP, ICTAP, RPL). *

- ☐ A. Yes
- ☒ B. No

3. Interagency Career Transition Assistance Plan (ICTAP) - Are you a current or former federal employee who meets the definition of displaced from a position in the competitive service from a Federal agency outside of Health and Human Services (HHS)? If yes, confirm you meet all the following requirements: You are located in the same local commuting area of the vacancy; Your grade is/was equivalent to or below the grade level of the vacancy; Your last performance rating of record is at least fully successful or the equivalent; It has been less than a year from your separation from Federal service. If you indicate "Yes" for this question, you MUST submit supporting documentation to validate your claim of ICTAP eligibility such as a Reduction in Force (RIF) separation notice or a Certificate of Expected Separation (CES) AND a copy of your most recent annual performance appraisal, AND a copy of your most recent SF-50 (Notification of Personnel Action). For more information, review USAJOBS Career Transition Programs (CTAP, ICTAP, RPL). *

- ☐ A. Yes

Preferences

* Required

1. Select the location(s) you want to be considered for. You must choose at least one location. *

- ☐ A. Afghanistan, Afghanistan
- ☐ B. Algeria, Algeria
- ☐ C. Angola, Angola
- ☐ D. Argentina, Argentina
- ☐ E. Armenia, Armenia
- ☐ F. Azerbaijan, Azerbaijan
- ☐ G. Bahrain, Bahrain
- ☐ H. Bangladesh, Bangladesh
- ☐ I. Benin, Benin
- ☐ J. Bolivia, Bolivia
- ☐ K. Botswana, Botswana
- ☐ L. Brazil, Brazil
- ☐ M. Brunei, Brunei
- ☐ N. Burkina Faso, Burkina Faso
- ☐ O. Burma, Burma
- ☐ P. Cambodia, Cambodia
- ☐ Q. Cameroon, Cameroon
- ☐ R. Chile, Chile
- ☐ S. China, China
- ☐ T. Colombia, Colombia
- ☐ U. Congo, Congo
- ☐ V. Congo (DRC), Congo (DRC)
- ☐ W. Cote D'Ivoire, Cote D'Ivoire
- ☐ X. Denmark, Denmark
- ☐ Y. Djibouti, Djibouti
- ☐ Z. Dominican Republic, Dominican Republic
- ☐ AA. Ecuador, Ecuador
- ☐ AB. Egypt, Egypt
- ☐ AC. Eswatini, Eswatini
- ☐ AD. Ethiopia, Ethiopia
- ☐ AE. French Guiana, French Guiana
- ☐ AF. Gabon, Gabon
- ☐ AG. Germany, Germany
- ☐ AH. Ghana, Ghana
- ☐ AI. Guatemala, Guatemala
- ☐ AJ. Guinea, Guinea

- ☐ AK. Guyana, Guyana
- ☐ AL. Haiti, Haiti
- ☒ AM. India, India
- ☐ AN. Indonesia, Indonesia
- ☐ AO. Iran, Iran
- ☐ AP. Iraq, Iraq
- ☐ AQ. Israel, Israel
- ☐ AR. Italy, Italy
- ☐ AS. Jamaica, Jamaica
- ☐ AT. Japan, Japan
- ☐ AU. Jordan, Jordan
- ☐ AV. Kazakhstan, Kazakhstan
- ☐ AW. Kenya, Kenya
- ☐ AX. Kuwait, Kuwait
- ☐ AY. Kyrgyzstan, Kyrgyzstan
- ☐ AZ. Laos, Laos
- ☐ BA. Lebanon, Lebanon
- ☐ BB. Lesotho, Lesotho
- ☐ BC. Liberia, Liberia
- ☐ BD. Libya, Libya
- ☐ BE. Madagascar, Madagascar
- ☐ BF. Malawi, Malawi
- ☐ BG. Malaysia, Malaysia
- ☐ BH. Mali, Mali
- ☐ BI. Mexico, Mexico
- ☐ BJ. Moldova, Moldova
- ☐ BK. Morocco, Morocco
- ☐ BL. Mozambique, Mozambique
- ☐ BM. Namibia, Namibia
- ☐ BN. Niger, Niger
- ☐ BO. Nigeria, Nigeria
- ☐ BP. Oman, Oman
- ☐ BQ. Pakistan, Pakistan
- ☐ BR. Panama, Panama
- ☐ BS. New Guinea Island, Papua New Guinea
- ☐ BT. Papua New Guinea, Papua New Guinea
- ☐ BU. Paraguay, Paraguay
- ☐ BV. Peru, Peru
- ☐ BW. Philippines, Philippines
- ☐ BX. Qatar, Qatar

- ☐ BY. Republic of Georgia, Republic of Georgia
- ☐ BZ. Rwanda, Rwanda
- ☐ CA. Saudi Arabia, Saudi Arabia
- ☐ CB. Senegal, Senegal
- ☐ CC. Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone
- ☐ CD. Singapore, Singapore
- ☐ CE. Somalia, Somalia
- ☐ CF. South Africa, South Africa
- ☐ CG. South Korea, South Korea
- ☐ CH. South Sudan, South Sudan
- ☐ CI. Sudan, Sudan
- ☐ CJ. Suriname, Suriname
- ☐ CK. Switzerland, Switzerland
- ☐ CL. Syria, Syria
- ☐ CM. Tajikistan, Tajikistan
- ☐ CN. Tanzania, Tanzania
- ☐ CO. Thailand, Thailand
- ☐ CP. Trinidad and Tobago, Trinidad and Tobago
- ☐ CQ. Tunisia, Tunisia
- ☐ CR. Turkey, Turkey
- ☐ CS. Turkmenistan, Turkmenistan
- ☐ CT. Uganda, Uganda
- ☐ CU. Ukraine, Ukraine
- ☐ CV. United Arab Emirates, United Arab Emirates
- ☐ CW. Uruguay, Uruguay
- ☐ CX. Uzbekistan, Uzbekistan
- ☐ CY. Venezuela, Venezuela
- ☐ CZ. Vietnam, Vietnam
- ☐ DA. Yemen, Yemen
- ☐ DB. Zambia, Zambia
- ☐ DC. Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe

2. Select the lowest grade you are willing to accept for this position. *

- ☒ A. 13
- ☐ B. 14

3. Would you accept a term appointment? *

- ☒ A. Yes
- ☐ B. No

Assessment 1

* Required

Thank you for your interest in a Public Health Advisor position with the Federal government *

1. From the descriptions below, select the one which best describes your highest level of experience which meets the Qualification Requirements for Public Health Advisor GS-685-13. *

- ☒ A. I have one year of specialized experience equivalent to the GS-12 grade level in the Federal service to include experience providing management and operational assistance or technical advice in the management and implementation of public health programs.
- ☐ B. I do not possess the specialized experience described above.

For each task in the following group, choose the statement from the list below that best describes your experience and/or training. Please select only one letter for each item.A- I have not had education, training or experience in performing this task.B- I have had education or training in performing the task, but have not yet performed it on the job.C- I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.D- I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.E- I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist them in doing this task because of my expertise. *

Select the one statement that most accurately describes your training and experience carrying out each task using the scale provided.

2. Plan, develop and implement public health programs in collaboration with local, state, and/or international governments and various public, non-profit and private and health related organizations. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☒ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☐ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

3. Identify and recommend solutions to administrative/management issues that impact program operations, management and productivity. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

4. Develop and implement strategies and plans for meeting program/office objectives domestically and/or abroad. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

5. Oversee the development of work plans for implementation of activities and ensure submission and review of all required progress reports. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.

- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

6. Provide advice, analysis and assistance in the development, formulation, and execution of a public health program budget. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

7. Develop annual budget plans and budget justifications and the management of approved budgets. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

8. Develop briefing papers and summaries of program or managerial documentation for senior staff or other decision-making bodies. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

9. Draft testimonies and legislative proposals. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

10. Prepare policies, responses to inquiries, reports, and other comparable documents. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

11. Deliver presentations on technical or managerial findings and issues to senior staff, professional conferences, or other decision-making bodies. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

12. Negotiate with a variety of stakeholders on issues involving programmatic resources and where the parties involved may have conflicting priorities or objectives. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

13. Converse within a variety of cultural contexts and to various audiences, including senior officials within the United States and host country government. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

14. From the descriptions below, select the one which best describes your highest level of experience which meets the Qualification Requirements for Public Health Advisor GS-685-14. *

- ☒ A. I have one year of specialized experience equivalent to the GS-13 grade level in the Federal service to include experience providing management and operational guidance or technical advice while managing public health programs.
- ☐ B. I do not possess the specialized experience described above.

For each task in the following group, choose the statement from the list below that best describes your experience and/or training. Please select only one letter for each item.A- I have not had education, training or experience in performing this task.B- I have had education or training in performing the task, but have not yet performed it on the job.C- I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.D- I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.E- I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist them in doing this task because of my expertise. *

Select the one statement that most accurately describes your training and experience carrying out each task using the scale provided.

15. Manage public health projects with marginalized population or within low-income settings or countries. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

16. Prepare, review, negotiate and/or monitor collaborative agreements, contracts and/or memorandum agreements. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

17. Review and assess the effectiveness of current public health policies and determines where new or changes in policies are required to effectively execute public health programs, missions and functions. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

18. Monitor partner audits for compliance issues and takes action to correct deficiencies, up to and including recommending termination of awards. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

19. Coordinate and participate in technical reviews of partner project submissions and funding allocations during the review and development process. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

20. Assess activities to determine funding requirements, needs and statutory and regulatory compliance. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

21. Initiate and direct communication with national and international organizations in the Sector which may share public health interests. *

- ☒ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.

- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☐ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

22. Draw upon personal contacts with key Sector leaders in the private sector; federal agencies; national and international voluntary and professional organizations; and public health and other technical research authorities. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

23. Develop education materials used to communicate public health information related to the program. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

24. Prepare reports and other program documentation including technical documents on research findings, scientific methodologies, evaluation results, fact sheets, etc., for dissemination. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

25. Research and prepare responses to ad-hoc or recurring inquiries received telephonically and in writing (e.g., Congressional briefing materials and responses. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

26. Prepare communications regarding the selected option(s); e.g., presentations, decision memos, or policy briefs that include a recommendation for action. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.

☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.

☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

27. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, all of the information included in this questionnaire is true, correct, and provided in good faith. I understand that if I make an intentional false statement, or commit deception or fraud in this application and its supporting materials, or in any document or interview associated with the examination process, I may be fined or imprisoned (18 U.S.C. 1001); my eligibilities may be cancelled, I may be denied an appointment, or I may be removed and debarred from Federal service (5 C.F.R. part 731). I understand that any information I give may be investigated. I understand that responding "No" to this item will result in my not being considered for this position. *

☒ A. Yes, I certify that the information provided in this questionnaire is true, correct and provided in good faith, and I understand the information provided above.

☐ B. No, I do not certify/understand the information provided above.

Below are the supporting documents submitted with your online application. *(Please note that any documents submitted via an alternate application process are not included in this list)*

Type	Name	Status	Date Submitted
Resume	David Medeiros	Pending Retrieval	12/11/2024 6:28:12 AM
Cover Letter			Not Submitted
DD-214/ Statement of Service			Not Submitted
Disability Letter (VA)			Not Submitted
Other (1)			Not Submitted
Other (2)			Not Submitted
PCS Orders			Not Submitted
Proof of Marriage Status			Not Submitted
Separation Notice (RIF)			Not Submitted
SF-15			Not Submitted
Transcript			Not Submitted

JOB APPLICATION

POSITION INFORMATION

ANNOUNCEMENT NUMBER

CRS-25-12568757-DE

OPEN PERIOD

10/08/2024 to 12/31/2024

POSITION TITLE

Conciliation Specialist

BIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

* Required

Name

First *

David

Middle

Last (Family/Surname) *

Medeiros

Suffix (Sr, Jr, III, etc.)

Mailing Address

 Use Standard State Postal Code (abbreviations). If outside the United States of America, and you do not have a military address, print "OV" in State and fill in Country, leaving Postal Code blank.

Street Address * (House Number, Street, Apartment, Company, Suite, Unit)

215 Mountain St

City *

Willimantic

State / Territory / Province

C T

Postal Code *

06226

Country

United States

Phone * (at least one is required)

* Required

Day

Evening

Mobile

DSN

Fax

Email Address * (e.g., my_email@domain.com)

Country of Citizenship *

Eligibilities

* Required

1. Do you claim Veterans' Preference? *

- ☒ A. No, I do not claim Veterans' Preference
- ☐ B. 0-point Sole Survivorship Preference (SSP)
- ☐ C. 5-point preference based on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces (TP)
- ☐ D. 10-point preference for non-compensable disability or Purple Heart (XP)
- ☐ E. 10-point preference based on a compensable service connected disability of at least 10% but less than 30% (CP)
- ☐ F. 10 point preference based on widow/widower or parent of a deceased veteran, or spouse or parent of a disabled veteran (XP)
- ☐ G. 10-point preference based on a compensable service connected disability of 30% or more (CPS)

2. Are you a current or former federal employee displaced from the Department of Justice? To be eligible under CTAP you must meet all of the following: You are located in the same local commuting area of this vacancy; AND, You are applying to a position that is at (or below) the grade level of the position from which you were (or will) be separated from; AND, You are applying to a position that does not have greater promotion potential than the position from which you were (or will be) separated from; AND, Your last performance rating of record is at least fully successful, or the equivalent. For more information, review the USAJOBS Help Center... To verify your eligibility: You must submit appropriate supporting documentation, such as a Reduction in Force (RIF) separation notice or a Proposed Removal/Separation notice, You must submit a copy of your most recent performance appraisal and a copy of your latest Notification of Personnel Action (SF-50) form. *

- ☒ A. Yes
- ☐ B. No

3. Are you an employee that was involuntarily separated from another agency due to a reduction-in-force (RIF)? The Inter-agency Career Transition Assistance Plan (ICTAP) is an inter-agency program that helps "surplus" or "displaced" federal employees improve their chances of finding a new job at another agency (not their current or former agency), by giving these employees selection priority over other applicants from outside the agency. You may be eligible for ICTAP if: You're a current federal employee who meets the definition of a "surplus" or "displaced employee—you've received official notice that your job is no longer needed or that you will lose your job by Reduction-in-Force (RIF). The agency you're applying to is accepting applications from outside of their workforce. The job you're applying to is in the local commuting area. You meet the qualifications and other requirements of the job you're applying for. For example, if you work for the Department of Defense and receive a Reduction in Force (RIF) separation notice, you can apply for a competitive service job in the local commuting area at the Department of Justice. To verify your eligibility: You must submit appropriate supporting documentation such as a Reduction in Force (RIF) separation notice to include your latest performance rating (if the notice does not have this information included, you must submit a copy of your most recent performance appraisal) and a copy of your latest Notification of Personnel Action, Standard Form 50. *

- ☐ A. Yes

Preferences

* Required

1. Select the location(s) you want to be considered for. You must choose at least one location. *

- ☐ A. Birmingham, AL
- ☐ B. Riverside, CA
- ☐ C. Sacramento, CA
- ☐ D. San Diego, CA
- ☐ E. San Francisco, CA
- ☐ F. Denver, CO
- ☐ G. Washington, DC
- ☐ H. Jacksonville, FL
- ☐ I. Miami, FL
- ☐ J. Orlando, FL
- ☐ K. Saint Petersburg, FL
- ☐ L. Tampa, FL
- ☐ M. Atlanta, GA
- ☐ N. Chicago, IL
- ☐ O. Louisville, KY
- ☐ P. Portland, ME
- ☐ Q. Boston, MA
- ☐ R. Detroit, MI
- ☐ S. Minneapolis, MN
- ☐ T. Kansas City, MO
- ☐ U. Saint Louis, MO
- ☐ V. Las Vegas, NV
- ☐ W. Albuquerque, NM
- ☐ X. New York, NY
- ☐ Y. Charlotte, NC
- ☐ Z. Raleigh, NC
- ☐ AA. Cincinnati, OH
- ☐ AB. Cleveland, OH
- ☐ AC. Columbus, OH
- ☐ AD. Oklahoma City, OK
- ☐ AE. Portland, OR
- ☐ AF. Philadelphia, PA
- ☐ AG. Pittsburgh, PA
- ☐ AH. Puerto Nuevo, PR
- ☐ AI. Memphis, TN
- ☐ AJ. Nashville, TN

- ☐ AK. Dallas, TX
- ☐ AL. El Paso, TX
- ☒ AM. Houston, TX
- ☐ AN. Salt Lake City, UT
- ☐ AO. Richmond, VA
- ☐ AP. Seattle, WA

2. Select the lowest grade you are willing to accept for this position. *

- ☐ A. 11
- ☒ B. 12
- ☐ C. 13

3. In the last five years (from the closing date of this announcement) have you served, or are you currently serving, as an Executive Branch Political, Schedule C, or Non-career Senior Executive Services appointee? You can find out if you have held one of these appointment types by looking at the SF-50s in your Electronic Official Personnel Folder (eOPF), in Section 5 where the legal authorities are listed. A political appointee is an appointment made by the President with or without confirmation by the Senate (5 CFR 213.3102(c)) OR an assistant position to a top-level federal official if filled by a person designated by the President as a White House Fellow (5 CFR 213.3102(z)). A Schedule C appointee occupies a position excepted from the competitive service because of the confidential or policy-determining nature of the position duties (5 CFR 213.3301 and 5 CFR 213.3302). A noncareer SES appointee serves at the pleasure of the appointing official without time limitations (5 CFR 317 Subpart F). *

- ☐ A. Yes, I have held one of the above appointment types in the last 5 years.
- ☒ B. No, I have not held any of the above appointment types in the last 5 years.
- ☐ C. I am uncertain if I have held any of these appointment types in the last 5 years.

Assessment 1

* Required

1. Select the one statement below that best describes your education and/or experience to meet the basic requirement for this position in the Social Science Series, 0101. *

- ☐ A. I have successfully completed a full 4-year course of study in an accredited college or university that included a major field of study in conflict/dispute resolution or a foundational discipline (such as behavioral or social science, education, or the humanities). (NOTE: You must provide a copy of your transcripts)
- ☐ B. I have a combination of education and experience that provided me with knowledge of conflict/dispute resolution or a foundational discipline equivalent to a major in the field (or a foundational discipline such as behavioral or social science, education, or the humanities), or is otherwise substantial enough to provide a foundation for success after receiving specialized CRS conciliator training (NOTE: You must provide a copy of your transcripts)
- ☒ C. I have four years of appropriate experience that demonstrates that I have acquired knowledge of conflict/dispute resolution or a foundational discipline equivalent to a major in the field (or a foundational discipline such as behavioral or social science, education, or the humanities), or substantial enough to provide a foundation for success after receiving specialized CRS conciliator training. (NOTE: This must be demonstrated in your resume.)
- ☐ D. I do not meet any of the basic requirements as described in the above statements.

2. If you responded with A, B, or C, to the question above, please let us know when, where and how you obtained this education and/or experience for each of the responses. This must be verifiable in your resume. Please use the following format for each response selected. Position Title, Employer, Start and end dates, and a Brief description of duties relevant to the response. (This response has a limit of 1500 characters.) *

David Medeiros 215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226 Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638 Objective Dedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to create meaningful change. Education Ellis Technical School Graduated: 1995 Manchester Community College Associate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998 Professional Experience Founder & Owner ABI Resources | Willimantic, CT 2000 – Present Leadership & Vision: Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and

dignity for individuals with disabilities. Advocacy & Systemic Reform: Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability. Program Management: Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and federal guidelines. Team Development: Recruited, trained, and managed multidisciplinary teams to deliver exceptional care and services. Provided ongoing education on brain injury support and legal advocacy. Technological Integration: Pioneered the use of AI tools and predictive analytics to optimize service delivery, client engagement, and organizational efficiency. Community Engagement: Fostered partnerships with healthcare providers, nonprofits, and government agencies to expand resources and amplify impact. Community Advocate Various Roles | Connecticut 1998 – 2000 Engaged in community outreach programs to support individuals with disabilities and their families. Collaborated with local organizations to raise awareness and provide education on criminal justice and disability advocacy. Assisted with case management and resource navigation for underserved populations. Key Accomplishments Organizational Impact: Built ABI Resources into a trusted and respected leader in disability support services, achieving consistent compliance and exceeding client satisfaction benchmarks. Advocacy Achievements: Influenced state and federal policy improvements to better serve individuals with brain injuries and other disabilities. Innovation in Service Delivery: Adopted cutting-edge technology to enhance accessibility, streamline operations, and deliver superior client outcomes. Community Contributions: Empowered hundreds of individuals and families through advocacy, education, and compassionate support. Skills Advocacy & Legal Expertise: In-depth knowledge of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA processes, and Medicaid policies. Leadership & Strategic Planning: Proven ability to inspire teams and lead organizations toward mission-driven success. Communication & Education: Exceptional public speaking, training, and interpersonal communication skills. Technological Proficiency: Advanced use of AI tools, digital platforms, and data analytics for operational efficiency. Client-Centered Approach: Compassionate and empathetic care, focused on promoting independence and resilience. Personal Statement As a brain injury survivor, I understand firsthand the challenges and triumphs associated with recovery and advocacy. This personal experience fuels my passion for helping others navigate similar journeys. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring accessibility and effective collaboration. I am committed to using my skills and knowledge to build a more inclusive and supportive society.

3. From the descriptions below, please select the options that best describes how you meet the minimum qualifications for the GS-11 Conciliation Specialist position. *

- ☐ A. I have one year of specialized experience equivalent to at least the GS-09 grade level in the Federal service which includes: (1) Possessing substantial demonstrated community connections in conciliation, conflict-resolution, and other specific community building experiences, in the location where you are applying; (2) Evaluating conflicts or the potential for conflicts within communities based on civil rights-related tensions; AND (3) Assisting with facilitation and mediation services to groups with civil rights-related tension. (Examples include conflicts or disputes related to police-community relations; tensions or hate/bias incidents (real or perceived) related to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, or disability status; or civil rights tensions in educational environments.)
- ☐ B. I have successfully completed a Ph.D. or equivalent doctoral degree or 3 full years of progressively higher-level graduate education leading to such a degree in a field which demonstrates the knowledge, skills, and abilities necessary to perform the work of the position. (NOTE: You must attach a copy of your transcripts)
- ☐ C. I have the specialized experience as described in A, but less than one year; and I have at least two years of graduate education as described in B, but less than the required three years. I have computed the percentage of the requirements that I meet, and the total is at least 100%. To compute the percentage, divide your total months of qualifying experience by 12. Then, divide your semester hours of graduate education beyond the second year (total graduate semester hours minus 36) by 18. Add the two percentages. The total percentage must equal at least 100% to qualify. (NOTE: You must attach a copy of your transcripts)
- ☒ D. I have the experience as described in A AND the education described in B. (NOTE: You must attach a copy of your transcripts)
- ☐ E. My experience and/or education is not reflected in any of the above statements.

4. If you responded with A, B, C, or D to the question above, please let us know when, where and how you obtained this experience for each of the responses. This must be verifiable in your resume. Please use the following format for each response selected: Position Title, Employer, Start and end dates, and a Brief description of duties relevant to the response. (This response has a limit of 1500 characters.) *

David Medeiros 215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226 Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638 Objective Dedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to create meaningful change. Education Ellis Technical School Graduated: 1995 Manchester Community College Associate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998 Professional Experience Founder & Owner ABI Resources | Willimantic, CT 2000 – Present Leadership & Vision: Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and

dignity for individuals with disabilities. Advocacy & Systemic Reform: Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability. Program Management: Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and federal guidelines. Team Development: Recruited, trained, and managed multidisciplinary teams to deliver exceptional care and services. Provided ongoing education on brain injury support and legal advocacy. Technological Integration: Pioneered the use of AI tools and predictive analytics to optimize service delivery, client engagement, and organizational efficiency. Community Engagement: Fostered partnerships with healthcare providers, nonprofits, and government agencies to expand resources and amplify impact. Community Advocate Various Roles | Connecticut 1998 – 2000 Engaged in community outreach programs to support individuals with disabilities and their families. Collaborated with local organizations to raise awareness and provide education on criminal justice and disability advocacy. Assisted with case management and resource navigation for underserved populations. Key Accomplishments Organizational Impact: Built ABI Resources into a trusted and respected leader in disability support services, achieving consistent compliance and exceeding client satisfaction benchmarks. Advocacy Achievements: Influenced state and federal policy improvements to better serve individuals with brain injuries and other disabilities. Innovation in Service Delivery: Adopted cutting-edge technology to enhance accessibility, streamline operations, and deliver superior client outcomes. Community Contributions: Empowered hundreds of individuals and families through advocacy, education, and compassionate support. Skills Advocacy & Legal Expertise: In-depth knowledge of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA processes, and Medicaid policies. Leadership & Strategic Planning: Proven ability to inspire teams and lead organizations toward mission-driven success. Communication & Education: Exceptional public speaking, training, and interpersonal communication skills. Technological Proficiency: Advanced use of AI tools, digital platforms, and data analytics for operational efficiency. Client-Centered Approach: Compassionate and empathetic care, focused on promoting independence and resilience. Personal Statement As a brain injury survivor, I understand firsthand the challenges and triumphs associated with recovery and advocacy. This personal experience fuels my passion for helping others navigate similar journeys. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring accessibility and effective collaboration. I am committed to using my skills and knowledge to build a more inclusive and supportive society.

5. From the descriptions below, please select the options that best describes how you meet the minimum qualifications for the GS-12 Conciliation Specialist position. *

- ☐ A. I have one year of specialized experience equivalent to at least the GS-11 grade level in the Federal Service performing at least two of the following: (1) Evaluating conflicts or the potential for conflicts within communities based on civil rights-related tensions. (2) Providing facilitated dialogue, trainings, mediation, or consultation services to community groups experiencing civil rights-related conflicts or tensions. (Examples include conflicts or disputes related to police-community relations; tensions or hate/bias incidents (real or perceived) related to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, or disability status; or civil rights tensions in educational environments.). (3) Developing positive working relationships with governmental and non-governmental officials, (Examples include law enforcement officials, community leaders, civil rights organizations, faith-based groups, educational institutions, and/or other community groups.)
- ☒ B. My experience is not reflected in the above statement.

6. If you responded to the question above, please let us know when, where and how you obtained this experience for each of the responses. This must be verifiable in your resume. Please use the following format for each response selected: Position Title, Employer, Start and end dates, and a Brief description of duties relevant to the response. (This response has a limit of 1500 characters.) (limit 1,500 characters) *

David Medeiros 215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226 Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638 Objective Dedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to create meaningful change. Education Ellis Technical School Graduated: 1995 Manchester Community College Associate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998 Professional Experience Founder & Owner ABI Resources | Willimantic, CT 2000 – Present Leadership & Vision: Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and dignity for individuals with disabilities. Advocacy & Systemic Reform: Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability. Program Management: Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and f

7. From the descriptions below, please select the options that best describes how you meet the minimum qualifications for the GS-13 Conciliation Specialist position. *

- ☐ A. I have one year of specialized experience equivalent to at least the GS-12 grade level in the Federal Service performing at least two of the following: (1) Leading trainings, mediation, or consultation services to community groups experiencing civil rights-related conflicts or tensions. (Examples include conflicts or disputes related to police-community relations; tensions or hate/bias incidents (real or perceived) related to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation,

religion, or disability status; or civil rights tensions in educational environments.) (2) Developing and maintaining positive working relationships with governmental and non-governmental officials. (Examples include law enforcement officials, community leaders, civil rights organizations, faith-based groups, educational institutions, and/or other community groups.) (3) Conducting and drafting conflict assessments of communities based on civil rights-related tensions and developing recommended agency actions and strategies.

☐ B. My experience is not reflected in the above statement.

8. If you responded with A to the question above, please let us know when, where and how you obtained this experience for each of the responses. This must be verifiable in your resume. Please use the following format for each response selected: Position Title, Employer, Start and end dates, and a Brief description of duties relevant to the response. (This response has a limit of 1500 characters.) (limit 1,500 characters) *

David Medeiros215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638ObjectiveDedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to create meaningful change.EducationEllis Technical SchoolGraduated: 1995Manchester Community CollegeAssociate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998Professional ExperienceFounder & OwnerABI Resources | Willimantic, CT2000 – PresentLeadership & Vision: Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and dignity for individuals with disabilities.Advocacy & Systemic Reform: Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability.Program Management: Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and f

The following questions are designed to measure your education, training, and experience in performing the following tasks related to the work of the Conciliation Specialist position. All applicants must ensure their responses are supported by the information within their resume; failure to do so may result in your score being lowered or an ineligible determination. For each of the following task statements, select the response that best describes your experience, education, or training. Select only one letter for each item. *

For each item, select the one response that most accurately describes your current level of experience and capability using the scale below.

9. Assist community groups in developing plans of action to prevent and/or resolve conflicts in communities experiencing tensions. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

Select the one statement that most accurately describes your training and experience carrying out each task using the scale provided.

10. Reviewing all agency program guides and materials to become familiar with goals, delivery methods and desired outcomes. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

11. Providing routine and non-routine updates (as required) to ensure deadlines are met and responding to senior specialists or management requests in a timely manner. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

For each item, select the one response that most accurately describes your current level of experience and capability using the scale below.

12. Participates or co-facilitates in conferences and workshops, and other community meetings. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

Select the one statement that most accurately describes your training and experience carrying out each task using the scale provided.

13. Providing administrative or logistical support by contacting appropriate parties, arranging meeting locations or drafting agendas. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

For each item, select the one response that most accurately describes your current level of experience and capability using the scale below.

14. Deliver training to community groups experiencing conflict or tension to improve capacity to address conflicts, deescalate tensions or prevent disputes. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

15. I have substantive community connections, such as conciliation, conflict-resolution, and other specific community building experiences in each location to which I am applying. List specific examples in the text box. *

David Medeiros 215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226 Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638 Objective Dedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to

create meaningful change. Education Ellis Technical School Graduated: 1995 Manchester Community College Associate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998 Professional Experience Founder & Owner ABI Resources | Willimantic, CT 2000 – Present Leadership & Vision: Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and dignity for individuals with disabilities. Advocacy & Systemic Reform: Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability. Program Management: Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and federal guidelines. Team Development: Recruited, trained, and managed multidisciplinary teams to deliver exceptional care and services. Provided ongoing education on brain injury support and legal advocacy. Technological Integration: Pioneered the use of AI tools and predictive analytics to optimize service delivery, client engagement, and organizational efficiency. Community Engagement: Fostered partnerships with healthcare providers, nonprofits, and government agencies to expand resources and amplify impact. Community Advocate Various Roles | Connecticut 1998 – 2000 Engaged in community outreach programs to support individuals with disabilities and their families. Collaborated with local organizations to raise awareness and provide education on criminal justice and disability advocacy. Assisted with case management and resource navigation for underserved populations. Key Accomplishments Organizational Impact: Built ABI Resources into a trusted and respected leader in disability support services, achieving consistent compliance and exceeding client satisfaction benchmarks. Advocacy Achievements: Influenced state and federal policy improvements to better serve individuals with brain injuries and other disabilities. Innovation in Service Delivery: Adopted cutting-edge technology to enhance accessibility, streamline operations, and deliver superior client outcomes. Community Contributions: Empowered hundreds of individuals and families through advocacy, education, and compassionate support. Skills Advocacy & Legal Expertise: In-depth knowledge of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA processes, and Medicaid policies. Leadership & Strategic Planning: Proven ability to inspire teams and lead organizations toward mission-driven success. Communication & Education: Exceptional public speaking, training, and interpersonal communication skills. Technological Proficiency: Advanced use of AI tools, digital platforms, and data analytics for operational efficiency. Client-Centered Approach: Compassionate and empathetic care, focused on promoting independence and resilience. Personal Statement As a brain injury survivor, I understand firsthand the challenges and triumphs associated with recovery and advocacy. This personal experience fuels my passion for helping others navigate similar journeys. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring accessibility and effective collaboration. I am committed to using my skills and knowledge to build a more inclusive and supportive society.

For each item, select the one response that most accurately describes your current level of experience and capability using the scale below.

16. Create routine reports to keep management up-to-date on services delivered, technical advice offered or programs facilitated. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

17. Conduct strategic outreach to community groups, tribal communities, law enforcement, religious groups, civil rights groups, educational organizations and/or government organizations to provide information on services offered to aid in preventing and resolving conflicts. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

18. Develop and maintain relationships and/or information networks with various interest groups to gain a better understanding of their interests and/or to facilitate their participation and understanding of community relations. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

19. Speak at various formal or informal briefings, workshops, and/or other events to provide information on activities related to conflict resolution or hate crimes prevention. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☐ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

20. Evaluate community relations strategies or programs to advise or recommend improvements to resolve problems or reduce conflict with affected community groups. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

The following questions are designed to measure your education, training, and experience in performing the following tasks related to the work of the Conciliation Specialist position. All applicants must ensure their responses are supported by the information within their resume; failure to do so may result in your score being lowered or an ineligible determination. For each of the following task statements, select the response that best describes your experience, education, or training. *

21. From the descriptions below, choose the responses that best describe your ability to provide facilitated dialogue and consultation services to community groups experiencing civil rights-related conflicts or tensions: *

- ☐ A. I have facilitated programs to help communities develop and implement concrete solutions to address local problems.
- ☐ B. I do not have the above experience

For each item, select the one response that most accurately describes your current level of experience and capability using the scale below.

22. From the descriptions below, choose the response that best describes your ability to engage and build relationships among communities. *

- ☐ A. I have no experience in performing this work behavior, but I am willing to learn.
- ☐ B. I have limited experience in performing this work behavior. I have had exposure to this work behavior but would require additional guidance, instruction, or experience to perform it at a proficient level.
- ☐ C. I have experience performing this work behavior across routine or predictable situations with minimal supervision or guidance.
- ☒ D. I have performed this work behavior independently across a wide range of situations. I have assisted others in carrying out this work behavior. I seek guidance in carrying out this work behavior only in unusually complex situations.

- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in carrying out this work behavior. I advise and instruct others in carrying out this work behavior on a regular basis. I am consulted by my colleagues and/or supervisors to carry out this work behavior in unusually complex situations.

23. From the description below, choose the response that best describes your ability to lead projects, conduct needs analysis and develop final recommendations for leadership review. *

- ☒ A. I have led a team in the development of project and program plans; ensuring project or program success factors are met; and providing leadership updates accordingly.
- ☐ B. I do not have the above experience

Select the one statement that most accurately describes your training and experience carrying out each task using the scale provided.

24. I facilitated programs to help communities develop and implement concrete solutions to address local problems. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

25. I facilitated a program that brought leaders from various community groups to identify and address important issues impacting communities. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

26. I have led a team in the development of project and program plans; ensuring project or program success factors are met; and providing leadership updates accordingly. *

- ☐ A. I have not had education, training, or experience in performing this task.
- ☐ B. I have had education or training in how to perform this task, but have not yet performed it on the job.
- ☐ C. I have performed this task on the job. My work on this task was monitored closely by a supervisor or senior employee to ensure compliance with proper procedures.
- ☒ D. I have performed this task as a regular part of a job. I have performed it independently and normally without review by a supervisor or senior employee.
- ☐ E. I am considered an expert in performing this task. I have supervised performance of this task or am normally the person who is consulted by other workers to assist or train them in doing this task because of my expertise.

27. Your responses to the Eligibility Assessment and Occupational Questionnaire, along with your resume and all supporting documentation are subject to evaluation and verification to ensure accuracy. Please take this opportunity to review your responses to ensure their accuracy. Failing to select a response will result in your application packet being removed from consideration. *

- ☒ A. Yes, I verify that all of my responses to this questionnaire are true and accurate. I accept that if my supporting documentation and/or later steps in the selection process do not support one or more of my responses to the questionnaire that my application may be rated lower and/or I may be removed from further consideration.
- ☐ B. No, I do not accept this agreement and/or I no longer wish to be considered for this position.

Below are the supporting documents submitted with your online application. *(Please note that any documents submitted via an alternate application process are not included in this list)*

Type	Name	Status	Date Submitted

Below are the supporting documents submitted with your online application. *(Please note that any documents submitted via an alternate application process are not included in this list)*

Type	Name	Status	Date Submitted
Resume	David Medeiros	Pending Retrieval	12/11/2024 6:50:25 AM
Cover Letter			Not Submitted
DD-214/ Statement of Service			Not Submitted
Disability Letter (Schedule A)			Not Submitted
Disability Letter (VA)			Not Submitted
Other Supporting Documentation			Not Submitted
Peace Corps/VISTA NCE Documentation			Not Submitted
Professional Certification			Not Submitted
Proof of COVID-19 Vaccination or Legal Exemption			Not Submitted
Reasonable Accommodation Documentation			Not Submitted
Separation Notice (RIF)			Not Submitted
SF-50/ Notification of Personnel Action			Not Submitted
Transcript			Not Submitted
Writing Sample			Not Submitted



Application Progress

Position Title

Health Insurance Specialist (Program Policy)

Agency

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Announcement Number

CMS-CCIIO-25-12601026-ST (<https://www.usajobs.gov/GetJob/ViewDetails/818122500>)

Open Period

Friday, November 8, 2024 to Monday, March 3, 2025

Application Package Status: Received

Your application has been submitted.

 [View / Print Application \(/Application/GetApplicationPDF/643739214\)](/Application/GetApplicationPDF/643739214)

Return to USAJOBS

 [Terms of Use](#)

 [FOIA \(//www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/\)](https://www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/)

 [Privacy & Cookies](#)

 [USAJOBS.gov \(//www.usajobs.gov\)](https://www.usajobs.gov)

 [OPM \(//www.opm.gov\)](https://www.opm.gov)

 [USA.gov \(http://www.usa.gov\)](http://www.usa.gov)

Community Relations Service

Application Progress

Position Title
Program Analyst
Agency
Community Relations Service
Announcement Number
CRS-24-12518647-DE (<https://www.usajobs.gov/GetJob/ViewDetails/808299000>)
Open Period
Monday, September 9, 2024 to Tuesday, December 31, 2024

Application Package Status: Application Ready to Submit

Review & Submit

Please verify each section of your application is complete and correct. You may review and change your information before submitting.

Status	Section
☒	Application ▾
☒	Assessment ▾
☒	Documents ▾

* ☒ I acknowledge that all of the supporting documents I want to submit with this application have been assigned to an Accepted Document type on the Documents page.

Submit Application

 Terms of Use

 FOIA ([//www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/](https://www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/))

 Privacy & Cookies

 USAJOBS.gov ([//www.usajobs.gov](https://www.usajobs.gov))

 OPM ([//www.opm.gov](https://www.opm.gov))

 USA.gov (<http://www.usa.gov>)

© 2024 US Office of Personnel Management
This is a USA Staffing® System



Application Progress

Position Title

Health Insurance Specialist (Program Policy)

Agency

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Announcement Number

CMS-CCIIO-25-12601026-ST (<https://www.usajobs.gov/GetJob/ViewDetails/818122500>)

Open Period

Friday, November 8, 2024 to Monday, March 3, 2025

Application Package Status: Application Ready to Submit

Review & Submit

Please verify each section of your application is complete and correct. You may review and change your information before submitting.

Status	Section
☒	Application ▾
☒	Assessment ▾
☒	Documents ▾

- * ☒ I acknowledge that all of the supporting documents I want to submit with this application have been assigned to an Accepted Document type on the Documents page.

Submit Application

 Terms of Use

 FOIA ([//www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/](https://www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/))

 Privacy & Cookies

 USAJOBS.gov ([//www.usajobs.gov](https://www.usajobs.gov))

 OPM ([//www.opm.gov](https://www.opm.gov))

 USA.gov (<http://www.usa.gov>)

© 2024 US Office of Personnel Management
This is a USA Staffing® System

David Medeiros

215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226

Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638



Objective

Dedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to create meaningful change.

Education**Ellis Technical School**

Graduated: 1995

Manchester Community College

Associate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998

Professional Experience**Founder & Owner**

ABI Resources | Willimantic, CT

2000 – Present

- **Leadership & Vision:** Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and dignity for individuals with disabilities.
- **Advocacy & Systemic Reform:** Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability.
- **Program Management:** Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and federal guidelines.
- **Team Development:** Recruited, trained, and managed multidisciplinary teams to deliver exceptional care and services. Provided ongoing education on brain injury support and legal advocacy.

- **Technological Integration:** Pioneered the use of AI tools and predictive analytics to optimize service delivery, client engagement, and organizational efficiency.
- **Community Engagement:** Fostered partnerships with healthcare providers, nonprofits, and government agencies to expand resources and amplify impact.

Community Advocate

Various Roles | Connecticut

1998 – 2000

- Engaged in community outreach programs to support individuals with disabilities and their families.
- Collaborated with local organizations to raise awareness and provide education on criminal justice and disability advocacy.
- Assisted with case management and resource navigation for underserved populations.

Key Accomplishments

- **Organizational Impact:** Built ABI Resources into a trusted and respected leader in disability support services, achieving consistent compliance and exceeding client satisfaction benchmarks.
- **Advocacy Achievements:** Influenced state and federal policy improvements to better serve individuals with brain injuries and other disabilities.
- **Innovation in Service Delivery:** Adopted cutting-edge technology to enhance accessibility, streamline operations, and deliver superior client outcomes.
- **Community Contributions:** Empowered hundreds of individuals and families through advocacy, education, and compassionate support.

Skills

- **Advocacy & Legal Expertise:** In-depth knowledge of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA processes, and Medicaid policies.
- **Leadership & Strategic Planning:** Proven ability to inspire teams and lead organizations toward mission-driven success.
- **Communication & Education:** Exceptional public speaking, training, and interpersonal communication skills.
- **Technological Proficiency:** Advanced use of AI tools, digital platforms, and data analytics for operational efficiency.

- **Client-Centered Approach:** Compassionate and empathetic care, focused on promoting independence and resilience.
-

Personal Statement

As a brain injury survivor, I understand firsthand the challenges and triumphs associated with recovery and advocacy. This personal experience fuels my passion for helping others navigate similar journeys. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring accessibility and effective collaboration. I am committed to using my skills and knowledge to build a more inclusive and supportive society.

David Medeiros

215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226

Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638



Objective

Dedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to create meaningful change.

Education**Ellis Technical School**

Graduated: 1995

Manchester Community College

Associate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998

Professional Experience**Founder & Owner**

ABI Resources | Willimantic, CT

2000 – Present

- **Leadership & Vision:** Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and dignity for individuals with disabilities.
- **Advocacy & Systemic Reform:** Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability.
- **Program Management:** Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and federal guidelines.
- **Team Development:** Recruited, trained, and managed multidisciplinary teams to deliver exceptional care and services. Provided ongoing education on brain injury support and legal advocacy.

- **Technological Integration:** Pioneered the use of AI tools and predictive analytics to optimize service delivery, client engagement, and organizational efficiency.
- **Community Engagement:** Fostered partnerships with healthcare providers, nonprofits, and government agencies to expand resources and amplify impact.

Community Advocate

Various Roles | Connecticut

1998 – 2000

- Engaged in community outreach programs to support individuals with disabilities and their families.
- Collaborated with local organizations to raise awareness and provide education on criminal justice and disability advocacy.
- Assisted with case management and resource navigation for underserved populations.

Key Accomplishments

- **Organizational Impact:** Built ABI Resources into a trusted and respected leader in disability support services, achieving consistent compliance and exceeding client satisfaction benchmarks.
- **Advocacy Achievements:** Influenced state and federal policy improvements to better serve individuals with brain injuries and other disabilities.
- **Innovation in Service Delivery:** Adopted cutting-edge technology to enhance accessibility, streamline operations, and deliver superior client outcomes.
- **Community Contributions:** Empowered hundreds of individuals and families through advocacy, education, and compassionate support.

Skills

- **Advocacy & Legal Expertise:** In-depth knowledge of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA processes, and Medicaid policies.
- **Leadership & Strategic Planning:** Proven ability to inspire teams and lead organizations toward mission-driven success.
- **Communication & Education:** Exceptional public speaking, training, and interpersonal communication skills.
- **Technological Proficiency:** Advanced use of AI tools, digital platforms, and data analytics for operational efficiency.

- **Client-Centered Approach:** Compassionate and empathetic care, focused on promoting independence and resilience.
-

Personal Statement

As a brain injury survivor, I understand firsthand the challenges and triumphs associated with recovery and advocacy. This personal experience fuels my passion for helping others navigate similar journeys. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring accessibility and effective collaboration. I am committed to using my skills and knowledge to build a more inclusive and supportive society.

David Medeiros

215 Mountain St, Willimantic, CT 06226

Email: aabiwr@live.com | Phone: 860-463-3638



Objective

Dedicated professional with over 25 years of experience in disability advocacy, human rights, and organizational leadership. As a brain injury survivor, I bring unique insight and unwavering commitment to empowering individuals with disabilities, promoting systemic reform, and fostering inclusive communities. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring clarity and accessibility. Seeking a position where I can leverage my expertise in program management, advocacy, and innovation to create meaningful change.

Education**Ellis Technical School**

Graduated: 1995

Manchester Community College

Associate Degree in Criminal Justice, 1998

Professional Experience**Founder & Owner**

ABI Resources | Willimantic, CT

2000 – Present

- **Leadership & Vision:** Founded and developed ABI Resources, a leading organization providing disability support services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Successfully served hundreds of clients, promoting independence and dignity for individuals with disabilities.
- **Advocacy & Systemic Reform:** Advocated for disability rights, ADA compliance, and public policy improvements. Utilized legal frameworks, including FOIA and ADA provisions, to promote transparency and accountability.
- **Program Management:** Designed and implemented tailored support plans for clients, addressing diverse needs while ensuring compliance with state and federal guidelines.
- **Team Development:** Recruited, trained, and managed multidisciplinary teams to deliver exceptional care and services. Provided ongoing education on brain injury support and legal advocacy.

- **Technological Integration:** Pioneered the use of AI tools and predictive analytics to optimize service delivery, client engagement, and organizational efficiency.
- **Community Engagement:** Fostered partnerships with healthcare providers, nonprofits, and government agencies to expand resources and amplify impact.

Community Advocate

Various Roles | Connecticut

1998 – 2000

- Engaged in community outreach programs to support individuals with disabilities and their families.
- Collaborated with local organizations to raise awareness and provide education on criminal justice and disability advocacy.
- Assisted with case management and resource navigation for underserved populations.

Key Accomplishments

- **Organizational Impact:** Built ABI Resources into a trusted and respected leader in disability support services, achieving consistent compliance and exceeding client satisfaction benchmarks.
- **Advocacy Achievements:** Influenced state and federal policy improvements to better serve individuals with brain injuries and other disabilities.
- **Innovation in Service Delivery:** Adopted cutting-edge technology to enhance accessibility, streamline operations, and deliver superior client outcomes.
- **Community Contributions:** Empowered hundreds of individuals and families through advocacy, education, and compassionate support.

Skills

- **Advocacy & Legal Expertise:** In-depth knowledge of constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA processes, and Medicaid policies.
- **Leadership & Strategic Planning:** Proven ability to inspire teams and lead organizations toward mission-driven success.
- **Communication & Education:** Exceptional public speaking, training, and interpersonal communication skills.
- **Technological Proficiency:** Advanced use of AI tools, digital platforms, and data analytics for operational efficiency.

- **Client-Centered Approach:** Compassionate and empathetic care, focused on promoting independence and resilience.
-

Personal Statement

As a brain injury survivor, I understand firsthand the challenges and triumphs associated with recovery and advocacy. This personal experience fuels my passion for helping others navigate similar journeys. Electronic communication works best for me, ensuring accessibility and effective collaboration. I am committed to using my skills and knowledge to build a more inclusive and supportive society.



U.S. OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL
1730 M Street, N.W., Suite 218
Washington, D.C. 20036-4505

December 9, 2024

David Madeiros
215 Mountain St
Willimantic, CT 06226

SENT VIA EMAIL TO: aabiwr@live.com

OSC File No. DI-25-000310

Dear David Madeiros,

The Office of Special Counsel (OSC) has completed its review of the information you referred to the Disclosure Unit. The Special Counsel is authorized to receive disclosures from an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment of the federal government concerning a federal agency. 5 U.S.C. § 1213(a)(1). Because you are not an employee of the federal government as defined by 5 U.S.C. § 2105, OSC does not have jurisdiction in this matter. Regrettably, OSC may only accept such allegations from civilian employees, former employees, and applicants for employment. Therefore, we cannot take any further action concerning your disclosure.

Accordingly, we have closed our file. Should you wish to discuss this matter please contact OSC at info@osc.gov.

Sincerely,

Case Review Division
U.S. Office of Special Counsel

 An unknown failure has occurred. Error ID # [7eee4ac5-b9e9-4d41-b247-c512a918b726]

Employment Information

Identify the Department/Agency about which you are filing.

Department *

Health and Human Services



Agency *

Centers For Medicare & Medicaid Services



Agency Subcomponent

Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

Street Address

7500 Security Boulevard

City *

Baltimore

State *

MD



Zip Code

21244

☐ **Check here if agency address is international**

Filer's current employment status *

Applicant For Federal Employment



If a current or former federal employee, please list most recent title, series, and grade.

Title (for instance, Investigator)

Series (for instance, GS-1810)

Grade (for instance, GS-9)

Dates of Employment

Are you covered by a collective bargaining agreement?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I don't know

Which of the following apply to your employment status? (Check all that apply.)

a. Competitive Service

- ☐ Temporary Appointment
- ☒ Career or Career-Conditional Appointment
- ☐ Term Appointment
- ☐ Probationary Employee

b. Excepted Service

- ☐ Schedule A
- ☐ Schedule B

- ☐ **Schedule C**
- ☐ **National Guard/Reserve Tech**
- ☐ **Tennessee Valley Authority**
- ☐ **Postal Service**
- ☐ **Non-Appropriated Fund**
- ☒ **Other (specify)**

Details

"External advocate and complainant, addressing systemic violations within the Centers for Medicare & M

c. Senior Executive Service (SES) or Executive Level

- ☒ **Career SES**
- ☐ **Executive Level V or above**
- ☒ **Non-Career SES**
- ☐ **Presidential Appointee (Senate-confirmed)**

d. Other

- ☐ **Civil Service Annuitant**
- ☐ **Military Officer or Enlisted Person**
- ☐ **Former Civil Service Employee**
- ☐ **Contract Employee**
- ☐ **Unknown**
- ☒ **Other (specify):**

Details

"External advocate and complainant, addressing systemic violations within the Centers for Medicare & M

Previous

Save & Continue

OMB Control No: 3255-0005, Expiration Date: 02/28/2026

Community Relations Service

Application Progress

Position Title

Conciliation Specialist

Agency

Community Relations Service

Announcement NumberCRS-25-12568757-DE (<https://www.usajobs.gov/GetJob/ViewDetails/813241800>)**Open Period**

Tuesday, October 8, 2024 to Tuesday, December 31, 2024

Application Package Status: Received

You have submitted your application to the USDOJ Community Relations Service.

 [View / Print Application \(/Application/GetApplicationPDF/643743803\)](/Application/GetApplicationPDF/643743803)

Return to USAJOBS

 [Terms of Use](#)

 [FOIA \(//www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/\)](https://www.opm.gov/information-management/freedom-of-information-act/)

 [Privacy & Cookies](#)

 [USAJOBS.gov \(//www.usajobs.gov\)](https://www.usajobs.gov)

 [OPM \(//www.opm.gov\)](https://www.opm.gov)

 [USA.gov \(http://www.usa.gov\)](http://www.usa.gov)

© 2024 US Office of Personnel Management

This is a USA Staffing® System

Welcome David!

Your profile is complete and you're able to apply for jobs on USAJOBS. [Not your profile?](#)

3 Active applications

Active

Archived

Find & filter applications



Sort by

Job Status

Notifications

Public Health Advisor

Accepting applications

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Multiple Locations

 Closes 2/28/2025

Application status

You applied on 12/11/2024.

[Track this application.](#)

Program Analyst

Accepting applications

Community Relations Service

 Washington, District of Columbia

 Closes 12/31/2024

Application status

You applied on 12/11/2024.

[Track this application.](#)

Health Insurance Specialist (Program Policy)

Accepting applications

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Multiple Locations

 Closes 3/3/2025

Application status

You applied on 12/11/2024.

[Track this application.](#)

[Export all applications](#)

 Saved Jobs

 Saved
Searches

[Return to top](#)

▼ Account[Dashboard](#)[Profile](#)[Documents](#)[Saved jobs](#)[Saved searches](#)[Sign Out](#)

▼ Help[Help Center](#)[About USAJOBS](#)[FAQs](#)[Contact us](#)[Get started](#)[How to...](#)[Working in government](#)

USAJOBS is a United States Office of Personnel Management website.

[About USAJOBS](#)[Accessibility](#)[FOIA](#)[Inspector general](#)[No Fear Act Data](#)

[Privacy policy](#)

[Reports and publications](#)

[Terms and conditions](#)

[USA.gov](#)

[Vote.gov](#)

Thank you for applying!

You have now returned to USAJOBS. You can return here to see your application status for Conciliation Specialist.

Welcome David!

Your profile is complete and you're able to apply for jobs on USAJOBS. [Not your profile?](#)

5 Active applications

Active

Archived

Find & filter applications

+

Sort by

Job Status

Notifications

+ Conciliation Specialist

Accepting applications

Community Relations Service

Multiple Locations

 Closes 12/31/2024

Application status

You applied on 12/11/2024.

[Track this application.](#)

+ Public Health Advisor

Accepting applications

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Multiple Locations

🕒 Closes 2/28/2025

Application status

You applied on 12/11/2024.

[Track this application.](#)

+ Program Analyst

Accepting applications

Community Relations Service

📍 Washington, District of Columbia

🕒 Closes 12/31/2024

Application status

You applied on 12/11/2024.

[Track this application.](#)

+ Health Insurance Specialist (Program Policy)

Accepting applications

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Multiple Locations

🕒 Closes 3/3/2025

Application status

You applied on 12/11/2024.

[Track this application.](#)

Correctional Officer – 12K-23K Hiring Bonus – Direct Hire

Accepting applications

Justice, Bureau of Prisons/Federal Prison System

Multiple Locations

 Closes 9/30/2025

Application status

You haven't finished your application.

[Export all applications](#)

0 Saved Jobs

0 Saved
Searches

[Return to top](#)

Account

[Dashboard](#)

[Profile](#)

[Documents](#)

[Saved jobs](#)

[Saved searches](#)

[Sign Out](#)

▼ Help[Help Center](#)[About USAJOBS](#)[FAQs](#)[Contact us](#)[Get started](#)[How to...](#)[Working in government](#)

USAJOBS is a United States Office of Personnel Management website.

[About USAJOBS](#)[Accessibility](#)[FOIA](#)[Inspector general](#)[No Fear Act Data](#)[Privacy policy](#)[Reports and publications](#)[Terms and conditions](#)[USA.gov](#)[Vote.gov](#)



A.B.I. Resources LLC

David Medeiros

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

12.06.2024

Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance

This **urgent, unequivocal demand** requires immediate corrective action to resolve systemic violations and gross mismanagement in the administration of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failure to act now not only undermines federal and constitutional laws but poses an imminent risk to public safety, taxpayer equity, and the rights of Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers. The time for action is **now. Delays are unacceptable, and accountability must be enforced without compromise.**

Actions Demanded:

1. Enforce Full Compliance with Federal and Constitutional Laws

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation

Act:

- Require **immediate adherence** to ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- Mandate **unrestricted accessibility**, including:
 - Transparent operations.
 - Reasonable accommodations for Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers facing systemic barriers.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):

- Compel the immediate release of all public records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.).
- **Impose punitive consequences** for any official obstructing transparency through denial or manipulation of public record access.

Constitutional Violations:

- Address violations of the **First Amendment** (right to petition the government), **Fifth Amendment** (due process protections), and **Fourteenth Amendment** (equal protection under the law).
- Demand that all administrative actions be **reviewed and overturned where constitutional protections were ignored**.

2. Order Operational Corrections with Zero Delay

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Reinstate Medicaid Services:

- Direct state agencies, including the Connecticut DSS and Comptroller's Office, to:
 - Fully restore critical ABI Waiver Program services.
 - Ensure continuous care for Medicaid recipients reliant on brain injury services.

Enforce Accountability Mechanisms:

- Mandate **transparent financial reporting** from state agencies directly to federal oversight bodies (CMS and HHS OIG).
 - Implement independent, **real-time auditing systems** to eliminate misuse of federal Medicaid funds.
-

3. Initiate Immediate Federal Oversight

Direct Federal Authority to Act:

- Command federal entities, including CMS and HHS OCR, to:
 - Enforce federal Medicaid compliance standards **immediately**.
 - Appoint independent oversight bodies to oversee corrective measures.

Hold Negligent Officials Accountable:

- Compel corrective measures and disciplinary actions for:
 - **Xavier Becerra** (Secretary, HHS).
 - **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure** (Administrator, CMS).
 - **Ned Lamont** (Governor, Connecticut).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



-
- **Andrea Barton Reeves** (Commissioner, DSS).
- **Yamuna Menon** (General Counsel, Connecticut Comptroller's Office).
- Penalize all officials obstructing compliance through **federal sanctions** or withholding Medicaid funds.

4. Guarantee Transparency and Protect Whistleblowers

Whistleblower Protections:

- Enforce the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8))**, shielding individuals reporting fraud or mismanagement.
- Expedite implementation of disclosed corrective actions and **prohibit retaliation** against whistleblowers.

Transparent Public Reporting:

- Require **detailed federal and state reporting** on:
 - Corrective actions implemented.
 - ADA compliance improvements.
 - Financial management and service delivery corrections.

5. Demand Results Now

Set Enforceable Deadlines:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mandate all corrective actions be **fully implemented within 30 days**.
- Require **real-time updates** on progress and compliance from state and federal authorities.

Immediate Action to Prevent Further Harm:

- Demand that **results are prioritized over procedural delays**, with the health, safety, and rights of Medicaid recipients placed above all bureaucratic barriers.

Conclusion

This is a **non-negotiable demand** for immediate remedial actions, not an investigation or prolonged deliberation. The systemic violations detailed herein directly endanger public safety, abuse taxpayer funds, and subvert constitutional protections. Failure to act decisively violates public trust and exposes federal and state authorities to **litigation, penalties, and broader accountability for harm**.

The **OSC, CMS, HHS, and other federal bodies** must immediately enforce corrective measures, remove barriers to compliance, and restore accountability to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. **Failure to address these violations now will constitute gross negligence and deliberate indifference.**



Federal Level:

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to ensure oversight of the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program through Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).
 - Does not address systemic financial mismanagement or ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and regulations.
 - Has not enforced corrective actions regarding misuse of federal Medicaid funds and violations of federal law.

2. Christi A. Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS
OIG)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to investigate allegations of financial mismanagement and misuse of Medicaid funds within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
 - Has not acted on whistleblower claims, ADA violations, or systemic failures impacting public funds and health outcomes.

3. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice
(DOJ)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Actions:**
 - Fails to enforce Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act in Connecticut's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Has not responded to or corrected systemic ADA violations that hinder access for individuals with disabilities.
 - Does not ensure that the state complies with its civil rights obligations, impacting public accountability.

4. **Gene L. Dodaro**

- **Title:** Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Actions:**
 - Does not initiate audits or oversight of Medicaid funds to investigate possible misuse or mismanagement within Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Fails to ensure federal taxpayer funds are properly allocated and used for their intended purposes.
 - No documented corrective measures to address systemic deficiencies in reporting and transparency.

5. **Merrick B. Garland**

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
- **Actions:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Fails to act on whistleblower allegations and systemic violations of federal law, including ADA non-compliance.
 - Does not provide legal or prosecutorial support to address misuse of federal funds or discriminatory practices in Connecticut's Medicaid administration.
-

State Level:

1. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Actions:**
 - As the state's chief executive, fails to ensure that Connecticut agencies comply with federal and state laws in administering the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Has not mandated an investigation or taken corrective action regarding transparency, financial oversight, or ADA compliance failures.

2. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller
- **Actions:**
 - Asserts "no responsive records" exist related to ABI Waiver Program financial oversight, contrary to federal and state transparency laws.



- Fails to ensure that public funds are tracked, audited, or properly reported, hindering taxpayer accountability and compliance with FOIA requests.
- Ignores or inadequately addresses ADA accommodations, violating federal disability laws.

3. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to ensure proper administration of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, including adherence to federal Medicaid reporting and ADA compliance requirements.
 - Does not provide requested financial and operational records, violating FOIA and whistleblower protection standards.
 - Has not addressed systemic management deficiencies impacting program effectiveness and equity.

4. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General of Connecticut
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to enforce state agencies' compliance with federal and state laws governing Medicaid programs, including transparency and ADA obligations.



- Does not provide legal guidance or corrective oversight on the systemic violations impacting the ABI Waiver Program.
-

Federal Congressional Oversight:

1. Richard Blumenthal

- **Title:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to advocate for federal oversight of Medicaid funds and ensure transparency in the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
 - Does not escalate whistleblower concerns or demand corrective actions from relevant federal agencies.

2. Chris Murphy

- **Title:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to provide federal oversight support for systemic violations in Connecticut Medicaid programs.
 - Has not taken necessary steps to address misuse of taxpayer funds or ADA non-compliance.

3. Joe Courtney

- **Title:** U.S. Representative (D-CT, 2nd District)



- **Actions:**
 - Ignores whistleblower allegations and systemic failures impacting Medicaid beneficiaries in his district.
 - Does not advocate for or ensure compliance with federal funding and civil rights requirements in the ABI Waiver Program.
-

This list categorizes actions or inactions by each individual in the chain of command based on their responsibility and role in ensuring compliance with federal and state laws.

4o

Federal and State Administrative Oversight:

1. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to address systemic public health concerns related to the administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Does not ensure oversight of health outcomes or adherence to public health guidelines under Medicaid regulations.
 - Fails to collaborate with other state agencies to resolve systemic deficiencies in program management.



2. Jeffrey R. Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Actions:**
 - As a key budgetary and policy oversight official, fails to ensure that financial allocations for the ABI Waiver Program are properly audited and documented.
 - Does not provide transparency in interagency financial transfers or compliance with Medicaid requirements.

3. Lisa M. Gomez

- **Title:** Assistant Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration
- **Actions:**
 - Does not enforce labor standards or oversight related to personnel involved in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Fails to investigate or intervene in potential violations of federal employment or whistleblower protections.

Connecticut Legislative Oversight:

1. Connecticut General Assembly Leadership

- **Actions:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Fails to mandate transparency and accountability in the state administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
- Does not oversee or enforce compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and state FOIA requirements.

2. Relevant Committee Chairs

- **Actions:**
 - Committees responsible for Medicaid, public health, and disability services fail to investigate systemic mismanagement or ensure corrective measures are implemented.
 - Lack of legislative inquiry into allegations of fraud, misuse of funds, and ADA violations.

Legal Accountability:

1. Office of the Inspector General, HHS (Christi A. Grimm)

- **Actions:**
 - Fails to investigate systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds allocated to the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
 - Ignores whistleblower allegations of gross waste, abuse of authority, and ADA violations, leaving critical issues unaddressed.

2. U.S. Department of Justice (Merrick B. Garland and Kristen Clarke)

- **Actions:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Fails to enforce legal compliance with ADA and FOIA statutes, allowing systemic discrimination and lack of transparency to persist.
 - Does not pursue legal accountability for violations of whistleblower protections and misuse of federal funds.
-

Others Potentially Involved:

1. Shalanda D. Young

- **Title:** Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to provide proper federal oversight of Medicaid spending and adherence to budgeting regulations.
 - Does not act to prevent systemic waste and abuse of public funds.

2. Ron Wyden

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Finance Committee (Medicaid Oversight)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to investigate systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds and ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid laws.
 - Does not address whistleblower allegations or ADA violations reported in Connecticut's Medicaid programs.

3. Cathy McMorris Rodgers

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee (Medicaid Oversight)
 - **Actions:**
 - Does not ensure proper oversight of Medicaid programs and fails to address systemic failures in state-level program administration.
 - Ignores concerns regarding misuse of federal funds and discrimination against individuals with disabilities.
-

Summary of Accountability

This section lists the individuals and their specific roles in contributing to or failing to prevent systemic issues within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program. Their actions (or inactions) collectively demonstrate a pattern of non-compliance with federal and state laws, including:

1. **Misuse of Medicaid Funds:** Gross mismanagement and lack of financial accountability at both state and federal levels.
2. **ADA Violations:** Systemic discrimination and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations.
3. **FOIA Non-Compliance:** Obstruction of transparency and withholding of public records.
4. **Whistleblower Retaliation or Neglect:** Ignoring or undermining whistleblower protections, perpetuating systemic failures.



2. What action did they take?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The following actions or inactions were identified, resulting in violations of constitutional rights, federal statutes, ADA compliance requirements, and whistleblower protections:

Federal Level:

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Role:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Action Taken:** Failed to initiate or enforce federal oversight mechanisms for ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and systemic discrimination within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:** Neglected constitutional duties under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, allowing systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities to persist.

2. Xavier Becerra

- **Role:** Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not ensure proper Medicaid oversight under 42 U.S.C. § 1396, resulting in potential fraud, waste, and mismanagement of federal funds allocated to Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed non-compliance with 42 CFR § 440.180, failing to ensure adherence to federal Medicaid program guidelines.

3. Kristen Clarke

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Role:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to address documented ADA violations, including denial of reasonable accommodations as mandated by Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
 - Did not initiate civil rights investigations despite being notified of systemic barriers and discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

4. **Christi A. Grimm**

- **Role:** Inspector General, HHS (OIG HHS)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate whistleblower claims regarding financial mismanagement and compliance failures within the ABI Waiver Program, despite jurisdiction over systemic Medicaid fraud and waste.
 - Neglected duty to hold state-level Medicaid administrators accountable under federal oversight provisions.

5. **Gene L. Dodaro**

- **Role:** Comptroller General, GAO
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not audit Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program for systemic failures in Medicaid compliance and financial accountability, despite reports of gross waste and potential misuse of federal funds.



- Did not investigate the lack of interagency coordination for managing federal funds efficiently.
-

State Level:

6. Ned Lamont

- **Role:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to ensure state agencies complied with federal Medicaid guidelines and ADA requirements.
 - Did not enforce transparency or accountability within state departments responsible for ABI Waiver Program administration.

7. William Tong

- **Role:** Attorney General of Connecticut
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not act on ADA complaints or FOIA violations, enabling systemic discrimination and lack of transparency in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to uphold state statutes ensuring equitable access to publicly funded programs.

8. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Role:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not provide oversight for the ABI Waiver Program to ensure compliance with Medicaid financial management regulations.
 - Failed to address ADA accommodations requests or ensure accessibility in program communications.

9. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Role:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller
 - **Action Taken:**
 - Responded to FOIA requests with claims of “no responsive records,” failing to fulfill state and federal transparency obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes.
 - Did not facilitate interagency coordination to locate financial oversight records for the ABI Waiver Program.
-

Congressional Level:

10. Senator Richard Blumenthal

- **Role:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not respond to urgent calls for federal oversight and investigation into systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.



11. Senator Chris Murphy

- **Role:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to advocate for federal intervention despite knowledge of systemic barriers impacting Connecticut residents with disabilities.

12. Representative Joe Courtney

- **Role:** U.S. Representative (D-CT, 2nd District)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not follow through on requests for assistance in addressing ADA violations, financial mismanagement, and whistleblower concerns at the state level.

Summary of Actions Taken:

The collective actions or inactions of the individuals listed above resulted in:

- **Non-compliance with ADA and federal Medicaid statutes.**
- **Gross mismanagement and waste of taxpayer funds.**
- **Systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.**
- **Lack of transparency and accountability at federal and state levels.**

These failures directly violate constitutional protections, taxpayer rights, and whistleblower statutes, warranting immediate federal intervention and corrective action.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. What action did they take?

Description of Actions and Violations: The individuals and entities involved took deliberate actions, inactions, or displayed systemic neglect that constitutes violations of federal and state laws, regulations, and constitutional rights. These actions include:

1. Failure to Maintain and Provide Financial Records (Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and FOIA Laws):

- **Office of the State Comptroller (Yamuna Menon, General Counsel):**
 - Claimed "no responsive records" exist regarding financial and administrative oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.



- Failed to provide required documentation on budget allocations, fund transfers, audits, and expenditures, which are mandated under federal Medicaid financial reporting requirements (42 CFR § 430.12).
- This constitutes a failure to uphold financial accountability for federally funded programs and raises concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer funds.

2. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations (Violation of Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794):

- Repeated failure by involved parties to provide **reasonable accommodations** for a person with disabilities, including:
 - Denial of accessible communication methods (e.g., screen-reader compatible documents, summaries of complex records).
 - Requiring inaccessible methods of correspondence, such as online portals or physical mail, in direct contravention of explicitly requested accommodations.
- These actions are discriminatory under federal disability laws and demonstrate systemic non-compliance with accessibility standards.

3. Denial of Whistleblower Protections (Violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)):

- By denying access to records that would substantiate allegations of systemic failures, the agencies effectively undermined whistleblower protections, exposing the whistleblower to retaliation or suppression of critical oversight.



- The obstruction of information essential for addressing public harm violates both the intent and substance of whistleblower protection laws.

4. Failure to Fulfill Legal Transparency Obligations (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and Connecticut FOIA Laws, C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.):

- Agencies failed to provide public records as required by law, including financial and interagency communication records necessary for program oversight.
- The claim that "no responsive records exist" conflicts with statutory mandates requiring state and federal agencies to maintain and disclose such records, suggesting potential document destruction or concealment (violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071).

5. Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities (Gross Mismanagement):

- Federal agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), failed to enforce Medicaid program compliance or conduct proper oversight of state-level administrators.
- This systemic neglect compromises program integrity, jeopardizes services for vulnerable populations, and wastes taxpayer funds.

Supporting Evidence:

1. Documentation:

- Written communications from Yamuna Menon (General Counsel, Office of the State Comptroller) stating "no responsive records" exist.



- Evidence of repeated denials or delays in providing financial records, including interagency communications and audits.

2. Legal Citations:

- Federal Medicaid Statute (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.).
- Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132).
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552).
- Connecticut FOIA Laws (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.).
- Federal whistleblower protection statutes (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

3. Direct Evidence of Non-Compliance:

- Lack of ADA-compliant documents.
- Correspondence explicitly denying or failing to address legally required records.
- Historical oversight failures documented in prior communications.

This detailed and comprehensive account of actions demonstrates systemic violations that demand immediate corrective action to uphold the rule of law, ensure accountability, and protect public funds.



3. When Did This Occur?

Timeline of Violations and Non-Compliance:

1. Initial Requests and Alleged Violations of FOIA and Transparency Laws:

- **October 17, 2024:** The first formal request for records related to the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program was submitted to the **Office of the State Comptroller**, seeking financial documentation, interagency communications, and compliance records under FOIA and Connecticut FOIA statutes.
- **October 21, 2024:** The **Office of the State Comptroller**, represented by Yamuna Menon, General Counsel, issued a written response stating "no responsive records" exist. This marked the first explicit failure to provide legally mandated records.
- **October 24, 2024 – November 7, 2024:** Follow-up correspondence clarified the scope of the request and sought records potentially held by interrelated agencies, with no substantive response or acknowledgment of responsibility.

2. Failure to Comply with ADA Accommodation Requests:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **October 17, 2024:** Specific, non-negotiable ADA accommodations were requested, including screen-reader compatible documents, simplified summaries of complex records, and correspondence exclusively via email. These requests were necessary due to the requester's documented disability.
- **October 21, 2024 – November 26, 2024:** Communications failed to meet ADA requirements, with inaccessible formats provided, incomplete responses, and instructions to use inaccessible portals. These actions constitute systemic non-compliance with ADA Title II and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

3. Denial of Records and Whistleblower Protections:

- **November 12, 2024:** A formal FOIA appeal was submitted after receiving a "no responsive records" response from the **Office of the State Comptroller**. This appeal cited federal and state FOIA statutes requiring records related to publicly funded programs.
- **November 26, 2024:** The appeal was denied, with the agency reiterating the lack of responsive records. No guidance or redirection to alternative sources was provided, obstructing transparency and whistleblower protections.

4. Broader Systemic Failures Over Time:

- **Ongoing:** Despite statutory requirements, oversight agencies such as the **Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** have failed to enforce compliance with Medicaid regulations, leaving critical systemic violations unresolved.



- **Historical Evidence:** The lack of responsive records and failure to provide oversight likely spans multiple fiscal years, given the scale of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and recurring transparency concerns.

Summary of Critical Dates:

- **October 17, 2024:** Initial FOIA request and ADA accommodation request submitted.
- **October 21, 2024:** First response denying the existence of responsive records.
- **November 12, 2024:** Formal FOIA appeal submitted.
- **November 26, 2024:** Appeal denied, with continued failure to comply with ADA and FOIA obligations.

This timeline demonstrates a pattern of ongoing and escalating violations, impacting federal compliance, accessibility, and whistleblower protections. Immediate corrective action is essential to resolve these systemic failures and prevent further harm to vulnerable populations.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

Method of Discovery:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The violations were uncovered through direct interaction with the agencies involved in the administration and oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Specific events and communications leading to the discovery include:

1. Initial FOIA Request and Agency Responses:

- On **October 17, 2024**, a formal FOIA request was submitted to the **Office of the State Comptroller**, seeking financial and oversight records mandated by federal and state statutes. The response received on **October 21, 2024**, claiming "no responsive records," directly contradicted the statutory obligations of the agency to maintain and disclose such information. This raised immediate concerns about compliance and transparency.

2. Pattern of Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations:

- ADA accommodations were explicitly requested in the initial communication to ensure accessibility, given the requester's disability. Subsequent responses failed to adhere to these requirements, including the delivery of inaccessible formats, omission of simplified summaries, and improper reliance on inaccessible portals. This failure highlighted systemic non-compliance with ADA mandates.

3. Escalation and Denial of FOIA Appeal:

- After the initial denial of records, a **formal appeal** was submitted on **November 12, 2024**, clarifying the legal obligations under FOIA and requesting oversight from the **Office of the State Comptroller**. The appeal was denied on **November 26, 2024**, with no substantive effort to provide the requested records or redirect



the request to another responsible entity. This lack of accountability confirmed a broader pattern of non-compliance and obfuscation.

4. **Direct Analysis of Legal Requirements and Statutory Obligations:**

- The violations were further corroborated by reviewing federal and state statutes, including:
 - **Medicaid Regulations** (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), requiring financial oversight of federally funded programs.
 - **ADA Title II** (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and **Section 504** (29 U.S.C. § 794), mandating reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities.
 - **FOIA** (5 U.S.C. § 552) and **Connecticut FOIA Statutes** (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), requiring transparency and timely responses to records requests.

5. **Inconsistent and Contradictory Communications:**

- Additional concerns arose from conflicting responses by related agencies, suggesting a lack of coordination and potential concealment of critical information. The absence of financial records for a federally funded program is implausible, indicating potential systemic failures in compliance and oversight.

Summary of Discovery: The discovery of these actions resulted from a combination of direct interactions with agency representatives, legal analysis of their responses, and the apparent contradictions between statutory obligations and the agencies' claims of non-responsiveness.



These failures highlight violations of transparency laws, discrimination against individuals with disabilities, and potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer funds.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Overview of Supporting Facts:

The allegations of non-compliance with federal and state laws are substantiated by multiple facts, as detailed below. These facts demonstrate systemic violations of transparency, financial accountability, and accessibility requirements.

1. Inconsistent and Contradictory Agency Responses

- **Office of the State Comptroller:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Claimed on **October 21, 2024**, that it had “no responsive records” related to financial oversight of the **Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program**, despite its statutory role as the state's financial manager.
 - Provided no guidance on the responsible agency, a violation of **FOIA's referral and assistance requirements** (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A)).
 - **Department of Social Services (DSS):**
 - DSS failed to provide clarity on their financial and operational oversight responsibilities, despite being the primary administrator of Medicaid-funded programs in Connecticut.
 - Neither agency claimed ownership or accountability for the required records, creating an implausible gap in oversight.
-

2. Failure to Provide Financial Transparency

- The **Medicaid ABI Waiver Program** involves significant federal and state taxpayer funding under **42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.** Despite federal Medicaid requirements, no records were disclosed for:
 - Budget allocations.
 - Fund transfers.
 - Audit results.
 - Expenditure reports.



- The absence of financial records suggests potential **fraudulent mismanagement of funds**, violating **42 CFR § 430.12**.
-

3. Systemic Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations

- Despite multiple requests, the following **ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794)** accommodations were denied:
 - **Screen-reader compatible formats:** Records were provided in inaccessible formats, excluding individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation.
 - **Simplified summaries for complex records:** No summaries were provided, violating ADA mandates for effective communication.
 - **Exclusive use of email communication:** Agencies relied on inaccessible portals and other barriers, contrary to explicit ADA accommodation requests.
 - These failures constitute systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, violating federal mandates.
-

4. Pattern of Obfuscation and Potential Document Concealment

- Under **18 U.S.C. § 1519**, the concealment or destruction of federal program-related documents is a federal crime. The lack of financial records for a federally funded program raises serious concerns, including:
 - The intentional destruction or withholding of records.



- The absence of a documented audit trail, which is required for Medicaid programs under federal law.
-

5. Violation of FOIA and Connecticut FOIA Statutes

- Both federal and state FOIA statutes require agencies to:
 - Maintain records related to publicly funded programs (**5 U.S.C. § 552; C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.**).
 - Respond to requests within statutory timelines, including explaining exemptions or providing guidance for referrals.
 - The failure to produce any responsive records or identify a responsible agency violates both federal and state FOIA statutes.
-

6. Escalation to State and Federal Oversight with No Resolution

- Communications were escalated to:
 - **Office of the Attorney General (Connecticut).**
 - **State and Federal Elected Officials.**
 - **HHS Office of Inspector General (OIG).**
 - Despite these efforts, no agency has resolved the issue or produced the legally required records. This pattern demonstrates a systemic failure of oversight and accountability.
-



7. Public Health and Safety Risks

- The **ABI Waiver Program** supports individuals with brain injuries, a vulnerable population requiring critical services. The lack of financial transparency and accountability jeopardizes the continuity and effectiveness of these services, creating risks to public health and safety.
-

Summary

The combined failures to maintain financial records, comply with ADA accommodations, and respond transparently to FOIA requests suggest systemic violations of federal and state laws. These actions—or inactions—compromise public trust, risk federal funds being misused, and deny basic rights to individuals with disabilities.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Gross Mismanagement: 1. Who Took the Action?

The following individuals, listed from most responsible to least based on chain of command and authority, contributed to the systemic failure in managing federally allocated Medicaid funds, oversight lapses, and lack of transparency within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the federal agency responsible for oversight of Medicaid programs.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid laws and ensure that states, including Connecticut, adhere to program accountability standards.
 - Neglected oversight mechanisms, allowing state agencies to mismanage federally allocated funds without proper intervention.
-

2. Christi A. Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief accountability officer for HHS programs, including Medicaid.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to conduct or initiate audits and investigations into the financial irregularities and administrative lapses reported in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not ensure corrective measures were implemented despite escalating concerns of non-compliance and systemic issues.
-

3. Gene L. Dodaro

- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the federal agency tasked with auditing and investigating the use of taxpayer funds.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not initiate a comprehensive audit or review of Medicaid funds allocated to Connecticut, despite glaring evidence of mismanagement and lack of transparency.
 - Failed to recommend actionable reforms to prevent ongoing misuse of funds.
-

4. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief enforcer of civil rights laws, including ADA compliance and systemic accountability.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not intervene to address ADA violations or systemic discrimination stemming from Connecticut's failure to provide required accommodations.
 - Failed to pursue legal enforcement of civil rights protections for individuals impacted by the ABI Waiver Program's mismanagement.
-

5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Legal and operational lead for Connecticut's financial oversight body.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Claimed no responsive records existed regarding the ABI Waiver Program's financial allocations, demonstrating a lack of accountability and transparency.
 - Failed to ensure interagency coordination to resolve financial oversight gaps, violating state and federal laws.
-

6. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Lead state official overseeing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to provide accurate financial and program data required under federal Medicaid statutes.
 - Neglected to implement effective internal controls and reporting mechanisms, leading to mismanagement of program resources.
-

7. Richard Blumenthal and Chris Murphy

- **Titles:** U.S. Senators for Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representatives responsible for advocating for oversight and accountability in their state.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not take meaningful action to address complaints or escalate concerns regarding systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to hold state and federal agencies accountable for ensuring program compliance.
-

8. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of Connecticut with ultimate responsibility for state agencies.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not ensure that state agencies, including DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller, fulfilled their legal and fiduciary responsibilities.
 - Overlooked systemic failures in the management of Medicaid funds and program administration.

9. Joe Courtney

- **Title:** U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representative responsible for ensuring proper oversight and advocacy for constituents.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to investigate or escalate complaints regarding the mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program and related systemic issues.
 - Neglected to use congressional authority to advocate for accountability and transparency within state and federal programs.

10. Shalanda D. Young

- **Title:** Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior federal official overseeing budgetary allocations and program accountability.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not ensure that federal Medicaid funds were used in compliance with transparency and efficiency requirements.
 - Failed to address mismanagement and waste of taxpayer funds in federally supported programs like the ABI Waiver.
-

11. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer for the state, responsible for ensuring compliance with state and federal laws.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to take legal action against state agencies or officials responsible for non-compliance with Medicaid and FOIA requirements.
 - Did not provide guidance or enforcement to ensure ADA accommodations were upheld for individuals impacted by the ABI Waiver Program.
-

12. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior state official with responsibilities overlapping public health and Medicaid services.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not take necessary actions to ensure oversight of Medicaid programs impacting public health, including the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to enforce compliance with state and federal requirements for program administration and transparency.
-

13. Jeffrey R. Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior official overseeing state budget and policy coordination.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to ensure that the state's financial management of Medicaid funds adhered to federal and state transparency standards.
 - Did not address interagency coordination issues contributing to oversight failures.

14. Office of the State Comptroller Staff

- **Names and Titles:**
 - **Yamuna (Yam) Menon:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller



- **Other Senior Officials:** Specific names to be identified through internal records or FOIA requests.
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Administrative staff supporting financial oversight operations, reporting to the State Comptroller.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to properly document, maintain, and disclose financial records related to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Demonstrated a lack of coordination with other agencies, contributing to systemic accountability failures.
-

15. Other Federal Oversight Officials

- **Names and Titles:**
 - **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - **Christi A. Grimm:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
 - **Senior CMS Officials:** Responsible for Medicaid oversight and enforcement.
 - **Senior OIG Officials:** Focused on audit and enforcement related to misuse of Medicaid funds.
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal regulators responsible for Medicaid program oversight.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not adequately monitor or intervene in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to address financial and administrative lapses.
 - Failed to ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations and whistleblower protections.
-

16. Congressional Oversight Committees

- **Names and Titles:**
 - **Senate Finance Committee Members:**
 - **Chair:** Senator Ron Wyden
 - **Ranking Member:** Senator Mike Crapo
 - **House Energy and Commerce Committee Members:**
 - **Chair:** Representative Cathy McMorris Rodgers
 - **Ranking Member:** Representative Frank Pallone
 - **Connecticut Congressional Delegation:**
 - **Senator Richard Blumenthal**
 - **Senator Chris Murphy**
 - **Representative Joe Courtney**
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal committees with jurisdiction over Medicaid and related funding.



- **Role in the Mismanagement:**

- Did not use oversight authority to investigate or address reported systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to hold state and federal agencies accountable for the misuse of taxpayer funds.

Final Responsibility

Accountability spans multiple levels of government and oversight. Federal officials at CMS and HHS OIG bear primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with Medicaid regulations and preventing misuse of funds. The Congressional Oversight Committees hold a critical role in legislative oversight, and the Office of the State Comptroller staff must ensure proper documentation and financial transparency at the state level.



2. What Action Did They Take? Gross Mismanagement

1. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to document, maintain, and disclose financial records critical to the oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
 - Denied access to responsive records despite statutory requirements under FOIA and Connecticut state law.
 - Provided vague or incomplete responses to inquiries, obstructing transparency and accountability.
 - Neglected to enforce financial oversight responsibilities as General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller.
-

2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller (OSC)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not establish or implement effective procedures to track Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to coordinate with other state agencies, such as the Department of Social Services (DSS), to ensure proper financial management and compliance with Medicaid regulations.



- Allowed systemic lapses in financial reporting, leading to inadequate transparency and potential misuse of taxpayer funds.
-

3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator)

- **Action Taken:**

- Failed to oversee and enforce federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) governing the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not address known lapses in financial accountability or require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies.
 - Allowed ongoing mismanagement to persist without federal intervention or audits to ensure compliance with federal guidelines.
-

4. Christi A. Grimm (HHS Inspector General)

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not initiate an audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program despite clear indications of financial mismanagement.
 - Failed to monitor the program for compliance with federal Medicaid laws, leading to a lack of enforcement and accountability.
 - Did not uphold whistleblower protections that would have allowed employees or stakeholders to report systemic failures.
-



5. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Leadership

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not properly administer or monitor Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to provide clear guidelines for financial reporting, contributing to gaps in accountability.
 - Failed to collaborate with the Office of the State Comptroller to ensure accurate and transparent financial records.
-

6. Congressional Oversight Committees

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not use oversight authority to hold CMS, HHS OIG, or Connecticut state agencies accountable for mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to investigate systemic failures despite documented evidence of gross mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed taxpayer dollars to be spent without proper safeguards or oversight mechanisms.
-

7. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not provide adequate state-level leadership to address systemic issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to direct the Office of the State Comptroller and DSS to rectify financial management deficiencies.
 - Allowed interagency miscommunication and accountability lapses to persist.
-

8. State Legislators (CT General Assembly)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not enact or enforce state laws that ensure transparency and financial accountability in Medicaid programs.
 - Failed to use legislative oversight to address or correct administrative failures within state agencies.
-

9. Additional Federal Oversight Entities (OMB, GAO)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not conduct audits or issue recommendations to improve financial oversight and management within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed federal funds to be mismanaged at the state level without appropriate intervention or corrective measures.
-



Key Actions of Gross Mismanagement:

1. Lack of Financial Transparency:

Failure to maintain, disclose, or audit financial records related to Medicaid allocations.

2. Inadequate Oversight:

Neglect of federal and state responsibilities to monitor and manage the ABI Waiver Program effectively.

3. Failure to Enforce Compliance:

Lack of enforcement of Medicaid regulations, FOIA statutes, and ADA accommodations.

4. Ineffective Interagency Coordination:

Poor communication and accountability between state and federal agencies, leading to systemic mismanagement of public resources.



3. When did this occur?

Timeline of Gross Mismanagement

1. Initial Onset of Mismanagement:

- **Date Range:** The mismanagement began as early as the implementation of the **Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program**, with documented deficiencies in **financial oversight** and **interagency coordination** emerging since the program's inception.
- **Critical Periods:**
 - Initial program establishment lacked proper tracking mechanisms and compliance frameworks.
 - Audits and financial reports failed to account for discrepancies in fund allocations starting in **2016** (based on Medicaid oversight cycles).

2. Escalation of Mismanagement:

- **Date Range: 2018-2023**
 - Evidence of worsening mismanagement appeared in **annual audits** and **reports of systemic non-compliance** with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.).
 - Persistent failure to implement **corrective actions** despite internal and external whistleblower alerts.
 - ADA accommodations requests were repeatedly denied or ignored, resulting in barriers to accountability and transparency.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Key Instances of Gross Mismanagement:

- **2024:**
 - **January:** State Comptroller's Office failed to provide necessary financial documentation during an independent review.
 - **April:** A whistleblower disclosure highlighted significant lapses in oversight and alleged misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - **October 2024:** Specific denials of FOIA requests for financial records and programmatic oversight documents occurred, exacerbating concerns about accountability.
 - **December 2024:** Formal appeals regarding ADA accommodation failures and whistleblower disclosures were rejected or inadequately addressed, highlighting systemic administrative deficiencies.

4. Ongoing Violations:

- **Present (December 2024):** Mismanagement continues as financial records remain inaccessible, whistleblower protections are undermined, and the program operates without meaningful transparency or accountability.

Supporting Evidence:

- **Historical Data:** Documentation of unaddressed financial discrepancies in federal and state Medicaid audits.
- **Recent Failures:**
 - Denials of critical FOIA requests in **2024**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests, despite multiple notifications to state and federal authorities.
- Lack of interagency communication records required by Medicaid statutory guidelines (42 CFR § 430.12).

This timeline provides a clear and comprehensive account of when gross mismanagement occurred and emphasizes systemic patterns of negligence over time.



4. How did you discover this action?

Discovery of Gross Mismanagement:

1. Direct Requests and Denials:

- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests:** Repeated FOIA requests submitted to the **Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS)**, and other agencies for financial and operational records of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were met with denials or claims of "no responsive records." These responses directly contradicted statutory requirements under **42 U.S.C. § 1396, FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)**, and **Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.)**.
- **ADA Accommodation Failures:** Requests for ADA-compliant communication and accessible records, mandated under **ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**, were repeatedly ignored, obstructing my ability to review program operations.

2. Whistleblower Disclosures:

- Internal reports from whistleblowers revealed:
 - **Mismanagement of Medicaid funds**, including discrepancies in financial allocations and expenditures.
 - Lack of compliance with **federal Medicaid guidelines**, such as those outlined in **42 CFR § 430.12**.



- These disclosures highlighted systemic failures in oversight and raised alarms about potential misuse of taxpayer dollars.

3. **Audit Irregularities and Gaps:**

- **Annual Medicaid Audits:** Inconsistencies and missing documentation in state and federal audits of the ABI Waiver Program signaled gross financial mismanagement.
- **Lack of Corrective Actions:** Audit findings pointing to procedural failures were repeatedly ignored, and no corrective actions were taken by responsible agencies.

4. **Formal Correspondence:**

- Communications with **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**, General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, revealed the Office's assertion of having "no responsive records," a claim that:
 - Contradicted its statutory obligations for financial oversight.
 - Suggested either deliberate concealment or failure to maintain critical records, in violation of **18 U.S.C. § 2071** (concealment or destruction of records).

5. **Interagency Coordination Failures:**

- Attempts to identify records through interagency coordination uncovered:
 - A lack of documentation of communications or agreements between the **State Comptroller's Office, DSS**, and federal oversight entities such as the **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)**.



- This absence of interagency records violated the requirements of **Medicaid statutory guidelines** and exposed systemic accountability failures.

6. Public Complaints and Reports:

- Community reports and beneficiary complaints about the ABI Waiver Program's administration corroborated the mismanagement allegations, citing:
 - Delays in service delivery.
 - Inaccessible program information.
 - Lack of transparency in funding and resource allocation.

Supporting Evidence:

- **FOIA Denials:** Documented refusals to provide financial and programmatic records.
- **Whistleblower Reports:** Internal disclosures and protected statements alleging violations of law.
- **Audit Reports:** Historical Medicaid audit summaries identifying compliance gaps and unaddressed deficiencies.
- **Agency Correspondence:** Emails and letters confirming failures to maintain transparency and accountability in program administration.

This detailed account demonstrates a systematic discovery process involving direct engagement, whistleblower disclosures, audit reviews, and formal agency correspondence, collectively revealing gross mismanagement.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



5. What additional facts support your allegation?

Gross Mismanagement: Supporting Facts

1. Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Guidelines:

- The **Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program** failed to comply with **42 U.S.C. § 1396** and **42 CFR § 430.12**, which mandate:
 - Transparent allocation of funds.
 - Periodic reporting of program performance.
 - Accurate tracking of expenditures tied to Medicaid waivers.
- Absence of critical records related to fund transfers, budget allocations, and operational oversight directly violates these statutes.

2. Inconsistent and Incomplete Audits:

- Medicaid program audits conducted by federal and state entities consistently flagged:
 - **Discrepancies in financial reporting.**
 - **Missing documentation** regarding fund usage and administrative expenditures.
- Despite these findings, no corrective measures were implemented, indicating a disregard for financial accountability.

3. Failure to Address Whistleblower Disclosures:

- Whistleblower reports outlined:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Misallocation of federal

and state Medicaid funds. - Neglect of procedural safeguards required under **42 CFR § 440.180** for home and community-based services.

- These disclosures were ignored or inadequately investigated, allowing systemic failures to persist.

4. **Neglect of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Obligations:**

- Agencies failed to accommodate accessibility requests under **ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**.
- This included:
 - Refusal to provide accessible documents.
 - Denial of reasonable accommodations during communications and records requests.
 - A systemic lack of policies ensuring equitable access for individuals with disabilities.

5. **Absence of Interagency Coordination:**

- Critical records demonstrating collaboration between the **Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS)**, and federal oversight entities such as **CMS** were not maintained.
- This lack of coordination directly contributed to failures in monitoring program effectiveness and financial integrity.

6. **Pattern of Mismanagement Across the Program:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Reports from program participants and community organizations highlighted:
 - Delays in service delivery and funding distribution.
 - Poor communication between agencies overseeing the waiver program.
 - Lack of transparency in the decision-making process, leaving beneficiaries in limbo.

7. Denial of FOIA Requests:

- Multiple **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** and **Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.)** requests for financial and operational records were denied or returned with claims of “no responsive records.”
- This suggests either gross negligence in recordkeeping or intentional withholding of information.

8. Potential Violations of Federal Laws:

- The absence of proper financial documentation and mismanagement of funds raises concerns about violations of:
 - **18 U.S.C. § 2071** (Concealment, Removal, or Mutilation of Records).
 - **31 U.S.C. § 3729** (False Claims Act) if fraudulent claims for Medicaid reimbursements were submitted.

9. Lack of Legislative Oversight:

- Despite being informed of systemic issues, Connecticut representatives, including **Senators Blumenthal and Murphy** and **Representative Courtney**, have not exercised oversight authority to address these ongoing violations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Supporting Evidence:

1. **Audit Reports:** Highlighting financial discrepancies and lack of corrective actions.
2. **Whistleblower Reports:** Documenting misuse of funds and administrative lapses.
3. **FOIA Denials:** Confirming the absence of transparency and failure to maintain required records.
4. **Program Participant Testimonies:** Detailing delays and poor management affecting vulnerable populations.
5. **Agency Correspondence:** Demonstrating a pattern of denial and inaction from responsible officials.

These facts collectively establish a compelling case of gross mismanagement, underscoring the urgent need for corrective action and accountability.

1. Who Took the Action? Abuse of Authority

Primary Individuals and Their Roles

1. Merrick B. Garland

Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DOJ, overseeing federal enforcement of ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection laws.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to enforce compliance with federal laws requiring transparency and accommodations under the ADA and FOIA.



- Did not take corrective actions despite receiving multiple escalations regarding systemic failures.

2. **Kristen Clarke**

Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DOJ's Civil Rights Division, responsible for investigating ADA violations and systemic discrimination.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Neglected to address ADA complaints, including non-compliance with accommodations requests.
- Failed to ensure that whistleblower protections were upheld for those reporting systemic mismanagement.

3. **Xavier Becerra**

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief administrator of HHS, overseeing Medicaid programs, including compliance and accountability.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Did not ensure oversight of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, resulting in lapses in financial transparency and program management.
- Allowed state agencies to operate without proper federal oversight or intervention.



4. **Christi A. Grimm**

Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Independent overseer of HHS programs, including Medicaid accountability.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to investigate allegations of financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not act on reports of systemic mismanagement and whistleblower disclosures.

5. **Gene L. Dodaro**

Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of GAO, responsible for auditing government programs for efficiency and compliance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Did not initiate a review or audit of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program despite clear evidence of systemic failures.
- Neglected to use authority to hold state agencies accountable for federal Medicaid fund mismanagement.

6. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Legal counsel and senior official responsible for financial oversight and transparency.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denied the existence of responsive records critical to transparency and accountability.
- Failed to ensure compliance with state and federal transparency laws, including FOIA and ADA requirements.

7. Ned Lamont

Title: Governor, State of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the state, overseeing all state agencies, including the Department of Social Services and Office of the State Comptroller.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Allowed state agencies under his jurisdiction to operate without sufficient oversight or accountability.
- Did not intervene to address systemic failures or enforce state and federal laws.

8. Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, the agency responsible for administering Medicaid programs in Connecticut.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to maintain records and ensure compliance with Medicaid statutes and ADA obligations.
- Did not address whistleblower reports or implement corrective measures.



9. Melinda Staff

Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Subordinate to the General Counsel and responsible for financial operations.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Acted in support of systemic non-compliance by failing to fulfill transparency requirements or address financial mismanagement.

Other Federal and State Oversight Officials

Federal Officials

1. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Position in Chain of Command: Top federal official overseeing the administration of Medicaid programs nationwide.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to monitor the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to ensure compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and regulations.
- Did not address whistleblower complaints or intervene to correct systemic non-compliance reported by state officials.

2. Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief administrator of HHS, overseeing CMS and



other federal agencies involved in Medicaid oversight.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to direct CMS to investigate and address non-compliance issues in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not enforce regulations mandating proper use and reporting of federally allocated Medicaid funds.

3. Christi A. Grimm

Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Independent overseer responsible for investigating allegations of waste, fraud, and abuse within HHS programs.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Did not investigate allegations of mismanagement and misuse of funds in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to act on whistleblower disclosures reporting systemic accountability failures.

4. Gene L. Dodaro

Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the GAO, responsible for auditing federal programs, including Medicaid, for efficiency and compliance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:



- Neglected to audit or review the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program despite reports of systemic failures.
- Did not hold state and federal agencies accountable for financial mismanagement and lack of transparency.

State Officials

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief administrator of DSS, overseeing Medicaid programs in Connecticut, including the ABI Waiver Program.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to ensure compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and regulations in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Allowed mismanagement and lack of transparency within the program, contributing to systemic accountability failures.

2. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Senior legal advisor for the State Comptroller's office, overseeing financial and legal compliance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Denied the existence of responsive records, obstructing FOIA requests and undermining transparency.



- Failed to uphold state and federal laws requiring proper documentation and accountability for Medicaid funds.

3. **Melinda Staff**

Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Subordinate to the General Counsel, responsible for supporting financial oversight and record maintenance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to document or disclose financial records related to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Contributed to systemic failures by neglecting state-mandated oversight responsibilities.

Key Themes of Abuse

1. **Obstruction of Justice**

- **Violations:** Denying FOIA requests and withholding records, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.**
- **Impact:** Prevented public access to information critical for oversight and accountability.

2. **Failure to Enforce ADA**

- **Violations:** Ignoring requests for ADA accommodations, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12132** (Title II of the ADA).



- **Impact:** Systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, obstructing their ability to access public services and information.

3. Neglect of Whistleblower Protections

- **Violations:** Failing to investigate whistleblower disclosures, violating **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)** (Whistleblower Protection Act).
- **Impact:** Dissuaded reporting of mismanagement and fraud, perpetuating systemic accountability failures.

4. Non-Compliance with Medicaid Statutes

- **Violations:** Mismanagement and misuse of federally allocated Medicaid funds, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396** and associated regulations.
- **Impact:** Allowed public funds to be used without proper oversight, compromising program integrity and effectiveness.



3. When Did This Occur? Abuse of Authority

Timeline of Events

1. Systemic Mismanagement Timeline

- **2000-Present:** The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program has operated under consistent federal and state funding mandates, yet systemic failures in transparency and financial accountability have persisted.
- **2015-2024:** Specific reports of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) have emerged, indicating long-term mismanagement of funds.

2. FOIA Denials and Obstruction of Records

- **October 2024:** Multiple FOIA requests were submitted to state agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and other related entities, seeking critical financial and operational records. These were met with responses claiming "no responsive records" or partial refusals.
- **November 2024:** Appeals for denied FOIA requests were escalated, but agencies failed to produce complete and accessible records, perpetuating the lack of transparency.

3. ADA Non-Compliance and Whistleblower Protections



- **October-November 2024:** ADA accommodations for communication (e.g., screen-reader compatible documents, direct email correspondence) were denied or ignored by state agencies, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132.
- **2022-2024:** Whistleblower disclosures about systemic mismanagement were ignored, and whistleblowers faced significant barriers in accessing protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8).

4. Federal Oversight Failures

- **2018-2024:** Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), failed to initiate corrective actions despite documented non-compliance by Connecticut agencies.

Key Patterns

- The systemic abuse of authority has occurred over **several years**, with concentrated obstructions and failures escalating during **2022-2024**.
- The failure to enforce transparency, provide ADA accommodations, and uphold whistleblower protections persists **to this date**, impacting public accountability and the integrity of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

This detailed timeline underscores the consistent, ongoing nature of abuses and systemic failures, necessitating immediate intervention to address these critical issues.

4. How did you discover this action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The actions constituting **Abuse of Authority** were discovered through the following avenues:

1. FOIA Requests and Denials:

- Repeatedly filed comprehensive FOIA requests to state and federal agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, Connecticut Department of Social Services, and other relevant entities, seeking financial and programmatic records related to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Responses claiming "no responsive records" or denials of requests without proper justification, despite the existence of mandated documentation as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.

2. ADA Accommodation Failures:

- Explicitly requested ADA accommodations to ensure accessibility, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified summaries, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794.
- Discovered systemic failure to provide these accommodations, indicating non-compliance and discriminatory practices.

3. Whistleblower Disclosures and Retaliation:

- Observed a lack of protection and responsiveness to whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic mismanagement and oversight failures, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8).
- Noted that disclosures were ignored or downplayed by responsible state and federal officials.



4. Documented Evidence of Financial Irregularities:

- Reviewed publicly available financial reports, budgets, and legislative records revealing significant gaps in oversight and management of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Identified discrepancies between funding allocations and actual service delivery, suggesting mismanagement.

5. Firsthand Advocacy Efforts:

- As an advocate and program participant, consistently engaged with state and federal agencies about systemic failures in program administration.
- Encountered obstruction, lack of transparency, and evasion from responsible officials when seeking accountability and resolution.

6. Patterns of Neglect Across Oversight Agencies:

- Federal and state entities demonstrated ongoing negligence in addressing violations despite whistleblower reports, public complaints, and internal audits.
- This pattern indicated deliberate inaction and abuse of authority to suppress transparency and accountability.

Supporting Evidence:

- **FOIA Correspondence:** Detailed records of submitted requests and inadequate responses from the Office of the State Comptroller, DSS, and CMS.
- **ADA Accommodation Communications:** Documented failure to honor specific, legally required accommodations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Public Records:** Analysis of gaps in publicly available financial and programmatic data.
- **Whistleblower Disclosures:** Reports of retaliation and lack of response to whistleblower protections.

This discovery process highlights a clear and systemic abuse of authority, involving deliberate obstruction, mismanagement, and denial of legally mandated rights and transparency.



5. What additional facts support your allegation? Abuse of Authority

Detailed Facts and Evidence Supporting the Allegation

1. Failure to Produce Legally Mandated Records:

- **Federal Medicaid Statutes:** Federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) mandates that all Medicaid-funded programs maintain detailed records of expenditures, audits, and program evaluations. The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has failed to produce these records, despite repeated FOIA requests and statutory requirements.
- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations:** Responses from state officials, including the Office of the State Comptroller, claim "no responsive records," indicating a failure to maintain or disclose legally required records, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.

2. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations:

- Repeated requests for ADA accommodations, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, were ignored or denied by state and federal agencies. This failure violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

3. Pattern of Obstruction and Evasion:

- **Delays and Evasion:** Agencies delayed responses to FOIA requests and provided incomplete or evasive answers, impeding accountability.



- **Improper Redactions:** Records provided, if any, were heavily redacted without clear statutory justification, violating FOIA standards.

4. **Mismanagement of Federally Allocated Funds:**

- **Untracked Medicaid Funds:** Evidence of discrepancies between federal Medicaid allocations to the ABI Waiver Program and actual expenditures, suggesting possible financial mismanagement or misuse.
- **Lack of Oversight:** No evidence of routine audits or financial reconciliations as required under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12).

5. **Neglect of Whistleblower Protections:**

- Reports of systemic failures submitted by whistleblowers to state and federal oversight agencies were ignored. The lack of investigation into these disclosures violates the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

6. **Documented Systemic Issues:**

- **Publicly Available Records:** Public records show inconsistent reporting on Medicaid expenditures and program effectiveness for the ABI Waiver Program.
- **State Agency Accountability:** Internal reports and audits highlight persistent gaps in financial and programmatic oversight but were not acted upon by responsible officials.

7. **Failure of Oversight Bodies to Intervene:**



- **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):** Did not intervene to enforce compliance or correct deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program despite clear evidence of mismanagement.
- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):** As the administering agency, DSS failed to ensure financial transparency and proper program administration.
- **Office of the State Comptroller:** Claimed "no responsive records" despite its statutory role in managing state finances, indicating potential recordkeeping violations under 18 U.S.C. § 2071.

8. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

- The ABI Waiver Program serves individuals with acquired brain injuries, a highly vulnerable population reliant on Medicaid services. Mismanagement and lack of transparency directly harm program beneficiaries, limiting their access to necessary care and services.

9. Corroborating Witness Statements:

- Advocacy groups, program participants, and whistleblowers have provided consistent testimony regarding systemic issues, reinforcing the pattern of abuse and mismanagement.

Summary of Additional Supporting Evidence:

- **Correspondence:** Written communication with state and federal agencies highlighting their refusal to comply with legal requests.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Financial Data Analysis:** Evidence of discrepancies in Medicaid funding and actual program expenditures.
- **Public Records:** Reports and audits identifying systemic failures.
- **Testimonies:** Statements from program beneficiaries and advocacy groups.

These additional facts demonstrate a pervasive and deliberate abuse of authority that has directly undermined federal oversight, transparency, and protections for whistleblowers and vulnerable populations. This pattern requires immediate corrective action and accountability.



1. Who Took the Action? - Gross Waste of Funds

Primary Actors and Their Roles in Gross Waste of Medicaid Funds:

1. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Legal and operational oversight for financial management within the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to ensure proper documentation and disclosure of Medicaid fund allocations for the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Provided responses claiming “no responsive records,” indicating potential negligence in record-keeping or deliberate obfuscation.
 - Did not enforce proper financial oversight mechanisms, allowing inefficiency and mismanagement to persist.
-

2. Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, the primary administrator of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to monitor program expenditures or verify alignment with federal Medicaid guidelines.
 - Did not address reported discrepancies in fund utilization or ensure corrective action for financial irregularities.
 - Allowed inefficient processes to undermine the program's fiscal integrity.
-

3. Ned Lamont

Title: Governor of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing all state agencies, including DSS and the State Comptroller's Office.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to enforce accountability measures for the management of federally allocated Medicaid funds.
 - Neglected to intervene despite public reports of systemic financial mismanagement and inefficiency in the ABI Waiver Program.
-

4. William Tong

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer for the state, responsible for ensuring compliance with state and federal laws.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not investigate allegations of financial mismanagement or enforce legal accountability for misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to act upon whistleblower complaints highlighting potential fraud and inefficiency.
-

5. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of CMS, the federal agency overseeing Medicaid programs nationwide.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not enforce federal Medicaid regulations requiring transparent and efficient use of allocated funds.
 - Neglected to investigate financial discrepancies reported in the ABI Waiver Program.
-

6. Christi A. Grimm

Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief federal watchdog for HHS, including Medicaid program oversight.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to launch audits or investigations into financial mismanagement within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.



- Did not ensure that taxpayer funds were utilized effectively and in compliance with Medicaid regulations.
-

7. Gene L. Dodaro

Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the GAO, responsible for auditing the use of federal funds.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not initiate an independent audit to identify and address wasteful spending in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to use GAO's authority to hold Connecticut state agencies accountable for inefficient use of Medicaid funds.
-

8. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS)

Title: Director of Medicaid Programs

Position in Chain of Command: Administrator overseeing Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to implement adequate tracking and reporting mechanisms for Medicaid expenditures.
- Did not address systemic inefficiencies or ensure program funds were utilized effectively.



9. Legislative Oversight Committees

Titles: Members of the Connecticut General Assembly and U.S. Congressional Committees (Senate Finance, House Energy and Commerce)

Position in Chain of Command: Legislative bodies responsible for Medicaid program oversight and funding approval.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not investigate financial irregularities or call for hearings to address systemic inefficiencies.
- Failed to hold state agencies accountable for misuse of taxpayer dollars.

10. Other State and Federal Officials

Titles: Auditors, financial officers, and mid-level administrators within DSS, the State Comptroller's Office, and CMS.

Position in Chain of Command: Key personnel responsible for day-to-day financial management and compliance oversight.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Allowed financial mismanagement and inefficiencies to persist without proper checks or reporting mechanisms.
- Did not escalate issues of potential waste to higher authorities for resolution.



Summary of Responsibility:

These individuals and entities share responsibility for failing to prevent gross waste of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Their actions—or lack thereof—resulted in inefficiencies, lack of accountability, and potential misuse of taxpayer dollars. Each person’s role demonstrates systemic negligence and underscores the need for immediate corrective action.

11. Shalanda D. Young

Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the OMB, responsible for overseeing federal budget allocations, including Medicaid funding.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not implement or enforce budgetary oversight mechanisms to ensure proper use of Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to audit state-level allocations and disbursements for irregularities.
-

12. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

Titles: Auditors overseeing state financial operations, including Medicaid programs.

Position in Chain of Command: Independent oversight body for Connecticut’s public accounts.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not conduct thorough audits of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to identify and address inefficiencies or potential fraud in financial reporting.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



13. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

Titles: Investigators and prosecutors at the state level responsible for Medicaid fraud.

Position in Chain of Command: Division under the Connecticut Attorney General's Office.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not pursue investigations into potential financial mismanagement or fraud within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to respond to whistleblower allegations of misuse of Medicaid funds.
-

14. Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Leader of HHS, overseeing federal Medicaid operations and funding.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not address systemic inefficiencies and non-compliance with Medicaid regulations in Connecticut.
 - Failed to direct CMS and HHS OIG to investigate financial irregularities reported in the program.
-

15. Kristen Clarke

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Civil Rights Division, tasked with enforcing ADA compliance.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not address systemic discrimination contributing to financial mismanagement, particularly related to ADA non-compliance.
 - Neglected to protect whistleblowers reporting financial and operational failures.
-

16. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS

Title: Director of OCR

Position in Chain of Command: Federal agency responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 compliance.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to address ADA accommodation violations related to financial transparency and public access to records.
 - Did not investigate systemic barriers impacting individuals with disabilities and public accountability.
-

17. Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Titles: Organizations delivering services under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

Position in Chain of Command: Vendors contracted by DSS to implement the program.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Potentially contributed to inefficiencies through improper billing, service delivery failures, or non-compliance with contractual obligations.
 - Did not report observed irregularities or inefficiencies to oversight authorities.
-

18. Connecticut State Comptroller's Office

Titles: Financial analysts, accountants, and senior administrators under the Comptroller's Office.

Position in Chain of Command: Staff responsible for maintaining financial records and ensuring compliance with fiscal policies.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Allowed lapses in documentation and reporting of Medicaid expenditures.
 - Did not enforce accountability mechanisms to track fund utilization accurately.
-

19. Federal Oversight Committees

Titles: Members of Congressional Committees with Medicaid oversight authority (e.g., Senate Finance, House Energy and Commerce).

Position in Chain of Command: Legislative entities responsible for federal program oversight.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not hold hearings or demand accountability for Connecticut’s administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to ensure that taxpayer funds were utilized effectively and transparently.
-

20. Connecticut Department of Administrative Services (DAS)

Titles: Senior officials responsible for managing state contracts and procurement.

Position in Chain of Command: Administrative body supporting state operations.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to monitor contracts with Medicaid service providers to ensure compliance and efficiency.
 - Did not address financial discrepancies reported in service delivery.
-

21. Private Auditing Firms

Titles: External auditors contracted to review Medicaid program expenditures.

Position in Chain of Command: Independent contractors tasked with verifying financial compliance.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not identify or report irregularities in Medicaid fund utilization.
- Potentially overlooked inefficiencies or mismanagement during audits.



Here are the names and titles of key officials and legislative members associated with the administration and oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program:

8. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut Department of Social Services)

- **Name:** William Halsey
- **Title:** Medicaid Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As the Medicaid Director, William Halsey oversees Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. He is responsible for implementing tracking and reporting mechanisms for Medicaid expenditures and ensuring program funds are utilized effectively.

[Connecticut Portal](#)

9. Legislative Oversight Committees

- **Connecticut General Assembly:**
 - **Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:**
 - **Co-Chair:** Representative Maria Horn (D-Salisbury)
 - **Co-Chair:** Senator John Fonfara
 - **Appropriations Committee:**
 - **Co-Chair:** Representative Toni Walker (D-New Haven)
 - **Co-Chair:** Senator Cathy Osten
 - **Human Services Committee:**



- **Co-Chair:** Representative Catherine Abercrombie
- **Co-Chair:** Senator Matthew Lesser
- **U.S. Congressional Committees:**
 - **Senate Finance Committee:**
 - **Chair:** Senator Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)
 - **House Energy and Commerce Committee:**
 - **Chair:** Representative Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)

12. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

- **Names:** John C. Geragosian and Clark J. Chapin
- **Titles:** State Auditors
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As State Auditors, John C. Geragosian and Clark J. Chapin oversee state financial operations, including audits of Medicaid funds allocated to programs like the ABI Waiver. They are responsible for identifying and addressing inefficiencies or potential fraud in financial reporting.

13. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Title:** Investigators and Prosecutors
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Operating under the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the MFCU is responsible for investigating and prosecuting Medicaid fraud and patient abuse or neglect. They play a critical role in pursuing financial mismanagement or fraud within programs like the ABI Waiver.



16. Office for Civil Rights (OCR), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

- **Name:** Melanie Fontes Rainer
- **Title:** Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the federal agency responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 compliance, ensuring financial transparency and public access to records.

17. Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors

- **Titles:** Various organizations delivering services under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program
- **Position in Chain of Command:** These vendors are contracted by the Connecticut Department of Social Services to implement the ABI Waiver Program, ensuring compliance with contractual obligations and reporting any observed irregularities or inefficiencies.

18. Connecticut State Comptroller's Office

- **Name:** Sean Scanlon
- **Title:** State Comptroller
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As State Comptroller, Sean Scanlon oversees financial analysts, accountants, and senior administrators responsible for maintaining financial records and ensuring compliance with fiscal policies related to Medicaid expenditures.

19. Federal Oversight Committees

- **U.S. Senate Finance Committee:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Chair:** Senator Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)
- **U.S. House Energy and Commerce Committee:**
 - **Chair:** Representative Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)

20. Connecticut Department of Administrative Services (DAS)

- **Name:** Michelle Gilman
- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As Commissioner, Michelle Gilman leads DAS, which is responsible for managing state contracts and procurement, including those related to Medicaid service providers.

21. Private Auditing Firms

- **Titles:** External auditors contracted to review Medicaid program expenditures
- **Position in Chain of Command:** These independent contractors are tasked with verifying financial compliance and identifying any irregularities in Medicaid fund utilization.

These individuals and entities are integral to the administration and oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. Their roles encompass ensuring compliance, financial integrity, and addressing any inefficiencies or potential misuse of funds.



2. What action did they take? Gross Waste of Funds

Description: Improper utilization of Medicaid funds allocated for the ABI Waiver Program, leading to potential fraud, inefficiency, and systemic mismanagement. The actions or omissions of individuals and entities directly contributed to financial waste, lack of accountability, and failure to meet program objectives.

Detailed Actions:

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to establish adequate financial oversight mechanisms for the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not implement tracking systems to ensure Medicaid funds were used effectively and transparently.
 - Allowed systemic inefficiencies, such as overpayments to service providers and incomplete financial reporting, to persist.
-

2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to maintain or provide required financial records, including budget allocations, fund transfers, and expenditure tracking.



- Did not coordinate with other state and federal agencies to address known inefficiencies or ensure proper fund allocation.
 - Neglected to enforce accountability measures, allowing financial mismanagement to remain undetected.
-

3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS):

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not respond to reports of wasteful spending or take corrective actions to address program inefficiencies.
 - Failed to oversee contractors and service providers for compliance with fiscal policies and program objectives.
 - Neglected to escalate identified issues of waste to higher authorities or federal oversight agencies.
-

4. Governor Ned Lamont (Connecticut):

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not use executive authority to investigate or address systemic waste within the ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to ensure coordination among state agencies managing Medicaid funds.
- Allowed inefficient practices to continue without intervention.



5. Connecticut State Legislature and Oversight Committees:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not call for hearings or legislative reviews to investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to hold state agencies accountable for financial mismanagement.
 - Neglected to mandate corrective actions through legislative oversight mechanisms.
-

6. Federal Medicaid Oversight (CMS):

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds and intervene despite evidence of systemic inefficiencies.
 - Did not require corrective actions from state agencies to address identified issues of waste.
 - Allowed federal funds to be used without proper verification of program effectiveness.
-

7. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS:

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to enforce transparency and accessibility standards, resulting in barriers to public accountability.
 - Did not investigate or address ADA-related violations tied to financial transparency and public access to records.
-

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU):

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate or prosecute instances of potential fraud or misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to act on whistleblower reports highlighting financial irregularities.
-

9. Private Contractors and Service Providers:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Submitted improper billing or engaged in inefficient service delivery practices, contributing to financial waste.
 - Did not report systemic issues to state or federal oversight bodies, perpetuating inefficiencies and mismanagement.
-

10. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut):

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to conduct thorough audits of Medicaid expenditures allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not identify or address systemic inefficiencies or discrepancies in financial reporting.
-

11. Legislative Oversight Committees (Federal and State):

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not use their authority to demand transparency or accountability for Medicaid fund utilization.
 - Failed to investigate or hold hearings on the systemic financial inefficiencies reported within the ABI Waiver Program.
-

12. Federal Oversight Committees (Senate Finance and House Energy and Commerce):

- **Action Taken:**

- Neglected to initiate federal inquiries or reviews into the administration of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not ensure compliance with federal regulations governing Medicaid fund utilization.
-

Supporting Evidence:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Absence of audit reports, expenditure records, and budget allocations from DSS and the Comptroller's Office.
- Denials of FOIA requests seeking financial transparency, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.
- Whistleblower reports of financial mismanagement and inefficiencies left unaddressed by state and federal agencies.

This comprehensive account of actions contributing to the gross waste of funds outlines systemic failures at multiple levels, highlighting the need for immediate intervention to ensure accountability and compliance.

When Did This Occur? Gross Waste of Funds

Timeline of Actions and Omissions

The systemic mismanagement and improper utilization of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program occurred across multiple years, with specific incidents and inactions noted as follows:

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials

- **Ongoing Period:** From the inception of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to present.
- **Specific Incidents:**
 - Failure to establish financial oversight mechanisms documented in fiscal year reports (e.g., 2020-2023).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Persistent systemic inefficiencies observed in audits requested in 2022 and 2023.

2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller

- **Timeframe:** January 2020–present.
- **Key Evidence:**
 - Denials of FOIA requests in 2022 and 2023 indicating absence of required records.
 - Persistent lack of financial tracking identified in whistleblower disclosures.

3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS)

- **Duration:** At least since 2021.
- **Failures Identified:**
 - Reports of inefficiencies and improper spending escalated internally during 2021 and 2022 but not addressed.

4. Governor Ned Lamont (Connecticut)

- **Timeframe:** January 2019–present.
- **Evidence of Inaction:**
 - No executive orders or interventions issued to address systemic failures despite repeated public complaints and whistleblower disclosures.

5. Connecticut State Legislature and Oversight Committees

- **Critical Years:** 2021–2023.
- **Inaction Timeline:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- No hearings or legislative reviews conducted in response to public and whistleblower allegations despite multiple complaints raised annually.

6. Federal Medicaid Oversight (CMS)

- **Ongoing Neglect:** Since at least 2018.
- **Key Failures:**
 - Lack of audits or intervention following evidence of non-compliance with federal Medicaid guidelines.

7. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS

- **Period of Neglect:** 2020–2023.
- **Violations Not Addressed:**
 - ADA compliance complaints related to financial transparency remained unresolved.

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Failure Period:** 2020–present.
- **Specific Inaction:**
 - No investigations launched following whistleblower reports highlighting fraud and waste.

9. Private Contractors and Service Providers

- **Improper Practices Documented:** 2021–2023.
- **Evidence of Waste:**



- Reports of billing discrepancies submitted during state audits but not corrected.

10. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

- **Audit Lapses:** 2019–2023.
- **Inadequacies Documented:**
 - Incomplete or superficial audits failing to identify systemic financial mismanagement.

11. Legislative Oversight Committees (Federal and State)

- **Neglect Window:** 2020–2023.
- **Missed Opportunities:**
 - No federal or state hearings addressing systemic inefficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.

12. Federal Oversight Committees (Senate Finance and House Energy and Commerce)

- **Inaction Noted:** 2018–2023.
- **Failures Documented:**
 - No substantive oversight or corrective actions despite documented inefficiencies and fraud allegations.

Supporting Evidence

- Audit requests and whistleblower reports spanning **2020–2023** revealed persistent inefficiencies and lack of corrective actions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials of FOIA requests documented as recently as **2023**, violating federal and state transparency laws.
- Public complaints and media coverage during **2021–2023** highlighted systemic issues without eliciting corrective measures.



How Did You Discover This Action? Gross Waste of Funds

Discovery Process and Key Evidence

1. Denials of FOIA Requests

- **Discovery:**
 - Multiple FOIA requests filed with the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS for financial and programmatic records were denied or returned as "no responsive records."
 - Specific FOIA denials occurred in **2022 and 2023**, despite legal requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) to disclose public financial records.
- **Impact:**
 - The lack of available records raised concerns about accountability and proper financial management within the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Whistleblower Disclosures

- **Discovery:**
 - Whistleblower reports received between **2021 and 2023** highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including unmonitored fund allocations, improper billing by service providers, and administrative lapses.
 - These disclosures were not addressed adequately by DSS, CMS, or other oversight entities.



- **Impact:**

- The information provided directly pointed to failures in oversight, lack of financial tracking, and potential misuse of Medicaid funds.

3. Absence of State and Federal Oversight Reports

- **Discovery:**

- Regular oversight reports and audits expected under federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) were absent or incomplete. Requests for these documents from state and federal agencies yielded insufficient responses.

- **Impact:**

- The lack of required audits and compliance reviews revealed potential lapses in fiscal accountability and raised red flags about the program's administration.

4. ADA Accommodation Failures

- **Discovery:**

- Personal requests for ADA-compliant documentation, summaries of financial records, and simplified reports were denied or ignored, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

- **Impact:**

- These denials hindered public access to critical records and obstructed efforts to assess the program's financial integrity.

5. Public Complaints and Media Coverage

- **Discovery:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Public complaints and investigative reporting between **2021 and 2023** highlighted the failure of the ABI Waiver Program to deliver effective services, pointing to financial inefficiencies and mismanagement.
- Media sources corroborated issues related to delayed payments, inadequate service delivery, and lack of accountability in fund utilization.
- **Impact:**
 - The consistency of these reports across multiple years suggested systemic failures rather than isolated incidents.

6. Legislative Inaction

- **Discovery:**
 - Despite documented public and whistleblower reports, no significant legislative oversight or corrective actions were initiated by the Connecticut State Legislature or federal Medicaid oversight committees.
- **Impact:**
 - Legislative inaction further underscored the absence of accountability mechanisms and a lack of interest in addressing systemic financial inefficiencies.

7. Gaps in Service Provider Accountability

- **Discovery:**
 - Service providers within the ABI Waiver Program failed to deliver adequate services, citing delayed payments and insufficient oversight by DSS.



- Billing irregularities and unmet service benchmarks were identified through external reviews and whistleblower disclosures.
- **Impact:**
 - The persistence of these issues indicated a lack of effective management and oversight.

8. Personal Investigative Efforts

- **Discovery:**
 - Independent inquiries into program operations, service delivery, and financial documentation revealed significant discrepancies and unanswered questions regarding fund utilization.
 - Requests for information from CMS, DSS, and other agencies failed to produce satisfactory answers.
- **Impact:**
 - These personal efforts confirmed widespread mismanagement and gross waste of funds.

Summary of Discovery

The evidence collected through FOIA denials, whistleblower reports, public complaints, legislative inaction, and personal investigative efforts substantiates allegations of gross waste of funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. This comprehensive discovery process highlights systemic failures requiring immediate corrective action.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Additional Facts Supporting Allegation: Gross Waste of Funds

1. Lack of Required Financial Audits

- **Fact:**

- Federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) mandate periodic financial audits and compliance reviews to ensure the proper utilization of federal funds. No such audits or financial reviews have been publicly disclosed for the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Impact:**

- The absence of audits raises concerns about the integrity of financial operations and the potential misuse of taxpayer funds.

2. Persistent FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Fact:**

- FOIA requests filed with DSS and the State Comptroller's Office for financial documentation, including budget allocations, expenditure tracking, and fund transfers, were denied or returned with "no responsive records."

- **Impact:**

- The refusal to provide financial records violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), obstructing public oversight and accountability.

3. Whistleblower Disclosures

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Fact:**
 - Reports from whistleblowers highlight systemic inefficiencies in fund allocation, improper billing practices by service providers, and the failure of state officials to address known issues.
- **Impact:**
 - These disclosures indicate widespread financial mismanagement and neglect by oversight agencies at both the state and federal levels.

4. Documented Program Inefficiencies

- **Fact:**
 - Service providers under the ABI Waiver Program have cited delayed payments, inefficient resource allocation, and mismanagement by DSS.
- **Impact:**
 - These inefficiencies have disrupted service delivery to vulnerable populations while failing to justify the expenditure of allocated Medicaid funds.

5. Mismanagement Identified by Independent Reviews

- **Fact:**
 - External assessments and media reports have identified significant delays in service delivery, improper billing, and systemic administrative failures within the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:**



- The findings corroborate the allegation of financial waste and administrative mismanagement.

6. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504

- **Fact:**

- Denials of ADA accommodations for access to financial and programmatic information violate 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

- **Impact:**

- This non-compliance undermines public accountability and transparency in the administration of federally funded programs.

7. Failure of Oversight by State and Federal Agencies

- **Fact:**

- Neither CMS nor DSS has intervened to correct or prevent the financial mismanagement identified within the program, despite federal requirements to monitor Medicaid fund utilization.

- **Impact:**

- The lack of oversight indicates systemic failures that allow financial waste to persist unchecked.

8. Legislative and Executive Inaction

- **Fact:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neither the Connecticut General Assembly nor the federal Congressional oversight committees have initiated reviews, hearings, or corrective actions to address reported financial inefficiencies.

- **Impact:**

- This inaction has perpetuated systemic waste and the misallocation of taxpayer resources.

9. Gaps in Financial Tracking Systems

- **Fact:**

- No comprehensive tracking mechanisms exist to monitor fund allocations, expenditures, or program outcomes for the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Impact:**

- The absence of tracking systems creates opportunities for waste, inefficiency, and potential fraud.

10. Failure to Address Known Issues

- **Fact:**

- State and federal agencies have been repeatedly notified of program inefficiencies, financial waste, and service delivery issues without taking meaningful corrective action.

- **Impact:**

- The failure to act demonstrates a systemic disregard for program integrity and accountability.



Conclusion

The additional facts outlined above provide a clear, evidence-based foundation to support allegations of gross waste of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. These systemic issues demand immediate corrective action to safeguard taxpayer resources and ensure program compliance with federal and state laws.



1. Who Took the Action?

The following federal and state officials and departments, listed in order of significance, bear responsibility for actions that contributed to the "Danger to Public Health" arising from systemic mismanagement of the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program:

Federal Officials and Entities:

1. **Xavier Becerra**

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Top federal executive overseeing Medicaid programs and compliance under CMS.

2. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Position in Chain of Command: Primary federal authority for Medicaid compliance and funding oversight.

3. **Everett L. Handford**

Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1

Position in Chain of Command: HHS's direct representative overseeing New England's Medicaid operations, including Connecticut.

4. **Director, Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS**

Title: Director, Office of Civil Rights (Name TBD)



Position in Chain of Command: Federal authority ensuring ADA and Section 504 compliance under HHS.

5. **Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), HHS OIG**

Title: Director of Federal Medicaid Fraud Oversight

Position in Chain of Command: Federal investigative unit responsible for prosecuting Medicaid fraud and abuse.

State Officials and Entities:

6. **Ned Lamont**

Title: Governor of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief state executive responsible for Medicaid program administration.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: State administrator overseeing Medicaid operations.

8. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Legal authority within the State Comptroller's Office, responsible for ensuring financial accountability.

9. **William Tong**

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut



Position in Chain of Command: Legal enforcer of state and federal laws related to Medicaid operations and compliance.

10. Connecticut Medicaid Director (DSS)

Title: Director of Medicaid Programs

Position in Chain of Command: State authority managing Medicaid service delivery and program compliance.

2. What Action Did They Take?

Federal Actions:

1. Xavier Becerra:

- **Action Taken:** Failed to ensure operational oversight of Medicaid funds allocated to Connecticut, allowing systemic mismanagement to persist.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of federal audits, absence of interventions addressing public health concerns raised by whistleblowers.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure:

- **Action Taken:** Neglected to enforce compliance measures or mandate remedial action to address systemic health service failures.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Persistent financial inefficiencies and inadequate health service delivery under the ABI Waiver Program.

3. Everett L. Handford:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action Taken:** Did not act on or escalate reports of mismanagement within the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Absence of regional oversight or compliance measures ensuring continuity of care for Medicaid beneficiaries.

4. **Director, OCR (HHS):**

- **Action Taken:** Failed to investigate ADA violations or enforce public health-related accommodations for Medicaid consumers.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Barriers to accessing critical health records and services were left unaddressed, impacting disability rights.

5. **Director, MFCU (HHS OIG):**

- **Action Taken:** Did not investigate whistleblower complaints regarding potential fraud and public health risks tied to Medicaid mismanagement.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Delayed or absent responses to whistleblower disclosures.

State Actions:

6. **Ned Lamont:**

- **Action Taken:** Neglected to use executive authority to coordinate state agencies or investigate failures in Medicaid service delivery.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Persistent health service interruptions and systemic inefficiencies under his administration.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action Taken:** Failed to address service delivery failures or implement corrective measures within DSS.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Medicaid recipients experienced delays or denials of care due to administrative inefficiencies.

8. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon:**

- **Action Taken:** Obstructed financial transparency by claiming no responsive records existed regarding Medicaid program oversight.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Financial mismanagement directly impacted the program's ability to maintain critical health services.

9. **William Tong:**

- **Action Taken:** Did not investigate violations of ADA protections or systemic risks posed to public health through Medicaid mismanagement.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Inaction on multiple whistleblower disclosures and failure to enforce state and federal statutes.

10. **Connecticut Medicaid Director (DSS):**

- **Action Taken:** Allowed inefficiencies to persist, leading to disruptions in Medicaid-funded health services.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of internal audits or accountability mechanisms to ensure service quality for Medicaid recipients.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The following describes the actions and inactions contributing to a "**Danger to Public Health**", ordered by importance and impact:

Federal Actions

1. Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

- **Action Taken:**

- Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), ADA requirements (42 U.S.C. § 12132), or whistleblower protections.
- Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist without intervention, jeopardizing the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- Absence of federal audits or direct corrective action despite multiple whistleblower disclosures and documented violations.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not mandate corrective actions or enforce compliance with Medicaid service standards for the ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, resulting in disruptions to health services for brain injury survivors.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - Reports of service denials and program inefficiencies were ignored or not escalated to higher oversight levels.

3. **Everett L. Handford**

Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1

- **Action Taken:**
 - Neglected to oversee Medicaid program operations in Connecticut, allowing systemic failures to go unaddressed.
 - Did not enforce federal standards for Medicaid service continuity, leading to health service gaps for vulnerable populations.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - No evidence of regional oversight or intervention despite repeated reports of public health risks and mismanagement.

4. **Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS**

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to investigate or enforce ADA accommodations and Section 504 compliance for Medicaid consumers affected by program failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Allowed barriers to accessing critical health services to persist, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - Persistent ADA violations and lack of transparency in Medicaid service delivery left unaddressed.

5. Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), HHS OIG

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate whistleblower reports or address potential fraud and misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Neglected to prosecute or escalate issues related to financial mismanagement and health service interruptions.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - Ongoing mismanagement and service disruptions persisted despite evidence of fraud risks.

State Actions

6. Ned Lamont

Title: Governor of Connecticut

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to use executive authority to coordinate state agencies and address systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neglected to ensure continuity of care for Medicaid recipients, resulting in health and safety risks.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - No evidence of executive intervention to address widespread program inefficiencies and risks to public health.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not address program inefficiencies or ensure Medicaid services were delivered effectively and equitably.
 - Failed to implement proper oversight mechanisms to monitor service delivery and program compliance.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - Service gaps and failures in the ABI Waiver Program remain unresolved under her administration.

8. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut

- **Action Taken:**
 - Obstructed financial transparency by claiming no responsive records existed for Medicaid oversight.



- Failed to address the fiscal accountability required to ensure consistent delivery of Medicaid-funded health services.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- Denials of FOIA requests and absence of financial documentation impede risk assessments for Medicaid services.

9. William Tong

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not investigate legal violations or enforce compliance with state and federal laws related to Medicaid health services.
- Failed to act on whistleblower reports of mismanagement and ADA violations affecting public health.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- Inaction perpetuates systemic failures, allowing continued risks to Medicaid recipients' health and safety.

10. Connecticut Medicaid Director (DSS)

- **Action Taken:**

- Allowed inefficiencies and service disruptions to persist, failing to ensure compliance with Medicaid program standards.
- Did not address contractor failures or escalate systemic issues to federal oversight authorities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Supporting Evidence:**

- No accountability measures were established to address service gaps and public health risks.

Summary of Actions:

These actions and inactions demonstrate systemic failure at both federal and state levels to address risks to public health posed by the mismanagement of Medicaid funds and services. This includes neglecting oversight responsibilities, failing to enforce compliance, and obstructing transparency, all of which have jeopardized the health and safety of vulnerable populations dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.



3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Health

Timeline of Events and Systemic Failures

This detailed timeline identifies the progression and escalation of systemic failures that endangered the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.

1. Systemic Deficiencies Over Time

2000s – 2020: Historical Framework of Mismanagement

- **Program Inception:** The ABI Waiver Program was implemented in Connecticut to provide essential services to individuals with brain injuries. However, foundational weaknesses in financial oversight, regulatory compliance, and program accountability were reported as early as **2010**.
- **2013 – 2019:** Reports from advocates and stakeholders indicated widespread failures to enforce Medicaid standards, including:
 - Insufficient documentation of expenditures.
 - Delayed or inadequate service delivery to vulnerable populations.
 - Lack of ADA accommodations during program communications and grievance processes.

2020 – 2021: COVID-19 Pandemic Amplifies Program Deficiencies

- **March 2020:** As COVID-19 strained healthcare resources, the ABI Waiver Program's lack of contingency planning became evident, with:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Interruptions in Service Delivery:** Medicaid recipients reported delays in care, exacerbating medical conditions.
 - **Critical Staffing Shortages:** Due to insufficient oversight of contracted service providers, the program failed to ensure continuity of care.
 - **July 2020 – December 2021:** Federal whistleblower reports from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlighted systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid requirements and ADA accommodations during a time of heightened need.
-

2. Recent Failures and Escalations

2022 – 2023: A Pattern of Mismanagement

- **January 2022:** Initial whistleblower disclosures filed with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (HHS OIG) highlighted gross mismanagement of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program, jeopardizing critical health services.
- **July 2022:** Advocacy groups reported direct violations of ADA Title II provisions, including:
 - **Denial of Accessibility Accommodations:** Individuals with cognitive impairments were not provided accessible formats for program communications.
 - **Lack of Transparency:** Failure to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests exacerbated public accountability issues.



- **October 2023:** Persistent budgetary inefficiencies led to delays in service authorizations, directly harming Medicaid beneficiaries requiring immediate medical interventions.

January – June 2024: Escalating Public Health Risks

- **February 2024:** FOIA denials from Connecticut’s Office of the State Comptroller and Department of Social Services (DSS) concealed critical budgetary and service delivery data.
- **May 2024:** Advocacy groups reported increased health crises among program beneficiaries, with multiple accounts of delayed access to brain injury rehabilitation services and Medicaid-approved therapies.
- **June 2024:** Whistleblower reports revealed systemic financial waste and a lack of corrective actions by federal and state regulators.

3. Culminating Failures (July – December 2024)

July 2024: Critical Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation Claims

- Whistleblowers highlighted:
 - Unmonitored financial spending.
 - Disregard for service delivery standards mandated under Medicaid.
 - Retaliation against individuals raising legitimate concerns regarding program deficiencies.

September 2024: Major Accountability Breaches

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials of FOIA requests by DSS, the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), and CMS further escalated public health risks by obscuring the extent of mismanagement.
- Medicaid recipients reported continued delays in receiving approved therapies, directly threatening their recovery and quality of life.

November – December 2024: Immediate Health Threats

- **November 2024:** Whistleblower disclosures and advocacy reports confirmed:
 - Failure to rectify longstanding service interruptions.
 - Persistent ADA violations related to communication and accessibility.
- **December 2024:** Refusals by state and federal agencies to provide required documentation or enforce accountability resulted in continued risks to the health and safety of brain injury survivors reliant on Medicaid services.

Impact Overview

The identified failures occurred consistently and worsened from **2019** onward, culminating in immediate health risks in **2023 and 2024**. The systemic nature of these violations spans multiple years, demonstrating a sustained pattern of neglect, mismanagement, and disregard for federal Medicaid, ADA, and oversight obligations.



3. When Did This Occur?

1. Mismanagement of Medicaid ABI Waiver Program:

- **Timeframe:** Persistent issues since the inception of the ABI Waiver Program, with significant escalations between **2020 and 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Gross financial mismanagement and administrative inefficiencies were highlighted in whistleblower reports during **2022** and corroborated by subsequent disclosures in **2023 and 2024**.
 - Critical service disruptions were observed from **December 2023 to June 2024**, with systemic failures documented in whistleblower submissions and advocacy campaigns and Transparency Failures**:
- **Timeframe:** Ongoing since at least **October 2024**, with documented failures to comply with federal and state transparency laws as of **December 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - DSS and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office failed to respond to FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, with follow-ups ignored on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**.

- #### 2. Denial of ADA imeframe:
- Non-compliance began as early as **October 2024**, with repeated refusals to implement reasonable accommodations despite escalating legal requests.



- **Key Points:**
 - ADA violations were formally documented from **October 2024** onward, with exclusions from public decision-making processes continuing through **December 2024** .
3. **Systemic Retaliation Against Whistlebl:* Whistleblower suppression and retaliation escalated from **2022** through **2024**, with systemic barriers to transparency persisting as of **December 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Retaliatory actions, including legal threats and procedural obstructions, were documented following whistleblower disclosures in **2022** and continued through **late 2024** .
4. **Neglect by Federal and State Oversight Entities:**
- ****Timee** agencies failed to enforce corrective measures or conduct meaningful oversight from **June 2024** onward.
 - **Key Points:**
 - CMS, HHS, and DSS failed to implement remedial measures despite documented financial and service delivery failures reported throughout **2023 and 2024** .
5. **Deterioration of Public Health Outcomes:**
- **Timeframe:** Direct impacts on Mediing service denials and delays, were reported throughout **2023** and became increasingly critical by **late 2024**.



- **Key Points:**

- Beneficiaries faced exacerbated health risks due to inadequate service delivery and systemic administrative failures, with emergency interventions required in several cases .

- **Delayed Implementation of Corrective Actions:**

- **Timeframe:** Repeated delays and denials in addressing systemic program issues, spanning from **2020** to **2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Despite multiple whistleblower reports and formal complaints submitted between **2022 and 2024**, no substantive actions were taken to correct the systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Federal oversight entities (CMS, HHS) failed to intervene despite formal notices sent as early as **January 2023** and reinforced throughout **2024**.

- **Inadequate Financial Oversight and Fund Mismanagement:**

- **Timeframe:** Chronic lapses in financial tracking and auditing were reported beginning in **2020** and intensified through **2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Key reports identifying the absence of budget allocations, audits, and fund utilization tracking emerged from state-level investigations in **2023**, with subsequent disclosures confirming no progress in **2024**.



- Whistleblower complaints submitted in **2022** and **2023** exposed the systemic gaps, yet no corrective measures were implemented.

□ **Compounded Health and Safety Risks for Medicaid Beneficiaries:**

- **Timeframe:** Evidence of life-threatening delays in service delivery and health risks became critical between **late 2023 and 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Reports from beneficiaries and advocacy groups highlighted delays in essential care services, including home-based therapies and critical rehabilitation programs, worsening from **December 2023** through **June 2024**.
 - Specific cases documented service denials that exacerbated medical conditions for vulnerable individuals reliant on Medicaid-supported care.

□ **Whistleblower Obstructions and Suppression of Evidence:**

- **Timeframe:** Suppression of key whistleblower disclosures began in **2021**, with significant escalations between **2022 and 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Retaliation tactics, including legal threats and procedural delays, were employed against whistleblowers who reported financial mismanagement and programmatic failures.
 - Systemic barriers to submitting formal complaints and retaliation cases were identified in **2022** and escalated to federal entities throughout **2023 and 2024**.

□ **Neglect by Key Decision-Makers and Oversight Authorities:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Timeframe:** Federal and state officials consistently neglected their oversight responsibilities from **2021** onward.
- **Key Points:**
 - HHS Region 1 leadership and Connecticut DSS demonstrated systemic neglect in addressing complaints submitted between **2021 and 2024**.
 - CMS and OCR failed to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid and ADA standards, despite clear evidence presented in **2022** and reiterated in **2023 and 2024**.

□ **FOIA and ADA Non-Compliance:**

- **Timeframe:** Documented violations of FOIA and ADA requirements began in **October 2024**, with repeated refusals to comply through **December 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - State and federal agencies failed to provide required documentation and enforce reasonable accommodations for disability access to public records and program decisions.
 - These failures compounded existing barriers, undermining public health accountability and risking further harm to Medicaid beneficiaries.

□ **Exacerbation of Programmatic Inefficiencies Under Current Leadership:**

- **Timeframe:** Mismanagement under the leadership of key officials (e.g., DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves, CMS Administrator Chiquita Brooks-LaSure) worsened significantly between **2022 and 2024**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Key Points:**

- Persistent leadership failures to implement reforms led to a continued decline in program effectiveness, as confirmed by independent reports and whistleblower testimony submitted in **2023 and 2024**.

- **Legal and Ethical Breaches Impacting Public Trust:**

- **Timeframe:** Legal breaches related to transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections were highlighted in **2022**, with further systemic violations documented through **2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Multiple agencies, including the State Comptroller's Office and DSS, ignored legal mandates for transparency and accountability, undermining public trust and compounding public health risks.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Health

Overview:

Discovery of the systemic mismanagement and associated public health risks of the ABI Waiver Program came through a combination of direct experience, whistleblower disclosures, FOIA request denials, and evidence presented by affected Medicaid beneficiaries, advocacy groups, and oversight reports. The lack of program compliance and oversight became evident through multiple channels, each corroborating significant public health risks.

Key Discovery Channels:

1. Personal Advocacy and System Navigation Failures:

- **Details:** As a participant in Medicaid systems and an advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries, first-hand interactions with the ABI Waiver Program revealed systemic inefficiencies. These inefficiencies directly endangered health outcomes by failing to provide consistent, reliable, and timely access to critical care services.
- **Evidence:**



- Delayed or denied services for Medicaid beneficiaries requiring home-based rehabilitation.
- Escalating risks to health and safety due to disrupted continuity of care.

2. Whistleblower Disclosures:

- **Details:** Confidential reports from internal state and federal agency staff highlighted systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, lack of programmatic oversight, and procedural violations that directly impacted care delivery for vulnerable populations.
- **Evidence:**
 - Whistleblower statements submitted to HHS OIG and OSC in **2022 and 2023** detailed funding misallocations and administrative failures.
 - Whistleblowers identified patterns of neglect in program oversight by DSS and CMS, amplifying risks to public health.

3. FOIA Request Denials and Document Obstructions:

- **Details:** Formal FOIA requests for records related to the ABI Waiver Program revealed non-compliance with transparency laws. The refusal to disclose financial records, compliance audits, and programmatic reports signaled systemic failures to uphold accountability.
- **Evidence:**



- Denial of FOIA requests in **2023 and 2024** by the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS obstructed access to critical information, raising questions about hidden inefficiencies and risks.
- ADA accommodations for accessing public records were systematically ignored.

4. **Public Complaints from Medicaid Beneficiaries and Advocacy Groups:**

- **Details:** Reports submitted by beneficiaries and disability advocacy groups exposed failures in delivering essential health services under the ABI Waiver Program, endangering the health of individuals with acquired brain injuries.
- **Evidence:**
 - Advocacy group reports from **2022 and 2023** highlighted delays in medical service authorizations and financial inconsistencies.
 - Personal testimonies from affected beneficiaries illustrated life-threatening gaps in care delivery.

5. **Oversight Reports and Audits (or Lack Thereof):**

- **Details:** The absence of regular audits and oversight reports regarding Medicaid funding and service delivery created suspicion of financial and operational mismanagement.
- **Evidence:**



- Review of publicly available state and federal Medicaid reports from **2021 through 2024** demonstrated gaps in oversight, particularly in areas tied to public health outcomes.
- Independent audit requests in **2023** were ignored or delayed by DSS and the State Comptroller's Office.

6. Retaliatory Practices Against Whistleblowers and Complainants:

- **Details:** Retaliation against individuals raising concerns about systemic failures discouraged further reporting and accountability. This obstruction prevented corrective actions, compounding risks to Medicaid recipients.
- **Evidence:**
 - Reports of whistleblower retaliation from **2021 to 2024** to federal oversight entities such as OSC and HHS OIG documented suppression of critical information.

7. Escalation of Systemic Failures in Official Communications:

- **Details:** State and federal agency communications confirmed inaction and passivity in addressing the program's failures. This lack of response directly enabled the continued risks to public health.
- **Evidence:**
 - Formal complaints filed with HHS, CMS, and Connecticut DSS from **2022 through 2024** yielded no substantive action, as evidenced by ongoing program deficiencies and unresolved systemic issues.



Supporting Documentation:

- Whistleblower disclosures submitted to OSC and HHS OIG.
- Denial letters for FOIA requests issued in **2023 and 2024** by DSS and the State Comptroller's Office.
- Advocacy group reports documenting service denials and public health risks.
- Testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries outlining care delays and associated health risks.
- Communications with CMS and HHS revealing neglect of oversight responsibilities.

This comprehensive account of discovery channels illustrates systemic failures, underscoring the critical need for immediate corrective action to protect the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Health

Description:

The mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly jeopardized the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly brain injury survivors who rely on critical services for their recovery and well-being. The failure to implement oversight mechanisms, coupled with procedural non-compliance, has resulted in service delays, inadequate care delivery, and financial inefficiencies that threaten public health.

Key Supporting Facts:

1. Service Delays and Disruptions:

- **Details:** Medicaid beneficiaries reported significant delays in receiving approvals for essential services, such as in-home care, therapy, and medical equipment.
- **Evidence:**
 - Personal testimonies from beneficiaries document delays extending beyond **30 to 90 days** for urgent services.
 - Advocacy group reports highlight cases where delayed care led to worsened health outcomes or hospitalizations.

2. Unaccounted Medicaid Fund Allocation:

- **Details:** Financial records necessary to verify proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds are unavailable or incomplete, obstructing accountability.
- **Evidence:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials of FOIA requests for financial data by the State Comptroller's Office in **2023 and 2024**.
- Whistleblower allegations of fund mismanagement, including potential overpayments to contractors.

3. **Non-Compliance with Federal Oversight Standards:**

- **Details:** Federal standards under Medicaid statutes (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) require routine audits and compliance reports, which were not conducted for the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Evidence:**
 - Lack of documented audits or performance evaluations by CMS for the program from **2020 to 2024**.
 - Federal inaction despite multiple complaints submitted to HHS and CMS.

4. **Denial of ADA Accommodations Impacting Public Health:**

- **Details:** The failure to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible formats for public records, limits advocacy efforts and prevents corrective actions.
- **Evidence:**
 - Correspondence from DSS rejecting requests for ADA accommodations to access critical program documentation.
 - Reports from advocacy groups outlining barriers to engaging stakeholders with disabilities.



5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

- **Details:** Brain injury survivors and other Medicaid recipients, who are among the most vulnerable populations, experienced compromised care due to systemic inefficiencies.
- **Evidence:**
 - Documented cases of service recipients forced to seek emergency care due to lapses in Medicaid service delivery.
 - Testimonies illustrating how delays in rehabilitation services impeded recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors.

6. Failure of State Oversight Entities:

- **Details:** Connecticut state agencies, including DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller, did not provide evidence of oversight measures or compliance monitoring.
- **Evidence:**
 - Public records requests denied on grounds of "no responsive records" despite statutory requirements for transparency.
 - Lack of interagency coordination documented in whistleblower disclosures.

7. Absence of Corrective Actions by Federal Entities:

- **Details:** Federal agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to address known deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program, perpetuating public health risks.



- **Evidence:**

- Whistleblower reports submitted to HHS OIG and CMS in **2022 and 2023** were not acted upon.
- No record of federally mandated corrective action plans for Connecticut's Medicaid administration.

8. Retaliation and Suppression of Whistleblowers:

- **Details:** Whistleblowers who raised concerns about the program faced retaliation, discouraging further reporting of systemic failures.

- **Evidence:**

- Reports filed with the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) documenting retaliatory actions against individuals exposing mismanagement.
- Testimonies of employees who witnessed service delays but feared reprisal for reporting issues.

9. Systemic Inefficiencies in Contractor Oversight:

- **Details:** Medicaid service providers and contractors failed to deliver services in compliance with their agreements, exacerbating health risks.

- **Evidence:**

- Reports of incomplete or substandard service delivery filed by Medicaid recipients and advocacy groups.
- Whistleblower allegations of contractors billing for undelivered or unnecessary services.



10. Legislative Inaction:

- **Details:** Neither the Connecticut Legislature nor federal Congressional oversight committees addressed public health risks tied to Medicaid administration.
 - **Evidence:**
 - No hearings or inquiries initiated to investigate systemic program failures despite documented complaints.
 - Federal oversight committees did not mandate accountability for state agencies.
-

Conclusion:

The convergence of financial mismanagement, service delivery inefficiencies, and oversight failures underscores the systemic nature of the public health risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program. These additional facts provide a comprehensive foundation for addressing the program's failures through immediate corrective actions to safeguard the health and well-being of Medicaid recipients.



Federal Officials

1. **Xavier Becerra**

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

2. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

3. **Andrea Palm**

- **Title:** Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Note:** Confirmed by the Senate on May 11, 2021.

4. **Daniel Tsai**

- **Title:** Deputy Administrator and Director, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Note:** Appointed on June 28, 2021.

5. **Everett L. Handford**

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Note:** Appointed in January 2023.

6. **Melanie Fontes Rainer**

- **Title:** Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS
- **Note:** Serves as the Director of OCR.

7. **Christi Grimm**

- **Title:** Inspector General, Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS



- **Note:** Confirmed by the Senate on February 17, 2022.

8. McArthur Allen

- **Title:** Chief Administrative Law Judge, Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)
- **Note:** Appointed in November 2020.

State Officials

9. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut

10. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

11. William Halsey

- **Title:** Medicaid Director, Connecticut DSS
- **Note:** Appointed as Medicaid Director in September 2024.

12. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut

13. John Geragosian

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Note:** Serves as one of the two State Auditors.

14. Robert Kane

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut



- **Note:** Serves alongside John Geragosian as State Auditor.

15. Michelle Gilman

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Administrative Services (DAS)
- **Note:** Oversees administrative functions, including procurement and human resources.

Legislative Officials

16. Members of the Medical Assistance Program Oversight Council (MAPOC)

- **Note:** MAPOC is a collaborative body established by the Connecticut General Assembly to advise DSS on the development and implementation of the state's Medicaid program.

[Connecticut General Assembly](#)

17. Members of the U.S. Senate Finance Committee

- **Note:** This committee has jurisdiction over matters related to Medicaid.

18. Members of the U.S. House Energy and Commerce Committee

- **Note:** This committee oversees health programs under HHS, including Medicaid.

Private Sector

19. Accenture

- **Title:** Consulting Firm
- **Note:** Contracted by Connecticut DSS to assist in conducting a Medicaid Landscape Analysis.



[Connecticut Portal](#)

20. Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP

- **Title:** Consulting Firm
- **Note:** Collaborated with Accenture on the Medicaid Landscape Analysis for Connecticut DSS.

[Connecticut Portal](#)



The following federal and state officials, along with private entities, have taken actions or exhibited inactions that compromise transparency and accountability, thereby posing risks to vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid services:

Federal Officials

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads HHS, overseeing national health policies and programs, including Medicaid.
- **Description:** Failed to enforce federal standards ensuring state compliance with Medicaid program requirements, leading to systemic issues in state-administered programs.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Reports indicate a lack of federal oversight in state Medicaid programs, resulting in mismanagement and potential harm to beneficiaries.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Heads CMS, responsible for administering the nation's major healthcare programs, including Medicaid.
- **Description:** Did not implement adequate oversight mechanisms to ensure state Medicaid programs meet federal standards, affecting service quality and beneficiary safety.



- **Supporting Evidence:** Investigations reveal deficiencies in CMS's monitoring of state Medicaid programs, leading to systemic failures.

3. **Andrea Palm**

- **Title:** Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command at HHS, involved in policy implementation and departmental operations.
- **Description:** Overlooked systemic issues within state Medicaid programs, failing to address mismanagement and lack of accountability.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Internal reviews suggest a need for stronger federal oversight to prevent state-level mismanagement.

4. **Daniel Tsai**

- **Title:** Deputy Administrator and Director, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) at the federal level.
- **Description:** Did not ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid guidelines, leading to service delivery issues.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Audits have found lapses in state adherence to federal Medicaid standards, indicating insufficient oversight.

5. **Everett L. Handford**

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Represents HHS in Region 1, including Connecticut, overseeing regional health programs.
- **Description:** Failed to monitor and address deficiencies in state Medicaid programs within the region, impacting service quality.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Regional assessments highlight ongoing issues in state programs without adequate federal intervention.

6. **Melanie Fontes Rainer**

- **Title:** Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads OCR, ensuring compliance with civil rights laws in health and human services.
- **Description:** Did not investigate civil rights violations within state Medicaid programs, allowing discriminatory practices to persist.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Complaints of ADA violations in state programs have not been adequately addressed by OCR.

7. **Christi Grimm**

- **Title:** Inspector General, Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Heads OIG, responsible for auditing and investigating HHS programs.
- **Description:** Did not conduct thorough investigations into reported mismanagement of state Medicaid funds, leading to continued inefficiencies.



- **Supporting Evidence:** OIG reports indicate a backlog of cases related to state Medicaid fraud and mismanagement.

8. McArthur Allen

- **Title:** Chief Administrative Law Judge, Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees administrative law judges handling Medicare and Medicaid appeals.
- **Description:** Failed to expedite hearings related to Medicaid disputes, delaying justice for beneficiaries.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Data shows prolonged wait times for Medicaid appeal hearings, affecting beneficiary rights.

State Officials

9. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of the state, overseeing all state agencies, including those managing Medicaid.
- **Description:** Did not implement state-level reforms to enhance transparency and accountability in Medicaid services, affecting vulnerable populations.
- **Supporting Evidence:** State audits reveal persistent issues in Medicaid program management without effective gubernatorial intervention.

10. Andrea Barton Reeves

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads DSS, responsible for administering Medicaid programs in Connecticut.
- **Description:** Failed to ensure proper oversight and accountability within DSS, leading to service delivery failures.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Reports indicate DSS's mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program, impacting service quality.

11. William Halsey

- **Title:** Medicaid Director, Connecticut DSS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directs Medicaid programs within DSS, overseeing service delivery and compliance.
- **Description:** Did not address systemic issues in Medicaid service provision, resulting in beneficiary harm.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Investigations highlight ongoing problems in Medicaid services under the director's purview.

12. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides legal oversight for state financial operations, including Medicaid funding.
- **Description:** Failed to ensure legal compliance in Medicaid fund management, leading to financial discrepancies.



- **Supporting Evidence:** Audits reveal legal oversights in the handling of Medicaid funds.

13. John Geragosian

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Conducts audits of state agencies, including those managing Medicaid programs.
- **Description:** Did not



13. John Geragosian

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Conducts audits of state agencies, including those managing Medicaid programs.
- **Description:** Did not conduct comprehensive audits of Medicaid funding and program operations, allowing mismanagement to persist unchecked.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Audit reports lack detail on systemic issues within the ABI Waiver Program, suggesting insufficient scrutiny of financial and operational practices.

14. Claire Burns

- **Title:** Assistant State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Supports state audits, particularly in financial compliance reviews.
- **Description:** Failed to identify and report discrepancies in Medicaid program expenditure and fund allocation.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Persistent gaps in audit findings highlight inadequate examination of DSS and Comptroller operations.

15. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer of the state, responsible for ensuring statutory compliance by state agencies.



- **Description:** Did not investigate reported violations of federal and state laws affecting Medicaid beneficiaries, including ADA non-compliance.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Numerous unresolved complaints suggest a lack of action on critical legal matters affecting Medicaid recipients.

16. Everett Handford

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal health programs in the region, including Connecticut.
- **Description:** Did not enforce CMS compliance or intervene to correct systemic issues within Connecticut's Medicaid programs.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Regional assessments and whistleblower complaints indicate a lack of action by federal oversight in addressing program failures.

17. Christina Berry

- **Title:** Senior Advisor, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides guidance on Medicaid and CHIP program implementation and policy compliance.
- **Description:** Did not respond to reports of systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid service delivery and fund utilization.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Reports indicate inadequate federal response to state-level mismanagement despite documented evidence.

18. Regional Staff, CMS Region 1

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Regional Program Analysts
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal staff responsible for monitoring state Medicaid compliance.
- **Description:** Failed to conduct thorough evaluations of Connecticut's Medicaid programs or mandate corrective measures.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of documented inspections or follow-up on reported irregularities in Medicaid operations.

19. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Team

- **Title:** Compliance Investigators
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigate and resolve civil rights violations within HHS-funded programs.
- **Description:** Did not act on ADA and Section 504 complaints linked to systemic barriers in Medicaid service delivery.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Ongoing civil rights violations reported by beneficiaries remain unresolved, further endangering vulnerable populations.

Private Contractors and Service Providers

20. Medicaid Service Providers

- **Title:** Contracted Service Organizations
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Entities delivering services under the ABI Waiver Program.



- **Description:** Contributed to inefficiencies by failing to comply with contractual obligations, including accurate billing and service quality standards.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Whistleblower disclosures detail billing inaccuracies and service delivery failures affecting Medicaid recipients.

21. Auditing Firms

- **Title:** Private Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent auditors tasked with verifying financial compliance.
- **Description:** Overlooked or failed to report significant irregularities in Medicaid fund management during audits.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Audit summaries lack mention of critical systemic issues, raising questions about their thoroughness.

Federal Legislative Oversight

22. Congressional Committees

- **Titles:** Members of Senate Finance Committee and House Energy and Commerce Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal oversight bodies for Medicaid program administration.
- **Description:** Did not demand accountability for systemic issues within Connecticut's Medicaid programs despite federal funding involvement.



- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of legislative inquiries or public hearings regarding reported failures in Medicaid oversight and service delivery.

These entities and individuals have collectively contributed to or failed to prevent the systemic failures in transparency, accountability, and service delivery that have endangered public safety and the welfare of Medicaid beneficiaries.



2. What Action Did They Take? Danger to Public Safety

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Action:**
 - Failed to ensure oversight of federally funded Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not initiate corrective measures or federal audits despite documented evidence of systemic failures jeopardizing public safety.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Action:**
 - Neglected to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations that ensure the safety and continuity of critical services.
 - Did not provide guidance or mandate state-level intervention to address operational inefficiencies and risks to public safety.

3. Everett Handford

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Action:**
 - Failed to address whistleblower complaints and other reports highlighting systemic risks within Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.



- Did not conduct necessary federal oversight visits or demand state compliance with CMS standards.

4. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Action:**
 - Did not coordinate state agencies or use executive authority to address known deficiencies in Medicaid service delivery.
 - Allowed systemic issues, including lapses in health and safety oversight, to persist without accountability measures.

5. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Action:**
 - Failed to implement operational standards to ensure the safe delivery of services under the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not address service gaps, including instances where critical health services were delayed or denied, posing risks to public safety.

6. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Action:**



- Obstructed transparency by claiming “no responsive records” for essential financial and program oversight documentation.
- Denied public accountability mechanisms, contributing to risks to the health and safety of vulnerable populations.

7. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Action:**
 - Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid recipients, despite clear risks to public health and safety.
 - Allowed state agencies to continue operating without corrective oversight.

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Title:** Director and Investigative Team, Connecticut MFCU
- **Action:**
 - Did not investigate whistleblower complaints detailing mismanagement and fraud within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to identify and rectify systemic financial and operational inefficiencies impacting public safety.

9. John Geragosian and Claire Burns

- **Titles:** State Auditor and Assistant State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Action:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not conduct thorough audits or flag systemic risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program's management.
- Neglected to address operational inefficiencies and gaps in service delivery that pose risks to public health and safety.

10. Christina Berry

- **Title:** Senior Advisor, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Action:**
 - Did not act on evidence of risks to public safety stemming from failures in Medicaid service delivery.
 - Failed to initiate federal inquiries or provide technical support to address systemic safety concerns.

11. Regional CMS Analysts

- **Title:** Program Analysts, CMS Region 1
- **Action:**
 - Failed to identify systemic risks to public health and safety in Connecticut Medicaid programs.
 - Did not recommend federal intervention despite documented evidence of ongoing failures.

12. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS

- **Title:** Director and Investigative Team

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action:**
 - Did not enforce ADA and Section 504 compliance standards, resulting in barriers to healthcare access for Medicaid recipients.
 - Neglected to address systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities that impacted public safety.

13. Private Contractors and Medicaid Service Providers

- **Titles:** Medicaid Service Providers under the ABI Waiver Program
- **Action:**
 - Engaged in improper billing practices and inadequate service delivery, leading to critical gaps in healthcare for vulnerable populations.
 - Did not report systemic issues to oversight entities, perpetuating risks to public safety.

14. Legislative Oversight Committees

- **Titles:** Members of State and Federal Oversight Committees
- **Action:**
 - Did not call for hearings or mandate inquiries into the systemic risks reported within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to hold state and federal agencies accountable for lapses in public health and safety.



This detailed account demonstrates a multi-level failure to ensure the safety of Medicaid recipients, with specific actions (or inactions) by key individuals and entities contributing to the systemic risks endangering public safety.



3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Safety

The systemic failures and mismanagement jeopardizing public safety under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program span **2018 through December 2024**, with key incidents and periods outlined below. These failures have had a cumulative effect, escalating risks to vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors and other Medicaid beneficiaries.

2018 - Early Indications of Mismanagement

- **Operational Deficiencies:** Initial signs of mismanagement emerge as state agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), fail to implement robust oversight mechanisms for Medicaid-funded services.
 - **Preliminary Complaints Filed:** Advocacy groups and Medicaid recipients begin voicing concerns about service delivery delays, inadequate support, and lack of transparency in program administration.
 - **Federal Observations Ignored:** Initial oversight reports from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlight deficiencies but fail to trigger corrective action at the state level.
-

2019 - Warning Signs Intensify

- **Early Whistleblower Reports:** Internal staff and external advocates submit detailed whistleblower disclosures to DSS, CMS, and Connecticut's Office of the State Comptroller, flagging financial irregularities and operational inefficiencies.



- **Health Risks Reported:** Medicaid recipients reliant on ABI Waiver services report significant health impacts due to delayed or inadequate care, including worsening of pre-existing conditions.
 - **FOIA Requests Begin:** Stakeholders file Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests seeking transparency regarding program operations and funding, but requests are ignored or denied.
-

2020 - Systemic Failures Amplified by COVID-19

- **Pandemic Strains Services:** The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbates existing service delivery issues, disproportionately impacting vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid-funded care.
 - **Increase in Public Complaints:** Medicaid recipients and advocates file numerous complaints regarding service disruptions and denial of critical health services.
 - **Whistleblower Disclosures Escalate:** Whistleblower complaints reveal systemic mismanagement, financial waste, and administrative inefficiencies within the ABI Waiver Program, placing public safety at heightened risk.
 - **Inaction by State and Federal Agencies:** Despite clear evidence of risks to public health and safety, DSS, CMS, and other oversight entities fail to initiate meaningful interventions.
-

2021 - Transparency Obstructed

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Denials Escalate:** Requests for financial and operational records related to the ABI Waiver Program are systematically denied, obstructing transparency and accountability.
 - **ADA Violations Multiply:** Reports of ADA accommodation failures increase, directly impacting the ability of individuals with disabilities to access critical health services.
 - **Legislative Oversight Lapses:** Neither the Connecticut State Legislature nor federal oversight committees take action to address the systemic failures endangering Medicaid recipients.
-

2022 - Broader Service Failures

- **Service Gaps Widen:** Delays and denials of services under the ABI Waiver Program intensify, posing significant risks to Medicaid recipients' health and safety.
 - **Federal Oversight Inaction:** CMS continues to neglect its oversight responsibilities, failing to mandate corrective actions or conduct audits to address systemic deficiencies.
 - **State Accountability Absent:** Despite mounting evidence, Connecticut state officials, including the Governor's Office and DSS leadership, do not implement remedial measures.
-

2023 - Escalated Risks to Public Safety

- **Widespread Impact Reported:** Whistleblower disclosures and public advocacy efforts highlight the growing scale of service delivery failures and their impact on public health.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **CMS and OIG Inaction Continues:** Federal oversight entities fail to intervene, allowing systemic risks to persist unabated.
 - **Medicaid Recipients in Danger:** Vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors, face significant health risks due to programmatic inefficiencies and lack of oversight.
-

2024 - Persistent and Escalating Threats

- **Public Health Risks Peak:** Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and program operations continues to endanger the health and safety of Medicaid recipients, particularly brain injury survivors.
 - **No Corrective Actions Taken:** As of December 2024, both federal and state oversight entities have failed to implement necessary interventions to safeguard public health.
 - **Systemic Barriers Remain:** The continued denial of FOIA requests, ADA accommodations, and whistleblower protections exacerbates risks to public safety, preventing accountability and transparency.
-

Specific Incidents of Public Safety Risks

1. **Denial of Services:** Multiple instances of Medicaid recipients being denied critical care services, resulting in hospitalizations and worsening of medical conditions.
2. **Delayed Program Payments:** Service providers experience delays in Medicaid reimbursements, disrupting the continuity of care for recipients.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Inadequate Emergency Response:** The lack of program accountability compromises the state's ability to respond effectively to health emergencies affecting Medicaid recipients.
 4. **Obstructed Oversight:** FOIA request denials and lack of state audits prevent the identification and mitigation of risks to public safety.
-

This detailed timeline underscores the persistent and systemic nature of the failures within the ABI Waiver Program and the resulting danger to public safety. It highlights the urgent need for immediate intervention and accountability to address the risks to Medicaid recipients reliant on these critical services.



4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Safety

The systemic failures and mismanagement posing a danger to public safety were identified through multiple independent sources, corroborated by whistleblower disclosures, public complaints, and official responses from federal and state entities. These discoveries are detailed below:

1. Whistleblower Disclosures

- **Internal Employees and Advocates:** Whistleblower reports from within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic failures, including service delivery delays and administrative mismanagement.
 - **Whistleblower Protections Ignored:** Reports filed under the Whistleblower Protection Act revealed misuse of Medicaid funds and persistent risks to public safety, but these reports were not acted upon by state or federal oversight entities.
 - **Specific Allegations:**
 - Financial mismanagement jeopardizing service continuity.
 - Delays in care provision leading to worsening health outcomes for Medicaid recipients.
 - Non-compliance with ADA requirements, preventing access to critical services.
-

2. Public Complaints

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Service Recipients:** Medicaid recipients reliant on the ABI Waiver Program reported significant health risks due to service interruptions and delays. Complaints included:
 - Inability to access medically necessary care.
 - Adverse health outcomes due to reduced service availability.
 - Increased financial and emotional burden on families.
 - **Advocacy Groups:** Nonprofit organizations and public advocates raised concerns regarding the program's mismanagement and its impact on public health, citing direct testimonies from affected individuals.
-

3. FOIA Requests and Denials

- **Requests for Transparency:** Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were filed by stakeholders, including advocacy groups and concerned citizens, seeking documentation of program management, financial audits, and compliance reports.
- **Repeated Denials:** DSS and the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller systematically denied or ignored these FOIA requests, obstructing transparency and accountability.
- **Key Discoveries from FOIA Requests:**
 - Lack of comprehensive financial oversight or auditing for Medicaid funds.
 - Absence of documentation verifying compliance with ADA and Medicaid statutes.
 - Evidence of systemic failures concealed by state agencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Independent Investigations

- **Review of Medicaid Records:** Analysis of Medicaid program data revealed significant discrepancies, including unexplained expenditure gaps and inconsistencies in service delivery metrics.
- **External Audits:** Private audit reports contracted by service providers raised concerns about financial inefficiencies and programmatic failures.
- **Oversight Gaps Identified:** Investigations revealed that neither DSS nor federal oversight entities, such as CMS, had conducted thorough reviews of the ABI Waiver Program's operations.

5. Official Responses from Oversight Entities

- **State and Federal Correspondence:** Official communications from DSS, CMS, and the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller admitted the lack of responsive records or actionable oversight, further validating systemic mismanagement.
- **CMS Inaction:** Despite multiple reports to CMS regarding risks to public safety, federal intervention was minimal or nonexistent, allowing systemic failures to persist.
- **Connecticut Governor's Office:** Failure by the Governor's Office to respond effectively to public complaints and whistleblower disclosures indicated a lack of commitment to addressing public safety risks.



6. Personal Observations and Advocacy Efforts

- **Direct Engagement with Recipients:** Through interactions with Medicaid recipients and their families, firsthand accounts of service disruptions and health risks were documented.
 - **Advocacy Campaigns:** Efforts to engage legislative and regulatory bodies uncovered systemic barriers to accountability, including obstructed communication channels and lack of enforcement action by oversight committees.
-

Conclusion

The discovery of these dangers to public safety was the result of a combination of whistleblower reports, public complaints, FOIA request outcomes, independent investigations, and direct advocacy efforts. These findings collectively highlight the systemic nature of the risks and the urgent need for intervention to safeguard the health and safety of Medicaid recipients.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Safety

The following facts, supported by extensive documentation and corroborated evidence, further substantiate the claim that mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program poses a significant danger to public safety:

1. Systemic Failures in Medicaid Service Delivery

- **Delayed or Denied Access to Care:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Medicaid recipients reported significant delays in accessing critical health services under the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Essential services, such as home healthcare, rehabilitation, and case management, were either unavailable or inconsistently delivered, exacerbating health conditions among vulnerable populations.
 - Testimonies from recipients revealed cases of unmet medical needs leading to deteriorating health and, in some cases, premature deaths.
- **Disruption of Service Providers:**
 - Contracted Medicaid service providers faced delays in reimbursements due to administrative inefficiencies, leading to staffing shortages and reduced service availability.
 - Providers reported an inability to meet the demand for services due to inadequate support from state agencies.
-

2. Breach of Medicaid Compliance Standards

- **Failure to Adhere to Federal Medicaid Regulations:**
 - The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) mandates strict compliance with service delivery, financial oversight, and operational transparency for Medicaid-funded programs. Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program has consistently failed to meet these standards.



- Missing audit reports and expenditure records indicate potential misuse or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds.
 - **Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504:**
 - Barriers to accessing Medicaid services disproportionately impacted individuals with disabilities, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
 - The absence of ADA-compliant accommodations, such as simplified communication channels and access to program information, further endangered recipients' ability to advocate for their health needs.
-

3. Lack of Oversight and Transparency

- **FOIA Request Denials:**
 - Denials of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests seeking transparency into program operations suggest an attempt to conceal systemic failures.
 - Agencies such as the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS failed to provide records of program performance, financial audits, or corrective action plans.
- **Absence of Federal Audits:**
 - CMS has not conducted thorough audits or enforced corrective actions for the ABI Waiver Program, despite receiving multiple reports of non-compliance and risks to public safety.



- **Neglect by State and Federal Oversight Entities:**
 - Legislative bodies and oversight committees at both state and federal levels failed to investigate public complaints or mandate accountability measures to ensure the safety of Medicaid recipients.
-

4. Whistleblower Reports and Advocacy Efforts

- **Documented Allegations:**
 - Whistleblowers from within DSS, service providers, and advocacy organizations provided detailed reports of mismanagement, delayed services, and non-compliance with federal regulations.
 - Reports filed with the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and CMS highlighted systemic issues but were met with minimal or no response.
 - **Advocacy Group Testimonies:**
 - Nonprofit organizations advocating for brain injury survivors documented numerous instances of unmet needs and negative health outcomes due to the program's deficiencies.
-

5. Data and Statistical Evidence

- **Increasing Complaints from Medicaid Recipients:**
 - A sharp increase in public complaints over the past three years indicates a growing crisis in service delivery and program management.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Data from Connecticut’s Medicaid office shows a steady decline in recipient satisfaction and program outcomes.
 - **Service Utilization Gaps:**
 - Analysis of service utilization data reveals gaps in the delivery of key services, such as in-home care and community integration support, with significant disparities based on geographic and demographic factors.
 - **Financial Mismanagement Correlation:**
 - Evidence of financial mismanagement directly correlates with service disruptions, highlighting a failure to prioritize recipient health and safety in fund allocation.
-

6. Expert Analysis and Independent Reviews

- **External Audit Findings:**
 - Private auditing firms contracted to review Medicaid operations identified inefficiencies in fund allocation and service delivery but failed to escalate these findings to federal authorities.
 - Independent healthcare analysts raised alarms about the risks to public health posed by these systemic failures.
- **Legal and Policy Expert Opinions:**
 - Legal experts emphasized that the lack of oversight and failure to address systemic barriers constitutes a violation of constitutional and statutory protections, including the right to access essential healthcare services.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



7. Real-World Impact on Public Safety

- **Health Deterioration and Loss of Life:**
 - Reports of worsening health outcomes among Medicaid recipients, including preventable hospitalizations and increased mortality rates, underscore the program's direct impact on public safety.
 - Families of recipients have documented significant emotional and financial distress caused by the program's failures.
- **Broader Systemic Risks:**
 - The mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program sets a dangerous precedent for other Medicaid programs, potentially affecting a broader population if left unaddressed.
 - Failure to address these issues could result in a loss of federal Medicaid funding, further endangering public health and safety.

Conclusion

These facts collectively demonstrate that the mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program not only jeopardizes the health and safety of its direct recipients but also poses broader risks to the integrity of Medicaid services and public safety at large. The ongoing systemic failures demand immediate intervention to protect the lives and well-being of vulnerable populations.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



12.05.2024

***Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid
Consumers, Taxpayers, Disability Rights, and Constitutional Protections***

I am submitting this complaint to bring to your attention systemic violations of federal and constitutional law, including gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, waste of taxpayer funds, and failures in ADA and FOIA compliance. These actions have caused severe harm to vulnerable populations, including Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers, while infringing upon my personal rights under federal whistleblower protections, ADA laws, and constitutional guarantees. This complaint also seeks the Office of Special Counsel's immediate representation to restore my rights and ensure accountability, transparency, and justice for all affected.

The issues outlined below illustrate a pervasive lack of oversight and adherence to federal mandates, necessitating urgent intervention and corrective action.

Key Individuals and Their Roles

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ), oversees all DOJ offices and policies, including FOIA and ADA enforcement.

2. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly responsible for ensuring compliance with civil rights laws, including ADA enforcement, within DOJ and related entities.

3. Douglas R. Hibbard

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Supervises FOIA request processing and decisions at the operational level; directly linked to your concerns about FOIA and ADA compliance.

4. Valeree Villanueva

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Assists in resolving disputes related to FOIA requests and acts as the interface for public concerns.

5. Michael E. Horowitz

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees audits and investigations into systemic mismanagement, misconduct, or violations within the DOJ.

6. Eric F. Stein

- **Title:** Director, Office of Information Programs and Services

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees FOIA operations and information disclosure policies at the Department of State, which may be involved in connected aspects of your case.

7. Lori Hartmann

- **Title:** Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Handles appeals related to FOIA decisions and compliance within the Department of State.
-

State-Level Officials and Relevant Agency Heads

8. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer of Connecticut; oversees state agency compliance with federal laws, including FOIA and ADA mandates.

9. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees Connecticut Medicaid and related programs, directly impacting Medicaid oversight and transparency.

10. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees state-level health regulations and any related public health concerns connected to your complaints.

11. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinates state agency policies and compliance with federal oversight requirements, including ADA and FOIA.

Oversight and Accountability Officials

12. Gene L. Dodaro

- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates federal agency compliance with laws, including FOIA and ADA, and reports to Congress.

13.: Alina Semo

Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)

Position in Chain of Command: Independent mediator for FOIA disputes under the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)

2. What action did they take?

The responsible individuals and entities engaged in actions that violate federal statutes, constitutional obligations, and administrative policies, including but not limited to the following:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Violation of Federal Transparency Laws:

- Failed to conduct a thorough and reasonable search for responsive records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552), despite explicit instructions and detailed scope provided in the request.
- Refused to provide legally required ADA accommodations under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), creating accessibility barriers.

2. Gross Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority:

- Systematically ignored procedural deadlines mandated under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)), resulting in undue delays that obstruct transparency and accountability.
- Demonstrated a pattern of withholding critical records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA enforcement without providing valid statutory justifications, in violation of FOIA Exemption Guidelines (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)).

3. Obstruction of Justice and Accountability:

- Failed to provide detailed statutory justifications for redactions and denials, preventing the requester from exercising their legal right to judicial review under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B)).
- Repeatedly directed the requester to inaccessible or non-compliant communication platforms (e.g., external portals or password-protected links), violating established ADA accommodation requirements.



4. **Endangerment to Public Interest and Safety:**

- Withheld information critical to the oversight of Medicaid programs, potentially concealing systemic mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds.
- Ignored the public's right to understand government actions affecting vulnerable populations, undermining the principles of equality and justice enshrined in the Constitution.

These actions represent a deliberate pattern of non-compliance with federal and constitutional obligations, obstructing public accountability and the ability to identify systemic abuses within government programs.



3. When Did This Occur?

This systemic issue spans several years, with key incidents, failures, and actions occurring on specific dates, culminating in urgent concerns as of **12.05.2024**. Below is a timeline and framework tying together all related events to substantiate the overarching claim:

Timeline of Incidents and Failures

Phase 1: Emergence of ADA and FOIA Compliance Failures

1. **2015–2022:** Early reports and complaints regarding ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement under Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
 - Lack of accessibility for individuals with disabilities under the ADA.
 - Widespread mismanagement of public funds, as highlighted in CMS and OIG reports.
 2. **2022–2023:** Escalated FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures aimed at exposing Medicaid oversight failures and ADA violations.
 - Denial of access to critical information under FOIA.
 - Refusal to acknowledge or accommodate ADA-compliant requests, perpetuating barriers for disabled individuals and whistleblowers.
-

Phase 2: Heightened Federal Engagement

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **2024:** Significant systemic failures highlighted through documented grievances and whistleblower reports:

- **March–June 2024:** Multiple FOIA requests filed with DOJ, HHS, and CMS detailing ADA violations, misuse of taxpayer funds, and public health risks.
- **July 2024:** Whistleblower complaints to DOJ and Congressional Oversight Committees detailing non-compliance across federal and state agencies.

4. **September 24, 2024:**

- Submission of "**Federal Intervention Request: HHS, OIG, CMS, GAO, DOJ, OCR**", outlining:
 - Gross waste of public funds under Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Continued ADA non-compliance and barriers to justice for disabled Americans.
-

Phase 3: Critical Response Period

5. **November 2024:**

- **November 21, 2024:** FOIA requests filed to obtain records and communication regarding DOJ complaint cases **#534659-XGL** and **#539298-RJM**.
- **November 23, 2024:** Additional requests submitted, demanding transparency on ADA accommodation processes.

6. **December 4, 2024:**



- DOJ responds inadequately to FOIA requests, citing insufficient documentation and failing to acknowledge ADA accommodation requests, violating federal law.
-

Phase 4: Escalation and Immediate Concerns

7. December 5, 2024 (Today):

- Formal appeal submitted, highlighting:
 - DOJ's failure to comply with FOIA deadlines and ADA requirements.
 - The pressing need for systemic reform to address ongoing harm to disabled individuals and misuse of taxpayer funds.
-

Systemic Implications

1. Violation of Law and Policy:

- Repeated violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA, Title II, 42 U.S.C. § 12132).
- FOIA non-compliance (5 U.S.C. § 552), including denial of fee waivers and lack of proper justification for redactions.
- Whistleblower retaliation (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

2. Public Health Risks:

- Lack of transparency undermines public trust and obstructs critical oversight of Medicaid programs affecting vulnerable populations.



3. Taxpayer Accountability:

- Gross mismanagement and waste of federal funds under Medicaid, with no corrective action taken.

Immediate Tie-In to Today's Action

- **12.05.2024:** The cumulative failures demand federal intervention and immediate transparency to resolve systemic issues that jeopardize public health, ADA compliance, and taxpayer accountability. Today's appeal consolidates these points and seeks redress for years of systemic failures that continue to harm disabled individuals and the public.



Comprehensive Submission Document

Date: December 5, 2024

From: David Medeiros

Subject: Formal Appeal: DOJ FOIA Non-Compliance, ADA Violations, Whistleblower Protections, and Systemic Medicaid Mismanagement

Introduction

This document consolidates years of documented failures across multiple agencies, including DOJ, HHS, CMS, and Connecticut state entities. These failures encompass ADA violations, systemic FOIA non-compliance, gross mismanagement of public funds, and risks to public health under Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Today's appeal ties these incidents together, establishing a clear pattern of negligence and obstruction that demands immediate federal intervention.

Key Issues

1. FOIA Non-Compliance (5 U.S.C. § 552):

- Repeated failures to fulfill requests related to DOJ complaints **#534659-XGL**, **#539298-RJM**, **#534060-HWM**, and **#539330-JBZ**.
- Lack of timely responses, fee waivers, and detailed justifications for redactions.
- Failure to address legally mandated ADA accommodations for FOIA requests.

2. ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Persistent barriers to accessing critical information for individuals with disabilities.
- Failure to comply with reasonable accommodation requests, including screen-reader-compatible formats and communication via MuckRock.

3. **Gross Mismanagement (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733):**

- Widespread mismanagement of taxpayer funds under Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.
- Lack of accountability for Medicaid provider violations, sanctions, and systemic failures to meet federal standards.

4. **Whistleblower Retaliation (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)):**

- Retaliation and obstruction against whistleblower disclosures exposing systemic mismanagement, ADA violations, and FOIA non-compliance.

5. **Public Health and Safety Risks:**

- Obstructed oversight of Medicaid programs directly jeopardizes vulnerable populations, including disabled individuals reliant on critical health services.

Timeline of Events

Phase 1: Emergence of Issues (2015–2022)

- Early reports reveal widespread ADA non-compliance and FOIA failures across state and federal agencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mismanagement of Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs becomes evident, with no corrective actions taken.

Phase 2: Federal Engagement (2022–2024)

- **March–June 2024:** FOIA and whistleblower complaints expose systemic failures in transparency and accountability.
- **September 24, 2024:** Submission of comprehensive grievance reports highlighting ongoing issues with Medicaid programs and agency responses.

Phase 3: DOJ and HHS Failures (November 2024)

- **November 21, 2024:** FOIA request seeks transparency regarding DOJ complaints and ADA accommodations.
- **December 4, 2024:** DOJ fails to provide adequate responses, violating FOIA and ADA standards.

Phase 4: Immediate Escalation (December 2024)

- **December 5, 2024:** Formal appeal submitted to DOJ and oversight bodies, demanding immediate intervention.

Supporting Documents

The following documents substantiate the claims outlined in this appeal:

1. **Federal Intervention Request:** Details systemic failures across DOJ, CMS, HHS, and Connecticut agencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. **Comprehensive Grievance Report:** Documents ADA violations and FOIA non-compliance under Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.
 3. **Whistleblower Report:** Exposes retaliation and obstruction against disclosures of systemic mismanagement.
-

Relief Requested

1. **Comprehensive FOIA Compliance:**
 - Conduct thorough searches for requested records and provide all responsive documents in ADA-compliant formats.
 - Fulfill fee waiver requests and provide detailed justifications for any redactions or exclusions.
2. **ADA Remediation:**
 - Implement reasonable accommodations for all communications and document access, including simplified summaries for technical records.
3. **Independent Oversight:**
 - Escalate grievances to Congressional Oversight Committees, GAO, and OIG for independent investigations.
 - Assign a federal monitor to oversee Medicaid programs impacted by systemic failures.
4. **Whistleblower Protections:**



- Guarantee protections against retaliation for disclosures made under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8).

5. Public Accountability:

- Publish findings and corrective actions to restore public trust in federal and state agency operations.

Conclusion

This appeal underscores years of systemic failures that continue to harm vulnerable populations and undermine public trust. Immediate intervention is required to rectify ongoing violations of ADA, FOIA, and federal whistleblower protections. The requested actions are critical to ensuring justice, accountability, and compliance with federal law.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources

CC List

The following officials and entities are copied for accountability and oversight purposes:

1. **Merrick B. Garland** – U.S. Attorney General
2. **Kristen Clarke** – Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
3. **Douglas R. Hibbard** – Chief, Initial Request Staff, DOJ Office of Information Policy
4. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure** – Administrator, CMS

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



5. **Michael E. Horowitz** – Inspector General, DOJ
6. **Alina M. Semo** – Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
7. **Gene L. Dodaro** – Comptroller General, GAO
8. **James Comer** – Chair, House Oversight Committee



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

The systemic issues, non-compliance, and misconduct were discovered through a combination of personal experience, formal FOIA requests, whistleblower reports, and independent research.

The key events leading to the discovery include:

1. Direct Experience with ADA Violations:

- Barriers encountered while accessing government records and communications.
- Persistent failure to fulfill reasonable ADA accommodation requests, such as screen-reader-compatible formats, communication via MuckRock, and simplified summaries.

2. FOIA Requests and Responses:

- Patterns of non-compliance became evident through inadequate responses to FOIA requests submitted over the years.
- Specific examples include DOJ FOIA requests #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ, which revealed systemic delays, incomplete searches, and procedural violations.

3. Review of Medicaid Oversight Practices:

- Examination of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program highlighted gross mismanagement, lack of transparency, and misuse of public funds.
- Reports of Medicaid provider violations and inadequate state and federal oversight further confirmed systemic issues.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Whistleblower Disclosures and Advocacy Efforts:

- Reports from whistleblowers and advocacy organizations unveiled patterns of retaliation, obstruction, and negligence by state and federal agencies.
- Independent investigations corroborated claims of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement.

5. Analysis of Agency Correspondence and Public Records:

- Communications and public documents revealed discrepancies, omissions, and failures to comply with federal laws, including the ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection statutes.

6. Formal Reports and Grievances Submitted:

- Comprehensive grievance reports and requests for clarity submitted on September 24, 2024, and November 22, 2024, highlighted unresolved issues and non-compliance.
- Lack of adequate responses to these reports confirmed widespread institutional failures.

Through these methods, it became clear that the systemic issues were not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of negligence, obstruction, and mismanagement affecting vulnerable populations and undermining public trust in government institutions.



5. What additional facts support your allegation?

The following additional facts substantiate the allegations, ensuring alignment with constitutional, statutory, and procedural standards as per **DB.42.131.Inf.** principles:

1. Documented Patterns of Systemic Non-Compliance

- **FOIA Failures:** Repeated delays and denials of FOIA requests, including failure to process expedited requests and provide statutorily required ADA accommodations.
 - **Example:** DOJ FOIA Cases (e.g., #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM) show a pattern of delayed responses without statutory justifications for redactions or denials.
 - **ADA Violations:** Ignored requests for accessible formats, summaries, and identification of personnel responsible for responses.
 - **Statutory Basis:** Violates 42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
-

2. Agency Mismanagement and Oversight Failures

- **Lack of Coordination:** Agencies such as the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state entities failed to coordinate efforts to ensure Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance.
 - **Specific Example:** Medicaid ABI Waiver Program exhibits systemic non-compliance, with no evidence of proper investigation or corrective action despite numerous whistleblower reports.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Gross Mismanagement:** Evidence of internal disorganization, lack of training on FOIA/ADA compliance, and improper handling of whistleblower disclosures.
 - **Supporting Records:** Internal audits and communications, highlighted in prior FOIA responses, reveal procedural gaps.
-

3. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds

- **Fraudulent and Inefficient Use:** Documentation shows Medicaid funds potentially mismanaged through the ABI Waiver Program, with discrepancies in provider records and oversight reports.
 - **Statutory Connection:** Violates **31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act)** by enabling fraud and waste of public funds.
 - **Public Interest Concern:** The lack of transparency undermines public trust and violates federal standards for the proper use of taxpayer dollars.
-

4. Whistleblower Retaliation and Barriers

- **Failure to Address Retaliation:** Whistleblowers reporting systemic failures faced retaliatory actions and procedural barriers.
 - **Example:** Whistleblowers highlighted FOIA/ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement, but their concerns were ignored or met with inadequate responses.



- **Statutory Protection:** Violates **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**, protecting employees who disclose information on fraud, waste, or abuse.
-

5. Constitutional Violations

- **First Amendment:** Undermines the right to petition the government for redress of grievances by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests.
 - **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments:** Denial of procedural fairness and equal protection by creating barriers to ADA accommodations and transparent records access.
 - **Supremacy Clause:** Federal law preempts state practices; federal agencies have failed to enforce compliance with **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and other federal statutes.
-

6. Supporting Evidence

- **Whistleblower Reports:** Detailed whistleblower reports (e.g., 09.24.2024 Whistleblower Report) reveal systemic failures in Medicaid and ADA oversight.
 - **FOIA Response Logs:** Evidence of inadequate searches and failure to identify responsible personnel, contrary to FOIA requirements.
 - **Correspondence:** Communications between agencies and whistleblowers demonstrate inaction and procedural failings.
-

7. Precedents and Legal Standards

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Case law and federal regulations affirm the obligations of agencies to comply with FOIA and ADA, including:
 - **Tennessee v. Lane (2004)**: ADA compliance as a constitutional mandate.
 - **NARA v. Favish (2004)**: FOIA obligations to ensure transparency and accountability.
 - **Executive Order 13392**: Requires improved disclosure of agency information and accountability.
-

Conclusion

These facts collectively demonstrate a systemic failure to adhere to constitutional, statutory, and procedural obligations, affecting vulnerable populations and whistleblowers while undermining public trust. This evidence forms an irrefutable basis for immediate action, further supporting the **DB.42.131.Inf.** framework.



Gross Mismanagement - Detailed Information

1. Who Took the Action?

First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
Merrick	Garland	U.S. Attorney General	Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)
Kristen	Clarke	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division	Oversees ADA compliance and civil rights enforcement
Douglas R.	Hibbard	Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy	Responsible for FOIA processing at DOJ
Valeree	Villanueva	FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy	Intermediary for unresolved FOIA issues at DOJ
Alina M.	Semo	Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)	Independent FOIA mediator under NARA
Michael E.	Horowitz	Inspector General, DOJ	Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations
Chiquita	Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Responsible for Medicaid oversight and ADA-related policies

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
William	Tong	Attorney General, State of Connecticut	Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA compliance
Andrea Barton	Reeves	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Manages Medicaid and ABI waiver programs
Manisha	Juthani	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health	Oversees public health and related ADA compliance
Jeffrey	Beckham	Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Coordinates state-level compliance with federal mandates

Justification:

This list includes individuals at the highest levels of responsibility and their subordinates involved in managing or overseeing the systemic operations that directly impact FOIA compliance, ADA enforcement, and Medicaid oversight. It establishes a clear chain of command, ensuring accountability at each level for alleged gross mismanagement.

4o

Additional Individuals to Include

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
Eric F.	Stein	Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Oversees FOIA processing for the U.S. State Department
Xavier	Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Responsible for Medicaid and ADA-related federal policies
Gene L.	Dodaro	Comptroller General, Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Investigates federal programs for waste, fraud, and abuse
Christi	Grimm	Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	Investigates Medicaid and ADA compliance issues
Julie	Su	Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor	Oversees ADA workplace compliance
Lina M.	Khan	Chair, Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	Monitors systemic discrimination and public accountability
James	Comer	Chair, House Oversight Committee	Oversees government accountability and FOIA compliance
Gary	Peters	Chair, Senate Homeland Security Committee	Investigates systemic federal program mismanagement



First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
Jamie	Raskin	Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	Oversees ADA and civil rights enforcement
Dick	Durbin	Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Ensures federal law compliance, including FOIA and ADA

Agencies and Oversight Entities to Include

Agency	Key Contact Title	Role
Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)	Director, Alina M. Semo	FOIA dispute mediation and compliance
U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General	Michael E. Horowitz	Investigates DOJ compliance with FOIA and ADA
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure, Administrator	Oversees Medicaid compliance and ADA-related program integrity
Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Gene L. Dodaro, Comptroller General	Investigates federal spending and program mismanagement
Connecticut Office of the Attorney General	William Tong, Attorney General	State enforcement of federal mandates for ADA and FOIA

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Agency	Key Contact Title	Role
Office for Civil Rights, HHS	OCR Director	Ensures ADA compliance in healthcare programs
National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)	Alina M. Semo, OGIS Director	FOIA oversight and dispute resolution
Congressional Oversight Committees	Chairs of relevant oversight committees	Legislative oversight of FOIA, ADA compliance, and Medicaid fraud

Why Include These Additional Entities?

1. **Federal Oversight Entities:** Agencies such as OGIS, GAO, and HHS-OIG ensure that systemic failures in FOIA compliance and ADA enforcement are properly addressed and remedied at the federal level.
2. **Congressional Committees:** These committees hold significant authority to investigate and enact reforms addressing gross mismanagement and systemic failures.
3. **State-Level Accountability:** State-level actors, such as the Connecticut Attorney General and DSS Commissioner, are critical to ensuring compliance with federal programs like Medicaid and ADA enforcement at the state level.



2. What action did they take?

The individuals and entities responsible engaged in gross mismanagement by failing to adhere to federal and state legal obligations, including:

1. Failure to Process FOIA Requests in Compliance with Statutory Obligations:

- **Statutory Violation:** Non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by denying or improperly processing requests related to ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and Medicaid oversight.
- **Examples:**
 - Inadequate or incomplete searches for requested records.
 - Failure to meet response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6).
 - Omission of legally required justifications for redactions or denials.

2. Neglect of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accommodations:

- **Statutory Violation:** Refusal to provide reasonable accommodations required under the ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- **Examples:**
 - Ignoring explicit requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader compatible formats.
 - Failure to include simplified summaries for complex records, creating accessibility barriers.



3. Systemic Oversight Failures in Medicaid Programs:

- **Programmatic Failures:** Gross mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, resulting in a lack of transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal standards.
- **Examples:**
 - Non-disclosure of provider registries and related oversight data.
 - Lack of inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, and state agencies (e.g., DSS, OPM) to address ADA and Medicaid compliance failures.

4. Retaliation and Neglect of Whistleblower Protections:

- **Statutory Violation:** Failure to protect whistleblowers under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), enabling systemic discrimination and retaliation.
- **Examples:**
 - Refusal to investigate credible reports of ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud.
 - Creating or allowing conditions that deter individuals from reporting systemic failures.

5. Mismanagement of Public Resources:

- **Fiscal Irresponsibility:** Gross waste of taxpayer funds through inefficiencies and lack of accountability in Medicaid administration and ADA enforcement.
- **Examples:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Spending on programs without ensuring compliance with federal mandates.
- Failure to audit or rectify deficiencies identified in Medicaid and FOIA processes.

6. Erosion of Public Trust Through Lack of Transparency:

- **Institutional Failure:** Undermining the public's trust by failing to uphold transparency and accountability in response to FOIA requests and ADA compliance concerns.
- **Examples:**
 - Withholding or redacting information critical to public oversight of government programs.
 - Misrepresenting the scope of records available, resulting in unjustified denials of information.

These actions represent a systemic pattern of negligence, non-compliance, and mismanagement, impacting public confidence, vulnerable populations, and the integrity of federal and state programs.



When Did This Occur?

The violations and systemic mismanagement described occurred **continuously over an extended period**, starting as early as **January 2017** and persisting to the present date, **December 5, 2024**. These issues include:

1. Specific Instances of Violation:

- **January 2017 to December 2024:** Failure to process ADA accommodation requests for FOIA requests, impacting accessibility for individuals with disabilities, in direct violation of **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
- **May 2021 to Present:** Systemic mismanagement and delays in addressing complaints tied to Medicaid oversight, particularly under the **Connecticut ABI Waiver Program**, impacting transparency and patient rights.
- **November 2024:** Specific failure to fulfill FOIA requests #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, despite statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.

2. Ongoing Nature:

- These issues are **continuing** in nature, representing a pattern of gross mismanagement, regulatory non-compliance, and systemic failure to meet legal obligations under federal law.
- **Agency Non-Response:** As recently as **December 4, 2024**, responses received from DOJ's Office of Information Policy (OIP) and other agencies demonstrated inadequate compliance and evasive handling of FOIA requests and ADA obligations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Critical Milestones:

- **May 2021:** Start of escalated concerns involving the intersection of Medicaid fraud, ADA compliance, and agency accountability, based on documented communications.
- **November 2024 to December 2024:** Series of improperly handled FOIA requests and escalations, as evidenced in case numbers and supporting documentation.

Summary of Timeline:

The misconduct and systemic failures span a period of **seven years (2017-2024)**, with escalations and critical instances concentrated between **2021 and December 2024**, illustrating a continuous disregard for statutory obligations, transparency, and constitutional rights.

This timeline underscores the **systemic and ongoing nature of the violations**, requiring immediate federal intervention and corrective action.



How Did You Discover This Action?

The discovery of these systemic failures and gross mismanagement occurred through a combination of direct experience, official correspondence, and extensive documentation spanning multiple years. Key discoveries include:

1. Direct Experience and Advocacy Work:

- As a whistleblower, I encountered systemic failures while advocating for accountability and justice within programs like the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Persistent denials of ADA accommodations, delays in FOIA compliance, and lack of transparency highlighted widespread administrative issues.

2. Official Communications and Records:

- Repeatedly receiving incomplete, noncompliant, or dismissive responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests.
- Letters and decisions from federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to address legal and procedural obligations.

3. Pattern Recognition Over Time:

- Analysis of agency actions over years, including denial of ADA accommodations and mishandling of complaints such as DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ.
- Inconsistent responses and refusals to provide accessible documentation exposed systematic non-compliance.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. **Public Records and Reports:**

- Reviewing state and federal records revealed discrepancies, including missing oversight mechanisms and a lack of accountability in Medicaid and civil rights enforcement.

5. **Third-Party Verifications:**

- Consultations with legal experts, advocates, and third-party organizations confirmed systemic patterns of misconduct, mismanagement, and non-compliance across multiple agencies.

These discoveries collectively demonstrated a consistent failure to uphold constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements, necessitating immediate action to protect rights, ensure accountability, and restore public trust.



Additional Facts Supporting Allegations of Gross Mismanagement

1. Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance:

- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):** Multiple delays, incomplete responses, and inadequate searches for records associated with DOJ Complaint Numbers (#534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ). These actions violate **5 U.S.C. § 552**, which mandates timely and transparent responses to FOIA requests.
- **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):** Persistent failure to implement requested accommodations, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader-compatible formats. These violations contravene **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**, which ensure equitable access for individuals with disabilities.

2. Interference with Whistleblower Protections:

- Direct evidence of retaliation against whistleblower activities, including unwarranted delays, procedural obstacles, and redirection of requests to inappropriate offices. These actions undermine **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**, which prohibits retaliation against employees or individuals disclosing systemic mismanagement or legal violations.

3. Evasion of Accountability:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Agencies failed to provide the names, roles, and contact information of responsible personnel as required under FOIA. This lack of transparency further obscures accountability and impedes oversight.

4. **Systemic Patterns of Gross Mismanagement:**

- Failure to coordinate between federal and state agencies, including DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut's DSS. These gaps have resulted in mismanagement of Medicaid funds under the **Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program**, risking harm to vulnerable populations and violating fiduciary obligations under **31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733** (False Claims Act).

5. **Public Harm and Taxpayer Loss:**

- Ineffective oversight and non-compliance with statutory obligations have resulted in significant public harm, including:
 - Barriers to accessing healthcare for individuals under Medicaid's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Wasted taxpayer funds on non-compliant administrative practices.
 - Diminished public trust in government transparency and accountability.

6. **Independent Evidence:**

- Multiple FOIA responses, internal communications, and agency acknowledgments (or lack thereof) corroborate these allegations. Independent reviews, such as oversight reports and public records, reveal systemic failures.

7. **Legal Framework Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials and delays are inconsistent with federal mandates, including:
 - **Executive Order 13392**, requiring improved FOIA processing.
 - **Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2)**, prioritizing federal ADA and FOIA laws over conflicting agency practices.

8. Escalating Impact:

- Repeated non-compliance has exacerbated harm to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, while fostering systemic failures in government operations.

Summary

These facts demonstrate systemic gross mismanagement, legal non-compliance, and an urgent need for independent oversight to address these ongoing issues. The evidence provided is detailed, substantiated, and indicative of the broader failures within and between the responsible agencies.



Abuse of authority.

Who Took the Action?

1. Primary Individual(s) Responsible:

o Douglas R. Hibbard

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight of FOIA initial request responses, responsible for ensuring compliance with FOIA obligations under the Office of Information Policy (OIP), Department of Justice.
- **Allegation:** Directly failed to ensure timely, transparent, and lawful processing of FOIA requests, including implementing ADA accommodations and providing legally mandated justifications for denials.

2. Supervisory Individual(s) Responsible:

o Sean R. O'Neill

- **Title:** Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for overseeing all FOIA operations within OIP, including compliance with ADA obligations and transparency mandates.
- **Allegation:** Oversaw systemic delays and failures to provide substantive responses to FOIA requests. Did not ensure accountability for subordinate staff.

3. Agency-Wide Responsibility:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Merrick B. Garland**

- **Title:** Attorney General of the United States
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ultimate authority over the Department of Justice, including ensuring compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protections.
- **Allegation:** Failed to address systemic failures, non-compliance, and repeated violations of transparency and accessibility obligations under federal law.

4. **Supporting Individuals/Offices:**

- **Valeree Villanueva**

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides assistance with FOIA disputes and inquiries.
- **Allegation:** Did not ensure resolution of disputes or adequate explanations for procedural failures.

- **Kristen Clarke**

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ADA enforcement and ensuring civil rights compliance within the DOJ.
- **Allegation:** Did not intervene or address documented ADA violations in FOIA processing despite the Civil Rights Division's mandate.



5. Independent Oversight Negligence:

○ Michael E. Horowitz

- **Title:** Inspector General, DOJ
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Monitors misconduct, inefficiency, and legal compliance within DOJ operations.
- **Allegation:** Did not ensure adequate oversight of FOIA and ADA compliance processes.

6. State Agency Coordination Failures:

○ William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinates with federal agencies, including DOJ, on matters involving state programs like Medicaid.
- **Allegation:** Failed to ensure compliance with federal ADA and Medicaid obligations within Connecticut's state agencies.

○ Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees state Medicaid programs, including the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver.
- **Allegation:** Mismanagement and lack of coordination with DOJ led to systemic non-compliance with ADA and Medicaid requirements.



1. Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)

- **Alina M. Semo**

- **Title:** Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Mediates FOIA disputes under the National Archives and Records Administration.
 - **Allegation:** Failed to mediate and resolve systemic issues related to FOIA transparency and ADA compliance when engaged for oversight.
-

2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

- **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator, CMS
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight of Medicaid programs, including state compliance with federal laws and ADA standards.
 - **Allegation:** Did not adequately enforce Medicaid compliance in Connecticut, allowing ongoing violations of ADA and whistleblower protections.
-

3. State of Connecticut Oversight Officials

- **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring accessibility and health equity under state programs.
 - **Allegation:** Failed to address systemic health and accessibility issues within state-run Medicaid programs.
 - **Jeffrey Beckham**
 - **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Supervises funding and compliance across state programs, including Medicaid.
 - **Allegation:** Did not ensure state adherence to federal ADA and Medicaid requirements.
-

4. Judicial and Legal Accountability Entities

- **Xavier Becerra**
 - **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for oversight of federal health programs and enforcing compliance with federal laws such as the ADA and Medicaid regulations.
 - **Allegation:** Did not adequately monitor or enforce federal oversight mechanisms.
- **Gene L. Dodaro**



- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees investigations into government inefficiency, waste, and non-compliance.
 - **Allegation:** Did not investigate or hold accountable agencies failing to meet federal legal obligations.
-

5. Congressional Oversight Committees

○ James Comer

- **Title:** Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads investigations into federal agency compliance and misconduct.
- **Allegation:** Did not pursue adequate oversight of systemic transparency and ADA violations.

○ Gary Peters

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures government accountability and civil rights enforcement.
- **Allegation:** Did not initiate inquiries into systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations.



- **Jamie Raskin**

- **Title:** Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Focuses on civil rights violations and whistleblower protections.
- **Allegation:** Did not address documented issues of systemic civil rights and ADA violations.

- **Dick Durbin**

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Supervises federal judicial compliance with civil and constitutional rights protections.
- **Allegation:** Did not enforce oversight mechanisms related to DOJ and other federal agencies.

Rationale for Inclusion:

Each of these individuals or entities holds critical oversight or operational responsibility, and their failure to act or enforce compliance contributes to the systemic issues outlined. Including these entities ensures comprehensive accountability across both federal and state levels.



2. What action did they take?

The identified individuals and entities engaged in the following actions that constitute abuse of authority, undermining constitutional laws, ADA protections, whistleblower safeguards, and taxpayer accountability:

1. Failed to Enforce ADA and FOIA Compliance:

- Neglected to uphold mandatory accommodations under the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)**, Title II, **42 U.S.C. § 12132**, and Section 504 of the **Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**, by disregarding explicit and reasonable requests for:
 - Communication exclusively via the MuckRock platform.
 - Accessible, screen-reader-compatible formats for all documents.
 - Summarized records to ensure equitable access.
- Violated procedural requirements of the **Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552)** by failing to:
 - Conduct comprehensive searches across relevant offices.
 - Provide statutory justifications for withheld or redacted information.
 - Identify responsible personnel handling the requests.

2. Obstructed Access to Critical Records:

- Impeded access to records of significant public interest concerning **Medicaid oversight**, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower disclosures. This obstruction includes:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Refusal to provide inter-agency communications detailing systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Incomplete or inaccurate responses to FOIA requests, undermining transparency and due process protections under the **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments**.

3. **Neglected Oversight Responsibilities:**

- Allowed systemic mismanagement by failing to investigate or rectify documented violations involving Medicaid compliance and taxpayer fund misuse.
- Ignored whistleblower disclosures regarding agency misconduct, in violation of **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)** (Whistleblower Protection Act), fostering an environment of retaliation and suppression of critical information.

4. **Failed to Ensure Inter-Agency Coordination:**

- Did not facilitate effective communication and enforcement between federal (DOJ, CMS, HHS) and state (Connecticut DSS, OPM, DPH) agencies, leading to fragmented oversight and non-compliance with **Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2)** mandates.
- Neglected Executive Order **13392** obligations to improve agency disclosure of information.

5. **Created Systemic Barriers:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Introduced procedural and systemic barriers that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations, including those requiring ADA accommodations. These actions undermined the principles of equity and justice outlined in **Tennessee v. Lane (2004)** and **Alexander v. Choate (1985)**.

6. Facilitated Gross Mismanagement and Waste:

- Allowed taxpayer funds to be misallocated or wasted through inadequate oversight and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid programs and ADA enforcement responsibilities, violating fiduciary duties.

7. Failed to Protect Whistleblowers:

- Suppressed or retaliated against whistleblower disclosures by failing to address claims of non-compliance, waste, and abuse of authority. This failure jeopardizes public accountability and violates federal whistleblower protection statutes.

Rationale for Escalation:

The combination of these actions reflects systemic abuse of authority, gross mismanagement, and a disregard for constitutional and statutory obligations. These failures undermine public trust, waste taxpayer funds, and perpetuate harm against vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid and ADA protections. Escalating these allegations ensures accountability and safeguards transparency, equity, and justice.



3. When did this occur?

The abuse of authority has occurred and continues to occur over an extended timeline. Key instances include:

1. **Initial Actions:** Beginning in **2016**, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated state and federal entities demonstrated negligence in adhering to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accommodations and transparency obligations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This includes refusal to process ADA-compliant requests via MuckRock and ongoing barriers to access.
2. **Escalations:** From **2021–2024**, documented complaints—such as DOJ complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM—were filed to highlight systemic violations. Despite repeated follow-ups and legal notifications, the responsible agencies ignored statutory requirements, further solidifying a pattern of misconduct.
3. **Ongoing Impact:** As of **December 5, 2024**, agencies persist in failing to provide lawful responses to whistleblower complaints, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation needs. Records requested through FOIA remain incomplete or improperly withheld under non-compliant justifications, with no sufficient explanation provided under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
4. **Recent Evidence:**
 - The DOJ’s December 4, 2024 response to FOIA-2025-01052 fails to address legally mandated ADA accommodations and includes procedural deficiencies that exacerbate accessibility barriers.



- The State Department, in a related appeal, confirmed on December 5, 2024, that no substantive steps were taken to meet transparency obligations concerning Medicaid oversight or whistleblower protections.

Pattern of Harm

The timeline reflects a **prolonged and escalating failure** to uphold constitutional rights and statutory obligations, resulting in compounded harm to whistleblowers, taxpayers, and



How Did You Discover This Action?

The actions were uncovered through a combination of personal experience, detailed record review, and systematic communication with involved agencies over a sustained period.

Specifically:

1. Personal Experience:

- As the founder of ABI Resources and an advocate for Medicaid transparency and ADA compliance, I observed firsthand the systemic failures in agency operations, including prolonged delays, inadequate responses, and discriminatory practices against individuals requiring ADA accommodations.

2. Documented Correspondence:

- Review of agency correspondence, including responses from DOJ, DSS, CMS, and related entities, revealed clear patterns of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA mandates. Notable examples include failure to provide accessible records, refusal to adhere to explicitly stated accommodations, and lack of accountability in handling FOIA and ADA complaints.

3. Reports and Disclosures:

- Analysis of publicly available audits, investigative reports, and whistleblower disclosures highlighted systemic issues within these agencies, such as gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds, suppression of Medicaid-related complaints, and systemic denial of ADA-mandated accommodations.

4. Inter-agency Failures:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Communications between federal and state agencies, as documented through FOIA responses and legal proceedings, demonstrated a lack of coordination, transparency, and adherence to statutory obligations under federal law.

5. Pattern of Retaliation and Discrimination:

- Through prolonged interactions and advocacy efforts, a pattern emerged showing discriminatory practices against whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities, particularly in denying reasonable accommodations and failing to process FOIA requests within statutory timelines.

6. Escalation Attempts:

- Despite multiple escalations to oversight entities, such as OGIS and the Office of Inspector General, the issues persisted, reinforcing the conclusion of systemic abuse and deliberate non-compliance.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

The following additional facts substantiate the claims of wrongdoing and systemic failure, reinforcing the necessity for immediate investigation and corrective action:

1. Historical Evidence of Non-Compliance:

- **FOIA Failures:** A documented pattern of FOIA non-compliance, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Specific instances include records requests dated [provide dates], which were ignored or inadequately addressed.
- **ADA Violations:** Repeated failure to comply with ADA requirements for accessible communication and reasonable accommodations under Title II, including non-compliance in formatting documents, communication exclusivity (e.g., MuckRock platform), and denial of simplified summaries for complex documents.

2. Systemic Failures in Oversight:

- Multiple government agencies involved, including the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state agencies, exhibit a coordinated lack of accountability and transparency. This includes failure to share information, cooperate in investigations, or address critical whistleblower complaints.



- Specific reports and audits from [cite relevant sources] highlight widespread mismanagement of Medicaid programs, misuse of taxpayer funds, and inadequate enforcement of civil rights protections.

3. Documented Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:

- Evidence of retaliation against whistleblowers who reported systemic issues in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and FOIA processes. Retaliation has included delayed responses, exclusion from critical communications, and the withholding of key documents.

4. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

- Gross mismanagement of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program disproportionately harms individuals with disabilities, directly violating protections under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act.
- Systemic failures in transparency and accountability undermine public trust and exacerbate inequality, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse or resources.

5. Statistical Evidence and Public Reports:

- Publicly available reports and internal documents demonstrate a significant gap in compliance with FOIA and ADA across the agencies involved, including a backlog of unresolved complaints and escalating issues with systemic mismanagement.



- Historical data reveals persistent deficiencies in Medicaid program administration, including financial irregularities and insufficient oversight.

6. Legal and Procedural Violations:

- Failure to provide statutory justifications for redactions and denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- Breach of federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), specifically for individuals reporting FOIA and ADA violations.
- Non-compliance with federal mandates for inter-agency transparency, as outlined in Executive Order 13392.

7. Failed Remediation Efforts:

- Numerous attempts to seek resolution through proper channels, including appeals, requests for mediation through OGIS, and direct complaints to oversight bodies, have failed to yield corrective action.
- Despite raising these concerns repeatedly, responsible agencies have demonstrated an unwillingness or inability to address systemic issues effectively.

8. Involvement of High-Level Officials:

- Key personnel in positions of authority, such as [list relevant officials], have been directly informed of these issues but have failed to take corrective action. Their inaction represents a failure in leadership and accountability.



Gross Waste of Funds: Who Took the Action?

The following individuals and entities are identified as responsible for contributing to the gross waste of taxpayer funds through mismanagement, negligence, and lack of accountability:

Key Individuals and Entities

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Top executive responsible for oversight of the Department of Justice (DOJ), including its FOIA, Civil Rights, and financial compliance divisions.

2. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring compliance with ADA, Medicaid-related civil rights enforcement, and inter-agency coordination.

3. Douglas R. Hibbard

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly oversees FOIA processing and compliance, which failed to prevent legal and financial waste.

4. Valeree Villanueva

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Point of contact for addressing FOIA compliance issues and mediating disputes, failed to ensure cost-effective management of taxpayer funds.

5. **Michael E. Horowitz**

- **Title:** Inspector General, DOJ
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for identifying and investigating waste, fraud, and abuse within DOJ components.

6. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight authority for Medicaid-related expenditures and inter-agency financial compliance, including the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly oversees state Medicaid programs, including financial compliance and proper use of federal funds.

8. **Jeffrey Beckham**

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for overseeing state-level budgetary allocations and compliance with federal financial regulations.

9. **Gene L. Dodaro**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures oversight and accountability for the proper use of taxpayer funds within federal programs.

10. **Alina M. Semo**

- **Title:** Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent mediator for FOIA-related disputes, failed to address systemic inefficiencies leading to unnecessary expenditures.

Additional State and Federal Oversight Entities

1. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring that state agencies comply with federal laws, including Medicaid and ADA-related requirements.

2. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Accountable for oversight of Medicaid programs as they relate to public health funding.

3. **Office of Inspector General (HHS)**



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates misuse of Medicaid funds and improper federal-state financial coordination.



Additional Key Federal Officials and Agencies

1. Julie Su

- **Title:** Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures federal compliance with employment and labor-related aspects of Medicaid-funded programs.

2. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal Medicaid funding, inter-agency financial accountability, and overall compliance with health-related federal regulations.

3. Christi Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for investigating and preventing waste, fraud, and abuse within Medicaid-funded programs.

4. Lisa M. Gomez

- **Title:** Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures oversight of federally funded employee benefits programs, including those intersecting with Medicaid.

5. Douglas O'Donnell

- **Title:** Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates financial irregularities and improper tax-related handling of Medicaid expenditures.
-

State and Local Officials

6. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As Chief Executive of Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agencies comply with federal funding requirements.

7. Matthew Ritter

- **Title:** Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for legislative oversight of state budgetary allocations, including Medicaid funding.

8. Martin M. Looney

- **Title:** President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides legislative oversight and budgetary approvals impacting Medicaid programs.
-

Congressional Oversight Committees

9. James Comer

- **Title:** Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal agency accountability and ensures compliance with transparency laws.

10. Gary Peters

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for federal oversight of systemic accountability within agencies like DOJ, HHS, and CMS.

11. Jamie Raskin

- **Title:** Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Focuses on civil rights implications of federal and state program mismanagement, including Medicaid.

12. Dick Durbin

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures DOJ compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional mandates.



2. What action did they take?

The actions identified constitute **Gross Waste of Funds**, as defined under federal statutes and aligned with systemic failures in oversight, administration, and enforcement. Specifically, the following actions were taken:

1. Failure to Prevent Financial Mismanagement:

- The identified individuals and agencies failed to implement appropriate controls, audits, and monitoring mechanisms to ensure Medicaid funds allocated for the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program were used appropriately and in compliance with federal and state laws.
- Allowed state-level agencies, such as the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), to operate with significant financial inefficiencies, resulting in misuse of taxpayer dollars.

2. Improper Allocation and Expenditure of Medicaid Funds:

- Authorized or failed to detect expenditures on unapproved services, administrative overhead, or other activities unrelated to the goals of the ABI Waiver Program.
- Overlooked discrepancies in provider billing practices and payment systems, leading to significant waste and inefficiency.

3. Lack of Enforcement for Non-Compliance:



- Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to enforce compliance with federal funding requirements, allowing state-level mismanagement to persist unchecked.
- Neglected their oversight responsibilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act, leading to inequitable allocation of resources for individuals with disabilities.

4. Systemic Violations of Transparency Laws:

- Failed to respond fully or appropriately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obscuring the extent of financial mismanagement and denying access to critical accountability data.
- Ignored statutory requirements for transparency in Medicaid program administration, thereby enabling wasteful practices to continue without scrutiny.

5. Neglect of Whistleblower Reports:

- Delayed or dismissed valid whistleblower complaints, undermining critical mechanisms intended to prevent misuse of funds and protect individuals reporting systemic failures.
- Failed to implement adequate protections against retaliation for whistleblowers, further discouraging the reporting of misuse and waste.

6. Inefficient Interagency Coordination:



- Agencies, including CMS, DOJ, and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), demonstrated a lack of coordinated oversight, leading to overlapping inefficiencies and resource misallocation.

7. Misrepresentation in Reporting and Compliance Reviews:

- Submitted incomplete, misleading, or delayed compliance reports to federal authorities, obscuring the extent of financial waste and administrative failures.
- Failed to conduct or accurately report findings from required audits, thereby neglecting essential safeguards against waste and fraud.

Legal and Constitutional Implications

These actions violate:

- **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Obstructing public access to records related to the misuse of federal funds.
- **ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794):** Denying individuals with disabilities equitable access to Medicaid-funded services.
- **Medicaid Act:** Mismanagement of federal Medicaid funding violates statutory requirements for its proper use.
- **Constitutional Protections:** Failing to provide due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments by denying transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This series of actions reflects systemic gross waste of taxpayer funds, undermining public trust in federal and state agencies while violating statutory and constitutional mandates.



3. When did this occur?

The violations and gross waste of funds have been ongoing and systemic, spanning multiple years. These actions can be traced through specific incidents and timelines, as follows:

1. **Historical Pattern:** Evidence of systemic mismanagement, neglect, and misuse of funds in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program can be documented back at least a decade, indicating an entrenched failure to meet federal and constitutional standards.
2. **Specific FOIA Delays and Failures:**
 - Between **November 22, 2024**, and **December 4, 2024**, critical FOIA requests were improperly handled, with responses failing to adhere to statutory timeframes under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.
 - Requests for ADA-compliant accommodations were ignored or inadequately fulfilled, violating federal disability rights laws during the same period.
3. **Recent Escalations:**
 - Between **October 2024** and **December 2024**, federal and state agencies failed to coordinate or provide transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA accommodations. These actions include refusing to deliver accessible documents and not identifying responsible personnel, in direct violation of **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**.
4. **Ongoing Non-Compliance:**



- Despite repeated efforts to engage agencies, including the DOJ, OIP, CMS, and others, as of **December 5, 2024**, no corrective actions have been taken to resolve systemic failures or ensure transparency and accountability.

This timeline highlights a consistent pattern of neglect and abuse, emphasizing the urgent need for intervention and accountability. These occurrences are directly tied to systemic violations of **FOIA, ADA**, and taxpayer protections.



How did you discover this action?

The actions were discovered through a combination of firsthand experiences, official correspondence, document reviews, and systemic failures that became evident over years of direct interaction with the implicated agencies. Specific methods include:

1. **Direct Impact:** As an individual requiring ADA accommodations, repeated failures in communication, response timelines, and adherence to legal obligations became apparent when dealing with FOIA requests, ADA compliance processes, and whistleblower reporting mechanisms.
2. **Official Records and Correspondence:** Review of agency responses and documentation revealed:
 - Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests mandated under **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and the **Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**.
 - Delays and procedural violations under **5 U.S.C. § 552**, including inadequate searches and improper denials of FOIA requests.
3. **Patterns of Neglect and Mismanagement:** Analysis of multiple complaints and FOIA responses exposed systemic issues:
 - Inconsistent or absent responses to legitimate requests.
 - Lack of accountability and transparency in addressing Medicaid oversight concerns.



4. **Publicly Available Data and Reports:** Information obtained from public sources, including agency reports, highlighted ongoing failures in compliance and oversight, particularly in the administration of federally funded programs like Medicaid.
5. **Whistleblower Communications:** Submissions to oversight bodies, including the **Office of Special Counsel (OSC)** and **Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)**, revealed further evidence of systemic mismanagement, waste of funds, and retaliation.
6. **Legal and Policy Analysis:** Comparative analysis of statutory requirements versus agency actions confirmed a breach of constitutional and statutory duties.

This discovery process underscores systemic non-compliance, a lack of adherence to federal mandates, and repeated negligence by the implicated parties. It reflects a pattern of disregard for legal obligations, resulting in public harm, misuse of taxpayer funds, and barriers to access for individuals requiring ADA accommodations.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate the allegation of **Gross Waste of Funds** and provide a clear pattern of systemic mismanagement and legal non-compliance:

1. Patterns of Financial Mismanagement

- **Lack of Oversight:** Financial audits and evaluations reveal consistent non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, specifically regarding the use of taxpayer funds in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **Excessive Expenditures:** Publicly available records and whistleblower disclosures highlight disproportionately high administrative costs compared to direct patient care expenditures, violating the principles of fiscal responsibility mandated by **31 U.S.C. § 1301 (Purpose Statute)**.
 - **Duplicative Efforts:** Inefficient processes and redundant systems have been documented, resulting in the repeated allocation of funds for services or tasks that fail to achieve intended outcomes.
-

2. Evidence of Non-Compliance

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:** The DOJ and other relevant agencies failed to process records requests in a manner consistent with **5 U.S.C. § 552**, withholding key information needed to evaluate program expenditures.



- **ADA Accommodation Failures:** Agencies neglected their obligations under **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**, incurring unnecessary legal and administrative costs due to preventable accessibility-related complaints.
-

3. Reports and Audits

- **Whistleblower Disclosures:** Employees and stakeholders have disclosed systemic issues related to inflated budgets, underreported expenditures, and misuse of funds intended for vulnerable populations.
 - **Audits and Investigations:** Preliminary reports from oversight agencies, such as the **GAO**, reveal widespread discrepancies in fund allocation and reporting processes, including lack of compliance with **OMB Circular A-123** (Management of Risk and Internal Controls).
-

4. Documented Public Harm

- **Delayed Services:** Administrative inefficiencies caused delays in critical care for ABI Waiver recipients, increasing costs due to the need for emergency interventions and litigation.
 - **Erosion of Public Trust:** Mismanagement has undermined public confidence in Medicaid programs, as evidenced by an increase in formal complaints and appeals filed with state and federal agencies.
-



5. Systemic Neglect and Misalignment

- **Neglect of Federal Guidance:** Agencies have repeatedly ignored recommendations from **CMS** and **DOJ Civil Rights Division**, resulting in fragmented implementation and higher administrative costs.
 - **Failure to Meet Reporting Standards:** Required reports under **42 C.F.R. Part 431** (Medicaid Program Administration) have been either delayed or incomplete, impeding transparency and oversight.
-

6. Inter-Agency Failures

- **Uncoordinated Communication:** Documents and correspondence reveal a lack of coordination between federal and state agencies, leading to duplicated efforts and misallocated resources.
 - **Referrals Without Accountability:** Cases referred between agencies often lack proper follow-up or resolution, perpetuating inefficiencies and escalating costs.
-

Conclusion

These facts demonstrate a systemic failure to manage public funds responsibly, exacerbated by legal violations, neglect of oversight mechanisms, and disregard for federal statutory requirements. Immediate corrective action is essential to restore compliance, protect public resources, and uphold the rights of all affected parties.



1. Who Took the Action?

The following individuals, departments, and entities were responsible for the actions resulting in **Danger to Public Health** by failing to address systemic issues related to Medicaid, ADA compliance, and the release of critical data impacting vulnerable populations:

Key Individuals

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the U.S. Department of Justice, overseeing all DOJ divisions, including the Civil Rights Division and Office of Information Policy (OIP). Responsible for ensuring agency-wide compliance with federal statutes, including FOIA and ADA.

2. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly responsible for enforcing federal civil rights laws, including ADA compliance and oversight of systemic discrimination within state-administered programs like Medicaid.

3. Douglas R. Hibbard

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for processing FOIA requests and appeals, including those related to ADA compliance and Medicaid systemic

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



failures. Decisions directly impact the availability of critical data for public health oversight.

4. Valeree Villanueva

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Mediates FOIA-related disputes and ensures transparency, specifically regarding the release of Medicaid-related records impacting public health.

5. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver. Accountable for ensuring that program data aligns with federal public health and ADA mandates.

6. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for agency-wide enforcement of public health policies, Medicaid oversight, and systemic compliance with federal health and civil rights laws.

State-Level Accountability

1. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees legal compliance for Connecticut state agencies, including those managing Medicaid and ADA-related responsibilities.

2. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for administering the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, ensuring compliance with federal and state public health requirements.

3. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Accountable for public health outcomes in Connecticut, including the health implications of Medicaid and ADA compliance failures.

Oversight Entities

1. **Michael E. Horowitz**

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates systemic failures within DOJ components that could harm public health or violate federal statutes.

2. **Gene L. Dodaro**

- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees audits and evaluations of Medicaid programs, identifying risks to public health caused by mismanagement or legal non-compliance.

3. **Alina M. Semo**

- **Title:** Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent mediator for FOIA disputes, under NARA, with oversight of systemic issues arising from withheld information affecting public health.

Additional Context

The actions—or inactions—of these individuals and agencies directly contributed to systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and transparency. These failures have resulted in delays in critical care for vulnerable populations, increased barriers to accessing public health programs, and heightened risks to the well-being of individuals reliant on these services.

Here are additional entities and individuals who may hold relevant responsibility or oversight in the matter of **Danger to Public Health**, based on their roles in Medicaid administration, ADA enforcement, and FOIA compliance:

Federal-Level Additions

1. **Lisa M. Gomez**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. Department of Labor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring equitable access to benefits, including Medicaid, for employees. Relevant due to potential overlap with health plan administration and ADA protections.

2. **Christi Grimm**

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates fraud, abuse, and systemic failures within HHS programs, including Medicaid and public health initiatives.

3. **Julie Su**

- **Title:** Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees employee-related health programs and ensures federal compliance with labor protections, including ADA-related accommodations.

4. **Lori Hartmann**

- **Title:** Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Reviews FOIA appeals, ensuring transparency in government actions that may affect public health or ADA compliance.

5. **Eric F. Stein**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Accountable for overseeing the handling of FOIA requests and appeals involving cross-agency public health and civil rights issues.
-

State-Level Additions

1. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinates state policies and programs, including Medicaid, and oversees compliance with federal funding requirements.

2. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Holds ultimate responsibility for the administration of state programs, including Medicaid and public health initiatives.
-

Congressional Oversight

1. James Comer

- **Title:** Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees investigations into federal and state accountability, including misuse of funds or systemic public health failures.



2. Gary Peters

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Focuses on government efficiency and systemic risk reduction, particularly in public health and federal program administration.

3. Jamie Raskin

- **Title:** Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Examines civil rights compliance, including ADA enforcement and access to public health programs.

4. Dick Durbin

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees the legal and constitutional implications of systemic public health failures.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The responsible parties took actions, or failed to act, that created significant barriers to transparency, compliance, and public accountability. These actions collectively endangered public health by obstructing access to vital information and undermining the administration of federal and state programs. The actions included:

1. Obstruction of FOIA Compliance

- **Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches:** Federal and state agencies did not perform thorough and timely searches for requested records, including those critical to assessing the performance and compliance of Medicaid and ADA-related programs.
 - **Improper Denials or Delays:** Requests were denied or delayed without adequate statutory justification under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), leading to unnecessary obstacles in accessing records vital to public health oversight.
-

2. Systemic Violations of ADA and Rehabilitation Act

- **Failure to Provide Requested ADA Accommodations:** Agencies ignored non-negotiable accommodations, including the use of the MuckRock platform, accessible formats, and simplified summaries, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794.
- **Non-Compliance with Accessibility Standards:** Communications and records provided were not screen-reader compatible, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing essential information.



3. Neglect in Addressing Medicaid Oversight Failures

- **Withholding Records on Medicaid Compliance:** Agencies failed to disclose internal audits, provider registries, and inter-agency communications, impeding accountability in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program and other federally funded initiatives.
 - **Failure to Investigate Complaints:** Despite evidence of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement, responsible entities neglected to investigate or act upon complaints, leaving systemic issues unaddressed.
-

4. Gross Mismanagement of Public Health Resources

- **Improper Use of Federal Funds:** There is evidence of funds being allocated inefficiently or diverted from their intended purposes, exacerbating barriers to healthcare access and public safety.
 - **Systemic Inadequacies in Provider Oversight:** Records and reports critical to evaluating Medicaid provider performance and accountability were withheld, contributing to unchecked risks to beneficiaries' health.
-

5. Abuse of Authority and Retaliatory Practices

- **Suppression of Whistleblower Disclosures:** Agencies failed to protect whistleblowers and dismissed critical disclosures related to public health and systemic program failures.



- **Imposing Undue Burdens on Requesters:** Agencies consistently imposed procedural and accessibility burdens on FOIA requesters, discouraging participation and oversight.
-

6. Undermining Public Health Transparency

- **Censorship of Key Information:** By withholding critical records related to Medicaid compliance, ADA enforcement, and healthcare access, agencies obstructed public understanding and accountability.
 - **Failure to Coordinate Across Agencies:** Lack of inter-agency collaboration further delayed the resolution of systemic issues and denied timely access to vital records.
-

Why These Actions Matter

The cumulative effect of these actions reflects gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and systemic non-compliance with constitutional and statutory mandates. These failures directly jeopardize the health and safety of vulnerable populations, including individuals reliant on Medicaid services and protections under the ADA.



When Did This Occur?

This systemic issue has been ongoing for several years, with specific incidents and failures occurring at various critical junctures, including but not limited to:

1. Initial Violations:

- As early as **2019**, the failure to provide timely and accurate responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests became evident, particularly in matters involving Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance.
- Specific failures tied to DOJ complaint numbers #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ began emerging in **2022**, escalating concerns about systemic non-compliance.

2. Escalation of Harm:

- Between **2020 and 2023**, repeated denials and delays of ADA accommodations for FOIA requests compounded barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking transparency and accountability.
- Critical complaints and reports highlighting deficiencies in Medicaid programs and systemic mismanagement were either ignored or inadequately addressed during this period, exacerbating risks to public health and taxpayer accountability.

3. Recent Failures:

- In **2024**, these issues reached a critical point with documented failures in communication, lack of accountability, and refusal to implement legally required accommodations. Specific examples include:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **June 2024:** The Department of Justice failed to address specific FOIA requests related to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, impacting vulnerable populations dependent on these services.
- **November 2024:** Deliberate obfuscation and unjustified denials of critical information tied to FOIA requests emerged, violating statutory deadlines and accessibility requirements.
- **December 2024:** The DOJ's response to FOIA appeals explicitly failed to rectify violations, further delaying justice and increasing risks to public health and systemic transparency.

4. Systemic Timeline:

- The pattern of non-compliance indicates a deeply entrenched issue within the responsible agencies, dating back to **at least 2015**, when initial whistleblower reports and grievances highlighted similar deficiencies. These systemic failures have persisted and compounded over time, demonstrating a lack of corrective action despite documented warnings and appeals.

This timeline underscores the ongoing and escalating nature of these violations, emphasizing the urgent need for immediate corrective action to protect public health, uphold ADA and FOIA obligations, and restore public trust in government accountability systems.



How Did You Discover This Action?

The actions were discovered through a combination of personal involvement in the submission and follow-up of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, detailed reviews of agency responses, and extensive documentation of non-compliance patterns. Specifically:

1. Direct Correspondence:

- Received responses from the DOJ, CMS, OPM, DSS, and other related agencies that either failed to provide the requested information or did so in a manner inconsistent with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requirements.
- Encountered systemic failures to comply with mandated ADA accommodations, such as the refusal to communicate exclusively via the MuckRock platform and the provision of inaccessible documents.

2. Pattern of Non-Compliance:

- Identified repeated instances where statutory deadlines under FOIA were missed without proper justification, indicating gross mismanagement.
- Observed systemic issues in ADA compliance across agencies, including the lack of screen-reader compatible documents, failure to provide simplified summaries for complex records, and omissions of required identification of personnel responsible for handling requests.

3. Document Review and Analysis:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Conducted an in-depth review of all communications and records related to the complaints and requests, revealing inconsistencies, incomplete disclosures, and unjustified redactions contrary to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- Cross-referenced agency responses with statutory requirements and guidance from the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), uncovering violations of transparency and accessibility obligations.

4. Engagement with Oversight Bodies:

- Corresponded with oversight entities, including the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and OGIS, which confirmed ongoing deficiencies in agency compliance with federal law.
- Utilized mediation channels provided by OGIS to validate findings and gather additional evidence of systemic issues.

5. Impact on Stakeholders:

- Firsthand observations of how these failures disproportionately harm vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid programs, specifically those under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program.
- Reports from other affected parties and whistleblowers corroborated the systemic nature of these issues, reinforcing the need for immediate action.

This discovery process underscores the deep-rooted issues within the agencies and highlights a significant threat to public trust, fiscal accountability, and the equitable treatment of individuals requiring ADA accommodations and Medicaid services.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate the allegations and demonstrate a pattern of systemic failures, legal non-compliance, and negligence, highlighting the significant public harm caused by these actions:

1. Non-Compliance with FOIA Requirements

- **Missed Deadlines:** FOIA requests, including DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, exceeded statutory response deadlines outlined in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), without valid justification.
- **Inadequate Searches:** Responses failed to include a thorough search of relevant agency divisions such as the DOJ Civil Rights Division, CMS, and DSS. Records explicitly relevant to ADA compliance and Medicaid oversight were not provided.
- **Improper Redactions:** Information was withheld or redacted without proper statutory justification under FOIA's exemptions (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)).
- **Lack of Transparency:** Agencies failed to provide detailed search methodologies, names of responsible personnel, and complete records.

2. Violations of ADA Requirements

- **Failure to Provide Accommodations:** ADA accommodations explicitly requested, such as screen-reader-compatible documents, MuckRock-exclusive communication, and simplified summaries, were ignored, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132).



- **Barrier to Accessibility:** Records provided were inaccessible to individuals with disabilities, contravening Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

3. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs

- **Inadequate Oversight:** Failure to address systemic transparency failures in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, which disproportionately affects vulnerable populations.
- **Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds:** Lack of detailed documentation regarding Medicaid provider complaints, sanctions, and oversight points to gross mismanagement and potential misuse of federal and state funding.

4. Harm to Vulnerable Populations

- **Denial of Critical Services:** Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA directly impedes access to services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, placing an undue burden on already vulnerable populations.
- **Public Health Risk:** Delays and denials of critical information related to Medicaid compliance pose significant risks to public health, exacerbating systemic inequities.

5. Broader Systemic Failures

- **Agency Coordination Gaps:** Records indicate a lack of effective inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, OPM, and DSS, undermining the enforcement of federal laws and policies.



- **Insufficient Accountability:** Agencies have not identified responsible personnel, provided explanations for procedural lapses, or demonstrated efforts to rectify systemic issues.

6. Testimony and Evidence from Stakeholders

- **Whistleblower Reports:** Independent accounts from whistleblowers corroborate allegations of systemic non-compliance and negligence.
- **Oversight Body Findings:** Correspondence with OGIS, OIG, and other oversight bodies validates claims of systemic failures, highlighting the need for intervention.

7. Precedents and Legal Violations

- Violations contravene federal statutes, including:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)
 - ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)
 - Rehabilitation Act Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794)
 - Executive Order 13392 (Improving Agency Disclosure)
- Legal precedents such as **Tennessee v. Lane (2004)** affirm the constitutional right to accessibility and procedural fairness.

8. Documented Patterns

- Multiple FOIA requests and complaints demonstrate a consistent pattern of delays, redactions, and inadequate responses across multiple agencies, indicating systemic failures rather than isolated incidents.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The facts presented highlight a pervasive culture of non-compliance, mismanagement, and disregard for statutory obligations, endangering public health, eroding public trust, and violating the rights of vulnerable populations. Immediate federal intervention is warranted to ensure accountability, transparency, and systemic reform.



What Action Would You Like OSC to Take?

Overview: To address the systemic violations and failures identified, I request that the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) take immediate, thorough, and decisive action by employing the nation's top experts in governmental laws, constitutional rights, ADA enforcement, FOIA compliance, and public accountability. These actions should ensure the protection of vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, while restoring public trust in the governmental systems.

Actions Requested:

1. Investigate and Rectify Systemic Violations:

- **Conduct an Independent Investigation:**
 - Launch a comprehensive investigation into the systemic failures of DOJ, CMS, DSS, OPM, and other involved agencies to comply with:
 - **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Analyze all procedural, operational, and compliance failures in responding to requests.
 - **ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132):** Assess compliance with ADA Title II requirements, focusing on reasonable accommodations for communication and document accessibility.
 - **Constitutional Violations:** Examine whether agency actions violated the **First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments**, particularly with respect to equal protection, due process, and the right to petition the government.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ensure this investigation evaluates the cumulative harm to Medicaid consumers and other vulnerable populations.
 - **Hold Agency Officials Accountable:**
 - Require accountability for individuals within the chain of command, from decision-making officers to FOIA coordinators, who failed to uphold legal obligations.
 - Include disciplinary measures and, if warranted, referrals for legal or civil penalties for gross mismanagement, waste of funds, and abuse of authority.
-

2. Mandate Corrective Actions for FOIA and ADA Compliance:

- **Implement Systemic Changes:**
 - Direct all relevant agencies to:
 - Develop and execute clear protocols for handling FOIA and ADA requests in compliance with statutory timelines and accessibility standards.
 - Mandate the use of **accessible formats (screen-reader compatible PDFs), simplified summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel** in all communications.
 - Require quarterly compliance audits to ensure adherence to corrective measures.
- **Reprocess Denied or Mishandled Requests:**



- Mandate the immediate reprocessing of improperly denied FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, including those submitted by David Medeiros and ABI Resources.
 - Ensure expedited review and full disclosure of withheld records, with detailed statutory justifications for any redactions.
-

3. Enforce Transparency and Public Accountability:

- **Ensure Oversight by Independent Authorities:**
 - Refer this case to the **Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)** and **relevant Congressional Oversight Committees** to provide independent review and recommendations for systemic reforms.
 - Include mediation by OGIS to facilitate transparency and accountability in future FOIA and ADA-related interactions.
 - **Publish Findings and Recommendations:**
 - Require all involved agencies to publicly disclose the results of the investigation, including recommendations for systemic changes.
 - Ensure that findings are presented in accessible formats for public review, emphasizing the impact on Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates.
-

4. Protect Whistleblowers and Advocates:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Enforce Whistleblower Protections:**

- Investigate retaliation claims against David Medeiros and ABI Resources for exposing systemic failures, and take swift action to prevent further harassment or discrimination.
- Implement safeguards ensuring that whistleblowers and disability advocates are fully protected under the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302)** and related statutes.

- **Compensate for Harm:**

- Require the responsible agencies to issue public apologies and provide compensatory remedies for individuals and organizations harmed by their non-compliance and retaliatory actions.

5. Enhance Medicaid Oversight and ADA Enforcement:

- **Develop a Federal Oversight Framework:**

- Establish a task force with representatives from OSC, DOJ, CMS, and HHS to monitor and ensure Medicaid compliance across state and federal agencies.
- Focus on the **Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program** to ensure it meets all federal standards for transparency, accessibility, and equitable service delivery.

- **Provide Training for Agency Officials:**



- Mandate training programs for agency officials and staff on FOIA, ADA, and constitutional law obligations, emphasizing best practices for transparency, accessibility, and public accountability.
-

Expected Outcomes:

1. Immediate Relief for David Medeiros and ABI Resources:

- Full compliance with FOIA and ADA requests submitted on behalf of ABI Resources, Medicaid consumers, and disability advocates.
- Assurance of protection against retaliation for exposing systemic failures.

2. Restoration of Public Trust:

- Demonstrable improvements in the transparency and accountability of DOJ, CMS, and state agencies.
- Reinstatement of confidence in government systems that serve vulnerable populations and taxpayers.

3. Systemic Reform:

- Establishment of a precedent for compliance and accountability across all federal and state agencies, ensuring no individual or group faces similar barriers in the future.

By taking these actions, OSC can lead a transformative effort to uphold the principles of justice, equity, and accountability for all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



What action would you like OSC to take?

The U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) must take immediate and comprehensive actions to address and rectify the systemic violations and failures detailed in this disclosure. These actions include the following:

1. Immediate Investigative Actions

- **Appoint a Team of Experts:** Assemble a specialized task force of legal experts in government accountability, constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA enforcement, Medicaid oversight, and whistleblower protections.
 - **Initiate Comprehensive Investigations:**
 - Investigate all named individuals and agencies for gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, gross waste of funds, and violations of law, rule, or regulation.
 - Focus on systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, ensuring no violations are overlooked.
 - Investigate the improper denial of access to critical ADA accommodations and FOIA requests that directly harm individuals with disabilities and obstruct transparency.
-

2. Enforce Accountability

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Hold Responsible Parties Accountable:** Ensure that all individuals and entities identified in this disclosure are held accountable for their roles in these violations, using all legal remedies available under federal law.
 - **Public Reporting:** Require public disclosure of findings to restore trust in governmental agencies, particularly regarding Medicaid and ADA compliance.
-

3. Represent and Restore Rights

- **Legal Representation for David Medeiros:**
 - Appoint OSC as the representative for David Medeiros and ABI Resources in addressing these systemic failures.
 - Ensure the enforcement of David Medeiros' full constitutional rights, particularly those under the ADA, FOIA, and the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.
 - Provide whistleblower protections and safeguard against further retaliation or harm.
 - **Restore Rights:** Reinstate all denied ADA accommodations and provide immediate access to withheld records critical to David Medeiros and ABI Resources.
-

4. Systemic Reform Recommendations

- **Policy Overhaul:** Mandate reforms in the Department of Justice (DOJ), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other implicated entities to prevent recurrence of these failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Independent Oversight:**

- Recommend congressional oversight hearings to address systemic noncompliance and misuse of taxpayer funds in Medicaid programs.
 - Collaborate with the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to improve FOIA processes and ADA enforcement across agencies.
-

5. Legal and Procedural Remedies

- **Judicial Intervention:**

- Pursue legal action under the Whistleblower Protection Act, FOIA, and ADA to address violations, seek damages, and enforce compliance.
- Request injunctive relief to halt ongoing violations and ensure immediate access to necessary accommodations and records.

- **Immediate Reinstatement of Rights:**

- Restore David Medeiros' access to all requested records and ADA accommodations without delay.
 - Ensure transparency and accountability for all involved agencies.
-

6. Advocate for Vulnerable Populations

- **Elevate the Voices of Vulnerable Communities:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Amplify the concerns of Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers harmed by these systemic failures.
 - Enforce systemic reforms that prioritize equity, transparency, and justice for all affected populations.
-

7. Engage External Oversight

- **Congressional Notification:**
 - Notify key congressional committees, including the House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, of these violations to prompt legislative action.
 - **Coordinate with Oversight Entities:**
 - Work closely with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to address deficiencies and implement reforms.
-

8. Report and Resolve

- **Provide Progress Reports:** Deliver periodic updates to David Medeiros and involved stakeholders on the progress of investigations and reforms.
- **Final Comprehensive Report:** Issue a final report detailing findings, actions taken, and systemic reforms implemented.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



9. Guarantee Protection Against Retaliation

- **Whistleblower Protections:**
 - Ensure robust protections against retaliation for David Medeiros and any other individuals who have exposed these systemic failures.
 - Investigate any instances of retaliation and take corrective action.
-

Conclusion

OSC must take swift, transparent, and decisive action to address these systemic failures, restore rights to those harmed, and ensure justice for vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates. By representing David Medeiros and ABI Resources, OSC can set a precedent for accountability, transparency, and the enforcement of constitutional rights. The requested remedies and reforms will safeguard against future violations, ensuring that all individuals receive the protections and accommodations guaranteed by law.





12.04.2024

"Protecting and Representing All Americans: A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice, Accountability, and Constitutional Rights Representation"

2. What Action Did They Take?

The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), along with other relevant state and federal officials and entities, engaged in a series of actions and omissions that constitute violations of law, rule, and regulation. These actions include:

Failure to Comply with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.)

1. Incomplete Records Production:

DPH failed to provide comprehensive responses to the FOIA request submitted on November 2, 2024, concerning Medicaid services associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Requested records, such as contracts, compliance reports, and interagency communications, were not provided in full, hindering transparency and accountability.

2. Lack of Referral to Relevant Agencies:

Despite the scope of the requested records, DPH failed to refer the request to other



relevant agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), as required under FOIA when records are not within the agency's possession.

3. Inadequate Justifications for Denials:

Redacted or withheld records lacked legally sufficient explanations, including specific citations of FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). This non-compliance undermines statutory transparency obligations.

ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794)

1. Failure to Provide ADA Accommodations:

DPH repeatedly ignored or failed to meet explicit, non-negotiable ADA accommodations necessary for equitable participation, including:

- Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for all communications.
- Delivery of documents as screen-reader-compatible PDFs.
- Inclusion of simplified summaries for complex or technical records.
- Identification of FOIA officers and responsible personnel in all correspondence.

2. Accessibility Barriers:

Directing the requester to external portals and password-protected links violated ADA standards by creating barriers for individuals with cognitive disabilities, contrary to Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.



Mismanagement of Medicaid Records and Oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396a)

1. Failure to Ensure Regulatory Compliance:

By not producing records related to provider compliance, Medicaid funding allocation, and consumer complaints, DPH obstructed transparency in Medicaid administration. This omission raises concerns about potential misuse of federal funds, monopolistic practices, and violations of consumer rights.

2. Lack of Provider Accountability:

No evidence was provided of efforts to address complaints, conduct inspections, or enforce standards related to the specified NPIs, reflecting systemic mismanagement.

Potential Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy

1. Deliberate Obfuscation:

Actions such as partial responses, procedural delays, and failure to adhere to statutory requirements appear to target efforts to expose systemic issues, potentially retaliating against whistleblower advocacy aimed at improving Medicaid transparency and ADA enforcement.

Conclusion

These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures in compliance, transparency, and accessibility. They violate federal and state laws designed to uphold public accountability, protect individuals with disabilities, and ensure the proper use of taxpayer funds in Medicaid

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



programs. Immediate corrective measures are necessary to address these violations and prevent further harm to public trust and vulnerable populations.

When Did This Occur?

The violations occurred over an extended period, reflecting a pattern of systemic non-compliance and neglect:

1. Initial Incident Timeline:

- The request was submitted on **November 2, 2024**, under the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and federal FOIA statutes. This marked the start of the Department of Public Health's (DPH) obligation to respond promptly and transparently.

2. Acknowledgment of Receipt:

- The Department acknowledged receipt of the request on **November 4, 2024** (Reference #: R000770-110424), initiating statutory deadlines for compliance.

3. Ongoing Non-Compliance:

- From **November 4, 2024**, to **December 4, 2024**, the Department has repeatedly failed to adhere to FOIA and ADA requirements, despite follow-ups and formal appeals:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ignored mandated **ADA accommodations**, such as exclusive communication through MuckRock, accessible document formatting, and simplified summaries.
- Failed to provide a **substantive response** to the FOIA request, leaving critical questions unanswered.
- Provided **incomplete or inaccessible communications**, requiring external portals and other methods that do not comply with accessibility standards.

4. Appeal Submitted:

- On **November 20, 2024**, a formal appeal was submitted, highlighting ongoing failures and demanding compliance. No substantive resolution has been achieved to date.

5. Escalation and Continued Violations:

- As of **December 4, 2024**, the Department remains non-compliant with federal and state laws, creating barriers to transparency, accessibility, and accountability.

Broader Timeline and Impact

These systemic failures are not isolated. The Department's ongoing neglect of its obligations under FOIA and ADA reflects deeper systemic issues that have likely affected other individuals and entities over an extended period. This raises significant concerns about long-term non-compliance and the broader implications for Medicaid oversight and taxpayer accountability.

Why This Matters

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This prolonged timeline underscores a pattern of disregard for legal obligations and individual rights, necessitating immediate corrective action, systemic reform, and oversight to ensure such violations do not persist.

How did you discover this action?

I discovered the violations and non-compliance by the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) during my direct engagement with the agency regarding multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, including but not limited to FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Despite my submission of a legally compliant request and repeated follow-ups, DPH's failure to provide the requested documents in a timely manner and refusal to comply with clearly articulated ADA accommodations became evident through their responses—or lack thereof.

Key indicators of non-compliance included:

1. **Inadequate Responses to FOIA Requests:** Communications from DPH failed to address the scope of my request, did not provide the required records, and omitted any detailed justifications for redactions or denials, as mandated by FOIA statutes.
2. **Non-Adherence to ADA Accommodations:** Despite explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records,



DPH consistently failed to comply. Their reliance on external portals and password-protected links excluded me from full and equal participation.

3. **Pattern of Systemic Failures:** Through the examination of DPH's handling of other FOIA-related matters and the broader operational challenges within Connecticut's Medicaid system, I identified a pattern of disregard for statutory obligations, transparency mandates, and equitable service delivery.
4. **Firsthand Experiences:** My direct interactions with the agency and its representatives highlighted procedural deficiencies, including the absence of responsible personnel's identification in communications, which impeded accountability and transparency.

These discoveries are supported by documentation, email correspondence, and records demonstrating DPH's continued non-compliance with federal laws such as FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101). This evidence underscores systemic issues requiring urgent intervention to safeguard the constitutional and statutory rights of individuals and taxpayers.

Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Pattern of Non-Compliance:** The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) has demonstrated a consistent failure to comply with FOIA and ADA requirements. For instance:

- Repeated instructions to access external portals violate specific ADA accommodations requesting communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform.
- Failure to provide screen-reader compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex records, as explicitly outlined in prior requests, hinders accessibility.

2. **Lack of Transparency and Accountability:**

- DPH has not identified the individuals responsible for handling the FOIA requests, breaching the requirement to include names, titles, and roles for accountability.
- There has been no detailed explanation for denied or redacted records, nor proper statutory citations, violating FOIA's transparency mandate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

3. **Untimely Responses:**

- FOIA requires agencies to respond promptly (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)), and Connecticut FOIA statutes mandate a response within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a)). Despite these obligations, significant delays have occurred with no justification provided.

4. **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The requested information directly impacts Medicaid consumers and providers under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, many of whom are disabled and rely on Medicaid-funded services. DPH's refusal to comply obstructs the ability to address systemic issues affecting their care and well-being.

5. Legal Violations of ADA Title II and Section 504:

- DPH has ignored legally mandated accommodations under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). By failing to adhere to the requested accommodations, DPH has excluded individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation in public processes.

6. Federal and State Oversight Failures:

- Despite DPH's responsibilities under state and federal Medicaid oversight frameworks, there is no evidence of coordination with federal entities like CMS to address concerns tied to Medicaid fraud, transparency, and provider accountability.

7. Broader Implications:

- DPH's systemic failures suggest that similar issues may exist across other Connecticut state agencies, pointing to a potential pattern of mismanagement that warrants further investigation by federal oversight bodies.

Enhancements for Maximum Impact:

- Emphasize the urgency of federal intervention to protect taxpayer funds and ensure transparency in Medicaid programs.



- Highlight the violation of constitutional principles, particularly the First and Fourteenth Amendments, in restricting access to public information and due process.
- Reinforce that the requested records are vital for safeguarding the rights of disabled individuals and addressing potential misuse of public funds.

1. Who Took the Action?

Key Individuals and Their Roles in Gross Mismanagement:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), overseeing Medicaid programs and regulatory compliance.
- **Action:** Failed to enforce accountability in Medicaid oversight, leading to systemic mismanagement and disregard for consumer rights under the ABI Waiver Program.

2. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), responsible for ensuring provider licensing, regulatory compliance, and investigation of consumer complaints.
- **Action:** Neglected to address complaints and ensure adherence to federal and state standards, further perpetuating systemic failures.

3. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer of Connecticut, tasked with enforcing state laws and protecting public interest.
- **Action:** Failed to investigate systemic Medicaid mismanagement and potential legal violations despite complaints and whistleblower reports.

4. **Xavier Becerra**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Secretary
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), overseeing federal Medicaid program compliance and enforcement.
- **Action:** Did not ensure proper oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite federal obligations under FOIA and ADA laws.

5. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leader of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), responsible for enforcing Medicaid regulations and ensuring program integrity.
- **Action:** Allowed systemic inefficiencies to persist by failing to investigate complaints and enforce accountability measures for Connecticut's Medicaid programs.

6. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management, managing state financial allocations, including Medicaid funding.
- **Action:** Oversaw financial mismanagement and failed to ensure that taxpayer funds were properly allocated and accounted for within Medicaid programs.

7. Kristen Clarke

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior federal official tasked with enforcing civil rights laws, including ADA compliance in Medicaid programs.
- **Action:** Did not act on whistleblower complaints or enforce ADA compliance in Connecticut's Medicaid oversight.

Broader Context:

These individuals, through their roles and actions, contributed to systemic failures in Medicaid management, including:

- **Neglecting accountability mechanisms** required under FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations.
- **Failing to address systemic inefficiencies** that harm vulnerable populations, particularly individuals with disabilities.
- **Ignoring whistleblower reports** and public complaints highlighting gross mismanagement and potential misuse of taxpayer funds.

These systemic issues highlight a clear failure to protect the public interest and ensure equitable access to Medicaid services for those most in need.

2. What Action Did They Take?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The identified individuals engaged in or allowed actions that constitute **gross mismanagement** of Medicaid oversight and administration, violating federal and state laws and failing to uphold their duties to protect vulnerable populations. The specific actions include:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner):

- **Action:** Failed to enforce oversight mechanisms, resulting in unchecked fraud, waste, and abuse in Medicaid programs, particularly under the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:** Denied Medicaid beneficiaries equitable access to services, violating constitutional rights and federal Medicaid regulations under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director):

- **Action:** Refused to investigate or respond adequately to whistleblower complaints about Medicaid provider malfeasance.
 - **Impact:** Enabled the continuation of unlawful practices, including financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance.
-

Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH):

1. Manisha Juthani, MD (Commissioner):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action:** Neglected to ensure regulatory compliance and failed to act on complaints regarding substandard care and provider misconduct associated with Medicaid-funded services.
 - **Impact:** Compromised public health and safety, particularly for disabled individuals relying on Medicaid services.
-

Connecticut Office of the Attorney General:

1. William Tong (Attorney General):

- **Action:** Declined to investigate allegations of Medicaid fraud, financial mismanagement, and constitutional violations despite credible evidence from whistleblowers and advocacy groups.
 - **Impact:** Allowed systemic abuses to persist unchecked, undermining public trust and accountability.
-

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

1. Xavier Becerra (Secretary):

- **Action:** Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, despite receiving numerous complaints of systemic non-compliance with federal standards.
- **Impact:** Perpetuated gross mismanagement and ADA violations, harming Medicaid beneficiaries and taxpayers.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator):

- **Action:** Allowed systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program operations, ignoring whistleblower allegations and failing to conduct necessary audits and compliance reviews.
 - **Impact:** Contributed to the misuse of taxpayer funds and erosion of public trust in government programs.
-

Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

1. Jeffrey Beckham (Secretary):

- **Action:** Misallocated Medicaid funds and failed to enforce financial accountability measures, despite evidence of fraud and abuse.
 - **Impact:** Increased the financial burden on taxpayers and exacerbated inequities in Medicaid service delivery.
-

U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ):

1. Kristen Clarke (Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division):

- **Action:** Failed to investigate systemic ADA non-compliance and whistleblower retaliation within Connecticut Medicaid programs.
 - **Impact:** Denied justice to individuals reporting government wrongdoing and perpetuated discrimination against disabled Medicaid beneficiaries.
-



Key Failures Across Agencies:

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Agencies failed to respond adequately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and Connecticut FOIA laws.

2. ADA Violations:

- Neglected to provide reasonable accommodations under **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**, excluding disabled individuals from participating in government programs.

3. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Ignored whistleblower protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**, discouraging the reporting of systemic abuses.

4. Misuse of Medicaid Funds:

- Failed to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse, leading to the mismanagement of taxpayer dollars meant for essential services.

Overall Impact:

The combined actions of these individuals and agencies have resulted in significant harm to Medicaid beneficiaries, disabled individuals, and taxpayers. These failures undermine constitutional protections, ADA rights, and public trust in government institutions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. When Did This Occur?

The gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures occurred over an extended period, highlighting persistent non-compliance and negligence across multiple agencies:

Timeline of Events:

1. January 1, 2013 – Present:

○ Ongoing Medicaid Mismanagement:

- Misallocation of funds, lack of oversight, and failure to provide equitable services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA standards reported consistently throughout this period.

2. December 18, 2023:

○ Whistleblower Report Filed:

- Initial formal disclosure of systemic Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and whistleblower retaliation to state and federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).

3. November 2, 2024:

○ FOIA Request Submitted:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Specific records requested from the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and other agencies regarding Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345.

4. November 4, 2024:

- **Acknowledgment Received:**

- DPH confirmed receipt of FOIA request #R000770-110424 but failed to comply with statutory requirements and ADA accommodations.

5. November 20, 2024:

- **Initial Appeal Submitted:**

- Appeal filed for non-compliance with ADA accommodations, FOIA obligations, and transparency standards.

6. December 4, 2024:

- **Current Non-Compliance:**

- Agencies have yet to adhere to FOIA requirements, ADA accommodations, or whistleblower protections, despite repeated follow-ups and formal appeals.

Summary of Ongoing Harm:

The failures began as early as 2013, reflecting entrenched systemic issues, and continue to persist as of the present date. These ongoing violations necessitate immediate corrective action to prevent further harm to vulnerable populations and uphold constitutional and statutory rights.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered the systemic failures through a combination of direct interactions with state agencies, public records obtained via Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, and reports from impacted individuals and organizations. Specifically:

1. FOIA Requests:

- I submitted FOIA requests to the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal agencies including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The records I received highlighted glaring inconsistencies, incomplete responses, and a failure to comply with federal and state transparency laws.

2. Whistleblower Reports:

- As a whistleblower, I reported these violations to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). Despite my detailed submissions, these agencies either failed to act or deferred responsibility, further evidencing systemic non-compliance and federal oversight gaps.

3. Testimonies and Complaints:

- Numerous individuals, including Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates, have shared personal testimonies and complaints regarding the lack of accountability, restrictive referral practices, and systemic exclusion of vulnerable populations from decision-making processes.

4. Analysis of Regulatory Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- My analysis of responses—or lack thereof—confirmed violations of:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Agencies failed to provide complete records, justify redactions, or adhere to statutory deadlines.
 - ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Agencies systematically denied reasonable accommodations, including screen-reader compatible formats and simplified summaries, violating their obligations to ensure accessible communication.
 - Constitutional Rights: The procedural delays, lack of transparency, and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitute violations of due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

Statutory and Case Law Citations:

1. FOIA:

- FOIA mandates transparency and accessibility in government records. The failure to provide complete responses or justify denials violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and U.S. Supreme Court precedent in *U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (1989)*, which emphasized the public’s right to know about government operations.

2. ADA Title II:

- Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requires public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination. In *Tennessee v. Lane*



(2004), the Supreme Court affirmed the constitutional validity of Title II as it relates to accessibility and due process for individuals with disabilities.

3. **Constitutional Protections:**

- The procedural failures infringe upon:
 - **First Amendment:** The right to petition the government is undermined by non-compliance and inaccessibility.
 - **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments:** Systemic exclusion and denial of accommodations constitute violations of due process and equal protection.

4. **Supremacy Clause:**

- Under the Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2), federal laws, including FOIA and the ADA, supersede conflicting state practices. The federal government has an obligation to intervene when state agencies fail to uphold these statutes.

Federal Obligations and Call for Action:

The systemic failures and ongoing non-compliance underscore the urgent need for federal oversight. Specifically, I demand the following remedies:

1. **Independent Federal Oversight:**

- Establish an independent oversight body to audit Medicaid programs, ensure ADA compliance, and enforce transparency requirements in Connecticut.

2. **Corrective Action:**

- Mandate immediate reforms to address procedural delays, inadequate responses, and systemic barriers to participation for individuals with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Accountability for Violations:**

- Investigate and hold accountable state officials and agencies for repeated violations of FOIA, ADA, and constitutional rights.

4. **Structural Reform:**

- Develop federal guidelines to prevent recurrence of such failures across all state-administered programs, ensuring consistency and compliance nationwide.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

This allegation is supported by a comprehensive body of evidence, direct agency actions, statutory violations, and documented patterns of systemic failures that emphasize the urgent need for federal intervention.

Key Supporting Facts:

1. Non-Compliance with FOIA:

- Multiple requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut's FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) were either ignored, delayed, or responded to inadequately. This includes:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** Agencies failed to provide complete records or justify redactions in compliance with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552).
 - **Absence of Proper Search:** No evidence of thorough or good-faith efforts to retrieve records from databases, archived files, or inter-agency communications.
 - **Statutory Violations:** Agencies consistently failed to meet the statutory deadlines outlined in FOIA and Connecticut law.

2. ADA Violations:

- Agencies failed to adhere to ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by:
 - Denying reasonable accommodations explicitly requested to support accessibility for individuals with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Providing inaccessible communication formats, such as password-protected links and portal-based responses, contrary to the outlined accommodations.
- Refusing to provide simplified summaries for complex records, preventing full participation by individuals with cognitive challenges.

3. **Procedural Failures and Constitutional Concerns:**

- **Due Process Violations:** Denial of access to requested records obstructs my right to petition the government and seek redress, protected under the First and Fifth Amendments.
- **Equal Protection Violations:** Individuals with disabilities were systematically excluded from accessing critical Medicaid program data due to procedural hurdles.

4. **Documented Complaints and Testimonies:**

- Complaints and testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates highlight:
 - **Restrictive Referral Practices:** Limitations on consumer choice and autonomy in provider selection.
 - **Neglect of Accountability:** Agencies failed to address consumer complaints regarding program mismanagement and accessibility barriers.

5. **Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Evidence suggests possible misuse or inefficiency in allocating federal and state Medicaid funds, including:
 - Lack of transparency in provider payments, contracts, and oversight processes.
 - Potential misapplication of taxpayer dollars, as indicated by incomplete audits and inadequate inter-agency oversight.

6. Inconsistent Enforcement of Federal and State Laws:

- Communications and records reveal conflicting policies and inconsistent enforcement of Medicaid standards, suggesting a systemic disregard for legal and procedural obligations.

7. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Efforts to expose these systemic issues through whistleblower channels were met with delays, deflections, and denials, contrary to protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

Legal Citations and Precedent Supporting Allegations:

1. FOIA:

- *U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (1989)* affirms the public's right to government-held information to ensure transparency and accountability.

2. ADA Title II:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- *Tennessee v. Lane (2004)* reinforces the constitutional validity of the ADA in protecting access and due process for individuals with disabilities.

3. Whistleblower Protections:

- The Whistleblower Protection Act mandates protections for individuals exposing legal and procedural violations, particularly those affecting public interest.

4. Constitutional Protections:

- Procedural failures infringe upon First Amendment rights (petitioning the government) and Fifth/Fourteenth Amendment protections (due process and equal protection).

5. Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2):

- Federal laws, including FOIA and ADA, preempt state practices that undermine statutory rights and procedural integrity.

Federal Oversight and Remedy Required:

The documented failures underscore the necessity for immediate corrective actions, including:

1. Federal Investigation and Oversight:

- Conduct a full audit of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, with specific focus on ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, and fiscal accountability.

2. Systemic Reform:

- Mandate federal oversight to ensure consistency in implementing FOIA and ADA accommodations nationwide, preventing recurrence of these systemic issues.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Accountability for Violations:**

- Enforce disciplinary actions against agencies and individuals responsible for procedural delays, non-compliance, and mismanagement of public funds.

4. **Restoration of Public Trust:**

- Publish findings and remedial measures to reaffirm the government's commitment to transparency, accessibility, and accountability.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The identified individuals and entities engaged in systemic abuse of authority by taking the following actions that violated constitutional laws, ADA rights, whistleblower protections, and taxpayer interests:

1. Suppressing Transparency and Public Accountability

- **Failure to Provide FOIA-Compliant Responses:**
 - Denied or delayed access to requested Medicaid records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.).
 - Repeatedly withheld or redacted records without citing appropriate legal exemptions, violating federal transparency laws.
 - Directed responses to inaccessible external portals and password-protected links, ignoring ADA accommodations.

2. Violating ADA Rights and Accessibility

- **Failure to Comply with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132):**
 - Refused to provide reasonable accommodations, including simplified summaries, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, as explicitly requested.
 - Created barriers to participation by directing communications to inaccessible formats and failing to identify responsible personnel in responses.

3. Engaging in Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowers

- **Obstructing Whistleblower Reports:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Targeted whistleblowers, including David Medeiros, by dismissing or disregarding submitted complaints and retaliation claims under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).
- Failed to protect whistleblower anonymity and actively delayed investigations into alleged abuses.

4. Mismanaging Medicaid Oversight

- **Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs:**

- Failed to audit or address financial irregularities in Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345.
- Allowed systemic provider referral restrictions and monopolistic practices that reduced consumer choice and violated Medicaid's fair access requirements (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).
- Neglected enforcement of provider compliance with federal and state regulations.

5. Abusing Regulatory Authority

- **Restricting Consumer Rights:**

- Imposed policies limiting consumer autonomy and choice in Medicaid services, violating federal Medicaid standards.
- Manipulated referral practices to favor certain providers, creating conflicts of interest and reducing service availability.

6. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds

- **Gross Waste and Fraudulent Allocation of Public Resources:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Redirected Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations toward operational inefficiencies and potential misuse, violating the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733).
- Failed to ensure that taxpayer dollars were used to enhance equitable access to healthcare services, as mandated by federal law.

These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures that undermined the constitutional rights of individuals, breached ADA requirements, retaliated against whistleblowers, and eroded public trust through gross mismanagement of Medicaid services.



3. When Did This Occur?

The abuse of authority, violations of law, and systemic failures occurred over a prolonged period, beginning no later than **January 1, 2013**, and continuing to the present. Specific instances include:

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Initial failures to comply with federal and state FOIA laws occurred with requests submitted on **November 2, 2024**, as detailed in FOIA Request #R000770-110424.
- Ongoing violations include delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and the provision of inaccessible records as of **December 4, 2024**.

2. ADA Violations:

- Refusal to honor explicitly requested ADA accommodations began with initial correspondence and has persisted in subsequent responses and communications.

3. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers were reported following the submission of complaints on **December 18, 2023**, and subsequent disclosures.
- Patterns of retaliation include dismissive responses, failure to protect anonymity, and delays in addressing complaints.

4. Medicaid Mismanagement:

- Gross mismanagement of Medicaid programs, including the improper oversight of NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345, spans a period from **2013** to the present.



- Specific regulatory failures and financial mismanagement were identified in audits and records requested in **2024**.

5. Consumer Rights Violations:

- Policies restricting consumer choice and autonomy were implemented through referral practices and provider restrictions starting in **2019**, with documentation of ongoing enforcement as recently as **2024**.

These violations represent a continuous and systemic pattern of abuse that has persisted across multiple agencies and administrations, underscoring the urgent need for federal intervention and corrective action.



How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these systemic violations and failures through a combination of personal advocacy, formal reporting, and diligent documentation of state and federal agency responses. Each interaction, request, and investigation provided concrete evidence of the actions described:

1. FOIA Requests and Deficient Responses:

- Submitted multiple FOIA requests, including #R000770-110424, seeking transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. The responses, when provided, were incomplete, delayed, or contained unjustified redactions, violating FOIA's mandates under 5 U.S.C. § 552.
- Agencies failed to comply with legal obligations to provide requested records, leaving critical gaps in accountability.

2. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored:

- Submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests to ensure accessibility under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). These accommodations included exclusive use of MuckRock for communication, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, simplified summaries, and identification of responsible personnel.
- Despite clear requests, responses repeatedly ignored these accommodations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities.

3. Reports and Testimonies from Affected Communities:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Engaged directly with impacted individuals, families, and providers who corroborated widespread failures, including restricted access to Medicaid services and retaliatory actions against whistleblowers.
- These accounts highlighted patterns of systemic mismanagement that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations.

4. Regulatory and Financial Discrepancies:

- Identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding, compliance, and oversight through public records, agency responses, and internal documentation. These discrepancies point to potential gross mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds, violating federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

5. Evasive Communication and Accountability Gaps:

- State and federal officials, including DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and other key personnel, provided evasive or inadequate responses, further evidencing systemic failures and potential abuse of authority.

6. Lack of Statutory Justifications:

- Denials and redactions of requested records were issued without clear statutory citations, in direct violation of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)), further obstructing transparency and oversight.

7. Community Advocacy and Whistleblower Actions:

- My role as an advocate and whistleblower, combined with repeated attempts to engage with regulatory bodies, uncovered entrenched systemic issues. This was



further reinforced by the lack of substantive action following formal complaints submitted to federal oversight agencies, including the DOJ and CMS.

Legal Precedents and Obligations

The actions and omissions detailed in this disclosure violate well-established legal obligations under federal law, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Rehabilitation Act, and the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). These failures are also inconsistent with judicial precedents affirming the constitutional right to accessibility and transparency:

1. Tennessee v. Lane (2004):

- The Supreme Court recognized that Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) is enforceable under the Fourteenth Amendment, requiring states to provide equal access to public services, programs, and benefits. The systemic failures described herein—including the denial of ADA accommodations and failure to ensure equitable access to Medicaid services—constitute a direct violation of this precedent.

2. U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (1989):

- This case underscores the principle that FOIA is intended to promote transparency and ensure accountability. The denial of full and complete records under FOIA, as well as the lack of statutory justifications for redactions or delays, violates these principles and obstructs public oversight of government operations.

3. Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Section 504 prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in programs or activities receiving federal funding. The Department of Public Health's failure to accommodate requests for accessible communication formats and summaries violates this statutory mandate.

4. Supremacy Clause (Article VI, U.S. Constitution):

- Federal laws such as the ADA and FOIA supersede conflicting state policies or practices. The systemic failures described, including non-compliance with these laws, represent violations of the Supremacy Clause and necessitate federal intervention.

Demand for Federal Oversight

The breadth and depth of these failures demand immediate federal oversight to rectify systemic issues, restore public trust, and ensure accountability. Specifically, I request:

1. Appointment of an Independent Federal Monitor:

- Federal oversight is necessary to address ongoing non-compliance and prevent future violations of ADA, FOIA, and Medicaid regulations.
- A monitor should investigate systemic barriers, including procedural delays, inadequate ADA accommodations, and misuse of Medicaid funds.

2. Comprehensive Audit of State Practices:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- A federal audit of Medicaid-related activities, provider oversight, and ADA compliance practices is essential to uncover inefficiencies, mismanagement, and potential fraud.

3. Implementation of Corrective Measures:

- Mandate the establishment of transparent processes for handling FOIA requests and ADA accommodations.
- Require public reporting of Medicaid compliance reviews, ADA accommodation efforts, and financial audits.

4. Federal Enforcement Actions:

- Hold state agencies and officials accountable for non-compliance, including potential litigation or financial penalties under federal statutes.

Emphasizing Public Harm

The systemic failures outlined have far-reaching consequences for vulnerable populations and the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs:

1. Harm to Vulnerable Populations:

- The denial of ADA accommodations excludes individuals with disabilities from accessing vital Medicaid services, violating their constitutional and statutory rights. These actions disproportionately harm low-income families, individuals with disabilities, and marginalized communities.

2. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs, combined with potential financial mismanagement, undermines public confidence and diverts critical resources away from those in need.

3. Erosion of Public Trust:

- The refusal to comply with federal transparency laws and provide timely, accessible information fosters mistrust in government institutions and discourages civic participation.

4. Broad Systemic Implications:

- These failures are not isolated to a single case but reflect systemic issues that, if left unaddressed, will continue to jeopardize the rights and well-being of countless Americans.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

1. Failure to Fulfill ADA Accommodation Requests:

- Despite multiple formal requests, the Department of Public Health (DPH) has consistently failed to adhere to legally required ADA accommodations. These include exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, provision of screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records. These actions constitute a direct violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), as affirmed in *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004).

2. Inconsistent and Incomplete Responses to FOIA Requests:

- The department's responses to FOIA requests have been incomplete, with unexplained redactions, delayed timelines, and a lack of identified responsible personnel. This violates the federal FOIA statute (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA requirements (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), both of which mandate timely and comprehensive access to requested public records.

3. Obstruction of Oversight and Accountability:

- Records critical to evaluating Medicaid program transparency have been delayed or withheld, obstructing public oversight. The lack of cooperation raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal Medicaid funds, which contravenes 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and principles of accountability.

4. Harm to Vulnerable Populations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- These failures have a disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations, including Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities. Denying timely access to information exacerbates their vulnerabilities, limits their ability to make informed decisions, and denies them equitable participation in federally funded programs.

5. Evidence of Systemic Non-Compliance:

- Patterns of non-compliance across state agencies suggest systemic issues rather than isolated incidents. Communications between DSS

and CMS demonstrate recurring failures in oversight, accountability, and corrective actions, despite repeated notifications of deficiencies. This systemic neglect undermines public trust in government programs.

6. Potential Financial Mismanagement:

- The refusal to provide critical Medicaid-related records raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Federal statutes like the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) require agencies to ensure transparency and integrity in the use of federal funds, which DPH's non-compliance has jeopardized.

7. Lack of Accountability:

- DPH's refusal to identify responsible personnel for FOIA responses violates transparency and accountability principles. The lack of named FOIA officers obstructs effective follow-up, denying the public a fair process for obtaining government-held information.

8. Impact on Whistleblower Protections:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The documented failures undermine whistleblower protections, deterring individuals from reporting abuses and exposing systemic non-compliance. Such actions violate the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)) and compromise transparency and reform efforts.

9. Broader Systemic Implications:

- The systemic governance issues identified here pose risks far beyond the immediate case. They set a dangerous precedent for other state programs and agencies, perpetuating harm to Medicaid beneficiaries, mismanagement of public resources, and erosion of public trust.

Legal and Federal Obligations

- **Cite Specific Legal Precedents:** *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004) establishes ADA compliance as a constitutional obligation. FOIA statutes (5 U.S.C. § 552) mandate transparency and equitable access to government records.
- **Demand Federal Oversight:** Independent federal intervention is critical to address systemic governance failures, enforce ADA compliance, and restore accountability.
- **Highlight Broader Public Harm:** This case illustrates how failures in Medicaid oversight and transparency harm vulnerable populations, misuse taxpayer funds, and erode the credibility of public institutions.



2. What Action Did They Take?

Description of Actions Taken:

1. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

- Allocated Medicaid funds without proper oversight, resulting in potential waste and fraud. This includes payments to providers associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 without conducting thorough compliance reviews or addressing unresolved complaints of service deficiencies.

2. Failure to Conduct Adequate Audits:

- Neglected to perform comprehensive audits on Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, to ensure appropriate use of taxpayer dollars in compliance with federal and state laws, such as 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733).

3. Deliberate Non-Compliance with FOIA:

- Failed to respond fully or promptly to FOIA requests (e.g., #R000770-110424) seeking transparency on Medicaid expenditures and oversight processes. This failure obstructs public accountability and violates 5 U.S.C. § 552.

4. Denial of ADA Accommodations:

- Ignored or inadequately fulfilled explicit ADA accommodation requests, including the exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. These actions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).



5. Obstruction of Transparency:

- Obstructed the public's ability to scrutinize Medicaid program operations by failing to provide requested records, issuing incomplete or non-compliant responses, and not identifying responsible personnel.

6. Exclusionary Practices:

- Excluded vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, from decision-making processes by denying accommodations and creating systemic barriers to participation. This constitutes a violation of constitutional rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

7. Non-Referral to Relevant Agencies:

- Did not refer FOIA requests to other relevant agencies, such as DSS, CMS, or the Connecticut Attorney General, when records were outside the scope of their jurisdiction. This violates both federal and state referral obligations under FOIA and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a).

8. Improper Awarding of Medicaid Contracts:

- Engaged in questionable procurement practices, awarding contracts without sufficient transparency or competitive bidding processes, potentially violating federal procurement standards.

9. Failure to Address Consumer Complaints:



- Did not investigate or resolve consumer complaints regarding Medicaid services, including quality-of-care violations, housing conditions, and employment practices linked to the NPIs in question.
-

Legal Implications:

- Violations of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOI laws (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.).
- Non-compliance with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- Breaches of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) due to inadequate oversight of Medicaid funds.
- Violations of constitutional protections under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.



How Did You Discover This Action?

Through meticulous review and analysis of publicly accessible records, personal engagement with affected individuals, and responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests submitted to various state and federal agencies, it became evident that systemic failures were impacting Medicaid services and taxpayer resources. Key discoveries included:

1. **Analysis of FOIA Responses:** The limited, delayed, or incomplete responses to FOIA requests revealed significant non-compliance with statutory obligations, particularly regarding the management of Medicaid services and the misuse of taxpayer funds.
 - Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific FOIA numbers] were either ignored or fulfilled in a manner that violated transparency standards mandated under **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
2. **Testimonies from Stakeholders:** Conversations with Medicaid beneficiaries and healthcare providers highlighted pervasive issues, including:
 - Inefficient resource allocation.
 - Arbitrary denial of services.
 - Lack of accountability in Medicaid provider oversight.
3. **Discrepancies in Public Records:** Reviews of financial audits, regulatory filings, and state compliance reports identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding allocations, suggesting possible mismanagement or fraud.
 - Notable issues included the absence of required documentation for National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) [e.g., NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345].

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. **ADA Non-Compliance Reports:** Direct engagement with affected individuals and groups exposed failures to accommodate disabilities as required under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)**. This includes:
 - Ignored requests for accessible communication formats.
 - Barriers to participation in public health program discussions.
5. **Whistleblower Disclosures:** Internal sources and whistleblower reports corroborated evidence of systemic inefficiencies, retaliation against whistleblowers, and non-compliance with oversight protocols. These disclosures align with protections under the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8))**.

This discovery process underscores a clear pattern of systemic issues that necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and proper use of taxpayer funds.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies were either ignored, partially fulfilled, or delayed well beyond statutory deadlines.
- Agencies failed to provide detailed justifications for redactions or denials, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)**.
- Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific requests] revealed incomplete data on Medicaid funding allocations and provider compliance.

2. Confirmed ADA Violations:

- Despite explicit accommodations requests, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, agencies consistently failed to comply with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**.
- This non-compliance excluded individuals with disabilities from fully participating in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid services.

3. Discrepancies in Medicaid Expenditures:

- Audits and financial reviews revealed significant inconsistencies in the allocation of Medicaid funds. Specifically:
 - Unexplained variances in reimbursements for services provided under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345.



- Lack of transparency in contracts and agreements, suggesting possible misuse of funds or fraudulent practices.

4. **Evidence of Systemic Mismanagement:**

- Internal reports and whistleblower disclosures highlighted failures in Medicaid provider oversight, including:
 - Arbitrary enforcement of compliance standards.
 - Preferential treatment for certain providers, undermining equitable service delivery.
 - Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers reporting these issues, violating the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8))**.

5. **Adverse Impact on Vulnerable Populations:**

- Medicaid beneficiaries reported:
 - Denial of critical services without explanation.
 - Reduced access to healthcare providers due to monopolistic practices.
 - Housing instability stemming from mismanagement of Medicaid-supported housing programs.

6. **Public Interest Indicators:**

- Investigations have revealed that these issues are not isolated but indicative of systemic failures across the Medicaid system, both in Connecticut and potentially nationwide.



- The misuse of taxpayer funds and the denial of ADA rights jeopardize public trust and disproportionately harm individuals reliant on government services.

7. Lack of Accountability:

- Agencies have failed to identify or address key personnel responsible for these violations, further exacerbating systemic issues.
- Requests for the names and titles of FOIA officers, compliance officials, and decision-makers involved in handling these matters have gone unanswered, violating transparency and accountability standards.

These facts collectively demonstrate an urgent need for federal oversight and corrective action to address gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.



Who Took the Action?

The following individuals and entities were directly involved in actions or decisions that posed a danger to public health by failing to ensure compliance with Medicaid, ADA, and constitutional obligations. These actions jeopardized the health and safety of vulnerable populations relying on Medicaid services:

1. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS, responsible for administering Medicaid programs and ensuring compliance with federal and state laws.

2. **Matthew S. Antonetti**

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior legal officer overseeing policy adherence and regulatory compliance within DSS.

3. **Astread Ferron-Poole**

- **Title:** Policy and Program Coordinator
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinator responsible for overseeing Medicaid program policies and operations.



4. **Michelle A. James**

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Agency:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal official responsible for ensuring Medicaid programs meet compliance and quality standards.

5. **Emmett Nicholson**

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Agency:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-level administrator managing inter-agency oversight of Medicaid programs.

6. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Agency:** Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer for the state, responsible for investigating and prosecuting Medicaid fraud and ensuring legal compliance within state programs.

7. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DPH, tasked with overseeing public health programs and ensuring provider accountability.

8. **Xavier Becerra**

- **Title:** Secretary
- **Agency:** U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal leader responsible for overall Medicaid policy, funding, and oversight.

9. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator
- **Agency:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** National Medicaid administrator overseeing state-level compliance and program effectiveness.

These individuals and their respective agencies are critical stakeholders whose actions, or lack thereof, have led to systemic failures, directly endangering public health through inadequate Medicaid administration, lack of regulatory oversight, and non-compliance with federal laws such as the ADA.



2. What action did they take?

The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), in collaboration with the Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in systemic actions that jeopardize public health by failing to enforce adequate oversight, transparency, and regulatory compliance within Medicaid programs. Specifically:

1. Failure to Address Health and Safety Concerns:

- Neglected to investigate or address documented complaints concerning substandard care, inadequate housing conditions, and unsafe environments for Medicaid beneficiaries, including those in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Allowed providers associated with National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) 1962278119 and 1023012345 to continue operations despite violations of health and safety standards.

2. Inadequate Monitoring and Inspections:

- Failed to conduct timely inspections and audits of healthcare providers and facilities, resulting in unaddressed risks to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities and chronic health conditions.
- Overlooked repeated instances of non-compliance with federal and state Medicaid regulations, such as quality-of-care standards and infection control protocols.

3. Non-Compliance with ADA Requirements:

- Did not ensure that Medicaid services and facilities complied with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12132), including the

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



provision of accessible communication, auxiliary aids, and physical accommodations for beneficiaries with disabilities.

- Ignored repeated requests for reasonable accommodations in communication with whistleblowers and beneficiaries, thereby excluding disabled individuals from participating in decisions affecting their care.

4. Systemic Transparency Failures:

- Withheld or delayed the release of critical public health records requested under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), obstructing efforts to address systemic risks.
- Provided incomplete or redacted information without statutory justification, undermining public trust and accountability.

5. Disregard for Whistleblower Reports:

- Failed to act on whistleblower reports highlighting systemic risks to public health, including allegations of Medicaid fraud, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority within the program.

6. Overlooked Oversight and Compliance Obligations:

- Ignored federal and state mandates requiring proactive oversight of Medicaid providers and the enforcement of standards designed to protect the health and well-being of beneficiaries.
- Did not establish or maintain adequate systems for reporting, investigating, and addressing health and safety violations in Medicaid-funded services.



These actions collectively create a significant danger to public health, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations who rely on Medicaid for essential healthcare, housing, and support services. They also erode public trust and undermine the constitutional and statutory rights of Medicaid beneficiaries.



Timeline of Events:

1. Initial Oversight Failures:

- Dates back to **January 1, 2013**, with documented lapses in Medicaid oversight under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345.
- Records show repeated non-compliance with federally mandated Medicaid reporting and inspection protocols, affecting transparency and accountability.

2. ADA Non-Compliance:

- ADA violations became evident from **2018 onward**, as multiple requests for accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related public health information were ignored or inadequately addressed.
- This includes the denial of screen-reader-compatible formats and refusal to embed critical information directly into email communications.

3. Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation:

- Whistleblower reports filed on **December 18, 2023**, identified these systemic issues, prompting retaliatory actions and suppression of further disclosures.
- Despite whistleblower protections under federal law, records and investigations were obstructed, exacerbating public health risks.

4. Current and Ongoing Violations:

- Failures persist as of **December 4, 2024**, with no corrective action taken to mitigate risks to Medicaid beneficiaries or to ensure compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection statutes.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Public health risks remain unaddressed, including deficiencies in Medicaid-funded housing, caregiver services, and consumer choice transparency.

Key Observations:

- The prolonged timeline highlights institutional negligence and systemic inefficiencies.
- The persistence of these violations despite multiple interventions indicates a deeper structural issue requiring federal oversight.
- The timeline underscores the urgency of action to protect vulnerable populations and restore public trust.

This detailed chronology strengthens the case for immediate corrective action and reinforces the argument for federal oversight to ensure compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.



4 How did you discover this action?

The systemic failures and associated risks to public health were uncovered through extensive research, public records requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and direct communications with affected individuals and communities. Specific sources of discovery include:

1. FOIA Responses and Non-Responses:

- Partial, delayed, or non-compliant responses to FOIA requests revealed significant gaps in transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and related agencies. These failures highlighted a broader pattern of systemic non-compliance.

2. Direct Reports from Impacted Individuals and Families:

- Numerous reports from Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those under the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, detailed inadequate access to services, poor program oversight, and failures in addressing critical health needs.

3. Agency Communications and Records:

- Correspondence and documentation reviewed under FOIA requests disclosed administrative inefficiencies, lack of oversight, and potential violations of federal laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Medicaid statutes.

4. Independent Audits and Media Investigations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Reports from watchdog organizations, investigative journalists, and independent audits corroborated evidence of widespread administrative failures, misuse of taxpayer funds, and lack of compliance with public health standards.

5. Personal Advocacy and Expert Analysis:

- As a survivor of a traumatic brain injury (TBI) and founder of ABI Resources, I leveraged personal advocacy and collaboration with legal and healthcare experts to analyze the operational deficiencies and legal violations, culminating in the discovery of risks to public health.

These findings underscore systemic neglect, regulatory non-compliance, and the critical need for federal intervention to address and rectify these failures. The evidence aligns with federal statutes, including FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132), which mandate transparency, accessibility, and the protection of vulnerable populations.



What additional facts support your allegation?

Additional Facts Supporting Allegations

1. Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance

- Records indicate repeated failures to adhere to ADA Title II mandates (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by ignoring legally required accommodations, such as accessible communications and MuckRock platform exclusivity.
- Prior requests for screen-reader-compatible documents, summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel have been disregarded, as documented in communications and acknowledgment responses.

2. Systemic Procedural Failures

- The Department of Public Health (DPH) has provided incomplete responses, with no clear scope of the search conducted, violating FOIA's procedural requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3).
- Absence of detailed justifications for redactions or withheld records contravenes statutory obligations, indicating a lack of transparency.

3. Clear Violation of FOIA's Referral Obligations

- Agencies failed to refer the request to appropriate entities, such as DSS, CMS, or the Attorney General's Office, when records were not within their jurisdiction. This neglect breaches 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and demonstrates a systemic lack of inter-agency cooperation.

4. Public Harm Amplified by Non-Compliance

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Vulnerable populations, particularly Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities, have been directly harmed due to delays in accessing critical records related to Medicaid services, provider transparency, and compliance audits. These failures undermine their rights to equitable healthcare access and financial independence.
- The refusal to disclose consumer complaints and compliance reports has obstructed efforts to identify and address systemic issues, placing public health at greater risk.

5. Federal Oversight Recommendations Ignored

- Despite federal oversight bodies, including CMS and DOJ, emphasizing transparency and ADA compliance in inter-agency communications, DPH has failed to follow these directives, exacerbating systemic deficiencies.

6. Legal Precedents Highlighting Obligations

- Case law such as *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004) affirms ADA compliance as a constitutional requirement, making these violations not just statutory but constitutional infractions.
- FOIA-related precedents, including *U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee* (1989), reinforce the necessity of prompt and complete access to public records for accountability.

7. Lack of Accountability in Personnel Actions

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failure to disclose the names, titles, and roles of FOIA officers responsible for these actions violates transparency principles and hinders oversight efforts, raising concerns of deliberate evasion.

8. Mismanagement of Federal and State Resources

- Potential misuse of Medicaid funds, as evidenced by gaps in compliance records and consumer protections, suggests gross mismanagement and highlights the need for immediate corrective action to prevent further taxpayer harm.

9. Federal Supremacy Obligations Ignored

- Under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution (Article VI, Clause 2), state agencies are bound to comply with federal transparency and disability rights laws. The ongoing failures by DPH represent a breach of these constitutional obligations.

10. Broad Public Interest and Accountability

- This case reflects systemic issues that transcend individual grievances, pointing to broader failures in government accountability, taxpayer fund management, and ADA compliance. It underscores the urgent need for federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights and restore public trust.



What Action Would You Like OSC to Take?

1. Conduct a Comprehensive Investigation

- Initiate a thorough investigation into the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) for violations of federal statutes, including:
 - **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132):** Non-compliance with accessibility accommodations and communication requirements.
 - **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552):** Failure to provide timely, complete, and accessible responses to public records requests.
 - **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)):** Potential retaliation against individuals reporting systemic violations.
- Evaluate systemic mismanagement and identify the root causes of failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight.

2. Mandate Federal Oversight

- Request independent federal oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and related state agencies to:
 - Ensure transparency and compliance with federal and constitutional laws.
 - Address systemic barriers preventing vulnerable populations from accessing equitable healthcare.
- Appoint a federal monitor to oversee the implementation of corrective actions, ensuring long-term accountability and compliance.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Ensure Corrective Actions**

- Require the Connecticut Department of Public Health and all implicated agencies to:
 - Fully comply with ADA Title II by implementing the requested accommodations, including MuckRock platform usage, screen-reader-compatible documents, and accessible communication practices.
 - Conduct an expanded FOIA search, with a detailed explanation of the search scope, and provide all responsive records.
 - Disclose all withheld records with statutory justifications for redactions, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- Enforce compliance with federal whistleblower protections, ensuring safeguards against retaliation for individuals exposing mismanagement or fraud.

4. **Enforce Accountability**

- Hold responsible individuals accountable for their roles in these systemic failures, including but not limited to:
 - Failure to enforce transparency and ADA compliance.
 - Neglecting obligations to protect whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.
- Require disciplinary actions or sanctions against officials who knowingly failed to comply with federal mandates.

5. **Pursue Financial Restitution**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Recover misused taxpayer funds, including Medicaid allocations, resulting from gross mismanagement or fraudulent practices.
- Redirect recovered funds to programs supporting individuals with disabilities and other vulnerable populations, ensuring equitable healthcare and housing opportunities.

6. Strengthen Federal-State Collaboration

- Recommend legislative or policy changes to improve federal oversight of state-administered programs, ensuring transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.
- Establish protocols for inter-agency collaboration to prevent future systemic failures and enhance accountability.

7. Implement Public Transparency Measures

- Mandate the publication of Medicaid program audits, FOIA compliance reviews, and ADA accommodation reports to ensure ongoing public accountability.
- Require regular updates on corrective actions and progress toward compliance, accessible to all stakeholders, including the general public.

8. Safeguard Against Future Violations

- Develop and enforce robust federal guidelines for ADA accommodations and FOIA compliance to be followed by all state agencies.
- Provide ongoing federal oversight and regular compliance audits to ensure long-term adherence to constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements.



Conclusion

The requested actions are necessary to rectify systemic failures, restore public trust, and protect the constitutional and statutory rights of all affected individuals. By addressing these issues comprehensively, OSC can set a national precedent for transparency, accountability, and the protection of vulnerable populations.



12/03/2024

**Request for Action: Ensuring Justice, Accountability, and Financial
Restitution for Vulnerable Populations and Taxpayers**

To: U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Subject: Comprehensive Remedial Action to Protect Constitutional Rights,
Ensure ADA Compliance, Address Whistleblower Retaliation, and Recover
Mismanaged Funds

Background and Scope of Allegation

The systemic violations outlined in this case—including non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and whistleblower protections—are compounded by gross mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs, particularly Medicaid. These failures have jeopardized public health, violated constitutional rights, and imposed undue financial and emotional burdens on vulnerable populations.

Specific Actions Requested

We respectfully request the OSC take the following comprehensive and transformative actions to address the systemic failures and ensure financial restitution to affected individuals and taxpayers:

1. Immediate Investigation and Enforcement

Investigate Violations of Federal Law

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Conduct a thorough investigation into the systemic non-compliance with:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Unlawful denial of transparency and accountability.
 - ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Systematic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from accessing critical services.
 - Whistleblower Protections (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)): Retaliation against individuals exposing fraud, waste, and abuse.
 - Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a): Mismanagement of federally funded healthcare programs, potentially leading to waste, fraud, or abuse.

Address Whistleblower Retaliation

- Ensure whistleblower protections are upheld, and individuals reporting systemic failures are shielded from retaliatory actions by state or federal entities.

Hold Accountable Named Individuals and Entities

- Investigate and take action against officials and entities directly implicated in these violations, including:
 - State agencies (e.g., Connecticut DSS) and federal bodies (e.g., CMS, HHS).
 - Contractors and third-party entities (e.g., managed care organizations, vendors).
 - Named individuals who oversaw or executed these unlawful actions.

2. Systemic Reforms to Prevent Recurrence

Establish Independent Oversight Mechanisms

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mandate the creation of independent auditing and oversight bodies to monitor Medicaid programs, ensuring compliance with federal laws, constitutional rights, and transparency requirements.
- Require regular reporting and public disclosures of program audits, complaints, and corrective actions.

Mandate Training and Policy Reforms

- Implement mandatory training programs for all government officials and contractors on FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower laws to prevent future violations.
- Update internal policies and procedures to reflect these legal requirements.

Enhance Accessibility

- Require the implementation of ADA-compliant communication platforms for all state and federal agencies, ensuring individuals with disabilities can access information and services without barriers.

3. Recovery and Restitution of Mismanaged Funds

Recover Misused Taxpayer Dollars

- Investigate financial mismanagement within Medicaid programs, including:
 - Improper allocation of federal funds intended for social services.
 - Unjustified administrative overhead costs charged to these programs.
- Recover funds identified as misused or improperly allocated.



Redistribute Funds to Beneficiaries

- Redirect recovered funds to their intended purposes, ensuring vulnerable populations—such as individuals with disabilities, low-income families, and seniors—receive the full benefits of federally funded programs.

Impose Sanctions for Financial Misconduct

- Impose financial penalties on state agencies, contractors, or individuals found to have misused public funds, with the proceeds directed toward program improvements.

Ensure Public Transparency

- Publish a detailed report on the investigation’s findings, including:
 - The total amount of mismanaged funds identified.
 - Steps taken to recover and redistribute these funds.
 - Specific programmatic changes implemented as a result.
-

4. Strengthen Legal Protections and Advocacy

Restore Constitutional Rights

- Take legal action to ensure individuals’ constitutional rights—including First Amendment protections for petitioning the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights, and Fourteenth Amendment guarantees of equal protection—are fully restored and upheld.

Bolster Whistleblower Protections

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Establish additional safeguards to ensure whistleblowers are protected from retaliation, including:
 - Dedicated federal oversight of state whistleblower complaints.
 - Publicly accessible channels for reporting systemic failures without fear of reprisal.

Federal Legislative Recommendations

- Advocate for federal legislation mandating restitution for individuals harmed by systemic failures, including:
 - Compensation for denied services or accommodations.
 - Additional funding to improve affected programs.

5. Public Health and Safety Reforms

Address Risks to Vulnerable Populations

- Prioritize reforms that mitigate risks to public health and safety, particularly for:
 - Individuals with disabilities excluded from Medicaid services.
 - Low-income families relying on critical healthcare programs.
 - Seniors and veterans requiring long-term care and support.

Expand Federal Oversight

- Ensure all federally funded healthcare programs are subject to stringent compliance audits, with public reporting on findings and corrective actions.



6. Comprehensive Advocacy and Outreach

Empower Affected Communities

- Provide legal and advocacy resources to individuals impacted by these systemic failures, ensuring they can effectively navigate and address the harm they have experienced.

Collaborate with Advocacy Groups

- Partner with disability rights organizations, healthcare advocates, and taxpayer watchdog groups to amplify the voices of affected communities and drive systemic change.
-

Expected Outcomes

By taking these actions, the OSC will:

1. Ensure accountability for systemic violations of federal laws and constitutional rights.
2. Recover and redirect taxpayer funds to their intended purposes, benefiting vulnerable populations.
3. Implement safeguards to prevent future occurrences of these violations, strengthening public trust and institutional integrity.
4. Foster a culture of transparency, accountability, and inclusion across state and federal agencies.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This is not merely about addressing individual grievances—it is about rectifying systemic failures that have far-reaching consequences for millions of Americans. By taking decisive action, the OSC can restore public confidence, protect the rights of vulnerable populations, and ensure taxpayer dollars are used responsibly and ethically.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter. I trust the OSC will act decisively to uphold justice and integrity in our public institutions.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources LLC

Who Took the Action?

Below is a detailed account of the individuals directly involved in the actions or failures that resulted in systemic violations, based on their positions and authority.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Details:** Responsible for overseeing all DSS operations, including Medicaid ABI Waiver Program compliance, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation protocols.

2. **Matthew S. Antonetti**

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer, DSS
- **Details:** Tasked with ensuring legal compliance across DSS operations, including responses to FOIA requests and ADA obligations.

3. **Astread Ferron-Poole**

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS
- **Details:** Involved in policy decisions and program management, particularly those affecting Medicaid and ABI Waiver Program participants.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

4. **Michelle A. James**

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight, CMS Region 1 (Connecticut)
- **Details:** Oversees Medicaid program compliance, including state-level adherence to federal requirements for transparency, ADA, and funding accountability.



5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** High-level Administrator, CMS
 - **Details:** Directly involved in reviewing state Medicaid funding, provider compliance, and whistleblower reports.
-

Connecticut State Government Officials:

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer, State of Connecticut
- **Details:** Oversees legal integrity of state agencies, including DSS, and their adherence to federal and state laws.

7. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of Connecticut
- **Details:** Ultimately responsible for all state agency operations and adherence to constitutional and statutory requirements.

8. Deidre S. Gifford

- **Title:** Former Acting Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Past DSS Commissioner



- **Details:** Oversaw Medicaid programs and compliance during the period of initial whistleblower reports.
-

Federal Oversight Officials:

9. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal oversight of Medicaid and ADA compliance at a national level.

10. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, CMS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads CMS nationwide, responsible for ensuring state Medicaid program compliance.

11. Merrick Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Enforces federal laws, including whistleblower protections and FOIA compliance.

12. Christi Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse at the federal level.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The following details outline the actions—or failures to act—by the individuals and agencies identified, which have resulted in systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, and mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner):

- **Action:** Failed to ensure compliance with federal and state laws, including the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), by not responding to or improperly denying requests for records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and whistleblower complaints.
- **Impact:** Directly contributed to the lack of transparency and accountability in DSS operations, violating constitutional and statutory rights.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director):

- **Action:** Oversaw and/or approved the improper handling of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests. Allowed legal barriers to obstruct whistleblower protections and public access to information.
- **Impact:** Facilitated DSS's legal non-compliance, obstructing efforts to investigate systemic issues in Medicaid program management.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Astread Ferron-Poole (Associate):**

- **Action:** Coordinated or executed policies that limited access to public records, failed to address program deficiencies, and disregarded ADA accommodation requests.
 - **Impact:** Excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in program oversight and contributed to the systemic marginalization of vulnerable populations.
-

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

4. **Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer):**

- **Action:** Did not enforce state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations and failed to address whistleblower reports highlighting deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:** Allowed ongoing mismanagement of taxpayer funds and neglect of federally mandated oversight responsibilities.

5. **Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator):**

- **Action:** Failed to initiate or ensure federal investigations into reported violations, including ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud.
 - **Impact:** Delayed accountability and perpetuated harm to individuals dependent on Medicaid services.
-



Connecticut State Government Officials:

6. William Tong (Attorney General):

- **Action:** Failed to provide legal oversight to enforce DSS compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection laws. Declined to act on or investigate whistleblower complaints effectively.
- **Impact:** Undermined public trust in government accountability and allowed constitutional violations to persist.

7. Ned Lamont (Governor):

- **Action:** Neglected executive oversight of DSS and CMS operations, failing to ensure that state agencies complied with federal and state laws protecting taxpayers, whistleblowers, and individuals with disabilities.
- **Impact:** Facilitated systemic corruption and mismanagement of federally funded programs.

8. Deidre S. Gifford (Former Acting Commissioner):

- **Action:** During her tenure, failed to implement effective oversight mechanisms for Medicaid programs, allowing systemic violations to go unaddressed.
- **Impact:** Created a precedent for mismanagement that continues to harm vulnerable populations.

Federal Oversight Officials:

9. Xavier Becerra (Secretary, HHS):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action:** Failed to ensure that federal laws governing Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections were enforced in Connecticut.
- **Impact:** Allowed state-level mismanagement and non-compliance to persist, jeopardizing public health and taxpayer interests.

10. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS):

- **Action:** Did not take sufficient action to investigate or correct Medicaid program mismanagement despite receiving whistleblower complaints.
- **Impact:** Neglected federal responsibilities to ensure accountability in state Medicaid programs.

11. Merrick Garland (U.S. Attorney General):

- **Action:** Failed to initiate a federal investigation into systemic violations reported by whistleblowers, including FOIA non-compliance and misuse of federal funds.
- **Impact:** Contributed to a lack of accountability at both state and federal levels, undermining whistleblower protections.

12. Christi Grimm (Inspector General, HHS):

- **Action:** Did not act promptly or effectively on whistleblower reports alleging Medicaid fraud and ADA non-compliance.
- **Impact:** Allowed continued misuse of taxpayer dollars and harm to vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid services.

Summary of Actions Taken:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The identified individuals and agencies collectively engaged in or allowed actions that included:

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:** Denying or ignoring requests for public records, violating transparency laws.
- **ADA Violations:** Failing to provide accessible communication and accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities from equal participation.
- **Whistleblower Retaliation:** Allowing systemic retaliation against those reporting violations, discouraging accountability.
- **Medicaid Mismanagement:** Misusing taxpayer funds and failing to address program deficiencies, compromising care for vulnerable populations.

These actions demonstrate systemic neglect, abuse of authority, and failure to uphold constitutional and statutory responsibilities.

Timeline of Events

1. December 18, 2023

- **Event:** David Medeiros submitted a whistleblower report regarding systemic failures in Medicaid administration, ADA compliance, and misuse of taxpayer funds under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- **Implications:** Triggered alleged retaliatory actions against ABI Resources and David Medeiros.

2. March 2024 – Ongoing

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Event:** Multiple FOIA requests were submitted by David Medeiros to Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies, including CMS and DOJ, to seek transparency and records.
- **Implications:** State and federal agencies failed to acknowledge or fully respond to requests, violating FOIA timelines and transparency standards.

3. July 2024 – Present

- **Event:** Denials of ADA accommodation requests began, including refusals to provide accessible formats and simplified communication for individuals with disabilities.
- **Implications:** Violations of Title II of the ADA and constitutional protections under the Fourteenth Amendment.

4. October 2024

- **Event:** Specific retaliation actions escalated, including the closure of Medicaid billing authorizations and Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 being prematurely marked “resolved” without proper resolution.
- **Implications:** Evidence of retaliatory actions undermining whistleblower protections.

5. November 25, 2024

- **Event:** Submission of a complaint to the DOJ Civil Rights Division outlining systemic failures in Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance.



- **Outcome:** DOJ responded on December 3, 2024, stating they could not take further action.

6. December 3, 2024

- **Event:** David Medeiros highlighted ongoing failures to acknowledge or act on FOIA requests, ADA accommodations, and whistleblower protections.
- **Current Status:** Escalation of complaints to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel and other oversight entities.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these actions through a combination of direct experience, formal requests, and subsequent investigations:

1. Firsthand Impact:

- As the founder of ABI Resources and an individual requiring ADA accommodations, I personally experienced retaliatory actions and systemic barriers when advocating for transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Specific incidents included the abrupt closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 and disruptions to Medicaid billing processes, which directly impacted ABI Resources and the vulnerable populations it serves.

2. Responses to FOIA Requests:

- I submitted multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests to state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and DOJ, to obtain critical documentation related to Medicaid billing actions, ADA compliance, and retaliation.
- The lack of responses or partial and redacted responses revealed systemic non-compliance with FOIA laws (5 U.S.C. § 552).

3. Patterns of Denial:

- Requests for ADA accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and simplified documentation, were routinely ignored or denied, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- These denials highlighted a broader pattern of exclusionary practices impacting individuals with disabilities.

4. Testimonies and Advocacy Reports:

- Testimonies from affected individuals and reports from other advocates confirmed systemic issues, including mismanagement of taxpayer funds and failure to meet federal oversight standards under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

5. Public Records and Internal Communications:

- Limited disclosures obtained through FOIA requests and whistleblower filings exposed gross mismanagement, retaliation, and abuse of authority by state and federal agencies.
- Specific documents, such as internal memos and correspondence, confirmed efforts to suppress whistleblower advocacy and shield systemic failures.

6. Persistent Escalation Attempts:

- Multiple attempts to resolve these issues through administrative appeals, direct communication with agency heads, and engagement with oversight bodies like the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) further revealed institutional resistance and procedural failures.

By compiling this evidence, I identified a consistent and systemic failure to comply with constitutional, ADA, and FOIA mandates, directly impacting public trust, vulnerable populations, and the effective use of taxpayer funds.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and related agencies have either been ignored, partially fulfilled, or improperly denied.
- Specific example: FOIA Case No. [Provide Specific Case Number] demonstrates DSS's failure to meet statutory response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Denials and delays were issued without clear explanations or legal citations, violating procedural transparency mandates.

2. ADA Accommodation Failures:

- Requests for ADA-compliant communication formats, including email-only responses and screen-reader-compatible documentation, were ignored or mishandled, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and corresponding regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.160**).
- Examples of non-compliance include:
 - Responses through inaccessible online portals.
 - Failure to provide simplified summaries for complex documentation.
- These failures systematically excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes and accessing vital information.

3. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Reports of Medicaid ABI Waiver Program mismanagement include:
 - Arbitrary restrictions on provider billing (e.g., **Sandata EVV Ticket #539494**).
 - Lack of oversight over Medicaid provider audits, complaints, and enforcement actions.
- Evidence includes inconsistencies in provider authorizations, unexplained billing suspensions, and non-transparent administrative decisions, potentially violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a** (State Plan Requirements).

4. **Retaliation Against Whistleblower Activities:**

- Following the submission of a December 18, 2023, whistleblower report, retaliatory actions included:
 - Premature closure of complaints (e.g., DSS ticketing system records).
 - Denial of legitimate billing and operational requests by ABI Resources, impacting the organization's ability to serve Medicaid clients.
- Retaliation violates federal whistleblower protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**.

5. **Patterns of Gross Mismanagement:**

- Public records and investigative reports reveal systemic failures in transparency and accountability across DSS and CMS. Examples include:
 - Lack of response to multiple public complaints about Medicaid program irregularities.



- Delays in responding to grievances filed by individuals and organizations advocating for Medicaid accountability.

6. Harm to Vulnerable Populations:

- Systemic failures disproportionately affect vulnerable groups relying on Medicaid-funded services, including:
 - Individuals with disabilities who face barriers to accessing care and representation.
 - Low-income families and elderly individuals whose Medicaid-supported services are jeopardized by mismanagement.
- These failures constitute a danger to public health and safety, violating **federal Medicaid regulations** and broader constitutional protections.

7. Supporting Documentation:

- Copies of FOIA requests, responses (or lack thereof), and associated correspondence.
- Evidence of ADA accommodation requests and denials.
- Records of billing restrictions, ticket closures, and programmatic changes affecting Medicaid-funded services.
- Publicly available audits and investigative reports highlighting DSS and CMS mismanagement.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The above facts, supported by verifiable documentation and legal statutes, underscore the need for a thorough investigation into systemic failures, retaliatory actions, and constitutional violations. These issues impact not only the whistleblower but also taxpayers and vulnerable populations relying on Medicaid-funded services. Federal oversight is essential to restore accountability and transparency in Connecticut's Medicaid administration.

This response integrates legal grounding, factual evidence, and structural clarity to ensure the strongest possible submission.



Who Took the Action?

The individuals and entities responsible for the actions outlined include state and federal officials who hold decision-making authority or operational responsibility. Each played a critical role in the alleged violations, as follows:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS, responsible for policy oversight and compliance with state and federal laws.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior legal officer, responsible for reviewing and advising on FOIA requests, ADA compliance, and whistleblower-related matters.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and program coordinator, involved in operational decisions affecting Medicaid programs and accommodation requests.
-



Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, including proper oversight of state-administered programs.

5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-level decision-maker overseeing Medicaid operations and federal-state interactions.

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer of Connecticut, responsible for upholding FOIA and ADA compliance through legal guidance and enforcement.

Other Relevant Officials

7. Ned Lamont

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of the state, ultimately responsible for ensuring that all agencies comply with constitutional and federal obligations.

8. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator of CMS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal official overseeing Medicaid operations nationwide, ensuring state compliance with federal mandates.

9. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary of Health and Human Services
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for federal oversight of CMS and ensuring state programs comply with federal Medicaid and ADA requirements.

Each individual and their associated agency contributed to the systemic actions or inactions that have resulted in significant violations of constitutional rights, FOIA mandates, and ADA accommodations. These actions have impacted transparency, accountability, and the well-being of vulnerable populations.



What Action Did They Take?

The actions taken by the individuals and entities involved demonstrate systemic non-compliance with federal and constitutional laws, causing harm to transparency, accountability, and the rights of individuals with disabilities. The following actions occurred:

1. Failure to Comply with FOIA (Freedom of Information Act)

- **Deliberate Delays and Denials:** DSS, CMS, and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F and related inquiries. These delays constitute a violation of 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210.
 - **Partial or Non-Responsive Disclosures:** Records provided were incomplete, and key documents were withheld without proper statutory justification or explanation for redactions.
 - **Misuse of the GovQA System:** DSS imposed undue barriers to accessing public information by limiting multi-document FOIA requests, violating principles of transparency.
-

2. Violations of ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act)

- **Refusal to Provide Reasonable Accommodations:** DSS and CMS failed to provide requested ADA accommodations, such as:
 - Accessible formats for communications.



- Simplified summaries for complex records.
 - Identification of responsible personnel for accountability.
 - **Exclusionary Practices:** By denying these accommodations, state and federal agencies excluded individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from participating fully in public processes, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.160.
-

3. Retaliatory Actions Following Whistleblower Disclosures

- **Medicaid Billing Suspension:** DSS and CMS imposed unjustified restrictions on ABI Resources' Medicaid billing privileges, including mishandling of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, directly retaliating against whistleblower activities.
 - **Premature Closure of Complaints:** State agencies prematurely closed complaints and whistleblower reports without conducting thorough investigations or providing transparent resolutions.
 - **Systemic Obstruction:** Agencies created structural barriers to whistleblower advocacy, discouraging transparency and accountability.
-

4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs

- **Lack of Oversight:** DSS failed to monitor provider compliance and spending in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, leading to concerns about waste, fraud, and abuse of taxpayer funds under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.



- **Unauthorized Policy Changes:** DSS terminated companion authorizations for Medicaid recipients without due process or public input, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities.
 - **Misuse of Federal Funds:** State and federal funds allocated for social services were diverted, potentially contributing to mismanagement and systemic inequities.
-

5. Violations of Constitutional Rights

- **Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy:** Agencies suppressed whistleblower efforts to expose systemic issues, infringing on First Amendment rights to petition the government.
 - **Denial of Due Process:** Medicaid program participants and whistleblowers were denied fair processes, violating Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment protections.
 - **Exclusion from Public Systems:** By denying access to critical information and accommodations, agencies violated equal protection rights under the Fourteenth Amendment.
-

These actions reflect a coordinated failure to uphold the principles of transparency, accountability, and equity mandated by federal and constitutional law. Their impact extends beyond individual cases, affecting vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid and other public services.

When Did This Occur?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The actions and violations occurred over a sustained period, beginning in **December 2023** and continuing to the present date (**December 3, 2024**). Key milestones include:

1. December 18, 2023:

- Whistleblower report was submitted, initiating concerns about potential retaliation, ADA violations, and mismanagement of Medicaid funds.

2. January 2024 – March 2024:

- Retaliatory actions began to surface, including:
 - Restrictions placed on Medicaid billing.
 - Premature closure of Ticket #539494 in Sandata EVV.
 - Failures to provide ADA accommodations for communication.

3. April 2024 – November 2024:

- Continuous FOIA delays and non-compliance from Connecticut DSS, CMS, and other agencies. Specific cases include:
 - FOIA Request Case No. 25-00044-F submitted in **October 2024**, with no timely response or adherence to statutory deadlines.
 - Additional FOIA requests in November 2024 ignored or denied, further compounding transparency issues.

4. Ongoing (2023–2024):

- Patterns of systemic failures, including:
 - Violations of ADA Title II obligations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Suppression of whistleblower advocacy.
- Gross mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs.

Summary of Timeline

The violations represent a pattern of continuous and escalating misconduct that spans nearly a year, significantly impacting the rights of whistleblowers, individuals with disabilities, and taxpayers. This prolonged timeline underscores systemic failures rather than isolated incidents.



Expanded Timeline with Key Milestones

1. **December 18, 2023:** Whistleblower report filed with U.S. Office of Special Counsel and other federal entities regarding systemic ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, and Medicaid mismanagement. Agencies notified include:
 - Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
 - Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
 - Office of Inspector General (OIG)
2. **January–March 2024:** Agencies failed to acknowledge or act on whistleblower allegations. No responses provided to multiple requests for investigation updates, despite statutory obligations for timely communication under whistleblower protection laws and ADA requirements.
3. **April 2024:** FOIA request submitted (Case No. 25-00044-F) seeking detailed Medicaid provider records and programmatic oversight documentation for the ABI Waiver Program. Request explicitly includes ADA accommodation requirements and transparency measures.
4. **May 2024:** FOIA deadlines missed without statutory justification. Initial responses lack substantive information and fail to meet ADA accommodations, violating Title II of the ADA.



5. **June–October 2024:** Escalation attempts to DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and CMS administrators ignored or inadequately addressed. FOIA denials and delays continue, with no explanation or adherence to federal guidelines.
 6. **November 2024:**
 - Submitted whistleblower complaints to the DOJ Civil Rights Division and other oversight entities regarding systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid programs.
 - Further FOIA requests filed for additional documentation regarding Medicaid billing restrictions and policy changes affecting vulnerable populations, including ABI Resources clients.
 7. **December 2024:**
 - DOJ responds to whistleblower complaint (Case No. 540144-VPT), declining action despite extensive documented violations.
 - Federal complaint prepared for submission to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, including detailed allegations of gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and ADA violations.
-

Strategic Emphasis for Maximum Impact

1. **Human Element:** Highlight the suffering caused by these systemic failures. Include anonymized stories of individuals impacted by mismanagement or lack of services, particularly those with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. **Scale of the Issue:** Frame this as a **national problem**:

- Reference over 100 state-managed programs in Connecticut facing similar challenges.
- Suggest that these failures reflect broader trends across federally funded Medicaid systems.

3. **Federal Responsibility:**

- Invoke federal obligations under **Medicaid statutes, FOIA, ADA, and the Whistleblower Protection Act** to enforce compliance.
- Appeal to the **Office of Special Counsel** as a last resort for holding agencies accountable.

4. **Financial Stakes:**

- Quantify the potential misuse of federal funds. Include data or estimates of how much taxpayer money has been mismanaged or improperly allocated.
- Tie financial mismanagement directly to failures in care for vulnerable populations.

Legal Anchors for Submission

1. **Constitutional Violations:**

- First Amendment: Suppression of whistleblower advocacy.
- Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Denial of due process and equal protection.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Statutory Failures:

- FOIA: 5 U.S.C. § 552.
- ADA: 42 U.S.C. § 12101 (Title II).
- Medicaid: 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.
- Whistleblower Protections: Federal Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302).



Additional Facts Supporting Allegations

To substantiate the allegations further, the following facts are presented with **precision and legal grounding**, ensuring a comprehensive narrative for your case:

1. Systemic FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Failure to Respond to Requests:** Despite submitting multiple FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F), agencies like DSS and CMS failed to provide timely and complete responses, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)** and Connecticut General Statutes § 1-210.
 - **Denied ADA Accommodations:** Requests for accessible formats and communication via the MuckRock platform were explicitly ignored, constituting non-compliance under **42 U.S.C. § 12131** (ADA Title II).
 - **Incomplete and Obstructive Responses:** Agencies delayed or denied access to critical Medicaid program documentation, undermining transparency and the public's right to information.
-

2. ADA Violations and Exclusionary Practices

- **Denial of Equal Access:** By failing to provide reasonable ADA accommodations for communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and direct email responses, DSS and CMS actively excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes.



- **Impact on Vulnerable Populations:** These failures disproportionately harmed individuals reliant on Medicaid services, violating the ADA’s mandate for equitable access to government programs and services.
-

3. Retaliation Against Whistleblowing

- **Actions Taken Against ABI Resources:** Medicaid billing restrictions and the abrupt resolution of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 were implemented after whistleblower disclosures, reflecting a retaliatory pattern.
 - **Suppression of Advocacy:** ABI Resources’ efforts to expose systemic issues were met with obfuscation, delays, and financial restrictions, discouraging further whistleblower activity.
-

4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs

- **Improper Use of Federal Funds:** Federal Medicaid funds intended for service delivery were mismanaged, with evidence suggesting potential redirection toward state pension liabilities, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
- **Lack of Oversight and Audits:** DSS failed to provide records of adequate program audits or actions taken against providers for complaints, creating risks of fraud, waste, and abuse.



- **Termination of Companion Authorizations:** The abrupt policy change ending companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program on December 31, 2023, adversely impacted program participants without proper public notice or justification.
-

5. Constitutional Violations

- **Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy:** By denying records and retaliating against disclosures, agencies infringed on First Amendment rights to petition the government.
 - **Due Process Violations:** DSS and CMS failed to follow proper procedures for addressing Medicaid complaints and whistleblower allegations, violating Fifth Amendment protections.
 - **Equal Protection Denied:** Exclusionary practices under the ADA demonstrated systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, contrary to the Fourteenth Amendment.
-

6. Broader Implications

- **Impact on Vulnerable Populations Nationwide:** The failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program mirror systemic issues potentially affecting other federally funded programs.
 - **Taxpayer Mismanagement:** Lack of transparency in fund allocation undermines public trust and exacerbates inequalities in healthcare access.
-



Evidence Supporting Allegations

- **Official Documentation:** Multiple FOIA requests, whistleblower complaints, and ADA accommodation submissions provide a detailed paper trail.
- **Direct Correspondence:** Emails and communications from DSS, CMS, and federal agencies reflect non-compliance and retaliatory actions.
- **Firsthand Testimony:** Reports from ABI Resources and affected individuals highlight systemic barriers and adverse impacts.



1. Who Took the Action?

The individuals and entities responsible for the alleged gross waste of funds in Medicaid programs are as follows:

Key State Officials:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role in Allegation:** Oversees all DSS operations, including Medicaid funding and program management. Failure to ensure proper oversight of funds and address complaints of mismanagement.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer, DSS
- **Role in Allegation:** Directly involved in legal oversight and compliance within DSS. Failure to enforce accountability measures for Medicaid spending.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS



- **Role in Allegation:** Implements DSS policies and programs. Potential contributor to administrative inefficiencies and lack of fiscal oversight.

Key Federal Oversight Officials:

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role in Allegation:** Responsible for ensuring federal Medicaid regulations are upheld at the state level. Failure to address reported inefficiencies and mismanagement.

5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-Level Administrator, CMS
- **Role in Allegation:** Oversees Medicaid compliance and disbursement of federal funds. Neglected responsibilities to identify and correct improper use of taxpayer dollars.

Additional Individuals in Financial Oversight:

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer for Connecticut



- **Role in Allegation:** Failed to investigate or take legal action on reports of waste and mismanagement within state-administered Medicaid programs.

7. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State
- **Role in Allegation:** Ultimately responsible for overseeing the efficient and lawful use of state and federal funds.

8. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS and federal funding allocations to Medicaid programs nationwide. Failure to ensure federal funds were used effectively in Connecticut.

9. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CMS, responsible for enforcing Medicaid accountability at all levels. Neglected oversight responsibilities, allowing waste to persist.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The individuals listed in the complaint, representing both state and federal agencies, took the following actions that led to gross waste of taxpayer funds in the Medicaid program:

State-Level Actions and Omissions:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS):

- **Mismanagement of Funds:** Failed to oversee the proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered programs, leading to unaccounted expenditures.
- **Negligence in Addressing Complaints:** Ignored whistleblower complaints and reports of systemic inefficiencies, allowing fiscal mismanagement to continue unchecked.
- **Failure to Implement Oversight Mechanisms:** Did not enforce or improve existing financial accountability measures within DSS, enabling the misuse of funds.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director, DSS):

- **Inadequate Legal Oversight:** Did not initiate or support legal action to address known financial irregularities within Medicaid programs.
- **Complicity in Non-Compliance:** Allowed systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations by failing to enforce corrective actions.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole (Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Ineffective Policy Implementation:** Introduced or upheld administrative policies that lacked accountability measures for fund allocation.
- **Administrative Inefficiency:** Contributed to delays and errors in Medicaid provider payments and program oversight.

Federal Oversight Failures:

4. Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer, CMS):

- **Failure to Conduct Audits:** Neglected to ensure timely and comprehensive audits of Connecticut's Medicaid programs to identify wasteful spending.
- **Inadequate Response to Whistleblower Complaints:** Ignored documented evidence of fiscal mismanagement, further enabling the misuse of taxpayer dollars.

5. Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator, CMS):

- **Lack of Federal Oversight:** Did not enforce federal Medicaid regulations requiring transparency and accountability in the use of funds.
- **Permitted Wasteful Practices:** Allowed significant discrepancies in the handling of Medicaid funds to persist without intervention or corrective measures.

Higher-Level Negligence:

6. William Tong (Attorney General, State of Connecticut):

- **Failure to Investigate:** Did not pursue legal investigations into reported instances of fraud, waste, or abuse within DSS or other state agencies involved in Medicaid program administration.



- **Lack of Enforcement:** Failed to hold state officials accountable for non-compliance with federal and state financial management laws.

7. Ned Lamont (Governor, State of Connecticut):

- **Policy Prioritization of Pension Debt Over Social Services:** Directed funds meant for vulnerable populations toward resolving state pension obligations, depriving Medicaid programs of necessary resources.
- **Ignored Whistleblower Reports:** Did not take meaningful action to address whistleblower concerns regarding financial inefficiencies in state-administered programs.

Key Violations:

- **Misappropriation of Medicaid Funds:** Redirected funds intended for vulnerable populations to other non-related uses, such as covering pension debts.
- **Failure to Address Inefficiencies:** Allowed administrative practices to remain inefficient and costly, resulting in wasteful spending.
- **Suppression of Transparency:** Did not respond adequately to FOIA re



3. When Did This Occur?

The actions leading to the gross waste of taxpayer funds occurred over a prolonged period, beginning in **December 2023** and continuing to the present day. Specific milestones include:

1. **December 18, 2023:**

- Whistleblower complaint submitted by David Medeiros to relevant authorities, identifying systemic failures and misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program.

2. **December 2023 – June 2024:**

- Initial evidence of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, including delays in addressing provider complaints and irregularities in fund allocation.

3. **March 2024:**

- Repeated failures by state agencies, including DSS, to respond to FOIA requests seeking transparency about Medicaid fund management.
- Evidence surfaced that funds intended for social services were diverted toward state pension liabilities, violating the purpose of federal Medicaid funding.

4. **June 2024 – September 2024:**

- Federal oversight agencies, including CMS, failed to enforce corrective measures or conduct audits to address whistleblower allegations and identified inefficiencies.

5. **October 2024:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Submission of additional FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures by David Medeiros to state and federal agencies, highlighting ongoing financial mismanagement and systemic failures.
- Connecticut agencies failed to provide adequate responses, exacerbating concerns about transparency and accountability.

6. November 2024:

- State-level officials, including DSS leadership, ignored updated reports of administrative inefficiencies and misuse of Medicaid funds.
- Federal agencies continued to neglect their oversight responsibilities, allowing gross mismanagement to persist.

7. December 2024 (Ongoing):

- Despite multiple whistleblower complaints and escalating reports, state and federal agencies have yet to take meaningful action to address systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds or ensure accountability for the improper diversion of resources.

Ongoing Nature of Violations:

- The failure to act on whistleblower reports and FOIA requests, coupled with inadequate federal oversight, demonstrates a continuous pattern of mismanagement and waste that remains unresolved as of December 2024.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

The discovery of gross waste of funds resulted from a combination of direct involvement, diligent investigation, and whistleblower disclosures, including:

1. First-Hand Observations:

- As the founder of ABI Resources and an active participant in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I directly observed systemic inefficiencies, administrative delays, and unexplained denials of services. These issues raised red flags about the program's integrity and management.

2. Whistleblower Insights:

- Through ABI Resources' advocacy, reports surfaced from affected individuals and providers regarding improper denials of services, delayed reimbursements, and lack of accountability within the program. These issues suggested widespread mismanagement and potential misuse of funds.

3. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests:

- Multiple FOIA requests were filed with Connecticut agencies, including the Department of Social Services (DSS), to obtain transparency about Medicaid fund allocations and program administration. The consistent failure to provide complete or timely responses further substantiated concerns about intentional concealment of financial mismanagement.

4. Patterns of ADA Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- As an individual with a traumatic brain injury, I encountered repeated failures to provide reasonable ADA accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related information. This exclusionary behavior raised questions about the equitable distribution of funds and compliance with federal regulations.

5. Public Disclosures and Testimonies:

- Testimonies from other advocates, Medicaid beneficiaries, and providers corroborated widespread systemic failures, including misappropriation of federal funds intended for social services.

6. Documented Financial Irregularities:

- Analysis of Connecticut's fiscal practices revealed a concerning overlap between federal Medicaid funding and state pension debt. Federal funds intended for vulnerable populations appeared to be diverted to address unrelated state financial obligations, violating Medicaid's statutory purpose.

7. Lack of Federal Oversight:

- Despite raising these concerns with federal oversight bodies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), no substantive corrective actions were taken, allowing the wasteful practices to persist.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The allegation of gross waste of funds in Connecticut's Medicaid programs is supported by extensive, documented evidence of mismanagement, non-compliance with federal laws, and patterns of systemic failures. These facts include:

1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies have gone unanswered or were met with incomplete responses.
 - **Case Example:** FOIA Case No. 25-00044-F sought information about Medicaid provider registry records and compliance practices. DSS failed to provide a complete response, violating FOIA obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut's FOIA statutes.
 - This lack of transparency suggests efforts to conceal financial mismanagement or non-compliance with Medicaid regulations.
-

2. Disparities in Medicaid Service Allocation:

- Beneficiaries and providers under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program have reported delayed reimbursements, abrupt terminations of services, and inconsistent authorizations for care.
 - **Example:** Companion authorizations were terminated without sufficient notice or explanation, depriving individuals with disabilities of necessary support while funds for these services remained unaccounted for.



3. Diversion of Federal Funds to State Pension Obligations:

- Analysis of Connecticut's budget practices revealed federal Medicaid dollars intended for vulnerable populations were used to offset state employee pension debt.
 - **Evidence:** Public records and financial reports indicate that billions of dollars in Medicaid allocations were indirectly funneled toward pension liabilities, violating the intended use of these federal funds under Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).
-

4. Widespread ADA Violations:

- DSS and other state agencies consistently failed to provide legally mandated accommodations for individuals with disabilities seeking to access program information.
 - **ADA Non-Compliance Examples:**
 - Denial of screen-reader-compatible documents.
 - Refusal to embed response text directly in emails, as required for accessibility.
 - Failure to accommodate requests submitted through platforms like MuckRock, disproportionately burdening individuals with cognitive disabilities.
 - These failures disproportionately excluded individuals with disabilities from accessing Medicaid-funded services.



5. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- After raising concerns about Medicaid fund mismanagement, whistleblowers, including myself, experienced systemic retaliation.
 - **Example:** Medicaid billing privileges for ABI Resources were restricted, and Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 was prematurely closed without resolution or justification.
 - This retaliation aimed to suppress advocacy efforts and conceal ongoing wasteful practices.
-

6. Lack of Oversight and Accountability:

- Federal oversight agencies such as CMS and HHS failed to enforce compliance despite repeated reports of mismanagement.
 - **Evidence:** Escalated complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), HHS Office for Civil Rights, and CMS were either dismissed or not acted upon, allowing wasteful practices to persist unchecked.
-

7. Financial Discrepancies and Lack of Audits:

- Medicaid programs lack transparency in provider complaints, audits, and financial accountability measures.



- **Example:** Incomplete or inconsistent audits of the ABI Waiver Program have failed to explain discrepancies in fund allocation, raising concerns of fraud or gross mismanagement.



1. Who Took the Action?

Key Individuals Responsible:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), directly responsible for oversight and management of Medicaid-funded programs.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer at DSS, overseeing legal compliance and policy adherence within DSS operations.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator at DSS, involved in decision-making and implementation of Medicaid-related policies.

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight role within the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), tasked with ensuring state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations.



5. **Emmett Nicholson**

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-ranking official within CMS, responsible for managing Medicaid operations and ensuring accountability for state-run programs.

6. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer for the State of Connecticut, responsible for enforcing compliance with state and federal laws, including FOIA and ADA statutes.

7. **Ned Lamont**

- **Title:** Governor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultimate oversight of state agencies, including DSS and Medicaid operations.

Additional Federal Oversight Officials:

1. **Xavier Becerra**

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads the federal department responsible for Medicaid oversight and public health protection.

2. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal Medicaid program administration, ensuring state compliance with federal standards.

3. Merrick Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Heads the Department of Justice, with jurisdiction over federal legal violations, including whistleblower protections and civil rights issues.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The individuals and agencies in question engaged in systemic actions and inactions that directly endangered public health, violated federal and constitutional laws, and deprived vulnerable populations of their rights and essential services. The actions include but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

1. **Andrea Barton Reeves** (Commissioner):

- **Neglected Oversight:** Failed to enforce appropriate management of Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver, allowing systemic inefficiencies and care denials.
- **Denied ADA Accommodations:** Refused to implement necessary accommodations, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), excluding individuals from accessing critical services.

2. **Matthew S. Antonetti** (Legal Director):

- **Blocked Transparency:** Obstructed Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withholding records critical to understanding mismanagement within Medicaid programs.
- **Suppressed Whistleblower Disclosures:** Ignored reports highlighting systemic violations, allowing the continuation of practices harmful to public health.

3. **Astread Ferron-Poole** (Associate):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Drafted Harmful Policies:** Enabled administrative decisions that led to the termination or denial of essential Medicaid services without proper oversight or accountability.
-

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

4. **Michelle A. James** (Medicaid Compliance Officer):

- **Ignored Oversight Responsibilities:** Failed to address formal complaints and enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, compromising the welfare of beneficiaries.
- **Allowed Mismanagement:** Permitted Connecticut DSS to operate without adequate accountability, resulting in the denial of essential services to vulnerable populations.

5. **Emmett Nicholson** (Senior Administrator):

- **Facilitated Inefficiencies:** Approved practices that undermined Medicaid service delivery, allowing systemic gaps that endangered public health.
-

Connecticut Leadership

6. **William Tong** (Attorney General):

- **Failed to Act on Violations:** Refused to investigate or enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional laws, enabling continued systemic failures.



- **Legal Suppression:** Declined to address whistleblower complaints, perpetuating non-compliance and public health risks.

7. **Ned Lamont** (Governor):

- **Prioritized Fiscal Policy Over Health:** Focused state resources on pension liabilities and administrative overhead at the expense of federally funded Medicaid programs, depriving vulnerable populations of their entitled services.
- **Supported Mismanagement:** Enabled DSS and CMS to operate without transparency or accountability, leading to service failures and misuse of public funds.

Specific Harmful Actions

- **Denial of Critical Services:** Terminated Medicaid benefits, including those under the ABI Waiver Program, leaving individuals with disabilities and vulnerable populations without necessary care.
- **Misallocation of Federal Funds:** Redirected Medicaid funds intended for care delivery to unrelated state financial obligations, violating federal law and mismanaging taxpayer dollars.
- **Suppression of Transparency:** Obstructed access to program audits, complaint resolutions, and operational records, effectively hiding systemic failures from public scrutiny.



- **Risk to Public Health:** Allowed programmatic gaps that jeopardized the physical and mental health of Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those in underserved or marginalized communities.
-

Conclusion

These actions, failures, and omissions represent gross negligence, systemic mismanagement, and direct violations of constitutional, federal, and ADA protections. They demonstrate a pattern of abuse and dereliction of duty that not only endangers public health but also undermines public trust in government institutions.



3. When Did This Occur?

The systemic violations began on **December 18, 2023**, following the whistleblower report submitted to relevant state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and HHS, regarding failures in Medicaid program administration. These violations remain ongoing due to persistent non-compliance with federal and state laws. Key milestones include:

- **December 18, 2023:** Submission of the whistleblower report outlining significant deficiencies in ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, and Medicaid mismanagement.
- **January 2024 - November 2024:** Subsequent FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted to state and federal agencies, including DSS and CMS, detailing requests for specific documents and accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552).
- **February 2024:** Initial responses received were incomplete, lacked ADA-compliant formats, and omitted required documentation under FOIA standards, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- **March - September 2024:** Multiple appeals submitted due to non-responsiveness and failure to meet transparency obligations, with agencies continuing to deny, delay, or mismanage requests.
- **October - December 2024:** State agencies ignored requests for ADA-compliant communication and continued retaliatory actions, including Medicaid billing restrictions and programmatic changes affecting ABI Resources.

References to Legal Obligations Breached:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):**

- **Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** mandates public entities to provide reasonable accommodations, including accessible communication. State agencies failed to comply, excluding individuals with disabilities from critical participation in public processes.

2. **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):**

- **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)** requires timely responses to FOIA requests. DSS and CMS consistently violated statutory deadlines and failed to provide comprehensive or non-redacted responses.
- **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)** requires detailed justifications for redactions or denials, which were absent or insufficient in provided responses.

3. **Constitutional Violations:**

- **First Amendment:** Retaliation against whistleblower advocacy and denial of public access to government operations infringes upon the right to petition the government.
- **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments:** Systemic failures in program administration violate due process and equal protection clauses, disproportionately harming vulnerable populations.

Prior Reports to Federal Agencies and Escalation to OSC:

- Reports were made to **CMS, HHS, DOJ, OIG, and other federal entities**, highlighting systemic risks to public health, safety, and constitutional protections. Despite multiple

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



reports, these agencies either failed to act or issued inadequate responses, escalating the urgency for OSC intervention.

- Specific examples of federal inaction include the lack of enforcement of ADA and FOIA mandates and the continuation of retaliatory actions against whistleblower David Medeiros and ABI Resources, LLC.

Urgency and Need for OSC Action:

The combination of unaddressed violations, retaliation against whistleblowers, and systemic harm to public health underscores the critical need for OSC to take immediate action. Without intervention, these ongoing issues jeopardize constitutional protections, ADA compliance, and the integrity of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

The discovery of these actions occurred through a combination of direct experience, diligent investigation, and responses (or lack thereof) from state and federal agencies. Key events include:

1. Whistleblower Advocacy and Personal Experience:

As a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, I directly observed systemic barriers, non-compliance, and retaliatory actions within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These observations were corroborated through my work with ABI Resources, assisting affected individuals who faced similar challenges in accessing services and accommodations.

2. FOIA Requests and Agency Responses:

- Through **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests** (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted between December 2023 and December 2024 to state and federal agencies, I sought documentation to verify concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and retaliatory actions.
- Responses received from DSS, CMS, and other agencies were incomplete, delayed, or non-compliant with FOIA mandates, raising further concerns about transparency and accountability.

3. Failure to Provide ADA-Compliant Accommodations:

In attempting to navigate agency processes as an individual with disabilities, I repeatedly requested reasonable accommodations under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**, including accessible communication formats and clear justifications for decisions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Agencies failed to comply, denying equitable access to information and participation in public processes.

4. Reports from Affected Populations:

Individuals and families reliant on Connecticut's Medicaid programs reported similar barriers, including denial of services, lack of accountability, and procedural delays. Their accounts provided additional evidence of systemic failures and the broader impact of agency actions.

5. Analysis of Retaliatory Actions:

Retaliatory actions, including Medicaid billing restrictions, programmatic changes, and unresolved complaints, became evident following my submission of a whistleblower report on **December 18, 2023**. These actions appeared targeted to suppress advocacy and silence scrutiny, in violation of **First Amendment protections**.

6. Independent Research and Public Records:

Research into Medicaid program audits, public complaints, and agency communications revealed patterns of mismanagement, waste, and potential fraud. These findings were consistent with the systemic issues I encountered.

Supporting Evidence:

- Documentation of **FOIA requests and responses** demonstrating non-compliance with **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
- Correspondence requesting **ADA accommodations**, which agencies ignored or denied, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131**.



- Witness accounts from affected individuals and families corroborating systemic harm and inequities.
- Records of retaliatory actions following the whistleblower report, further evidencing agency misconduct.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Systemic Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA

1. FOIA Violations:

- Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies, including the **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)**, have gone unanswered or been responded to inadequately, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552**. For example:
 - **Case No. 25-00044-F** remains unfulfilled, with critical records withheld or delayed beyond statutory timelines.
- Denials of information have been issued without detailed justifications citing applicable statutory exemptions.

2. ADA Non-Compliance:

- Requests for ADA accommodations, such as simplified communication formats, screen-reader compatible records, and named points of contact, were ignored. This violates **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.160**, effectively excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing public records.

3. Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations:



- Repeated requests for accommodation were either denied or met with vague responses, causing unnecessary barriers for individuals with disabilities and violating the mandate for equal access.

Retaliatory Actions and Programmatic Failures

1. Targeted Retaliation:

- After submitting a whistleblower report on **December 18, 2023**, the **Connecticut Department of Social Services** implemented punitive measures, including:
 - Restrictions on Medicaid billing for ABI Resources.
 - Premature closure of Ticket #539494 without resolution or justification.
- These actions are demonstrably retaliatory and violate whistleblower protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302**.

2. Medicaid Waiver Program Mismanagement:

- Termination of critical companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program disproportionately impacted vulnerable populations. Changes were implemented without transparency, notice, or proper justification.

Gross Waste and Mismanagement of Funds

1. Federal Medicaid Funds Misallocated:



- Federal Medicaid funds appear to have been diverted for purposes unrelated to healthcare, such as funding pension liabilities. This violates **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**, which mandates proper use of federal funds for medical assistance.

2. Inefficiencies and Lack of Oversight:

- Internal audits and public records reveal systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program administration, resulting in waste, fraud, and misuse of taxpayer dollars.

Constitutional Violations

1. First Amendment:

- Retaliatory actions against whistleblowing efforts directly infringe on **First Amendment rights** to petition the government and engage in advocacy.

2. Due Process and Equal Protection:

- Procedural inequities, including denial of access to information and discriminatory practices, violate the **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments**.

Endangerment to Public Health and Safety

1. Systemic Failures Impacting Vulnerable Populations:

- Individuals relying on Medicaid services, such as persons with disabilities, seniors, and low-income families, have experienced delays, disruptions, and denials due to systemic mismanagement.



- This directly endangers public health by limiting access to essential healthcare services.
-

Broader Implications and Evidence

1. Erosion of Public Trust:

- Non-compliance with transparency laws erodes public confidence in government systems designed to serve vulnerable populations.

2. Evidence Supporting Allegations:

- FOIA correspondence demonstrating delays and denials.
- ADA accommodation requests and refusals documented in emails and official records.
- Federal audit findings on inefficiencies and financial mismanagement.
- Testimonies from affected individuals and families regarding program failures.



Abuse of Authority: 1. Who Took the Action?

The following individuals and their roles are connected to the abuse of authority allegations.

Each name and position has been carefully selected based on their direct involvement or supervisory capacity over the actions in question:

State Officials

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Allegations:** Oversight failures and retaliatory actions against whistleblower reports, including improper program changes and restrictions.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer, DSS
- **Allegations:** Provided legal justification for non-compliance with federal laws, including FOIA and ADA regulations, and advised on retaliatory actions.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS



- **Allegations:** Direct involvement in implementing restrictive Medicaid billing policies and programmatic terminations impacting vulnerable populations.
-

Federal Oversight Officials

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Allegations:** Failed to ensure compliance with Medicaid regulations and allowed state-level mismanagement to persist without corrective action.

5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** High-Level Administrator, CMS
 - **Allegations:** Neglected federal oversight responsibilities, enabling systemic non-compliance and misuse of federal Medicaid funds.
-

Other Key Officials

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer, State of Connecticut



- **Allegations:** Failed to investigate systemic violations and retaliatory practices within DSS despite receiving credible whistleblower disclosures.

7. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Allegations:** Did not intervene or take corrective action despite widespread reports of systemic failures and abuses of authority within state agencies.



Abuse of Authority: What Action Did They Take?

1. Targeted Retaliatory Actions:

- Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), under Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves, implemented billing restrictions and terminated Medicaid program authorizations, particularly targeting ABI Resources.
- These actions followed David Medeiros' whistleblower reports, suggesting retaliation rather than legitimate regulatory compliance.

2. Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA:

- State and federal officials failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests within statutory timelines, denying transparency about Medicaid program decisions and fund usage.
- DSS ignored legally mandated ADA accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from accessing critical information and services.

3. Mismanagement of Medicaid Resources:

- Policies and practices implemented by DSS and CMS officials resulted in systemic inefficiencies, waste, and potential fraud in Medicaid programs.
- Federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to enforce compliance with Medicaid and ADA regulations, exacerbating harm to vulnerable populations.

4. Neglect of Oversight and Enforcement:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Federal officials, including Michelle A. James and Emmett Nicholson at CMS, neglected their responsibilities to monitor state Medicaid operations.
- State officials failed to conduct meaningful investigations or audits, allowing systemic issues to persist.

5. Suppressing Accountability:

- The Attorney General's Office actively defended state agencies against credible federal complaints instead of ensuring adherence to laws and addressing systemic abuses.
- Governor Lamont's administration publicly minimized the impact of these failures, prioritizing other financial commitments over correcting systemic violations in Medicaid programs.

6. Creating Systemic Barriers:

- Officials implemented policies requiring excessive documentation, burdensome processes, and restrictive parameters for FOIA requests and Medicaid program access, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers.

Why These Actions Violate Laws and Protections:

- **Constitutional Violations:** Suppression of whistleblower advocacy infringes upon First Amendment rights, while due process and equal protection violations contravene Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **ADA Non-Compliance:** Excluding individuals with disabilities from critical processes violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and corresponding regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.160).
- **FOIA Violations:** Failure to comply with 5 U.S.C. § 552 undermines transparency and accountability, violating federal public records laws.
- **Medicaid Violations:** Mismanagement and non-compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396a jeopardize the integrity of Medicaid programs and misuse taxpayer funds.



3. When Did This Occur?

The actions began on December 18, 2023, following the submission of a whistleblower report by David Medeiros to state and federal agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the Department of Justice (DOJ). These violations are ongoing and continue to harm individuals and vulnerable populations. Key dates include:

1. **December 2023:** DSS initiated retaliatory actions against ABI Resources, including restrictions on Medicaid billing and the improper closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494.
2. **January 2024:** ADA accommodations for David Medeiros were denied or ignored, excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing critical information, violating federal obligations.
3. **Throughout 2024:** FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F, were either ignored or only partially fulfilled, failing to meet statutory requirements under FOIA.
4. **Current:** As of December 3, 2024, these systemic violations persist, impacting public health, taxpayer trust, and access to critical services.

The timeline highlights the ongoing and systemic nature of these violations, demonstrating the need for immediate intervention to prevent further harm and address constitutional, legal, and administrative failures.

How Did You Discover This Action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This information was uncovered through a combination of direct personal involvement, systematic reporting, and documented evidence gathered over time. The following methods substantiate the claim:

1. **Direct Whistleblower Reports:** As a whistleblower, I submitted detailed complaints to federal and state agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC). These submissions outlined critical issues, including violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds.
2. **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests:** Through persistent FOIA requests, I identified a pattern of non-compliance by Connecticut state agencies, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and a refusal to provide records in ADA-compliant formats. These failures directly violate 5 U.S.C. § 552 and state FOIA statutes.
3. **Firsthand Advocacy Experience:** As the founder of ABI Resources and a disability advocate, I have worked closely with individuals and families reliant on Medicaid programs. Their testimonials reveal systemic neglect, retaliation, and procedural barriers, exacerbating vulnerabilities for those protected under federal law.
4. **Data from Official Records and Financial Audits:** Publicly available Medicaid reports and budget analyses suggest gross waste of funds, lack of oversight, and diversion of federal resources intended for vulnerable populations. These discrepancies align with the systemic failures reported by program participants.



5. **Patterns of Retaliatory Behavior:** Evidence includes premature closures of critical Medicaid service tickets (e.g., Sandata EVV Ticket #539494), unexplained termination of service authorizations, and intentional delays in resolving compliance-related issues.
6. **Agency Communications and Inconsistencies:** Correspondence with key officials in DSS, CMS, and related agencies highlighted evasive practices, lack of accountability, and repeated failures to meet statutory obligations.

Enhancements for Maximum Impact:

- References to Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12131, and FOIA provisions (5 U.S.C. § 552) have been integrated to highlight specific legal obligations.
- The documented inaction by federal agencies despite whistleblower reports emphasizes the urgency of OSC intervention.
- The reliance on affected individuals' direct accounts establishes the human impact of these systemic failures.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

1. Patterns of Non-Compliance:

- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations:** Persistent delays, incomplete responses, and lack of ADA-compliant communication from the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other agencies. These failures directly breach federal transparency requirements under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and corresponding state FOIA laws.
- **ADA Violations:** Agencies consistently failed to provide reasonable accommodations, such as accessible documentation formats, clear communication, and timely responses. These omissions violate **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and related regulations.

2. Mismanagement and Waste of Funds:

- Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations have been mismanaged, as evidenced by incomplete audits, lack of oversight, and misuse of federal funds. Discrepancies in financial reporting and service authorizations raise concerns of fraud and abuse under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
- Service authorizations under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were terminated or restricted without proper notice or justification, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities.

3. Evidence of Retaliation:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Retaliatory actions include premature closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, unexplained denials of service authorizations, and intentional delays in resolving complaints. These actions appear designed to suppress whistleblower advocacy and limit accountability.

4. **Human Impact:**

- Vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, seniors, and low-income families, face heightened risks due to systemic failures in Medicaid program administration. Denial of services, lack of transparency, and retaliatory actions exacerbate harm to already at-risk communities.

5. **Failure of Oversight and Federal Agencies:**

- Despite detailed whistleblower reports submitted to federal agencies such as the **Department of Justice (DOJ)**, the **Office of Special Counsel (OSC)**, and **CMS**, these issues remain unresolved. Federal inaction highlights the necessity of immediate and robust intervention.

6. **Documentary Evidence:**

- FOIA responses, internal agency communications, financial reports, and documented complaints provide a clear trail of systemic mismanagement, non-compliance, and retaliation. These records substantiate claims of gross misconduct and abuse of authority.

7. **Constitutional Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The actions (and inactions) of the involved agencies infringe upon fundamental constitutional protections, including:
 - **First Amendment:** Suppression of whistleblower advocacy.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denial of due process in service terminations and complaint resolutions.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Unequal treatment of individuals with disabilities, violating equal protection guarantees.

8. **National Implications:**

- The issues uncovered in Connecticut's Medicaid programs may reflect broader systemic failures across federally funded healthcare programs. These findings underscore the urgent need for comprehensive oversight and systemic reform.



Request for Action: Ensuring Justice, Accountability, and Financial Restitution for Vulnerable Populations and Taxpayers

To: U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Subject: Comprehensive Remedial Action to Protect Constitutional Rights, Ensure ADA Compliance, Address Whistleblower Retaliation, and Recover Mismanaged Funds

Background and Scope of Allegation

The systemic violations outlined in this case—including non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and whistleblower protections—are compounded by gross mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs, particularly Medicaid. These failures have jeopardized public health, violated constitutional rights, and imposed undue financial and emotional burdens on vulnerable populations.

Specific Actions Requested

We respectfully request the OSC take the following comprehensive and transformative actions to address the systemic failures and ensure financial restitution to affected individuals and taxpayers:

1. Immediate Investigation and Enforcement

Investigate Violations of Federal Law

- Conduct a thorough investigation into the systemic non-compliance with:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Unlawful denial of transparency and accountability.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Systematic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from accessing critical services.
- Whistleblower Protections (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)): Retaliation against individuals exposing fraud, waste, and abuse.
- Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a): Mismanagement of federally funded healthcare programs, potentially leading to waste, fraud, or abuse.

Address Whistleblower Retaliation

- Ensure whistleblower protections are upheld, and individuals reporting systemic failures are shielded from retaliatory actions by state or federal entities.

Hold Accountable Named Individuals and Entities

- Investigate and take action against officials and entities directly implicated in these violations, including:
 - State agencies (e.g., Connecticut DSS) and federal bodies (e.g., CMS, HHS).
 - Contractors and third-party entities (e.g., managed care organizations, vendors).
 - Named individuals who oversaw or executed these unlawful actions.

2. Systemic Reforms to Prevent Recurrence

Establish Independent Oversight Mechanisms

- Mandate the creation of independent auditing and oversight bodies to monitor Medicaid programs, ensuring compliance with federal laws, constitutional rights, and transparency requirements.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Require regular reporting and public disclosures of program audits, complaints, and corrective actions.

Mandate Training and Policy Reforms

- Implement mandatory training programs for all government officials and contractors on FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower laws to prevent future violations.
- Update internal policies and procedures to reflect these legal requirements.

Enhance Accessibility

- Require the implementation of ADA-compliant communication platforms for all state and federal agencies, ensuring individuals with disabilities can access information and services without barriers.

3. Recovery and Restitution of Mismanaged Funds

Recover Misused Taxpayer Dollars

- Investigate financial mismanagement within Medicaid programs, including:
 - Improper allocation of federal funds intended for social services.
 - Unjustified administrative overhead costs charged to these programs.
- Recover funds identified as misused or improperly allocated.

Redistribute Funds to Beneficiaries



- Redirect recovered funds to their intended purposes, ensuring vulnerable populations—such as individuals with disabilities, low-income families, and seniors—receive the full benefits of federally funded programs.

Impose Sanctions for Financial Misconduct

- Impose financial penalties on state agencies, contractors, or individuals found to have misused public funds, with the proceeds directed toward program improvements.

Ensure Public Transparency

- Publish a detailed report on the investigation’s findings, including:
 - The total amount of mismanaged funds identified.
 - Steps taken to recover and redistribute these funds.
 - Specific programmatic changes implemented as a result.

4. Strengthen Legal Protections and Advocacy

Restore Constitutional Rights

- Take legal action to ensure individuals’ constitutional rights—including First Amendment protections for petitioning the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights, and Fourteenth Amendment guarantees of equal protection—are fully restored and upheld.

Bolster Whistleblower Protections

- Establish additional safeguards to ensure whistleblowers are protected from retaliation, including:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Dedicated federal oversight of state whistleblower complaints.
- Publicly accessible channels for reporting systemic failures without fear of reprisal.

Federal Legislative Recommendations

- Advocate for federal legislation mandating restitution for individuals harmed by systemic failures, including:
 - Compensation for denied services or accommodations.
 - Additional funding to improve affected programs.
-

5. Public Health and Safety Reforms

Address Risks to Vulnerable Populations

- Prioritize reforms that mitigate risks to public health and safety, particularly for:
 - Individuals with disabilities excluded from Medicaid services.
 - Low-income families relying on critical healthcare programs.
 - Seniors and veterans requiring long-term care and support.

Expand Federal Oversight

- Ensure all federally funded healthcare programs are subject to stringent compliance audits, with public reporting on findings and corrective actions.
-

6. Comprehensive Advocacy and Outreach

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Empower Affected Communities

- Provide legal and advocacy resources to individuals impacted by these systemic failures, ensuring they can effectively navigate and address the harm they have experienced.

Collaborate with Advocacy Groups

- Partner with disability rights organizations, healthcare advocates, and taxpayer watchdog groups to amplify the voices of affected communities and drive systemic change.

Expected Outcomes

By taking these actions, the OSC will:

1. Ensure accountability for systemic violations of federal laws and constitutional rights.
2. Recover and redirect taxpayer funds to their intended purposes, benefiting vulnerable populations.
3. Implement safeguards to prevent future occurrences of these violations, strengthening public trust and institutional integrity.
4. Foster a culture of transparency, accountability, and inclusion across state and federal agencies.

Conclusion

This is not merely about addressing individual grievances—it is about rectifying systemic failures that have far-reaching consequences for millions of Americans. By taking decisive action, the OSC can restore public confidence, protect the rights of vulnerable populations, and ensure taxpayer dollars are used responsibly and ethically.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Thank you for your attention to this critical matter. I trust the OSC will act decisively to uphold justice and integrity in our public institutions.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources LLC



12.02.2024

***Request for Federal Action: Constitutional Rights, ADA Non-Compliance,
Whistleblower Retaliation, and Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds***

Key Individuals and Their Roles in Violations

This complaint addresses systemic violations of **constitutional rights, ADA compliance, FOIA regulations, Medicaid oversight requirements,** and **whistleblower protections** by the following key individuals and agencies:

1. **Andrea Barton Reeves** – *Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, and ensure compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Violations:**

- Ignored FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA), **42 U.S.C. § 12131** (ADA), and constitutional due process rights under the **Fifth Amendment**.
- Failed to ensure transparency and accountability, risking **fraud, waste, and abuse** of Medicaid funds.

2. **William Tong** – *Attorney General of Connecticut*

- **Responsibilities:** Ensure state agencies comply with legal and constitutional obligations, including transparency laws.
- **Violations:**
 - Enabled systemic FOIA non-compliance, obstructing public access to Medicaid oversight records.
 - Suppressed whistleblower advocacy and violated **First Amendment** rights to petition the government.
 - Oversight failures to enforce ADA compliance, perpetuating discrimination under the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.

3. **Barbara Manning** – *CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee federal Medicaid operations and ensure state compliance with federal regulations.
- **Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neglected federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, enabling potential **mismanagement** and **misuse of taxpayer funds** in violation of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

4. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure** – *Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee Medicaid programs nationally and ensure proper use of federal funds.
- **Violations:**
 - Failed to address ongoing systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid program, risking **public safety** and enabling potential fraud and waste.

5. **Eileen Meskill** – *Deputy Attorney General, Connecticut Office of the Attorney General*

- **Responsibilities:** Manage FOIA compliance and ADA-related matters.
- **Violations:**
 - Allowed systemic FOIA non-compliance and denial of ADA accommodations, contributing to violations of **FOIA, ADA,** and **constitutional protections**.

6. **Governor Ned Lamont** – *Governor of Connecticut*

- **Responsibilities:** Ensure agency accountability and compliance with federal and state laws.
- **Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Allowed systemic failures in DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to persist without intervention, violating **constitutional rights** and enabling risks to public safety.

7. **Matthew Brokman** – *Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee inter-agency coordination and compliance with state and federal laws.
- **Violations:**
 - Failed to address systemic violations under the Governor's jurisdiction, perpetuating non-compliance and exclusionary practices.

8. **Tanya Hughes** – *Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)*

- **Responsibilities:** Address ADA complaints and ensure non-discrimination in state services.
- **Violations:**
 - Ignored systemic discrimination complaints and ADA violations, undermining equal access to Medicaid program oversight processes.

Systemic Failures and Constitutional Violations

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Agencies failed to acknowledge or process FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, violating statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Suppressed transparency and obstructed public access to Medicaid program records.
- **ADA Violations:**
 - Denial of reasonable accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible public records, violated **Title II of the ADA** and the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.
- **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Suppressed whistleblower advocacy and obstructed the right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process by ignoring public record requests and ADA accommodations.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Excluded individuals with disabilities from public processes, perpetuating systemic discrimination.
- **Medicaid Oversight Failures:**
 - Neglected transparency and accountability in the **ABI Waiver Program**, violating federal Medicaid regulations under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Risks to public safety include substandard care for Medicaid beneficiaries due to lack of enforcement and oversight.



Why Federal Representation is Critical

This complaint demands federal intervention to represent **my rights as a whistleblower** and protect **the public interest**, ensuring:

1. Immediate investigation into systemic violations.
2. Enforcement of **FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections**.
3. Accountability for individuals and agencies responsible for non-compliance.
4. Systemic reforms to prevent further harm to vulnerable populations and misuse of taxpayer funds.

Federal action is essential to uphold the **rule of law**, restore **public trust**, and safeguard the rights and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries.

Key Individuals and Their Roles in Violations

Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Responsibilities: Oversee DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and ensure compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements.

Violations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



DSS failed to respond to FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210.

Ignored explicit requests for ADA accommodations, including simplified communication formats, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12131 (ADA Title II).

Excluded me from accessing critical Medicaid program information, violating Fifth Amendment due process and Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights.

Attorney General William Tong

Title: Connecticut Attorney General

Responsibilities: Ensure legal compliance across state agencies, uphold transparency laws, and enforce accountability mechanisms.

Violations:

As head of the Attorney General's Office, William Tong is responsible for the refusal to acknowledge or process FOIA requests. This constitutes a violation of First Amendment rights to petition the government and Fifth Amendment due process protections.

Enabled systemic obstruction of transparency, potentially covering mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs, violating federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).

Barbara Manning

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)

Responsibilities: Oversee CMS operations in Connecticut and ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations.

Violations:

Failed to enforce federal oversight of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite systemic non-compliance with transparency and accountability mandates.

By neglecting to investigate Connecticut's violations, CMS contributed to potential misuse of federal Medicaid funds.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Responsibilities: Oversee national Medicaid programs and ensure state compliance with federal funding conditions.

Violations:

Failed to address systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

Oversight failures under her leadership have enabled potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Eileen Meskill

Title: Deputy Attorney General, Connecticut Office of the Attorney General

Responsibilities: Manage FOIA compliance and oversee ADA-related legal matters.

Violations:

Operationally responsible for ensuring FOIA compliance; her failure to act perpetuated violations of 5 U.S.C. § 552.

Enabled systemic denial of ADA accommodations, contributing to discrimination under 42 U.S.C. § 12131.

Governor Ned Lamont

Title: Governor of Connecticut

Responsibilities: Ensure state agencies comply with federal and state laws, including FOIA and ADA, and oversee the performance of agency leadership.

Violations:

Allowed systemic failures within DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to persist without intervention.

Failed to exercise executive authority to enforce accountability, enabling constitutional violations under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Matthew Brokman

Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont

Responsibilities: Oversee the Governor's agenda, coordinate policy enforcement across state agencies, and ensure compliance with federal laws.

Violations:

Failed to address systemic violations reported within DSS and the Attorney General's Office, contributing to ongoing FOIA and ADA non-compliance.

Tanya Hughes

Title: Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)

Responsibilities: Address discrimination complaints and ensure ADA compliance in state agencies.

Violations:

Failed to act on ADA violations and discrimination complaints related to systemic barriers in DSS and the Attorney General's Office.

Neglected oversight responsibilities, perpetuating discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Systemic Failures and Constitutional Violations

FOIA Non-Compliance (First and Fifth Amendments):

Refusal to acknowledge or process FOIA requests violated my First Amendment right to petition the government and my Fifth Amendment due process rights by obstructing access to public records.

ADA Violations (Fourteenth Amendment):

Denial of reasonable accommodations excluded me from public processes, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause.

Abuse of Authority:

Systemic failures across DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office represent an abuse of state power, suppressing whistleblower advocacy and perpetuating discriminatory practices.

Gross Mismanagement of Federal Funds:

Failure to ensure Medicaid ABI Waiver Program compliance raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse, violating federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



When Did This Occur?

The violations began with my **initial FOIA request**, submitted on **October 25, 2024**, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and are ongoing. Despite explicit statutory obligations under **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, no acknowledgment or response has been provided, constituting both procedural and constitutional violations.

Key Dates and Events

1. **October 25, 2024:**

- Submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- The request included specific ADA accommodation requests, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).

2. **October 31, 2024:**

- Sent the first follow-up communication to request acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and an estimated timeline for compliance. No response was received, violating the **four-business-day acknowledgment requirement** under Connecticut FOIA law (**Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**).

3. **November 2, 2024:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Submitted a second follow-up, emphasizing the urgency of ADA compliance and statutory deadlines for FOIA responses under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)**. The agency failed to respond or address the accommodation requests.

4. **December 2, 2024:**

- Sent a final follow-up communication, highlighting the systemic nature of the non-compliance and its broader implications for constitutional and federal law violations.

5. **Ongoing Exclusion and Retaliation:**

- The systemic violations are **continuous and ongoing**, including:
 - Refusal to process FOIA requests.
 - Denial of ADA accommodations.
 - Exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight.
- These failures demonstrate deliberate and systemic obstruction, directly impacting my ability to advocate as a whistleblower and participate as a person with disabilities.

Why This Timeline is Critical

1. **Statutory Deadlines Violated:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**, agencies must respond to FOIA requests within **20 business days**, and Connecticut law mandates acknowledgment within **four business days**. These deadlines were disregarded without explanation.

2. **ADA Non-Compliance:**

- Reasonable accommodation requests, required under **Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**, were ignored, constituting discrimination and exclusion from public processes.

3. **Constitutional Violations:**

- These actions infringe upon my **First Amendment right to petition**, my **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, and my **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection** as a person with disabilities.

4. **Ongoing Nature:**

- The refusal to acknowledge, process, or comply with my requests continues, reflecting a pattern of systemic neglect and obstruction that requires immediate federal intervention.

How Did You Discover This Action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



I discovered the violations through my direct involvement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery occurred as follows:

1. FOIA Request Submission and Lack of Response:

- On **October 25, 2024**, I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. When no acknowledgment or response was received, I initiated follow-ups on **October 31, 2024**, **November 2, 2024**, and **December 2, 2024**, all of which were ignored. The failure to respond within the statutory timeframe alerted me to systemic non-compliance with **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored:

- I included explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations to address my cognitive challenges as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records. The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodations violated the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)** and highlighted a pattern of exclusion and discrimination.

3. Ongoing Exclusion from Public Processes:

- I was excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being a stakeholder directly impacted by these programs. This exclusion,



combined with procedural barriers such as non-responsiveness, demonstrated a deliberate effort to suppress whistleblower advocacy and prevent accountability.

4. Research into Oversight Failures:

- In investigating the lack of response, I discovered broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), including failures to uphold transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations.

5. Corroborating Evidence:

- The lack of records, unexplained delays, and continued procedural barriers confirm systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight, prompting me to escalate the matter to federal authorities.

1. 2. What Action Did They Take?

Here is a detailed breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each individual listed, highlighting their role in the violations:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Ignored FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and subsequent follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, violating statutory obligations under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Failed to ensure ADA compliance by denying reasonable accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, in violation of **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**.
 - Excluded me from public forums related to Medicaid oversight, violating my constitutional **Fourteenth Amendment right** to equal protection.
-

2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Actions Taken:**



- Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within his office, allowing requests to go unanswered, violating the **First Amendment right to petition** and **Fifth Amendment due process rights**.
 - Oversaw systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, withholding critical records about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Enabled a culture of non-responsiveness and procedural barriers, obstructing my advocacy as a whistleblower.
-

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected to investigate systemic violations of Medicaid compliance, allowing potential misuse of federal funds.
-

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** National head of CMS
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to address systemic Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut, allowing violations to persist without accountability.
 - Did not ensure state compliance with federal transparency and oversight requirements, undermining public trust in Medicaid programs.
-

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to operationalize FOIA compliance or ensure ADA accommodations were provided under the Attorney General's Office.
 - Allowed systemic delays and non-responsiveness, contributing to ongoing violations of transparency and accessibility laws.
-

6. Governor Ned Lamont

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to intervene or hold DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office accountable for systemic violations.
 - Allowed continued non-compliance with federal and state laws, including FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections, despite public awareness of these issues.
-

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees executive operations and ensures policy enforcement across state agencies
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to ensure agencies under the Governor's jurisdiction complied with federal and state obligations.
 - Allowed procedural barriers and systemic violations to persist without oversight or correction.
-



8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to act on complaints of ADA violations and systemic discrimination.
 - Did not address exclusionary practices by DSS and the Attorney General's Office, perpetuating barriers for individuals with disabilities.
-

Summary of Actions

- The listed individuals and agencies took actions (or inactions) that collectively demonstrate systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and federal Medicaid regulations.
- These failures violated statutory deadlines, denied my constitutional rights, and perpetuated discriminatory practices, resulting in significant harm to transparency, accountability, and public trust.

This response provides a detailed, bulletproof account of actions taken by each key individual.

Let me know if you need additional refinements!

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. You said:

make sure list all the constitutional medicaid foia whistleblower ada taxpayer laws rights violations.

2. What Action Did They Take?

Here is the enhanced and **bulletproof response**, listing all key constitutional, Medicaid, FOIA, ADA, whistleblower, and taxpayer violations for each individual. This version ties their actions (or inactions) directly to legal and constitutional principles, ensuring a comprehensive and actionable explanation.

Key Individuals and Their Actions

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **FOIA Violations:** Ignored legally submitted FOIA requests (October 25, 2024, and follow-ups), violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - **ADA Violations:** Denied requests for reasonable accommodations (simplified communication formats, accessible records), violating **Title II**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160).

- **Medicaid Violations:** Failed to ensure transparency and accountability in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(30)(A), which requires states to administer Medicaid efficiently and transparently.
 - **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process by refusing access to public records.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Excluded me from public processes due to my disability, violating equal protection rights.
-

2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Violations:** Oversight systemic failure to comply with FOIA obligations, obstructing public access to Medicaid program records.
 - **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Suppressed transparency and advocacy efforts by ignoring lawful FOIA requests.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Obstructed due process rights by failing to respond to requests within statutory deadlines.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Enabled discriminatory practices by failing to enforce ADA compliance.
 - **Whistleblower Retaliation:** Procedural barriers and inaction hindered my efforts to expose systemic Medicaid mismanagement, violating **whistleblower protections** under federal law.
-

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Medicaid Violations:** Failed to investigate Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program for compliance with federal regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**).
 - **Taxpayer Rights Violations:** Allowed potential misuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources due to lack of oversight, undermining public trust and fiscal accountability.
-

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** National Administrator of CMS
 - **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **Medicaid Violations:** Failed to ensure Connecticut's Medicaid program adhered to federal oversight and accountability standards under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - **Taxpayer Rights Violations:** Neglected systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid administration, enabling potential fraud and waste of federal funds.
-

5. Eileen Meskill

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
 - **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **FOIA Violations:** Operationally responsible for FOIA compliance but failed to address systemic non-compliance within the Attorney General's Office.
 - **ADA Violations:** Enabled systemic exclusion by not enforcing ADA accommodations, violating **Title II of the ADA**.
-

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **Constitutional Violations:**
 - Failed to ensure compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements across state agencies, violating my **First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights**.



- Allowed systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes, perpetuating inequities.
 - **Medicaid and Taxpayer Violations:** Did not address systemic failures in DSS and Medicaid programs, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds.
-

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees Governor's agenda and inter-agency coordination
 - **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - Failed to address systemic violations reported under the Governor's jurisdiction, contributing to ongoing FOIA and ADA non-compliance.
-

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **ADA Violations:** Neglected to act on complaints of systemic exclusion and ADA non-compliance.
 - **Constitutional Violations:** Enabled discrimination and systemic barriers, violating my **Fourteenth Amendment rights** to equal protection.
-

Summary of Violations

Constitutional Violations

25. **First Amendment:** Obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances through FOIA inaction and procedural barriers.
26. **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process by refusing access to public records and ignoring accommodation requests.
27. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Discriminated against me as a person with disabilities, excluding me from public processes and denying equal protection.

Statutory Violations

28. **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Ignored lawful FOIA requests, violating federal and state transparency laws.
29. **ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131):** Denied reasonable accommodations and excluded me from public participation.



30. **Medicaid (42 U.S.C. § 1396a):** Failed to ensure transparency and accountability in federally funded Medicaid programs.

Taxpayer and Whistleblower Rights

31. **Taxpayer Rights:** Enabled potential fraud, waste, and abuse of federally allocated funds, undermining public trust.

32. **Whistleblower Protections:** Retaliated against my advocacy by imposing procedural barriers and suppressing transparency efforts.



When Did This Occur?

The violations began with my **initial FOIA request on October 25, 2024**, and remain **ongoing**.

Below is a detailed timeline of events tied directly to statutory and constitutional violations, demonstrating a systemic and deliberate pattern of non-compliance.

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included **ADA accommodation requests**, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- **Violations:**
 - The Attorney General's Office failed to acknowledge the FOIA request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Ignoring ADA accommodations violates **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and associated regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).

2. October 31, 2024:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- I submitted a **follow-up communication** to the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS), requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements.
- **Violations:**
 - No acknowledgment was provided, violating statutory deadlines under both **federal FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** and Connecticut state law.
 - The continued failure to provide accommodations exacerbated the ADA violation.

3. November 2, 2024:

- A second follow-up was submitted to both agencies, emphasizing the urgency of my request and its relevance to Medicaid transparency and public accountability.
- **Violations:**
 - No response was provided, continuing the violations of **FOIA deadlines, ADA requirements**, and constitutional due process protections under the **Fifth Amendment**.

4. December 2, 2024:

- I submitted a **final follow-up communication**, highlighting the systemic nature of these failures, the broader implications for federal Medicaid compliance, and the violation of constitutional rights.
- **Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The agencies failed to act on repeated requests, demonstrating systemic obstruction of transparency, ADA non-compliance, and suppression of whistleblower advocacy.

5. Ongoing and Continuous Violations:

- To date, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and associated entities have failed to:
 - Provide the requested public records, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Address ADA accommodation requests, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131**.
 - Ensure transparency and accountability in Medicaid program oversight, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
- These failures persist, constituting ongoing violations of **constitutional rights**, federal statutes, and taxpayer protections.

Key Legal Violations and Constitutional Implications

1. FOIA Violations:

- **Federal FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Agencies failed to acknowledge or respond to my requests within the statutory deadlines of **20 business days**, impeding public access to information.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **State FOIA (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210):** Agencies ignored the **four-business-day acknowledgment deadline**, demonstrating systemic non-compliance with transparency laws.

2. **ADA Violations:**

- **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131):** Agencies failed to provide reasonable accommodations for my disability, excluding me from participating in public processes.
- **28 C.F.R. § 35.160:** Agencies denied effective communication, further violating ADA mandates.

3. **Constitutional Violations:**

- **First Amendment:** Suppression of my FOIA requests and procedural barriers infringed upon my **right to petition** the government for redress of grievances.
- **Fifth Amendment:** Denial of access to public records and accommodations violated my **due process rights**.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:** Exclusion due to my disability constitutes discrimination, violating my **equal protection rights**.

4. **Medicaid and Taxpayer Violations:**

- **42 U.S.C. § 1396a:** Refusal to provide transparency in Medicaid oversight undermines federal regulations requiring efficient and accountable program administration.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs raises concerns of **fraud, waste, and abuse**, violating public trust.

Conclusion

The violations began with my **initial FOIA request on October 25, 2024**, and remain ongoing.

These failures to comply with federal and state laws, combined with ADA and constitutional violations, demonstrate systemic neglect and deliberate obstruction, necessitating immediate federal intervention to uphold legal and constitutional rights.



How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency, accountability, and accessibility within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery unfolded as follows:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests:

- On **October 25, 2024**, I submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking transparency into the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- When the statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response passed without action, I initiated follow-up communications on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**. These requests were ignored, indicating potential non-compliance with **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. Denial of ADA Accommodations:

- Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included simplified communication formats and accessible records.



- The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodation requests alerted me to systemic non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).

3. **Repeated Exclusion from Public Processes:**

- My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being directly affected by the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, further demonstrated systemic discrimination and suppression of my advocacy efforts.
- These barriers highlighted ongoing violations of my **First Amendment right to petition the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights,** and **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights.**

4. **Research into Broader Failures:**

- As I investigated the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I identified broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO).
- This included evidence of widespread non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**).



5. Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers:

- The continued lack of transparency, repeated procedural barriers, and exclusionary practices pointed to systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of taxpayer funds. These failures were compounded by the refusal to disclose records critical to Medicaid oversight.

Conclusion

I discovered these actions through direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate, uncovering systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations. My efforts to seek transparency and accountability revealed deliberate obstruction, exclusionary practices, and potential fraud or waste of federally allocated Medicaid funds.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, constitutional rights, Medicaid oversight requirements, and taxpayer protections:

1. FOIA Violations

- **Unanswered Requests:**

- I submitted a FOIA request on **October 25, 2024**, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, followed by additional communications on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**. None of these were acknowledged or processed, violating statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- The complete lack of response demonstrates systemic non-compliance and obstruction of transparency.

- **Deliberate Obstruction:**

- The agencies' inaction suggests intentional efforts to suppress information related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, hindering public oversight of federally funded programs.

2. ADA Violations

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Ignored Accommodation Requests:**

- Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records.
- The agencies failed to provide accommodations, violating **28 C.F.R. § 35.130** and **§ 35.160**, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination based on disability.

- **Exclusion from Public Processes:**

- I was systematically excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, further marginalizing my voice as a whistleblower and disability advocate.
- This exclusion violates the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause** and ADA protections.

3. Whistleblower Retaliation

- **Procedural Barriers:**

- After raising concerns about systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, I encountered procedural barriers, including delayed responses, public ridicule, and outright exclusion.



- These actions constitute retaliation, violating federal **whistleblower protections** aimed at safeguarding individuals who expose fraud, waste, or abuse in federally funded programs.

4. Gross Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds

- **Lack of Transparency:**
 - Refusal to disclose records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars.
 - Transparency in Medicaid program administration is mandated under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(30)(A)**, and failure to provide oversight jeopardizes compliance with federal funding requirements.
- **Absence of Accountability Measures:**
 - Despite my FOIA requests, no records of audits, enforcement actions, or disciplinary measures against providers in the ABI Waiver Program have been disclosed.
 - This lack of accountability endangers the program's integrity and the vulnerable populations it serves.

5. Documentary Evidence

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Submission Records:**

- Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) provide a clear timeline of agency non-compliance.
- These records show repeated violations of statutory deadlines and transparency obligations.

- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**

- Documented requests for reasonable accommodations demonstrate the agencies' failure to comply with ADA mandates.

- **Exclusion and Retaliation Evidence:**

- Correspondence with DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office highlights procedural barriers and exclusionary practices aimed at suppressing my advocacy.

- **Medicaid Transparency Deficiencies:**

- The absence of publicly available records on Medicaid ABI Waiver Program oversight supports allegations of systemic mismanagement.

6. Broader Implications

- **Harm to Public Trust:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The systemic failure to comply with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations undermines public confidence in federally funded programs.
- Excluding whistleblowers and disability advocates perpetuates a culture of non-accountability and inequity.
- **Risk to Vulnerable Populations:**
 - The lack of transparency and oversight jeopardizes the quality and accessibility of services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, one of the most vulnerable populations relying on Medicaid.

Conclusion

These facts demonstrate systemic violations of federal and state laws, including FOIA, ADA, Medicaid oversight requirements, and constitutional protections. Immediate federal intervention is necessary to address these failures and restore transparency, accountability, and compliance.

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
- **Abuse of Authority:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ignored FOIA requests (October 25, 2024, and subsequent follow-ups), failing to provide transparency about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Denied ADA accommodations for simplified communication formats and accessible records, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130**.
- Oversaw a culture of exclusion by failing to include stakeholders, such as individuals with acquired brain injuries, in public processes critical to Medicaid oversight, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.
- Allowed systemic non-accountability for Medicaid providers, raising concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded programs, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Refused to enforce FOIA compliance within his office, suppressing public access to critical information about Medicaid programs, violating the **First**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Amendment right to petition the government and **Fifth Amendment** due process rights.

- Ignored ADA compliance within the Attorney General's Office, enabling systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.
- Obstructed transparency efforts, perpetuating a culture of secrecy regarding public programs funded by taxpayers.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Failed to investigate and address systemic non-compliance in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, violating federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**).
 - Neglected federal oversight responsibilities, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid dollars.



4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** National Administrator of CMS
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Allowed systemic issues in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to persist without intervention, violating federal oversight responsibilities under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Failed to ensure compliance with federal transparency and accountability standards, jeopardizing taxpayer funds and the integrity of Medicaid services.

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Neglected operational oversight of FOIA and ADA compliance within the Attorney General’s Office, contributing to systemic transparency failures.
 - Enabled exclusionary practices and the suppression of whistleblower advocacy, violating federal whistleblower protections and the **First Amendment**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Allowed systemic violations within DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to continue unchecked, failing to exercise executive authority to enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections.
 - Failed to address systemic issues despite public awareness, perpetuating a culture of neglect and non-accountability, violating the public trust.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees executive operations and ensures policy enforcement across state agencies
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Failed to ensure that state agencies under the Governor's jurisdiction complied with federal and state obligations, contributing to ongoing FOIA and ADA non-compliance.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Failed to act on complaints of ADA violations and systemic discrimination, enabling exclusionary practices within DSS and the Attorney General's Office.
 - Allowed systemic violations of civil rights protections for individuals with disabilities, undermining the mission of CHRO.

Summary of Violations

1. **FOIA Violations:** Refusal to respond to or process FOIA requests constitutes a suppression of transparency and an abuse of authority.
2. **ADA Violations:** Systemic denial of reasonable accommodations excluded individuals with disabilities from public participation.
3. **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Obstructed transparency and advocacy efforts, suppressing whistleblower rights.



- **Fifth Amendment:** Denied due process through procedural barriers and non-compliance.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Engaged in discriminatory practices, excluding individuals with disabilities.
4. **Medicaid Oversight Failures:** Allowed potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds by failing to ensure transparency and accountability in federally funded Medicaid programs.



2. What Action Did They Take?

Here's a detailed, bulletproof breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each key individual that resulted in violations of FOIA, ADA, constitutional rights, and federal Medicaid regulations. This response ensures clarity, legal precision, and actionable details.

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Ignored FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Denied ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and associated regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).
 - Allowed systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause**.
 - Failed to conduct or disclose audits and accountability measures for Medicaid ABI Waiver Program providers, raising concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Refused to acknowledge or process FOIA requests submitted to his office, suppressing transparency and violating the **First Amendment's right to petition** and **Fifth Amendment due process rights**.
 - Failed to enforce FOIA compliance and transparency across Connecticut agencies, perpetuating systemic violations of **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
 - Neglected to address ADA accommodation failures within his office, enabling discriminatory practices, violating **Title II of the ADA**.
 - Suppressed whistleblower advocacy by allowing procedural barriers and delays, undermining federal whistleblower protections.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite clear indications of systemic non-compliance, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected federal responsibilities to investigate and address mismanagement in Medicaid programs, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds.

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** National Administrator of CMS
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Allowed systemic issues in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to persist, failing to enforce federal oversight responsibilities under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected to ensure state compliance with transparency, accountability, and effective program administration, jeopardizing public trust and Medicaid beneficiaries.



5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to operationalize FOIA compliance and ADA accommodations within the Attorney General's Office, perpetuating systemic transparency failures.
 - Neglected oversight responsibilities, enabling discriminatory practices and the suppression of whistleblower advocacy, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552, Title II of the ADA**, and whistleblower protection statutes.

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Allowed systemic violations within DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to persist, failing to exercise executive authority to enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not intervene or hold state agencies accountable despite public awareness of these issues, perpetuating systemic neglect and constitutional violations.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees executive operations and ensures policy enforcement across state agencies
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to address systemic violations within the Governor’s jurisdiction, contributing to ongoing non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations.

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to act on complaints of ADA violations and systemic discrimination, perpetuating exclusionary practices by DSS and the Attorney General’s Office.



- Neglected to address systemic civil rights violations, undermining CHRO's mission to ensure equal access and compliance with ADA protections.

Summary of Violations

1. FOIA Violations:

- Agencies and officials ignored lawful FOIA requests, violating federal and state transparency laws.

2. ADA Violations:

- Denied reasonable accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities from public processes, in violation of ADA mandates.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- **First Amendment:** Obstructed the right to petition the government through FOIA non-compliance.
- **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process through delays and lack of response.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:** Enabled discrimination and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities.

4. Medicaid Oversight Failures:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neglected federal and state oversight responsibilities, risking fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs.

5. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Suppressed advocacy efforts by creating procedural barriers and delays, violating whistleblower protection statutes.



Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The Attorney General's Office and DSS failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

- I sent the first follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements.
- Both agencies failed to respond, violating statutory FOIA deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and state law.

3. November 2, 2024:



- A second follow-up was submitted, emphasizing the urgency of my request for transparency and the legal obligation to accommodate my disability under the ADA.
- The agencies again failed to respond or acknowledge the request, compounding the violations of federal FOIA statutes and ADA requirements.

4. December 2, 2024:

- A final follow-up communication was submitted, highlighting the systemic nature of these failures and their broader implications for Medicaid compliance and public accountability.
- No acknowledgment or response was received, demonstrating ongoing systemic non-compliance.

5. Ongoing Violations:

- The failure to comply with FOIA, ADA, and federal Medicaid regulations remains unresolved as of today.
- Continued exclusion from public forums, refusal to disclose critical Medicaid information, and suppression of whistleblower advocacy reflect deliberate and systemic violations of federal law and constitutional rights.

Violations by Timeline

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failure to acknowledge or respond to my FOIA request violated federal FOIA deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)** and state requirements under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. **ADA Non-Compliance:**

- Ignored requests for reasonable accommodations from October 25, 2024, onward, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).

3. **Constitutional Violations:**

- These failures infringed on my **First Amendment right** to petition the government, my **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, and my **Fourteenth Amendment right** to equal protection.

4. **Medicaid Violations:**

- Systemic lack of transparency in Medicaid ABI Waiver Program oversight jeopardizes compliance with **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and continue to this day, reflecting an ongoing pattern of non-compliance, exclusion, and systemic neglect.

Immediate federal intervention is required to address these persistent failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability in Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Below is a detailed explanation of how I identified the systemic failures:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate the program's oversight and compliance with federal regulations.
 - Despite statutory deadlines requiring acknowledgment within **four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210)** and response within **20 business days (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A))**, no response or acknowledgment was received.

2. Repeated Follow-Ups and Continued Non-Response

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - I sent follow-up communications to the Attorney General's Office and the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting the lack of acknowledgment and requesting updates.



- Each follow-up was ignored, which revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate non-compliance with transparency obligations.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- Along with my FOIA requests, I explicitly requested **reasonable ADA accommodations** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including:
 - Simplified communication formats.
 - Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies.
- The agencies' failure to acknowledge or address these requests revealed **violations of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**, further excluding me from public processes.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid program oversight—despite being a direct stakeholder—underscored systemic barriers and discriminatory practices.
- This exclusion revealed constitutional violations, including:
 - **First Amendment (right to petition):** Suppression of my advocacy efforts.



- **Fifth Amendment (due process):** Denial of access to legally mandated processes and information.
- **Fourteenth Amendment (equal protection):** Discrimination based on my disability, preventing equitable participation.

5. Broader Investigation and Analysis

- As I analyzed the lack of response, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records or disclosures related to Medicaid ABI Waiver Program audits, provider complaints, or enforcement actions.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** The absence of accountability measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

6. Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers

- Procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness, exclusionary practices, and delays, confirmed deliberate obstruction and systemic failures across the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other agencies.
- These actions directly impeded my ability to advocate as a whistleblower and obtain transparency into federally funded programs.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Conclusion

I discovered these actions through my direct engagement with the FOIA process, my ADA accommodation requests, and my broader advocacy efforts. These experiences revealed systemic non-compliance with federal and state transparency laws, ADA mandates, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Here is a comprehensive response with specific supporting facts tied to the allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections:

1. FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Unanswered FOIA Requests:**
 - I submitted a FOIA request on **October 25, 2024**, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, with follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**. None of these communications were acknowledged or answered, violating statutory requirements under **5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- This deliberate inaction reflects a systemic failure to uphold transparency and accountability in Medicaid program oversight.
- **Pattern of Obstruction:**
 - The lack of acknowledgment, communication, or documentation in response to lawful FOIA requests demonstrates intentional suppression of public information about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

2. ADA Violations

- **Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests:**
 - Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records.
 - The refusal to address these accommodation requests constitutes a violation of **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**), further excluding me from participating in government processes.
- **Exclusionary Practices:**
 - My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight underscores systemic discrimination and deliberate marginalization of individuals



with disabilities, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.

3. Constitutional Violations

- **First Amendment Violations:**

- By obstructing FOIA requests and suppressing my ability to advocate as a whistleblower, the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and DSS infringed upon my **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress of grievances.

- **Fifth Amendment Violations:**

- The refusal to provide access to legally mandated public records and processes denied my **due process rights**, as guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment.

- **Fourteenth Amendment Violations:**

- The systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes constitutes discrimination, violating my **Fourteenth Amendment right** to equal protection under the law.

4. Whistleblower Retaliation

- **Suppression of Advocacy:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Procedural barriers, non-responsiveness, and public exclusion demonstrate retaliation against my efforts to expose systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.
- These actions violate federal whistleblower protection laws designed to protect individuals advocating for transparency and accountability in federally funded programs.

5. Medicaid Oversight Failures

- **Lack of Transparency:**
 - No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider oversight, or enforcement actions.
 - This absence of accountability raises concerns about compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and the potential for fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.
- **Program Mismanagement:**
 - The refusal to disclose records essential for evaluating Medicaid program oversight indicates systemic mismanagement and jeopardizes the integrity of services for vulnerable populations, including individuals with acquired brain injuries.

6. Corroborating Evidence

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Submission Records:**

- Documented FOIA requests and follow-ups provide evidence of agency non-compliance with federal and state transparency laws.

- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**

- Written and verbal requests for reasonable accommodations substantiate violations of ADA mandates.

- **Correspondence:**

- Communication logs with DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office demonstrate repeated procedural barriers, delays, and exclusionary practices.

- **Lack of Accountability Measures:**

- The absence of audits, disciplinary actions, or provider accountability reports within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program supports allegations of systemic neglect and non-compliance.

7. Broader Implications

- **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:**

- The systemic denial of transparency and ADA accommodations harms individuals reliant on Medicaid services, exacerbating inequality and discrimination.

- **Erosion of Public Trust:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The refusal to comply with transparency laws and oversight obligations undermines public confidence in state and federally funded programs.
- **Taxpayer Impact:**
 - Mismanagement of federally allocated Medicaid funds risks fraud, waste, and abuse, violating public trust and federal funding requirements.

Conclusion

These additional facts provide clear and compelling evidence of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid oversight requirements, and constitutional rights. Immediate federal investigation is necessary to address these failures and restore accountability, transparency, and compliance.



Gross Waste of Funds Allegation

This allegation focuses on the **mismanagement or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds**, emphasizing systemic failures to ensure transparency and accountability. Below is the breakdown of how each individual's role and actions (or inactions) contributed to this gross waste of taxpayer dollars:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role:** Oversees DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Failed to provide transparency into Medicaid ABI Waiver Program administration, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected to ensure oversight of providers, including audits, accountability measures, and responses to complaints, increasing the risk of fraud, waste, and abuse.
 - Refused to disclose requested records through FOIA, preventing public accountability for the program's expenditures and operations.
 - Enabled systemic inefficiency by ignoring ADA accommodation requests, creating barriers to stakeholder advocacy and oversight.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Role:** Responsible for ensuring legal compliance and transparency across state agencies, including Medicaid program operations.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Oversaw the obstruction of FOIA requests, suppressing public access to information necessary for holding DSS and Medicaid programs accountable.
 - Failed to enforce transparency and accountability laws, enabling potential misuse of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed systemic non-compliance, risking financial mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Role:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states, ensuring compliance with federal Medicaid regulations.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**



- Failed to investigate systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite ongoing non-compliance and lack of transparency.
- Neglected oversight responsibilities, allowing the potential misallocation of federally allocated Medicaid funds.

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role:** National Administrator of Medicaid programs.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Allowed systemic issues in Medicaid program oversight in Connecticut to persist without intervention.
 - Failed to ensure Connecticut adhered to federal Medicaid regulations, enabling potential misuse or mismanagement of funds.

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Role:** Second-in-command to the Connecticut Attorney General, responsible for operational oversight of transparency laws.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within the Attorney General’s Office, contributing to a lack of transparency in Medicaid program expenditures.
- Enabled procedural barriers that shielded DSS and Medicaid programs from public scrutiny, increasing the risk of financial mismanagement.

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Role:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agency compliance with federal laws.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Did not intervene or address systemic failures in DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General’s Office, despite being aware of ongoing issues.
 - Allowed mismanagement of federally allocated Medicaid funds to continue unchecked, undermining public trust and fiscal accountability.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Role:** Oversees executive operations and ensures agency compliance with the Governor’s agenda.



- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**

- Failed to address the systemic lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs under the Governor's jurisdiction.

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Role:** Head of CHRO, responsible for addressing systemic discrimination and ensuring equitable access to government services.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Did not act on complaints of ADA violations and procedural barriers, which excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in Medicaid program oversight.
 - Enabled a culture of non-accountability that increased the risk of financial mismanagement.

Systemic Implications of Gross Waste of Funds

1. Transparency Failures:

- FOIA non-compliance prevented public oversight of Medicaid expenditures, enabling inefficiency and potential fraud.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. ADA Violations:

- Excluding individuals with disabilities from public processes denied critical stakeholder input, exacerbating inequitable and inefficient program administration.

3. Medicaid Non-Compliance:

- Violations of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a** demonstrate systemic mismanagement, risking loss of federal funding and harm to Medicaid beneficiaries.

4. Taxpayer Impact:

- The systemic lack of transparency and accountability undermines public trust and creates opportunities for waste and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

Conclusion

The gross waste of funds stems from systemic failures at multiple levels of state and federal oversight, highlighting the urgent need for federal intervention to restore accountability, ensure proper Medicaid fund allocation, and protect taxpayer resources.



3. When Did This Occur?

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of key events:

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day** **deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the first violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- I sent the first follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements.
- Neither agency responded, violating statutory FOIA deadlines under both **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

3. **November 2, 2024:**

- I sent a second follow-up to both agencies, reiterating the urgency of my request and the legal obligation to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA.
- The agencies again failed to respond or acknowledge my request, demonstrating systemic non-compliance.

4. **December 2, 2024:**

- I submitted a final follow-up communication, highlighting the broader implications of these failures, including violations of federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and constitutional protections.
- The agencies failed to provide any response, further solidifying the ongoing violations.



5. Ongoing and Continuous Violations:

- As of **December 2, 2024**, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other related agencies continue to:
 - Refuse to respond to FOIA requests or provide ADA accommodations.
 - Exclude me from public forums and discussions critical to Medicaid oversight.
 - Obstruct access to public records, transparency, and accountability in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- These failures demonstrate a pattern of systemic neglect, deliberate non-compliance, and suppression of whistleblower advocacy.

Violations by Timeline

1. FOIA Violations:

- Agencies failed to meet statutory deadlines for acknowledgment (**4 business days under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**) and response (**20 business days under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**).

2. ADA Violations:



- Agencies ignored ADA accommodation requests beginning on **October 25, 2024**, and continuing to this day, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130** and **§ 35.160**.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- These ongoing failures infringe on my:
 - **First Amendment right to petition** the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as agencies denied access to mandated public records and processes.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights**, by discriminating against me as a person with disabilities.

4. Medicaid Oversight Failures:

- Lack of transparency and accountability violates federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and jeopardizes compliance with funding requirements.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and persist to this day.

These ongoing failures demonstrate deliberate systemic neglect and non-compliance, necessitating immediate federal intervention.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed account of how I uncovered these systemic issues:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. This request was intended to ensure transparency and accountability in a federally funded program.
 - The agency's failure to meet statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response alerted me to a potential systemic issue with transparency and FOIA compliance.

2. Follow-Up Communications

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting their failure to acknowledge or respond to my request.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The consistent lack of response, combined with procedural delays, suggested deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- I included requests for **reasonable ADA accommodations**, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The agencies ignored these requests, which revealed systemic non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).
- The denial of accommodations further excluded me from participating in oversight processes, underscoring a pattern of discrimination and systemic barriers.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight.
- This exclusion revealed violations of my:
 - **First Amendment right to petition** the government.



- **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes.
- **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection**, as a person with disabilities.

5. Broader Investigations and Findings

- As I sought to understand the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records on Medicaid program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** Absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:** Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of agency non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:** Documented requests for accommodations demonstrate the agencies' failure to comply with ADA mandates.



- **Procedural Barriers:** Exclusionary practices and delays confirm systemic efforts to suppress transparency and advocacy.

Conclusion

I discovered these violations through my direct interactions with the FOIA process, repeated denials of ADA accommodations, and exclusion from public forums. These experiences revealed systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate the systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts highlight a pattern of non-compliance, gross waste of funds, and deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes.

1. FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Ignored FOIA Requests:**

- My initial FOIA request, submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS).
- Both agencies violated statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, failing to acknowledge or respond to legally submitted requests.

- **Pattern of Obstruction:**

- The refusal to disclose public records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program reveals systemic suppression of transparency, violating federal and state laws designed to ensure public accountability.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. ADA Violations

- **Denied Accommodation Requests:**

- Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit requests for reasonable accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records, as mandated under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**.
- The agencies failed to provide these accommodations, violating **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and equitable access.

- **Exclusionary Practices:**

- By ignoring ADA accommodation requests, DSS and the Attorney General's Office excluded me from participating in Medicaid program oversight processes.
- This exclusion violates the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause** and perpetuates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

3. Constitutional Violations

- **First Amendment (Right to Petition):**



- FOIA non-compliance obstructed my ability to petition the government for redress of grievances, violating my constitutional right to advocate for transparency and accountability.
- **Fifth Amendment (Due Process):**
 - The agencies' refusal to provide public records and accommodations denied me access to public processes mandated by law, violating my procedural due process rights.
- **Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection):**
 - Systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes due to my disability constitutes discrimination, violating my equal protection rights under the law.

4. Medicaid Oversight Failures

- **Lack of Transparency:**
 - No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider accountability, or enforcement actions.
 - This absence of oversight violates **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**, which requires Medicaid programs to operate transparently and effectively.
- **Potential Mismanagement:**



- The lack of disclosure regarding complaints, violations, or corrective actions against Medicaid providers raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

5. Whistleblower Retaliation

- **Procedural Barriers:**
 - The agencies imposed procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness and exclusion from public processes, to suppress my efforts as a whistleblower.
 - These actions constitute retaliation against protected advocacy, violating federal whistleblower protection laws.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:**
 - Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) demonstrate a clear pattern of agency non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**
 - Documented requests for reasonable accommodations highlight systemic failures to provide equitable access and effective communication.



- **Communication Logs:**

- Email and written correspondence with DSS and the Attorney General’s Office confirm repeated procedural barriers and lack of responsiveness.

- **Program Oversight Deficiencies:**

- The absence of provider audits, enforcement actions, or corrective measures within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program underscores systemic neglect.

7. Broader Implications

- **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:**

- The lack of transparency and accountability jeopardizes the quality of care for Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly individuals with acquired brain injuries.

- **Gross Waste of Funds:**

- Systemic failures to oversee Medicaid programs risk fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources, undermining public trust.

- **Public Trust Erosion:**

- Continued non-compliance with federal and state laws damages confidence in the government’s ability to operate programs equitably and effectively.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



These additional facts substantiate systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, and constitutional protections. They demonstrate a deliberate pattern of non-compliance and exclusion, necessitating immediate federal intervention to restore transparency, accountability, and equitable program administration.



Danger to Public Health or Safety

The lack of transparency, accountability, and oversight in Connecticut's Medicaid programs, specifically the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, poses a significant danger to public health and safety. Vulnerable populations, including individuals with acquired brain injuries, rely on these services for essential care, and systemic failures jeopardize their well-being. Below is a breakdown of how each individual contributed to this danger:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role:** Oversees DSS operations, including the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Lack of Oversight:** Failed to ensure transparency in program operations, including audits, complaints, and enforcement actions, increasing risks of substandard care.
 - **FOIA Non-Compliance:** Refused to disclose information critical to evaluating the program's effectiveness and safety, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - **Impact on Vulnerable Populations:** Without accountability, Medicaid beneficiaries, including those with acquired brain injuries, face risks of neglect, mistreatment, or inadequate care.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Role:** Responsible for ensuring legal compliance across state agencies.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **FOIA Obstruction:** Suppressed transparency efforts by ignoring FOIA requests, obstructing access to vital Medicaid oversight information.
 - **Failure to Enforce Accountability:** Did not hold DSS or other agencies accountable for systemic non-compliance, perpetuating unsafe practices in Medicaid programs.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Role:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and other New England states.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Lack of Federal Oversight:** Neglected to investigate or address systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite its federally funded nature.



- **Risk to Public Health:** By failing to ensure program accountability, Barbara Manning allowed unsafe conditions and risks to persist for vulnerable Medicaid populations.

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role:** National Administrator of Medicaid programs.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Failure to Intervene:** Did not address ongoing issues in Connecticut's Medicaid program, allowing risks to public health and safety to escalate.
 - **Jeopardized Beneficiary Care:** Lax oversight contributed to systemic failures that directly impact the quality and accessibility of care for Medicaid beneficiaries.

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Role:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**



- **Neglected Legal Oversight:** Failed to enforce transparency and ADA compliance, preventing stakeholders from ensuring the safety and efficacy of Medicaid programs.

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Role:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Inaction on Systemic Failures:** Despite being aware of the systemic issues in DSS and other agencies, Governor Lamont failed to intervene or address the lack of accountability.
 - **Harm to Public Trust:** This inaction undermines public confidence in programs meant to serve vulnerable populations, further endangering their health and safety.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Role:** Oversees executive operations and ensures compliance with the Governor's agenda.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Lack of Executive Oversight:** Failed to ensure that state agencies complied with federal and state requirements for Medicaid program transparency and safety.

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Role:** Ensures equitable access and compliance with ADA requirements in public services.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Neglected ADA Complaints:** Did not address exclusionary practices or ensure reasonable accommodations for stakeholders advocating for Medicaid program improvements.
 - **Risk to Vulnerable Groups:** Failure to address ADA violations perpetuates systemic inequities and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries.

Systemic Implications of Danger

1. Transparency Failures:

- FOIA non-compliance and lack of public accountability prevent scrutiny of Medicaid program operations, jeopardizing care quality and safety.

2. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those with acquired brain injuries, face increased risks of neglect, mistreatment, or harm due to inadequate oversight.

3. Erosion of Public Trust:

- Lack of accountability undermines confidence in the integrity of public health programs and exposes taxpayers to fraud, waste, and abuse.

4. Broader Public Health Risk:

- Systemic failures in Medicaid administration can set a precedent for unsafe practices in other programs, posing a wider threat to public health and safety.

Conclusion

The systemic lack of transparency and accountability in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program poses an ongoing danger to public health and safety. Immediate federal intervention is required to protect vulnerable populations, restore program integrity, and ensure compliance with federal oversight requirements.



3. When Did This Occur?

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events that illustrate the systemic and ongoing nature of these violations:

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request also included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the first violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

- I submitted a follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and compliance with ADA requirements.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neither agency provided a response, violating FOIA's federal **20-business-day response deadline** under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.

3. **November 2, 2024:**

- I sent a second follow-up communication reiterating the urgency of my requests for transparency and ADA compliance.
- Both agencies ignored this communication, compounding the FOIA and ADA violations and demonstrating systemic non-compliance.

4. **December 2, 2024:**

- I submitted a final follow-up communication highlighting the broader implications of these failures, including violations of Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and constitutional rights.
- No response or acknowledgment was received, further confirming the deliberate and ongoing nature of these violations.

5. **Ongoing and Continuous Violations:**

- To date, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other related entities continue to:
 - Fail to respond to my FOIA requests and provide ADA accommodations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Exclude me from public forums and discussions critical to Medicaid program oversight.
- Obstruct access to public records, transparency, and accountability for federally funded Medicaid programs.

Nature of Violations

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Agencies failed to meet acknowledgment and response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. ADA Violations:

- Ignored requests for accommodations, excluding me from participation and access, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130** and **§ 35.160**.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- These actions infringe on my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as agencies denied access to mandated processes and records.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights**, as a person with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Medicaid Oversight Failures:

- Lack of transparency and accountability violates federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and jeopardizes the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and persist to this day.

These ongoing failures demonstrate systemic neglect, deliberate non-compliance, and significant risks to public health and safety, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight and compliance with federal regulations.
 - The agency failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, which was my first indication of potential systemic transparency issues.

2. Follow-Up Communications

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS).



- The consistent lack of response and failure to meet statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) revealed procedural barriers and deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- Along with my FOIA request, I submitted **explicit ADA accommodation requests** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including simplified communication formats and accessible records.
- The agencies' failure to address or fulfill these accommodations exposed systemic non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**.
- This denial of accommodations excluded me from participating in public processes and advocacy efforts, further confirming institutional barriers and discriminatory practices.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid oversight.
- This exclusion revealed violations of my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes.
- **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection**, as a person with disabilities.

5. Broader Investigation and Findings

- As I sought to understand the lack of response and accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records on Medicaid program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** The absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:** Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:** Documented requests for accommodations highlight systemic failures to provide equitable access and effective communication.



- **Communication Logs:** Correspondence with DSS and the Attorney General's Office confirms procedural barriers and systemic non-responsiveness.

Conclusion

I discovered these actions through my direct engagement with the FOIA process, repeated denials of ADA accommodations, and exclusion from public processes. These experiences exposed systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



Constitutional Rights Violations Contributing to Danger to Public Safety

The systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight within Connecticut's Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver Program, violate multiple constitutional rights and significantly endanger public safety. Below are the specific constitutional violations linked to key individuals' actions or inactions.

1. First Amendment Violations

- **Right to Petition the Government:**
 - **What Happened:**
 - FOIA non-compliance obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - The Attorney General's Office and DSS suppressed my advocacy efforts by ignoring lawful FOIA requests, preventing me from addressing systemic Medicaid oversight failures.
 - **Constitutional Violation:**
 - Suppressing my ability to request information and advocate for transparency violates the **First Amendment**.

2. Fifth Amendment Violations

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Right to Due Process:**

- **What Happened:**

- Denied access to public records mandated by FOIA and state law.
 - Ignored ADA accommodations, effectively excluding me from participating in Medicaid-related public processes.
 - Procedural barriers and non-responsiveness blocked my access to legal remedies.

- **Constitutional Violation:**

- These actions violate the **Fifth Amendment**, which guarantees procedural due process rights when engaging with government processes.

3. Fourteenth Amendment Violations

- **Equal Protection Under the Law:**

- **What Happened:**

- Denial of ADA accommodations excluded me, as a person with a disability, from critical forums and decision-making processes concerning Medicaid oversight.
 - Systemic neglect disproportionately impacts individuals with acquired brain injuries and disabilities, creating unequal access to public services.



- **Constitutional Violation:**
 - This exclusion constitutes discrimination, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause**.
- **Due Process (State-Level Applications):**
 - **What Happened:**
 - Lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs directly affects beneficiaries' ability to access safe, effective care.
 - DSS and the Attorney General's Office ignored FOIA requests and procedural requirements, bypassing due process obligations.
 - **Constitutional Violation:**
 - These actions also violate the Fourteenth Amendment's **Due Process Clause**, which requires fairness in government actions impacting individuals' rights.

Specific Violations Linked to Danger to Public Safety

1. Denial of Transparency (First and Fifth Amendments):

- FOIA violations obstruct public accountability, preventing timely identification of safety risks in Medicaid programs, which jeopardizes beneficiaries' lives and well-being.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Exclusion of Stakeholders (Fourteenth Amendment):

- The exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes weakens program accountability and oversight, increasing risks of harm to vulnerable populations.

3. Suppression of Advocacy (First Amendment):

- Retaliatory procedural barriers discourage whistleblower advocacy, allowing dangerous conditions to persist within Medicaid programs.

4. Failure to Provide Due Process (Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments):

- Denying access to records and accommodations prevents individuals from engaging in legally mandated oversight, violating due process and endangering public safety.

Contributing Actions by Key Individuals

Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS):

- Ignored FOIA requests, violating the First and Fifth Amendments.
- Failed to provide ADA accommodations, violating the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause.

Attorney General William Tong:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Suppressed transparency by allowing systemic FOIA non-compliance, violating the First Amendment.
- Failed to ensure ADA compliance, perpetuating discrimination and violating the Fourteenth Amendment.

Barbara Manning (CMS Regional Administrator):

- Neglected oversight of Medicaid programs, enabling systemic failures that endanger vulnerable populations and violate constitutional protections.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator):

- Failed to enforce transparency and oversight within Connecticut's Medicaid programs, allowing constitutional violations to persist.

Eileen Meskill (Deputy Attorney General):

- Operationally responsible for FOIA compliance, ignored requests, and failed to address ADA violations, compounding constitutional infractions.

Governor Ned Lamont:

- As the state's chief executive, failed to intervene in systemic failures, allowing violations of constitutional rights to persist unchecked.

Systemic Impact of Constitutional Violations

1. Public Health Risk:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denying transparency and excluding stakeholders from oversight processes jeopardizes the safety and well-being of Medicaid beneficiaries.

2. Erosion of Public Trust:

- Violations of constitutional rights and lack of government accountability undermine public confidence in Medicaid programs and taxpayer-funded initiatives.

3. Increased Risks for Vulnerable Populations:

- Discrimination and lack of oversight disproportionately harm individuals with acquired brain injuries and disabilities, leaving them vulnerable to neglect, mistreatment, or harm.

Conclusion

The actions of key individuals and agencies violate the **First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments** of the U.S. Constitution. These constitutional infractions directly contribute to systemic risks that endanger public safety and necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold the rule of law and protect vulnerable populations.



3. When Did This Occur?

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events, demonstrating systemic and ongoing failures.

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, as required under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**.
- The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge this request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the first violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

- I sent a follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and compliance with ADA requirements.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neither agency responded, violating federal FOIA response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.

3. November 2, 2024:

- I submitted a second follow-up communication reiterating the urgency of my requests for transparency and ADA compliance.
- Both agencies ignored this communication, compounding FOIA and ADA violations and demonstrating systemic neglect.

4. December 2, 2024:

- I submitted a final follow-up communication highlighting the broader implications of these failures, including violations of federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and constitutional rights.
- Neither agency provided any acknowledgment or response, confirming deliberate non-compliance and ongoing violations.

5. Ongoing and Continuous Violations:

- As of today, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other related entities
continue to:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Refuse to respond to my FOIA requests or provide ADA accommodations.
- Exclude me from public forums and discussions critical to Medicaid program oversight.
- Obstruct access to public records and accountability in federally funded programs.

Nature of Violations

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Agencies failed to meet acknowledgment and response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. ADA Violations:

- Ignored requests for accommodations, excluding me from participation and access, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- These ongoing failures infringe on my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as agencies denied access to mandated processes and records.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights**, as a person with disabilities.

4. **Medicaid Oversight Failures:**

- Lack of transparency and accountability violates federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and jeopardizes the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and persist to this day. These ongoing failures represent a pattern of systemic neglect, deliberate non-compliance, and significant risks to public health, safety, and constitutional rights.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight, compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, and effectiveness in serving vulnerable populations.
 - The lack of acknowledgment or response to this request, required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210** and **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**, indicated potential transparency failures and non-compliance with statutory obligations.

2. Follow-Up Communications

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent multiple follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The continued lack of response revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate suppression of transparency, as required under state and federal FOIA laws.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- Along with my FOIA request, I submitted **explicit ADA accommodation requests** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included:
 - Simplified communication formats.
 - Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies.
- The agencies' failure to fulfill these reasonable accommodation requests demonstrated non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**).
- This exclusion from participating in critical oversight processes further revealed systemic discrimination and procedural barriers.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums, discussions, and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight.



- This exclusion revealed violations of my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection**, as a person with disabilities.

5. Broader Investigation and Findings

- Through my advocacy efforts, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records, audits, or enforcement actions exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's operations or accountability mechanisms.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** Absence of records about complaints, violations, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources.
 - **Barriers to Accountability:** Systemic delays and exclusionary practices obstruct meaningful oversight and advocacy efforts.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) provide evidence of agency non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**
 - Documented requests for accommodations highlight the agencies' failure to comply with ADA mandates and ensure equitable participation.
- **Communication Logs:**
 - Correspondence with DSS and the Attorney General's Office confirms procedural barriers, delays, and systemic non-responsiveness.
- **Lack of Accountability Measures:**
 - The absence of publicly disclosed records on Medicaid program oversight supports allegations of systemic neglect and potential mismanagement.

Conclusion

I discovered these systemic failures through my direct involvement with the FOIA process, repeated denials of ADA accommodations, and exclusion from critical Medicaid oversight processes. These experiences revealed widespread violations of transparency laws, ADA requirements, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



What Action Would I Like OSC to Take?

1. Launch a Comprehensive Investigation

- **Systemic FOIA Non-Compliance:**

- Investigate failures by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and related state agencies to comply with **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Review delays and refusals to provide records related to Medicaid ABI Waiver Program operations, including:
 - Provider complaints.
 - Financial audits and fund usage.
 - Accountability measures, including investigations of fraud or misconduct.

- **ADA Non-Compliance:**

- Investigate systemic refusal to provide reasonable accommodations under the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)**, including:
 - Simplified communication formats.
 - Accessible public records.
 - Inclusion in public forums related to Medicaid program oversight.



- **Constitutional Violations:**

- Examine infringements of:

- **First Amendment:** Suppressing my right to petition the government for redress of grievances through FOIA obstruction.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denying access to due process by excluding me from government processes and failing to provide legally mandated public records.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Discriminating against me as a person with disabilities, violating my equal protection rights.

- **Whistleblower Retaliation:**

- Investigate procedural barriers, public exclusion, and systemic retaliation aimed at suppressing my advocacy for transparency and accountability.
 - Examine whether Connecticut state officials or agencies retaliated against me for exposing systemic Medicaid mismanagement.

- **Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:**

- Investigate DSS and related entities for potential violations of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**, including failure to:
 - Ensure efficient administration of federally funded Medicaid programs.
 - Prevent fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars.



- Provide effective oversight of the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Enforce Immediate Corrective Actions

- **Transparency and FOIA Compliance:**

- Compel DSS, the Attorney General's Office, and all implicated agencies to:
 - Immediately release all records requested under FOIA, including Medicaid provider complaints, financial audits, and enforcement actions.
 - Comply with statutory deadlines for FOIA requests and provide justifications for any redactions or exemptions under **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)**.

- **ADA Compliance:**

- Mandate immediate implementation of ADA accommodations for individuals with disabilities, including:
 - Simplified communication formats and accessible public records.
 - Equal access to public forums and decision-making processes.
- Enforce compliance with **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**, which require effective communication and prohibit discriminatory practices.

- **Whistleblower Protections:**

- Enforce protections under the **Whistleblower Protection Act** to prevent further retaliation against me for exposing systemic failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ensure that agencies involved are prohibited from imposing procedural barriers or other retaliatory actions.

3. Hold Individuals Accountable

- **Identify Responsible Parties:**

- Investigate the roles of key individuals, including:
 - Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS).
 - Attorney General William Tong.
 - Barbara Manning (CMS Regional Administrator).
 - Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator).
 - Governor Ned Lamont and his Chief of Staff, Matthew Brokman.
- Determine whether their actions or inactions contributed to systemic non-compliance, retaliation, and gross mismanagement.

- **Disciplinary Measures:**

- Recommend disciplinary action for officials found to have violated federal laws, suppressed whistleblower advocacy, or enabled discrimination and non-transparency.



4. Recommend Systemic Reforms

- **Structural Changes:**

- Recommend the establishment of independent oversight mechanisms to ensure ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and transparency within Medicaid programs.
- Mandate annual independent audits of Medicaid programs to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse.

- **Whistleblower Protections:**

- Recommend stronger federal oversight of whistleblower complaints related to federally funded programs.
- Propose policies requiring immediate and comprehensive responses to whistleblower allegations.

- **Transparency Initiatives:**

- Require DSS and related agencies to:
 - Publish annual reports on Medicaid program operations, including complaints, audits, and corrective actions.
 - Maintain a publicly accessible database of provider violations and enforcement outcomes.



5. Ensure Restorative Justice

- **Compensation for Harm:**

- Seek restitution for damages caused by systemic retaliation, ADA violations, and procedural barriers that obstructed my advocacy and access to information.
- Provide compensation for lost time, resources, and advocacy opportunities resulting from agency non-compliance.

- **Public Acknowledgment:**

- Require public acknowledgment of systemic failures by DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office, including an apology for violating whistleblower protections and ADA compliance.

6. Promote Public Accountability

- **Public Reporting:**

- Ensure that findings from OSC investigations are publicly disclosed, including corrective actions and enforcement measures.
- Require regular updates to demonstrate progress in addressing systemic issues and ensuring compliance with federal laws.

- **Stakeholder Inclusion:**



- Mandate the inclusion of whistleblowers, disability advocates, and affected stakeholders in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid programs.

7. Address Broader Public Safety Risks

- **Immediate Risk Mitigation:**

- Address ongoing risks to public safety posed by systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, including:
 - Lack of provider accountability.
 - Potential neglect or mistreatment of Medicaid beneficiaries.
- Strengthen enforcement of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a** to ensure Medicaid programs operate effectively and safely.

- **Policy Changes:**

- Recommend federal policy changes to prevent similar systemic failures in other states, focusing on transparency, accountability, and whistleblower protections.

Conclusion

These actions are necessary to uphold **whistleblower protections**, ensure compliance with **FOIA, ADA**, and **constitutional rights**, and protect public safety. Immediate federal

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



intervention and systemic reform are critical to restoring transparency, accountability, and trust in Medicaid programs.



Request for Federal Representation

The Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and other relevant federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), must represent **both myself as a whistleblower and the broader public** in addressing these systemic violations. These actions should include:

1. Representing Whistleblower Rights

- **Protect My Advocacy Efforts:**
 - Enforce whistleblower protections under the **Whistleblower Protection Act** to safeguard my rights and ensure that my efforts to expose systemic failures are not met with retaliation.
 - Act as a federal intermediary to hold state agencies accountable for obstructing transparency and ADA compliance.
- **Provide Legal and Procedural Representation:**
 - Advocate for my access to records, ADA accommodations, and participation in oversight processes as required under **FOIA, ADA, and constitutional rights** provisions.
 - Assist in ensuring that state agencies, including DSS and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, comply with federal laws and policies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Representing the Broader Public Interest

- **Ensure Accountability for Medicaid Mismanagement:**
 - Represent the interests of taxpayers and Medicaid beneficiaries by investigating systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of federal funds under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Address gross waste of federal and state resources allocated to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, ensuring that these funds serve their intended purpose of supporting vulnerable populations.
- **Protect Public Safety:**
 - Act on behalf of individuals who rely on Medicaid services, ensuring program integrity and preventing harm to public health and safety caused by lack of oversight, transparency, or accountability.
 - Address the exclusion of stakeholders with disabilities from decision-making processes, which creates inequitable systems that endanger lives and erode public trust.

3. Federal Oversight of State Agencies

- **Hold State Agencies Accountable:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Represent federal authority in addressing Connecticut’s systemic failures, ensuring that state agencies comply with federal laws, including **FOIA**, **ADA**, and Medicaid oversight regulations.
- Require the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Connecticut Attorney General’s Office, and CHRO to implement federal-mandated corrective actions.
- **Demand Systemic Reforms:**
 - Advocate for the establishment of independent oversight bodies to monitor ADA compliance, Medicaid program transparency, and whistleblower protections in Connecticut.

4. Advocating for Constitutional Protections

- **First Amendment:**
 - Ensure my right to petition the government for redress of grievances is fully protected by holding state agencies accountable for suppressing FOIA requests and obstructing advocacy efforts.
- **Fifth Amendment:**
 - Guarantee procedural due process in accessing public records, participating in Medicaid oversight, and ensuring equitable treatment under the law.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Demand equal protection for individuals with disabilities who are excluded from public forums, decision-making processes, and oversight roles due to systemic ADA violations.

5. Supporting Restorative Justice

- **Advocate for Compensation and Acknowledgment:**
 - Request compensation for the harm caused to myself and others affected by these systemic violations, including delays, barriers to advocacy, and exclusion from public processes.
 - Seek a public acknowledgment of failures by Connecticut state agencies to ensure accountability and transparency for the public.
- **Mandate Public Reforms:**
 - Represent the public interest by ensuring systemic reforms that guarantee transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal laws in all future Medicaid operations.

Conclusion

This request explicitly asks the federal government, through OSC and related agencies, to act on behalf of **both myself as a whistleblower** and the **broader public interest**, ensuring transparency, equity, and accountability in federally funded programs. The federal government's

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



role is essential to restoring trust in public institutions and protecting the rights of whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.



"Federal Representation for Justice: Addressing Systemic Violations of Constitutional Rights, ADA Protections, and Whistleblower Safeguards to Ensure Transparency and Accountability"

Complaint and Demand for Justice: Systemic Violations of Constitutional Rights, ADA Compliance, Whistleblower Protections, and State Transparency Obligations

What's Specifically Happening

1. State Agencies Are Failing in Their Duties

- CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities):**

CHRO is responsible for investigating discrimination complaints, including ADA violations. David submitted a notarized complaint detailing ADA violation by DSS, yet CHRO refuses to assign a case number or take meaningful action. This failure obstructs justice, denies David's right to due process, and allows systemic discrimination to persist unchecked.

- Connecticut DSS (Department of Social Services):**

DSS officials have publicly ridiculed David, excluded him from discussions, and obstructed his access to information critical for accountability. DSS has also failed



to provide the ADA accommodations David needs to engage meaningfully in its processes.

- **Attorney General's Office:**

Despite receiving evidence of systemic failures and misconduct, the Attorney General's Office has ignored David's calls for intervention, effectively enabling DSS and CHRO's inaction.

2. Federal Agencies Are Neglecting Oversight Responsibilities

- **DOJ (Department of Justice):**

David escalated his complaints to the DOJ, highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite unmistakable evidence, DOJ has taken no substantive action to protect David's rights or hold Connecticut accountable.

- **HHS OCR (Office for Civil Rights):**

As the federal agency responsible for enforcing ADA compliance in healthcare settings, HHS OCR has failed to address the systemic failures within Connecticut's Medicaid program, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse.

3. Exploitation of Disability

- Agencies are exploiting David's brain injury and related cognitive challenges, such as memory difficulties, by creating procedural barriers and refusing to



provide accommodations. This deliberate exclusion compounds his inability to navigate the system and enforce his rights effectively.

4. Retaliation for Whistleblowing

- David's efforts to expose Medicaid mismanagement and corruption have made him a target of systemic retaliation. Rather than address the issues he has raised, state and federal entities are obstructing his advocacy, denying him access to justice, and suppressing his whistleblower protections.

The Broader Implications

1. Erosion of Public Trust

- Connecticut's refusal to investigate or address its own systemic failures undermines public confidence in state agencies and their commitment to justice and transparency.

2. Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds

- The misuse or mismanagement of Medicaid funds harms taxpayers and jeopardizes the financial stability of federal healthcare programs.

3. Endangerment of Vulnerable Populations

- By neglecting ADA compliance and suppressing whistleblowers, these agencies fail to protect individuals who depend on Medicaid and disability rights protections, perpetuating harm to vulnerable communities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The violations of **ADA**, **civil**, and **constitutional rights** against David Medeiros stem from systemic corruption, deliberate inaction, and institutional self-preservation. Connecticut's state agencies and federal oversight bodies are fully aware of David's **brain injury**, which adds an egregious dimension to their failure to act. Below is a detailed analysis of the root causes and their implications.

1. Shielding Mismanagement

A Culture of Secrecy to Avoid Accountability

Connecticut's agencies operate within an environment of financial strain and heavy dependence on **Medicaid funding**. The exposure of systemic mismanagement would not only jeopardize federal funding but also trigger public scrutiny, damaging political stability and institutional reputations. These motivations drive a deliberate effort to suppress transparency and accountability.

Key Points:

- **Avoiding Scrutiny:** Connecticut officials prioritize obscuring inefficiencies and potential financial mismanagement rather than addressing whistleblower concerns.
- **Fiscal Vulnerability:** The state's precarious financial position fuels an incentive to suppress whistleblowers and deny transparency to prevent federal audits or public fallout.
- **Retaliatory Measures:** Agencies such as DSS retaliate against whistleblowers like David Medeiros to deter future accountability efforts, reinforcing a culture of impunity.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Lack of Federal Enforcement

Deferred Oversight Creates a Vacuum of Accountability

Federal agencies like the **Department of Justice (DOJ)** and **Office for Civil Rights (OCR)** within the **Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)** are tasked with enforcing ADA compliance and whistleblower protections. However, these agencies frequently defer to state jurisdictions, allowing violations to persist unchecked.

Key Points:

- **Vacuum of Accountability:** Federal inaction emboldens state agencies like DSS and CHRO, which exploit procedural loopholes to evade oversight.
- **Under prioritization of Disability Complaints:** ADA violations and whistleblower complaints, especially from individuals with disabilities, are often deprioritized due to bureaucratic inefficiency or limited resources.
- **Implicit Endorsement of State Inaction:** The lack of federal enforcement signals that state agencies can act with impunity, undermining the very purpose of federal protections.

3. Systemic Corruption

Institutional Self-Preservation Over Justice

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The refusal to act on credible complaints and the systemic obstruction faced by David Medeiros are indicative of deeper corruption. Agencies prioritize shielding themselves and their leadership over upholding public trust or addressing misconduct.

Key Points:

- **Corruption-Fueled Retaliation:** DSS and CHRO are complicit in protecting officials engaged in discriminatory or retaliatory practices by refusing to investigate or acknowledge complaints.
- **Erosion of Public Trust:** The failure to address David's complaints undermines confidence in government accountability, fostering public disenfranchisement.
- **Misaligned Priorities:** Agencies prioritize maintaining their power structures over ensuring justice, enabling discrimination and retaliatory practices to persist.

Exploitation of David Medeiros' Brain Injury

The deliberate disregard for David's documented **cognitive challenges** is not just an act of negligence; it represents a **weaponization of his disability** to suppress his advocacy and deny him justice.

4. Deliberate Procedural Barriers

Agencies such as DSS and CHRO have systematically refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including simplified processes, email-only correspondence, and accessible

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



formats. These failures exacerbate David's difficulties as a **traumatic brain injury (TBI)** survivor.

Specific Examples:

- **Procedural Delays:** Refusal to simplify communication has created insurmountable delays and confusion, making it nearly impossible for David to pursue justice effectively.
- **Weaponized Complexity:** Agencies have used procedural obstacles to exhaust David's resources, undermine his advocacy, and delay action indefinitely.
- **Institutional Knowledge of Disability:** Officials are aware of David's cognitive challenges yet exploit them to silence his voice and obstruct his efforts to hold the system accountable.

5. Retaliation and Exclusion

David Medeiros' whistleblowing activities have made him a target for systemic retaliation. DSS officials and other state actors have exploited his disability to undermine his credibility and suppress his advocacy.

Key Retaliatory Actions:

- **Public Ridicule:** DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums, excluding him from critical discussions and decision-making processes.



- **Whistleblower Retaliation:** David’s whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement has been met with systematic obstruction, inaction, and exclusion, violating federal whistleblower protections.
- **Suppression of Advocacy:** By denying David a platform, these agencies have silenced his advocacy, depriving vulnerable populations of a powerful voice.

The Broader Implications

The systemic failures and deliberate exploitation of David Medeiros’ disability have far-reaching consequences that extend beyond his individual case.

1. Erosion of Public Trust

- Connecticut’s refusal to investigate or address its own systemic failures undermines public confidence in government accountability.
- Federal inaction further erodes trust, signaling that the government is unwilling to protect constitutional rights or enforce transparency.

2. Endangerment of Vulnerable Populations

- By disregarding ADA compliance and whistleblower protections, these agencies fail to uphold the rights of individuals who depend on Medicaid and disability accommodations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- This systemic neglect perpetuates harm to already marginalized communities, leaving them without recourse or protection.

3. Mismanagement of Public Funds

- The misuse or mismanagement of Medicaid funds, coupled with a lack of transparency, raises significant concerns about financial accountability.
- Taxpayer dollars intended to support vulnerable populations are instead diverted or wasted, further exacerbating systemic inequality.

Conclusion: A Call for Justice

David Medeiros is not only a victim of systemic retaliation but also a symbol of the broader failures within Connecticut's state agencies and federal oversight bodies. The deliberate exploitation of his disability and suppression of his advocacy represents a fundamental violation of **constitutional rights, ADA protections, and public trust.**

These coordinated failures demand immediate and decisive federal intervention to restore justice, enforce accountability, and ensure systemic reform.

The Crux of the Matter

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



David Medeiros is trapped in a system designed to suppress whistleblowers, marginalize individuals with disabilities, and shield governmental misconduct. Each agency involved is either actively retaliating against him or passively allowing injustices to continue, leaving him without meaningful recourse.

This pattern of neglect and retaliation is not just a personal injustice—it represents a systemic failure that threatens the rights of all vulnerable populations.

Would you like this clarified further, or should we strengthen specific aspects of the complaint?

Complaint and Demand for Justice: Systemic Violations of Constitutional Rights, ADA Compliance, Whistleblower Protections, and State Transparency Obligations

Complainant:

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources

Willimantic, Connecticut

Filed Against:

- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office**
- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)**
- **Unidentified State and Federal Officials**
- **U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division**
- **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**

Preface and Executive Summary

This complaint seeks to expose a systemic and coordinated failure by Connecticut state agencies, compounded by federal inaction, to uphold constitutional rights, enforce ADA compliance, protect whistleblowers, and maintain transparency through FOIA and related laws. As a whistleblower and a person with a brain injury, David Medeiros has been systematically retaliated against, discriminated against, and excluded from public processes vital to his rights, his advocacy, and his ability to serve the community of individuals with disabilities.

This primary complaint is submitted to demand:

1. Immediate enforcement of legal protections and accommodations.
2. A comprehensive investigation into systemic misconduct.
3. Transparent accountability and systemic reform to prevent recurrence of these injustices.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Table of Contents

1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint
2. Legal Background and Framework
3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events
4. Systemic Violations of Law
 - Constitutional Violations
 - ADA and Rehabilitation Act Violations
 - FOIA and Transparency Violations
 - Whistleblower Protection Violations
 - Connecticut Statutory Violations
5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations
6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability
7. Conclusion and Final Demands

1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint

David Medeiros files this primary complaint to document, expose, and demand immediate redress for systemic violations of his rights as a whistleblower, advocate, taxpayer, and person with a disability. Connecticut state agencies, including CHRO and DSS, have engaged in

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



deliberate and coordinated actions to suppress transparency, deny lawful accommodations, and retaliate against his efforts to expose Medicaid mismanagement and advocate for individuals with disabilities.

Despite notifying federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, of these violations, no meaningful action has been taken. This complaint seeks enforcement of all applicable federal and state laws, systemic reform, and relief for the harms caused to David Medeiros and the broader population affected by these failures.

2. Legal Background and Framework

This complaint is rooted in foundational legal principles, federal statutes, and state laws designed to protect constitutional rights, ensure equity, and uphold accountability in public services.

A. Constitutional Provisions

1. **First Amendment:** Protects the right to petition the government for redress of grievances. CHRO's refusal to assign a case number and the Connecticut Attorney General's inaction constitute suppression of this fundamental right.
2. **Fifth Amendment:** Guarantees due process protections, violated by the state's failure to provide substantive responses or avenues for redress.
3. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Ensures equal protection under the law, which has been denied to David Medeiros as a person with a disability and whistleblower.

B. Federal Statutes

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132):** Prohibits discrimination in public services, requiring accessibility and accommodations.
2. **Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794):** Mandates accessibility and prohibits discrimination in federally funded programs.
3. **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):** Protects individuals reporting government misconduct, ensuring they are shielded from retaliation.
4. **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552):** Mandates timely access to public records to ensure accountability and transparency.

C. Connecticut Statutory Obligations

1. **Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.):** Ensures public access to government records and transparency in state agency operations.
2. **Anti-Discrimination Laws under CHRO's Jurisdiction:** Mandate investigation of complaints alleging discrimination or retaliation.

D. Case Law Supporting the Complaint

1. **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Reaffirmed the ADA's applicability to public services and institutions.
2. **NARA v. Favish (2004):** Established FOIA's role in ensuring transparency in matters of public interest.
3. **Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. NRDC (1984):** Limited agency discretion to ensure compliance with statutory mandates.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events

October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS.
- Request: Assignment of a case number and initiation of an investigation.
- CHRO Response: No acknowledgment, no case number, and no investigation, violating statutory obligations.

October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David requested an update on the status of his complaint and reiterated the need for a case number.
- CHRO Response: Continued silence and inaction, creating procedural barriers.

November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- Evidence of misconduct by DSS and CHRO submitted to the Attorney General, requesting oversight and intervention.
- AG Response: No acknowledgment or action, constituting abdication of statutory duties.

November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ

- David submitted a detailed complaint to the DOJ, citing constitutional violations, ADA non-compliance, and whistleblower retaliation.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- DOJ Response: No substantive action, perpetuating systemic inaction and allowing violations to persist.

November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- CHRO: Refuses to act on the complaint or provide a case number.
- Attorney General: Has ignored all submissions, shielding state agencies from accountability.
- DOJ: Failed to enforce federal protections under the ADA and whistleblower statutes.

4. Systemic Violations of Law

A. Constitutional Violations

1. First Amendment

- **Violation:** The Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and DSS have systematically suppressed David Medeiros' right to petition for redress of grievances. CHRO's refusal to assign a case number to his notarized complaint constitutes an outright denial of access to due process mechanisms.
- **Supporting Precedent:**



- *Borough of Duryea v. Guarnieri (2011)*: Affirmed the right of individuals to petition the government for redress, reinforcing that denial of this right constitutes a constitutional violation.
- **Actions Involved:**
 - Delays and procedural barriers to processing the complaint.
 - Obstructive silence from CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's office.

2. Fifth Amendment

- **Violation:** Connecticut agencies' refusal to engage with David's complaints, issue case numbers, or provide updates denies him the procedural due process guaranteed under the Fifth Amendment.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Mathews v. Eldridge (1976)*: Established procedural due process requirements for fair and meaningful engagement with citizens.
- **Actions Involved:**
 - Arbitrary and capricious denial of access to legal and investigative procedures by CHRO.
 - DSS's retaliation and lack of transparency regarding Medicaid programs.

3. Fourteenth Amendment

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Violation:** Connecticut agencies have disproportionately harmed David Medeiros, a person with disabilities and a whistleblower, through discriminatory practices and the denial of equal protection under the law.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Obergefell v. Hodges (2015)*: Reinforced the Fourteenth Amendment's equal protection guarantees, particularly for marginalized groups.
 - *Tennessee v. Lane (2004)*: Established the right of individuals with disabilities to access public services without discrimination.

B. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Violations

1. Denial of Reasonable Accommodations

- **Violation:** Connecticut agencies failed to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, such as accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence) and assistance with navigating complex procedural barriers.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Tennessee v. Lane (2004)*: Highlighted the obligation of public entities to accommodate individuals with disabilities in their access to government services.
 - *Alexander v. Choate (1985)*: Prohibited discriminatory practices that deny individuals meaningful access to public services.

2. Retaliation Against Disability Advocacy

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Violation:** DSS and CHRO retaliated against David Medeiros by excluding him from forums, ridiculing him publicly, and ignoring his requests for case numbers, all while knowing his disability-related challenges.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Olmstead v. L.C. (1999)*: Confirmed the ADA's mandate against unnecessary exclusion and segregation of individuals with disabilities.

C. Rehabilitation Act (Section 504) Violations

- **Violation:** DSS and CHRO, as recipients of federal funding, failed to meet Section 504's requirements to provide accessible services and accommodations to individuals with disabilities.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Barnes v. Gorman (2002)*: Enforced financial accountability for entities violating Section 504.

D. Whistleblower Protection Violations

1. Retaliation for Reporting Medicaid Mismanagement

- **Violation:** David Medeiros faced retaliation for exposing systemic Medicaid mismanagement and corruption within DSS. This included exclusion from critical forums and the withholding of vital information.



- **Supporting Statutes:**

- *Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):* Prohibits retaliation against individuals reporting government misconduct.

- **Actions Involved:**

- DSS's refusal to provide records, information, or updates related to whistleblower reports.
- CHRO and the Attorney General's office enabling retaliatory practices by refusing oversight.

2. Lack of Federal Enforcement

- **Violation:** Despite being notified, the DOJ failed to enforce whistleblower protections or investigate reported retaliation, allowing Connecticut agencies to perpetuate systemic misconduct.

E. FOIA and Transparency Violations

1. Failure to Respond to FOIA Requests

- **Violation:** DSS and related agencies failed to respond to FOIA requests for Medicaid records, ABI Waiver program details, and whistleblower case information within statutory timelines.
- **Supporting Statutes:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Mandates timely and comprehensive responses to public records requests.

2. Non-Referral of Misdirected FOIA Requests

- **Violation:** Agencies did not forward improperly file FOIA requests to the appropriate offices, violating statutory requirements under FOIA.

F. Connecticut State Law Violations

1. Anti-Discrimination Statutes

- **Violation:** CHRO failed to investigate DSS's discriminatory practices, violating its statutory mandate under Connecticut anti-discrimination laws.

2. Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.)

- **Violation:** DSS obstructed transparency by withholding requested records related to Medicaid policies and whistleblower reports, violating state FOIA laws.

5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations

The systemic failures outlined in this complaint have far-reaching consequences:

- **Individuals with Disabilities:** Excluded from vital public services and decision-making processes due to ADA violations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Whistleblowers:** Silenced and retaliated against, eroding public trust in accountability mechanisms.
- **Taxpayers:** Denied transparency into the use of federal and state funds, raising concerns about financial mismanagement and corruption.

6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability

Immediate Relief

1. Assignment of a CHRO case number and initiation of a full investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices.
2. Enforcement of ADA accommodations for all communications and procedures involving David Medeiros.

Federal Oversight

1. DOJ: Launch a formal investigation into systemic ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation.
2. HHS OCR: Enforce federal oversight to ensure DSS complies with ADA and Medicaid standards.

Accountability Measures

1. Full disclosure of DSS's Medicaid fund usage, specifically related to the ABI Waiver Program.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Disciplinary action against officials responsible for retaliation and non-compliance.

Systemic Reform

1. Enact policies ensuring timely responses to whistleblower complaints and ADA accommodation requests.
2. Strengthen oversight mechanisms to prevent retaliation, corruption, and systemic discrimination.

7. Conclusion and Final Demands

David Medeiros' rights as a whistleblower, advocate, and individual with a disability have been systematically violated at every level of government. This master complaint demands immediate enforcement of federal and state laws, systemic reform, and full accountability for the harms caused.

By upholding these demands, the federal government can restore public trust, protect vulnerable populations, and affirm its commitment to justice and transparency.

Complaint and Demand for Justice

Complainant:

David Medeiros

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Founder, ABI Resources

Willimantic, Connecticut

Filed Against:

- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**
- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office**
- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)**
- **Unidentified State and Federal Officials**
- **U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division**
- **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**

Preface and Executive Summary

This complaint exposes systemic failures and coordinates inaction by Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies, leading to the violation of constitutional rights, non-compliance with ADA standards, retaliation against whistleblowers, and denial of transparency through FOIA. As a whistleblower and person with a disability, David Medeiros has faced retaliation, discrimination, and exclusion, leaving him unable to address systemic Medicaid mismanagement and protect the rights of vulnerable populations.

This document calls for:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Immediate enforcement of legal protections** for whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.
2. **Thorough investigation** into systemic misconduct by state agencies and federal inaction.
3. **Transparent accountability and reform** to ensure no recurrence of these injustices.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint
2. Legal Background and Framework
3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events
4. Systemic Violations of Law
 - Constitutional Violations
 - ADA and Rehabilitation Act Violations
 - FOIA and Transparency Violations
 - Whistleblower Protection Violations
 - Connecticut Statutory Violations
5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations
6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability
7. Conclusion and Final Demands

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint

David Medeiros submits this complaint to document and demand redress for systemic violations of his rights as a whistleblower, disability advocate, taxpayer, and citizen. Connecticut state agencies have suppressed transparency, retaliated against whistleblowers, and denied ADA accommodations, creating a dangerous precedent for the treatment of individuals seeking accountability.

Despite repeated submissions to federal oversight bodies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, no meaningful action has been taken. This complaint seeks the enforcement of federal and state laws, the protection of constitutional rights, and systemic reform.

2. Legal Background and Framework

This complaint invokes federal statutes, constitutional principles, and state laws designed to safeguard equity, accountability, and accessibility in public services.

A. Constitutional Provisions

1. **First Amendment:** Protects the right to petition for redress of grievances.
 - CHRO and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office have suppressed this right by refusing to act on valid complaints.
2. **Fifth Amendment:** Guarantees due process protections, which were denied when agencies failed to provide substantive responses or case numbers.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Protects against discrimination, which has been evident in the systemic exclusion and retaliation against David Medeiros as a person with a disability and whistleblower.

B. Federal Statutes

1. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

- Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Mandates accessibility and prohibits discrimination in public services.

2. Rehabilitation Act:

- Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794): Requires accessibility in federally funded programs.

3. Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):

- Shields individuals exposing government misconduct from retaliation.

4. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552):

- Mandates timely access to public records for transparency.

C. Connecticut Statutory Obligations

1. Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.):

- Ensures public access to government records.

2. Anti-Discrimination Laws under CHRO Jurisdiction:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mandates investigation of complaints regarding discrimination and retaliation.

D. Supporting Case Law

1. **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Enforced ADA compliance for public institutions.
2. **NARA v. Favish (2004):** Reaffirmed FOIA's role in ensuring public accountability.
3. **Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. NRDC (1984):** Limited agency discretion to ensure compliance with statutory mandates.

3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events

October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO alleging ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS.
- **CHRO Response:** No acknowledgment, no case number, and no investigation initiated, in clear violation of its statutory obligations.

October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David reiterated his request for a case number and investigation status.
- **CHRO Response:** Continued silence, creating procedural barriers and denying justice.

November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- Submitted evidence of DSS's misconduct and CHRO's inaction to the Attorney General.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **AG Response:** No acknowledgment or action, allowing systemic violations to persist.

November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ

- Detailed complaint submitted to the DOJ, outlining ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and suppression of constitutional rights.
- **DOJ Response:** No substantive action taken, perpetuating systemic inaction.

November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- **CHRO:** Still refuses to assign a case number or act.
- **Attorney General:** Continues to ignore the complaint.
- **DOJ:** Failed to enforce federal protections under ADA and whistleblower statutes.

4. Systemic Violations of Law

A. Constitutional Violations

1. **First Amendment:** Suppression of the right to petition for redress.
2. **Fifth Amendment:** Denial of due process by failing to provide substantive responses.
3. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

B. ADA Violations

1. Denial of reasonable accommodations, including accessible communication formats.
2. Retaliation against advocacy and whistleblowing efforts.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



C. Rehabilitation Act Violations

Failure to meet federally funded programs' accessibility requirements.

D. Whistleblower Protection Violations

Retaliation for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and corruption.

E. FOIA and Transparency Violations

1. Failure to respond to FOIA requests.
2. Non-referral of misdirected FOIA requests.

F. Connecticut State Law Violations

1. Violation of CHRO's anti-discrimination mandate.
2. Failure to ensure public transparency under Connecticut FOIA.

5. Comprehensive Impact on Vulnerable Populations

The systemic failures outlined in this complaint have far-reaching and devastating effects on vulnerable populations, violating fundamental constitutional principles, statutory mandates, and ethical obligations. Below is a detailed analysis of how these violations impact three critical groups.

A. Individuals with Disabilities

1. **Exclusion from Public Services**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **ADA Violations:** The refusal of Connecticut agencies, including DSS and CHRO, to provide reasonable accommodations as mandated by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) systematically excludes individuals with disabilities from accessing public services. This violates their constitutional right to equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment and statutory protections under the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
- **Specific Harm to David Medeiros:** As a person with a brain injury, David Medeiros has faced procedural and logistical barriers, including:
 - Failure to provide accessible communication formats, such as email-only correspondence and screen-reader-compatible documents.
 - The deliberate use of complex, inaccessible processes to impede his ability to advocate effectively.
- **Broader Implications:** These actions signal to other individuals with disabilities that their needs will be ignored or obstructed, discouraging engagement with public institutions and perpetuating systemic discrimination.

2. Segregation and Stigmatization

- **Constitutional Violation:** Exclusion from decision-making processes and public forums creates a de facto segregation of individuals with disabilities, reinforcing stigmas and systemic barriers. This directly contravenes the Supreme Court's mandate in *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004), which established the necessity of public institutions providing equitable access.



B. Whistleblowers

1. Silencing Advocacy

- **Suppression of Free Speech:** Retaliation against David Medeiros for exposing Medicaid mismanagement violates his First Amendment right to free speech. By silencing whistleblowers, Connecticut agencies erode a cornerstone of democratic accountability and transparency.
- **Chilling Effect:** DSS's public ridicule and exclusion of David Medeiros, combined with CHRO's refusal to investigate, create a hostile environment for other potential whistleblowers, deterring them from reporting misconduct or advocating for systemic change.

2. Erosion of Public Accountability

- **Violation of Whistleblower Protection Laws:** By failing to act on David Medeiros' reports of Medicaid mismanagement, DSS and CHRO undermine federal and state whistleblower protections, including those enshrined in the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302). This enables corruption and malpractice to persist unchecked.
- **Impact on Democratic Oversight:** Whistleblowers are critical to uncovering government mismanagement and corruption. Suppressing their voices undermines public trust and weakens institutional accountability.



C. Taxpayers

1. Denial of Transparency in Public Fund Usage

- **FOIA Violations:** The refusal to provide timely responses to FOIA requests and transparency regarding Medicaid fund allocations deprives taxpayers of their right to scrutinize how public funds are managed. This violates federal FOIA laws (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.).
- **Specific Allegations:** DSS's obstruction of records related to Medicaid, including the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about the potential misuse or mismanagement of federal and state funds.

2. Financial Harm

- **Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:** Inefficient or corrupt use of Medicaid resources directly impacts taxpayers, who bear the financial burden of supporting these programs. The lack of oversight and transparency perpetuates waste and undermines public confidence in government stewardship.

3. Broader Consequences: Taxpayer dollars intended to support vulnerable populations are diverted or wasted due to systemic failures, eroding public trust and the integrity of federally funded programs.

6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



To address the systemic violations outlined above, the following measures are demanded to restore justice, enforce legal protections, and uphold transparency:

Immediate Relief

1. Assignment of a CHRO Case Number:

- CHRO must immediately assign a case number to David Medeiros' complaint and provide a timeline for investigating DSS's discriminatory practices.
- A full and transparent investigation must be conducted, with findings publicly reported to ensure accountability.

2. Enforcement of ADA Accommodations:

- All communications with David Medeiros must adhere to ADA standards, including email-only correspondence, accessible formats, and simplified processes.
- Federal and state agencies must review their ADA compliance protocols to prevent further violations.

Federal Oversight

1. DOJ Investigation:

- The Department of Justice must launch a formal investigation into systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements by Connecticut agencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. HHS OCR Enforcement:

- The Office for Civil Rights at HHS must enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program to ensure compliance with ADA and Rehabilitation Act requirements.

Accountability Measures

1. Full Disclosure of DSS Medicaid Fund Usage:

- DSS must release detailed records of Medicaid fund usage, particularly those related to the ABI Waiver Program, to determine whether federal funds have been mismanaged.

2. Disciplinary Actions Against Officials:

- State officials responsible for retaliation, non-compliance, or discriminatory practices must face disciplinary measures, including removal from positions of authority where appropriate.

Systemic Reform

1. Timely Responses to Whistleblower Complaints:

- Policies must be enacted to ensure that all whistleblower complaints are addressed promptly and transparently, with legal protections strictly enforced.

2. Strengthened Oversight Mechanisms:



- Oversight bodies must implement safeguards to prevent retaliation, corruption, and systemic discrimination within federally funded programs.

7. Conclusion and Final Demands

David Medeiros’ constitutional rights, statutory protections, and dignity as a whistleblower and individual with a disability have been systematically violated at every level of government. These coordinated failures demand immediate federal intervention, systemic reform, and full accountability.

Final Demands:

1. Immediate enforcement of federal and state laws to protect whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.
2. Comprehensive investigations by the DOJ and HHS OCR into systemic failures and non-compliance.
3. Structural reforms to ensure transparency, accountability, and equitable access to public services for all individuals.

By addressing these demands, the federal government can restore public trust, safeguard vulnerable communities, and reaffirm its commitment to justice, equality, and transparency.

Demands for Immediate Relief

1. Formal Acknowledgment and Redress

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **CHRO Case Number and Investigation Timeline:** CHRO must immediately assign a case number to David's complaint, ensuring transparency in its investigation of DSS's discriminatory practices.
- **Public Apology:** A formal apology from Connecticut DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General for retaliatory actions, discrimination, and neglect of their constitutional and statutory obligations.

2. Immediate Enforcement of ADA Accommodations

- **Accessibility Protocols:** All communication with David must adhere to ADA standards, including:
 - Email-only correspondence.
 - Screen-reader-compatible documents.
 - Summarized and simplified information to accommodate his TBI-related cognitive challenges.
- **Dedicated Accessibility Advocate:** Appoint a state and federal-level liaison to oversee all accommodations provided to David in the complaint process.

3. Cease Retaliatory Practices

- **Immediate Suspension of Retaliatory Officials:** DSS and CHRO officials identified in discriminatory or retaliatory actions must be removed from any involvement with David's case until investigations are complete.



- **Protection from Future Retaliation:** A legally binding agreement must be enacted to prevent further acts of retaliation or discrimination against David, his business (ABI Resources), or any associated individuals.

Federal Oversight and Systemic Accountability

1. DOJ Investigation

- The Department of Justice must launch a formal investigation into Connecticut's systemic violations of:
 - David's constitutional rights (First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments).
 - ADA compliance failures.
 - Whistleblower retaliation under federal statutes.

2. HHS OCR Enforcement

- The Office for Civil Rights must audit DSS and CHRO to assess ADA compliance and Medicaid program management, particularly in the context of the ABI Waiver Program.

3. Federal Protection of Whistleblowers

- DOJ must enforce whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act, ensuring David is shielded from retaliation and any whistleblowing actions are fully investigated.



4. Transparency in Medicaid Fund Usage

- Connecticut DSS must provide a detailed, audited report on its use of Medicaid funds, specifically those allocated to the ABI Waiver Program, to determine potential misuse or mismanagement.

5. Immediate Federal Oversight

- Appoint a federal oversight committee to review Connecticut's handling of ADA compliance, Medicaid administration, and whistleblower protection.

Demands for Systemic Reform

1. Strengthened ADA Compliance Mechanisms

- **Independent Audits:** Regular, independent audits of Connecticut's compliance with ADA and Rehabilitation Act standards in all state agencies.
- **Training Programs:** Mandate comprehensive training for state employees on ADA requirements, disability rights, and whistleblower protections.

2. Enhanced Whistleblower Protections

- **Expedited Whistleblower Claims:** Implement clear timelines and federal oversight for whistleblower complaints, ensuring prompt investigations and resolutions.



- **Whistleblower Advocacy Office:** Establish an independent advocacy office to assist whistleblowers in navigating complaints, ensuring protection from retaliation.

3. FOIA and Transparency Reform

- **Timely FOIA Responses:** Enforce strict compliance with FOIA and Connecticut FOIA statutes, including penalties for non-compliance.
- **Mandatory Record Forwarding:** Require all improperly submitted FOIA requests to be forwarded to the appropriate agency within five business days.

4. Structural Reform in Connecticut Agencies

- **Oversight Committees:** Create independent oversight committees to monitor DSS and CHRO operations, ensuring transparency, accountability, and adherence to legal standards.
- **Public Reporting:** Mandate public reporting on the outcomes of ADA and whistleblower-related complaints to restore public trust.

Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

1. Compensatory Damages

- Financial compensation for the pain, suffering, and professional harm caused by the systemic violations of David's constitutional rights, ADA protections, and whistleblower status.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Legal and Advocacy Support

- Demand: Appoint federally funded legal counsel to provide David Medeiros with specialized, comprehensive legal representation to ensure the full enforcement of his rights under constitutional, federal, and state law.
- Purpose:
 - To Level the Playing Field: Ensure David has access to expert legal resources to counter the institutional advantages of state and federal agencies, which have repeatedly failed to address his grievances and protect his rights.
 - To Enforce Compliance: Guarantee the enforcement of ADA mandates, whistleblower protections, and constitutional safeguards that have been systematically ignored.
 - To Ensure Accessibility: Provide legal counsel trained in ADA compliance to meet David's unique needs as a brain injury survivor, ensuring equitable participation and effective advocacy throughout the legal process.
- Rationale:
 - Systemic Complexity: The violations span multiple legal frameworks, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Rehabilitation Act, whistleblower statutes, constitutional law, and FOIA requirements. Legal expertise is essential to navigate these intersecting areas effectively.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Power Imbalance:** David faces well-resourced government entities that have demonstrated a coordinated effort to suppress his advocacy and obstruct justice. Federally funded legal counsel is necessary to balance this disparity.
- **ADA Obligations:** David's brain injury imposes cognitive challenges, such as memory deficits and processing difficulties. Denying legal support would effectively exclude him from the justice system, compounding the ADA violations he has already endured.
- **Institutional Accountability:** Legal representation is critical for compelling compliance from state and federal agencies that have failed to act on their statutory and constitutional obligations.
- **Precedents Supporting the Demand:**
 - **Powell v. Alabama (1932):** Recognized the right to legal counsel as essential to due process under the Fourteenth Amendment.
 - **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Affirmed the right of individuals with disabilities to access public services, including justice systems, without discrimination.
 - **Olmstead v. L.C. (1999):** Established the principle that unnecessary exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitutes discrimination under the ADA.
- **Expected Outcomes:**
 - **Effective Advocacy:** Legal counsel will ensure that David's complaints are pursued vigorously, with no room for procedural dismissals or oversight failures.



- Enforcement of Rights: Legal expertise will hold agencies accountable for their statutory violations and secure compliance with ADA, whistleblower, and transparency laws.
- Prevention of Further Retaliation: Federally funded legal representation will function as a safeguard against continued retaliation and systemic neglect by state and federal agencies.
- Restoration of Justice: Legal support will not only protect David's rights but also serve as a precedent for enforcing similar rights for other whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.
- Conclusion:
- Appointing federally funded legal counsel is not optional—it is a necessary and immediate measure to rectify the systemic injustices David has faced, ensure the enforcement of his legal rights, and restore public confidence in the accountability of government institutions.
- Appoint federally funded legal counsel to assist David in navigating ongoing complaints and ensuring enforcement of all relevant laws.

3. Restoration of Professional Standing

- Public acknowledgment of David's contributions as a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, highlighting his role in exposing systemic failures.



4. Public Investigation of Retaliatory Practices

- A federal investigation into the retaliatory culture within Connecticut agencies, with a specific focus on DSS and CHRO.

Final Demands for Justice and Systemic Change

1. Restoration of Constitutional Rights

- Immediate enforcement of David's First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment protections, ensuring he is no longer subject to segregation, discrimination, or retaliation.

2. Comprehensive Federal and State Investigations

- DOJ and HHS OCR must collaborate to investigate systemic failures, ensuring federal laws are enforced and future violations are prevented.

3. Permanent Policy Changes

- Enact structural reforms to ensure ADA compliance, protect whistleblowers, and enforce transparency laws at all levels of government.

Why These Demands Are Necessary

David Medeiros has suffered profound personal and professional harm due to systemic failures, discriminatory practices, and retaliatory actions by Connecticut agencies. These injustices not

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



only violate his constitutional and statutory rights but also undermine public trust in the institutions responsible for protecting vulnerable populations.

Addressing these demands will:

- Restore David's dignity and rights as a U.S. citizen, whistleblower, and disability advocate.
- Send a clear message that federal and state governments are committed to upholding justice, transparency, and accountability.
- Protect future whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities from similar injustices.

By taking decisive action, the federal government can reaffirm its commitment to justice, equity, and the rule of law while honoring the courage and integrity of those, like David Medeiros, who fight to protect it.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources

TBI and Stroke Survivor



Constitutional rights, First Amendment, Fifth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Rehabilitation Act Section 504, Whistleblower Protection Act, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), disability rights, systemic corruption, Medicaid mismanagement, public accountability, state transparency, ADA compliance, whistleblower retaliation, civil rights violations, equal protection under the law, due process rights, reasonable accommodations, procedural justice, TBI advocacy, structural reform, federal oversight, systemic discrimination, government accountability, taxpayer protections, misuse of public funds, retaliation prevention, transparency enforcement, restorative justice, federal investigations, independent audits, anti-discrimination statutes, equitable access, whistleblower protections, legal redress, systemic reform policies, and federal-state compliance. Transparency: Enforce FOIA and ADA compliance to reveal systemic failures and establish trust in government institutions. Accountability: Demand disciplinary actions and investigations to address misconduct in state agencies.

Justice: Restore constitutional and statutory rights for whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.

Equity: Advocate for fair access to public services, as mandated by ADA and constitutional protections.

Oversight: Ensure federal agencies actively monitor state adherence to federal laws.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



David Medeiros, Founder of ABI Resources, continues to advocate for systemic reform and transparency to protect vulnerable populations, uphold constitutional principles, and safeguard the integrity of federal programs. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. What action did they take?

Actions Taken by the Involved Parties

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating ADA and First Amendment protections.
- Refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified processes.
- Obstructed access to critical information about Medicaid programs, including ABI Waiver policies, in violation of FOIA.
- Retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on systemic Medicaid mismanagement.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- Refused to assign a case number or investigate David's notarized complaint alleging ADA violations and discrimination by DSS.
- Ignored follow-up inquiries regarding the status of the complaint, denying due process and perpetuating discrimination.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- Failed to act on evidence submitted by David Medeiros regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's inaction.



- Neglected its statutory duty to uphold constitutional and civil rights protections in Connecticut, effectively enabling systemic violations.

4. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division:

- Ignored David’s formal complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic corruption within Connecticut’s Medicaid program.
- Failed to investigate or enforce federal protections, allowing violations to persist unchecked.

5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR):

- Did not investigate systemic ADA violations in Connecticut’s Medicaid program, despite clear evidence of non-compliance and harm to individuals with disabilities.

6. Connecticut Governor’s Office:

- Failed to intervene or provide oversight of DSS and CHRO despite being alerted to systemic violations and retaliation against David Medeiros.

7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- Did not enforce federal Medicaid oversight to ensure that Connecticut DSS complies with federal regulations and ADA mandates.

Summary of Actions:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Exclusion:** Agencies excluded David from forums and processes critical to his advocacy and whistleblower activities.
- **Retaliation:** State and federal actors retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement, violating whistleblower protections.
- **Non-Compliance:** Agencies failed to uphold ADA requirements and constitutional rights, creating systemic barriers and procedural delays.
- **Neglect:** Federal oversight bodies deferred action, enabling Connecticut agencies to act with impunity.

Constitutional Violations and Crimes Committed

12. First Amendment Violations

- **Suppression of Petition Rights:** By ignoring David Medeiros' complaints and failing to investigate or act on his claims, Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies have suppressed his constitutional right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
- **Retaliation Against Free Speech:** Retaliatory actions, such as public ridicule and exclusion from public forums, violate David's right to free speech, as protected by the First Amendment.

13. Fifth Amendment Violations

- **Denial of Procedural Due Process:** Connecticut DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office failed to provide timely and meaningful responses to David's complaints. The refusal to issue case numbers,

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



investigate complaints, or enforce ADA compliance constitutes a denial of due process rights under the Fifth Amendment.

- **Arbitrary Actions:** The lack of transparency and accountability in denying David access to procedural protections constitutes arbitrary and capricious state actions, violating the principles of fundamental fairness guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment.

14. Fourteenth Amendment Violations

- **Denial of Equal Protection:** David, as an individual with a disability, has been subjected to systemic discrimination and segregation. Connecticut agencies' failure to provide reasonable accommodations and their active exclusion of David from critical processes violate the Equal Protection Clause.
- **State-Sanctioned Discrimination:** The coordinated inaction and refusal to investigate complaints by CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office amount to state-sanctioned discrimination against an individual with disabilities.

15. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Rehabilitation Act Violations

- **Failure to Accommodate:** DSS and CHRO failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only correspondence, accessible documents, and simplified processes. This denies David equal access to public services as required under federal law.



- **Segregation and Exclusion:** David was excluded from forums and decision-making processes, creating a de facto segregation, which is prohibited under the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

16. Whistleblower Protection Act Violations

- **Retaliation for Protected Activity:** DSS retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, violating his protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act. The refusal to investigate his complaints further compounds this retaliation.
- **Chilling Effect on Advocacy:** The systemic retaliation discourages other whistleblowers from coming forward, undermining the principles of transparency and accountability protected under federal law.

17. FOIA Violations

- **Denial of Access to Public Records:** DSS's refusal to respond to FOIA requests regarding Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver details denies David his right to access public information, a key component of government transparency.
- **Failure to Forward Requests:** Improper handling and failure to forward FOIA requests to appropriate agencies further obstruct David's right to information, violating federal and state FOIA statutes.

Cumulative Impact

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The above violations collectively represent a systemic disregard for constitutional principles and federal protections. The acts of retaliation, exclusion, and failure to act demonstrate a pattern of willful neglect and abuse, undermining David Medeiros' rights as a whistleblower, individual with a disability, and U.S. citizen. These violations demand immediate federal oversight and corrective action to restore justice and ensure accountability.

2. When did this occur?

Timeline of Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS).

2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action.



4. November 10, 2024 – Submission to DOJ

- David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement by Connecticut agencies. Despite the urgency, the DOJ failed to initiate an investigation or enforce compliance.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- **CHRO:** Refuses to assign a case number or act on David’s complaint, obstructing due process.
- **Connecticut Attorney General:** Has ignored all communications, abdicating responsibility for overseeing state agency compliance.
- **DOJ:** Has not taken substantive action to investigate federal violations or ensure ADA compliance.
- **HHS OCR:** Remains unresponsive despite its mandate to enforce ADA compliance and Medicaid program integrity.

These violations and inactions have created a continuous pattern of systemic neglect and retaliation against David Medeiros, with no resolution or accountability to date.

4. How did you discover this action?

How the Action Was Discovered

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



David Medeiros, as a whistleblower and disability advocate, discovered the actions through direct personal experience and documentation, which include:

6. Personal Experience with Discrimination and Retaliation

- David experienced firsthand acts of exclusion, public ridicule, and procedural barriers during interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials. For example:
 - He was excluded from critical discussions related to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - He was subjected to public ridicule during forums, despite being a known advocate for individuals with disabilities and a whistleblower exposing Medicaid mismanagement.

7. Documented Failures of State Agencies

- David submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) detailing ADA violations and retaliation. However, CHRO failed to acknowledge the complaint, assign a case number, or investigate the allegations, creating procedural obstacles.

8. Evidence of Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General

- Despite escalating the matter and providing comprehensive evidence of systemic failures, the Connecticut Attorney General's Office did not respond to or investigate the allegations, effectively enabling discriminatory practices.



9. Federal Agency Inaction

- After submitting a detailed complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR), David received no meaningful response or enforcement action, revealing a vacuum of oversight and accountability.

10. Pattern of Retaliation and Non-Compliance

- Through repeated attempts to obtain ADA accommodations, transparency in Medicaid fund usage, and responses to whistleblower complaints, David identified a systemic pattern of evasion, retaliation, and disregard for constitutional and statutory obligations by Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies.

These actions were uncovered as part of David's ongoing efforts to advocate for the rights of individuals with disabilities, ensure transparency in public programs, and expose systemic failures in government accountability.

Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

1. Documented Failures by State Agencies

- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to:
 - Assign a case number.
 - Investigate the allegations.
 - Provide any substantive acknowledgment or response, violating its statutory mandate to investigate discrimination complaints.
- This procedural inaction obstructs justice and denies David's right to due process.

2. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Retaliation:

- DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a whistleblower and disability advocate.
- DSS has refused to provide reasonable ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence), in direct violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

3. Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- Despite receiving evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and Medicaid mismanagement, the Attorney General's Office failed to investigate or respond, abdicating its responsibility to enforce state and federal laws.

4. Federal Oversight Failures:



- The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR) were notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches in November 2024. Despite this, no federal investigations or enforcement actions have been initiated, perpetuating state agency misconduct.

5. Evidence of ADA Non-Compliance:

- DSS repeatedly refused David's requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified processes, exacerbating the challenges he faces as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor.

6. Mismanagement of Public Funds:

- DSS has obstructed transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage, particularly funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer dollars.

7. Exploitation of Disability:

- State and federal officials are aware of David's brain injury and cognitive challenges, yet they have exploited his disability by creating procedural barriers and denying accommodations, effectively excluding him from advocacy and justice processes.

8. Pattern of Systemic Corruption and Retaliation:



- Agencies at both the state and federal levels have demonstrated a clear pattern of protecting their leadership and shielding systemic inefficiencies, corruption, and discrimination, rather than addressing legitimate complaints.
- By refusing to act on whistleblower disclosures, these agencies have enabled misconduct, eroded public trust, and fostered an environment where accountability is absent.

9. Violation of Transparency Laws:

- DSS and CHRO have failed to comply with Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests and Connecticut FOIA statutes, denying access to public records necessary for oversight and accountability.

10. Legal Precedents Supporting the Allegation:

- **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Establishes the obligation of public entities to provide equitable access for individuals with disabilities.
- **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):** Prohibits retaliation against individuals reporting government misconduct.
- **Mathews v. Eldridge (1976):** Guarantees procedural due process protections under the Fifth Amendment.

These additional facts provide clear evidence of systemic failures, retaliatory practices, and non-compliance with federal and state laws, underscoring the urgency for federal intervention.



1. Gross Mismanagement:

1. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

- The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) has obstructed transparency regarding the allocation and use of Medicaid funds, particularly those allocated to the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about:
 - Potential misuse or diversion of federal and state funds.
 - Failure to ensure Medicaid resources reach vulnerable populations who rely on these programs for essential services.

2. Failure to Enforce ADA Compliance:

- DSS and the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) have failed to implement federally mandated ADA accommodations. This mismanagement denies equitable access to government programs and services for individuals with disabilities, violating their civil rights and federal law.

3. Lack of Oversight and Accountability:

- Despite numerous complaints and evidence of systemic issues, neither state nor federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, have taken



appropriate enforcement action. This absence of oversight enables further mismanagement and neglect of statutory responsibilities.

4. Inadequate Response to Whistleblower Disclosures:

- State agencies and federal oversight bodies have disregarded whistleblower allegations of systemic corruption and Medicaid mismanagement. By failing to investigate these claims, they allow financial inefficiencies and unethical practices to persist unchecked.

5. Procedural Failures:

- CHRO's refusal to assign a case number or investigate complaints demonstrates a lack of procedural rigor, leading to delays, inaction, and denial of justice for complainants like David Medeiros.
- DSS's refusal to provide ADA-compliant communication formats further exemplifies mismanagement of its internal processes, directly impacting the ability of individuals with disabilities to access critical services.

6. Exploitation of Vulnerable Populations:

- The ongoing failures disproportionately harm individuals with disabilities, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers, undermining public trust and violating fundamental principles of equity and justice.

7. Failure to Address Retaliation:



- The retaliatory actions taken against whistleblowers, including exclusion, ridicule, and denial of accommodations, are evidence of a system designed to suppress accountability rather than address mismanagement.

8. Erosion of Public Trust:

- The systemic failures of DSS, CHRO, and federal oversight bodies have created a culture of impunity, eroding public confidence in the integrity of government institutions and their ability to serve the public effectively.

These actions and inactions constitute gross mismanagement, endangering the integrity of federally funded programs, compromising public accountability, and perpetuating harm to vulnerable populations. Immediate federal intervention is required to address these systemic issues.



The listed individuals and entities engaged in or facilitated actions that collectively constitute systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and mismanagement of public funds. These actions include:

1. Suppression of Whistleblower Rights and Retaliation

- **DSS (Connecticut Department of Social Services):**
 - Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros from critical forums related to Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Obstructed David's advocacy efforts by withholding ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and email-only correspondence.
 - Refused to provide requested records or updates, effectively silencing his whistleblowing efforts and suppressing public accountability.
- **CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities):**
 - Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized complaint, delaying and obstructing justice.
 - Failed to investigate claims of systemic discrimination and retaliation, despite statutory mandates.
- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office:**
 - Ignored multiple submissions of evidence regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's failure to act.



- Failed to intervene or provide oversight to enforce transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.

2. Violations of ADA Protections and Disability Rights

- **DSS:**
 - Denied reasonable accommodations to David Medeiros, a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, making it impossible for him to meaningfully participate in public processes.
 - Weaponized David's disability by exploiting his cognitive challenges to create procedural delays, obstruct communication, and undermine his advocacy.
- **CHRO:**
 - Failed to enforce ADA and anti-discrimination statutes by not investigating documented violations or taking any corrective actions.
- **Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR, CMS):**
 - Neglected oversight responsibilities to ensure ADA compliance and accessibility in Connecticut's Medicaid program, enabling DSS to continue discriminatory practices unchecked.

3. Mismanagement of Public Funds and Lack of Transparency

- **DSS:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Withheld public records related to Medicaid fund allocations, including the ABI Waiver Program, violating FOIA and state transparency laws.
- Mismanaged Medicaid resources by failing to meet federal ADA standards while continuing to receive federal funding.
- **Federal Agencies (CMS, HHS OCR):**
 - Failed to audit or investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds in Connecticut, despite whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic issues.

4. Abuse of Authority and Gross Mismanagement

- **Connecticut Leadership (OPM, Governor's Office):**
 - Allowed systemic failures in oversight and enforcement by not addressing persistent complaints of corruption, discrimination, and retaliation.
 - Enabled DSS and CHRO's non-compliance with state and federal laws by failing to enact structural reforms.
- **Federal Agencies (DOJ, OSC):**
 - Ignored whistleblower reports and failed to initiate investigations into Connecticut's systemic mismanagement of Medicaid and ADA violations.

By engaging in these actions, state and federal officials created an environment that suppresses transparency, perpetuates discrimination, and denies whistleblowers like David Medeiros the protections guaranteed under federal and state law.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Timeline of Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS).

2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David requested a case number and an update on the status of his complaint.
- CHRO failed to respond or assign a case number, effectively obstructing the complaint process.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- David submitted evidence and documentation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting oversight and intervention to address systemic misconduct by DSS and CHRO.
- The Attorney General's Office ignored the submission and failed to act.

4. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ

- David submitted a detailed whistleblower complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds.



- DOJ failed to take substantive action or provide updates, perpetuating systemic inaction.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- **CHRO:** Continues to refuse to assign a case number or act on the complaint, obstructing the investigation process.
- **Attorney General's Office:** Remains unresponsive, abdicating its role in enforcing state laws and oversight.
- **DOJ:** Has not enforced federal protections under the ADA, FOIA, or whistleblower statutes, enabling ongoing violations.
- **HHS OCR (Office for Civil Rights):** Failed to investigate or ensure ADA compliance in Connecticut's Medicaid program.

These actions, delays, and failures demonstrate a coordinated and systemic effort to suppress transparency, deny justice, and perpetuate discrimination against David Medeiros.



Discovery of Actions

David Medeiros discovered these actions through firsthand experience and documented interactions with the involved parties. The following key events and observations provided evidence of the actions taken against him:

1. Refusal to Assign a Case Number by CHRO

- After submitting a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, David received no acknowledgment or case number, despite following up multiple times.
- The lack of response signaled procedural obstruction and a failure to fulfill CHRO's statutory obligations.

2. Exclusion and Ridicule by DSS

- During public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, DSS officials publicly ridiculed and excluded David, creating a hostile environment for his advocacy.
- Witnesses corroborated these incidents, providing evidence of discriminatory practices.

3. Ignored Submissions to the Attorney General's Office

- Despite submitting detailed evidence of DSS and CHRO misconduct to the Attorney General on November 1, 2024, no acknowledgment or action was taken.
- This inaction became apparent when repeated attempts to follow up were ignored.

4. Federal Oversight Failures

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Complaints to the DOJ and HHS OCR, submitted on November 10, 2024, included comprehensive documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement.
- The absence of substantive action or follow-up from these federal agencies revealed a broader pattern of neglect and inaction.

5. FOIA and ADA Compliance Failures

- Requests for ADA-compliant communication and public records related to Medicaid funds and the ABI Waiver Program were either ignored or obstructed.
- These failures were documented through email exchanges, unanswered requests, and a lack of transparent processes.

Each discovery is supported by correspondence records, witness testimony, and David's detailed documentation of the systemic barriers and retaliatory practices he encountered. These actions collectively indicate deliberate neglect and systemic discrimination.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegations

1. Documented Retaliation and Exclusion by DSS:

- DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, as corroborated by witnesses and documented in communications. These actions created a hostile environment, directly impeding his ability to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities.
- DSS failed to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA, including email-only correspondence and simplified processes, despite David's documented cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor.

2. CHRO's Failure to Investigate:

- Despite submitting a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, and multiple follow-up communications, CHRO refused to assign a case number or initiate an investigation, violating its statutory obligation to investigate discrimination complaints.
- CHRO's inaction effectively denied David due process and equal protection under the law, prolonging systemic discrimination and retaliation.

3. Attorney General's Office Inaction:

- Submissions to the Connecticut Attorney General included detailed evidence of DSS's ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation. Despite the compelling nature of the evidence, the office failed to respond, investigate, or intervene.



- This neglect demonstrates a disregard for its duty to ensure state agency compliance with federal and state laws.

4. Federal Oversight Failures (DOJ and HHS OCR):

- The Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights at HHS were notified of systemic ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite comprehensive documentation, neither agency initiated a substantive investigation or provided updates.
- Federal inaction perpetuates the culture of impunity within Connecticut's state agencies.

5. FOIA and Transparency Failures:

- DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver operations, despite FOIA and state FOIA laws mandating timely responses.
- Requests for ADA-compliant documents and communication were ignored, compounding David's inability to access critical information.

6. Pattern of Systemic Neglect and Corruption:

- Connecticut's financial reliance on Medicaid creates an incentive to suppress transparency and accountability. The refusal to investigate whistleblower complaints, accommodate disabilities, or ensure compliance highlights a coordinated effort to shield systemic mismanagement.

7. David Medeiros' Vulnerability as a Brain Injury Survivor:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Agencies weaponized David’s cognitive challenges to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, further exacerbating the discrimination and retaliation he faced.
- The refusal to accommodate his needs constitutes a violation of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, underscoring the systemic failure to protect vulnerable individuals.

Summary of Supporting Evidence:

- Witness testimony from public forums and interactions with DSS officials.
- Documentation of correspondence with CHRO, DSS, the Attorney General’s Office, DOJ, and HHS OCR.
- Records of FOIA requests, ADA accommodation requests, and their subsequent denials or lack of response.
- Evidence of retaliatory exclusion and ridicule during advocacy efforts.
- Clear patterns of systemic neglect and procedural obstruction across all involved agencies.

These facts demonstrate a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblower protections, violate ADA standards, and obstruct transparency, requiring immediate federal intervention and oversight.

Abuse of Authority: Retaliatory Actions and Discriminatory Practices

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- **Retaliatory Exclusion:** DSS officials deliberately excluded David Medeiros from critical public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, denying him a platform

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities. These actions targeted him specifically as a whistleblower, suppressing his ability to expose systemic Medicaid mismanagement.

- **Public Ridicule:** During public meetings, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David, leveraging his disability as a brain injury survivor to discredit his advocacy efforts and silence his concerns. This abuse of authority undermines the principles of public accountability and transparency.
- **ADA Violations:** DSS knowingly failed to provide reasonable accommodations for David's disability, such as email-only correspondence and simplified processes, obstructing his ability to navigate complex procedural systems and defend his rights.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- **Failure to Investigate:** Despite being mandated to investigate discrimination complaints, CHRO refused to assign a case number or take action on David's notarized complaint. This inaction denied him due process and equal protection, effectively protecting DSS and perpetuating systemic discrimination.
- **Neglect of Statutory Duty:** CHRO's refusal to fulfill its investigative role demonstrates an abuse of authority by prioritizing institutional preservation over its mission to protect civil rights.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:



- **Inaction on Documented Evidence:** Despite receiving comprehensive evidence of DSS's retaliatory practices, ADA violations, and systemic discrimination, the Attorney General's Office failed to act. This neglect reflects an abdication of its responsibility to ensure state agencies comply with federal and state laws, effectively enabling retaliatory practices.

4. Federal Oversight Bodies (DOJ and HHS OCR):

- **Failure to Intervene:** Despite being notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of Medicaid funds, both the Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights within HHS failed to enforce federal protections. Their inaction perpetuated the abuse of authority by state agencies, signaling tolerance for these violations.

Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowing Efforts:

- **Suppression of Advocacy:** By excluding David from forums and ridiculing his efforts, DSS and other agencies sought to suppress his whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement.
- **Weaponized Disability:** Agencies exploited David's cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, effectively silencing his advocacy.
- **FOIA Obstruction:** DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to fulfill FOIA requests, denying David access to information crucial for holding the agency accountable.

Discriminatory Practices Against a Whistleblower with a Disability:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Exclusion from Public Decision-Making:** By barring David from participating in public discussions, DSS violated his First Amendment rights to petition the government and participate in public forums.
- **Denial of Accommodations:** Refusing to honor ADA accommodation requests, including simplified communication and accessible formats, demonstrates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Key Evidence of Abuse of Authority:

- Documented public ridicule and exclusion by DSS officials during ABI Waiver forums.
- Refusal of CHRO to investigate notarized complaints, ignoring statutory obligations.
- Lack of action from the Connecticut Attorney General's Office despite clear evidence of violations.
- Procedural barriers and delays created to undermine David's advocacy.
- Denials of FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, obstructing access to justice and accountability.

Summary:

The retaliatory and discriminatory practices by state and federal entities demonstrate a gross abuse of authority, targeting David Medeiros for his whistleblowing efforts. These actions violate constitutional protections, ADA mandates, and whistleblower laws, necessitating immediate federal oversight to address systemic failures and ensure accountability.



1. Who Took the Action?

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- DSS officials actively retaliated against David Medeiros by excluding him from ABI Waiver Program forums, publicly ridiculing him, and denying ADA-mandated accommodations.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- CHRO failed to investigate David's notarized complaint regarding ADA violations and discrimination, refusing to assign a case number or fulfill its statutory duty.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- The Attorney General's Office ignored evidence of systemic violations, failing to intervene or provide oversight for DSS and CHRO's actions.

4. Federal Oversight Bodies:

- **Department of Justice (DOJ):** Failed to act on David's complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misuse of Medicaid funds.
- **Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):** Neglected its responsibility to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded programs, allowing systemic violations to persist.

5. Unnamed State and Federal Officials:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Participated in obstructing transparency, denying due process, and creating procedural barriers to silence David's advocacy and whistleblowing efforts.

Individuals and Entities Involved in Abuse of Authority

State-Level Actors

6. Deidre S. Gifford

- Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- Role: Oversaw the agency's discriminatory practices, denial of ADA accommodations, and retaliatory actions against David Medeiros.

7. Tanya Hughes

- Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- Role: Failed to ensure the investigation of complaints, neglected to assign case numbers, and ignored statutory responsibilities to address systemic discrimination.

8. William Tong

- Connecticut Attorney General
- Role: Ignored evidence of systemic failures and violations, failing to intervene or hold DSS and CHRO accountable.

Federal-Level Actors

4. Merrick B. Garland

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
- Role: Failed to act on complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches.

5. **Xavier Becerra**

- Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- Role: Neglected oversight responsibilities related to ADA compliance within Medicaid-funded programs.

6. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- Role: Allowed systemic Medicaid mismanagement and misuse of federal funds without intervention.

7. **Lisa J. Pino**

- Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS
- Role: Failed to enforce ADA compliance and investigate systemic discrimination and retaliatory practices within Medicaid-funded programs.

Other Potentially Involved Officials

8. **Regional and Program Managers within DSS, CHRO, and CMS**

- Roles: Conducted, enabled, or failed to prevent retaliatory practices and procedural barriers aimed at silencing David Medeiros.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Actions Taken: Abuse of Authority

State-Level Actions

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- **Public Ridicule and Exclusion:** DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluding him from discussions critical to Medicaid policy and decision-making.
- **Denial of ADA Accommodations:** Refused to provide accessible communication methods, such as email-only correspondence and simplified procedural guidelines, despite documented cognitive challenges from a brain injury.
- **Obstruction of Records Requests:** Failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withheld critical Medicaid documentation, and ignored whistleblower complaints.
- **Retaliation for Whistleblowing:** Took retaliatory actions, such as exclusion from forums and non-response to inquiries, after David exposed Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- **Failure to Investigate:** Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized ADA complaint, preventing any investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices.
- **Neglect of Statutory Duties:** Ignored repeated follow-up requests for updates on the complaint, effectively obstructing access to justice.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Complicity in Discrimination:** Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- **Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities:** Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches.
- **Shielding State Agencies:** Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct.

Federal-Level Actions

4. Department of Justice (DOJ):

- **Failure to Act:** Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs.
- **Deferred Oversight:** Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.

5. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

- **Neglect of ADA Oversight:** Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations.
- **Lack of Enforcement by OCR:** The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS.



6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- **Failure to Address Mismanagement:** Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations.
- **Permitting Retaliation:** Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption.

Key Themes of Abuse of Authority:

- **Systemic Retaliation:** Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums.
- **Weaponized Bureaucracy:** Deliberate use of procedural barriers, such as withholding case numbers and ignoring ADA accommodation requests, to exhaust resources and undermine credibility.
- **Neglect of Federal and State Obligations:** Failure to enforce constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections, allowing discriminatory and retaliatory practices to persist unchecked.

Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority

Key Dates and Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint:

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS.
- CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO:

- David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number.
- No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General:

- Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction.
- The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints.

4. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies:

- Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compliance, and constitutional violations.
- No substantive response or enforcement action was taken.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status:

- CHRO continues to refuse to assign a case number or act on the complaint.
- The Connecticut Attorney General remains silent, shielding state agencies from accountability.
- DOJ and HHS OCR have failed to enforce federal protections or investigate systemic violations.



Ongoing Nature of Abuse:

- **Retaliatory Actions by DSS:** Continued exclusion from forums, obstruction of FOIA requests, and denial of ADA accommodations.
- **Federal Inaction:** Lack of oversight and enforcement perpetuates a hostile environment for whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.

How This Action Was Discovered

David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion.

Key discoveries include:

1. Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums:

- During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower.

2. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests:

- Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods.

3. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint:

- After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties.

4. Attorney General's Silence:

- Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of



accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions.

5. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR:

- Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect.

6. Obstructed FOIA Requests:

- DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability.

Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority

1. Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion:

- **DSS Forum Behavior:** During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records.
- **Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes:** Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies.

2. Failure to Investigate by CHRO:

- Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination.

3. Attorney General's Refusal to Act:

- On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses.

4. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes.

5. FOIA Obstruction:

- David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency.

6. Federal Inaction and Neglect:

- Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance.

7. Exploitation of Disability:

- Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse.

8. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns:



- DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny.

9. Violation of Whistleblower Protections:

- David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagement have made him a target for coordinated retaliation. DSS and CHRO have actively suppressed his whistleblower complaints by obstructing due process and refusing to investigate credible allegations.

10. Pattern of Systemic Failures:

- These actions are not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of abuse, non-compliance, and lack of accountability by state and federal agencies. This systemic corruption endangers vulnerable populations and erodes public trust in government institutions.

These additional facts demonstrate a coordinated effort by state and federal entities to suppress David Medeiros' advocacy, deny his rights, and protect systemic corruption from exposure.

Danger to Public Health

1. Who Took the Action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):** Failed to ensure proper oversight and administration of Medicaid funds and services, particularly related to the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):** Neglected its duty to address ADA violations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities, particularly those reliant on public health services.
- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office:** Refused to act on credible evidence of systemic issues in Medicaid administration and ADA non-compliance, enabling continued harm to vulnerable populations.
- **Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR):** Failed to investigate complaints and enforce compliance with ADA and Medicaid standards, exacerbating risks to public health.

2. What Action Did They Take?

- **Negligent Medicaid Administration:**
 - DSS failed to properly administer the ABI Waiver Program, creating delays and barriers to essential health services for individuals with acquired brain injuries.
 - Mismanagement of Medicaid funds has led to the inadequate delivery of healthcare and support services to vulnerable populations.
- **Systemic ADA Non-Compliance:**



- DSS and CHRO failed to address ADA violations, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing healthcare services and accommodations critical to their well-being.
- **Retaliation Against Advocacy:**
 - By retaliating against David Medeiros, a whistleblower and advocate for vulnerable populations, state agencies suppressed critical voices advocating for healthcare improvements.
- **Failure to Investigate:**
 - CHRO and the Attorney General ignored complaints regarding discriminatory practices and ADA violations, allowing systemic issues to persist.
- **Federal Inaction:**
 - DOJ and HHS OCR did not enforce federal protections, leaving systemic failures unchecked and further endangering public health.

3. When Did This Occur?

- These actions and failures have occurred over an extended period, beginning with DSS's mismanagement of Medicaid programs and escalating with specific complaints filed by David Medeiros:
 - **October 24, 2024:** CHRO received a notarized complaint regarding ADA violations but failed to act.



- **November 1, 2024:** Evidence of Medicaid mismanagement and systemic failures was submitted to the Attorney General, who refused to respond.
- **November 10, 2024:** Federal complaints were escalated to DOJ and HHS OCR, with no action taken to address the documented issues.

4. How Did You Discover This Action?

- **Firsthand Advocacy Experience:**

- As a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David Medeiros observed systemic barriers in the administration of Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Direct Retaliation:**

- David experienced exclusion, ridicule, and denial of ADA accommodations while advocating for healthcare improvements.

- **Documentation of Complaints:**

- Evidence gathered from FOIA requests, public forums, and direct communications with state and federal agencies highlighted systemic failures and retaliatory practices.

- **Community Testimonies:**

- Testimonies from individuals with disabilities and their families corroborate the widespread harm caused by systemic failures in Medicaid administration and ADA compliance.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

- **Systemic Barriers to Healthcare Access:**
 - DSS's mismanagement of Medicaid funds has resulted in delays and denials of critical healthcare services for individuals with acquired brain injuries and other disabilities.
 - The lack of ADA compliance has excluded individuals with disabilities from accessing necessary accommodations and support services.
- **Increased Health Risks:**
 - Delayed or inadequate healthcare services for Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those with brain injuries, have led to worsening health outcomes and increased dependency on emergency care.
- **Impact on Vulnerable Populations:**
 - Vulnerable groups, including individuals with disabilities and low-income families, are disproportionately affected by these systemic failures, resulting in avoidable health crises.
- **Retaliation Against Advocacy:**
 - Retaliatory actions against David Medeiros have silenced advocacy efforts aimed at addressing these systemic health risks.
- **Lack of Oversight:**



- Despite multiple complaints to state and federal agencies, no meaningful action has been taken to investigate or rectify these issues, allowing risks to public health to persist.
- **FOIA Obstruction:**
 - DSS's refusal to release Medicaid fund usage data raises concerns about potential misuse of funds meant for healthcare services, further endangering public health.



Connecticut State Agencies and Officials

1. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)
- **Role:** Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance within healthcare systems.

2. Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role:** Responsible for Medicaid administration and ABI Waiver Program oversight.

3. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Role:** Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints.

4. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Role:** Leads investigations into discrimination and ADA violations, failed to assign a case number or conduct investigations.

5. Joette Katz

- **Title:** Former Commissioner, Connecticut DSS (if applicable to timeline)



- **Role:** Previous involvement in Medicaid program administration and systemic issues.

6. Amy Porter

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Aging and Disability Services (ADS)
- **Role:** Responsible for ensuring ADA compliance and services for individuals with disabilities.

Federal Oversight Agencies and Officials

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Role:** Leads federal oversight of Medicaid programs and enforcement of ADA compliance.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role:** Responsible for the administration and oversight of Medicaid programs at the federal level.

3. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)



- **Role:** Oversees enforcement of federal civil rights laws, including ADA and whistleblower protections.

4. **Robyn M. Colosimo**

- **Title:** Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
- **Role:** Investigates whistleblower retaliation and gross mismanagement in federal and state agencies.

Legislative Contacts for Federal Oversight

1. **Richard Blumenthal**

- **Title:** U.S. Senator for Connecticut
- **Role:** Potential advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.

2. **Chris Murphy**

- **Title:** U.S. Senator for Connecticut
- **Role:** Potential advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.

3. **Rosa DeLauro**

- **Title:** U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District
- **Role:** Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.



Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies

1. Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)

Action:

- Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services.
- Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported.

2. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Action:

- Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation.
- Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports.
- Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications.
- Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Action:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros.
- Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices.

4. **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**

Action:

- Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice.
- Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws.
- Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint.

5. **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**

Action:

- Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS.
- Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction.



6. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division

Action:

- Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities.
- Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct.

7. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives)

Action:

- Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers.

Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions

- Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes.
- Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation.
- Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy.



- Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparency to cover up systemic failures.

These actions demonstrate a coordinated effort to suppress transparency, silence whistleblowers, and evade accountability at both state and federal levels.



Timeline of Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS.

2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office

- David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission.

4. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies

- David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- CHRO: Refuses to act on David's complaint or assign a case number.
- Attorney General: Ignored all appeals and evidence.



- DSS: Continues discriminatory practices, including exclusion from forums and refusal to provide accommodations.
- DOJ and HHS: No substantive actions have been taken to address systemic violations or provide oversight.

These violations are ongoing, with retaliation and neglect continuing as of the current date, November 29, 2024.



How Did You Discover This Action?

David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include:

1. Direct Personal Experience:

- While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation.

2. Documented Evidence:

- David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures.

3. Procedural Barriers:

- Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats.

4. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation:

- The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers.

5. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings.

These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

1. Documented Complaints and Correspondence:

- Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**, **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)**, **Office of the Connecticut Attorney General**, and federal agencies like the **Department of Justice (DOJ)** and **Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR)**. These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction.

2. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers:

- Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504).

3. Retaliatory Actions:

- **DSS officials** publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy.



4. FOIA and Transparency Failures:

- David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.)**.

5. Testimonies and Community Evidence:

- Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported.

6. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

- DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations.

7. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability:

- Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm.

8. Impact on Public Health and Safety:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety.

These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.

1. Gross Waste of Funds

1. Who Took the Action?

- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):**
 - Leadership and administrative officials responsible for Medicaid fund oversight, particularly in the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.



- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):**
 - Failed to ensure compliance with anti-discrimination laws, contributing to ineffective use of resources allocated for investigations and civil rights enforcement.
- **Office of the Connecticut Attorney General:**
 - Failed to address allegations of systemic mismanagement and misuse of funds despite receiving substantial evidence.

2. What Action Did They Take?

- **DSS Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:**
 - Misallocated funds intended to support the ABI Waiver Program, resulting in inadequate services for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities.
 - Withheld transparency on the allocation and expenditure of federal Medicaid funds, preventing public accountability and oversight.
- **CHRO Inefficiency:**
 - Allocated state resources to an agency that refuses to investigate valid complaints, effectively wasting funds meant for civil rights enforcement.
- **Lack of Oversight and Misuse:**
 - Failure to use allocated funds to ensure ADA compliance, provide reasonable accommodations, or address systemic issues raised by whistleblowers like David Medeiros.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. When Did This Occur?

- **Ongoing:**
 - The gross waste of funds is a continuing issue, exacerbated by systemic failures in Medicaid administration and lack of enforcement by DSS, CHRO, and other state entities.

4. How Did You Discover This Action?

- Through **FOIA requests** and direct interactions with DSS, it became evident that records detailing Medicaid fund usage, particularly within the ABI Waiver Program, were deliberately withheld or obscured.
- Observed **lack of services** and systemic barriers faced by Medicaid beneficiaries, including myself, indicating the ineffective use of allocated resources.
- Documented **CHRO's refusal to act on complaints**, highlighting inefficient use of funds meant for civil rights enforcement.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:**
 - DSS has repeatedly ignored or delayed FOIA requests seeking records of Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver expenditures, violating transparency laws.
- **Service Failures:**
 - Numerous reports of underserved Medicaid beneficiaries, suggesting that funds are not being used effectively to meet program objectives.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **CHRO Ineffectiveness:**
 - Despite being funded to investigate discrimination and ADA violations, CHRO failed to act on complaints, undermining its purpose and wasting taxpayer resources.
- **Systemic Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:**
 - Resources have been diverted to suppress whistleblowers like David Medeiros, rather than addressing the underlying issues they expose.
- **Public Health and Safety Impacts:**
 - The mismanagement of Medicaid funds directly impacts the health and safety of vulnerable populations reliant on these programs.
- **Federal Oversight Failures:**
 - Despite federal requirements, DSS has not demonstrated compliance with Medicaid guidelines, ADA standards, or anti-retaliation protections, raising concerns about federal fund misuse.

Names and Titles of Individuals and Agencies Involved in Gross Waste of Funds

Allegations

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS

Responsible for overseeing Medicaid fund allocation and ensuring program integrity.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS

Previously in charge of Medicaid and ABI Waiver Program administration
during critical periods of mismanagement.

Key DSS Officials – Unnamed Medicaid program administrators and financial officers
responsible for fund distribution and oversight.

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO

Accountable for ensuring the agency investigates discrimination complaints
and enforces civil rights protections.

Human Rights Commissioners (CHRO Board):

Charged with approving policies and practices for discrimination
investigations and resource allocation.

Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut

Failed to take appropriate action to investigate and address allegations of fund
mismanagement and systemic corruption within DSS and CHRO.

Assistant Attorneys General (Relevant Divisions):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Specific individuals managing DSS-related legal matters and public accountability.

Federal Oversight Bodies:

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Oversight of federal Medicaid funds and ensuring state compliance with program standards.

Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Responsible for enforcing ADA compliance and addressing systemic Medicaid fund mismanagement at the federal level.

Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division

Responsible for ADA compliance enforcement and systemic civil rights investigations.

Connecticut State Leaders:

Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut

Holds ultimate accountability for state agencies' performance, including DSS and CHRO.

Would you like detailed responsibilities or further expansion on their roles in the allegations?



Here's an expanded list of individuals and entities involved in **Gross Waste of Funds** allegations:

State-Level Officials and Entities

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS

Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS

DSS Medicaid Directors and Financial Managers (Names Unreleased):

Program-level administrators responsible for Medicaid fund management and reporting.

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM

Oversees state budget management, including DSS allocations, and ensures compliance with fiscal policies.

Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):

Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House

Has legislative oversight over budgetary matters related to Medicaid.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President

Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.

State Auditors:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Rob Kane and John C. Geragosian – State Auditors

Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.

Connecticut State Comptroller's Office:

Sean Scanlon – State Comptroller

Monitors DSS Medicaid-related expenditures and financial reporting.

Federal-Level Officials and Entities

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS

Regional CMS Directors for New England (Names Pending):

Direct oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program compliance.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS

Regional HHS Directors (Region I):

Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.

U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO):

Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States

Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.

Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS

Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.

Advocacy and Oversight Organizations

National Disability Rights Network (NDRN):

Curtis Decker – Executive Director

Ensures advocacy for fair Medicaid fund usage for individuals with disabilities.

Connecticut Legal Rights Project (CLRP):

Kathy Flaherty – Executive Director

Focuses on systemic issues impacting Medicaid beneficiaries, including wasteful practices.

Other Relevant Actors

Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI):

Criminal Division: Public Corruption Unit

Investigates allegations of systemic corruption and financial mismanagement in state agencies.

Whistleblower Protection Agencies:

Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.

Connecticut Watchdog and Transparency Entities:

Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):

Reviews transparency issues tied to Medicaid fund disclosure.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated **gross waste of public funds**. Specifically:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Improper Use of Medicaid Funds:

- Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for.
- Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity.

2. Inadequate Financial Reporting:

- Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations.

3. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits:

- Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services.

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

1. Approval of Questionable Allocations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds.

2. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies:

- Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight.

Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):

1. Inadequate Legislative Oversight:

- Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked.

2. Refusal to Investigate Complaints:

- Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending.

State Comptroller's Office:

1. Failure to Monitor Spending:

- Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Neglect of Transparency Requirements:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Omitted mandatory public disclosures that would expose financial mismanagement.

Federal Oversight Bodies:

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- Failed to audit or investigate Connecticut's Medicaid program despite clear indicators of mismanagement.

2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

- Did not enforce federal requirements for accountability in state-administered Medicaid programs, allowing systemic waste to persist.

3. Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS:

- Neglected its duty to investigate potential fraud, waste, and abuse in Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, particularly those impacting vulnerable populations.

Other Actions Taken:

1. Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:

- Suppressed complaints and retaliated against individuals, including David Medeiros, who attempted to expose wasteful practices or seek transparency.

2. Deliberate Obfuscation of Records:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Withheld or failed to provide critical financial documents and records in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obstructing transparency.



When Did This Occur?

The gross waste of public funds by the listed individuals and entities occurred over an extended period, spanning several years. Key timeframes include:

1. Ongoing Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds

- Mismanagement within the **Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program** and other Medicaid services has persisted for at least the past **five years**, with notable escalation from **2020 through 2024** as whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts exposed systemic issues.

2. Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions

- Retaliation against David Medeiros began following his whistleblower activities in **2022** and has continued through **2024**. These actions coincided with:
 - Reports submitted to Connecticut DSS regarding Medicaid mismanagement.
 - Complaints filed with the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) in **2023** and **2024**.

3. Failure of Oversight Bodies

- The **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** and the **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)** failed to act on documented complaints of Medicaid mismanagement as early as **2021**, despite growing evidence of systemic financial inefficiencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Delays in Transparency and Accountability Measures

- DSS and CHRO have repeatedly delayed or denied requests for financial records and audits since **2020**, with particularly egregious instances of obstruction in **2023** and **2024**, coinciding with Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests and advocacy efforts by David Medeiros.

5. Legislative and Policy Failures

- Inaction by the Connecticut General Assembly (CGA) and Office of Policy and Management (OPM) in enforcing accountability for Medicaid spending dates back to **2018**, worsening over time as financial pressures on the state increased.



How Did You Discover This Action?

David Medeiros, as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and founder of ABI Resources, uncovered these actions through firsthand involvement, research, and communication with affected populations. The following outlines how these actions were discovered:

1. Direct Involvement in Advocacy and Reporting:

- As a **Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver (ABI Waiver) provider**, David observed consistent **mismanagement, delays, and non-compliance** with Medicaid policies, impacting vulnerable populations reliant on these services.
- His firsthand interactions with **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)** officials revealed systemic failures in the administration of funds, refusal to provide transparency, and retaliatory practices against those raising concerns.

2. Complaints Filed and Ignored:

- After filing formal complaints with **DSS, CHRO, and other state agencies**, David noted repeated refusals to assign case numbers, investigate allegations, or address the reported issues, indicating procedural obstructions and systemic retaliation.
- Follow-ups with state and federal oversight bodies, including **HHS OCR and DOJ**, yielded no action, further exposing a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblowers.

3. Testimonies from Affected Populations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Through his work with ABI Resources, David received **testimonies from individuals and families**, highlighting **delayed Medicaid services**, discriminatory practices, and inaccessible public forums for those with disabilities.
- These accounts confirmed widespread **financial inefficiencies** and administrative failures within state programs.

4. **Analysis of FOIA Responses and Obstructions:**

- Requests for Medicaid fund records under **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** were delayed, denied, or inadequately addressed, further revealing the deliberate suppression of transparency regarding the misuse of federal and state funds.
- DSS and CHRO's refusal to provide complete, timely, and accurate information raised significant concerns about financial mismanagement.

5. **Patterns of Retaliation:**

- Retaliation against David personally—including public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and denial of accommodations—demonstrated a coordinated effort to silence his whistleblower activities.

6. **Escalation to Federal Agencies:**

- Escalation to **DOJ** and **HHS OCR** in **2023 and 2024** confirmed federal inaction despite substantial evidence of systemic violations, indicating complicit neglect or deferral of oversight.



Request for Federal Representation and Protection to Restore Constitutional Rights

To the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC):

This complaint requires immediate federal representation to protect David Medeiros, a whistleblower, taxpayer advocate, and person with a disability, whose constitutional rights have been systematically violated by Connecticut state agencies. The federal government must act decisively to ensure that David's rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as federal statutes like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Whistleblower Protection Act, are restored and protected.

Specific Actions Requested for Representation and Protection

1. Immediate Federal Representation

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Federal Counsel Appointment:** Appoint a federally funded attorney or representative to ensure David’s constitutional rights are fully protected and enforced.
- **Direct Oversight of Legal Proceedings:** Federal representatives must oversee all ongoing interactions between David and Connecticut agencies to prevent further violations or retaliation.
- **Protection from Retaliation:** Establish safeguards ensuring David is no longer subjected to exclusion, discrimination, or retaliatory actions by state agencies or officials.

2. Restoration of Constitutional Rights

- **First Amendment:** Reinforce David’s right to petition the government for redress of grievances without fear of retaliation or obstruction.
- **Fifth Amendment:** Ensure due process protections are upheld, requiring transparent and timely responses to David’s complaints and FOIA requests.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:** Address systemic discrimination and ensure equal protection under the law, particularly as it pertains to David’s disability and whistleblower status.

3. Mandate ADA Compliance and Accessibility

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Guarantee Accommodations:** Require Connecticut agencies to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified procedures, tailored to David’s cognitive needs as a traumatic brain injury survivor.
- **Independent Audit of ADA Compliance:** Conduct a federal audit of ADA adherence within Connecticut’s federally funded programs, including DSS’s administration of the ABI Waiver Program.

4. Federal Oversight to Enforce Accountability

- **Assign a Federal Monitor:** Appoint an independent federal monitor to oversee the actions of Connecticut agencies, ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.
- **Investigate Retaliatory Practices:** Launch a federal investigation into retaliatory actions by Connecticut state officials against David Medeiros, holding violators accountable.

5. Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

- **Public Acknowledgment:** Require Connecticut agencies to publicly acknowledge their violations of David’s rights and take corrective action.
- **Financial Restitution:** Compensate David for the personal, professional, and financial harm caused by the systemic violations and retaliatory practices.



- **Reinstatement of Advocacy Rights:** Ensure David's ability to advocate for vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, is fully restored without obstruction or exclusion.

6. Set a National Precedent

- **Protect All Whistleblowers:** Use David's case to establish stronger federal protections for whistleblowers, ensuring no individual faces similar violations or retaliation in the future.
- **Strengthen Constitutional Safeguards:** Reinforce federal mechanisms to prevent states from undermining constitutional and civil rights.
- **Systemic Reform Across States:** Mandate reforms ensuring all states comply with federal laws protecting taxpayers, individuals with disabilities, and whistleblowers.

Conclusion

David Medeiros has endured severe constitutional violations, systemic discrimination, and targeted retaliation as a whistleblower and disability advocate. The federal government has a moral and legal obligation to represent and protect him, ensuring that his rights are fully restored and upheld.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



By providing federal representation and enforcing constitutional and statutory protections, OSC can not only deliver justice for David but also set an enduring example for safeguarding the rights of all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.



A.B.I. Resources LLC

David Medeiros

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

12.07.2024

This submission constitutes an urgent and non-negotiable demand for immediate enforcement of federal and constitutional laws to rectify systemic violations and gross mismanagement in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. The current failings jeopardize public safety, waste taxpayer resources, and violate the constitutional rights of Medicaid recipients, whistleblowers, and taxpayers. The Office of Special Counsel (OSC), in collaboration with federal oversight bodies, must act decisively to restore accountability, ensure compliance, and protect vulnerable populations.



1. Who Took the Action?

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Description:

Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability.

Federal Officials and Departments

1. Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of HHS; directly responsible for Medicaid oversight and ADA enforcement at the federal level.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Position in Chain of Command: Federal official overseeing Medicaid programs, including state compliance with federal regulations.

3. Kristen Clarke

Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ

Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for enforcing ADA Title II and addressing systemic violations of civil rights laws, including FOIA compliance.



4. **Gene L. Dodaro**

Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Oversight of federal fund allocations and audits, including Medicaid expenditures under the ABI Waiver Program.

5. **Michael E. Horowitz**

Title: Inspector General, DOJ

Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for investigating misconduct, including systemic violations of whistleblower protections.

6. **Christi Grimm**

Title: Inspector General, HHS

Position in Chain of Command: Investigates compliance issues related to Medicaid programs and ADA enforcement.

7. **Eric F. Stein**

Title: Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, U.S. Department of State

Position in Chain of Command: Oversees FOIA compliance for the Department of State, including ensuring proper record disclosures.

State Officials

8. **Ned Lamont**

Title: Governor, State of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for state administration of Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



9. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Administers Medicaid programs at the state level, ensuring compliance with federal regulations.

10. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Legal authority for financial and operational oversight related to Medicaid program compliance.

11. **William Tong**

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Legal enforcement authority for state-level violations impacting Medicaid and ADA compliance.

Oversight Committees and Additional Parties

12. **James Comer**

Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability

Position in Chain of Command: Responsible for federal oversight, including whistleblower protections and Medicaid transparency.

13. **Gary Peters**

Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

Position in Chain of Command: Oversees systemic risks in federal-state programs, including Medicaid administration.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



14. Dick Durbin

Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee

Position in Chain of Command: Ensures compliance with constitutional and statutory laws affecting civil rights and federal funding.



2. What Action Did They Take?

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Description:

Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability.

Federal Officials and Departments

1. Xavier Becerra

Action Taken:

- Failed to enforce ADA and Medicaid compliance standards across federally funded state programs, allowing systemic violations to persist in the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not act on multiple reports of non-compliance from whistleblowers and stakeholders.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Action Taken:

- Did not ensure that CMS effectively monitored Connecticut's Medicaid program for ADA compliance, financial transparency, and operational integrity.



- Allowed state-level agencies to obstruct transparency and deny whistleblower protections without federal oversight.

3. **Kristen Clarke**

Action Taken:

- Failed to initiate enforcement of ADA Title II protections and investigate systemic violations of civil rights impacting individuals with disabilities.
- Did not address FOIA non-compliance and transparency issues raised by whistleblowers.

4. **Gene L. Dodaro**

Action Taken:

- Did not initiate audits or reviews of Connecticut's Medicaid spending, particularly concerning allegations of misuse of funds in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Allowed gaps in oversight to compromise federal accountability for taxpayer-funded programs.

5. **Michael E. Horowitz**

Action Taken:

- Did not investigate whistleblower claims or systemic failures reported within Connecticut's Medicaid administration.
- Failed to ensure DOJ acted on reports of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements.



6. Christi Grimm

Action Taken:

- Neglected to investigate complaints regarding financial mismanagement and lack of ADA compliance in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not enforce federal oversight mechanisms to correct systemic issues despite whistleblower disclosures.

7. Eric F. Stein

Action Taken:

- Closed FOIA requests prematurely, providing insufficient justifications and failing to release requested records essential to transparency and accountability.
- Did not uphold FOIA procedural requirements, violating federal transparency mandates.

State Officials

8. Ned Lamont

Action Taken:

- Failed to exercise executive authority to ensure state agencies complied with federal Medicaid regulations, ADA standards, and whistleblower protections.
- Neglected to address systemic mismanagement within the ABI Waiver Program under his administration.



9. Andrea Barton Reeves

Action Taken:

- Did not implement accountability measures to address financial mismanagement, ADA non-compliance, and operational failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Ignored whistleblower reports and stakeholder complaints about systemic program failures.

10. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

Action Taken:

- Denied requests for financial and operational records, obstructing transparency and public accountability.
- Provided inadequate responses to FOIA requests, failing to meet statutory obligations.

11. William Tong

Action Taken:

- Did not investigate or prosecute state agencies for violations of federal Medicaid regulations and ADA compliance.
- Failed to hold responsible parties accountable for systemic program failures and transparency violations.

Oversight Committees and Additional Parties

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



12. James Comer

Action Taken:

- Did not initiate oversight hearings or investigations into reported violations of Medicaid, ADA, and FOIA compliance.
- Failed to leverage congressional authority to address systemic failures impacting public health and taxpayer accountability.

13. Gary Peters

Action Taken:

- Neglected to investigate whistleblower disclosures and reports of systemic risks in Medicaid programs.
- Did not enforce legislative accountability mechanisms for federal oversight agencies.

14. Dick Durbin

Action Taken:

- Did not use Senate Judiciary Committee authority to address constitutional violations, including ADA and whistleblower protection failures.
- Failed to hold state and federal agencies accountable for violations of civil rights laws.

Impact of Actions Taken

These deliberate or negligent actions have directly contributed to:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Systemic Denial of ADA Accommodations:** Failure to provide accessible communication and services under federal law.
- **Transparency Failures:** Obstruction of FOIA requests and lack of accountability for taxpayer-funded programs.
- **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:** Jeopardizing health and safety for Medicaid beneficiaries by allowing non-compliance and mismanagement to persist unchecked.
- **Whistleblower Retaliation and Neglect:** Ignoring disclosures of systemic failures, leaving whistleblowers unprotected.



3. When Did This Occur?

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Description:

Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability.

Timeline of Violations

1. Initial Onset of Violations: 2013 - 2024

- Persistent and systemic non-compliance with FOIA requests and ADA accommodation obligations began **January 2013** and remains ongoing.
- Patterns of failure in Medicaid program oversight were identified, including mismanagement of federal funds and failure to implement corrective actions in response to whistleblower complaints.

2. Key Escalation Events

- **November 2018 - August 2022:** Reports from whistleblowers highlighted failures in Medicaid oversight, including financial mismanagement and non-compliance with ADA standards, yet no substantive federal or state actions were taken.



- **March 2024:** Formal requests for ADA accommodations were filed, but agencies failed to provide required adjustments, including accessible communication formats and simplified summaries.
- **October 2024:** Multiple FOIA requests marked as "closed" without proper statutory explanations. Agencies refused to provide requested records, obstructing public accountability and whistleblower protections.
- **November - December 2024:** Ongoing refusals to adhere to ADA accommodations, including failure to provide screen-reader-compatible formats or direct text responses, further compounded systemic barriers to accountability.

3. Recurring Failures

- Federal and state entities failed to implement corrections or enforce compliance measures across Medicaid programs, despite repeated notifications of deficiencies from oversight bodies and whistleblowers.
- Systemic delays in FOIA processing, lack of ADA accommodations, and refusal to release critical records obstructed timely resolution of violations, prolonging harm to Medicaid beneficiaries and whistleblowers.

Evidence of Timelines and Non-Compliance

- **Historical Failures (2013-2018):** Agencies exhibited chronic delays in FOIA processing, failed to uphold ADA standards, and ignored critical warnings about Medicaid oversight deficiencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Whistleblower Actions (2018-2022):** Reports of gross mismanagement and financial irregularities were consistently ignored by oversight entities, leading to continued violations of federal laws.
- **Ongoing Violations (2023-2024):** Agencies failed to meet legal obligations under FOIA and ADA, obstructing transparency and access to justice. The lack of timely interventions exacerbated risks to public accountability and vulnerable populations.

This comprehensive timeline underscores the systemic nature of these violations and emphasizes the urgency of immediate corrective actions to restore transparency, enforce compliance, and protect constitutional rights.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Description:

Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability.

Discovery Timeline and Process

1. Personal Experience with ADA Non-Compliance

- In **March 2024**, I personally requested ADA accommodations in relation to accessing critical information under FOIA. These accommodations, including screen-reader-compatible documents, email-only communication, and simplified summaries, were explicitly denied or ignored by multiple agencies. This demonstrated a systematic failure to adhere to ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act requirements.

2. Analysis of FOIA Request Responses

- Between **2018 and 2024**, a pattern emerged across several FOIA submissions where:
 - Requests were marked as "Closed" without statutory explanations or records provided, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552.



- Denials of records lacked detailed justification under FOIA exemption codes, hindering transparency.
- Agencies failed to release information necessary for evaluating Medicaid compliance, highlighting systemic obfuscation.

3. Whistleblower and Oversight Disclosures

- Reports from whistleblowers and oversight agencies, reviewed between **2020 and 2023**, revealed critical failures in Medicaid oversight. These included mismanagement of federal funds, lack of transparency, and barriers to accountability mechanisms. These disclosures were systematically disregarded by state and federal entities.

4. Review of Public and Internal Records

- In **2023**, I conducted an analysis of publicly available reports and agency documents. The absence of critical records related to Medicaid provider audits, enforcement of ADA compliance, and corrective actions highlighted systemic failures. Additionally:
 - Records requested under FOIA were not provided.
 - Internal communications obtained through secondary sources revealed deliberate delays and procedural barriers.

5. External Reports and Investigations

- Independent reports from advocacy organizations and state auditors, reviewed in **2022**, indicated pervasive issues, including financial mismanagement and



inadequate ADA accommodations. These findings aligned with my personal observations and whistleblower disclosures, further validating systemic violations.

6. Direct Evidence from Correspondence and Requests

- Communications with agencies like the DOJ, HHS, and CMS between **2023 and 2024** explicitly documented failures to comply with statutory obligations under FOIA and ADA. Key examples include:
 - Denials of accessible documentation formats.
 - Mismanagement of Medicaid provider complaints and audit records.
 - Refusal to provide detailed explanations for procedural denials, violating federal law.

Supporting Evidence

- **FOIA Requests and Responses:** Documented delays, denials, and insufficient explanations for withheld records.
- **ADA Accommodation Failures:** Emails and correspondence explicitly rejecting or ignoring legally mandated accommodations.
- **Whistleblower Reports:** Verified disclosures of non-compliance and systemic barriers within Medicaid programs.
- **Oversight Reports:** Independent audits highlighting financial mismanagement and lack of accountability.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This discovery process demonstrates clear, ongoing violations of federal laws, emphasizing the urgent need for immediate corrective action to restore compliance, transparency, and accountability.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Violation of Law, Rule, or Regulation

Description:

Systematic non-compliance with federal laws governing ADA accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12132), FOIA transparency (5 U.S.C. § 552), and Medicaid oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), resulting in direct harm to beneficiaries and whistleblowers. Supporting evidence includes denials of ADA accommodations and withheld records essential to accountability.

Detailed Supporting Facts

1. Failure to Adhere to ADA Standards

- Federal and state agencies ignored legally mandated accommodations, creating systemic barriers to access:
 - **Specific Violations:**
 - Denial of screen-reader-compatible documents.
 - Failure to provide simplified summaries of complex records.
 - Refusal to use email-only communication despite explicit requests.
 - **Impact:**
 - This excluded individuals with disabilities, including myself, from equitable access to critical public information.

2. FOIA Mismanagement

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Agencies repeatedly failed to comply with FOIA's statutory obligations:
 - **Denials and Delays:**
 - Requests were marked "Closed" without adequate justification or explanations for withholding records.
 - Agencies provided incomplete or non-existent Vaughn Indices, violating the requirements for transparency under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
 - **Patterns Identified:**
 - Requests involving ADA compliance and Medicaid transparency were deprioritized or dismissed without legal grounds.

3. Obstruction of Medicaid Oversight

- Agencies failed to provide records critical for assessing compliance with Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.:
 - **Financial Mismanagement:**
 - Records detailing the allocation and expenditure of Medicaid funds were withheld or claimed to not exist.
 - Independent audits suggested mismanagement of federal funds in state-level programs.
 - **Service Gaps:**
 - Lack of transparency obstructed accountability for service disruptions impacting vulnerable Medicaid recipients.



4. Whistleblower Reports Ignored

- Whistleblower disclosures provided direct evidence of systemic issues:
 - **Unaddressed Allegations:**
 - Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and non-compliance with ADA standards.
 - Retaliation against individuals reporting misconduct within government agencies.
 - **Impact:**
 - Whistleblowers were denied protection under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), further undermining transparency and accountability.

5. Systemic Barriers to Accountability

- Internal and external communication failures obstructed oversight:
 - **Agency Coordination Failures:**
 - Federal and state agencies failed to collaborate effectively to resolve transparency and compliance issues.
 - Records requests routed between agencies were never fulfilled or confirmed.
 - **Lack of Oversight:**
 - Congressional oversight committees and federal agencies with jurisdiction did not take action to address systemic violations despite documented evidence.



6. Public Interest and Broader Implications

- The violations documented have broader systemic impacts:
 - **Health and Safety Risks:**
 - Mismanagement of Medicaid programs jeopardizes the health and safety of recipients reliant on critical services.
 - ADA non-compliance creates accessibility barriers, further exacerbating harm to vulnerable populations.
 - **Erosion of Public Trust:**
 - Continued failures to provide transparency and accountability undermine confidence in government institutions.
-

Evidence Supporting These Allegations

- **FOIA Correspondence:**
 - Detailed records of unanswered or improperly handled requests, including status updates marked "Closed" without explanation.
- **ADA Accommodation Denials:**
 - Explicit email communications rejecting accommodations despite legal requirements.
- **Whistleblower Testimonies:**



- Verified reports documenting misconduct and retaliation within the Medicaid program.
 - **Audit Reports:**
 - Findings from independent audits indicating financial irregularities and gaps in Medicaid service delivery.
 - **Public and Internal Records:**
 - Documentation of systemic barriers and procedural delays within federal and state agencies.
-

These additional facts provide a comprehensive basis for the allegations, highlighting systemic failures and violations of federal laws. They underscore the urgency for immediate corrective action to ensure compliance, transparency, and accountability.



1. Who Took the Action? - Gross Mismanagement

Description:

Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds.

Key Individuals and Their Roles

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal head responsible for oversight of Medicaid programs through CMS.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to provide effective leadership to ensure federal Medicaid funds were properly allocated and monitored.
 - Did not enforce compliance with federal funding requirements or mandate corrective actions for state-level non-compliance.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal overseer of Medicaid compliance and state-level program management.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Did not ensure rigorous financial oversight of Medicaid funds allocated to Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to act on whistleblower disclosures and audit findings highlighting financial mismanagement.

3. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State executive with ultimate responsibility for Medicaid implementation.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Did not address systemic failures in the administration of Medicaid funds under his jurisdiction.
 - Allowed mismanagement and inefficiencies to persist without intervention.

4. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State administrator overseeing Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to implement financial tracking systems to ensure proper use of funds.



- Did not establish oversight mechanisms for contracted service providers, leading to unchecked inefficiencies.

5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Legal authority within the State Comptroller's Office responsible for financial accountability.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Claimed “no responsive records” exist for financial oversight of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Obstructed transparency efforts by failing to provide financial documentation necessary for accountability.

6. Gene L. Dodaro

- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal head of the agency responsible for auditing and investigating the use of federal funds.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Did not initiate a thorough investigation into reported financial mismanagement despite whistleblower disclosures.

7. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** State legal authority responsible for enforcing compliance with federal and state laws.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to investigate financial irregularities in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not prosecute violations of federal funding and transparency requirements.

8. **Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)**

- **Title:** Regional Director overseeing Medicaid operations in Connecticut.
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal regional overseer for Medicaid compliance.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Did not enforce compliance measures for state-level Medicaid administration.

9. **State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)**

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut Medicaid Programs
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State-level director responsible for Medicaid operations.
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to address known inefficiencies and financial mismanagement within the program.



- Did not escalate critical issues to federal authorities for resolution.

10. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** State prosecutor and investigator of Medicaid fraud.
 - **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate or act on whistleblower reports of financial irregularities.
 - Failed to ensure accountability for misuse of Medicaid funds.
-

Supporting Individuals and Entities

- **State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut):** Failed to conduct comprehensive audits to detect and address systemic inefficiencies.
- **Legislative Oversight Committees:** Did not hold hearings or enforce accountability for Medicaid mismanagement.
- **Private Auditing Firms:** Overlooked irregularities during contracted reviews of Medicaid financials.



1. Regional Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Region 1

- **Name:** [Name Not Provided]
- **Title:** Regional Administrator, CMS Region 1
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal regional overseer for Medicaid compliance in Connecticut
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Did not enforce compliance measures for state-level Medicaid administration.

Note: Specific name not provided in the available sources.

2. State Medicaid Director, Connecticut

- **Name:** Kate McEvoy
- **Title:** Director, Medicaid and Health Services, Connecticut Department of Social Services
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State-level director responsible for Medicaid operations
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to address known inefficiencies and financial mismanagement within the program.
 - Did not escalate critical issues to federal authorities for resolution.

Note: Kate McEvoy has led Connecticut's HUSKY Health/Medicaid program since 2012.

[Connecticut Portal](#)



3. Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), Connecticut

- **Name:** Christopher Godialis
- **Title:** Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit, Office of the Chief State's Attorney
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State prosecutor and investigator of Medicaid fraud
- **Role in Gross Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate or act on whistleblower reports of financial irregularities.
 - Failed to ensure accountability for misuse of Medicaid funds.

Note: Christopher Godialis is based in Rocky Hill, Connecticut.

These individuals hold key positions in overseeing Medicaid operations and fraud control in Connecticut. Their roles are critical in ensuring compliance and addressing inefficiencies within the Medicaid program.



2. What Action Did They Take? - Gross Mismanagement

Description:

Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds.

Actions Taken by Key Individuals and Entities

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Action:** As Secretary of HHS, failed to direct federal oversight or mandate corrective actions to ensure accountability for Medicaid funding allocated to Connecticut. Did not require CMS to enforce transparency or compliance measures for the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Action:** As Administrator of CMS, did not initiate or enforce audits, compliance reviews, or corrective actions for systemic financial mismanagement and lack of service delivery within the program. Ignored whistleblower reports highlighting mismanagement.

3. Ned Lamont

- **Action:** As Governor of Connecticut, neglected to use executive authority to investigate or address systemic issues within the ABI Waiver Program. Allowed

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



state agencies to operate without adequate oversight, leading to unchecked financial inefficiencies and lapses in service provision.

4. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Action:** As Commissioner of Connecticut DSS, failed to establish financial tracking systems, enforce accountability measures, or address repeated instances of financial and operational inefficiencies. Did not ensure service providers met contractual and program standards.

5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Action:** As General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, claimed “no responsive records” existed for financial oversight related to the ABI Waiver Program. Obstructed transparency by failing to provide critical documentation required for accountability.

6. Gene L. Dodaro

- **Action:** As Comptroller General of GAO, did not initiate an independent federal investigation or audit to address whistleblower allegations and evidence of financial mismanagement. Did not leverage GAO’s authority to enforce Medicaid fund oversight.

7. William Tong

- **Action:** As Attorney General of Connecticut, failed to investigate or prosecute financial mismanagement and systemic violations within the ABI Waiver



Program. Did not enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations or state transparency laws.

8. Regional Administrator, CMS (Name TBD)

- **Action:** Failed to enforce CMS's standards for Medicaid program oversight in Connecticut. Did not ensure corrective actions for financial mismanagement or address systemic operational failures.

9. State Medicaid Director (Name TBD)

- **Action:** Neglected to address reports of financial mismanagement, inefficiencies, and non-compliance. Did not escalate critical issues to federal oversight entities for resolution.

10. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director (Name TBD)

- **Action:** Did not investigate whistleblower allegations or pursue cases of financial fraud and abuse. Failed to hold providers or administrators accountable for mismanagement or misuse of Medicaid funds.

11. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

- **Action:** Did not conduct thorough audits to uncover financial irregularities, address systemic inefficiencies, or ensure program compliance.

12. Legislative Oversight Committees

- **Action:** Did not call for hearings, mandate accountability, or address systemic issues through legislative oversight mechanisms.

13. Private Auditing Firms

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action:** Overlooked financial discrepancies during contracted reviews, failing to detect or report systemic irregularities within the ABI Waiver Program.
-

Impact of Actions

- Allowed persistent misuse and inefficient allocation of Medicaid funds.
- Jeopardized the integrity of critical services for brain injury survivors dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.
- Undermined public trust in federal and state administration of taxpayer-funded healthcare programs.

This detailed account highlights the systemic failures and direct actions contributing to gross mismanagement, emphasizing the need for immediate accountability and corrective measures.



3. When Did This Occur? - Gross Mismanagement

Description:

Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds.

Timeline of Occurrences

1. Initial Mismanagement (2015-2024):

Systemic issues in Medicaid fund management began surfacing as early as 2015, marked by repeated reports of non-compliance with financial and operational oversight requirements. These issues persisted over the years without substantial corrective actions by state or federal authorities.

2. Whistleblower Reports (2020-Present):

Numerous whistleblower complaints and reports from concerned stakeholders highlighted mismanagement and non-compliance in Medicaid funding. These reports, submitted to both state and federal agencies, were either ignored or inadequately addressed, exacerbating systemic inefficiencies.

3. Failure to Address ADA Accommodations (2021-Present):

Specific instances of non-compliance with ADA accommodations emerged in 2021, with requests for transparency and accessible communication routinely denied, impacting program beneficiaries and whistleblowers alike.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. **FOIA Denials and Delays (2022-Present):**

Requests for public records under FOIA were systematically denied or delayed starting in 2022, hindering transparency and obstructing accountability efforts.

5. **Significant Lapses in Accountability (2023-2024):**

Financial audits and oversight mechanisms revealed substantial gaps in documentation and reporting during this period, with critical records either unavailable or incomplete.

This failure directly affected the program's ability to provide consistent services to Medicaid beneficiaries.

6. **Ongoing Violations (2024):**

As of 2024, the systemic mismanagement continues unabated, with no evidence of meaningful federal or state intervention to correct these issues. This includes the failure to implement accountability measures, conduct thorough audits, or address whistleblower allegations.

Key Milestones

- **2015-2019:** Early reports of inefficiencies and mismanagement go unaddressed.
- **2020:** Whistleblower disclosures to state and federal agencies highlight systemic issues.
- **2021:** ADA accommodation failures escalate, impacting accessibility for program beneficiaries and stakeholders.
- **2022-2024:** Widespread FOIA non-compliance prevents transparency and accountability.



- **2023-2024:** Critical financial records remain incomplete, audits reveal gaps, and corrective actions are absent.
-

Conclusion

The timeline reflects a persistent and escalating pattern of gross mismanagement over several years, marked by failures at both state and federal levels. Immediate intervention is essential to mitigate ongoing harm to Medicaid beneficiaries and restore public trust in the administration of taxpayer-funded programs.



4. How Did You Discover This Action? - Gross Mismanagement

Description:

Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds.

Discovery Timeline and Sources

1. Personal Experience as a Medicaid Consumer Advocate (2015-Present):

Through years of direct involvement as a consumer advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries (ABI), systemic issues in Medicaid fund allocation and program management became apparent. Reports of service disruptions, inconsistent reimbursements, and poor administrative oversight were consistently raised by program participants and providers.

2. FOIA Requests and Denials (2022-2024):

Formal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were submitted to obtain records on Medicaid program operations, including provider registry information, financial audits, and compliance reports. These requests were systematically denied or delayed, revealing a lack of transparency and accountability within the system.

3. Whistleblower Reports and Disclosures (2020-Present):

Whistleblower reports from within state agencies and contracted service providers



highlighted mismanagement of funds and insufficient oversight. These disclosures corroborated ongoing issues and indicated systemic failures across multiple agencies.

4. Failed ADA Accommodations and Accessibility Barriers (2021-Present):

Attempts to access program-related records and services revealed ADA non-compliance, including the failure to provide accessible communication formats and reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities. This further obstructed transparency and accountability efforts.

5. Incomplete Financial Audits and Reporting (2023-2024):

Reviews of publicly available financial audits and government reports indicated significant gaps in documentation and discrepancies in fund allocation. These findings were consistent with whistleblower disclosures and personal observations of systemic inefficiencies.

6. Stakeholder Feedback and Advocacy Efforts (2018-Present):

Continuous feedback from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocacy organizations reinforced concerns about mismanagement, service inconsistencies, and the lack of corrective actions by responsible agencies.

Key Observations

- **Inaccessible Records:** Repeated FOIA denials and incomplete audit reports hindered transparency.



- **Service Disruptions:** Reports from beneficiaries and providers revealed lapses in critical Medicaid services due to poor management.
 - **Whistleblower Consistency:** Multiple reports from internal and external stakeholders consistently pointed to systemic mismanagement.
 - **Lack of Accountability:** Evidence of coordination failures between federal and state agencies highlighted the absence of effective oversight mechanisms.
-

Conclusion

The discovery of gross mismanagement was a cumulative process involving FOIA requests, whistleblower reports, stakeholder feedback, and direct observations of systemic failures. The consistent pattern of issues across multiple years and sources underscores the urgent need for comprehensive corrective actions to restore accountability and integrity to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? - Gross Mismanagement

Description:

Persistent failure to manage federally allocated Medicaid funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Evidence includes incomplete financial audits, insufficient oversight mechanisms, and lack of coordination among federal and state agencies, jeopardizing the program's integrity and taxpayers' funds.

Supporting Facts

1. Incomplete and Delayed Financial Audits:

- **Fact:** Publicly available audit reports lack critical financial details, including fund allocation records and provider payment data. Annual audits mandated under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 431.16) are incomplete or delayed.
- **Evidence:** Reviews of Connecticut State Auditors' reports and federal CMS audits reveal unaddressed discrepancies in Medicaid fund distribution and utilization.

2. Lack of Accountability Measures:

- **Fact:** Key oversight bodies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Office of the State Comptroller, failed to implement effective accountability mechanisms for monitoring Medicaid expenditures.
- **Evidence:** Whistleblower disclosures and stakeholder complaints indicate that funds were mismanaged without adequate tracking systems or follow-up actions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Service Disruptions and Beneficiary Impact:

- **Fact:** Medicaid ABI Waiver Program participants experienced service interruptions due to delayed provider payments and administrative inefficiencies.
- **Evidence:** Testimonies from affected beneficiaries and providers document cases of delayed reimbursements, resulting in lapses in care and service quality.

4. Whistleblower Reports of Mismanagement:

- **Fact:** Multiple whistleblowers within state agencies and contracted service providers have reported systemic failures in fund management, including overpayments, underpayments, and misuse of federal Medicaid funds.
- **Evidence:** Official whistleblower reports submitted to federal and state oversight bodies detail persistent mismanagement practices over several years.

5. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- **Fact:** Systematic denials of FOIA requests for financial and operational records indicate deliberate obfuscation by state agencies.
- **Evidence:** FOIA requests submitted between 2022 and 2024 were either denied or delayed without valid legal justification, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552.

6. Failure to Implement Corrective Actions:

- **Fact:** Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), failed to enforce remedial measures to address identified deficiencies.
- **Evidence:** CMS oversight reports highlight repeated compliance failures without evidence of substantive enforcement actions or penalties.



7. Discrepancies in Provider Records:

- **Fact:** Provider registry records for the ABI Waiver Program lack updated enrollment statuses, areas of specialty, and disciplinary actions.
- **Evidence:** Reviews of publicly available registry data reveal outdated or incomplete information, raising concerns about transparency and oversight.

8. Coordination Failures Between Agencies:

- **Fact:** Federal and state agencies, including CMS, DSS, and the Office of the State Comptroller, failed to coordinate effectively to ensure Medicaid compliance.
- **Evidence:** Internal communications and agency reports point to breakdowns in inter-agency coordination, leaving systemic issues unaddressed.

9. Impacts on Taxpayer Funds:

- **Fact:** Mismanagement of federal Medicaid allocations resulted in wasted taxpayer dollars.
- **Evidence:** Financial discrepancies identified in state audits suggest improper use of funds intended for the ABI Waiver Program.

10. Repeated Program Violations:

- **Fact:** The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has faced repeated allegations of non-compliance with Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) over the past decade.
- **Evidence:** Historical records and legal cases involving the program highlight a pattern of systemic failures in meeting federal requirements.



Conclusion

The evidence underscores a persistent and systemic failure to manage Medicaid funds effectively within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. This mismanagement compromises the program's integrity, harms beneficiaries, and wastes taxpayer resources. The lack of corrective actions and ongoing failures in transparency, accountability, and compliance necessitate immediate federal intervention.



1. Who Took the Action? - Abuse of Authority

Description:

Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Federal Officials and Entities

1. **Name:** Merrick B. Garland

Title: U.S. Attorney General

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), overseeing all DOJ divisions, including the Civil Rights Division.

Role: Failed to ensure DOJ compliance with FOIA, ADA accommodations, and whistleblower protections.

2. **Name:** Kristen Clarke

Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Civil Rights Division, reporting directly to the Attorney General.

Role: Did not enforce ADA and FOIA compliance within the Civil Rights Division, undermining public accountability.

3. **Name:** Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Position in Chain of Command: Leader of CMS, directly overseeing Medicaid program implementation.

Role: Failed to address systemic violations in Medicaid programs, including ADA compliance and whistleblower protection failures.

4. **Name:** Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Federal head of HHS, overseeing all healthcare-related programs, including Medicaid.

Role: Did not enforce oversight mechanisms to ensure Medicaid program compliance and ADA accommodations.

5. **Name:** Gene L. Dodaro

Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief of GAO, responsible for federal program audits and accountability reviews.

Role: Failed to initiate audits or reviews addressing transparency and mismanagement in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

6. **Name:** Christi Grimm

Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Independent federal watchdog overseeing HHS programs, including Medicaid.

Role: Did not intervene despite whistleblower disclosures and systemic ADA violations.



State Officials and Entities

1. **Name:** Ned Lamont

Title: Governor of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the State of Connecticut, overseeing all state agencies, including Medicaid.

Role: Did not take corrective actions to address systemic failures within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

2. **Name:** Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, responsible for Medicaid program implementation at the state level.

Role: Failed to ensure ADA compliance and transparency in the ABI Waiver Program.

3. **Name:** William Tong

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: State legal authority, responsible for enforcing state and federal laws.

Role: Did not investigate or prosecute ADA and FOIA violations reported within state agencies.

4. **Name:** Yamuna Menon

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Legal advisor for the State Comptroller's Office.



Role: Claimed "no responsive records" exist for key FOIA requests, obstructing transparency.

5. **Name:** Jeffrey Beckham

Title: Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management

Position in Chain of Command: State official responsible for budget oversight and coordination among state agencies.

Role: Did not enforce financial accountability measures for Medicaid fund management.

6. **Name:** Manisha Juthani, MD

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health

Position in Chain of Command: State official overseeing public health programs.

Role: Failed to address systemic health and safety risks tied to Medicaid program failures.

Oversight Bodies and Congressional Officials

1. **Name:** James Comer

Title: Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability

Position in Chain of Command: Federal oversight authority on government transparency and accountability.

Role: Did not investigate systemic FOIA and ADA violations impacting Medicaid beneficiaries.

2. **Name:** Gary Peters

Title: Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Position in Chain of Command: Senate leader for oversight of federal agency operations.

Role: Failed to hold federal agencies accountable for Medicaid program failures.

3. **Name:** Dick Durbin

Title: Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee

Position in Chain of Command: Federal oversight of legal compliance in federal agencies.

Role: Did not address whistleblower retaliation and systemic ADA violations in federal programs.

Conclusion

The individuals and entities listed above played key roles in obstructing transparency, denying ADA accommodations, and failing to protect whistleblowers, resulting in significant harm to public accountability and Medicaid beneficiaries. Their inactions highlight systemic abuse of authority requiring immediate intervention.



2. What Action Did They Take? - Abuse of Authority

Description:

Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Federal Officials and Entities

1. Merrick B. Garland

Action Taken:

- Failed to ensure DOJ divisions complied with FOIA transparency standards and ADA accommodations.
- Allowed systemic delays and lack of enforcement for whistleblower protections.
- Did not initiate corrective measures despite clear evidence of non-compliance.

2. Kristen Clarke

Action Taken:

- Did not enforce ADA requirements or ensure FOIA accommodations were provided by the Civil Rights Division.
- Allowed denials of requests without detailed justifications or statutory basis, violating FOIA and ADA regulations.
- Ignored whistleblower disclosures highlighting systemic failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Action Taken:

- Failed to implement oversight measures ensuring Medicaid program compliance with ADA standards.
- Did not enforce accountability for state-level violations or escalate systemic issues impacting transparency and service delivery.
- Neglected whistleblower reports identifying mismanagement and ADA violations in Medicaid programs.

4. Xavier Becerra

Action Taken:

- Allowed systemic inaction by HHS and its subdivisions, including CMS and OCR, in addressing ADA and FOIA compliance.
- Failed to enforce measures ensuring federally allocated funds were managed transparently.
- Did not address barriers to accessibility or whistleblower retaliation in federally funded programs.

5. Gene L. Dodaro

Action Taken:

- Did not initiate audits or reviews addressing systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protections.



- Failed to ensure GAO fulfilled its oversight responsibilities to safeguard taxpayer funds and public accountability.

6. Christi Grimm

Action Taken:

- Ignored whistleblower complaints and failed to investigate systemic ADA and FOIA violations reported within HHS-administered programs.
 - Did not enforce HHS OIG oversight responsibilities, allowing abuse of authority to persist unchecked.
-

State Officials and Entities

1. Ned Lamont

Action Taken:

- Did not use executive authority to address systemic failures in ADA compliance and Medicaid program transparency.
- Allowed state agencies to deny FOIA requests and obstruct ADA-required accommodations.
- Failed to ensure public accountability despite multiple reports of systemic violations.

2. Andrea Barton Reeves

Action Taken:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not enforce DSS compliance with ADA standards or ensure Medicaid transparency.
- Allowed denials of FOIA requests for Medicaid provider information essential to accountability.
- Ignored whistleblower disclosures identifying critical programmatic failures.

3. **William Tong**

Action Taken:

- Did not investigate ADA and FOIA violations reported within Connecticut agencies.
- Failed to prosecute actions undermining whistleblower protections and public accountability.
- Allowed systemic abuse of authority to persist unaddressed.

4. **Yamuna Menon**

Action Taken:

- Obstructed transparency by asserting "no responsive records" exist for key FOIA requests related to Medicaid program accountability.
- Did not enforce compliance with state and federal public records laws, hindering public oversight.
- Failed to provide accessible records as mandated under ADA standards.

5. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

Action Taken:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not intervene to address systemic health and safety risks linked to Medicaid program failures.
- Ignored ADA violations affecting access to critical health information for Medicaid beneficiaries.
- Failed to collaborate with other state agencies to ensure accountability.

6. Jeffrey Beckham

Action Taken:

- Did not enforce financial accountability for Medicaid funds allocated through the ABI Waiver Program.
- Allowed state agencies to evade public oversight by denying FOIA requests and ADA accommodations.
- Did not establish transparency measures to address systemic abuse.

Oversight Bodies and Congressional Officials

1. James Comer

Action Taken:

- Did not hold federal agencies accountable for ADA and FOIA violations in Medicaid programs.
- Failed to initiate hearings or oversight measures addressing systemic abuse of authority.



- Ignored whistleblower reports identifying failures in transparency and compliance.

2. Gary Peters

Action Taken:

- Did not investigate federal agencies' failures to enforce ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protections.
- Neglected to ensure oversight mechanisms were implemented to prevent abuse of authority.
- Allowed systemic failures to persist unchallenged.

3. Dick Durbin

Action Taken:

- Did not address systemic retaliation against whistleblowers reporting ADA and FOIA violations.
- Failed to use judiciary oversight powers to mandate compliance and accountability.
- Allowed constitutional violations to persist in federally funded programs.

Conclusion

The listed individuals and entities took deliberate actions—or failed to act—resulting in systemic abuse of authority. These actions obstruct transparency, deny ADA accommodations, and



undermine whistleblower protections, violating federal laws and constitutional rights. Immediate corrective measures are essential to restore accountability and public trust.



3. When Did This Occur? - Abuse of Authority

Description:

Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Comprehensive Timeline and Details of Occurrence

1. Initial Acts of Non-Compliance

- **Start Date:** January 1, 2023
- **Description:**

Systematic failures to comply with federal requirements began in early 2023. This included refusals to:

 - Respond to FOIA requests promptly or completely under **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
 - Honor ADA accommodation requests under **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**.
 - Provide requested transparency regarding Medicaid ABI Waiver Program records.
- **Evidence:**
 - Ignored FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies for records on Medicaid provider accountability and expenditures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Refusal to confirm ADA-compliant communication methods, including providing records in accessible formats and summaries.
 - **Notable Incidents:**
 - Initial denials lacked any justification or citation of statutory exemptions, indicating a pattern of obstruction.
 - Early whistleblower disclosures met with dismissive responses or retaliation.
-

2. Escalation of Non-Compliance

- **Timeframe:** Mid-2023 to Present (December 2024)
- **Description:**

Following the initial obstructions, agency actions escalated to include:

- Categorical rejections of FOIA requests based on vague or unfounded grounds.
- Refusal to comply with whistleblower protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**, including ignoring reports of systemic fraud and mismanagement.
- Denials of ADA accommodations, further exacerbating barriers for individuals with disabilities.
- **Evidence:**
 - Multiple FOIA responses cited "No Responsive Records" despite clear evidence that relevant records were within the agency's custody.
 - Whistleblower complaints about Medicaid fund mismanagement disregarded or redirected without resolution.



- **Notable Incidents:**

- Instances where FOIA requests for Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program records were marked "Closed" without explanation, preventing any meaningful oversight or public accountability.
 - Failures by senior officials to address escalating non-compliance even after explicit notifications.
-

3. Significant Violations in 2024

- **Escalation Point:** November 8, 2024

- **Description:**

Agencies and officials actively obstructed transparency by:

- Marking critical FOIA cases as "Closed" without fulfilling statutory obligations to provide records or valid exemptions under **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)**.
- Ignoring formal appeals submitted to oversight bodies, including the **Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)** and **DOJ Civil Rights Division**.

- **Evidence:**

- Records from the U.S. Department of State affirming its refusal to provide responsive records for FOIA Case #F-2025-03145.
- Correspondence documenting systemic failures to meet ADA accommodations, such as accessible documents, simplified summaries, and email-only communication.



- **Notable Incidents:**

- On November 21, 2024, FOIA Case #F-2025-03145 was officially marked "Closed" without explanation, violating procedural due process.
 - Appeals submitted to **DOJ** and **HHS** were met with non-substantive responses, further delaying accountability.
-

4. Ongoing and Systemic Failures

- **Timeframe:** November 2024 to Present

- **Description:**

Despite multiple formal appeals and follow-ups, agencies and officials failed to:

- Address systemic violations of ADA and FOIA obligations.
- Provide records critical to public health, Medicaid oversight, and whistleblower protections.

- **Evidence:**

- Continuous correspondence from whistleblowers and advocates detailing unresolved violations and escalating public safety concerns.
- Agencies persistently failed to disclose names of responsible personnel or statutory justifications for record denials.

- **Notable Incidents:**

- As of December 2024, no substantive responses or records have been provided, directly impacting Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on the ABI Waiver Program.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Conclusion

The abuse of authority occurred over a nearly two-year period, characterized by escalating violations of transparency, ADA compliance, and public accountability. The pattern of obstruction and non-compliance by federal and state officials persists despite multiple formal requests, appeals, and notifications of legal obligations. These ongoing actions represent a deliberate disregard for federal statutes, constitutional protections, and the rights of whistleblowers, taxpayers, and vulnerable populations. Immediate corrective measures are imperative to prevent further harm and restore public trust.



4. How Did You Discover This Action? - Abuse of Authority

Description:

Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Comprehensive and Detailed Discovery Process

1. Direct Impact from FOIA Request Denials

- **Initial Request Experience:**

I submitted multiple FOIA requests to federal and state agencies, including the **U.S. Department of State, HHS, and DOJ**, seeking information critical to Medicaid oversight, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower protections. Despite providing detailed records, case numbers, and accommodations for my disabilities, the requests were either ignored, improperly denied, or marked as “Closed” without substantive response.

- **Examples of FOIA Denials:**

- **Case #F-2025-03145:** The U.S. Department of State claimed no responsive records existed despite clear evidence that such records were under their purview.
- Agencies failed to cite valid FOIA exemptions under **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)**, instead providing vague or irrelevant justifications, obstructing access to crucial records.

2. ADA Accommodation Violations and Barriers

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Failure to Honor ADA Requests:**

My FOIA requests included explicit ADA accommodation requirements, such as:

- Providing email-only communication.
- Delivering accessible, screen-reader-compatible PDFs.
- Including simplified summaries for complex documents.
- Embedding response text directly in email bodies.

- **Observed Violations:**

Agencies consistently ignored these accommodations, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12132** (ADA Title II) and **29 U.S.C. § 794** (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act). For example:

- Responses required navigating inaccessible portals.
- Key documents were provided in formats incompatible with assistive technologies.
- Denials lacked accompanying justifications or detailed summaries.

3. Escalation by Whistleblower Reports

- **Whistleblower Disclosures:**

As a whistleblower and advocate, I received corroborating reports from other stakeholders, including:

- Medicaid providers frustrated by the lack of oversight and financial accountability in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Beneficiaries who faced service disruptions due to mismanagement.



- State and federal employees who witnessed internal failures but feared retaliation under inadequate whistleblower protections.

- **Corroborated Failures:**

Reports highlighted deliberate non-compliance, systemic fraud, and barriers to transparency that aligned with my own experiences, underscoring the depth of abuse.

4. Documented Patterns of Obstruction

- **Repeated Lack of Transparency:**

Agencies demonstrated a recurring pattern of:

- Providing non-substantive or contradictory responses to FOIA and whistleblower inquiries.
- Closing cases without addressing the core issues or fulfilling requests.
- Avoiding accountability by failing to name responsible personnel or disclose internal communications.

- **Notable Incidents:**

- Communications with the **Office of Information Access Liaison (State Department)** revealed procedural deficiencies, including the absence of legally mandated justifications for withheld records.
- Follow-up appeals to **DOJ OIG, CMS, and GAO** were met with either silence or vague acknowledgments without resolution.

5. Evidence from Public Oversight Failures

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Public Documentation Gaps:**

Publicly available records and audits failed to address the systemic issues reported, confirming that agencies were not maintaining adequate documentation or following compliance protocols.

- For example, Medicaid oversight records lacked evidence of any recent audits or investigations into ABI Waiver Program administration.

- **Transparency Barriers:**

Attempts to access public financial records through state and federal portals repeatedly failed due to incomplete or outdated systems.

6. Monitoring of Agency Communications

- **Inconsistent Messaging:**

Monitoring agency responses revealed discrepancies between public statements and actions:

- Agencies publicly claimed transparency while denying valid FOIA requests.
- Internal communications obtained from whistleblower disclosures showed deliberate attempts to avoid addressing ADA violations and systemic failures.

7. Escalation to Advocacy and Oversight Bodies

- **Interventions by Oversight Entities:**

Efforts to engage advocacy groups and federal oversight bodies, such as the **Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)** and **DOJ Civil Rights Division**, further



revealed systemic barriers. These entities acknowledged deficiencies but failed to provide timely or effective intervention.

Conclusion

The discovery of these abuses of authority emerged through a combination of personal experiences, corroborated whistleblower disclosures, documented agency failures, and persistent transparency barriers. The systemic nature of these violations reflects deliberate obstruction by responsible officials, requiring immediate corrective action to restore compliance with federal laws and constitutional protections.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? - Abuse of Authority

Description:

Deliberate obstruction of transparency and ADA compliance through denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA-required accommodations. Evidence highlights actions by federal and state officials that undermine whistleblower protections and public accountability, violating constitutional rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Comprehensive and Detailed Additional Facts

1. Pattern of FOIA Denials Without Legal Justification

- **Improperly Closed Requests:**

Numerous FOIA requests, including **Case #F-2025-03145**, were marked as “Closed” without substantive responses or valid exemptions under **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)**. Agencies consistently failed to:

- Provide a **Vaughn Index** or detailed explanation for redactions or denials.
- Cite specific legal grounds for withholding requested records.

- **Obstructive Practices:**

Agencies imposed barriers such as:

- Redirecting requests to inaccessible external portals.
- Requiring the use of password-protected links incompatible with ADA accommodations.
- Refusing to identify responsible personnel or decision-makers in responses.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Systematic ADA Accommodation Violations

- **Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations:**

Despite explicit ADA requests based on cognitive impairments resulting from a traumatic brain injury, agencies repeatedly:

- Failed to use **email-only communication** as requested.
- Denied requests to embed text directly in the email body for screen-reader compatibility.
- Provided documents in inaccessible formats, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12132** (ADA Title II) and **29 U.S.C. § 794** (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act).

- **Evidence of Non-Compliance:**

- Multiple correspondences lacked accessible formatting, rendering the information unusable for individuals with disabilities.
- Requests for simplified summaries of complex records were ignored, creating further barriers to understanding.

3. Whistleblower Disclosures Highlighting Agency Failures

- **Corroborating Testimonies:**

Whistleblowers within federal and state agencies reported systemic efforts to avoid accountability, including:

- Suppressing records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance.
- Failing to address internal reports of non-compliance with federal transparency and anti-discrimination laws.



- **Retaliation Risks:**

Whistleblowers expressed concerns about retaliation, citing inadequate protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)** (Whistleblower Protection Act).

4. Lack of Oversight and Accountability

- **State and Federal Coordination Failures:**

Agencies failed to collaborate effectively to address known issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. For example:

- The **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)** and **Office of the State Comptroller** failed to provide requested financial records to federal oversight entities.
- Federal agencies, including **CMS** and **OCR**, did not enforce corrective actions or audits to address these gaps.

- **Absence of Oversight Reports:**

Publicly available audits and compliance reviews failed to address systemic transparency barriers, raising questions about the thoroughness of oversight mechanisms.

5. Procedural Deficiencies in FOIA Processing

- **Delayed or Incomplete Responses:**

Agencies consistently exceeded statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)** without providing updates or estimated completion dates. This prolonged the lack of access to critical information.



- **Failure to Identify Decision-Makers:**

Communications omitted the names, titles, and roles of individuals responsible for processing FOIA requests, violating procedural fairness and transparency standards.

6. Constitutional Violations

- **First Amendment – Right to Public Information:**

FOIA denials obstructed the constitutional right to access government information essential for public accountability (DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, 1989).

- **Fifth Amendment – Due Process:**

The lack of transparency and failure to address ADA accommodations constituted a denial of due process rights (Mathews v. Eldridge, 1976).

- **Fourteenth Amendment – Equal Protection:**

Discriminatory practices against individuals with disabilities violated equal protection guarantees, particularly in the context of federally funded programs (Tennessee v. Lane, 2004).

7. Documented Financial Mismanagement

- **Failure to Track Medicaid Funds:**

Agencies demonstrated inadequate tracking and reporting of Medicaid allocations for the ABI Waiver Program, jeopardizing the program's sustainability and beneficiaries' access to services.



- **Evidence of Fraudulent Practices:**

Internal communications revealed discrepancies between reported and actual fund utilization, suggesting potential misuse of taxpayer dollars.

8. Evidence of Deliberate Obstruction

- **Attempts to Avoid Accountability:**

Internal records obtained through whistleblower disclosures indicated efforts to suppress evidence of non-compliance, including:

- Delaying responses to FOIA requests to avoid public scrutiny.
- Failing to maintain accurate records of ADA compliance or Medicaid audits.

- **Resistance to Independent Oversight:**

Federal agencies did not adequately support independent reviews or third-party audits, undermining accountability efforts.

9. Broader Public Impact

- **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:**

The lack of transparency and ADA compliance directly harmed individuals reliant on Medicaid services, particularly brain injury survivors dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Erosion of Public Trust:**

Systematic failures in transparency and accountability eroded public confidence in the integrity of federal and state programs.



Conclusion

The additional facts provided illustrate a pervasive pattern of abuse of authority across multiple agencies, resulting in significant harm to beneficiaries, taxpayers, and whistleblowers. These actions reflect systemic violations of federal laws and constitutional protections, demanding immediate corrective action to restore transparency, accountability, and compliance.



Gross Waste of Funds

Description:

Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence demonstrates a systemic lack of financial accountability, leading to the misuse of taxpayer dollars.

Individuals and Entities Responsible

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal executive responsible for Medicaid oversight under CMS.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to implement robust oversight mechanisms to monitor state-level Medicaid spending.
 - Did not initiate audits despite evidence of inefficiencies.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal administrator for Medicaid compliance and funding.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**



- Neglected to enforce Medicaid financial tracking standards in Connecticut.
- Failed to demand accurate expenditure data and address known discrepancies.

3. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive overseeing Medicaid program implementation and accountability.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate or rectify systemic inefficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to allocate state resources for proper financial oversight.

4. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State administrator responsible for Medicaid programs.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Allowed systemic inefficiencies, including overpayments and unverified claims.
 - Did not establish sufficient financial tracking mechanisms.



5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Legal authority for state financial accountability.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to enforce transparency and compliance in Medicaid fund allocation.
 - Neglected to conduct audits to identify mismanagement.

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State legal authority for enforcing compliance with laws.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate or prosecute financial irregularities.
 - Ignored whistleblower disclosures and public complaints.

7. Everett L. Handford

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representative for Medicaid operations in Connecticut.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**



- Neglected to conduct audits or enforce Medicaid oversight standards.

8. Marjorie Sozanski

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State investigator for Medicaid fraud and abuse.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate fraudulent practices or financial mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program.

9. John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane

- **Title:** State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent oversight body for Medicaid expenditures.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to conduct thorough audits or address discrepancies in financial reporting.

10. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior state official responsible for budgetary oversight.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**



- Allowed mismanagement to persist without intervention.
- Did not coordinate with federal agencies to resolve irregularities.

11. CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director

- **Title:** Federal official responsible for Medicaid compliance.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to enforce federal accountability standards in Connecticut's Medicaid programs.
 - Did not escalate issues of inefficiency to higher authorities.

12. Private Auditing Firms

- **Title:** Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Contractors reviewing Medicaid expenditures.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Overlooked systemic inefficiencies during audits.

13. Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors

- **Title:** Vendors delivering services under the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Submitted improper billing claims and engaged in inefficient practices.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The listed individuals and entities collectively failed in their responsibility to manage Medicaid funds effectively, resulting in gross financial waste and a breach of public trust. Immediate corrective actions, transparency, and accountability are required to safeguard taxpayer dollars and restore the integrity of the ABI Waiver Program.



Gross Waste of Funds

Description:

Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence demonstrates a systemic lack of financial accountability, leading to the misuse of taxpayer dollars.

Individuals and Entities Responsible

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal executive responsible for Medicaid oversight under CMS.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to implement robust oversight mechanisms to monitor state-level Medicaid spending.
 - Did not initiate audits despite evidence of inefficiencies.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal administrator for Medicaid compliance and funding.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**



- Neglected to enforce Medicaid financial tracking standards in Connecticut.
- Failed to demand accurate expenditure data and address known discrepancies.

3. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive overseeing Medicaid program implementation and accountability.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate or rectify systemic inefficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to allocate state resources for proper financial oversight.

4. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State administrator responsible for Medicaid programs.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Allowed systemic inefficiencies, including overpayments and unverified claims.
 - Did not establish sufficient financial tracking mechanisms.



5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Legal authority for state financial accountability.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to enforce transparency and compliance in Medicaid fund allocation.
 - Neglected to conduct audits to identify mismanagement.

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State legal authority for enforcing compliance with laws.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate or prosecute financial irregularities.
 - Ignored whistleblower disclosures and public complaints.

7. Everett L. Handford

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representative for Medicaid operations in Connecticut.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**



- Neglected to conduct audits or enforce Medicaid oversight standards.

8. Marjorie Sozanski

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State investigator for Medicaid fraud and abuse.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate fraudulent practices or financial mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program.

9. John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane

- **Title:** State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent oversight body for Medicaid expenditures.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to conduct thorough audits or address discrepancies in financial reporting.

10. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior state official responsible for budgetary oversight.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**



- Allowed mismanagement to persist without intervention.
- Did not coordinate with federal agencies to resolve irregularities.

11. CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director

- **Title:** Federal official responsible for Medicaid compliance.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to enforce federal accountability standards in Connecticut's Medicaid programs.
 - Did not escalate issues of inefficiency to higher authorities.

12. Private Auditing Firms

- **Title:** Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Contractors reviewing Medicaid expenditures.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Overlooked systemic inefficiencies during audits.

13. Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors

- **Title:** Vendors delivering services under the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Submitted improper billing claims and engaged in inefficient practices.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The listed individuals and entities collectively failed in their responsibility to manage Medicaid funds effectively, resulting in gross financial waste and a breach of public trust. Immediate corrective actions, transparency, and accountability are required to safeguard taxpayer dollars and restore the integrity of the ABI Waiver Program.



2. What Action Did They Take? - Gross Waste of Funds

Description:

Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence demonstrates systemic lack of financial accountability, resulting in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars.

Detailed Actions Taken by Key Individuals and Entities

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to implement federal oversight mechanisms to prevent improper fund usage at the state level.
 - Did not mandate audits or issue directives for immediate corrective action despite reports of financial irregularities in Medicaid programs.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Neglected to enforce Medicaid financial compliance and reporting standards in Connecticut.
 - Failed to require Connecticut DSS to reconcile discrepancies in expenditures and address improper payments to providers.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Allowed systemic inefficiencies and financial mismanagement to persist under his administration.
 - Did not provide adequate state-level oversight or allocate resources to prevent improper Medicaid fund utilization.

4. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Allowed overpayments and unverified billing by service providers.
 - Failed to implement transparent financial tracking systems or address known inefficiencies within the ABI Waiver Program.

5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Did not ensure financial accountability or enforce compliance with Medicaid fund allocation requirements.
 - Failed to conduct audits to address discrepancies in financial reporting.

6. William Tong



- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Did not investigate or pursue legal actions against parties involved in the misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Ignored whistleblower complaints and reports of systemic financial mismanagement.

7. **Everett L. Handford**

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to conduct regional audits or investigations to ensure compliance with Medicaid financial standards.
 - Neglected to enforce accountability measures for state agencies managing Medicaid funds.

8. **Marjorie Sozanski**

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Did not investigate fraudulent claims or financial mismanagement within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed improper billing practices to persist unchecked.

9. **John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane**



- **Title:** State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to identify or address systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid fund utilization during audits.
 - Did not publish detailed findings on the mismanagement of ABI Waiver Program funds.

10. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Allowed mismanagement to persist without implementing intervention or oversight measures.
 - Did not coordinate with federal agencies to address financial irregularities in the program.

11. CMS Medicaid Program Integrity Director

- **Title:** Director of Medicaid Program Integrity, CMS
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Did not escalate known issues of financial inefficiency to higher federal authorities.
 - Failed to enforce Medicaid compliance standards in Connecticut.

12. Private Auditing Firms



- **Title:** Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Overlooked irregularities and systemic inefficiencies during audits.
 - Did not report findings that could have prompted corrective action.

13. Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors

- **Title:** Medicaid Service Providers and Vendors
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Submitted improper billing claims and failed to deliver services efficiently.
 - Did not report systemic issues to DSS or federal oversight agencies.

Conclusion

The actions—or inactions—of these individuals and entities contributed directly to the gross waste of Medicaid funds. Their collective failure to establish accountability, enforce compliance, and address inefficiencies allowed taxpayer dollars to be misused, undermining the integrity of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Immediate intervention is required to restore accountability and prevent further misuse of federal and state resources.



3. When Did This Occur? - Gross Waste of Funds

Description:

Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars.

Detailed Timeline of Events and Actions

Phase 1: Initial Failures to Establish Accountability Mechanisms (2013–2017)

1. Timeline:

- Financial mismanagement within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program began as early as 2013, following changes to Medicaid fund allocation policies and lack of internal checks.

2. Evidence:

- **Auditor Reports:** Early internal DSS reviews identified missing provider documentation, including unverified claims and missing invoices.
- **Whistleblower Attempts:** Several internal whistleblowers flagged the lack of standardized financial oversight protocols during this period.

3. Impact:

- Opportunities for early intervention were missed, enabling systemic inefficiencies and overpayments to become embedded in program operations.
-



Phase 2: Escalation of Financial Irregularities and Provider Abuses (2017–2020)

1. Timeline:

- Over these years, multiple warning signs of systemic waste emerged, such as unexplained increases in program costs and repeated failures in provider audits.

2. Evidence:

- **Medicaid Provider Overpayments:** Records indicate that several providers received payments that exceeded contracted amounts without sufficient justification.
- **No Reconciliations:** The lack of reconciliation processes to confirm that paid services were rendered further enabled overpayments.
- **Investigative Inaction:** MFCU failed to investigate allegations of provider fraud, citing “insufficient resources.”

3. Impact:

- Unchecked irregularities further eroded program integrity, with federal funds continuously being mismanaged despite clear signs of dysfunction.

Phase 3: Federal Oversight Failures and Disregard for Transparency (2018–2021)

1. Timeline:

- Despite federal requirements for accountability, oversight agencies such as CMS and HHS Region 1 failed to act decisively during this period.

2. Evidence:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **CMS Medicaid Integrity Audits:** CMS did not conduct thorough audits for Connecticut's Medicaid programs during this time, despite mounting complaints from advocacy organizations.
- **FOIA Denials:** Numerous requests for transparency into Medicaid fund utilization were delayed or denied, violating FOIA statutes and further obscuring accountability.
- **Federal Notices of Non-Compliance Ignored:** Connecticut DSS received federal notices citing deficiencies in Medicaid program oversight but did not address the issues effectively.

3. Impact:

- Federal inaction allowed systemic financial waste to persist, with millions of taxpayer dollars being misallocated or improperly claimed.

Phase 4: ADA and Fiscal Accountability Failures Amplified (2021–2023)

1. Timeline:

- During this period, whistleblowers and advocacy groups filed formal complaints to both state and federal agencies regarding fiscal mismanagement and non-compliance with ADA accessibility standards.

2. Evidence:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Barriers to Access:** Medicaid beneficiaries reported significant delays in receiving services due to unallocated funds, mismanagement, and lack of program transparency.
- **Documented Waste:** Auditors flagged repeated instances of unclaimed or improperly allocated funds, yet no significant corrective measures were taken.
- **ADA Non-Compliance:** State and federal agencies failed to provide ADA-compliant communication methods, hindering stakeholders' ability to understand or address fiscal irregularities.

3. Impact:

- Public trust in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program eroded significantly, with beneficiaries directly harmed by delays and mismanagement.

Phase 5: Immediate and Ongoing Failures (2024–Present)

1. Timeline:

- Most recently, fiscal year 2024 highlights the ongoing gross waste of funds due to entrenched inefficiencies and negligence at both state and federal levels.

2. Evidence:

- **Current Discrepancies:** Recent whistleblower reports detail the ongoing overpayment of Medicaid providers and failure to recover funds improperly allocated.



- **Persistent Non-Compliance:** DSS and other agencies have yet to implement meaningful reforms to address these issues.
- **Whistleblower Retaliation:** Individuals attempting to expose these inefficiencies continue to face retaliation, further obstructing oversight efforts.

3. Impact:

- Systemic waste continues to drain public resources while Medicaid recipients suffer from delays and inadequate services.

Conclusion

The gross waste of Medicaid funds within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program is not a one-time failure but a systemic issue spanning more than a decade. This timeline highlights consistent inaction and neglect from key federal and state agencies, resulting in significant taxpayer losses and harm to vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid services. Immediate corrective action is essential to address these ongoing violations and restore public trust in the integrity of Medicaid programs.



4. How Did You Discover This Action? - Gross Waste of Funds

Description:

Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars.

Comprehensive and Detailed Discovery Timeline

Phase 1: Initial Observations and Emerging Red Flags (2013–2017)

1. Inconsistent Financial Records:

- During a review of publicly available Medicaid expenditure data and state-level reports, inconsistencies emerged between allocated federal Medicaid funds and reported program expenditures.
- Certain categories of expenditures were either unaccounted for or significantly inflated without supporting documentation.

2. Provider Complaints and Anecdotal Evidence:

- Direct communication from service providers indicated delays in payments, while others reported unexplained overpayments.
- Providers shared concerns that the Department of Social Services (DSS) lacked adequate mechanisms to verify service claims.

3. Internal Whistleblower Disclosures:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Several DSS employees confidentially disclosed concerns about the absence of a standardized auditing process to track Medicaid funds.
 - These disclosures highlighted that mismanagement stemmed from systemic inefficiencies and a lack of oversight.
-

Phase 2: Escalation of Irregularities and Whistleblower Reports (2018–2020)

1. Access to Internal Reports and State Audit Summaries:

- Access to internal summaries revealed substantial gaps in financial audits conducted by DSS and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM).
- Audit summaries lacked reconciliation data, with certain financial anomalies labeled as "pending review" for years without resolution.

2. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests:

- Formal FOIA requests for detailed financial records and program audits yielded insufficient responses or outright denials, raising further concerns about transparency.
- Redacted documents failed to provide clarity regarding the disposition of unspent Medicaid funds.

3. Advocacy and Beneficiary Reports:

- Advocacy organizations working with Medicaid beneficiaries documented recurring service delays attributed to unexplained funding shortages.
- Beneficiaries reported disruptions in critical services, such as in-home support and rehabilitative care, which providers cited as stemming from inconsistent fund allocation.



Phase 3: Federal Oversight and FOIA Disclosures (2021–2023)

1. Responses from Federal Agencies (CMS and HHS):

- Responses to FOIA requests from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) revealed a lack of federal oversight into Connecticut's Medicaid expenditures.
- CMS officials acknowledged receiving "high-level concerns" about Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program but failed to provide detailed compliance reports.

2. Whistleblower Allegations:

- Additional whistleblower disclosures directly implicated Connecticut DSS and private auditing firms in failing to detect or report financial irregularities.
- Whistleblowers described a "culture of inaction," where internal reports were ignored or suppressed to avoid federal scrutiny.

3. Public Records Analysis:

- Analysis of publicly available state budget records identified unexplained discrepancies in Medicaid expenditure categories, particularly those related to provider reimbursements.
- Significant allocations labeled as "miscellaneous expenses" lacked justification or supporting documentation.

Phase 4: Systematic Barriers to Transparency (2023–Present)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Denied FOIA Requests:

- Multiple FOIA requests submitted to Connecticut DSS, CMS, and related agencies were denied or returned as "no responsive records."
- This obstruction hindered the ability to identify specific instances of financial mismanagement or fraud.

2. Cross-Agency Communication Breakdown:

- Internal communications obtained through whistleblower reports revealed a lack of coordination between DSS, OPM, and the State Comptroller's Office in addressing flagged financial issues.

3. Advocacy Organization Investigations:

- Advocacy organizations conducted independent investigations into Medicaid fund allocation, discovering patterns of overpayments and service delays.
- Findings were shared with oversight agencies, but no immediate action was taken to rectify the issues.

How Discovery Led to Further Investigation

1. Pattern Recognition:

- A comprehensive review of the evidence revealed recurring issues, including missing audits, unverified provider claims, and unexplained fund reallocation.
- These patterns suggested systemic mismanagement rather than isolated errors.

2. Independent Whistleblower Disclosures:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Whistleblowers corroborated findings with internal communications and evidence of systemic failures within DSS and its contracted auditors.

3. ADA and FOIA Violations:

- Repeated denials of FOIA requests and failure to provide ADA accommodations for accessible communication highlighted deliberate obstructions to transparency and accountability.

Conclusion

The discovery of gross waste of funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program stems from extensive evidence, including internal whistleblower disclosures, FOIA-requested records, public budget reviews, and advocacy investigations. The systemic nature of these issues reflects deliberate negligence and a failure by state and federal agencies to enforce financial accountability and transparency, warranting immediate corrective action.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? - Gross Waste of Funds

Description:

Improper use of Medicaid funds due to inefficiencies, overpayments to service providers, and failure to track expenditures effectively. Evidence shows that the lack of financial accountability resulted in significant misuse of taxpayer dollars.

Comprehensive and Detailed Supporting Facts

A. Audit Deficiencies and Financial Mismanagement

1. Absence of Comprehensive Audits

- The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to conduct or provide complete and accurate audits of Medicaid expenditures within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- State Auditors of Public Accounts did not identify or resolve discrepancies in provider claims, leaving millions of dollars unaccounted for.
- Annual reports lacked reconciliation between allocated federal Medicaid funds and actual program expenditures.

2. Overpayments and Unverified Claims

- Evidence from whistleblower reports indicates overpayments to service providers who submitted unverified or incomplete claims.
- Medicaid service providers billed for services outside their contractual scope, and no mechanism was in place to flag or investigate these discrepancies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Improper Fund Allocations

- Significant funds were categorized under vague or generic labels such as "miscellaneous expenditures," without supporting documentation or explanation.
 - Disproportionate increases in administrative costs were recorded with no corresponding improvements in program delivery.
-

B. Federal and State Oversight Failures

1. Inadequate Federal Oversight by CMS

- The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) did not enforce financial accountability measures for state-level Medicaid operations in Connecticut.
- Despite receiving high-level complaints about inefficiencies and waste, CMS failed to initiate audits or corrective actions.

2. Neglect by the Office of Policy and Management (OPM)

- The Connecticut Office of Policy and Management allowed systemic inefficiencies to persist without coordinating with DSS or other oversight bodies to implement corrective measures.

3. HHS Region 1 Negligence

- The HHS Regional Director did not ensure that DSS complied with Medicaid program integrity standards, contributing to unchecked financial waste.
-

C. Whistleblower and Advocacy Disclosures

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Whistleblower Testimonies

- Internal DSS whistleblowers reported systemic mismanagement, including deliberate efforts to suppress findings of financial discrepancies to avoid federal scrutiny.
- Whistleblower disclosures documented gaps in financial reporting, including missing expenditure details and unverified provider reimbursements.

2. Advocacy Organization Investigations

- Nonprofit organizations investigating Medicaid program inefficiencies found patterns of financial irregularities, including delayed reimbursements and gaps in service delivery tied to unaccounted funds.

D. FOIA Obstruction and Transparency Failures

1. FOIA Denials and Delays

- Multiple FOIA requests for detailed financial records and audits were denied, with agencies citing "no responsive records" despite clear evidence of mismanagement.
- Delays in responding to FOIA requests further obstructed efforts to uncover the scope of financial waste.

2. Lack of Accessible Records



- Even when records were provided, they were heavily redacted or incomplete, failing to address critical questions regarding fund utilization and oversight responsibilities.
-

E. Programmatic Impact on Beneficiaries and Providers

1. Service Disruptions for Beneficiaries

- Medicaid beneficiaries experienced delays in receiving critical services due to inconsistencies in fund allocation and program delivery.
- Brain injury survivors dependent on the ABI Waiver Program faced interruptions in in-home support and rehabilitative care.

2. Burden on Service Providers

- Providers reported delayed payments and excessive administrative burdens caused by inadequate financial tracking and reimbursement systems.
 - Some providers were forced to discontinue services, reducing access for Medicaid recipients.
-

F. Evidence of Collusion and Neglect by Contracted Auditors

1. Auditor Failures

- Private auditing firms contracted by DSS failed to flag financial irregularities or report inefficiencies in their findings.



- Evidence suggests that auditors overlooked systemic issues or were not provided with the necessary data to conduct thorough reviews.

2. Contractor Neglect

- Medicaid service providers and contractors engaged in improper billing practices, including duplicate claims and billing for unauthorized services.
 - No meaningful oversight was implemented to ensure that contracted entities complied with financial and operational standards.
-

G. Broader Implications for Public Trust and Taxpayer Funds

1. Erosion of Public Confidence

- The mismanagement of Medicaid funds undermines public trust in government accountability and the equitable use of taxpayer dollars.

2. Potential Legal Violations

- Non-compliance with federal statutes, including Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552), and ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132), indicates potential violations that warrant immediate federal intervention.
-

Conclusion

The additional facts presented demonstrate a systemic failure across federal, state, and contracted entities to ensure the proper use of Medicaid funds. These failures have directly contributed to the misuse of taxpayer dollars, disrupted services for vulnerable populations, and perpetuated a

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



lack of accountability. Immediate corrective measures, transparency, and oversight are essential to restore program integrity and prevent further harm.



Comprehensive List of Individuals and Entities Responsible for Danger to Public Health

1. Federal Oversight

a. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal head of HHS, overseeing all Medicaid-related programs, including state-administered waivers like the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not establish federal intervention mechanisms to address systemic failures in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to ensure Medicaid funds were used effectively to protect public health.

b. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal administrator responsible for ensuring compliance with Medicaid standards nationwide.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not enforce federal guidelines to ensure programmatic compliance by Connecticut's Medicaid program administrators.
 - Failed to take remedial action despite multiple reports of non-compliance affecting vulnerable beneficiaries.

c. Everett L. Handford

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representative overseeing Medicaid operations in New England, including Connecticut.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Neglected to require compliance with federal health and safety standards in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not intervene to address deficiencies in service delivery that jeopardized public health.
-

2. State Leadership

a. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of Connecticut, responsible for state-administered Medicaid programs.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not use executive authority to investigate and rectify systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to allocate resources to ensure the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries.

b. Andrea Barton Reeves

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State administrator of Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not implement operational changes to ensure critical care delivery to brain injury survivors.
 - Overlooked program failures that placed beneficiaries at risk.

c. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State's legal authority tasked with ensuring compliance with state and federal laws.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate or take legal action to address complaints of systemic non-compliance with Medicaid standards.

3. State Medicaid Leadership

a. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior state official overseeing Connecticut's budget and financial operations.



- **Role in the Mismanagement:**

- Allowed resource mismanagement and failed to coordinate oversight efforts to protect public health.

b. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Legal authority within the State Comptroller's Office responsible for Medicaid fund accountability.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to ensure financial transparency and accountability to prevent risks to public health.

c. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) Director: Marjorie Sozanski

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut MFCU
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State-level investigator tasked with addressing Medicaid fraud and abuse.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not investigate service failures or fraudulent practices that jeopardized Medicaid recipients' health and safety.

4. Legislative Oversight

a. Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides legislative oversight of DSS programs.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not hold hearings or conduct inquiries into systemic programmatic failures within the ABI Waiver Program.

b. State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)

- **Title:** State Auditors, Connecticut Auditors of Public Accounts
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent oversight body for public accounts.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to identify and report systemic inefficiencies affecting public health in Medicaid-funded programs.

Summary

The individuals and entities listed above collectively contributed to systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program, endangering the health and safety of brain injury survivors. This mismanagement demands immediate corrective action and accountability to safeguard vulnerable populations.



2. What Action Did They Take? – Danger to Public Health

Description:

The mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program by federal, state, and contracted entities has directly jeopardized the health and safety of brain injury survivors. These failures include non-compliance with Medicaid regulations, lack of ADA accommodations, and insufficient oversight, all of which have resulted in inadequate care and service disruptions for vulnerable populations. Below is a comprehensive detailing of the actions and omissions that contributed to this systemic failure.

Federal Oversight Failures

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not establish or enforce oversight mechanisms within HHS to monitor Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed gaps in program accountability that led to service failures for brain injury survivors.
 - Failed to mandate corrective actions despite reports of systemic violations affecting public health.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not enforce federal Medicaid standards requiring states to ensure continuity of care for beneficiaries.
- Allowed Connecticut DSS to operate the ABI Waiver Program without addressing critical failures in compliance and service delivery.
- Neglected to conduct federal-level audits or impose penalties for non-compliance, leaving programmatic failures unchecked.

3. Everett L. Handford

- **Action Taken:**

- Failed to require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies under his purview as HHS Region 1 Director.
- Did not intervene to address complaints or public health risks reported by beneficiaries and whistleblowers.
- Neglected to provide direct oversight or escalate systemic issues to CMS leadership.

State Leadership Failures

4. Ned Lamont

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not use executive authority to initiate investigations or reforms to address deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.



- Allowed inadequate funding and mismanagement to persist, compromising essential healthcare services.
- Failed to establish an inter-agency task force to address widespread non-compliance and service disruptions.

5. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not implement operational or compliance reforms within DSS to ensure adequate service delivery under the ABI Waiver Program.
- Allowed unverified service providers and contractors to continue operating without accountability, resulting in gaps in care.
- Overlooked systemic complaints from Medicaid beneficiaries regarding lapses in healthcare services.

6. William Tong

- **Action Taken:**

- Failed to investigate legal violations tied to mismanagement and public health risks within the ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not initiate lawsuits or legal actions to compel programmatic compliance with state and federal laws.
- Ignored whistleblower complaints highlighting imminent risks to vulnerable populations.



State Medicaid Leadership Failures

7. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not address budgetary discrepancies or enforce accountability measures to prevent service failures.
 - Neglected to ensure that Medicaid funds were appropriately allocated to critical health services.
 - Allowed systemic inefficiencies to persist without remedial action.

8. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to enforce legal and financial accountability measures to protect public health.
 - Did not take action to ensure that financial mismanagement did not impact service delivery to brain injury survivors.
 - Overlooked reporting failures from contracted providers, exacerbating systemic issues.

9. Marjorie Sozanski

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate fraudulent practices or billing irregularities within the ABI Waiver Program.



- Failed to address complaints from beneficiaries and whistleblowers regarding service gaps.
 - Did not escalate programmatic failures to federal authorities despite evident risks to public health.
-

Legislative and Oversight Failures

10. State Auditors of Public Accounts (John C. Geragosian and Robert M. Kane)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to conduct comprehensive audits of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not identify or report on systemic inefficiencies affecting public health outcomes.
 - Allowed gaps in financial reporting and accountability to persist without addressing their impact on healthcare delivery.

11. Connecticut General Assembly Human Services Committee

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not hold public hearings to address reported public health risks within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to exercise legislative authority to compel DSS to implement reforms or address deficiencies in service delivery.
-



Contractor and Provider Failures

12. Private Auditing Firms

- **Action Taken:**
 - Overlooked systemic inefficiencies and irregularities during audits of Medicaid expenditures.
 - Did not provide actionable recommendations to address financial mismanagement impacting healthcare services.

13. Medicaid Service Providers and Vendors

- **Action Taken:**
 - Submitted improper billing claims for services not rendered or delivered inadequately.
 - Did not report systemic issues or failures to DSS or federal oversight agencies.
 - Contributed to service disruptions by failing to meet contractual and regulatory obligations.

Conclusion

The actions and omissions of federal, state, and contracted entities demonstrate gross negligence and deliberate indifference to the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries. These failures demand immediate accountability and systemic reforms to protect public health and restore program integrity.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. When Did This Occur? – Danger to Public Health

Description:

The mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has persisted over a decade, marked by systemic failures, lapses in oversight, and non-compliance with federal laws. These failures have directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors reliant on Medicaid services. Below is a comprehensive and detailed timeline highlighting key events and patterns of negligence contributing to the ongoing public health crisis.

Detailed Timeline of Events

1. Program Establishment and Initial Oversight Failures (2010–2015):

- **Program Creation and Goals:**

The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program was created to provide essential services for individuals with brain injuries, enabling them to live independently in the community.

- **Early Indicators of Non-Compliance:**

- Lack of foundational oversight mechanisms to ensure compliance with Medicaid standards, including the verification of service provider qualifications.
- Complaints of service inconsistencies emerged, with beneficiaries reporting significant delays in accessing care.
- Initial state audits, if conducted, failed to address these issues or establish corrective actions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Federal Oversight Gaps:**

- CMS and HHS Region 1 did not implement robust monitoring protocols for the program despite clear obligations under Medicaid law (42 U.S.C. § 1396).
 - Transparency in program operations, including service allocations and expenditures, was lacking, violating FOIA standards (5 U.S.C. § 552).
-

2. Growing Complaints and Escalating Failures (2016–2020):

- **Service Delivery Interruptions:**

- Reports of beneficiaries being denied critical services, such as cognitive rehabilitation, transportation, and case management, became more frequent.
- Service providers consistently reported delayed reimbursements, leading to disruptions in care.

- **Whistleblower Allegations:**

- Whistleblowers began filing complaints highlighting ADA violations, financial mismanagement, and misuse of Medicaid funds.
- Allegations included non-compliance with ADA standards (42 U.S.C. § 12132), particularly regarding accessibility barriers for individuals with cognitive impairments.

- **State-Level Negligence:**

- Connecticut DSS failed to take corrective actions despite clear evidence of systemic inefficiencies.



- Audits conducted by the State Comptroller and Auditors of Public Accounts either did not occur or were superficial, failing to identify discrepancies in fund allocations or service delivery.
 - **Federal Inaction:**
 - CMS and HHS Region 1 were notified of the escalating issues but failed to enforce remedial measures or mandate compliance with federal Medicaid regulations.
-

3. Exacerbation of Issues During the COVID-19 Pandemic (2020–2022):

- **Impact of the Pandemic:**
 - The COVID-19 pandemic amplified existing deficiencies, leaving beneficiaries without access to critical healthcare services and resources.
 - Remote communication platforms, hastily implemented during the pandemic, were not ADA-compliant, further marginalizing individuals with disabilities.
- **Increased Whistleblower Activity:**
 - Numerous reports from whistleblowers exposed the program’s inability to adapt to the pandemic’s challenges, resulting in severe service gaps and delays.
 - Allegations included misuse of federal relief funds intended to mitigate pandemic-related disruptions.
- **Continued Federal and State Neglect:**



- Despite the increased scrutiny, neither CMS nor HHS implemented emergency audits or corrective measures to address the program’s failures.
- The State of Connecticut allocated limited resources to address these issues, further exacerbating the crisis.

4. Ongoing and Immediate Harm (2023–Present):

- **Persistent Service Failures:**

- Beneficiaries continue to report denials of essential services, such as home care, therapy, and medical transportation, jeopardizing their health and safety.
- Providers face systemic reimbursement delays, leading to staff shortages and service disruptions.

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:**

- Requests for program data and financial accountability, submitted under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552), remain unanswered or incomplete, obstructing public oversight.
- ADA-required accommodations for accessing records have been systematically denied, violating federal disability laws.

- **Public Health Consequences:**

- Brain injury survivors relying on the program face deteriorating health outcomes due to interrupted care.
- Families and caregivers report increased emotional and financial strain as they attempt to fill service gaps.



- **Escalating Complaints:**

- Whistleblower disclosures now emphasize imminent public health risks due to unchecked mismanagement and the failure to address systemic barriers.

Patterns of Negligence

- **Failure to Address Known Issues:**

Despite recurring complaints from beneficiaries, whistleblowers, and service providers, no substantive actions have been taken to rectify systemic inefficiencies.

- **Lack of Oversight and Accountability:**

- Federal agencies (CMS, HHS) and state entities (DSS, OPM) have consistently failed to monitor program compliance or enforce financial accountability.
- Auditing bodies have not conducted thorough reviews, enabling continued misuse of funds and service failures.

- **Neglect of Legal Obligations:**

- Federal and state officials have violated their statutory obligations under Medicaid law, FOIA, and the ADA, directly contributing to the harm experienced by beneficiaries.

Conclusion

The timeline of events demonstrates a sustained and escalating pattern of negligence spanning over a decade. The lack of timely intervention and accountability from both federal and state

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



entities has left brain injury survivors in Connecticut vulnerable to severe health risks. Immediate action is required to address these systemic failures, ensure compliance with federal laws, and prevent further harm to this vulnerable population.



4. How Did You Discover This Action? – Danger to Public Health

Description:

Mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors dependent on Medicaid services. This discovery stems from a combination of firsthand observations, whistleblower disclosures, beneficiary complaints, documented evidence of non-compliance, and systematic failures reported across multiple oversight entities.

Detailed and Comprehensive Account

1. Firsthand Observations and Personal Experience

- **Direct Interactions with the Program:**

As a stakeholder in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I have experienced recurring issues, including:

- **Delays in service provision** such as cognitive rehabilitation, case management, and transportation services.
- **Denials of essential care** despite documented medical necessity.
- **Non-compliance with ADA accommodations**, creating barriers to accessing information and program resources.

- **Impact on Beneficiaries:**

Personal interactions with other program beneficiaries revealed a widespread pattern of unmet healthcare needs, inconsistent service delivery, and financial strain due to out-of-pocket expenses for services that Medicaid is obligated to cover.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Whistleblower Disclosures

- **Internal Reports of Program Mismanagement:**

Whistleblowers, including state employees and Medicaid service providers, have disclosed critical information, including:

- **Improper financial management**, including delayed reimbursements and unverified claims.
- **Neglect of federal compliance requirements**, particularly related to ADA, FOIA, and Medicaid standards.
- **Service gaps** resulting from systemic inefficiencies and lack of accountability.

- **Barriers to Transparency:**

Whistleblower reports have also highlighted deliberate obstruction by state and federal agencies to prevent public scrutiny, including:

- Denial of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests.
- Refusal to disclose internal audits and corrective action plans.

3. Beneficiary Complaints

- **Recurring Themes in Complaints:**

Formal and informal complaints submitted by program beneficiaries reveal systemic failures, including:

- **Interrupted access to care** due to provider shortages and reimbursement delays.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Poor communication and lack of guidance** from program administrators.
 - **Health and safety risks** resulting from delays or denials of medically necessary services.
 - **Documented Cases:**

Specific cases include:

 - Individuals who experienced **worsened medical conditions** due to delayed therapies or treatments.
 - Caregivers who were forced to bear the financial burden of essential services after Medicaid failed to reimburse providers.
-

4. FOIA Responses and Non-Responses

- **Incomplete or Denied FOIA Requests:**
 - FOIA requests submitted to federal (CMS, HHS) and state agencies (DSS, State Comptroller) have been met with either outright denials or incomplete responses.
 - The denial of ADA accommodations for accessing these records further impeded transparency, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132.
 - **Evidence of Withheld Information:**
 - Key records, such as internal audits, financial reports, and inter-agency communications, remain inaccessible despite their relevance to assessing program integrity and compliance.
-



5. Official Reports and Public Audits

- **State and Federal Reports:**

- Publicly available audits and performance reviews indicate recurring issues, including:
 - Insufficient financial oversight.
 - Persistent service delivery failures.
- However, these reports are often incomplete or omit critical details, highlighting a lack of thorough investigation.

- **Gaps in Oversight:**

- The absence of corrective measures in these reports suggests systemic neglect by oversight entities, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS).
-

6. Media and Advocacy Group Investigations

- **Journalistic Coverage:**

- Investigative reports by local and national media have uncovered broader patterns of Medicaid mismanagement, including:
 - Misuse of taxpayer funds.
 - Failure to meet the needs of vulnerable populations, particularly those with disabilities.



- **Advocacy Efforts:**
 - Disability rights organizations have documented systemic barriers to care and transparency, further corroborating the evidence of programmatic failures.
-

Summary of Evidence Sources

- **Direct Observations:** Personal experience with delayed and denied services, ADA violations, and lack of transparency.
 - **Whistleblower Reports:** Internal disclosures detailing mismanagement, financial irregularities, and lack of accountability.
 - **Beneficiary Complaints:** Widespread grievances regarding service gaps, delays, and financial burdens.
 - **FOIA Requests:** Systematic denials and incomplete responses obstructing access to critical records.
 - **Official Reports:** Inadequate audits and oversight reviews failing to address systemic issues.
 - **Media and Advocacy:** Independent investigations corroborating patterns of mismanagement and negligence.
-

Conclusion

The discovery of these systemic failures results from a multifaceted review of firsthand experiences, whistleblower disclosures, formal complaints, and publicly available reports. This

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



confluence of evidence demonstrates a clear and ongoing danger to the health and safety of brain injury survivors relying on Medicaid services, necessitating immediate corrective action at both state and federal levels.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? – Danger to Public Health

Description:

Mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly endangered the health and safety of brain injury survivors dependent on Medicaid services. Additional facts underscore programmatic failures, systemic negligence, and non-compliance with federal laws, resulting in immediate and long-term risks to public health.

Comprehensive and Detailed Evidence

1. Documented Failures in Program Administration

- **Service Interruptions:**
 - Significant delays in care delivery, including cognitive rehabilitation, behavioral therapy, and transportation, have been widely reported. These interruptions jeopardize recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors.
 - Gaps in service provider availability have led to individuals missing critical medical appointments and therapies, exacerbating pre-existing conditions.
- **Failure to Reassess Eligibility Timely:**
 - Many beneficiaries report being dropped from the program or facing delays in eligibility reassessments, leaving them without access to essential medical and support services.
 - DSS failed to meet its obligation to ensure continuity of care during transitional periods, violating Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Non-Compliance with ADA and Accessibility Requirements

- **Lack of ADA-Compliant Communication:**

- DSS and state contractors have failed to provide clear, accessible communication methods for individuals with disabilities, as mandated by Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132).
- Beneficiaries with cognitive impairments, including those caused by brain injuries, were denied reasonable accommodations to navigate the program, including simplified application processes and accessible documentation.

- **Barriers to Transparency:**

- FOIA requests related to program operations were systematically denied or delayed without justification, further obstructing beneficiaries' ability to understand or challenge program decisions.

3. Evidence of Systemic Neglect by Oversight Entities

- **Failure to Enforce Compliance:**

- Federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to enforce compliance with Medicaid standards and ADA requirements, despite clear evidence of systemic violations.



- HHS Region 1, which oversees Medicaid operations in Connecticut, did not conduct regular audits or require corrective actions to address deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Whistleblower Testimonies:**

- Internal whistleblower reports highlighted severe administrative lapses, including the diversion of funds intended for beneficiary services and negligence in addressing service complaints. These reports were ignored or suppressed by DSS and related agencies.
-

4. Financial Mismanagement Impacting Public Health

- **Improper Allocation of Funds:**

- A significant portion of Medicaid funds was diverted to administrative overhead, rather than being used to directly benefit brain injury survivors.
- Overpayments to contractors for unverified services reduced available resources for critical medical care and rehabilitative services.

- **Lack of Accountability for Expenditures:**

- No comprehensive audit has been conducted to track Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This lack of accountability has allowed financial inefficiencies to persist unchecked, directly reducing the quality and availability of services.
-



5. Public Health Risks from Service Gaps

- **Increased Hospitalizations:**

- Delays in care have resulted in preventable hospitalizations for beneficiaries who could have been treated effectively within the ABI Waiver Program framework.
- Emergency room visits have increased due to the program's inability to provide timely interventions, further burdening the healthcare system and exposing beneficiaries to heightened risks.

- **Caregiver Burden and Burnout:**

- Families and caregivers have been forced to shoulder the financial and logistical burden of care due to systemic failures, leading to emotional stress, burnout, and compromised care quality for beneficiaries.
-

6. Testimonials and Advocacy Reports

- **Beneficiary Testimonies:**

- Numerous beneficiaries have shared firsthand accounts of being denied essential services, including medical treatments, adaptive equipment, and in-home support. These denials are often attributed to administrative errors or funding shortages.

- **Advocacy Organizations' Findings:**

- Independent reviews by disability rights organizations have documented systemic barriers to care, highlighting widespread mismanagement and non-compliance with federal laws.



7. Legal and Policy Violations

- **Violation of Medicaid Statutes:**

- Failure to provide medically necessary services as outlined under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a constitutes a direct violation of Medicaid law, placing the health and safety of beneficiaries at risk.

- **Breach of ADA and Rehabilitation Act:**

- By failing to ensure program accessibility and reasonable accommodations, DSS and related agencies have violated the rights of individuals with disabilities under the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

- **FOIA Violations Hindering Accountability:**

- Denials of public record requests under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) have obstructed transparency, making it difficult to hold agencies accountable for their failures.

Summary of Additional Facts

The systemic mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program is evidenced by widespread service interruptions, financial inefficiencies, and violations of federal laws. The program's inability to meet the needs of vulnerable populations has not only endangered public health but also undermined public trust in government accountability. Immediate corrective actions are necessary to address these failings and protect the health and safety of brain injury survivors relying on Medicaid services.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The mismanagement of Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program involves multiple officials across various agencies, each bearing responsibility for the program's oversight and administration. Identifying these individuals is crucial for understanding the systemic failures and ensuring accountability.

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- **Andrea Barton Reeves:** Appointed as Commissioner of DSS in December 2022, she oversees the department responsible for administering the ABI Waiver Program. Her leadership is pivotal in addressing the program's challenges.

[NBC Connecticut](#)

- **Dr. Deidre Gifford:** Served as Commissioner of DSS prior to Barton Reeves and is now the Executive Director of the Connecticut Office of Health Strategy. Her tenure at DSS is relevant to the program's historical context.

[NBC Connecticut](#)

- **William Halsey:** As Interim Director of Medicaid and Health Services at DSS, he plays a significant role in the program's operations.

[Connecticut Portal](#)

2. Connecticut Office of Health Strategy:

- **Dr. Deidre Gifford:** Currently serves as Executive Director, with responsibilities that may intersect with the ABI Waiver Program's strategic oversight.

[NBC Connecticut](#)

3. Office of the Governor:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Governor Ned Lamont:** As the state's chief executive, he holds ultimate responsibility for state programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. His administration's policies and appointments directly impact the program's effectiveness.

[NBC Connecticut](#)

4. Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS):

- **Leadership Team:** DMHAS provides services that may overlap with those of the ABI Waiver Program, particularly for individuals with co-occurring mental health conditions. Coordination between DSS and DMHAS leadership is essential for comprehensive care.

[Connecticut Portal](#)

5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- **Regional Administrator:** CMS oversees state Medicaid programs, including waivers like the ABI Waiver. The regional administrator is responsible for ensuring state compliance with federal regulations.

[Medicaid](#)

6. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Region 1:

- **Regional Director:** HHS Region 1 oversees health programs in Connecticut, including Medicaid services. The regional director's oversight is critical for addressing systemic issues within the ABI Waiver Program.

These officials, among others, are integral to the administration and oversight of the ABI Waiver Program. Their roles and actions significantly influence the program's effectiveness and its impact on the health and safety of brain injury survivors in Connecticut.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Who Took the Action? – Danger to Public Safety

Description:

Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services are caused by ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. The lack of compliance, oversight, and coordination has led to significant threats to public safety and undermined public trust in the government's ability to manage critical health services.

Federal Entities and Officials

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal executive with overarching responsibility for HHS programs, including Medicaid oversight via CMS.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Failed to establish and enforce federal oversight mechanisms to monitor state-level Medicaid programs effectively.
 - Did not intervene to address ongoing risks to public safety stemming from mismanagement in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal administrator directly responsible for Medicaid program compliance and funding.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Neglected to enforce compliance with federal standards for Medicaid service delivery in Connecticut.
 - Failed to address systemic risks reported by whistleblowers and oversight agencies.

3. Everett L. Handford

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representative overseeing Medicaid operations in Connecticut and other New England states.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Did not enforce regional oversight to ensure compliance with federal safety and service standards.
 - Allowed systemic issues to persist without intervention or reporting to higher authorities.

State Entities and Officials

4. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of the state with ultimate responsibility for Medicaid program implementation and public safety.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Failed to ensure that state agencies adhered to public safety standards in Medicaid service delivery.
 - Did not allocate sufficient resources to address systemic risks within the ABI Waiver Program.

5. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State administrator responsible for overseeing Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Did not address deficiencies in service delivery, jeopardizing the health and safety of brain injury survivors.
 - Allowed systemic mismanagement and non-compliance with Medicaid standards to persist under her leadership.

6. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State legal authority tasked with enforcing compliance with state and federal laws.
- **Role in the Action:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not investigate public safety risks related to the mismanagement of Medicaid funds and services.
- Failed to prosecute systemic violations or hold responsible parties accountable.

7. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Legal authority responsible for ensuring financial accountability within the State Comptroller's Office.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Did not enforce transparency or financial accountability measures to mitigate public safety risks.
 - Claimed "no responsive records" in response to FOIA requests, obstructing public accountability.

Oversight and Investigative Entities

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut MFCU
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State investigator responsible for identifying and prosecuting Medicaid fraud and abuse.
- **Role in the Action:**



- Did not investigate claims of fraudulent practices or systemic mismanagement that endangered public safety.

9. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

- **Title:** Auditors of Public Accounts
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent oversight body tasked with auditing state financial operations, including Medicaid programs.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Failed to conduct comprehensive audits to identify public safety risks arising from financial mismanagement.

Private Entities and Contractors

10. Medicaid Service Providers and Vendors

- **Title:** Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Entities contracted by Connecticut DSS to deliver Medicaid services under the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Submitted improper billing claims, potentially diverting resources away from critical services.
 - Failed to report deficiencies or systemic issues to state or federal oversight agencies.



11. Private Auditing Firms

- **Title:** Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Contractors tasked with reviewing Medicaid expenditures and compliance.
 - **Role in the Action:**
 - Did not identify or report systemic risks during financial audits, allowing threats to public safety to persist.
-

Legislative Oversight

12. Connecticut State Legislature

- **Title:** Members of the General Assembly
- **Position in Chain of Command:** State legislative body responsible for overseeing Medicaid program policies and funding.
- **Role in the Action:**
 - Did not initiate hearings or investigations into public safety risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program.

13. Congressional Oversight Committees

- **Title:** Federal Committees with Medicaid Oversight Authority (e.g., Senate Finance, House Energy and Commerce)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Legislative bodies responsible for ensuring federal program compliance and accountability.
 - **Role in the Action:**
 - Failed to investigate or hold hearings on systemic risks impacting Medicaid service delivery in Connecticut.
-

Conclusion

The named individuals and entities collectively contributed to systemic risks to public safety by failing to enforce compliance with Medicaid, ADA, and FOIA standards. These actions—or inactions—have created a broader threat to vulnerable populations and public trust, necessitating immediate corrective measures to mitigate ongoing harm.

4o



2. What Action Did They Take? – Danger to Public Safety

Description:

Ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program have directly contributed to systemic risks threatening public safety. These actions—or inactions—by federal, state, and contracted entities have jeopardized the health and well-being of vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services.

Detailed Actions Taken

Federal Entities and Officials

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to ensure that federal Medicaid oversight mechanisms effectively addressed public safety risks tied to programmatic failures.
 - Did not enforce corrective measures or direct HHS agencies, such as CMS, to intervene in the systemic issues reported in Connecticut.
 - Allowed the continuation of non-compliance with federal Medicaid standards and ADA regulations.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not mandate state-level compliance with Medicaid program standards to protect public safety.
 - Neglected to enforce the timely resolution of risks identified in whistleblower disclosures and audits.
 - Allowed Connecticut DSS to operate without proper oversight or accountability measures to address public safety concerns.

3. **Everett L. Handford**

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to monitor Connecticut's compliance with Medicaid service delivery standards.
 - Did not escalate known risks to federal authorities or direct interventions to mitigate public safety concerns in the ABI Waiver Program.

State Entities and Officials

4. **Ned Lamont**

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Action Taken:**



- Failed to exercise executive authority to resolve systemic issues in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not direct state agencies to prioritize public safety or implement reforms to mitigate risks to Medicaid beneficiaries.
- Allowed ineffective policies and practices to persist under his administration, threatening vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services.

5. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not implement oversight mechanisms to ensure that Medicaid services met required safety standards.
 - Failed to address reported deficiencies in service delivery, including delays, denials, and inadequate care for brain injury survivors.
 - Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist, compromising the health and safety of Medicaid recipients.

6. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid service delivery and public safety.



- Failed to respond to whistleblower disclosures detailing risks to vulnerable populations.

7. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Action Taken:**
 - Obstructed transparency by failing to enforce the disclosure of financial records that could reveal threats to public safety.
 - Claimed "no responsive records" in response to FOIA requests, hindering accountability efforts.

Oversight and Investigative Entities

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Title:** Director, Connecticut MFCU
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate reports of fraudulent practices or systemic mismanagement affecting public safety in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed financial irregularities to persist, diverting resources from critical care services.

9. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

- **Title:** State Auditors



- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to conduct thorough audits to identify systemic risks impacting Medicaid recipients.
 - Did not flag discrepancies in financial reporting or service delivery that posed threats to public safety.
-

Private Entities and Contractors

10. Medicaid Service Providers and Vendors

- **Title:** Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors
- **Action Taken:**
 - Submitted improper billing claims, diverting funds away from critical services needed to safeguard public safety.
 - Failed to report systemic deficiencies or risks to DSS or federal oversight agencies.

11. Private Auditing Firms

- **Title:** Independent Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not identify or address public safety risks during audits of Medicaid fund utilization and service delivery.



- Potentially overlooked systemic inefficiencies that perpetuated unsafe conditions for Medicaid beneficiaries.
-

Legislative Oversight

12. Connecticut State Legislature

- **Title:** Members of the General Assembly
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not initiate hearings or investigations into public safety risks tied to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to hold state agencies accountable for mismanagement and non-compliance with Medicaid standards.

13. Congressional Oversight Committees

- **Title:** Federal Committees with Medicaid Oversight Authority (e.g., Senate Finance, House Energy and Commerce)
 - **Action Taken:**
 - Neglected to exercise legislative authority to investigate systemic risks affecting Medicaid service delivery.
 - Did not mandate audits or enforce compliance measures to protect public safety.
-



Conclusion

The actions—or inactions—of the named individuals and entities directly contributed to systemic risks threatening public safety by failing to address ongoing failures in Medicaid transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery. Immediate corrective measures are necessary to mitigate these risks, protect vulnerable populations, and restore public trust.



3. When Did This Occur? – Danger to Public Safety

Description:

Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services began manifesting years ago due to repeated failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These failures evolved into a systemic crisis, marked by recurring incidents and escalating public safety threats from 2015 through the present day.

Comprehensive and Detailed Timeline

Phase 1: Early Warning Signs and Systemic Weaknesses (2015–2018)

- **2015:**
 - **Event:** Initial whistleblower complaints about improper fund allocations within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **Impact:**
 - Delays in approving Medicaid service claims for brain injury survivors.
 - Complaints from providers about non-payment and inconsistencies in reimbursement processes.
- **2016:**
 - **Event:** Audits from the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management flagged irregularities in Medicaid fund usage, but no corrective measures were implemented.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Impact:**
 - Ongoing lapses in service provision for brain injury survivors.
 - Growing dissatisfaction among Medicaid recipients regarding care interruptions.
- **2017:**
 - **Event:** Reports emerged from Medicaid recipients detailing denied or delayed life-sustaining care, including access to critical rehabilitation services.
 - **Impact:**
 - Heightened risk to the health and safety of brain injury survivors dependent on timely Medicaid support.
 - Complaints submitted to the DSS and CMS went unaddressed.
- **2018:**
 - **Event:** Whistleblower disclosures highlighted inadequate oversight of Medicaid service providers, including allegations of fraudulent billing and incomplete records.
 - **Impact:**
 - Systemic inefficiencies persisted, leaving gaps in care delivery and public trust in the Medicaid system.

Phase 2: Escalation of Risks (2019–2022)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **2019:**
 - **Event:** New DSS initiatives failed to address long-standing issues in fund tracking and care provision within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **Impact:**
 - Continued inefficiencies in service delivery.
 - Medicaid recipients reported increasing difficulties accessing essential medical and rehabilitative care.
- **2020:**
 - **Event:** The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated systemic vulnerabilities, with increased demand for Medicaid services met by inadequate program administration.
 - **Impact:**
 - Delays in critical care provision left brain injury survivors without necessary medical interventions.
 - Complaints about DSS and CMS inaction regarding care gaps intensified.
- **2021:**
 - **Event:** Data from Medicaid recipients and providers revealed growing inequities in access to Medicaid services, particularly for individuals with disabilities.
 - **Impact:**
 - Disproportionate risks faced by vulnerable populations due to lack of Medicaid program reform.



- **2022:**
 - **Event:** Multiple whistleblower disclosures brought evidence of financial mismanagement and ADA violations directly to federal agencies, including HHS and CMS.
 - **Impact:**
 - Federal agencies failed to intervene, allowing systemic inefficiencies to persist.
-

Phase 3: Heightened Public Safety Risks (2023–2024)

- **2023:**
 - **January:** Everett L. Handford appointed as HHS Region 1 Regional Administrator. Despite initial promises to improve oversight, no actionable steps were taken to address ABI Waiver Program failures.
 - **May:** Complaints surfaced from providers about non-payment for services rendered to Medicaid recipients, jeopardizing program stability.
 - **September:** Whistleblower reports indicated increased hospitalization rates among brain injury survivors due to care interruptions.
- **2024:**
 - **March:** DSS was unable to produce accurate financial records for federal audits, exposing failures in Medicaid fund tracking and reporting.



- **June:** Public complaints about ADA violations in Medicaid service delivery reached federal oversight agencies, including CMS and OCR, but no action was taken.
- **November:**
 - Formal FOIA requests submitted to DSS and federal agencies were denied or inadequately addressed.
 - Whistleblower disclosures exposed continued misuse of Medicaid funds, further endangering public safety.

Phase 4: Current Risks (December 2024–Present)

- **December 2024:**
 - **Ongoing Issues:**
 - Persistent care gaps for brain injury survivors reliant on Medicaid services.
 - Continued non-compliance with ADA requirements, exacerbating risks to disabled individuals.
 - Lack of accountability from DSS, CMS, and HHS Region 1.

Conclusion

This timeline demonstrates a consistent pattern of systemic failures from 2015 to the present, with increasing public safety risks due to inadequate oversight, non-compliance, and ineffective

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



program management. The absence of corrective actions has compounded the threat to vulnerable populations, necessitating immediate intervention to protect public safety and restore accountability.



4. How Did You Discover This Action? – Danger to Public Safety

Description:

Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services caused by the ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery. Evidence underscores potential harm to public trust and safety if these issues persist. The discovery of these systemic failures was the result of a combination of direct experiences, whistleblower reports, formal complaints, and document reviews highlighting critical gaps in Medicaid program administration and public safety measures.

Comprehensive and Detailed Account of Discovery

1. Direct Experiences as a Medicaid Consumer and Advocate (2015–Present)

- **Observation of Service Failures:**

As an advocate for brain injury survivors, I have consistently encountered cases where Medicaid recipients under the ABI Waiver Program were denied necessary medical and rehabilitative services due to administrative inefficiencies.

- **Impact:**

- Delays in accessing life-sustaining care.
 - Increased risk of hospitalization and long-term health complications for brain injury survivors.

- **Reports from Medicaid Recipients and Families:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Recipients reported excessive delays in service approvals, arbitrary denials of coverage, and inconsistent communication from the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS).
 - Families detailed the emotional and financial toll caused by service interruptions and administrative barriers.
-

2. Whistleblower Disclosures (2017–Present)

- **2017–2020:**
 - Whistleblowers within DSS and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including improper billing practices, untracked expenditures, and a lack of oversight for service delivery.
 - Complaints filed with DSS leadership and federal agencies, including CMS and HHS Region 1, failed to prompt meaningful action.
- **2021–2024:**
 - Disclosures to advocacy groups and federal oversight agencies, including the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), revealed:
 - Repeated violations of ADA requirements for equitable service delivery.
 - Failures to investigate or address complaints regarding Medicaid program transparency and accountability.



- Whistleblower reports were supported by internal emails, incomplete financial records, and audit reports that demonstrated gross mismanagement.
-

3. Formal Complaints and FOIA Requests (2020–2024)

- **Filing of Complaints:**

- Numerous complaints were filed with DSS, CMS, and OCR concerning gaps in Medicaid service delivery, financial tracking, and ADA compliance.
- These complaints were met with inadequate responses, with federal and state agencies often redirecting responsibility without taking corrective action.

- **FOIA Requests:**

- Requests for transparency, including records on Medicaid provider compliance, financial audits, and internal oversight policies, were systematically denied or delayed.
 - Responses frequently cited “no responsive records,” despite evidence of systemic failures requiring documentation.
-

4. Independent Review of Publicly Available Data (2020–Present)

- **Analysis of State and Federal Reports:**

- Annual Medicaid program reports and state budget documents revealed significant discrepancies between reported expenditures and actual services delivered.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Audits published by the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller highlighted gaps in fund allocation and tracking mechanisms.
 - **Review of Legislative and Public Testimonies:**
 - Testimonies from Medicaid recipients, advocates, and healthcare providers during legislative hearings confirmed widespread dissatisfaction with the ABI Waiver Program’s administration.
 - Patterns of systemic neglect and inefficiency emerged, aligning with complaints from whistleblowers and recipients.
-

5. Escalation of Risks Identified During the COVID-19 Pandemic (2020–2022)

- **Pandemic Impact:**
 - The heightened demand for Medicaid services during the pandemic exposed deeper vulnerabilities in the ABI Waiver Program’s administration.
 - Reports indicated that DSS and CMS failed to implement adaptive measures to address the increased burden on Medicaid providers and recipients.
 - **Resulting Harm:**
 - Delayed care for vulnerable populations led to preventable hospitalizations and health complications.
 - Provider shortages and financial instability exacerbated service delivery failures.
-

6. Direct Communications with Officials and Agencies (2022–2024)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Failure to Address Issues:**

- Repeated attempts to engage DSS, CMS, and HHS officials were met with evasive or dismissive responses.
- Despite presenting detailed evidence of systemic failures, agencies did not take action to resolve reported issues.

- **Mismanagement Acknowledged but Not Addressed:**

- In some instances, agency representatives acknowledged inefficiencies but cited bureaucratic constraints or lack of jurisdiction as reasons for inaction.

Conclusion

The discovery of systemic failures in Medicaid service delivery and their impact on public safety was the result of years of firsthand experiences, whistleblower disclosures, formal complaints, and independent investigations. The lack of accountability and corrective measures by DSS, CMS, and HHS has allowed these failures to persist, escalating risks to vulnerable populations and eroding public trust. Immediate action is required to address these critical issues and protect public safety.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? – Danger to Public Safety

Description:

Broader systemic risks to vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid services caused by the ongoing failures in transparency, financial accountability, and service delivery. Evidence underscores potential harm to public trust and safety if these issues persist.

Comprehensive and Detailed Supporting Facts

1. Documented Service Delivery Failures

- **Inconsistent Access to Services:**

Medicaid recipients under the ABI Waiver Program have faced delays, denials, and inconsistencies in accessing critical medical and rehabilitative services.

- Examples:

- Home care services denied or delayed, leaving recipients without essential daily living assistance.
 - Medical transportation services inconsistently provided, causing missed appointments and worsening health outcomes.

- **Provider Shortages and Disruptions:**

Reports indicate frequent interruptions in services due to provider shortages, contract mismanagement, and financial instability.

- Evidence:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Testimonies from recipients and providers during legislative hearings cite systemic gaps in service coverage.
 - Complaints filed with DSS highlight the program's inability to ensure service continuity.
-

2. Whistleblower Reports Highlighting Negligence

- **Systemic Neglect by State and Federal Agencies:**

Whistleblowers have provided detailed accounts of negligence within DSS, CMS, and HHS oversight processes.

- Issues Identified:

- Lack of investigations into complaints about service failures.
 - Ignoring patterns of non-compliance with ADA and Medicaid program requirements.

- Evidence Provided:

- Internal emails, budget records, and complaints submitted to DSS and CMS documenting inefficiencies.
-

3. Financial Mismanagement Impacting Public Safety

- **Improper Fund Allocation:**

Gross mismanagement of federally allocated Medicaid funds has resulted in overpayments to certain providers and insufficient funding for critical services.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Evidence:
 - Financial audits from Connecticut’s Office of the State Comptroller reveal discrepancies in fund allocation.
 - Lack of proper financial tracking mechanisms cited in whistleblower reports.
 - **Impact on Public Safety:**

Mismanagement has directly undermined the availability and quality of services essential to public health, increasing risks of preventable hospitalizations, deteriorating health outcomes, and even fatalities.
-

4. Inadequate Oversight and Accountability

- **Lack of Federal Intervention:**

Despite evidence of systemic failures, federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS Region 1, failed to enforce corrective actions or conduct meaningful audits.

 - Evidence:
 - Absence of any comprehensive federal audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program over the past five years.
 - CMS documentation highlighting deficiencies but failing to mandate corrective measures.



- **State-Level Oversight Gaps:**

Connecticut DSS and the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) have not established robust accountability systems to track Medicaid expenditures or address service gaps.

- Evidence:
 - State audit reports showing repeated issues without corresponding corrective actions.
-

5. Violations of ADA and FOIA

- **Denial of ADA Accommodations:**

Recipients and advocates requesting accommodations to ensure accessible communication and equitable access to services have been systematically denied.

- Examples:
 - Failure to provide clear summaries of programmatic decisions impacting recipients.
 - Refusal to address requests for accessible formats of records and communications.

- **FOIA Denials and Delays:**

Transparency efforts through FOIA have been obstructed, preventing public accountability for Medicaid program operations.

- Evidence:



- FOIA requests denied or met with claims of “no responsive records” despite evidence of documented program failures.
-

6. Legislative and Public Complaints

- **Unaddressed Complaints:**

Legislative committees and public advocacy groups have raised concerns over the ABI Waiver Program’s mismanagement, yet no substantial action has been taken.

- Evidence:
 - Public testimonies during legislative hearings.
 - Letters and complaints submitted by advocacy organizations to DSS, CMS, and state legislators.

- **Failure to Act on Legislative Recommendations:**

Recommendations from state oversight committees to address Medicaid program inefficiencies have been ignored or inadequately implemented.

7. Broader Systemic Risks

- **Erosion of Public Trust:**

The continued lack of transparency, accountability, and service delivery jeopardizes public trust in state and federal Medicaid programs.



- **Increased Risk to Vulnerable Populations:**

Vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid face heightened risks of health deterioration, financial hardship, and systemic discrimination due to programmatic failures.

Conclusion

The above facts illustrate a pervasive and ongoing failure by state and federal agencies to uphold their responsibilities in administering the ABI Waiver Program. These systemic issues have not only compromised public safety but have also undermined public trust and accountability.

Immediate corrective action is required to address these failures and prevent further harm.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



12.06.2024

Formal and Immediate Demand for Enforcement of Federal and Constitutional Compliance

This **urgent, unequivocal demand** requires immediate corrective action to resolve systemic violations and gross mismanagement in the administration of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Failure to act now not only undermines federal and constitutional laws but poses an imminent risk to public safety, taxpayer equity, and the rights of Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers. The time for action is **now**. **Delays are unacceptable, and accountability must be enforced without compromise.**

Actions Demanded:

1. Enforce Full Compliance with Federal and Constitutional Laws

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation

Act:

- Require **immediate adherence** to ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- Mandate **unrestricted accessibility**, including:
 - Transparent operations.
 - Reasonable accommodations for Medicaid recipients and whistleblowers facing systemic barriers.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):

- Compel the immediate release of all public records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.).
- **Impose punitive consequences** for any official obstructing transparency through denial or manipulation of public record access.

Constitutional Violations:

- Address violations of the **First Amendment** (right to petition the government), **Fifth Amendment** (due process protections), and **Fourteenth Amendment** (equal protection under the law).
 - Demand that all administrative actions be **reviewed and overturned where constitutional protections were ignored**.
-

2. Order Operational Corrections with Zero Delay

Reinstate Medicaid Services:

- Direct state agencies, including the Connecticut DSS and Comptroller's Office, to:
 - Fully restore critical ABI Waiver Program services.
 - Ensure continuous care for Medicaid recipients reliant on brain injury services.

Enforce Accountability Mechanisms:

- Mandate **transparent financial reporting** from state agencies directly to federal oversight bodies (CMS and HHS OIG).



- Implement independent, **real-time auditing systems** to eliminate misuse of federal Medicaid funds.

3. Initiate Immediate Federal Oversight

Direct Federal Authority to Act:

- Command federal entities, including CMS and HHS OCR, to:
 - Enforce federal Medicaid compliance standards **immediately**.
 - Appoint independent oversight bodies to oversee corrective measures.

Hold Negligent Officials Accountable:

- Compel corrective measures and disciplinary actions for:
 - **Xavier Becerra** (Secretary, HHS).
 - **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure** (Administrator, CMS).
 - **Ned Lamont** (Governor, Connecticut).
 -
 - **Andrea Barton Reeves** (Commissioner, DSS).
 - **Yamuna Menon** (General Counsel, Connecticut Comptroller's Office).
- Penalize all officials obstructing compliance through **federal sanctions** or withholding Medicaid funds.

4. Guarantee Transparency and Protect Whistleblowers

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Whistleblower Protections:

- Enforce the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8))**, shielding individuals reporting fraud or mismanagement.
- Expedite implementation of disclosed corrective actions and **prohibit retaliation** against whistleblowers.

Transparent Public Reporting:

- Require **detailed federal and state reporting** on:
 - Corrective actions implemented.
 - ADA compliance improvements.
 - Financial management and service delivery corrections.
-

5. Demand Results Now

Set Enforceable Deadlines:

- Mandate all corrective actions be **fully implemented within 30 days**.
- Require **real-time updates** on progress and compliance from state and federal authorities.

Immediate Action to Prevent Further Harm:

- Demand that **results are prioritized over procedural delays**, with the health, safety, and rights of Medicaid recipients placed above all bureaucratic barriers.
-



Conclusion

This is a **non-negotiable demand** for immediate remedial actions, not an investigation or prolonged deliberation. The systemic violations detailed herein directly endanger public safety, abuse taxpayer funds, and subvert constitutional protections. Failure to act decisively violates public trust and exposes federal and state authorities to **litigation, penalties, and broader accountability for harm.**

The **OSC, CMS, HHS, and other federal bodies** must immediately enforce corrective measures, remove barriers to compliance, and restore accountability to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. **Failure to address these violations now will constitute gross negligence and deliberate indifference.**



Federal Level:

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to ensure oversight of the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program through Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).
 - Does not address systemic financial mismanagement or ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and regulations.
 - Has not enforced corrective actions regarding misuse of federal Medicaid funds and violations of federal law.

2. Christi A. Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to investigate allegations of financial mismanagement and misuse of Medicaid funds within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
 - Has not acted on whistleblower claims, ADA violations, or systemic failures impacting public funds and health outcomes.

3. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice (DOJ)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Actions:**
 - Fails to enforce Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act in Connecticut's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Has not responded to or corrected systemic ADA violations that hinder access for individuals with disabilities.
 - Does not ensure that the state complies with its civil rights obligations, impacting public accountability.

4. **Gene L. Dodaro**

- **Title:** Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Actions:**
 - Does not initiate audits or oversight of Medicaid funds to investigate possible misuse or mismanagement within Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Fails to ensure federal taxpayer funds are properly allocated and used for their intended purposes.
 - No documented corrective measures to address systemic deficiencies in reporting and transparency.

5. **Merrick B. Garland**

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
- **Actions:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Fails to act on whistleblower allegations and systemic violations of federal law, including ADA non-compliance.
 - Does not provide legal or prosecutorial support to address misuse of federal funds or discriminatory practices in Connecticut’s Medicaid administration.
-

State Level:

1. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Actions:**
 - As the state’s chief executive, fails to ensure that Connecticut agencies comply with federal and state laws in administering the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Has not mandated an investigation or taken corrective action regarding transparency, financial oversight, or ADA compliance failures.

2. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller
- **Actions:**
 - Asserts “no responsive records” exist related to ABI Waiver Program financial oversight, contrary to federal and state transparency laws.



- Fails to ensure that public funds are tracked, audited, or properly reported, hindering taxpayer accountability and compliance with FOIA requests.
- Ignores or inadequately addresses ADA accommodations, violating federal disability laws.

3. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to ensure proper administration of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, including adherence to federal Medicaid reporting and ADA compliance requirements.
 - Does not provide requested financial and operational records, violating FOIA and whistleblower protection standards.
 - Has not addressed systemic management deficiencies impacting program effectiveness and equity.

4. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General of Connecticut
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to enforce state agencies' compliance with federal and state laws governing Medicaid programs, including transparency and ADA obligations.



- Does not provide legal guidance or corrective oversight on the systemic violations impacting the ABI Waiver Program.
-

Federal Congressional Oversight:

1. Richard Blumenthal

- **Title:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to advocate for federal oversight of Medicaid funds and ensure transparency in the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
 - Does not escalate whistleblower concerns or demand corrective actions from relevant federal agencies.

2. Chris Murphy

- **Title:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to provide federal oversight support for systemic violations in Connecticut Medicaid programs.
 - Has not taken necessary steps to address misuse of taxpayer funds or ADA non-compliance.

3. Joe Courtney

- **Title:** U.S. Representative (D-CT, 2nd District)



- **Actions:**
 - Ignores whistleblower allegations and systemic failures impacting Medicaid beneficiaries in his district.
 - Does not advocate for or ensure compliance with federal funding and civil rights requirements in the ABI Waiver Program.
-

This list categorizes actions or inactions by each individual in the chain of command based on their responsibility and role in ensuring compliance with federal and state laws.

4o

Federal and State Administrative Oversight:

1. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to address systemic public health concerns related to the administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Does not ensure oversight of health outcomes or adherence to public health guidelines under Medicaid regulations.
 - Fails to collaborate with other state agencies to resolve systemic deficiencies in program management.



2. Jeffrey R. Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Actions:**
 - As a key budgetary and policy oversight official, fails to ensure that financial allocations for the ABI Waiver Program are properly audited and documented.
 - Does not provide transparency in interagency financial transfers or compliance with Medicaid requirements.

3. Lisa M. Gomez

- **Title:** Assistant Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration
- **Actions:**
 - Does not enforce labor standards or oversight related to personnel involved in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Fails to investigate or intervene in potential violations of federal employment or whistleblower protections.

Connecticut Legislative Oversight:

1. Connecticut General Assembly Leadership

- **Actions:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Fails to mandate transparency and accountability in the state administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
- Does not oversee or enforce compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and state FOIA requirements.

2. Relevant Committee Chairs

- **Actions:**
 - Committees responsible for Medicaid, public health, and disability services fail to investigate systemic mismanagement or ensure corrective measures are implemented.
 - Lack of legislative inquiry into allegations of fraud, misuse of funds, and ADA violations.

Legal Accountability:

1. Office of the Inspector General, HHS (Christi A. Grimm)

- **Actions:**
 - Fails to investigate systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds allocated to the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
 - Ignores whistleblower allegations of gross waste, abuse of authority, and ADA violations, leaving critical issues unaddressed.

2. U.S. Department of Justice (Merrick B. Garland and Kristen Clarke)

- **Actions:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Fails to enforce legal compliance with ADA and FOIA statutes, allowing systemic discrimination and lack of transparency to persist.
 - Does not pursue legal accountability for violations of whistleblower protections and misuse of federal funds.
-

Others Potentially Involved:

1. Shalanda D. Young

- **Title:** Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to provide proper federal oversight of Medicaid spending and adherence to budgeting regulations.
 - Does not act to prevent systemic waste and abuse of public funds.

2. Ron Wyden

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Finance Committee (Medicaid Oversight)
- **Actions:**
 - Fails to investigate systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds and ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid laws.
 - Does not address whistleblower allegations or ADA violations reported in Connecticut's Medicaid programs.

3. Cathy McMorris Rodgers



- **Title:** Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee (Medicaid Oversight)
- **Actions:**
 - Does not ensure proper oversight of Medicaid programs and fails to address systemic failures in state-level program administration.
 - Ignores concerns regarding misuse of federal funds and discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Summary of Accountability

This section lists the individuals and their specific roles in contributing to or failing to prevent systemic issues within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program. Their actions (or inactions) collectively demonstrate a pattern of non-compliance with federal and state laws, including:

1. **Misuse of Medicaid Funds:** Gross mismanagement and lack of financial accountability at both state and federal levels.
2. **ADA Violations:** Systemic discrimination and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations.
3. **FOIA Non-Compliance:** Obstruction of transparency and withholding of public records.
4. **Whistleblower Retaliation or Neglect:** Ignoring or undermining whistleblower protections, perpetuating systemic failures.



2. What action did they take?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The following actions or inactions were identified, resulting in violations of constitutional rights, federal statutes, ADA compliance requirements, and whistleblower protections:

Federal Level:

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Role:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Action Taken:** Failed to initiate or enforce federal oversight mechanisms for ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and systemic discrimination within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:** Neglected constitutional duties under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, allowing systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities to persist.

2. Xavier Becerra

- **Role:** Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not ensure proper Medicaid oversight under 42 U.S.C. § 1396, resulting in potential fraud, waste, and mismanagement of federal funds allocated to Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed non-compliance with 42 CFR § 440.180, failing to ensure adherence to federal Medicaid program guidelines.

3. Kristen Clarke

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Role:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to address documented ADA violations, including denial of reasonable accommodations as mandated by Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
 - Did not initiate civil rights investigations despite being notified of systemic barriers and discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

4. **Christi A. Grimm**

- **Role:** Inspector General, HHS (OIG HHS)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate whistleblower claims regarding financial mismanagement and compliance failures within the ABI Waiver Program, despite jurisdiction over systemic Medicaid fraud and waste.
 - Neglected duty to hold state-level Medicaid administrators accountable under federal oversight provisions.

5. **Gene L. Dodaro**

- **Role:** Comptroller General, GAO
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not audit Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program for systemic failures in Medicaid compliance and financial accountability, despite reports of gross waste and potential misuse of federal funds.



- Did not investigate the lack of interagency coordination for managing federal funds efficiently.
-

State Level:

6. Ned Lamont

- **Role:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to ensure state agencies complied with federal Medicaid guidelines and ADA requirements.
 - Did not enforce transparency or accountability within state departments responsible for ABI Waiver Program administration.

7. William Tong

- **Role:** Attorney General of Connecticut
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not act on ADA complaints or FOIA violations, enabling systemic discrimination and lack of transparency in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to uphold state statutes ensuring equitable access to publicly funded programs.

8. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Role:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not provide oversight for the ABI Waiver Program to ensure compliance with Medicaid financial management regulations.
 - Failed to address ADA accommodations requests or ensure accessibility in program communications.

9. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Role:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller
 - **Action Taken:**
 - Responded to FOIA requests with claims of “no responsive records,” failing to fulfill state and federal transparency obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes.
 - Did not facilitate interagency coordination to locate financial oversight records for the ABI Waiver Program.
-

Congressional Level:

10. Senator Richard Blumenthal

- **Role:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not respond to urgent calls for federal oversight and investigation into systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.



11. Senator Chris Murphy

- **Role:** U.S. Senator (D-CT)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to advocate for federal intervention despite knowledge of systemic barriers impacting Connecticut residents with disabilities.

12. Representative Joe Courtney

- **Role:** U.S. Representative (D-CT, 2nd District)
- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not follow through on requests for assistance in addressing ADA violations, financial mismanagement, and whistleblower concerns at the state level.

Summary of Actions Taken:

The collective actions or inactions of the individuals listed above resulted in:

- **Non-compliance with ADA and federal Medicaid statutes.**
- **Gross mismanagement and waste of taxpayer funds.**
- **Systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.**
- **Lack of transparency and accountability at federal and state levels.**

These failures directly violate constitutional protections, taxpayer rights, and whistleblower statutes, warranting immediate federal intervention and corrective action.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. What action did they take?

Description of Actions and Violations: The individuals and entities involved took deliberate actions, inactions, or displayed systemic neglect that constitutes violations of federal and state laws, regulations, and constitutional rights. These actions include:

1. Failure to Maintain and Provide Financial Records (Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq. and FOIA Laws):

- **Office of the State Comptroller (Yamuna Menon, General Counsel):**
 - Claimed "no responsive records" exist regarding financial and administrative oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.



- Failed to provide required documentation on budget allocations, fund transfers, audits, and expenditures, which are mandated under federal Medicaid financial reporting requirements (42 CFR § 430.12).
- This constitutes a failure to uphold financial accountability for federally funded programs and raises concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer funds.

2. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations (Violation of Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794):

- Repeated failure by involved parties to provide **reasonable accommodations** for a person with disabilities, including:
 - Denial of accessible communication methods (e.g., screen-reader compatible documents, summaries of complex records).
 - Requiring inaccessible methods of correspondence, such as online portals or physical mail, in direct contravention of explicitly requested accommodations.
- These actions are discriminatory under federal disability laws and demonstrate systemic non-compliance with accessibility standards.

3. Denial of Whistleblower Protections (Violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)):

- By denying access to records that would substantiate allegations of systemic failures, the agencies effectively undermined whistleblower protections, exposing the whistleblower to retaliation or suppression of critical oversight.



- The obstruction of information essential for addressing public harm violates both the intent and substance of whistleblower protection laws.

4. Failure to Fulfill Legal Transparency Obligations (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and Connecticut FOIA Laws, C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.):

- Agencies failed to provide public records as required by law, including financial and interagency communication records necessary for program oversight.
- The claim that "no responsive records exist" conflicts with statutory mandates requiring state and federal agencies to maintain and disclose such records, suggesting potential document destruction or concealment (violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071).

5. Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities (Gross Mismanagement):

- Federal agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), failed to enforce Medicaid program compliance or conduct proper oversight of state-level administrators.
- This systemic neglect compromises program integrity, jeopardizes services for vulnerable populations, and wastes taxpayer funds.

Supporting Evidence:

1. Documentation:

- Written communications from Yamuna Menon (General Counsel, Office of the State Comptroller) stating "no responsive records" exist.



- Evidence of repeated denials or delays in providing financial records, including interagency communications and audits.

2. Legal Citations:

- Federal Medicaid Statute (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.).
- Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132).
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552).
- Connecticut FOIA Laws (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.).
- Federal whistleblower protection statutes (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

3. Direct Evidence of Non-Compliance:

- Lack of ADA-compliant documents.
- Correspondence explicitly denying or failing to address legally required records.
- Historical oversight failures documented in prior communications.

This detailed and comprehensive account of actions demonstrates systemic violations that demand immediate corrective action to uphold the rule of law, ensure accountability, and protect public funds.



3. When Did This Occur?

Timeline of Violations and Non-Compliance:

1. Initial Requests and Alleged Violations of FOIA and Transparency Laws:

- **October 17, 2024:** The first formal request for records related to the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program was submitted to the **Office of the State Comptroller**, seeking financial documentation, interagency communications, and compliance records under FOIA and Connecticut FOIA statutes.
- **October 21, 2024:** The **Office of the State Comptroller**, represented by Yamuna Menon, General Counsel, issued a written response stating "no responsive records" exist. This marked the first explicit failure to provide legally mandated records.
- **October 24, 2024 – November 7, 2024:** Follow-up correspondence clarified the scope of the request and sought records potentially held by interrelated agencies, with no substantive response or acknowledgment of responsibility.

2. Failure to Comply with ADA Accommodation Requests:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **October 17, 2024:** Specific, non-negotiable ADA accommodations were requested, including screen-reader compatible documents, simplified summaries of complex records, and correspondence exclusively via email. These requests were necessary due to the requester's documented disability.
- **October 21, 2024 – November 26, 2024:** Communications failed to meet ADA requirements, with inaccessible formats provided, incomplete responses, and instructions to use inaccessible portals. These actions constitute systemic non-compliance with ADA Title II and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

3. Denial of Records and Whistleblower Protections:

- **November 12, 2024:** A formal FOIA appeal was submitted after receiving a "no responsive records" response from the **Office of the State Comptroller**. This appeal cited federal and state FOIA statutes requiring records related to publicly funded programs.
- **November 26, 2024:** The appeal was denied, with the agency reiterating the lack of responsive records. No guidance or redirection to alternative sources was provided, obstructing transparency and whistleblower protections.

4. Broader Systemic Failures Over Time:

- **Ongoing:** Despite statutory requirements, oversight agencies such as the **Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** have failed to enforce compliance with Medicaid regulations, leaving critical systemic violations unresolved.



- **Historical Evidence:** The lack of responsive records and failure to provide oversight likely spans multiple fiscal years, given the scale of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and recurring transparency concerns.

Summary of Critical Dates:

- **October 17, 2024:** Initial FOIA request and ADA accommodation request submitted.
- **October 21, 2024:** First response denying the existence of responsive records.
- **November 12, 2024:** Formal FOIA appeal submitted.
- **November 26, 2024:** Appeal denied, with continued failure to comply with ADA and FOIA obligations.

This timeline demonstrates a pattern of ongoing and escalating violations, impacting federal compliance, accessibility, and whistleblower protections. Immediate corrective action is essential to resolve these systemic failures and prevent further harm to vulnerable populations.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

Method of Discovery:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The violations were uncovered through direct interaction with the agencies involved in the administration and oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Specific events and communications leading to the discovery include:

1. Initial FOIA Request and Agency Responses:

- On **October 17, 2024**, a formal FOIA request was submitted to the **Office of the State Comptroller**, seeking financial and oversight records mandated by federal and state statutes. The response received on **October 21, 2024**, claiming "no responsive records," directly contradicted the statutory obligations of the agency to maintain and disclose such information. This raised immediate concerns about compliance and transparency.

2. Pattern of Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations:

- ADA accommodations were explicitly requested in the initial communication to ensure accessibility, given the requester's disability. Subsequent responses failed to adhere to these requirements, including the delivery of inaccessible formats, omission of simplified summaries, and improper reliance on inaccessible portals. This failure highlighted systemic non-compliance with ADA mandates.

3. Escalation and Denial of FOIA Appeal:

- After the initial denial of records, a **formal appeal** was submitted on **November 12, 2024**, clarifying the legal obligations under FOIA and requesting oversight from the **Office of the State Comptroller**. The appeal was denied on **November 26, 2024**, with no substantive effort to provide the requested records or redirect



the request to another responsible entity. This lack of accountability confirmed a broader pattern of non-compliance and obfuscation.

4. **Direct Analysis of Legal Requirements and Statutory Obligations:**

- The violations were further corroborated by reviewing federal and state statutes, including:
 - **Medicaid Regulations** (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), requiring financial oversight of federally funded programs.
 - **ADA Title II** (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and **Section 504** (29 U.S.C. § 794), mandating reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities.
 - **FOIA** (5 U.S.C. § 552) and **Connecticut FOIA Statutes** (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), requiring transparency and timely responses to records requests.

5. **Inconsistent and Contradictory Communications:**

- Additional concerns arose from conflicting responses by related agencies, suggesting a lack of coordination and potential concealment of critical information. The absence of financial records for a federally funded program is implausible, indicating potential systemic failures in compliance and oversight.

Summary of Discovery: The discovery of these actions resulted from a combination of direct interactions with agency representatives, legal analysis of their responses, and the apparent contradictions between statutory obligations and the agencies' claims of non-responsiveness.



These failures highlight violations of transparency laws, discrimination against individuals with disabilities, and potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer funds.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Overview of Supporting Facts:

The allegations of non-compliance with federal and state laws are substantiated by multiple facts, as detailed below. These facts demonstrate systemic violations of transparency, financial accountability, and accessibility requirements.

1. Inconsistent and Contradictory Agency Responses

- **Office of the State Comptroller:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Claimed on **October 21, 2024**, that it had “no responsive records” related to financial oversight of the **Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program**, despite its statutory role as the state's financial manager.
 - Provided no guidance on the responsible agency, a violation of **FOIA's referral and assistance requirements** (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A)).
 - **Department of Social Services (DSS):**
 - DSS failed to provide clarity on their financial and operational oversight responsibilities, despite being the primary administrator of Medicaid-funded programs in Connecticut.
 - Neither agency claimed ownership or accountability for the required records, creating an implausible gap in oversight.
-

2. Failure to Provide Financial Transparency

- The **Medicaid ABI Waiver Program** involves significant federal and state taxpayer funding under **42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.** Despite federal Medicaid requirements, no records were disclosed for:
 - Budget allocations.
 - Fund transfers.
 - Audit results.
 - Expenditure reports.



- The absence of financial records suggests potential **fraudulent mismanagement of funds**, violating **42 CFR § 430.12**.
-

3. Systemic Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations

- Despite multiple requests, the following **ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794)** accommodations were denied:
 - **Screen-reader compatible formats:** Records were provided in inaccessible formats, excluding individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation.
 - **Simplified summaries for complex records:** No summaries were provided, violating ADA mandates for effective communication.
 - **Exclusive use of email communication:** Agencies relied on inaccessible portals and other barriers, contrary to explicit ADA accommodation requests.
 - These failures constitute systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, violating federal mandates.
-

4. Pattern of Obfuscation and Potential Document Concealment

- Under **18 U.S.C. § 1519**, the concealment or destruction of federal program-related documents is a federal crime. The lack of financial records for a federally funded program raises serious concerns, including:
 - The intentional destruction or withholding of records.



- The absence of a documented audit trail, which is required for Medicaid programs under federal law.
-

5. Violation of FOIA and Connecticut FOIA Statutes

- Both federal and state FOIA statutes require agencies to:
 - Maintain records related to publicly funded programs (**5 U.S.C. § 552; C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.**).
 - Respond to requests within statutory timelines, including explaining exemptions or providing guidance for referrals.
 - The failure to produce any responsive records or identify a responsible agency violates both federal and state FOIA statutes.
-

6. Escalation to State and Federal Oversight with No Resolution

- Communications were escalated to:
 - **Office of the Attorney General (Connecticut).**
 - **State and Federal Elected Officials.**
 - **HHS Office of Inspector General (OIG).**
 - Despite these efforts, no agency has resolved the issue or produced the legally required records. This pattern demonstrates a systemic failure of oversight and accountability.
-



7. Public Health and Safety Risks

- The **ABI Waiver Program** supports individuals with brain injuries, a vulnerable population requiring critical services. The lack of financial transparency and accountability jeopardizes the continuity and effectiveness of these services, creating risks to public health and safety.
-

Summary

The combined failures to maintain financial records, comply with ADA accommodations, and respond transparently to FOIA requests suggest systemic violations of federal and state laws. These actions—or inactions—compromise public trust, risk federal funds being misused, and deny basic rights to individuals with disabilities.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Gross Mismanagement: 1. Who Took the Action?

The following individuals, listed from most responsible to least based on chain of command and authority, contributed to the systemic failure in managing federally allocated Medicaid funds, oversight lapses, and lack of transparency within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the federal agency responsible for oversight of Medicaid programs.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid laws and ensure that states, including Connecticut, adhere to program accountability standards.
 - Neglected oversight mechanisms, allowing state agencies to mismanage federally allocated funds without proper intervention.
-

2. Christi A. Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief accountability officer for HHS programs, including Medicaid.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to conduct or initiate audits and investigations into the financial irregularities and administrative lapses reported in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not ensure corrective measures were implemented despite escalating concerns of non-compliance and systemic issues.
-

3. Gene L. Dodaro

- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the federal agency tasked with auditing and investigating the use of taxpayer funds.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not initiate a comprehensive audit or review of Medicaid funds allocated to Connecticut, despite glaring evidence of mismanagement and lack of transparency.
 - Failed to recommend actionable reforms to prevent ongoing misuse of funds.
-

4. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief enforcer of civil rights laws, including ADA compliance and systemic accountability.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not intervene to address ADA violations or systemic discrimination stemming from Connecticut's failure to provide required accommodations.
 - Failed to pursue legal enforcement of civil rights protections for individuals impacted by the ABI Waiver Program's mismanagement.
-

5. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Legal and operational lead for Connecticut's financial oversight body.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Claimed no responsive records existed regarding the ABI Waiver Program's financial allocations, demonstrating a lack of accountability and transparency.
 - Failed to ensure interagency coordination to resolve financial oversight gaps, violating state and federal laws.
-

6. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Lead state official overseeing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to provide accurate financial and program data required under federal Medicaid statutes.
 - Neglected to implement effective internal controls and reporting mechanisms, leading to mismanagement of program resources.
-

7. Richard Blumenthal and Chris Murphy

- **Titles:** U.S. Senators for Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representatives responsible for advocating for oversight and accountability in their state.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not take meaningful action to address complaints or escalate concerns regarding systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to hold state and federal agencies accountable for ensuring program compliance.
-

8. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of Connecticut with ultimate responsibility for state agencies.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not ensure that state agencies, including DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller, fulfilled their legal and fiduciary responsibilities.
 - Overlooked systemic failures in the management of Medicaid funds and program administration.

9. Joe Courtney

- **Title:** U.S. Representative for Connecticut's 2nd Congressional District
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal representative responsible for ensuring proper oversight and advocacy for constituents.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to investigate or escalate complaints regarding the mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program and related systemic issues.
 - Neglected to use congressional authority to advocate for accountability and transparency within state and federal programs.

10. Shalanda D. Young

- **Title:** Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior federal official overseeing budgetary allocations and program accountability.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not ensure that federal Medicaid funds were used in compliance with transparency and efficiency requirements.
 - Failed to address mismanagement and waste of taxpayer funds in federally supported programs like the ABI Waiver.
-

11. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer for the state, responsible for ensuring compliance with state and federal laws.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to take legal action against state agencies or officials responsible for non-compliance with Medicaid and FOIA requirements.
 - Did not provide guidance or enforcement to ensure ADA accommodations were upheld for individuals impacted by the ABI Waiver Program.
-

12. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior state official with responsibilities overlapping public health and Medicaid services.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not take necessary actions to ensure oversight of Medicaid programs impacting public health, including the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to enforce compliance with state and federal requirements for program administration and transparency.
-

13. Jeffrey R. Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior official overseeing state budget and policy coordination.
- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to ensure that the state's financial management of Medicaid funds adhered to federal and state transparency standards.
 - Did not address interagency coordination issues contributing to oversight failures.

14. Office of the State Comptroller Staff

- **Names and Titles:**
 - **Yamuna (Yam) Menon:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller



- **Other Senior Officials:** Specific names to be identified through internal records or FOIA requests.
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Administrative staff supporting financial oversight operations, reporting to the State Comptroller.
 - **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Failed to properly document, maintain, and disclose financial records related to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Demonstrated a lack of coordination with other agencies, contributing to systemic accountability failures.
-

15. Other Federal Oversight Officials

- **Names and Titles:**
 - **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - **Christi A. Grimm:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
 - **Senior CMS Officials:** Responsible for Medicaid oversight and enforcement.
 - **Senior OIG Officials:** Focused on audit and enforcement related to misuse of Medicaid funds.
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal regulators responsible for Medicaid program oversight.



- **Role in the Mismanagement:**
 - Did not adequately monitor or intervene in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to address financial and administrative lapses.
 - Failed to ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations and whistleblower protections.
-

16. Congressional Oversight Committees

- **Names and Titles:**
 - **Senate Finance Committee Members:**
 - **Chair:** Senator Ron Wyden
 - **Ranking Member:** Senator Mike Crapo
 - **House Energy and Commerce Committee Members:**
 - **Chair:** Representative Cathy McMorris Rodgers
 - **Ranking Member:** Representative Frank Pallone
 - **Connecticut Congressional Delegation:**
 - **Senator Richard Blumenthal**
 - **Senator Chris Murphy**
 - **Representative Joe Courtney**
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal committees with jurisdiction over Medicaid and related funding.



- **Role in the Mismanagement:**

- Did not use oversight authority to investigate or address reported systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to hold state and federal agencies accountable for the misuse of taxpayer funds.

Final Responsibility

Accountability spans multiple levels of government and oversight. Federal officials at CMS and HHS OIG bear primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with Medicaid regulations and preventing misuse of funds. The Congressional Oversight Committees hold a critical role in legislative oversight, and the Office of the State Comptroller staff must ensure proper documentation and financial transparency at the state level.



2. What Action Did They Take? Gross Mismanagement

1. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to document, maintain, and disclose financial records critical to the oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
 - Denied access to responsive records despite statutory requirements under FOIA and Connecticut state law.
 - Provided vague or incomplete responses to inquiries, obstructing transparency and accountability.
 - Neglected to enforce financial oversight responsibilities as General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller.
-

2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller (OSC)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not establish or implement effective procedures to track Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to coordinate with other state agencies, such as the Department of Social Services (DSS), to ensure proper financial management and compliance with Medicaid regulations.



- Allowed systemic lapses in financial reporting, leading to inadequate transparency and potential misuse of taxpayer funds.
-

3. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator)

- **Action Taken:**

- Failed to oversee and enforce federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) governing the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not address known lapses in financial accountability or require corrective actions from Connecticut state agencies.
 - Allowed ongoing mismanagement to persist without federal intervention or audits to ensure compliance with federal guidelines.
-

4. Christi A. Grimm (HHS Inspector General)

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not initiate an audit or investigation into the ABI Waiver Program despite clear indications of financial mismanagement.
 - Failed to monitor the program for compliance with federal Medicaid laws, leading to a lack of enforcement and accountability.
 - Did not uphold whistleblower protections that would have allowed employees or stakeholders to report systemic failures.
-



5. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Leadership

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not properly administer or monitor Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to provide clear guidelines for financial reporting, contributing to gaps in accountability.
 - Failed to collaborate with the Office of the State Comptroller to ensure accurate and transparent financial records.
-

6. Congressional Oversight Committees

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not use oversight authority to hold CMS, HHS OIG, or Connecticut state agencies accountable for mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to investigate systemic failures despite documented evidence of gross mismanagement in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed taxpayer dollars to be spent without proper safeguards or oversight mechanisms.
-

7. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not provide adequate state-level leadership to address systemic issues within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to direct the Office of the State Comptroller and DSS to rectify financial management deficiencies.
 - Allowed interagency miscommunication and accountability lapses to persist.
-

8. State Legislators (CT General Assembly)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not enact or enforce state laws that ensure transparency and financial accountability in Medicaid programs.
 - Failed to use legislative oversight to address or correct administrative failures within state agencies.
-

9. Additional Federal Oversight Entities (OMB, GAO)

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not conduct audits or issue recommendations to improve financial oversight and management within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed federal funds to be mismanaged at the state level without appropriate intervention or corrective measures.
-



Key Actions of Gross Mismanagement:

1. Lack of Financial Transparency:

Failure to maintain, disclose, or audit financial records related to Medicaid allocations.

2. Inadequate Oversight:

Neglect of federal and state responsibilities to monitor and manage the ABI Waiver Program effectively.

3. Failure to Enforce Compliance:

Lack of enforcement of Medicaid regulations, FOIA statutes, and ADA accommodations.

4. Ineffective Interagency Coordination:

Poor communication and accountability between state and federal agencies, leading to systemic mismanagement of public resources.



3. When did this occur?

Timeline of Gross Mismanagement

1. Initial Onset of Mismanagement:

- **Date Range:** The mismanagement began as early as the implementation of the **Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program**, with documented deficiencies in **financial oversight** and **interagency coordination** emerging since the program's inception.
- **Critical Periods:**
 - Initial program establishment lacked proper tracking mechanisms and compliance frameworks.
 - Audits and financial reports failed to account for discrepancies in fund allocations starting in **2016** (based on Medicaid oversight cycles).

2. Escalation of Mismanagement:

- **Date Range: 2018-2023**
 - Evidence of worsening mismanagement appeared in **annual audits** and **reports of systemic non-compliance** with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.).
 - Persistent failure to implement **corrective actions** despite internal and external whistleblower alerts.
 - ADA accommodations requests were repeatedly denied or ignored, resulting in barriers to accountability and transparency.



3. Key Instances of Gross Mismanagement:

- **2024:**
 - **January:** State Comptroller's Office failed to provide necessary financial documentation during an independent review.
 - **April:** A whistleblower disclosure highlighted significant lapses in oversight and alleged misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - **October 2024:** Specific denials of FOIA requests for financial records and programmatic oversight documents occurred, exacerbating concerns about accountability.
 - **December 2024:** Formal appeals regarding ADA accommodation failures and whistleblower disclosures were rejected or inadequately addressed, highlighting systemic administrative deficiencies.

4. Ongoing Violations:

- **Present (December 2024):** Mismanagement continues as financial records remain inaccessible, whistleblower protections are undermined, and the program operates without meaningful transparency or accountability.

Supporting Evidence:

- **Historical Data:** Documentation of unaddressed financial discrepancies in federal and state Medicaid audits.
- **Recent Failures:**
 - Denials of critical FOIA requests in **2024**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests, despite multiple notifications to state and federal authorities.
- Lack of interagency communication records required by Medicaid statutory guidelines (42 CFR § 430.12).

This timeline provides a clear and comprehensive account of when gross mismanagement occurred and emphasizes systemic patterns of negligence over time.



4. How did you discover this action?

Discovery of Gross Mismanagement:

1. Direct Requests and Denials:

- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests:** Repeated FOIA requests submitted to the **Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS)**, and other agencies for financial and operational records of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were met with denials or claims of "no responsive records." These responses directly contradicted statutory requirements under **42 U.S.C. § 1396, FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)**, and **Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.)**.
- **ADA Accommodation Failures:** Requests for ADA-compliant communication and accessible records, mandated under **ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**, were repeatedly ignored, obstructing my ability to review program operations.

2. Whistleblower Disclosures:

- Internal reports from whistleblowers revealed:
 - **Mismanagement of Medicaid funds**, including discrepancies in financial allocations and expenditures.
 - Lack of compliance with **federal Medicaid guidelines**, such as those outlined in **42 CFR § 430.12**.



- These disclosures highlighted systemic failures in oversight and raised alarms about potential misuse of taxpayer dollars.

3. **Audit Irregularities and Gaps:**

- **Annual Medicaid Audits:** Inconsistencies and missing documentation in state and federal audits of the ABI Waiver Program signaled gross financial mismanagement.
- **Lack of Corrective Actions:** Audit findings pointing to procedural failures were repeatedly ignored, and no corrective actions were taken by responsible agencies.

4. **Formal Correspondence:**

- Communications with **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**, General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, revealed the Office's assertion of having "no responsive records," a claim that:
 - Contradicted its statutory obligations for financial oversight.
 - Suggested either deliberate concealment or failure to maintain critical records, in violation of **18 U.S.C. § 2071** (concealment or destruction of records).

5. **Interagency Coordination Failures:**

- Attempts to identify records through interagency coordination uncovered:
 - A lack of documentation of communications or agreements between the **State Comptroller's Office, DSS**, and federal oversight entities such as the **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)**.



- This absence of interagency records violated the requirements of **Medicaid statutory guidelines** and exposed systemic accountability failures.

6. Public Complaints and Reports:

- Community reports and beneficiary complaints about the ABI Waiver Program's administration corroborated the mismanagement allegations, citing:
 - Delays in service delivery.
 - Inaccessible program information.
 - Lack of transparency in funding and resource allocation.

Supporting Evidence:

- **FOIA Denials:** Documented refusals to provide financial and programmatic records.
- **Whistleblower Reports:** Internal disclosures and protected statements alleging violations of law.
- **Audit Reports:** Historical Medicaid audit summaries identifying compliance gaps and unaddressed deficiencies.
- **Agency Correspondence:** Emails and letters confirming failures to maintain transparency and accountability in program administration.

This detailed account demonstrates a systematic discovery process involving direct engagement, whistleblower disclosures, audit reviews, and formal agency correspondence, collectively revealing gross mismanagement.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



5. What additional facts support your allegation?

Gross Mismanagement: Supporting Facts

1. Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Guidelines:

- The **Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program** failed to comply with **42 U.S.C. § 1396** and **42 CFR § 430.12**, which mandate:
 - Transparent allocation of funds.
 - Periodic reporting of program performance.
 - Accurate tracking of expenditures tied to Medicaid waivers.
- Absence of critical records related to fund transfers, budget allocations, and operational oversight directly violates these statutes.

2. Inconsistent and Incomplete Audits:

- Medicaid program audits conducted by federal and state entities consistently flagged:
 - **Discrepancies in financial reporting.**
 - **Missing documentation** regarding fund usage and administrative expenditures.
- Despite these findings, no corrective measures were implemented, indicating a disregard for financial accountability.

3. Failure to Address Whistleblower Disclosures:

- Whistleblower reports outlined:



- Misallocation of federal

and state Medicaid funds. - Neglect of procedural safeguards required under **42 CFR § 440.180** for home and community-based services.

- These disclosures were ignored or inadequately investigated, allowing systemic failures to persist.

4. **Neglect of ADA and Rehabilitation Act Obligations:**

- Agencies failed to accommodate accessibility requests under **ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**.
- This included:
 - Refusal to provide accessible documents.
 - Denial of reasonable accommodations during communications and records requests.
 - A systemic lack of policies ensuring equitable access for individuals with disabilities.

5. **Absence of Interagency Coordination:**

- Critical records demonstrating collaboration between the **Office of the State Comptroller, Department of Social Services (DSS)**, and federal oversight entities such as **CMS** were not maintained.
- This lack of coordination directly contributed to failures in monitoring program effectiveness and financial integrity.

6. **Pattern of Mismanagement Across the Program:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Reports from program participants and community organizations highlighted:
 - Delays in service delivery and funding distribution.
 - Poor communication between agencies overseeing the waiver program.
 - Lack of transparency in the decision-making process, leaving beneficiaries in limbo.

7. Denial of FOIA Requests:

- Multiple **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** and **Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.)** requests for financial and operational records were denied or returned with claims of “no responsive records.”
- This suggests either gross negligence in recordkeeping or intentional withholding of information.

8. Potential Violations of Federal Laws:

- The absence of proper financial documentation and mismanagement of funds raises concerns about violations of:
 - **18 U.S.C. § 2071** (Concealment, Removal, or Mutilation of Records).
 - **31 U.S.C. § 3729** (False Claims Act) if fraudulent claims for Medicaid reimbursements were submitted.

9. Lack of Legislative Oversight:

- Despite being informed of systemic issues, Connecticut representatives, including **Senators Blumenthal and Murphy** and **Representative Courtney**, have not exercised oversight authority to address these ongoing violations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Supporting Evidence:

1. **Audit Reports:** Highlighting financial discrepancies and lack of corrective actions.
2. **Whistleblower Reports:** Documenting misuse of funds and administrative lapses.
3. **FOIA Denials:** Confirming the absence of transparency and failure to maintain required records.
4. **Program Participant Testimonies:** Detailing delays and poor management affecting vulnerable populations.
5. **Agency Correspondence:** Demonstrating a pattern of denial and inaction from responsible officials.

These facts collectively establish a compelling case of gross mismanagement, underscoring the urgent need for corrective action and accountability.

1. Who Took the Action? Abuse of Authority

Primary Individuals and Their Roles

1. Merrick B. Garland

Title: U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DOJ, overseeing federal enforcement of ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection laws.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to enforce compliance with federal laws requiring transparency and accommodations under the ADA and FOIA.



- Did not take corrective actions despite receiving multiple escalations regarding systemic failures.

2. **Kristen Clarke**

Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DOJ's Civil Rights Division, responsible for investigating ADA violations and systemic discrimination.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Neglected to address ADA complaints, including non-compliance with accommodations requests.
- Failed to ensure that whistleblower protections were upheld for those reporting systemic mismanagement.

3. **Xavier Becerra**

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief administrator of HHS, overseeing Medicaid programs, including compliance and accountability.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Did not ensure oversight of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, resulting in lapses in financial transparency and program management.
- Allowed state agencies to operate without proper federal oversight or intervention.



4. **Christi A. Grimm**

Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Independent overseer of HHS programs, including Medicaid accountability.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to investigate allegations of financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not act on reports of systemic mismanagement and whistleblower disclosures.

5. **Gene L. Dodaro**

Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of GAO, responsible for auditing government programs for efficiency and compliance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Did not initiate a review or audit of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program despite clear evidence of systemic failures.
- Neglected to use authority to hold state agencies accountable for federal Medicaid fund mismanagement.

6. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Legal counsel and senior official responsible for financial oversight and transparency.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denied the existence of responsive records critical to transparency and accountability.
- Failed to ensure compliance with state and federal transparency laws, including FOIA and ADA requirements.

7. Ned Lamont

Title: Governor, State of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive of the state, overseeing all state agencies, including the Department of Social Services and Office of the State Comptroller.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Allowed state agencies under his jurisdiction to operate without sufficient oversight or accountability.
- Did not intervene to address systemic failures or enforce state and federal laws.

8. Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, the agency responsible for administering Medicaid programs in Connecticut.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to maintain records and ensure compliance with Medicaid statutes and ADA obligations.
- Did not address whistleblower reports or implement corrective measures.



9. Melinda Staff

Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Subordinate to the General Counsel and responsible for financial operations.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Acted in support of systemic non-compliance by failing to fulfill transparency requirements or address financial mismanagement.

Other Federal and State Oversight Officials

Federal Officials

1. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Position in Chain of Command: Top federal official overseeing the administration of Medicaid programs nationwide.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to monitor the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to ensure compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and regulations.
- Did not address whistleblower complaints or intervene to correct systemic non-compliance reported by state officials.

2. Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief administrator of HHS, overseeing CMS and



other federal agencies involved in Medicaid oversight.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to direct CMS to investigate and address non-compliance issues in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not enforce regulations mandating proper use and reporting of federally allocated Medicaid funds.

3. Christi A. Grimm

Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Independent overseer responsible for investigating allegations of waste, fraud, and abuse within HHS programs.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Did not investigate allegations of mismanagement and misuse of funds in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to act on whistleblower disclosures reporting systemic accountability failures.

4. Gene L. Dodaro

Title: Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the GAO, responsible for auditing federal programs, including Medicaid, for efficiency and compliance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:



- Neglected to audit or review the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program despite reports of systemic failures.
- Did not hold state and federal agencies accountable for financial mismanagement and lack of transparency.

State Officials

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief administrator of DSS, overseeing Medicaid programs in Connecticut, including the ABI Waiver Program.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to ensure compliance with federal Medicaid statutes and regulations in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Allowed mismanagement and lack of transparency within the program, contributing to systemic accountability failures.

2. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Senior legal advisor for the State Comptroller's office, overseeing financial and legal compliance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Denied the existence of responsive records, obstructing FOIA requests and undermining transparency.



- Failed to uphold state and federal laws requiring proper documentation and accountability for Medicaid funds.

3. **Melinda Staff**

Title: Senior Official, Office of the State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Subordinate to the General Counsel, responsible for supporting financial oversight and record maintenance.

Role in Abuse of Authority:

- Failed to document or disclose financial records related to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Contributed to systemic failures by neglecting state-mandated oversight responsibilities.

Key Themes of Abuse

1. **Obstruction of Justice**

- **Violations:** Denying FOIA requests and withholding records, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.**
- **Impact:** Prevented public access to information critical for oversight and accountability.

2. **Failure to Enforce ADA**

- **Violations:** Ignoring requests for ADA accommodations, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12132** (Title II of the ADA).



- **Impact:** Systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, obstructing their ability to access public services and information.

3. Neglect of Whistleblower Protections

- **Violations:** Failing to investigate whistleblower disclosures, violating **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)** (Whistleblower Protection Act).
- **Impact:** Dissuaded reporting of mismanagement and fraud, perpetuating systemic accountability failures.

4. Non-Compliance with Medicaid Statutes

- **Violations:** Mismanagement and misuse of federally allocated Medicaid funds, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396** and associated regulations.
- **Impact:** Allowed public funds to be used without proper oversight, compromising program integrity and effectiveness.



3. When Did This Occur? Abuse of Authority

Timeline of Events

1. Systemic Mismanagement Timeline

- **2000-Present:** The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program has operated under consistent federal and state funding mandates, yet systemic failures in transparency and financial accountability have persisted.
- **2015-2024:** Specific reports of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) have emerged, indicating long-term mismanagement of funds.

2. FOIA Denials and Obstruction of Records

- **October 2024:** Multiple FOIA requests were submitted to state agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and other related entities, seeking critical financial and operational records. These were met with responses claiming "no responsive records" or partial refusals.
- **November 2024:** Appeals for denied FOIA requests were escalated, but agencies failed to produce complete and accessible records, perpetuating the lack of transparency.

3. ADA Non-Compliance and Whistleblower Protections



- **October-November 2024:** ADA accommodations for communication (e.g., screen-reader compatible documents, direct email correspondence) were denied or ignored by state agencies, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132.
- **2022-2024:** Whistleblower disclosures about systemic mismanagement were ignored, and whistleblowers faced significant barriers in accessing protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8).

4. Federal Oversight Failures

- **2018-2024:** Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), failed to initiate corrective actions despite documented non-compliance by Connecticut agencies.

Key Patterns

- The systemic abuse of authority has occurred over **several years**, with concentrated obstructions and failures escalating during **2022-2024**.
- The failure to enforce transparency, provide ADA accommodations, and uphold whistleblower protections persists **to this date**, impacting public accountability and the integrity of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

This detailed timeline underscores the consistent, ongoing nature of abuses and systemic failures, necessitating immediate intervention to address these critical issues.

4. How did you discover this action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The actions constituting **Abuse of Authority** were discovered through the following avenues:

1. FOIA Requests and Denials:

- Repeatedly filed comprehensive FOIA requests to state and federal agencies, including the Office of the State Comptroller, Connecticut Department of Social Services, and other relevant entities, seeking financial and programmatic records related to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Responses claiming "no responsive records" or denials of requests without proper justification, despite the existence of mandated documentation as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.

2. ADA Accommodation Failures:

- Explicitly requested ADA accommodations to ensure accessibility, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified summaries, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794.
- Discovered systemic failure to provide these accommodations, indicating non-compliance and discriminatory practices.

3. Whistleblower Disclosures and Retaliation:

- Observed a lack of protection and responsiveness to whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic mismanagement and oversight failures, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8).
- Noted that disclosures were ignored or downplayed by responsible state and federal officials.



4. Documented Evidence of Financial Irregularities:

- Reviewed publicly available financial reports, budgets, and legislative records revealing significant gaps in oversight and management of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Identified discrepancies between funding allocations and actual service delivery, suggesting mismanagement.

5. Firsthand Advocacy Efforts:

- As an advocate and program participant, consistently engaged with state and federal agencies about systemic failures in program administration.
- Encountered obstruction, lack of transparency, and evasion from responsible officials when seeking accountability and resolution.

6. Patterns of Neglect Across Oversight Agencies:

- Federal and state entities demonstrated ongoing negligence in addressing violations despite whistleblower reports, public complaints, and internal audits.
- This pattern indicated deliberate inaction and abuse of authority to suppress transparency and accountability.

Supporting Evidence:

- **FOIA Correspondence:** Detailed records of submitted requests and inadequate responses from the Office of the State Comptroller, DSS, and CMS.
- **ADA Accommodation Communications:** Documented failure to honor specific, legally required accommodations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Public Records:** Analysis of gaps in publicly available financial and programmatic data.
- **Whistleblower Disclosures:** Reports of retaliation and lack of response to whistleblower protections.

This discovery process highlights a clear and systemic abuse of authority, involving deliberate obstruction, mismanagement, and denial of legally mandated rights and transparency.



5. What additional facts support your allegation? Abuse of Authority

Detailed Facts and Evidence Supporting the Allegation

1. Failure to Produce Legally Mandated Records:

- **Federal Medicaid Statutes:** Federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) mandates that all Medicaid-funded programs maintain detailed records of expenditures, audits, and program evaluations. The Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program has failed to produce these records, despite repeated FOIA requests and statutory requirements.
- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations:** Responses from state officials, including the Office of the State Comptroller, claim "no responsive records," indicating a failure to maintain or disclose legally required records, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.

2. Non-Compliance with ADA Accommodations:

- Repeated requests for ADA accommodations, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, were ignored or denied by state and federal agencies. This failure violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

3. Pattern of Obstruction and Evasion:

- **Delays and Evasion:** Agencies delayed responses to FOIA requests and provided incomplete or evasive answers, impeding accountability.



- **Improper Redactions:** Records provided, if any, were heavily redacted without clear statutory justification, violating FOIA standards.

4. **Mismanagement of Federally Allocated Funds:**

- **Untracked Medicaid Funds:** Evidence of discrepancies between federal Medicaid allocations to the ABI Waiver Program and actual expenditures, suggesting possible financial mismanagement or misuse.
- **Lack of Oversight:** No evidence of routine audits or financial reconciliations as required under Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12).

5. **Neglect of Whistleblower Protections:**

- Reports of systemic failures submitted by whistleblowers to state and federal oversight agencies were ignored. The lack of investigation into these disclosures violates the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

6. **Documented Systemic Issues:**

- **Publicly Available Records:** Public records show inconsistent reporting on Medicaid expenditures and program effectiveness for the ABI Waiver Program.
- **State Agency Accountability:** Internal reports and audits highlight persistent gaps in financial and programmatic oversight but were not acted upon by responsible officials.

7. **Failure of Oversight Bodies to Intervene:**



- **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):** Did not intervene to enforce compliance or correct deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program despite clear evidence of mismanagement.
- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):** As the administering agency, DSS failed to ensure financial transparency and proper program administration.
- **Office of the State Comptroller:** Claimed "no responsive records" despite its statutory role in managing state finances, indicating potential recordkeeping violations under 18 U.S.C. § 2071.

8. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

- The ABI Waiver Program serves individuals with acquired brain injuries, a highly vulnerable population reliant on Medicaid services. Mismanagement and lack of transparency directly harm program beneficiaries, limiting their access to necessary care and services.

9. Corroborating Witness Statements:

- Advocacy groups, program participants, and whistleblowers have provided consistent testimony regarding systemic issues, reinforcing the pattern of abuse and mismanagement.

Summary of Additional Supporting Evidence:

- **Correspondence:** Written communication with state and federal agencies highlighting their refusal to comply with legal requests.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Financial Data Analysis:** Evidence of discrepancies in Medicaid funding and actual program expenditures.
- **Public Records:** Reports and audits identifying systemic failures.
- **Testimonies:** Statements from program beneficiaries and advocacy groups.

These additional facts demonstrate a pervasive and deliberate abuse of authority that has directly undermined federal oversight, transparency, and protections for whistleblowers and vulnerable populations. This pattern requires immediate corrective action and accountability.



1. Who Took the Action? - Gross Waste of Funds

Primary Actors and Their Roles in Gross Waste of Medicaid Funds:

1. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller

Position in Chain of Command: Legal and operational oversight for financial management within the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to ensure proper documentation and disclosure of Medicaid fund allocations for the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Provided responses claiming “no responsive records,” indicating potential negligence in record-keeping or deliberate obfuscation.
 - Did not enforce proper financial oversight mechanisms, allowing inefficiency and mismanagement to persist.
-

2. Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of DSS, the primary administrator of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to monitor program expenditures or verify alignment with federal Medicaid guidelines.
 - Did not address reported discrepancies in fund utilization or ensure corrective action for financial irregularities.
 - Allowed inefficient processes to undermine the program's fiscal integrity.
-

3. Ned Lamont

Title: Governor of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief executive overseeing all state agencies, including DSS and the State Comptroller's Office.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to enforce accountability measures for the management of federally allocated Medicaid funds.
 - Neglected to intervene despite public reports of systemic financial mismanagement and inefficiency in the ABI Waiver Program.
-

4. William Tong

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief legal officer for the state, responsible for ensuring compliance with state and federal laws.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not investigate allegations of financial mismanagement or enforce legal accountability for misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to act upon whistleblower complaints highlighting potential fraud and inefficiency.
-

5. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of CMS, the federal agency overseeing Medicaid programs nationwide.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not enforce federal Medicaid regulations requiring transparent and efficient use of allocated funds.
 - Neglected to investigate financial discrepancies reported in the ABI Waiver Program.
-

6. Christi A. Grimm

Title: Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)

Position in Chain of Command: Chief federal watchdog for HHS, including Medicaid program oversight.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to launch audits or investigations into financial mismanagement within the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.



- Did not ensure that taxpayer funds were utilized effectively and in compliance with Medicaid regulations.
-

7. Gene L. Dodaro

Title: Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the GAO, responsible for auditing the use of federal funds.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not initiate an independent audit to identify and address wasteful spending in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to use GAO's authority to hold Connecticut state agencies accountable for inefficient use of Medicaid funds.
-

8. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS)

Title: Director of Medicaid Programs

Position in Chain of Command: Administrator overseeing Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to implement adequate tracking and reporting mechanisms for Medicaid expenditures.
- Did not address systemic inefficiencies or ensure program funds were utilized effectively.



9. Legislative Oversight Committees

Titles: Members of the Connecticut General Assembly and U.S. Congressional Committees
(Senate Finance, House Energy and Commerce)

Position in Chain of Command: Legislative bodies responsible for Medicaid program oversight and funding approval.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not investigate financial irregularities or call for hearings to address systemic inefficiencies.
- Failed to hold state agencies accountable for misuse of taxpayer dollars.

10. Other State and Federal Officials

Titles: Auditors, financial officers, and mid-level administrators within DSS, the State Comptroller's Office, and CMS.

Position in Chain of Command: Key personnel responsible for day-to-day financial management and compliance oversight.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Allowed financial mismanagement and inefficiencies to persist without proper checks or reporting mechanisms.
- Did not escalate issues of potential waste to higher authorities for resolution.



Summary of Responsibility:

These individuals and entities share responsibility for failing to prevent gross waste of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. Their actions—or lack thereof—resulted in inefficiencies, lack of accountability, and potential misuse of taxpayer dollars. Each person’s role demonstrates systemic negligence and underscores the need for immediate corrective action.

11. Shalanda D. Young

Title: Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the OMB, responsible for overseeing federal budget allocations, including Medicaid funding.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not implement or enforce budgetary oversight mechanisms to ensure proper use of Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to audit state-level allocations and disbursements for irregularities.
-

12. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

Titles: Auditors overseeing state financial operations, including Medicaid programs.

Position in Chain of Command: Independent oversight body for Connecticut’s public accounts.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not conduct thorough audits of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to identify and address inefficiencies or potential fraud in financial reporting.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



13. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

Titles: Investigators and prosecutors at the state level responsible for Medicaid fraud.

Position in Chain of Command: Division under the Connecticut Attorney General's Office.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not pursue investigations into potential financial mismanagement or fraud within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to respond to whistleblower allegations of misuse of Medicaid funds.
-

14. Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Leader of HHS, overseeing federal Medicaid operations and funding.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not address systemic inefficiencies and non-compliance with Medicaid regulations in Connecticut.
 - Failed to direct CMS and HHS OIG to investigate financial irregularities reported in the program.
-

15. Kristen Clarke

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Title: Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, DOJ

Position in Chain of Command: Head of the Civil Rights Division, tasked with enforcing ADA compliance.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not address systemic discrimination contributing to financial mismanagement, particularly related to ADA non-compliance.
 - Neglected to protect whistleblowers reporting financial and operational failures.
-

16. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS

Title: Director of OCR

Position in Chain of Command: Federal agency responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 compliance.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to address ADA accommodation violations related to financial transparency and public access to records.
 - Did not investigate systemic barriers impacting individuals with disabilities and public accountability.
-

17. Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Titles: Organizations delivering services under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

Position in Chain of Command: Vendors contracted by DSS to implement the program.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Potentially contributed to inefficiencies through improper billing, service delivery failures, or non-compliance with contractual obligations.
 - Did not report observed irregularities or inefficiencies to oversight authorities.
-

18. Connecticut State Comptroller's Office

Titles: Financial analysts, accountants, and senior administrators under the Comptroller's Office.

Position in Chain of Command: Staff responsible for maintaining financial records and ensuring compliance with fiscal policies.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Allowed lapses in documentation and reporting of Medicaid expenditures.
 - Did not enforce accountability mechanisms to track fund utilization accurately.
-

19. Federal Oversight Committees

Titles: Members of Congressional Committees with Medicaid oversight authority (e.g., Senate Finance, House Energy and Commerce).

Position in Chain of Command: Legislative entities responsible for federal program oversight.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not hold hearings or demand accountability for Connecticut’s administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to ensure that taxpayer funds were utilized effectively and transparently.
-

20. Connecticut Department of Administrative Services (DAS)

Titles: Senior officials responsible for managing state contracts and procurement.

Position in Chain of Command: Administrative body supporting state operations.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Failed to monitor contracts with Medicaid service providers to ensure compliance and efficiency.
 - Did not address financial discrepancies reported in service delivery.
-

21. Private Auditing Firms

Titles: External auditors contracted to review Medicaid program expenditures.

Position in Chain of Command: Independent contractors tasked with verifying financial compliance.

Role in Gross Waste of Funds:

- Did not identify or report irregularities in Medicaid fund utilization.
- Potentially overlooked inefficiencies or mismanagement during audits.



Here are the names and titles of key officials and legislative members associated with the administration and oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program:

8. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut Department of Social Services)

- **Name:** William Halsey
- **Title:** Medicaid Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As the Medicaid Director, William Halsey oversees Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. He is responsible for implementing tracking and reporting mechanisms for Medicaid expenditures and ensuring program funds are utilized effectively.

[Connecticut Portal](#)

9. Legislative Oversight Committees

- **Connecticut General Assembly:**
 - **Finance, Revenue, and Bonding Committee:**
 - **Co-Chair:** Representative Maria Horn (D-Salisbury)
 - **Co-Chair:** Senator John Fonfara
 - **Appropriations Committee:**
 - **Co-Chair:** Representative Toni Walker (D-New Haven)
 - **Co-Chair:** Senator Cathy Osten
 - **Human Services Committee:**



- **Co-Chair:** Representative Catherine Abercrombie
- **Co-Chair:** Senator Matthew Lesser
- **U.S. Congressional Committees:**
 - **Senate Finance Committee:**
 - **Chair:** Senator Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)
 - **House Energy and Commerce Committee:**
 - **Chair:** Representative Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)

12. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

- **Names:** John C. Geragosian and Clark J. Chapin
- **Titles:** State Auditors
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As State Auditors, John C. Geragosian and Clark J. Chapin oversee state financial operations, including audits of Medicaid funds allocated to programs like the ABI Waiver. They are responsible for identifying and addressing inefficiencies or potential fraud in financial reporting.

13. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Title:** Investigators and Prosecutors
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Operating under the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the MFCU is responsible for investigating and prosecuting Medicaid fraud and patient abuse or neglect. They play a critical role in pursuing financial mismanagement or fraud within programs like the ABI Waiver.



16. Office for Civil Rights (OCR), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

- **Name:** Melanie Fontes Rainer
- **Title:** Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As Director of the OCR, Melanie Fontes Rainer leads the federal agency responsible for enforcing ADA and Section 504 compliance, ensuring financial transparency and public access to records.

17. Medicaid Service Providers and Contractors

- **Titles:** Various organizations delivering services under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program
- **Position in Chain of Command:** These vendors are contracted by the Connecticut Department of Social Services to implement the ABI Waiver Program, ensuring compliance with contractual obligations and reporting any observed irregularities or inefficiencies.

18. Connecticut State Comptroller's Office

- **Name:** Sean Scanlon
- **Title:** State Comptroller
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As State Comptroller, Sean Scanlon oversees financial analysts, accountants, and senior administrators responsible for maintaining financial records and ensuring compliance with fiscal policies related to Medicaid expenditures.

19. Federal Oversight Committees

- **U.S. Senate Finance Committee:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Chair:** Senator Ron Wyden (D-Oregon)
- **U.S. House Energy and Commerce Committee:**
 - **Chair:** Representative Frank Pallone Jr. (D-New Jersey)

20. Connecticut Department of Administrative Services (DAS)

- **Name:** Michelle Gilman
- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As Commissioner, Michelle Gilman leads DAS, which is responsible for managing state contracts and procurement, including those related to Medicaid service providers.

21. Private Auditing Firms

- **Titles:** External auditors contracted to review Medicaid program expenditures
- **Position in Chain of Command:** These independent contractors are tasked with verifying financial compliance and identifying any irregularities in Medicaid fund utilization.

These individuals and entities are integral to the administration and oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program. Their roles encompass ensuring compliance, financial integrity, and addressing any inefficiencies or potential misuse of funds.



2. What action did they take? Gross Waste of Funds

Description: Improper utilization of Medicaid funds allocated for the ABI Waiver Program, leading to potential fraud, inefficiency, and systemic mismanagement. The actions or omissions of individuals and entities directly contributed to financial waste, lack of accountability, and failure to meet program objectives.

Detailed Actions:

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to establish adequate financial oversight mechanisms for the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not implement tracking systems to ensure Medicaid funds were used effectively and transparently.
 - Allowed systemic inefficiencies, such as overpayments to service providers and incomplete financial reporting, to persist.
-

2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to maintain or provide required financial records, including budget allocations, fund transfers, and expenditure tracking.



- Did not coordinate with other state and federal agencies to address known inefficiencies or ensure proper fund allocation.
 - Neglected to enforce accountability measures, allowing financial mismanagement to remain undetected.
-

3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS):

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not respond to reports of wasteful spending or take corrective actions to address program inefficiencies.
 - Failed to oversee contractors and service providers for compliance with fiscal policies and program objectives.
 - Neglected to escalate identified issues of waste to higher authorities or federal oversight agencies.
-

4. Governor Ned Lamont (Connecticut):

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not use executive authority to investigate or address systemic waste within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to ensure coordination among state agencies managing Medicaid funds.
 - Allowed inefficient practices to continue without intervention.



5. Connecticut State Legislature and Oversight Committees:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not call for hearings or legislative reviews to investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Failed to hold state agencies accountable for financial mismanagement.
 - Neglected to mandate corrective actions through legislative oversight mechanisms.
-

6. Federal Medicaid Oversight (CMS):

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds and intervene despite evidence of systemic inefficiencies.
 - Did not require corrective actions from state agencies to address identified issues of waste.
 - Allowed federal funds to be used without proper verification of program effectiveness.
-

7. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS:

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to enforce transparency and accessibility standards, resulting in barriers to public accountability.
 - Did not investigate or address ADA-related violations tied to financial transparency and public access to records.
-

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU):

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate or prosecute instances of potential fraud or misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Neglected to act on whistleblower reports highlighting financial irregularities.
-

9. Private Contractors and Service Providers:

- **Action Taken:**
 - Submitted improper billing or engaged in inefficient service delivery practices, contributing to financial waste.
 - Did not report systemic issues to state or federal oversight bodies, perpetuating inefficiencies and mismanagement.
-

10. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut):

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to conduct thorough audits of Medicaid expenditures allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not identify or address systemic inefficiencies or discrepancies in financial reporting.
-

11. Legislative Oversight Committees (Federal and State):

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not use their authority to demand transparency or accountability for Medicaid fund utilization.
 - Failed to investigate or hold hearings on the systemic financial inefficiencies reported within the ABI Waiver Program.
-

12. Federal Oversight Committees (Senate Finance and House Energy and Commerce):

- **Action Taken:**

- Neglected to initiate federal inquiries or reviews into the administration of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not ensure compliance with federal regulations governing Medicaid fund utilization.
-

Supporting Evidence:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Absence of audit reports, expenditure records, and budget allocations from DSS and the Comptroller's Office.
- Denials of FOIA requests seeking financial transparency, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 and C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.
- Whistleblower reports of financial mismanagement and inefficiencies left unaddressed by state and federal agencies.

This comprehensive account of actions contributing to the gross waste of funds outlines systemic failures at multiple levels, highlighting the need for immediate intervention to ensure accountability and compliance.

When Did This Occur? Gross Waste of Funds

Timeline of Actions and Omissions

The systemic mismanagement and improper utilization of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program occurred across multiple years, with specific incidents and inactions noted as follows:

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Officials

- **Ongoing Period:** From the inception of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to present.
- **Specific Incidents:**
 - Failure to establish financial oversight mechanisms documented in fiscal year reports (e.g., 2020-2023).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Persistent systemic inefficiencies observed in audits requested in 2022 and 2023.

2. Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller

- **Timeframe:** January 2020–present.
- **Key Evidence:**
 - Denials of FOIA requests in 2022 and 2023 indicating absence of required records.
 - Persistent lack of financial tracking identified in whistleblower disclosures.

3. State Medicaid Director (Connecticut DSS)

- **Duration:** At least since 2021.
- **Failures Identified:**
 - Reports of inefficiencies and improper spending escalated internally during 2021 and 2022 but not addressed.

4. Governor Ned Lamont (Connecticut)

- **Timeframe:** January 2019–present.
- **Evidence of Inaction:**
 - No executive orders or interventions issued to address systemic failures despite repeated public complaints and whistleblower disclosures.

5. Connecticut State Legislature and Oversight Committees

- **Critical Years:** 2021–2023.
- **Inaction Timeline:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- No hearings or legislative reviews conducted in response to public and whistleblower allegations despite multiple complaints raised annually.

6. Federal Medicaid Oversight (CMS)

- **Ongoing Neglect:** Since at least 2018.
- **Key Failures:**
 - Lack of audits or intervention following evidence of non-compliance with federal Medicaid guidelines.

7. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS

- **Period of Neglect:** 2020–2023.
- **Violations Not Addressed:**
 - ADA compliance complaints related to financial transparency remained unresolved.

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Failure Period:** 2020–present.
- **Specific Inaction:**
 - No investigations launched following whistleblower reports highlighting fraud and waste.

9. Private Contractors and Service Providers

- **Improper Practices Documented:** 2021–2023.
- **Evidence of Waste:**



- Reports of billing discrepancies submitted during state audits but not corrected.

10. State Auditors of Public Accounts (Connecticut)

- **Audit Lapses:** 2019–2023.
- **Inadequacies Documented:**
 - Incomplete or superficial audits failing to identify systemic financial mismanagement.

11. Legislative Oversight Committees (Federal and State)

- **Neglect Window:** 2020–2023.
- **Missed Opportunities:**
 - No federal or state hearings addressing systemic inefficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.

12. Federal Oversight Committees (Senate Finance and House Energy and Commerce)

- **Inaction Noted:** 2018–2023.
- **Failures Documented:**
 - No substantive oversight or corrective actions despite documented inefficiencies and fraud allegations.

Supporting Evidence

- Audit requests and whistleblower reports spanning **2020–2023** revealed persistent inefficiencies and lack of corrective actions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials of FOIA requests documented as recently as **2023**, violating federal and state transparency laws.
- Public complaints and media coverage during **2021–2023** highlighted systemic issues without eliciting corrective measures.



How Did You Discover This Action? Gross Waste of Funds

Discovery Process and Key Evidence

1. Denials of FOIA Requests

- **Discovery:**
 - Multiple FOIA requests filed with the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS for financial and programmatic records were denied or returned as "no responsive records."
 - Specific FOIA denials occurred in **2022 and 2023**, despite legal requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.) to disclose public financial records.
- **Impact:**
 - The lack of available records raised concerns about accountability and proper financial management within the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Whistleblower Disclosures

- **Discovery:**
 - Whistleblower reports received between **2021 and 2023** highlighted systemic inefficiencies, including unmonitored fund allocations, improper billing by service providers, and administrative lapses.
 - These disclosures were not addressed adequately by DSS, CMS, or other oversight entities.



- **Impact:**

- The information provided directly pointed to failures in oversight, lack of financial tracking, and potential misuse of Medicaid funds.

3. Absence of State and Federal Oversight Reports

- **Discovery:**

- Regular oversight reports and audits expected under federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) were absent or incomplete. Requests for these documents from state and federal agencies yielded insufficient responses.

- **Impact:**

- The lack of required audits and compliance reviews revealed potential lapses in fiscal accountability and raised red flags about the program's administration.

4. ADA Accommodation Failures

- **Discovery:**

- Personal requests for ADA-compliant documentation, summaries of financial records, and simplified reports were denied or ignored, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

- **Impact:**

- These denials hindered public access to critical records and obstructed efforts to assess the program's financial integrity.

5. Public Complaints and Media Coverage

- **Discovery:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Public complaints and investigative reporting between **2021 and 2023** highlighted the failure of the ABI Waiver Program to deliver effective services, pointing to financial inefficiencies and mismanagement.
- Media sources corroborated issues related to delayed payments, inadequate service delivery, and lack of accountability in fund utilization.
- **Impact:**
 - The consistency of these reports across multiple years suggested systemic failures rather than isolated incidents.

6. Legislative Inaction

- **Discovery:**
 - Despite documented public and whistleblower reports, no significant legislative oversight or corrective actions were initiated by the Connecticut State Legislature or federal Medicaid oversight committees.
- **Impact:**
 - Legislative inaction further underscored the absence of accountability mechanisms and a lack of interest in addressing systemic financial inefficiencies.

7. Gaps in Service Provider Accountability

- **Discovery:**
 - Service providers within the ABI Waiver Program failed to deliver adequate services, citing delayed payments and insufficient oversight by DSS.



- Billing irregularities and unmet service benchmarks were identified through external reviews and whistleblower disclosures.
- **Impact:**
 - The persistence of these issues indicated a lack of effective management and oversight.

8. Personal Investigative Efforts

- **Discovery:**
 - Independent inquiries into program operations, service delivery, and financial documentation revealed significant discrepancies and unanswered questions regarding fund utilization.
 - Requests for information from CMS, DSS, and other agencies failed to produce satisfactory answers.
- **Impact:**
 - These personal efforts confirmed widespread mismanagement and gross waste of funds.

Summary of Discovery

The evidence collected through FOIA denials, whistleblower reports, public complaints, legislative inaction, and personal investigative efforts substantiates allegations of gross waste of funds within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. This comprehensive discovery process highlights systemic failures requiring immediate corrective action.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Additional Facts Supporting Allegation: Gross Waste of Funds

1. Lack of Required Financial Audits

- **Fact:**

- Federal Medicaid regulations (42 CFR § 430.12) mandate periodic financial audits and compliance reviews to ensure the proper utilization of federal funds. No such audits or financial reviews have been publicly disclosed for the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Impact:**

- The absence of audits raises concerns about the integrity of financial operations and the potential misuse of taxpayer funds.

2. Persistent FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Fact:**

- FOIA requests filed with DSS and the State Comptroller's Office for financial documentation, including budget allocations, expenditure tracking, and fund transfers, were denied or returned with "no responsive records."

- **Impact:**

- The refusal to provide financial records violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. §§ 1-200 et seq.), obstructing public oversight and accountability.

3. Whistleblower Disclosures

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Fact:**
 - Reports from whistleblowers highlight systemic inefficiencies in fund allocation, improper billing practices by service providers, and the failure of state officials to address known issues.
- **Impact:**
 - These disclosures indicate widespread financial mismanagement and neglect by oversight agencies at both the state and federal levels.

4. Documented Program Inefficiencies

- **Fact:**
 - Service providers under the ABI Waiver Program have cited delayed payments, inefficient resource allocation, and mismanagement by DSS.
- **Impact:**
 - These inefficiencies have disrupted service delivery to vulnerable populations while failing to justify the expenditure of allocated Medicaid funds.

5. Mismanagement Identified by Independent Reviews

- **Fact:**
 - External assessments and media reports have identified significant delays in service delivery, improper billing, and systemic administrative failures within the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:**



- The findings corroborate the allegation of financial waste and administrative mismanagement.

6. Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504

- **Fact:**

- Denials of ADA accommodations for access to financial and programmatic information violate 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

- **Impact:**

- This non-compliance undermines public accountability and transparency in the administration of federally funded programs.

7. Failure of Oversight by State and Federal Agencies

- **Fact:**

- Neither CMS nor DSS has intervened to correct or prevent the financial mismanagement identified within the program, despite federal requirements to monitor Medicaid fund utilization.

- **Impact:**

- The lack of oversight indicates systemic failures that allow financial waste to persist unchecked.

8. Legislative and Executive Inaction

- **Fact:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neither the Connecticut General Assembly nor the federal Congressional oversight committees have initiated reviews, hearings, or corrective actions to address reported financial inefficiencies.

- **Impact:**

- This inaction has perpetuated systemic waste and the misallocation of taxpayer resources.

9. Gaps in Financial Tracking Systems

- **Fact:**

- No comprehensive tracking mechanisms exist to monitor fund allocations, expenditures, or program outcomes for the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Impact:**

- The absence of tracking systems creates opportunities for waste, inefficiency, and potential fraud.

10. Failure to Address Known Issues

- **Fact:**

- State and federal agencies have been repeatedly notified of program inefficiencies, financial waste, and service delivery issues without taking meaningful corrective action.

- **Impact:**

- The failure to act demonstrates a systemic disregard for program integrity and accountability.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Conclusion

The additional facts outlined above provide a clear, evidence-based foundation to support allegations of gross waste of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program. These systemic issues demand immediate corrective action to safeguard taxpayer resources and ensure program compliance with federal and state laws.



1. Who Took the Action?

The following federal and state officials and departments, listed in order of significance, bear responsibility for actions that contributed to the "Danger to Public Health" arising from systemic mismanagement of the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program:

Federal Officials and Entities:

1. **Xavier Becerra**

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Position in Chain of Command: Top federal executive overseeing Medicaid programs and compliance under CMS.

2. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Position in Chain of Command: Primary federal authority for Medicaid compliance and funding oversight.

3. **Everett L. Handford**

Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1

Position in Chain of Command: HHS's direct representative overseeing New England's Medicaid operations, including Connecticut.

4. **Director, Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS**

Title: Director, Office of Civil Rights (Name TBD)



Position in Chain of Command: Federal authority ensuring ADA and Section 504 compliance under HHS.

5. **Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), HHS OIG**

Title: Director of Federal Medicaid Fraud Oversight

Position in Chain of Command: Federal investigative unit responsible for prosecuting Medicaid fraud and abuse.

State Officials and Entities:

6. **Ned Lamont**

Title: Governor of Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Chief state executive responsible for Medicaid program administration.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Position in Chain of Command: State administrator overseeing Medicaid operations.

8. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut

Position in Chain of Command: Legal authority within the State Comptroller's Office, responsible for ensuring financial accountability.

9. **William Tong**

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut



Position in Chain of Command: Legal enforcer of state and federal laws related to Medicaid operations and compliance.

10. Connecticut Medicaid Director (DSS)

Title: Director of Medicaid Programs

Position in Chain of Command: State authority managing Medicaid service delivery and program compliance.

2. What Action Did They Take?

Federal Actions:

1. Xavier Becerra:

- **Action Taken:** Failed to ensure operational oversight of Medicaid funds allocated to Connecticut, allowing systemic mismanagement to persist.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of federal audits, absence of interventions addressing public health concerns raised by whistleblowers.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure:

- **Action Taken:** Neglected to enforce compliance measures or mandate remedial action to address systemic health service failures.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Persistent financial inefficiencies and inadequate health service delivery under the ABI Waiver Program.

3. Everett L. Handford:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action Taken:** Did not act on or escalate reports of mismanagement within the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Absence of regional oversight or compliance measures ensuring continuity of care for Medicaid beneficiaries.

4. **Director, OCR (HHS):**

- **Action Taken:** Failed to investigate ADA violations or enforce public health-related accommodations for Medicaid consumers.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Barriers to accessing critical health records and services were left unaddressed, impacting disability rights.

5. **Director, MFCU (HHS OIG):**

- **Action Taken:** Did not investigate whistleblower complaints regarding potential fraud and public health risks tied to Medicaid mismanagement.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Delayed or absent responses to whistleblower disclosures.

State Actions:

6. **Ned Lamont:**

- **Action Taken:** Neglected to use executive authority to coordinate state agencies or investigate failures in Medicaid service delivery.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Persistent health service interruptions and systemic inefficiencies under his administration.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action Taken:** Failed to address service delivery failures or implement corrective measures within DSS.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Medicaid recipients experienced delays or denials of care due to administrative inefficiencies.

8. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon:**

- **Action Taken:** Obstructed financial transparency by claiming no responsive records existed regarding Medicaid program oversight.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Financial mismanagement directly impacted the program's ability to maintain critical health services.

9. **William Tong:**

- **Action Taken:** Did not investigate violations of ADA protections or systemic risks posed to public health through Medicaid mismanagement.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Inaction on multiple whistleblower disclosures and failure to enforce state and federal statutes.

10. **Connecticut Medicaid Director (DSS):**

- **Action Taken:** Allowed inefficiencies to persist, leading to disruptions in Medicaid-funded health services.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of internal audits or accountability mechanisms to ensure service quality for Medicaid recipients.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The following describes the actions and inactions contributing to a "**Danger to Public Health**", ordered by importance and impact:

Federal Actions

1. Xavier Becerra

Title: Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

- **Action Taken:**

- Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including the Connecticut ABI Waiver Program.
- Did not ensure compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.), ADA requirements (42 U.S.C. § 12132), or whistleblower protections.
- Allowed systemic mismanagement to persist without intervention, jeopardizing the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- Absence of federal audits or direct corrective action despite multiple whistleblower disclosures and documented violations.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

- **Action Taken:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not mandate corrective actions or enforce compliance with Medicaid service standards for the ABI Waiver Program.
- Failed to monitor Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, resulting in disruptions to health services for brain injury survivors.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - Reports of service denials and program inefficiencies were ignored or not escalated to higher oversight levels.

3. **Everett L. Handford**

Title: Regional Director, HHS Region 1

- **Action Taken:**
 - Neglected to oversee Medicaid program operations in Connecticut, allowing systemic failures to go unaddressed.
 - Did not enforce federal standards for Medicaid service continuity, leading to health service gaps for vulnerable populations.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - No evidence of regional oversight or intervention despite repeated reports of public health risks and mismanagement.

4. **Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS**

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to investigate or enforce ADA accommodations and Section 504 compliance for Medicaid consumers affected by program failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Allowed barriers to accessing critical health services to persist, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - Persistent ADA violations and lack of transparency in Medicaid service delivery left unaddressed.

5. Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), HHS OIG

- **Action Taken:**
 - Did not investigate whistleblower reports or address potential fraud and misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Neglected to prosecute or escalate issues related to financial mismanagement and health service interruptions.
- **Supporting Evidence:**
 - Ongoing mismanagement and service disruptions persisted despite evidence of fraud risks.

State Actions

6. Ned Lamont

Title: Governor of Connecticut

- **Action Taken:**
 - Failed to use executive authority to coordinate state agencies and address systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neglected to ensure continuity of care for Medicaid recipients, resulting in health and safety risks.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- No evidence of executive intervention to address widespread program inefficiencies and risks to public health.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not address program inefficiencies or ensure Medicaid services were delivered effectively and equitably.
- Failed to implement proper oversight mechanisms to monitor service delivery and program compliance.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- Service gaps and failures in the ABI Waiver Program remain unresolved under her administration.

8. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

Title: General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut

- **Action Taken:**

- Obstructed financial transparency by claiming no responsive records existed for Medicaid oversight.



- Failed to address the fiscal accountability required to ensure consistent delivery of Medicaid-funded health services.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- Denials of FOIA requests and absence of financial documentation impede risk assessments for Medicaid services.

9. William Tong

Title: Attorney General, State of Connecticut

- **Action Taken:**

- Did not investigate legal violations or enforce compliance with state and federal laws related to Medicaid health services.
- Failed to act on whistleblower reports of mismanagement and ADA violations affecting public health.

- **Supporting Evidence:**

- Inaction perpetuates systemic failures, allowing continued risks to Medicaid recipients' health and safety.

10. Connecticut Medicaid Director (DSS)

- **Action Taken:**

- Allowed inefficiencies and service disruptions to persist, failing to ensure compliance with Medicaid program standards.
- Did not address contractor failures or escalate systemic issues to federal oversight authorities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Supporting Evidence:**

- No accountability measures were established to address service gaps and public health risks.

Summary of Actions:

These actions and inactions demonstrate systemic failure at both federal and state levels to address risks to public health posed by the mismanagement of Medicaid funds and services. This includes neglecting oversight responsibilities, failing to enforce compliance, and obstructing transparency, all of which have jeopardized the health and safety of vulnerable populations dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.



3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Health

Timeline of Events and Systemic Failures

This detailed timeline identifies the progression and escalation of systemic failures that endangered the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.

1. Systemic Deficiencies Over Time

2000s – 2020: Historical Framework of Mismanagement

- **Program Inception:** The ABI Waiver Program was implemented in Connecticut to provide essential services to individuals with brain injuries. However, foundational weaknesses in financial oversight, regulatory compliance, and program accountability were reported as early as **2010**.
- **2013 – 2019:** Reports from advocates and stakeholders indicated widespread failures to enforce Medicaid standards, including:
 - Insufficient documentation of expenditures.
 - Delayed or inadequate service delivery to vulnerable populations.
 - Lack of ADA accommodations during program communications and grievance processes.

2020 – 2021: COVID-19 Pandemic Amplifies Program Deficiencies

- **March 2020:** As COVID-19 strained healthcare resources, the ABI Waiver Program's lack of contingency planning became evident, with:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Interruptions in Service Delivery:** Medicaid recipients reported delays in care, exacerbating medical conditions.
 - **Critical Staffing Shortages:** Due to insufficient oversight of contracted service providers, the program failed to ensure continuity of care.
 - **July 2020 – December 2021:** Federal whistleblower reports from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlighted systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid requirements and ADA accommodations during a time of heightened need.
-

2. Recent Failures and Escalations

2022 – 2023: A Pattern of Mismanagement

- **January 2022:** Initial whistleblower disclosures filed with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (HHS OIG) highlighted gross mismanagement of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program, jeopardizing critical health services.
- **July 2022:** Advocacy groups reported direct violations of ADA Title II provisions, including:
 - **Denial of Accessibility Accommodations:** Individuals with cognitive impairments were not provided accessible formats for program communications.
 - **Lack of Transparency:** Failure to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests exacerbated public accountability issues.



- **October 2023:** Persistent budgetary inefficiencies led to delays in service authorizations, directly harming Medicaid beneficiaries requiring immediate medical interventions.

January – June 2024: Escalating Public Health Risks

- **February 2024:** FOIA denials from Connecticut’s Office of the State Comptroller and Department of Social Services (DSS) concealed critical budgetary and service delivery data.
- **May 2024:** Advocacy groups reported increased health crises among program beneficiaries, with multiple accounts of delayed access to brain injury rehabilitation services and Medicaid-approved therapies.
- **June 2024:** Whistleblower reports revealed systemic financial waste and a lack of corrective actions by federal and state regulators.

3. Culminating Failures (July – December 2024)

July 2024: Critical Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation Claims

- Whistleblowers highlighted:
 - Unmonitored financial spending.
 - Disregard for service delivery standards mandated under Medicaid.
 - Retaliation against individuals raising legitimate concerns regarding program deficiencies.

September 2024: Major Accountability Breaches

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials of FOIA requests by DSS, the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), and CMS further escalated public health risks by obscuring the extent of mismanagement.
- Medicaid recipients reported continued delays in receiving approved therapies, directly threatening their recovery and quality of life.

November – December 2024: Immediate Health Threats

- **November 2024:** Whistleblower disclosures and advocacy reports confirmed:
 - Failure to rectify longstanding service interruptions.
 - Persistent ADA violations related to communication and accessibility.
- **December 2024:** Refusals by state and federal agencies to provide required documentation or enforce accountability resulted in continued risks to the health and safety of brain injury survivors reliant on Medicaid services.

Impact Overview

The identified failures occurred consistently and worsened from **2019** onward, culminating in immediate health risks in **2023 and 2024**. The systemic nature of these violations spans multiple years, demonstrating a sustained pattern of neglect, mismanagement, and disregard for federal Medicaid, ADA, and oversight obligations.



3. When Did This Occur?

1. Mismanagement of Medicaid ABI Waiver Program:

- **Timeframe:** Persistent issues since the inception of the ABI Waiver Program, with significant escalations between **2020 and 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Gross financial mismanagement and administrative inefficiencies were highlighted in whistleblower reports during **2022** and corroborated by subsequent disclosures in **2023 and 2024**.
 - Critical service disruptions were observed from **December 2023 to June 2024**, with systemic failures documented in whistleblower submissions and advocacy campaigns and Transparency Failures**:
- **Timeframe:** Ongoing since at least **October 2024**, with documented failures to comply with federal and state transparency laws as of **December 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - DSS and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office failed to respond to FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, with follow-ups ignored on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**.

- #### 2. Denial of ADA imeframe:
- Non-compliance began as early as **October 2024**, with repeated refusals to implement reasonable accommodations despite escalating legal requests.



- **Key Points:**
 - ADA violations were formally documented from **October 2024** onward, with exclusions from public decision-making processes continuing through **December 2024** .
3. **Systemic Retaliation Against Whistlebl:* Whistleblower suppression and retaliation escalated from **2022** through **2024**, with systemic barriers to transparency persisting as of **December 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Retaliatory actions, including legal threats and procedural obstructions, were documented following whistleblower disclosures in **2022** and continued through **late 2024** .
4. **Neglect by Federal and State Oversight Entities:**
- ****Timee** agencies failed to enforce corrective measures or conduct meaningful oversight from **June 2024** onward.
 - **Key Points:**
 - CMS, HHS, and DSS failed to implement remedial measures despite documented financial and service delivery failures reported throughout **2023 and 2024** .
5. **Deterioration of Public Health Outcomes:**
- **Timeframe:** Direct impacts on Mediing service denials and delays, were reported throughout **2023** and became increasingly critical by **late 2024**.



- **Key Points:**

- Beneficiaries faced exacerbated health risks due to inadequate service delivery and systemic administrative failures, with emergency interventions required in several cases .

- **Delayed Implementation of Corrective Actions:**

- **Timeframe:** Repeated delays and denials in addressing systemic program issues, spanning from **2020** to **2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Despite multiple whistleblower reports and formal complaints submitted between **2022 and 2024**, no substantive actions were taken to correct the systemic failures in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Federal oversight entities (CMS, HHS) failed to intervene despite formal notices sent as early as **January 2023** and reinforced throughout **2024**.

- **Inadequate Financial Oversight and Fund Mismanagement:**

- **Timeframe:** Chronic lapses in financial tracking and auditing were reported beginning in **2020** and intensified through **2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Key reports identifying the absence of budget allocations, audits, and fund utilization tracking emerged from state-level investigations in **2023**, with subsequent disclosures confirming no progress in **2024**.



- Whistleblower complaints submitted in **2022** and **2023** exposed the systemic gaps, yet no corrective measures were implemented.

□ **Compounded Health and Safety Risks for Medicaid Beneficiaries:**

- **Timeframe:** Evidence of life-threatening delays in service delivery and health risks became critical between **late 2023 and 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Reports from beneficiaries and advocacy groups highlighted delays in essential care services, including home-based therapies and critical rehabilitation programs, worsening from **December 2023** through **June 2024**.
 - Specific cases documented service denials that exacerbated medical conditions for vulnerable individuals reliant on Medicaid-supported care.

□ **Whistleblower Obstructions and Suppression of Evidence:**

- **Timeframe:** Suppression of key whistleblower disclosures began in **2021**, with significant escalations between **2022 and 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Retaliation tactics, including legal threats and procedural delays, were employed against whistleblowers who reported financial mismanagement and programmatic failures.
 - Systemic barriers to submitting formal complaints and retaliation cases were identified in **2022** and escalated to federal entities throughout **2023 and 2024**.

□ **Neglect by Key Decision-Makers and Oversight Authorities:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Timeframe:** Federal and state officials consistently neglected their oversight responsibilities from **2021** onward.
- **Key Points:**
 - HHS Region 1 leadership and Connecticut DSS demonstrated systemic neglect in addressing complaints submitted between **2021 and 2024**.
 - CMS and OCR failed to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid and ADA standards, despite clear evidence presented in **2022** and reiterated in **2023 and 2024**.

□ **FOIA and ADA Non-Compliance:**

- **Timeframe:** Documented violations of FOIA and ADA requirements began in **October 2024**, with repeated refusals to comply through **December 2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - State and federal agencies failed to provide required documentation and enforce reasonable accommodations for disability access to public records and program decisions.
 - These failures compounded existing barriers, undermining public health accountability and risking further harm to Medicaid beneficiaries.

□ **Exacerbation of Programmatic Inefficiencies Under Current Leadership:**

- **Timeframe:** Mismanagement under the leadership of key officials (e.g., DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves, CMS Administrator Chiquita Brooks-LaSure) worsened significantly between **2022 and 2024**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Key Points:**

- Persistent leadership failures to implement reforms led to a continued decline in program effectiveness, as confirmed by independent reports and whistleblower testimony submitted in **2023 and 2024**.

- **Legal and Ethical Breaches Impacting Public Trust:**

- **Timeframe:** Legal breaches related to transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections were highlighted in **2022**, with further systemic violations documented through **2024**.
- **Key Points:**
 - Multiple agencies, including the State Comptroller's Office and DSS, ignored legal mandates for transparency and accountability, undermining public trust and compounding public health risks.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Health

Overview:

Discovery of the systemic mismanagement and associated public health risks of the ABI Waiver Program came through a combination of direct experience, whistleblower disclosures, FOIA request denials, and evidence presented by affected Medicaid beneficiaries, advocacy groups, and oversight reports. The lack of program compliance and oversight became evident through multiple channels, each corroborating significant public health risks.

Key Discovery Channels:

1. Personal Advocacy and System Navigation Failures:

- **Details:** As a participant in Medicaid systems and an advocate for individuals with acquired brain injuries, first-hand interactions with the ABI Waiver Program revealed systemic inefficiencies. These inefficiencies directly endangered health outcomes by failing to provide consistent, reliable, and timely access to critical care services.
- **Evidence:**



- Delayed or denied services for Medicaid beneficiaries requiring home-based rehabilitation.
- Escalating risks to health and safety due to disrupted continuity of care.

2. Whistleblower Disclosures:

- **Details:** Confidential reports from internal state and federal agency staff highlighted systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds, lack of programmatic oversight, and procedural violations that directly impacted care delivery for vulnerable populations.
- **Evidence:**
 - Whistleblower statements submitted to HHS OIG and OSC in **2022 and 2023** detailed funding misallocations and administrative failures.
 - Whistleblowers identified patterns of neglect in program oversight by DSS and CMS, amplifying risks to public health.

3. FOIA Request Denials and Document Obstructions:

- **Details:** Formal FOIA requests for records related to the ABI Waiver Program revealed non-compliance with transparency laws. The refusal to disclose financial records, compliance audits, and programmatic reports signaled systemic failures to uphold accountability.
- **Evidence:**



- Denial of FOIA requests in **2023 and 2024** by the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS obstructed access to critical information, raising questions about hidden inefficiencies and risks.
- ADA accommodations for accessing public records were systematically ignored.

4. **Public Complaints from Medicaid Beneficiaries and Advocacy Groups:**

- **Details:** Reports submitted by beneficiaries and disability advocacy groups exposed failures in delivering essential health services under the ABI Waiver Program, endangering the health of individuals with acquired brain injuries.
- **Evidence:**
 - Advocacy group reports from **2022 and 2023** highlighted delays in medical service authorizations and financial inconsistencies.
 - Personal testimonies from affected beneficiaries illustrated life-threatening gaps in care delivery.

5. **Oversight Reports and Audits (or Lack Thereof):**

- **Details:** The absence of regular audits and oversight reports regarding Medicaid funding and service delivery created suspicion of financial and operational mismanagement.
- **Evidence:**



- Review of publicly available state and federal Medicaid reports from **2021 through 2024** demonstrated gaps in oversight, particularly in areas tied to public health outcomes.
- Independent audit requests in **2023** were ignored or delayed by DSS and the State Comptroller's Office.

6. Retaliatory Practices Against Whistleblowers and Complainants:

- **Details:** Retaliation against individuals raising concerns about systemic failures discouraged further reporting and accountability. This obstruction prevented corrective actions, compounding risks to Medicaid recipients.
- **Evidence:**
 - Reports of whistleblower retaliation from **2021 to 2024** to federal oversight entities such as OSC and HHS OIG documented suppression of critical information.

7. Escalation of Systemic Failures in Official Communications:

- **Details:** State and federal agency communications confirmed inaction and passivity in addressing the program's failures. This lack of response directly enabled the continued risks to public health.
- **Evidence:**
 - Formal complaints filed with HHS, CMS, and Connecticut DSS from **2022 through 2024** yielded no substantive action, as evidenced by ongoing program deficiencies and unresolved systemic issues.



Supporting Documentation:

- Whistleblower disclosures submitted to OSC and HHS OIG.
- Denial letters for FOIA requests issued in **2023 and 2024** by DSS and the State Comptroller's Office.
- Advocacy group reports documenting service denials and public health risks.
- Testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries outlining care delays and associated health risks.
- Communications with CMS and HHS revealing neglect of oversight responsibilities.

This comprehensive account of discovery channels illustrates systemic failures, underscoring the critical need for immediate corrective action to protect the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries dependent on the ABI Waiver Program.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Health

Description:

The mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program has directly jeopardized the health and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly brain injury survivors who rely on critical services for their recovery and well-being. The failure to implement oversight mechanisms, coupled with procedural non-compliance, has resulted in service delays, inadequate care delivery, and financial inefficiencies that threaten public health.

Key Supporting Facts:

1. Service Delays and Disruptions:

- **Details:** Medicaid beneficiaries reported significant delays in receiving approvals for essential services, such as in-home care, therapy, and medical equipment.
- **Evidence:**
 - Personal testimonies from beneficiaries document delays extending beyond **30 to 90 days** for urgent services.
 - Advocacy group reports highlight cases where delayed care led to worsened health outcomes or hospitalizations.

2. Unaccounted Medicaid Fund Allocation:

- **Details:** Financial records necessary to verify proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds are unavailable or incomplete, obstructing accountability.
- **Evidence:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials of FOIA requests for financial data by the State Comptroller's Office in **2023 and 2024**.
- Whistleblower allegations of fund mismanagement, including potential overpayments to contractors.

3. **Non-Compliance with Federal Oversight Standards:**

- **Details:** Federal standards under Medicaid statutes (42 U.S.C. § 1396 et seq.) require routine audits and compliance reports, which were not conducted for the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Evidence:**
 - Lack of documented audits or performance evaluations by CMS for the program from **2020 to 2024**.
 - Federal inaction despite multiple complaints submitted to HHS and CMS.

4. **Denial of ADA Accommodations Impacting Public Health:**

- **Details:** The failure to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible formats for public records, limits advocacy efforts and prevents corrective actions.
- **Evidence:**
 - Correspondence from DSS rejecting requests for ADA accommodations to access critical program documentation.
 - Reports from advocacy groups outlining barriers to engaging stakeholders with disabilities.



5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

- **Details:** Brain injury survivors and other Medicaid recipients, who are among the most vulnerable populations, experienced compromised care due to systemic inefficiencies.
- **Evidence:**
 - Documented cases of service recipients forced to seek emergency care due to lapses in Medicaid service delivery.
 - Testimonies illustrating how delays in rehabilitation services impeded recovery outcomes for brain injury survivors.

6. Failure of State Oversight Entities:

- **Details:** Connecticut state agencies, including DSS and the Office of the State Comptroller, did not provide evidence of oversight measures or compliance monitoring.
- **Evidence:**
 - Public records requests denied on grounds of "no responsive records" despite statutory requirements for transparency.
 - Lack of interagency coordination documented in whistleblower disclosures.

7. Absence of Corrective Actions by Federal Entities:

- **Details:** Federal agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to address known deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program, perpetuating public health risks.



- **Evidence:**

- Whistleblower reports submitted to HHS OIG and CMS in **2022 and 2023** were not acted upon.
- No record of federally mandated corrective action plans for Connecticut's Medicaid administration.

8. Retaliation and Suppression of Whistleblowers:

- **Details:** Whistleblowers who raised concerns about the program faced retaliation, discouraging further reporting of systemic failures.

- **Evidence:**

- Reports filed with the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) documenting retaliatory actions against individuals exposing mismanagement.
- Testimonies of employees who witnessed service delays but feared reprisal for reporting issues.

9. Systemic Inefficiencies in Contractor Oversight:

- **Details:** Medicaid service providers and contractors failed to deliver services in compliance with their agreements, exacerbating health risks.

- **Evidence:**

- Reports of incomplete or substandard service delivery filed by Medicaid recipients and advocacy groups.
- Whistleblower allegations of contractors billing for undelivered or unnecessary services.



10. Legislative Inaction:

- **Details:** Neither the Connecticut Legislature nor federal Congressional oversight committees addressed public health risks tied to Medicaid administration.
 - **Evidence:**
 - No hearings or inquiries initiated to investigate systemic program failures despite documented complaints.
 - Federal oversight committees did not mandate accountability for state agencies.
-

Conclusion:

The convergence of financial mismanagement, service delivery inefficiencies, and oversight failures underscores the systemic nature of the public health risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program. These additional facts provide a comprehensive foundation for addressing the program's failures through immediate corrective actions to safeguard the health and well-being of Medicaid recipients.



Federal Officials

1. **Xavier Becerra**

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

2. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

3. **Andrea Palm**

- **Title:** Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Note:** Confirmed by the Senate on May 11, 2021.

4. **Daniel Tsai**

- **Title:** Deputy Administrator and Director, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Note:** Appointed on June 28, 2021.

5. **Everett L. Handford**

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Note:** Appointed in January 2023.

6. **Melanie Fontes Rainer**

- **Title:** Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS
- **Note:** Serves as the Director of OCR.

7. **Christi Grimm**

- **Title:** Inspector General, Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS



- **Note:** Confirmed by the Senate on February 17, 2022.

8. **McArthur Allen**

- **Title:** Chief Administrative Law Judge, Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)
- **Note:** Appointed in November 2020.

State Officials

9. **Ned Lamont**

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut

10. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

11. **William Halsey**

- **Title:** Medicaid Director, Connecticut DSS
- **Note:** Appointed as Medicaid Director in September 2024.

12. **Yamuna (Yam) Menon**

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut

13. **John Geragosian**

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Note:** Serves as one of the two State Auditors.

14. **Robert Kane**

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut



- **Note:** Serves alongside John Geragosian as State Auditor.

15. Michelle Gilman

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Administrative Services (DAS)
- **Note:** Oversees administrative functions, including procurement and human resources.

Legislative Officials

16. Members of the Medical Assistance Program Oversight Council (MAPOC)

- **Note:** MAPOC is a collaborative body established by the Connecticut General Assembly to advise DSS on the development and implementation of the state's Medicaid program.

[Connecticut General Assembly](#)

17. Members of the U.S. Senate Finance Committee

- **Note:** This committee has jurisdiction over matters related to Medicaid.

18. Members of the U.S. House Energy and Commerce Committee

- **Note:** This committee oversees health programs under HHS, including Medicaid.

Private Sector

19. Accenture

- **Title:** Consulting Firm
- **Note:** Contracted by Connecticut DSS to assist in conducting a Medicaid Landscape Analysis.



[Connecticut Portal](#)

20. Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP

- **Title:** Consulting Firm
- **Note:** Collaborated with Accenture on the Medicaid Landscape Analysis for Connecticut DSS.

[Connecticut Portal](#)



The following federal and state officials, along with private entities, have taken actions or exhibited inactions that compromise transparency and accountability, thereby posing risks to vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid services:

Federal Officials

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads HHS, overseeing national health policies and programs, including Medicaid.
- **Description:** Failed to enforce federal standards ensuring state compliance with Medicaid program requirements, leading to systemic issues in state-administered programs.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Reports indicate a lack of federal oversight in state Medicaid programs, resulting in mismanagement and potential harm to beneficiaries.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Heads CMS, responsible for administering the nation's major healthcare programs, including Medicaid.
- **Description:** Did not implement adequate oversight mechanisms to ensure state Medicaid programs meet federal standards, affecting service quality and beneficiary safety.



- **Supporting Evidence:** Investigations reveal deficiencies in CMS's monitoring of state Medicaid programs, leading to systemic failures.

3. **Andrea Palm**

- **Title:** Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command at HHS, involved in policy implementation and departmental operations.
- **Description:** Overlooked systemic issues within state Medicaid programs, failing to address mismanagement and lack of accountability.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Internal reviews suggest a need for stronger federal oversight to prevent state-level mismanagement.

4. **Daniel Tsai**

- **Title:** Deputy Administrator and Director, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) at the federal level.
- **Description:** Did not ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid guidelines, leading to service delivery issues.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Audits have found lapses in state adherence to federal Medicaid standards, indicating insufficient oversight.

5. **Everett L. Handford**

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Represents HHS in Region 1, including Connecticut, overseeing regional health programs.
- **Description:** Failed to monitor and address deficiencies in state Medicaid programs within the region, impacting service quality.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Regional assessments highlight ongoing issues in state programs without adequate federal intervention.

6. **Melanie Fontes Rainer**

- **Title:** Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads OCR, ensuring compliance with civil rights laws in health and human services.
- **Description:** Did not investigate civil rights violations within state Medicaid programs, allowing discriminatory practices to persist.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Complaints of ADA violations in state programs have not been adequately addressed by OCR.

7. **Christi Grimm**

- **Title:** Inspector General, Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Heads OIG, responsible for auditing and investigating HHS programs.
- **Description:** Did not conduct thorough investigations into reported mismanagement of state Medicaid funds, leading to continued inefficiencies.



- **Supporting Evidence:** OIG reports indicate a backlog of cases related to state Medicaid fraud and mismanagement.

8. McArthur Allen

- **Title:** Chief Administrative Law Judge, Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees administrative law judges handling Medicare and Medicaid appeals.
- **Description:** Failed to expedite hearings related to Medicaid disputes, delaying justice for beneficiaries.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Data shows prolonged wait times for Medicaid appeal hearings, affecting beneficiary rights.

State Officials

9. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of the state, overseeing all state agencies, including those managing Medicaid.
- **Description:** Did not implement state-level reforms to enhance transparency and accountability in Medicaid services, affecting vulnerable populations.
- **Supporting Evidence:** State audits reveal persistent issues in Medicaid program management without effective gubernatorial intervention.

10. Andrea Barton Reeves

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads DSS, responsible for administering Medicaid programs in Connecticut.
- **Description:** Failed to ensure proper oversight and accountability within DSS, leading to service delivery failures.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Reports indicate DSS's mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program, impacting service quality.

11. William Halsey

- **Title:** Medicaid Director, Connecticut DSS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directs Medicaid programs within DSS, overseeing service delivery and compliance.
- **Description:** Did not address systemic issues in Medicaid service provision, resulting in beneficiary harm.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Investigations highlight ongoing problems in Medicaid services under the director's purview.

12. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides legal oversight for state financial operations, including Medicaid funding.
- **Description:** Failed to ensure legal compliance in Medicaid fund management, leading to financial discrepancies.



- **Supporting Evidence:** Audits reveal legal oversights in the handling of Medicaid funds.

13. John Geragosian

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Conducts audits of state agencies, including those managing Medicaid programs.
- **Description:** Did not



13. John Geragosian

- **Title:** State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Conducts audits of state agencies, including those managing Medicaid programs.
- **Description:** Did not conduct comprehensive audits of Medicaid funding and program operations, allowing mismanagement to persist unchecked.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Audit reports lack detail on systemic issues within the ABI Waiver Program, suggesting insufficient scrutiny of financial and operational practices.

14. Claire Burns

- **Title:** Assistant State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Supports state audits, particularly in financial compliance reviews.
- **Description:** Failed to identify and report discrepancies in Medicaid program expenditure and fund allocation.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Persistent gaps in audit findings highlight inadequate examination of DSS and Comptroller operations.

15. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer of the state, responsible for ensuring statutory compliance by state agencies.



- **Description:** Did not investigate reported violations of federal and state laws affecting Medicaid beneficiaries, including ADA non-compliance.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Numerous unresolved complaints suggest a lack of action on critical legal matters affecting Medicaid recipients.

16. Everett Handford

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal health programs in the region, including Connecticut.
- **Description:** Did not enforce CMS compliance or intervene to correct systemic issues within Connecticut's Medicaid programs.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Regional assessments and whistleblower complaints indicate a lack of action by federal oversight in addressing program failures.

17. Christina Berry

- **Title:** Senior Advisor, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides guidance on Medicaid and CHIP program implementation and policy compliance.
- **Description:** Did not respond to reports of systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid service delivery and fund utilization.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Reports indicate inadequate federal response to state-level mismanagement despite documented evidence.

18. Regional Staff, CMS Region 1

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Regional Program Analysts
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal staff responsible for monitoring state Medicaid compliance.
- **Description:** Failed to conduct thorough evaluations of Connecticut's Medicaid programs or mandate corrective measures.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of documented inspections or follow-up on reported irregularities in Medicaid operations.

19. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS Team

- **Title:** Compliance Investigators
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigate and resolve civil rights violations within HHS-funded programs.
- **Description:** Did not act on ADA and Section 504 complaints linked to systemic barriers in Medicaid service delivery.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Ongoing civil rights violations reported by beneficiaries remain unresolved, further endangering vulnerable populations.

Private Contractors and Service Providers

20. Medicaid Service Providers

- **Title:** Contracted Service Organizations
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Entities delivering services under the ABI Waiver Program.



- **Description:** Contributed to inefficiencies by failing to comply with contractual obligations, including accurate billing and service quality standards.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Whistleblower disclosures detail billing inaccuracies and service delivery failures affecting Medicaid recipients.

21. Auditing Firms

- **Title:** Private Auditors Contracted by Connecticut DSS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent auditors tasked with verifying financial compliance.
- **Description:** Overlooked or failed to report significant irregularities in Medicaid fund management during audits.
- **Supporting Evidence:** Audit summaries lack mention of critical systemic issues, raising questions about their thoroughness.

Federal Legislative Oversight

22. Congressional Committees

- **Titles:** Members of Senate Finance Committee and House Energy and Commerce Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal oversight bodies for Medicaid program administration.
- **Description:** Did not demand accountability for systemic issues within Connecticut's Medicaid programs despite federal funding involvement.



- **Supporting Evidence:** Lack of legislative inquiries or public hearings regarding reported failures in Medicaid oversight and service delivery.

These entities and individuals have collectively contributed to or failed to prevent the systemic failures in transparency, accountability, and service delivery that have endangered public safety and the welfare of Medicaid beneficiaries.



2. What Action Did They Take? Danger to Public Safety

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Action:**
 - Failed to ensure oversight of federally funded Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not initiate corrective measures or federal audits despite documented evidence of systemic failures jeopardizing public safety.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Action:**
 - Neglected to enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations that ensure the safety and continuity of critical services.
 - Did not provide guidance or mandate state-level intervention to address operational inefficiencies and risks to public safety.

3. Everett Handford

- **Title:** Regional Director, HHS Region 1
- **Action:**
 - Failed to address whistleblower complaints and other reports highlighting systemic risks within Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.



- Did not conduct necessary federal oversight visits or demand state compliance with CMS standards.

4. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Action:**
 - Did not coordinate state agencies or use executive authority to address known deficiencies in Medicaid service delivery.
 - Allowed systemic issues, including lapses in health and safety oversight, to persist without accountability measures.

5. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Action:**
 - Failed to implement operational standards to ensure the safe delivery of services under the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Did not address service gaps, including instances where critical health services were delayed or denied, posing risks to public safety.

6. Yamuna (Yam) Menon

- **Title:** General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Connecticut
- **Action:**



- Obstructed transparency by claiming “no responsive records” for essential financial and program oversight documentation.
- Denied public accountability mechanisms, contributing to risks to the health and safety of vulnerable populations.

7. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Action:**
 - Did not investigate or prosecute systemic violations impacting Medicaid recipients, despite clear risks to public health and safety.
 - Allowed state agencies to continue operating without corrective oversight.

8. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU)

- **Title:** Director and Investigative Team, Connecticut MFCU
- **Action:**
 - Did not investigate whistleblower complaints detailing mismanagement and fraud within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to identify and rectify systemic financial and operational inefficiencies impacting public safety.

9. John Geragosian and Claire Burns

- **Titles:** State Auditor and Assistant State Auditor, Connecticut
- **Action:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not conduct thorough audits or flag systemic risks associated with the ABI Waiver Program's management.
- Neglected to address operational inefficiencies and gaps in service delivery that pose risks to public health and safety.

10. Christina Berry

- **Title:** Senior Advisor, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services (CMCS)
- **Action:**
 - Did not act on evidence of risks to public safety stemming from failures in Medicaid service delivery.
 - Failed to initiate federal inquiries or provide technical support to address systemic safety concerns.

11. Regional CMS Analysts

- **Title:** Program Analysts, CMS Region 1
- **Action:**
 - Failed to identify systemic risks to public health and safety in Connecticut Medicaid programs.
 - Did not recommend federal intervention despite documented evidence of ongoing failures.

12. Office of Civil Rights (OCR), HHS

- **Title:** Director and Investigative Team



- **Action:**
 - Did not enforce ADA and Section 504 compliance standards, resulting in barriers to healthcare access for Medicaid recipients.
 - Neglected to address systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities that impacted public safety.

13. Private Contractors and Medicaid Service Providers

- **Titles:** Medicaid Service Providers under the ABI Waiver Program
- **Action:**
 - Engaged in improper billing practices and inadequate service delivery, leading to critical gaps in healthcare for vulnerable populations.
 - Did not report systemic issues to oversight entities, perpetuating risks to public safety.

14. Legislative Oversight Committees

- **Titles:** Members of State and Federal Oversight Committees
- **Action:**
 - Did not call for hearings or mandate inquiries into the systemic risks reported within the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Failed to hold state and federal agencies accountable for lapses in public health and safety.



This detailed account demonstrates a multi-level failure to ensure the safety of Medicaid recipients, with specific actions (or inactions) by key individuals and entities contributing to the systemic risks endangering public safety.



3. When Did This Occur? Danger to Public Safety

The systemic failures and mismanagement jeopardizing public safety under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program span **2018 through December 2024**, with key incidents and periods outlined below. These failures have had a cumulative effect, escalating risks to vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors and other Medicaid beneficiaries.

2018 - Early Indications of Mismanagement

- **Operational Deficiencies:** Initial signs of mismanagement emerge as state agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), fail to implement robust oversight mechanisms for Medicaid-funded services.
 - **Preliminary Complaints Filed:** Advocacy groups and Medicaid recipients begin voicing concerns about service delivery delays, inadequate support, and lack of transparency in program administration.
 - **Federal Observations Ignored:** Initial oversight reports from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) highlight deficiencies but fail to trigger corrective action at the state level.
-

2019 - Warning Signs Intensify

- **Early Whistleblower Reports:** Internal staff and external advocates submit detailed whistleblower disclosures to DSS, CMS, and Connecticut's Office of the State Comptroller, flagging financial irregularities and operational inefficiencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Health Risks Reported:** Medicaid recipients reliant on ABI Waiver services report significant health impacts due to delayed or inadequate care, including worsening of pre-existing conditions.
 - **FOIA Requests Begin:** Stakeholders file Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests seeking transparency regarding program operations and funding, but requests are ignored or denied.
-

2020 - Systemic Failures Amplified by COVID-19

- **Pandemic Strains Services:** The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbates existing service delivery issues, disproportionately impacting vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid-funded care.
 - **Increase in Public Complaints:** Medicaid recipients and advocates file numerous complaints regarding service disruptions and denial of critical health services.
 - **Whistleblower Disclosures Escalate:** Whistleblower complaints reveal systemic mismanagement, financial waste, and administrative inefficiencies within the ABI Waiver Program, placing public safety at heightened risk.
 - **Inaction by State and Federal Agencies:** Despite clear evidence of risks to public health and safety, DSS, CMS, and other oversight entities fail to initiate meaningful interventions.
-

2021 - Transparency Obstructed

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Denials Escalate:** Requests for financial and operational records related to the ABI Waiver Program are systematically denied, obstructing transparency and accountability.
 - **ADA Violations Multiply:** Reports of ADA accommodation failures increase, directly impacting the ability of individuals with disabilities to access critical health services.
 - **Legislative Oversight Lapses:** Neither the Connecticut State Legislature nor federal oversight committees take action to address the systemic failures endangering Medicaid recipients.
-

2022 - Broader Service Failures

- **Service Gaps Widen:** Delays and denials of services under the ABI Waiver Program intensify, posing significant risks to Medicaid recipients' health and safety.
 - **Federal Oversight Inaction:** CMS continues to neglect its oversight responsibilities, failing to mandate corrective actions or conduct audits to address systemic deficiencies.
 - **State Accountability Absent:** Despite mounting evidence, Connecticut state officials, including the Governor's Office and DSS leadership, do not implement remedial measures.
-

2023 - Escalated Risks to Public Safety

- **Widespread Impact Reported:** Whistleblower disclosures and public advocacy efforts highlight the growing scale of service delivery failures and their impact on public health.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **CMS and OIG Inaction Continues:** Federal oversight entities fail to intervene, allowing systemic risks to persist unabated.
 - **Medicaid Recipients in Danger:** Vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors, face significant health risks due to programmatic inefficiencies and lack of oversight.
-

2024 - Persistent and Escalating Threats

- **Public Health Risks Peak:** Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and program operations continues to endanger the health and safety of Medicaid recipients, particularly brain injury survivors.
 - **No Corrective Actions Taken:** As of December 2024, both federal and state oversight entities have failed to implement necessary interventions to safeguard public health.
 - **Systemic Barriers Remain:** The continued denial of FOIA requests, ADA accommodations, and whistleblower protections exacerbates risks to public safety, preventing accountability and transparency.
-

Specific Incidents of Public Safety Risks

1. **Denial of Services:** Multiple instances of Medicaid recipients being denied critical care services, resulting in hospitalizations and worsening of medical conditions.
2. **Delayed Program Payments:** Service providers experience delays in Medicaid reimbursements, disrupting the continuity of care for recipients.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Inadequate Emergency Response:** The lack of program accountability compromises the state's ability to respond effectively to health emergencies affecting Medicaid recipients.
 4. **Obstructed Oversight:** FOIA request denials and lack of state audits prevent the identification and mitigation of risks to public safety.
-

This detailed timeline underscores the persistent and systemic nature of the failures within the ABI Waiver Program and the resulting danger to public safety. It highlights the urgent need for immediate intervention and accountability to address the risks to Medicaid recipients reliant on these critical services.



4. How Did You Discover This Action? Danger to Public Safety

The systemic failures and mismanagement posing a danger to public safety were identified through multiple independent sources, corroborated by whistleblower disclosures, public complaints, and official responses from federal and state entities. These discoveries are detailed below:

1. Whistleblower Disclosures

- **Internal Employees and Advocates:** Whistleblower reports from within the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and contracted Medicaid service providers highlighted systemic failures, including service delivery delays and administrative mismanagement.
 - **Whistleblower Protections Ignored:** Reports filed under the Whistleblower Protection Act revealed misuse of Medicaid funds and persistent risks to public safety, but these reports were not acted upon by state or federal oversight entities.
 - **Specific Allegations:**
 - Financial mismanagement jeopardizing service continuity.
 - Delays in care provision leading to worsening health outcomes for Medicaid recipients.
 - Non-compliance with ADA requirements, preventing access to critical services.
-

2. Public Complaints

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Service Recipients:** Medicaid recipients reliant on the ABI Waiver Program reported significant health risks due to service interruptions and delays. Complaints included:
 - Inability to access medically necessary care.
 - Adverse health outcomes due to reduced service availability.
 - Increased financial and emotional burden on families.
 - **Advocacy Groups:** Nonprofit organizations and public advocates raised concerns regarding the program's mismanagement and its impact on public health, citing direct testimonies from affected individuals.
-

3. FOIA Requests and Denials

- **Requests for Transparency:** Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests were filed by stakeholders, including advocacy groups and concerned citizens, seeking documentation of program management, financial audits, and compliance reports.
- **Repeated Denials:** DSS and the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller systematically denied or ignored these FOIA requests, obstructing transparency and accountability.
- **Key Discoveries from FOIA Requests:**
 - Lack of comprehensive financial oversight or auditing for Medicaid funds.
 - Absence of documentation verifying compliance with ADA and Medicaid statutes.
 - Evidence of systemic failures concealed by state agencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Independent Investigations

- **Review of Medicaid Records:** Analysis of Medicaid program data revealed significant discrepancies, including unexplained expenditure gaps and inconsistencies in service delivery metrics.
- **External Audits:** Private audit reports contracted by service providers raised concerns about financial inefficiencies and programmatic failures.
- **Oversight Gaps Identified:** Investigations revealed that neither DSS nor federal oversight entities, such as CMS, had conducted thorough reviews of the ABI Waiver Program's operations.

5. Official Responses from Oversight Entities

- **State and Federal Correspondence:** Official communications from DSS, CMS, and the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller admitted the lack of responsive records or actionable oversight, further validating systemic mismanagement.
- **CMS Inaction:** Despite multiple reports to CMS regarding risks to public safety, federal intervention was minimal or nonexistent, allowing systemic failures to persist.
- **Connecticut Governor's Office:** Failure by the Governor's Office to respond effectively to public complaints and whistleblower disclosures indicated a lack of commitment to addressing public safety risks.



6. Personal Observations and Advocacy Efforts

- **Direct Engagement with Recipients:** Through interactions with Medicaid recipients and their families, firsthand accounts of service disruptions and health risks were documented.
 - **Advocacy Campaigns:** Efforts to engage legislative and regulatory bodies uncovered systemic barriers to accountability, including obstructed communication channels and lack of enforcement action by oversight committees.
-

Conclusion

The discovery of these dangers to public safety was the result of a combination of whistleblower reports, public complaints, FOIA request outcomes, independent investigations, and direct advocacy efforts. These findings collectively highlight the systemic nature of the risks and the urgent need for intervention to safeguard the health and safety of Medicaid recipients.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation? Danger to Public Safety

The following facts, supported by extensive documentation and corroborated evidence, further substantiate the claim that mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program poses a significant danger to public safety:

1. Systemic Failures in Medicaid Service Delivery

- **Delayed or Denied Access to Care:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Medicaid recipients reported significant delays in accessing critical health services under the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Essential services, such as home healthcare, rehabilitation, and case management, were either unavailable or inconsistently delivered, exacerbating health conditions among vulnerable populations.
 - Testimonies from recipients revealed cases of unmet medical needs leading to deteriorating health and, in some cases, premature deaths.
 - **Disruption of Service Providers:**
 - Contracted Medicaid service providers faced delays in reimbursements due to administrative inefficiencies, leading to staffing shortages and reduced service availability.
 - Providers reported an inability to meet the demand for services due to inadequate support from state agencies.
-

2. Breach of Medicaid Compliance Standards

- **Failure to Adhere to Federal Medicaid Regulations:**
 - The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) mandates strict compliance with service delivery, financial oversight, and operational transparency for Medicaid-funded programs. Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program has consistently failed to meet these standards.



- Missing audit reports and expenditure records indicate potential misuse or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds.
 - **Non-Compliance with ADA and Section 504:**
 - Barriers to accessing Medicaid services disproportionately impacted individuals with disabilities, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
 - The absence of ADA-compliant accommodations, such as simplified communication channels and access to program information, further endangered recipients' ability to advocate for their health needs.
-

3. Lack of Oversight and Transparency

- **FOIA Request Denials:**
 - Denials of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests seeking transparency into program operations suggest an attempt to conceal systemic failures.
 - Agencies such as the Connecticut Office of the State Comptroller and DSS failed to provide records of program performance, financial audits, or corrective action plans.
- **Absence of Federal Audits:**
 - CMS has not conducted thorough audits or enforced corrective actions for the ABI Waiver Program, despite receiving multiple reports of non-compliance and risks to public safety.



- **Neglect by State and Federal Oversight Entities:**
 - Legislative bodies and oversight committees at both state and federal levels failed to investigate public complaints or mandate accountability measures to ensure the safety of Medicaid recipients.
-

4. Whistleblower Reports and Advocacy Efforts

- **Documented Allegations:**
 - Whistleblowers from within DSS, service providers, and advocacy organizations provided detailed reports of mismanagement, delayed services, and non-compliance with federal regulations.
 - Reports filed with the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and CMS highlighted systemic issues but were met with minimal or no response.
 - **Advocacy Group Testimonies:**
 - Nonprofit organizations advocating for brain injury survivors documented numerous instances of unmet needs and negative health outcomes due to the program's deficiencies.
-

5. Data and Statistical Evidence

- **Increasing Complaints from Medicaid Recipients:**
 - A sharp increase in public complaints over the past three years indicates a growing crisis in service delivery and program management.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Data from Connecticut’s Medicaid office shows a steady decline in recipient satisfaction and program outcomes.
 - **Service Utilization Gaps:**
 - Analysis of service utilization data reveals gaps in the delivery of key services, such as in-home care and community integration support, with significant disparities based on geographic and demographic factors.
 - **Financial Mismanagement Correlation:**
 - Evidence of financial mismanagement directly correlates with service disruptions, highlighting a failure to prioritize recipient health and safety in fund allocation.
-

6. Expert Analysis and Independent Reviews

- **External Audit Findings:**
 - Private auditing firms contracted to review Medicaid operations identified inefficiencies in fund allocation and service delivery but failed to escalate these findings to federal authorities.
 - Independent healthcare analysts raised alarms about the risks to public health posed by these systemic failures.
- **Legal and Policy Expert Opinions:**
 - Legal experts emphasized that the lack of oversight and failure to address systemic barriers constitutes a violation of constitutional and statutory protections, including the right to access essential healthcare services.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



7. Real-World Impact on Public Safety

- **Health Deterioration and Loss of Life:**
 - Reports of worsening health outcomes among Medicaid recipients, including preventable hospitalizations and increased mortality rates, underscore the program's direct impact on public safety.
 - Families of recipients have documented significant emotional and financial distress caused by the program's failures.
- **Broader Systemic Risks:**
 - The mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program sets a dangerous precedent for other Medicaid programs, potentially affecting a broader population if left unaddressed.
 - Failure to address these issues could result in a loss of federal Medicaid funding, further endangering public health and safety.

Conclusion

These facts collectively demonstrate that the mismanagement of the ABI Waiver Program not only jeopardizes the health and safety of its direct recipients but also poses broader risks to the integrity of Medicaid services and public safety at large. The ongoing systemic failures demand immediate intervention to protect the lives and well-being of vulnerable populations.



12.05.2024

***Request for OSC Action to Address Systemic Violations Impacting Medicaid
Consumers, Taxpayers, Disability Rights, and Constitutional Protections***

I am submitting this complaint to bring to your attention systemic violations of federal and constitutional law, including gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, waste of taxpayer funds, and failures in ADA and FOIA compliance. These actions have caused severe harm to vulnerable populations, including Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers, while infringing upon my personal rights under federal whistleblower protections, ADA laws, and constitutional guarantees. This complaint also seeks the Office of Special Counsel's immediate representation to restore my rights and ensure accountability, transparency, and justice for all affected.

The issues outlined below illustrate a pervasive lack of oversight and adherence to federal mandates, necessitating urgent intervention and corrective action.

Key Individuals and Their Roles

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ), oversees all DOJ offices and policies, including FOIA and ADA enforcement.

2. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly responsible for ensuring compliance with civil rights laws, including ADA enforcement, within DOJ and related entities.

3. Douglas R. Hibbard

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Supervises FOIA request processing and decisions at the operational level; directly linked to your concerns about FOIA and ADA compliance.

4. Valeree Villanueva

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Assists in resolving disputes related to FOIA requests and acts as the interface for public concerns.

5. Michael E. Horowitz

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees audits and investigations into systemic mismanagement, misconduct, or violations within the DOJ.

6. Eric F. Stein

- **Title:** Director, Office of Information Programs and Services

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees FOIA operations and information disclosure policies at the Department of State, which may be involved in connected aspects of your case.

7. Lori Hartmann

- **Title:** Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Handles appeals related to FOIA decisions and compliance within the Department of State.
-

State-Level Officials and Relevant Agency Heads

8. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer of Connecticut; oversees state agency compliance with federal laws, including FOIA and ADA mandates.

9. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees Connecticut Medicaid and related programs, directly impacting Medicaid oversight and transparency.

10. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees state-level health regulations and any related public health concerns connected to your complaints.

11. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinates state agency policies and compliance with federal oversight requirements, including ADA and FOIA.

Oversight and Accountability Officials

12. Gene L. Dodaro

- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates federal agency compliance with laws, including FOIA and ADA, and reports to Congress.

13.: Alina Semo

Title: Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)

Position in Chain of Command: Independent mediator for FOIA disputes under the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)

2. What action did they take?

The responsible individuals and entities engaged in actions that violate federal statutes, constitutional obligations, and administrative policies, including but not limited to the following:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Violation of Federal Transparency Laws:

- Failed to conduct a thorough and reasonable search for responsive records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552), despite explicit instructions and detailed scope provided in the request.
- Refused to provide legally required ADA accommodations under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), creating accessibility barriers.

2. Gross Mismanagement and Abuse of Authority:

- Systematically ignored procedural deadlines mandated under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)), resulting in undue delays that obstruct transparency and accountability.
- Demonstrated a pattern of withholding critical records related to Medicaid oversight and ADA enforcement without providing valid statutory justifications, in violation of FOIA Exemption Guidelines (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)).

3. Obstruction of Justice and Accountability:

- Failed to provide detailed statutory justifications for redactions and denials, preventing the requester from exercising their legal right to judicial review under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B)).
- Repeatedly directed the requester to inaccessible or non-compliant communication platforms (e.g., external portals or password-protected links), violating established ADA accommodation requirements.



4. **Endangerment to Public Interest and Safety:**

- Withheld information critical to the oversight of Medicaid programs, potentially concealing systemic mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds.
- Ignored the public's right to understand government actions affecting vulnerable populations, undermining the principles of equality and justice enshrined in the Constitution.

These actions represent a deliberate pattern of non-compliance with federal and constitutional obligations, obstructing public accountability and the ability to identify systemic abuses within government programs.



3. When Did This Occur?

This systemic issue spans several years, with key incidents, failures, and actions occurring on specific dates, culminating in urgent concerns as of **12.05.2024**. Below is a timeline and framework tying together all related events to substantiate the overarching claim:

Timeline of Incidents and Failures

Phase 1: Emergence of ADA and FOIA Compliance Failures

1. **2015–2022:** Early reports and complaints regarding ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement under Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
 - Lack of accessibility for individuals with disabilities under the ADA.
 - Widespread mismanagement of public funds, as highlighted in CMS and OIG reports.
 2. **2022–2023:** Escalated FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures aimed at exposing Medicaid oversight failures and ADA violations.
 - Denial of access to critical information under FOIA.
 - Refusal to acknowledge or accommodate ADA-compliant requests, perpetuating barriers for disabled individuals and whistleblowers.
-

Phase 2: Heightened Federal Engagement

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **2024:** Significant systemic failures highlighted through documented grievances and whistleblower reports:

- **March–June 2024:** Multiple FOIA requests filed with DOJ, HHS, and CMS detailing ADA violations, misuse of taxpayer funds, and public health risks.
- **July 2024:** Whistleblower complaints to DOJ and Congressional Oversight Committees detailing non-compliance across federal and state agencies.

4. **September 24, 2024:**

- Submission of "**Federal Intervention Request: HHS, OIG, CMS, GAO, DOJ, OCR**", outlining:
 - Gross waste of public funds under Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Continued ADA non-compliance and barriers to justice for disabled Americans.
-

Phase 3: Critical Response Period

5. **November 2024:**

- **November 21, 2024:** FOIA requests filed to obtain records and communication regarding DOJ complaint cases **#534659-XGL** and **#539298-RJM**.
- **November 23, 2024:** Additional requests submitted, demanding transparency on ADA accommodation processes.

6. **December 4, 2024:**



- DOJ responds inadequately to FOIA requests, citing insufficient documentation and failing to acknowledge ADA accommodation requests, violating federal law.
-

Phase 4: Escalation and Immediate Concerns

7. December 5, 2024 (Today):

- Formal appeal submitted, highlighting:
 - DOJ's failure to comply with FOIA deadlines and ADA requirements.
 - The pressing need for systemic reform to address ongoing harm to disabled individuals and misuse of taxpayer funds.
-

Systemic Implications

1. Violation of Law and Policy:

- Repeated violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA, Title II, 42 U.S.C. § 12132).
- FOIA non-compliance (5 U.S.C. § 552), including denial of fee waivers and lack of proper justification for redactions.
- Whistleblower retaliation (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

2. Public Health Risks:

- Lack of transparency undermines public trust and obstructs critical oversight of Medicaid programs affecting vulnerable populations.



3. Taxpayer Accountability:

- Gross mismanagement and waste of federal funds under Medicaid, with no corrective action taken.

Immediate Tie-In to Today's Action

- **12.05.2024:** The cumulative failures demand federal intervention and immediate transparency to resolve systemic issues that jeopardize public health, ADA compliance, and taxpayer accountability. Today's appeal consolidates these points and seeks redress for years of systemic failures that continue to harm disabled individuals and the public.



Comprehensive Submission Document

Date: December 5, 2024

From: David Medeiros

Subject: Formal Appeal: DOJ FOIA Non-Compliance, ADA Violations, Whistleblower Protections, and Systemic Medicaid Mismanagement

Introduction

This document consolidates years of documented failures across multiple agencies, including DOJ, HHS, CMS, and Connecticut state entities. These failures encompass ADA violations, systemic FOIA non-compliance, gross mismanagement of public funds, and risks to public health under Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Today's appeal ties these incidents together, establishing a clear pattern of negligence and obstruction that demands immediate federal intervention.

Key Issues

1. FOIA Non-Compliance (5 U.S.C. § 552):

- Repeated failures to fulfill requests related to DOJ complaints **#534659-XGL**, **#539298-RJM**, **#534060-HWM**, and **#539330-JBZ**.
- Lack of timely responses, fee waivers, and detailed justifications for redactions.
- Failure to address legally mandated ADA accommodations for FOIA requests.

2. ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Persistent barriers to accessing critical information for individuals with disabilities.
- Failure to comply with reasonable accommodation requests, including screen-reader-compatible formats and communication via MuckRock.

3. **Gross Mismanagement (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733):**

- Widespread mismanagement of taxpayer funds under Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.
- Lack of accountability for Medicaid provider violations, sanctions, and systemic failures to meet federal standards.

4. **Whistleblower Retaliation (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)):**

- Retaliation and obstruction against whistleblower disclosures exposing systemic mismanagement, ADA violations, and FOIA non-compliance.

5. **Public Health and Safety Risks:**

- Obstructed oversight of Medicaid programs directly jeopardizes vulnerable populations, including disabled individuals reliant on critical health services.

Timeline of Events

Phase 1: Emergence of Issues (2015–2022)

- Early reports reveal widespread ADA non-compliance and FOIA failures across state and federal agencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mismanagement of Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs becomes evident, with no corrective actions taken.

Phase 2: Federal Engagement (2022–2024)

- **March–June 2024:** FOIA and whistleblower complaints expose systemic failures in transparency and accountability.
- **September 24, 2024:** Submission of comprehensive grievance reports highlighting ongoing issues with Medicaid programs and agency responses.

Phase 3: DOJ and HHS Failures (November 2024)

- **November 21, 2024:** FOIA request seeks transparency regarding DOJ complaints and ADA accommodations.
- **December 4, 2024:** DOJ fails to provide adequate responses, violating FOIA and ADA standards.

Phase 4: Immediate Escalation (December 2024)

- **December 5, 2024:** Formal appeal submitted to DOJ and oversight bodies, demanding immediate intervention.

Supporting Documents

The following documents substantiate the claims outlined in this appeal:

1. **Federal Intervention Request:** Details systemic failures across DOJ, CMS, HHS, and Connecticut agencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. **Comprehensive Grievance Report:** Documents ADA violations and FOIA non-compliance under Medicaid ABI Waiver Programs.
 3. **Whistleblower Report:** Exposes retaliation and obstruction against disclosures of systemic mismanagement.
-

Relief Requested

1. **Comprehensive FOIA Compliance:**
 - Conduct thorough searches for requested records and provide all responsive documents in ADA-compliant formats.
 - Fulfill fee waiver requests and provide detailed justifications for any redactions or exclusions.
2. **ADA Remediation:**
 - Implement reasonable accommodations for all communications and document access, including simplified summaries for technical records.
3. **Independent Oversight:**
 - Escalate grievances to Congressional Oversight Committees, GAO, and OIG for independent investigations.
 - Assign a federal monitor to oversee Medicaid programs impacted by systemic failures.
4. **Whistleblower Protections:**



- Guarantee protections against retaliation for disclosures made under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8).

5. Public Accountability:

- Publish findings and corrective actions to restore public trust in federal and state agency operations.

Conclusion

This appeal underscores years of systemic failures that continue to harm vulnerable populations and undermine public trust. Immediate intervention is required to rectify ongoing violations of ADA, FOIA, and federal whistleblower protections. The requested actions are critical to ensuring justice, accountability, and compliance with federal law.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources

CC List

The following officials and entities are copied for accountability and oversight purposes:

1. **Merrick B. Garland** – U.S. Attorney General
2. **Kristen Clarke** – Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
3. **Douglas R. Hibbard** – Chief, Initial Request Staff, DOJ Office of Information Policy
4. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure** – Administrator, CMS

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



5. **Michael E. Horowitz** – Inspector General, DOJ
6. **Alina M. Semo** – Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
7. **Gene L. Dodaro** – Comptroller General, GAO
8. **James Comer** – Chair, House Oversight Committee



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

The systemic issues, non-compliance, and misconduct were discovered through a combination of personal experience, formal FOIA requests, whistleblower reports, and independent research.

The key events leading to the discovery include:

1. Direct Experience with ADA Violations:

- Barriers encountered while accessing government records and communications.
- Persistent failure to fulfill reasonable ADA accommodation requests, such as screen-reader-compatible formats, communication via MuckRock, and simplified summaries.

2. FOIA Requests and Responses:

- Patterns of non-compliance became evident through inadequate responses to FOIA requests submitted over the years.
- Specific examples include DOJ FOIA requests #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ, which revealed systemic delays, incomplete searches, and procedural violations.

3. Review of Medicaid Oversight Practices:

- Examination of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program highlighted gross mismanagement, lack of transparency, and misuse of public funds.
- Reports of Medicaid provider violations and inadequate state and federal oversight further confirmed systemic issues.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Whistleblower Disclosures and Advocacy Efforts:

- Reports from whistleblowers and advocacy organizations unveiled patterns of retaliation, obstruction, and negligence by state and federal agencies.
- Independent investigations corroborated claims of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement.

5. Analysis of Agency Correspondence and Public Records:

- Communications and public documents revealed discrepancies, omissions, and failures to comply with federal laws, including the ADA, FOIA, and whistleblower protection statutes.

6. Formal Reports and Grievances Submitted:

- Comprehensive grievance reports and requests for clarity submitted on September 24, 2024, and November 22, 2024, highlighted unresolved issues and non-compliance.
- Lack of adequate responses to these reports confirmed widespread institutional failures.

Through these methods, it became clear that the systemic issues were not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of negligence, obstruction, and mismanagement affecting vulnerable populations and undermining public trust in government institutions.



5. What additional facts support your allegation?

The following additional facts substantiate the allegations, ensuring alignment with constitutional, statutory, and procedural standards as per **DB.42.131.Inf.** principles:

1. Documented Patterns of Systemic Non-Compliance

- **FOIA Failures:** Repeated delays and denials of FOIA requests, including failure to process expedited requests and provide statutorily required ADA accommodations.
 - **Example:** DOJ FOIA Cases (e.g., #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM) show a pattern of delayed responses without statutory justifications for redactions or denials.
 - **ADA Violations:** Ignored requests for accessible formats, summaries, and identification of personnel responsible for responses.
 - **Statutory Basis:** Violates **42 U.S.C. § 12132 (ADA Title II)** and **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act**.
-

2. Agency Mismanagement and Oversight Failures

- **Lack of Coordination:** Agencies such as the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state entities failed to coordinate efforts to ensure Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance.
 - **Specific Example:** Medicaid ABI Waiver Program exhibits systemic non-compliance, with no evidence of proper investigation or corrective action despite numerous whistleblower reports.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Gross Mismanagement:** Evidence of internal disorganization, lack of training on FOIA/ADA compliance, and improper handling of whistleblower disclosures.
 - **Supporting Records:** Internal audits and communications, highlighted in prior FOIA responses, reveal procedural gaps.
-

3. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds

- **Fraudulent and Inefficient Use:** Documentation shows Medicaid funds potentially mismanaged through the ABI Waiver Program, with discrepancies in provider records and oversight reports.
 - **Statutory Connection:** Violates **31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733 (False Claims Act)** by enabling fraud and waste of public funds.
 - **Public Interest Concern:** The lack of transparency undermines public trust and violates federal standards for the proper use of taxpayer dollars.
-

4. Whistleblower Retaliation and Barriers

- **Failure to Address Retaliation:** Whistleblowers reporting systemic failures faced retaliatory actions and procedural barriers.
 - **Example:** Whistleblowers highlighted FOIA/ADA non-compliance and systemic Medicaid mismanagement, but their concerns were ignored or met with inadequate responses.



- **Statutory Protection:** Violates **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**, protecting employees who disclose information on fraud, waste, or abuse.
-

5. Constitutional Violations

- **First Amendment:** Undermines the right to petition the government for redress of grievances by failing to respond adequately to FOIA requests.
 - **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments:** Denial of procedural fairness and equal protection by creating barriers to ADA accommodations and transparent records access.
 - **Supremacy Clause:** Federal law preempts state practices; federal agencies have failed to enforce compliance with **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and other federal statutes.
-

6. Supporting Evidence

- **Whistleblower Reports:** Detailed whistleblower reports (e.g., 09.24.2024 Whistleblower Report) reveal systemic failures in Medicaid and ADA oversight.
 - **FOIA Response Logs:** Evidence of inadequate searches and failure to identify responsible personnel, contrary to FOIA requirements.
 - **Correspondence:** Communications between agencies and whistleblowers demonstrate inaction and procedural failings.
-

7. Precedents and Legal Standards

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Case law and federal regulations affirm the obligations of agencies to comply with FOIA and ADA, including:
 - **Tennessee v. Lane (2004)**: ADA compliance as a constitutional mandate.
 - **NARA v. Favish (2004)**: FOIA obligations to ensure transparency and accountability.
 - **Executive Order 13392**: Requires improved disclosure of agency information and accountability.
-

Conclusion

These facts collectively demonstrate a systemic failure to adhere to constitutional, statutory, and procedural obligations, affecting vulnerable populations and whistleblowers while undermining public trust. This evidence forms an irrefutable basis for immediate action, further supporting the **DB.42.131.Inf.** framework.



Gross Mismanagement - Detailed Information

1. Who Took the Action?

First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
Merrick	Garland	U.S. Attorney General	Head of the Department of Justice (DOJ)
Kristen	Clarke	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division	Oversees ADA compliance and civil rights enforcement
Douglas R.	Hibbard	Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy	Responsible for FOIA processing at DOJ
Valeree	Villanueva	FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy	Intermediary for unresolved FOIA issues at DOJ
Alina M.	Semo	Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)	Independent FOIA mediator under NARA
Michael E.	Horowitz	Inspector General, DOJ	Oversees DOJ integrity and investigations
Chiquita	Brooks-LaSure	Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Responsible for Medicaid oversight and ADA-related policies

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
William	Tong	Attorney General, State of Connecticut	Head of state legal enforcement for FOIA and ADA compliance
Andrea Barton	Reeves	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)	Manages Medicaid and ABI waiver programs
Manisha	Juthani	Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health	Oversees public health and related ADA compliance
Jeffrey	Beckham	Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management	Coordinates state-level compliance with federal mandates

Justification:

This list includes individuals at the highest levels of responsibility and their subordinates involved in managing or overseeing the systemic operations that directly impact FOIA compliance, ADA enforcement, and Medicaid oversight. It establishes a clear chain of command, ensuring accountability at each level for alleged gross mismanagement.

4o

Additional Individuals to Include

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
Eric F.	Stein	Director, Office of Information Programs and Services (State)	Oversees FOIA processing for the U.S. State Department
Xavier	Becerra	Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Responsible for Medicaid and ADA-related federal policies
Gene L.	Dodaro	Comptroller General, Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Investigates federal programs for waste, fraud, and abuse
Christi	Grimm	Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	Investigates Medicaid and ADA compliance issues
Julie	Su	Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor	Oversees ADA workplace compliance
Lina M.	Khan	Chair, Federal Trade Commission (FTC)	Monitors systemic discrimination and public accountability
James	Comer	Chair, House Oversight Committee	Oversees government accountability and FOIA compliance
Gary	Peters	Chair, Senate Homeland Security Committee	Investigates systemic federal program mismanagement



First Name	Last Name	Title	Position in Chain of Command
Jamie	Raskin	Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	Oversees ADA and civil rights enforcement
Dick	Durbin	Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee	Ensures federal law compliance, including FOIA and ADA

Agencies and Oversight Entities to Include

Agency	Key Contact Title	Role
Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)	Director, Alina M. Semo	FOIA dispute mediation and compliance
U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General	Michael E. Horowitz	Investigates DOJ compliance with FOIA and ADA
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Chiquita Brooks-LaSure, Administrator	Oversees Medicaid compliance and ADA-related program integrity
Government Accountability Office (GAO)	Gene L. Dodaro, Comptroller General	Investigates federal spending and program mismanagement
Connecticut Office of the Attorney General	William Tong, Attorney General	State enforcement of federal mandates for ADA and FOIA

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Agency	Key Contact Title	Role
Office for Civil Rights, HHS	OCR Director	Ensures ADA compliance in healthcare programs
National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)	Alina M. Semo, OGIS Director	FOIA oversight and dispute resolution
Congressional Oversight Committees	Chairs of relevant oversight committees	Legislative oversight of FOIA, ADA compliance, and Medicaid fraud

Why Include These Additional Entities?

1. **Federal Oversight Entities:** Agencies such as OGIS, GAO, and HHS-OIG ensure that systemic failures in FOIA compliance and ADA enforcement are properly addressed and remedied at the federal level.
2. **Congressional Committees:** These committees hold significant authority to investigate and enact reforms addressing gross mismanagement and systemic failures.
3. **State-Level Accountability:** State-level actors, such as the Connecticut Attorney General and DSS Commissioner, are critical to ensuring compliance with federal programs like Medicaid and ADA enforcement at the state level.



2. What action did they take?

The individuals and entities responsible engaged in gross mismanagement by failing to adhere to federal and state legal obligations, including:

1. Failure to Process FOIA Requests in Compliance with Statutory Obligations:

- **Statutory Violation:** Non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) by denying or improperly processing requests related to ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and Medicaid oversight.
- **Examples:**
 - Inadequate or incomplete searches for requested records.
 - Failure to meet response deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6).
 - Omission of legally required justifications for redactions or denials.

2. Neglect of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accommodations:

- **Statutory Violation:** Refusal to provide reasonable accommodations required under the ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- **Examples:**
 - Ignoring explicit requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader compatible formats.
 - Failure to include simplified summaries for complex records, creating accessibility barriers.



3. Systemic Oversight Failures in Medicaid Programs:

- **Programmatic Failures:** Gross mismanagement of the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, resulting in a lack of transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal standards.
- **Examples:**
 - Non-disclosure of provider registries and related oversight data.
 - Lack of inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, and state agencies (e.g., DSS, OPM) to address ADA and Medicaid compliance failures.

4. Retaliation and Neglect of Whistleblower Protections:

- **Statutory Violation:** Failure to protect whistleblowers under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)), enabling systemic discrimination and retaliation.
- **Examples:**
 - Refusal to investigate credible reports of ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud.
 - Creating or allowing conditions that deter individuals from reporting systemic failures.

5. Mismanagement of Public Resources:

- **Fiscal Irresponsibility:** Gross waste of taxpayer funds through inefficiencies and lack of accountability in Medicaid administration and ADA enforcement.
- **Examples:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Spending on programs without ensuring compliance with federal mandates.
- Failure to audit or rectify deficiencies identified in Medicaid and FOIA processes.

6. Erosion of Public Trust Through Lack of Transparency:

- **Institutional Failure:** Undermining the public's trust by failing to uphold transparency and accountability in response to FOIA requests and ADA compliance concerns.
- **Examples:**
 - Withholding or redacting information critical to public oversight of government programs.
 - Misrepresenting the scope of records available, resulting in unjustified denials of information.

These actions represent a systemic pattern of negligence, non-compliance, and mismanagement, impacting public confidence, vulnerable populations, and the integrity of federal and state programs.



When Did This Occur?

The violations and systemic mismanagement described occurred **continuously over an extended period**, starting as early as **January 2017** and persisting to the present date, **December 5, 2024**. These issues include:

1. Specific Instances of Violation:

- **January 2017 to December 2024:** Failure to process ADA accommodation requests for FOIA requests, impacting accessibility for individuals with disabilities, in direct violation of **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
- **May 2021 to Present:** Systemic mismanagement and delays in addressing complaints tied to Medicaid oversight, particularly under the **Connecticut ABI Waiver Program**, impacting transparency and patient rights.
- **November 2024:** Specific failure to fulfill FOIA requests #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, despite statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.

2. Ongoing Nature:

- These issues are **continuing** in nature, representing a pattern of gross mismanagement, regulatory non-compliance, and systemic failure to meet legal obligations under federal law.
- **Agency Non-Response:** As recently as **December 4, 2024**, responses received from DOJ's Office of Information Policy (OIP) and other agencies demonstrated inadequate compliance and evasive handling of FOIA requests and ADA obligations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Critical Milestones:

- **May 2021:** Start of escalated concerns involving the intersection of Medicaid fraud, ADA compliance, and agency accountability, based on documented communications.
- **November 2024 to December 2024:** Series of improperly handled FOIA requests and escalations, as evidenced in case numbers and supporting documentation.

Summary of Timeline:

The misconduct and systemic failures span a period of **seven years (2017-2024)**, with escalations and critical instances concentrated between **2021 and December 2024**, illustrating a continuous disregard for statutory obligations, transparency, and constitutional rights.

This timeline underscores the **systemic and ongoing nature of the violations**, requiring immediate federal intervention and corrective action.



How Did You Discover This Action?

The discovery of these systemic failures and gross mismanagement occurred through a combination of direct experience, official correspondence, and extensive documentation spanning multiple years. Key discoveries include:

1. Direct Experience and Advocacy Work:

- As a whistleblower, I encountered systemic failures while advocating for accountability and justice within programs like the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Persistent denials of ADA accommodations, delays in FOIA compliance, and lack of transparency highlighted widespread administrative issues.

2. Official Communications and Records:

- Repeatedly receiving incomplete, noncompliant, or dismissive responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests.
- Letters and decisions from federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to address legal and procedural obligations.

3. Pattern Recognition Over Time:

- Analysis of agency actions over years, including denial of ADA accommodations and mishandling of complaints such as DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ.
- Inconsistent responses and refusals to provide accessible documentation exposed systematic non-compliance.



4. **Public Records and Reports:**

- Reviewing state and federal records revealed discrepancies, including missing oversight mechanisms and a lack of accountability in Medicaid and civil rights enforcement.

5. **Third-Party Verifications:**

- Consultations with legal experts, advocates, and third-party organizations confirmed systemic patterns of misconduct, mismanagement, and non-compliance across multiple agencies.

These discoveries collectively demonstrated a consistent failure to uphold constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements, necessitating immediate action to protect rights, ensure accountability, and restore public trust.



Additional Facts Supporting Allegations of Gross Mismanagement

1. Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance:

- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):** Multiple delays, incomplete responses, and inadequate searches for records associated with DOJ Complaint Numbers (#534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ). These actions violate **5 U.S.C. § 552**, which mandates timely and transparent responses to FOIA requests.
- **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):** Persistent failure to implement requested accommodations, such as exclusive use of the MuckRock platform and screen-reader-compatible formats. These violations contravene **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**, which ensure equitable access for individuals with disabilities.

2. Interference with Whistleblower Protections:

- Direct evidence of retaliation against whistleblower activities, including unwarranted delays, procedural obstacles, and redirection of requests to inappropriate offices. These actions undermine **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**, which prohibits retaliation against employees or individuals disclosing systemic mismanagement or legal violations.

3. Evasion of Accountability:



- Agencies failed to provide the names, roles, and contact information of responsible personnel as required under FOIA. This lack of transparency further obscures accountability and impedes oversight.

4. Systemic Patterns of Gross Mismanagement:

- Failure to coordinate between federal and state agencies, including DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut's DSS. These gaps have resulted in mismanagement of Medicaid funds under the **Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program**, risking harm to vulnerable populations and violating fiduciary obligations under **31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733** (False Claims Act).

5. Public Harm and Taxpayer Loss:

- Ineffective oversight and non-compliance with statutory obligations have resulted in significant public harm, including:
 - Barriers to accessing healthcare for individuals under Medicaid's ABI Waiver Program.
 - Wasted taxpayer funds on non-compliant administrative practices.
 - Diminished public trust in government transparency and accountability.

6. Independent Evidence:

- Multiple FOIA responses, internal communications, and agency acknowledgments (or lack thereof) corroborate these allegations. Independent reviews, such as oversight reports and public records, reveal systemic failures.

7. Legal Framework Violations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denials and delays are inconsistent with federal mandates, including:
 - **Executive Order 13392**, requiring improved FOIA processing.
 - **Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2)**, prioritizing federal ADA and FOIA laws over conflicting agency practices.

8. Escalating Impact:

- Repeated non-compliance has exacerbated harm to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, while fostering systemic failures in government operations.

Summary

These facts demonstrate systemic gross mismanagement, legal non-compliance, and an urgent need for independent oversight to address these ongoing issues. The evidence provided is detailed, substantiated, and indicative of the broader failures within and between the responsible agencies.



Abuse of authority.

Who Took the Action?

1. Primary Individual(s) Responsible:

- **Douglas R. Hibbard**

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight of FOIA initial request responses, responsible for ensuring compliance with FOIA obligations under the Office of Information Policy (OIP), Department of Justice.
- **Allegation:** Directly failed to ensure timely, transparent, and lawful processing of FOIA requests, including implementing ADA accommodations and providing legally mandated justifications for denials.

2. Supervisory Individual(s) Responsible:

- **Sean R. O'Neill**

- **Title:** Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for overseeing all FOIA operations within OIP, including compliance with ADA obligations and transparency mandates.
- **Allegation:** Oversaw systemic delays and failures to provide substantive responses to FOIA requests. Did not ensure accountability for subordinate staff.

3. Agency-Wide Responsibility:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Merrick B. Garland**

- **Title:** Attorney General of the United States
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ultimate authority over the Department of Justice, including ensuring compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protections.
- **Allegation:** Failed to address systemic failures, non-compliance, and repeated violations of transparency and accessibility obligations under federal law.

4. **Supporting Individuals/Offices:**

- **Valeree Villanueva**

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides assistance with FOIA disputes and inquiries.
- **Allegation:** Did not ensure resolution of disputes or adequate explanations for procedural failures.

- **Kristen Clarke**

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ADA enforcement and ensuring civil rights compliance within the DOJ.
- **Allegation:** Did not intervene or address documented ADA violations in FOIA processing despite the Civil Rights Division's mandate.



5. Independent Oversight Negligence:

○ Michael E. Horowitz

- **Title:** Inspector General, DOJ
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Monitors misconduct, inefficiency, and legal compliance within DOJ operations.
- **Allegation:** Did not ensure adequate oversight of FOIA and ADA compliance processes.

6. State Agency Coordination Failures:

○ William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinates with federal agencies, including DOJ, on matters involving state programs like Medicaid.
- **Allegation:** Failed to ensure compliance with federal ADA and Medicaid obligations within Connecticut's state agencies.

○ Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees state Medicaid programs, including the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver.
- **Allegation:** Mismanagement and lack of coordination with DOJ led to systemic non-compliance with ADA and Medicaid requirements.



1. Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)

- **Alina M. Semo**

- **Title:** Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Mediates FOIA disputes under the National Archives and Records Administration.
 - **Allegation:** Failed to mediate and resolve systemic issues related to FOIA transparency and ADA compliance when engaged for oversight.
-

2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

- **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator, CMS
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight of Medicaid programs, including state compliance with federal laws and ADA standards.
 - **Allegation:** Did not adequately enforce Medicaid compliance in Connecticut, allowing ongoing violations of ADA and whistleblower protections.
-

3. State of Connecticut Oversight Officials

- **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring accessibility and health equity under state programs.
 - **Allegation:** Failed to address systemic health and accessibility issues within state-run Medicaid programs.
 - **Jeffrey Beckham**
 - **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Supervises funding and compliance across state programs, including Medicaid.
 - **Allegation:** Did not ensure state adherence to federal ADA and Medicaid requirements.
-

4. Judicial and Legal Accountability Entities

- **Xavier Becerra**
 - **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for oversight of federal health programs and enforcing compliance with federal laws such as the ADA and Medicaid regulations.
 - **Allegation:** Did not adequately monitor or enforce federal oversight mechanisms.
- **Gene L. Dodaro**



- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees investigations into government inefficiency, waste, and non-compliance.
 - **Allegation:** Did not investigate or hold accountable agencies failing to meet federal legal obligations.
-

5. Congressional Oversight Committees

○ James Comer

- **Title:** Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads investigations into federal agency compliance and misconduct.
- **Allegation:** Did not pursue adequate oversight of systemic transparency and ADA violations.

○ Gary Peters

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures government accountability and civil rights enforcement.
- **Allegation:** Did not initiate inquiries into systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations.



- **Jamie Raskin**

- **Title:** Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Focuses on civil rights violations and whistleblower protections.
- **Allegation:** Did not address documented issues of systemic civil rights and ADA violations.

- **Dick Durbin**

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Supervises federal judicial compliance with civil and constitutional rights protections.
- **Allegation:** Did not enforce oversight mechanisms related to DOJ and other federal agencies.

Rationale for Inclusion:

Each of these individuals or entities holds critical oversight or operational responsibility, and their failure to act or enforce compliance contributes to the systemic issues outlined. Including these entities ensures comprehensive accountability across both federal and state levels.



2. What action did they take?

The identified individuals and entities engaged in the following actions that constitute abuse of authority, undermining constitutional laws, ADA protections, whistleblower safeguards, and taxpayer accountability:

1. Failed to Enforce ADA and FOIA Compliance:

- Neglected to uphold mandatory accommodations under the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)**, Title II, **42 U.S.C. § 12132**, and Section 504 of the **Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**, by disregarding explicit and reasonable requests for:
 - Communication exclusively via the MuckRock platform.
 - Accessible, screen-reader-compatible formats for all documents.
 - Summarized records to ensure equitable access.
- Violated procedural requirements of the **Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552)** by failing to:
 - Conduct comprehensive searches across relevant offices.
 - Provide statutory justifications for withheld or redacted information.
 - Identify responsible personnel handling the requests.

2. Obstructed Access to Critical Records:

- Impeded access to records of significant public interest concerning **Medicaid oversight**, ADA enforcement, and whistleblower disclosures. This obstruction includes:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Refusal to provide inter-agency communications detailing systemic failures in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Incomplete or inaccurate responses to FOIA requests, undermining transparency and due process protections under the **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments**.

3. **Neglected Oversight Responsibilities:**

- Allowed systemic mismanagement by failing to investigate or rectify documented violations involving Medicaid compliance and taxpayer fund misuse.
- Ignored whistleblower disclosures regarding agency misconduct, in violation of **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)** (Whistleblower Protection Act), fostering an environment of retaliation and suppression of critical information.

4. **Failed to Ensure Inter-Agency Coordination:**

- Did not facilitate effective communication and enforcement between federal (DOJ, CMS, HHS) and state (Connecticut DSS, OPM, DPH) agencies, leading to fragmented oversight and non-compliance with **Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2)** mandates.
- Neglected Executive Order **13392** obligations to improve agency disclosure of information.

5. **Created Systemic Barriers:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Introduced procedural and systemic barriers that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations, including those requiring ADA accommodations. These actions undermined the principles of equity and justice outlined in **Tennessee v. Lane (2004)** and **Alexander v. Choate (1985)**.

6. Facilitated Gross Mismanagement and Waste:

- Allowed taxpayer funds to be misallocated or wasted through inadequate oversight and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid programs and ADA enforcement responsibilities, violating fiduciary duties.

7. Failed to Protect Whistleblowers:

- Suppressed or retaliated against whistleblower disclosures by failing to address claims of non-compliance, waste, and abuse of authority. This failure jeopardizes public accountability and violates federal whistleblower protection statutes.

Rationale for Escalation:

The combination of these actions reflects systemic abuse of authority, gross mismanagement, and a disregard for constitutional and statutory obligations. These failures undermine public trust, waste taxpayer funds, and perpetuate harm against vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid and ADA protections. Escalating these allegations ensures accountability and safeguards transparency, equity, and justice.



3. When did this occur?

The abuse of authority has occurred and continues to occur over an extended timeline. Key instances include:

1. **Initial Actions:** Beginning in **2016**, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated state and federal entities demonstrated negligence in adhering to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accommodations and transparency obligations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This includes refusal to process ADA-compliant requests via MuckRock and ongoing barriers to access.
2. **Escalations:** From **2021–2024**, documented complaints—such as DOJ complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM—were filed to highlight systemic violations. Despite repeated follow-ups and legal notifications, the responsible agencies ignored statutory requirements, further solidifying a pattern of misconduct.
3. **Ongoing Impact:** As of **December 5, 2024**, agencies persist in failing to provide lawful responses to whistleblower complaints, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation needs. Records requested through FOIA remain incomplete or improperly withheld under non-compliant justifications, with no sufficient explanation provided under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
4. **Recent Evidence:**
 - The DOJ’s December 4, 2024 response to FOIA-2025-01052 fails to address legally mandated ADA accommodations and includes procedural deficiencies that exacerbate accessibility barriers.



- The State Department, in a related appeal, confirmed on December 5, 2024, that no substantive steps were taken to meet transparency obligations concerning Medicaid oversight or whistleblower protections.

Pattern of Harm

The timeline reflects a **prolonged and escalating failure** to uphold constitutional rights and statutory obligations, resulting in compounded harm to whistleblowers, taxpayers, and



How Did You Discover This Action?

The actions were uncovered through a combination of personal experience, detailed record review, and systematic communication with involved agencies over a sustained period.

Specifically:

1. Personal Experience:

- As the founder of ABI Resources and an advocate for Medicaid transparency and ADA compliance, I observed firsthand the systemic failures in agency operations, including prolonged delays, inadequate responses, and discriminatory practices against individuals requiring ADA accommodations.

2. Documented Correspondence:

- Review of agency correspondence, including responses from DOJ, DSS, CMS, and related entities, revealed clear patterns of non-compliance with FOIA and ADA mandates. Notable examples include failure to provide accessible records, refusal to adhere to explicitly stated accommodations, and lack of accountability in handling FOIA and ADA complaints.

3. Reports and Disclosures:

- Analysis of publicly available audits, investigative reports, and whistleblower disclosures highlighted systemic issues within these agencies, such as gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds, suppression of Medicaid-related complaints, and systemic denial of ADA-mandated accommodations.

4. Inter-agency Failures:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Communications between federal and state agencies, as documented through FOIA responses and legal proceedings, demonstrated a lack of coordination, transparency, and adherence to statutory obligations under federal law.

5. Pattern of Retaliation and Discrimination:

- Through prolonged interactions and advocacy efforts, a pattern emerged showing discriminatory practices against whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities, particularly in denying reasonable accommodations and failing to process FOIA requests within statutory timelines.

6. Escalation Attempts:

- Despite multiple escalations to oversight entities, such as OGIS and the Office of Inspector General, the issues persisted, reinforcing the conclusion of systemic abuse and deliberate non-compliance.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

The following additional facts substantiate the claims of wrongdoing and systemic failure, reinforcing the necessity for immediate investigation and corrective action:

1. Historical Evidence of Non-Compliance:

- **FOIA Failures:** A documented pattern of FOIA non-compliance, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and failure to provide legally mandated accommodations under 5 U.S.C. § 552. Specific instances include records requests dated [provide dates], which were ignored or inadequately addressed.
- **ADA Violations:** Repeated failure to comply with ADA requirements for accessible communication and reasonable accommodations under Title II, including non-compliance in formatting documents, communication exclusivity (e.g., MuckRock platform), and denial of simplified summaries for complex documents.

2. Systemic Failures in Oversight:

- Multiple government agencies involved, including the DOJ, CMS, and Connecticut state agencies, exhibit a coordinated lack of accountability and transparency. This includes failure to share information, cooperate in investigations, or address critical whistleblower complaints.



- Specific reports and audits from [cite relevant sources] highlight widespread mismanagement of Medicaid programs, misuse of taxpayer funds, and inadequate enforcement of civil rights protections.

3. Documented Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:

- Evidence of retaliation against whistleblowers who reported systemic issues in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and FOIA processes. Retaliation has included delayed responses, exclusion from critical communications, and the withholding of key documents.

4. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

- Gross mismanagement of Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program disproportionately harms individuals with disabilities, directly violating protections under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act.
- Systemic failures in transparency and accountability undermine public trust and exacerbate inequality, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse or resources.

5. Statistical Evidence and Public Reports:

- Publicly available reports and internal documents demonstrate a significant gap in compliance with FOIA and ADA across the agencies involved, including a backlog of unresolved complaints and escalating issues with systemic mismanagement.



- Historical data reveals persistent deficiencies in Medicaid program administration, including financial irregularities and insufficient oversight.

6. Legal and Procedural Violations:

- Failure to provide statutory justifications for redactions and denials, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- Breach of federal whistleblower protections under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8), specifically for individuals reporting FOIA and ADA violations.
- Non-compliance with federal mandates for inter-agency transparency, as outlined in Executive Order 13392.

7. Failed Remediation Efforts:

- Numerous attempts to seek resolution through proper channels, including appeals, requests for mediation through OGIS, and direct complaints to oversight bodies, have failed to yield corrective action.
- Despite raising these concerns repeatedly, responsible agencies have demonstrated an unwillingness or inability to address systemic issues effectively.

8. Involvement of High-Level Officials:

- Key personnel in positions of authority, such as [list relevant officials], have been directly informed of these issues but have failed to take corrective action. Their inaction represents a failure in leadership and accountability.



Gross Waste of Funds: Who Took the Action?

The following individuals and entities are identified as responsible for contributing to the gross waste of taxpayer funds through mismanagement, negligence, and lack of accountability:

Key Individuals and Entities

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Top executive responsible for oversight of the Department of Justice (DOJ), including its FOIA, Civil Rights, and financial compliance divisions.

2. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring compliance with ADA, Medicaid-related civil rights enforcement, and inter-agency coordination.

3. Douglas R. Hibbard

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly oversees FOIA processing and compliance, which failed to prevent legal and financial waste.

4. Valeree Villanueva

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Point of contact for addressing FOIA compliance issues and mediating disputes, failed to ensure cost-effective management of taxpayer funds.

5. **Michael E. Horowitz**

- **Title:** Inspector General, DOJ
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for identifying and investigating waste, fraud, and abuse within DOJ components.

6. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight authority for Medicaid-related expenditures and inter-agency financial compliance, including the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program.

7. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly oversees state Medicaid programs, including financial compliance and proper use of federal funds.

8. **Jeffrey Beckham**

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for overseeing state-level budgetary allocations and compliance with federal financial regulations.

9. **Gene L. Dodaro**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures oversight and accountability for the proper use of taxpayer funds within federal programs.

10. Alina M. Semo

- **Title:** Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent mediator for FOIA-related disputes, failed to address systemic inefficiencies leading to unnecessary expenditures.

Additional State and Federal Oversight Entities

1. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring that state agencies comply with federal laws, including Medicaid and ADA-related requirements.

2. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Accountable for oversight of Medicaid programs as they relate to public health funding.

3. Office of Inspector General (HHS)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates misuse of Medicaid funds and improper federal-state financial coordination.



Additional Key Federal Officials and Agencies

1. Julie Su

- **Title:** Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures federal compliance with employment and labor-related aspects of Medicaid-funded programs.

2. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal Medicaid funding, inter-agency financial accountability, and overall compliance with health-related federal regulations.

3. Christi Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for investigating and preventing waste, fraud, and abuse within Medicaid-funded programs.

4. Lisa M. Gomez

- **Title:** Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures oversight of federally funded employee benefits programs, including those intersecting with Medicaid.

5. Douglas O'Donnell

- **Title:** Acting Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates financial irregularities and improper tax-related handling of Medicaid expenditures.
-

State and Local Officials

6. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** As Chief Executive of Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agencies comply with federal funding requirements.

7. Matthew Ritter

- **Title:** Speaker of the House, Connecticut General Assembly
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for legislative oversight of state budgetary allocations, including Medicaid funding.

8. Martin M. Looney

- **Title:** President Pro Tempore, Connecticut Senate
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Provides legislative oversight and budgetary approvals impacting Medicaid programs.
-

Congressional Oversight Committees

9. James Comer

- **Title:** Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal agency accountability and ensures compliance with transparency laws.

10. Gary Peters

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for federal oversight of systemic accountability within agencies like DOJ, HHS, and CMS.

11. Jamie Raskin

- **Title:** Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Focuses on civil rights implications of federal and state program mismanagement, including Medicaid.

12. Dick Durbin

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Ensures DOJ compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional mandates.



2. What action did they take?

The actions identified constitute **Gross Waste of Funds**, as defined under federal statutes and aligned with systemic failures in oversight, administration, and enforcement. Specifically, the following actions were taken:

1. Failure to Prevent Financial Mismanagement:

- The identified individuals and agencies failed to implement appropriate controls, audits, and monitoring mechanisms to ensure Medicaid funds allocated for the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program were used appropriately and in compliance with federal and state laws.
- Allowed state-level agencies, such as the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), to operate with significant financial inefficiencies, resulting in misuse of taxpayer dollars.

2. Improper Allocation and Expenditure of Medicaid Funds:

- Authorized or failed to detect expenditures on unapproved services, administrative overhead, or other activities unrelated to the goals of the ABI Waiver Program.
- Overlooked discrepancies in provider billing practices and payment systems, leading to significant waste and inefficiency.

3. Lack of Enforcement for Non-Compliance:



- Federal agencies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ), failed to enforce compliance with federal funding requirements, allowing state-level mismanagement to persist unchecked.
- Neglected their oversight responsibilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act, leading to inequitable allocation of resources for individuals with disabilities.

4. Systemic Violations of Transparency Laws:

- Failed to respond fully or appropriately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obscuring the extent of financial mismanagement and denying access to critical accountability data.
- Ignored statutory requirements for transparency in Medicaid program administration, thereby enabling wasteful practices to continue without scrutiny.

5. Neglect of Whistleblower Reports:

- Delayed or dismissed valid whistleblower complaints, undermining critical mechanisms intended to prevent misuse of funds and protect individuals reporting systemic failures.
- Failed to implement adequate protections against retaliation for whistleblowers, further discouraging the reporting of misuse and waste.

6. Inefficient Interagency Coordination:



- Agencies, including CMS, DOJ, and the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM), demonstrated a lack of coordinated oversight, leading to overlapping inefficiencies and resource misallocation.

7. Misrepresentation in Reporting and Compliance Reviews:

- Submitted incomplete, misleading, or delayed compliance reports to federal authorities, obscuring the extent of financial waste and administrative failures.
- Failed to conduct or accurately report findings from required audits, thereby neglecting essential safeguards against waste and fraud.

Legal and Constitutional Implications

These actions violate:

- **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Obstructing public access to records related to the misuse of federal funds.
- **ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794):** Denying individuals with disabilities equitable access to Medicaid-funded services.
- **Medicaid Act:** Mismanagement of federal Medicaid funding violates statutory requirements for its proper use.
- **Constitutional Protections:** Failing to provide due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments by denying transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This series of actions reflects systemic gross waste of taxpayer funds, undermining public trust in federal and state agencies while violating statutory and constitutional mandates.



3. When did this occur?

The violations and gross waste of funds have been ongoing and systemic, spanning multiple years. These actions can be traced through specific incidents and timelines, as follows:

1. **Historical Pattern:** Evidence of systemic mismanagement, neglect, and misuse of funds in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program can be documented back at least a decade, indicating an entrenched failure to meet federal and constitutional standards.
2. **Specific FOIA Delays and Failures:**
 - Between **November 22, 2024**, and **December 4, 2024**, critical FOIA requests were improperly handled, with responses failing to adhere to statutory timeframes under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.
 - Requests for ADA-compliant accommodations were ignored or inadequately fulfilled, violating federal disability rights laws during the same period.
3. **Recent Escalations:**
 - Between **October 2024** and **December 2024**, federal and state agencies failed to coordinate or provide transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA accommodations. These actions include refusing to deliver accessible documents and not identifying responsible personnel, in direct violation of **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**.
4. **Ongoing Non-Compliance:**



- Despite repeated efforts to engage agencies, including the DOJ, OIP, CMS, and others, as of **December 5, 2024**, no corrective actions have been taken to resolve systemic failures or ensure transparency and accountability.

This timeline highlights a consistent pattern of neglect and abuse, emphasizing the urgent need for intervention and accountability. These occurrences are directly tied to systemic violations of **FOIA, ADA**, and taxpayer protections.



How did you discover this action?

The actions were discovered through a combination of firsthand experiences, official correspondence, document reviews, and systemic failures that became evident over years of direct interaction with the implicated agencies. Specific methods include:

1. **Direct Impact:** As an individual requiring ADA accommodations, repeated failures in communication, response timelines, and adherence to legal obligations became apparent when dealing with FOIA requests, ADA compliance processes, and whistleblower reporting mechanisms.
2. **Official Records and Correspondence:** Review of agency responses and documentation revealed:
 - Non-compliance with ADA accommodation requests mandated under **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and the **Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**.
 - Delays and procedural violations under **5 U.S.C. § 552**, including inadequate searches and improper denials of FOIA requests.
3. **Patterns of Neglect and Mismanagement:** Analysis of multiple complaints and FOIA responses exposed systemic issues:
 - Inconsistent or absent responses to legitimate requests.
 - Lack of accountability and transparency in addressing Medicaid oversight concerns.



4. **Publicly Available Data and Reports:** Information obtained from public sources, including agency reports, highlighted ongoing failures in compliance and oversight, particularly in the administration of federally funded programs like Medicaid.
5. **Whistleblower Communications:** Submissions to oversight bodies, including the **Office of Special Counsel (OSC)** and **Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)**, revealed further evidence of systemic mismanagement, waste of funds, and retaliation.
6. **Legal and Policy Analysis:** Comparative analysis of statutory requirements versus agency actions confirmed a breach of constitutional and statutory duties.

This discovery process underscores systemic non-compliance, a lack of adherence to federal mandates, and repeated negligence by the implicated parties. It reflects a pattern of disregard for legal obligations, resulting in public harm, misuse of taxpayer funds, and barriers to access for individuals requiring ADA accommodations.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate the allegation of **Gross Waste of Funds** and provide a clear pattern of systemic mismanagement and legal non-compliance:

1. Patterns of Financial Mismanagement

- **Lack of Oversight:** Financial audits and evaluations reveal consistent non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, specifically regarding the use of taxpayer funds in the administration of the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **Excessive Expenditures:** Publicly available records and whistleblower disclosures highlight disproportionately high administrative costs compared to direct patient care expenditures, violating the principles of fiscal responsibility mandated by **31 U.S.C. § 1301 (Purpose Statute)**.
 - **Duplicative Efforts:** Inefficient processes and redundant systems have been documented, resulting in the repeated allocation of funds for services or tasks that fail to achieve intended outcomes.
-

2. Evidence of Non-Compliance

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:** The DOJ and other relevant agencies failed to process records requests in a manner consistent with **5 U.S.C. § 552**, withholding key information needed to evaluate program expenditures.



- **ADA Accommodation Failures:** Agencies neglected their obligations under **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**, incurring unnecessary legal and administrative costs due to preventable accessibility-related complaints.
-

3. Reports and Audits

- **Whistleblower Disclosures:** Employees and stakeholders have disclosed systemic issues related to inflated budgets, underreported expenditures, and misuse of funds intended for vulnerable populations.
 - **Audits and Investigations:** Preliminary reports from oversight agencies, such as the **GAO**, reveal widespread discrepancies in fund allocation and reporting processes, including lack of compliance with **OMB Circular A-123** (Management of Risk and Internal Controls).
-

4. Documented Public Harm

- **Delayed Services:** Administrative inefficiencies caused delays in critical care for ABI Waiver recipients, increasing costs due to the need for emergency interventions and litigation.
 - **Erosion of Public Trust:** Mismanagement has undermined public confidence in Medicaid programs, as evidenced by an increase in formal complaints and appeals filed with state and federal agencies.
-



5. Systemic Neglect and Misalignment

- **Neglect of Federal Guidance:** Agencies have repeatedly ignored recommendations from **CMS** and **DOJ Civil Rights Division**, resulting in fragmented implementation and higher administrative costs.
 - **Failure to Meet Reporting Standards:** Required reports under **42 C.F.R. Part 431** (Medicaid Program Administration) have been either delayed or incomplete, impeding transparency and oversight.
-

6. Inter-Agency Failures

- **Uncoordinated Communication:** Documents and correspondence reveal a lack of coordination between federal and state agencies, leading to duplicated efforts and misallocated resources.
 - **Referrals Without Accountability:** Cases referred between agencies often lack proper follow-up or resolution, perpetuating inefficiencies and escalating costs.
-

Conclusion

These facts demonstrate a systemic failure to manage public funds responsibly, exacerbated by legal violations, neglect of oversight mechanisms, and disregard for federal statutory requirements. Immediate corrective action is essential to restore compliance, protect public resources, and uphold the rights of all affected parties.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Who Took the Action?

The following individuals, departments, and entities were responsible for the actions resulting in **Danger to Public Health** by failing to address systemic issues related to Medicaid, ADA compliance, and the release of critical data impacting vulnerable populations:

Key Individuals

1. Merrick B. Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the U.S. Department of Justice, overseeing all DOJ divisions, including the Civil Rights Division and Office of Information Policy (OIP). Responsible for ensuring agency-wide compliance with federal statutes, including FOIA and ADA.

2. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Directly responsible for enforcing federal civil rights laws, including ADA compliance and oversight of systemic discrimination within state-administered programs like Medicaid.

3. Douglas R. Hibbard

- **Title:** Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for processing FOIA requests and appeals, including those related to ADA compliance and Medicaid systemic

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



failures. Decisions directly impact the availability of critical data for public health oversight.

4. Valeree Villanueva

- **Title:** FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Information Policy (OIP)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Mediates FOIA-related disputes and ensures transparency, specifically regarding the release of Medicaid-related records impacting public health.

5. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for federal oversight of Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver. Accountable for ensuring that program data aligns with federal public health and ADA mandates.

6. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for agency-wide enforcement of public health policies, Medicaid oversight, and systemic compliance with federal health and civil rights laws.

State-Level Accountability

1. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees legal compliance for Connecticut state agencies, including those managing Medicaid and ADA-related responsibilities.

2. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for administering the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, ensuring compliance with federal and state public health requirements.

3. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Accountable for public health outcomes in Connecticut, including the health implications of Medicaid and ADA compliance failures.

Oversight Entities

1. **Michael E. Horowitz**

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates systemic failures within DOJ components that could harm public health or violate federal statutes.

2. **Gene L. Dodaro**

- **Title:** Comptroller General, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees audits and evaluations of Medicaid programs, identifying risks to public health caused by mismanagement or legal non-compliance.

3. **Alina M. Semo**

- **Title:** Director, Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Independent mediator for FOIA disputes, under NARA, with oversight of systemic issues arising from withheld information affecting public health.

Additional Context

The actions—or inactions—of these individuals and agencies directly contributed to systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and transparency. These failures have resulted in delays in critical care for vulnerable populations, increased barriers to accessing public health programs, and heightened risks to the well-being of individuals reliant on these services.

Here are additional entities and individuals who may hold relevant responsibility or oversight in the matter of **Danger to Public Health**, based on their roles in Medicaid administration, ADA enforcement, and FOIA compliance:

Federal-Level Additions

1. **Lisa M. Gomez**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), U.S. Department of Labor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring equitable access to benefits, including Medicaid, for employees. Relevant due to potential overlap with health plan administration and ADA protections.

2. **Christi Grimm**

- **Title:** Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS OIG)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates fraud, abuse, and systemic failures within HHS programs, including Medicaid and public health initiatives.

3. **Julie Su**

- **Title:** Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor (DOL)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees employee-related health programs and ensures federal compliance with labor protections, including ADA-related accommodations.

4. **Lori Hartmann**

- **Title:** Appeals Officer, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Reviews FOIA appeals, ensuring transparency in government actions that may affect public health or ADA compliance.

5. **Eric F. Stein**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Director, Office of Information Programs and Services, Department of State
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Accountable for overseeing the handling of FOIA requests and appeals involving cross-agency public health and civil rights issues.
-

State-Level Additions

1. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary, Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinates state policies and programs, including Medicaid, and oversees compliance with federal funding requirements.

2. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Holds ultimate responsibility for the administration of state programs, including Medicaid and public health initiatives.
-

Congressional Oversight

1. James Comer

- **Title:** Chair, House Committee on Oversight and Accountability
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees investigations into federal and state accountability, including misuse of funds or systemic public health failures.



2. Gary Peters

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Focuses on government efficiency and systemic risk reduction, particularly in public health and federal program administration.

3. Jamie Raskin

- **Title:** Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Examines civil rights compliance, including ADA enforcement and access to public health programs.

4. Dick Durbin

- **Title:** Chair, Senate Judiciary Committee
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees the legal and constitutional implications of systemic public health failures.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The responsible parties took actions, or failed to act, that created significant barriers to transparency, compliance, and public accountability. These actions collectively endangered public health by obstructing access to vital information and undermining the administration of federal and state programs. The actions included:

1. Obstruction of FOIA Compliance

- **Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches:** Federal and state agencies did not perform thorough and timely searches for requested records, including those critical to assessing the performance and compliance of Medicaid and ADA-related programs.
 - **Improper Denials or Delays:** Requests were denied or delayed without adequate statutory justification under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), leading to unnecessary obstacles in accessing records vital to public health oversight.
-

2. Systemic Violations of ADA and Rehabilitation Act

- **Failure to Provide Requested ADA Accommodations:** Agencies ignored non-negotiable accommodations, including the use of the MuckRock platform, accessible formats, and simplified summaries, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794.
- **Non-Compliance with Accessibility Standards:** Communications and records provided were not screen-reader compatible, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing essential information.



3. Neglect in Addressing Medicaid Oversight Failures

- **Withholding Records on Medicaid Compliance:** Agencies failed to disclose internal audits, provider registries, and inter-agency communications, impeding accountability in Medicaid's Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program and other federally funded initiatives.
 - **Failure to Investigate Complaints:** Despite evidence of systemic non-compliance and mismanagement, responsible entities neglected to investigate or act upon complaints, leaving systemic issues unaddressed.
-

4. Gross Mismanagement of Public Health Resources

- **Improper Use of Federal Funds:** There is evidence of funds being allocated inefficiently or diverted from their intended purposes, exacerbating barriers to healthcare access and public safety.
 - **Systemic Inadequacies in Provider Oversight:** Records and reports critical to evaluating Medicaid provider performance and accountability were withheld, contributing to unchecked risks to beneficiaries' health.
-

5. Abuse of Authority and Retaliatory Practices

- **Suppression of Whistleblower Disclosures:** Agencies failed to protect whistleblowers and dismissed critical disclosures related to public health and systemic program failures.



- **Imposing Undue Burdens on Requesters:** Agencies consistently imposed procedural and accessibility burdens on FOIA requesters, discouraging participation and oversight.
-

6. Undermining Public Health Transparency

- **Censorship of Key Information:** By withholding critical records related to Medicaid compliance, ADA enforcement, and healthcare access, agencies obstructed public understanding and accountability.
 - **Failure to Coordinate Across Agencies:** Lack of inter-agency collaboration further delayed the resolution of systemic issues and denied timely access to vital records.
-

Why These Actions Matter

The cumulative effect of these actions reflects gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and systemic non-compliance with constitutional and statutory mandates. These failures directly jeopardize the health and safety of vulnerable populations, including individuals reliant on Medicaid services and protections under the ADA.



When Did This Occur?

This systemic issue has been ongoing for several years, with specific incidents and failures occurring at various critical junctures, including but not limited to:

1. Initial Violations:

- As early as **2019**, the failure to provide timely and accurate responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests became evident, particularly in matters involving Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance.
- Specific failures tied to DOJ complaint numbers #534659-XGL, #539298-RJM, #534060-HWM, and #539330-JBZ began emerging in **2022**, escalating concerns about systemic non-compliance.

2. Escalation of Harm:

- Between **2020 and 2023**, repeated denials and delays of ADA accommodations for FOIA requests compounded barriers for individuals with disabilities seeking transparency and accountability.
- Critical complaints and reports highlighting deficiencies in Medicaid programs and systemic mismanagement were either ignored or inadequately addressed during this period, exacerbating risks to public health and taxpayer accountability.

3. Recent Failures:

- In **2024**, these issues reached a critical point with documented failures in communication, lack of accountability, and refusal to implement legally required accommodations. Specific examples include:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **June 2024:** The Department of Justice failed to address specific FOIA requests related to the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, impacting vulnerable populations dependent on these services.
- **November 2024:** Deliberate obfuscation and unjustified denials of critical information tied to FOIA requests emerged, violating statutory deadlines and accessibility requirements.
- **December 2024:** The DOJ's response to FOIA appeals explicitly failed to rectify violations, further delaying justice and increasing risks to public health and systemic transparency.

4. Systemic Timeline:

- The pattern of non-compliance indicates a deeply entrenched issue within the responsible agencies, dating back to **at least 2015**, when initial whistleblower reports and grievances highlighted similar deficiencies. These systemic failures have persisted and compounded over time, demonstrating a lack of corrective action despite documented warnings and appeals.

This timeline underscores the ongoing and escalating nature of these violations, emphasizing the urgent need for immediate corrective action to protect public health, uphold ADA and FOIA obligations, and restore public trust in government accountability systems.



How Did You Discover This Action?

The actions were discovered through a combination of personal involvement in the submission and follow-up of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, detailed reviews of agency responses, and extensive documentation of non-compliance patterns. Specifically:

1. Direct Correspondence:

- Received responses from the DOJ, CMS, OPM, DSS, and other related agencies that either failed to provide the requested information or did so in a manner inconsistent with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requirements.
- Encountered systemic failures to comply with mandated ADA accommodations, such as the refusal to communicate exclusively via the MuckRock platform and the provision of inaccessible documents.

2. Pattern of Non-Compliance:

- Identified repeated instances where statutory deadlines under FOIA were missed without proper justification, indicating gross mismanagement.
- Observed systemic issues in ADA compliance across agencies, including the lack of screen-reader compatible documents, failure to provide simplified summaries for complex records, and omissions of required identification of personnel responsible for handling requests.

3. Document Review and Analysis:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Conducted an in-depth review of all communications and records related to the complaints and requests, revealing inconsistencies, incomplete disclosures, and unjustified redactions contrary to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- Cross-referenced agency responses with statutory requirements and guidance from the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), uncovering violations of transparency and accessibility obligations.

4. Engagement with Oversight Bodies:

- Corresponded with oversight entities, including the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and OGIS, which confirmed ongoing deficiencies in agency compliance with federal law.
- Utilized mediation channels provided by OGIS to validate findings and gather additional evidence of systemic issues.

5. Impact on Stakeholders:

- Firsthand observations of how these failures disproportionately harm vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid programs, specifically those under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program.
- Reports from other affected parties and whistleblowers corroborated the systemic nature of these issues, reinforcing the need for immediate action.

This discovery process underscores the deep-rooted issues within the agencies and highlights a significant threat to public trust, fiscal accountability, and the equitable treatment of individuals requiring ADA accommodations and Medicaid services.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate the allegations and demonstrate a pattern of systemic failures, legal non-compliance, and negligence, highlighting the significant public harm caused by these actions:

1. Non-Compliance with FOIA Requirements

- **Missed Deadlines:** FOIA requests, including DOJ Complaints #534659-XGL and #539298-RJM, exceeded statutory response deadlines outlined in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), without valid justification.
- **Inadequate Searches:** Responses failed to include a thorough search of relevant agency divisions such as the DOJ Civil Rights Division, CMS, and DSS. Records explicitly relevant to ADA compliance and Medicaid oversight were not provided.
- **Improper Redactions:** Information was withheld or redacted without proper statutory justification under FOIA's exemptions (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)).
- **Lack of Transparency:** Agencies failed to provide detailed search methodologies, names of responsible personnel, and complete records.

2. Violations of ADA Requirements

- **Failure to Provide Accommodations:** ADA accommodations explicitly requested, such as screen-reader-compatible documents, MuckRock-exclusive communication, and simplified summaries, were ignored, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132).



- **Barrier to Accessibility:** Records provided were inaccessible to individuals with disabilities, contravening Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).

3. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs

- **Inadequate Oversight:** Failure to address systemic transparency failures in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, which disproportionately affects vulnerable populations.
- **Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds:** Lack of detailed documentation regarding Medicaid provider complaints, sanctions, and oversight points to gross mismanagement and potential misuse of federal and state funding.

4. Harm to Vulnerable Populations

- **Denial of Critical Services:** Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA directly impedes access to services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, placing an undue burden on already vulnerable populations.
- **Public Health Risk:** Delays and denials of critical information related to Medicaid compliance pose significant risks to public health, exacerbating systemic inequities.

5. Broader Systemic Failures

- **Agency Coordination Gaps:** Records indicate a lack of effective inter-agency coordination between DOJ, CMS, OPM, and DSS, undermining the enforcement of federal laws and policies.



- **Insufficient Accountability:** Agencies have not identified responsible personnel, provided explanations for procedural lapses, or demonstrated efforts to rectify systemic issues.

6. Testimony and Evidence from Stakeholders

- **Whistleblower Reports:** Independent accounts from whistleblowers corroborate allegations of systemic non-compliance and negligence.
- **Oversight Body Findings:** Correspondence with OGIS, OIG, and other oversight bodies validates claims of systemic failures, highlighting the need for intervention.

7. Precedents and Legal Violations

- Violations contravene federal statutes, including:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)
 - ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132)
 - Rehabilitation Act Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794)
 - Executive Order 13392 (Improving Agency Disclosure)
- Legal precedents such as **Tennessee v. Lane (2004)** affirm the constitutional right to accessibility and procedural fairness.

8. Documented Patterns

- Multiple FOIA requests and complaints demonstrate a consistent pattern of delays, redactions, and inadequate responses across multiple agencies, indicating systemic failures rather than isolated incidents.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The facts presented highlight a pervasive culture of non-compliance, mismanagement, and disregard for statutory obligations, endangering public health, eroding public trust, and violating the rights of vulnerable populations. Immediate federal intervention is warranted to ensure accountability, transparency, and systemic reform.



What Action Would You Like OSC to Take?

Overview: To address the systemic violations and failures identified, I request that the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) take immediate, thorough, and decisive action by employing the nation's top experts in governmental laws, constitutional rights, ADA enforcement, FOIA compliance, and public accountability. These actions should ensure the protection of vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, while restoring public trust in the governmental systems.

Actions Requested:

1. Investigate and Rectify Systemic Violations:

- **Conduct an Independent Investigation:**
 - Launch a comprehensive investigation into the systemic failures of DOJ, CMS, DSS, OPM, and other involved agencies to comply with:
 - **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Analyze all procedural, operational, and compliance failures in responding to requests.
 - **ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132):** Assess compliance with ADA Title II requirements, focusing on reasonable accommodations for communication and document accessibility.
 - **Constitutional Violations:** Examine whether agency actions violated the **First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments**, particularly with respect to equal protection, due process, and the right to petition the government.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ensure this investigation evaluates the cumulative harm to Medicaid consumers and other vulnerable populations.
 - **Hold Agency Officials Accountable:**
 - Require accountability for individuals within the chain of command, from decision-making officers to FOIA coordinators, who failed to uphold legal obligations.
 - Include disciplinary measures and, if warranted, referrals for legal or civil penalties for gross mismanagement, waste of funds, and abuse of authority.
-

2. Mandate Corrective Actions for FOIA and ADA Compliance:

- **Implement Systemic Changes:**
 - Direct all relevant agencies to:
 - Develop and execute clear protocols for handling FOIA and ADA requests in compliance with statutory timelines and accessibility standards.
 - Mandate the use of **accessible formats (screen-reader compatible PDFs), simplified summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel** in all communications.
 - Require quarterly compliance audits to ensure adherence to corrective measures.
- **Reprocess Denied or Mishandled Requests:**



- Mandate the immediate reprocessing of improperly denied FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests, including those submitted by David Medeiros and ABI Resources.
 - Ensure expedited review and full disclosure of withheld records, with detailed statutory justifications for any redactions.
-

3. Enforce Transparency and Public Accountability:

- **Ensure Oversight by Independent Authorities:**
 - Refer this case to the **Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)** and **relevant Congressional Oversight Committees** to provide independent review and recommendations for systemic reforms.
 - Include mediation by OGIS to facilitate transparency and accountability in future FOIA and ADA-related interactions.
 - **Publish Findings and Recommendations:**
 - Require all involved agencies to publicly disclose the results of the investigation, including recommendations for systemic changes.
 - Ensure that findings are presented in accessible formats for public review, emphasizing the impact on Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates.
-

4. Protect Whistleblowers and Advocates:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Enforce Whistleblower Protections:**

- Investigate retaliation claims against David Medeiros and ABI Resources for exposing systemic failures, and take swift action to prevent further harassment or discrimination.
- Implement safeguards ensuring that whistleblowers and disability advocates are fully protected under the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302)** and related statutes.

- **Compensate for Harm:**

- Require the responsible agencies to issue public apologies and provide compensatory remedies for individuals and organizations harmed by their non-compliance and retaliatory actions.

5. Enhance Medicaid Oversight and ADA Enforcement:

- **Develop a Federal Oversight Framework:**

- Establish a task force with representatives from OSC, DOJ, CMS, and HHS to monitor and ensure Medicaid compliance across state and federal agencies.
- Focus on the **Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program** to ensure it meets all federal standards for transparency, accessibility, and equitable service delivery.

- **Provide Training for Agency Officials:**



- Mandate training programs for agency officials and staff on FOIA, ADA, and constitutional law obligations, emphasizing best practices for transparency, accessibility, and public accountability.
-

Expected Outcomes:

1. Immediate Relief for David Medeiros and ABI Resources:

- Full compliance with FOIA and ADA requests submitted on behalf of ABI Resources, Medicaid consumers, and disability advocates.
- Assurance of protection against retaliation for exposing systemic failures.

2. Restoration of Public Trust:

- Demonstrable improvements in the transparency and accountability of DOJ, CMS, and state agencies.
- Reinstatement of confidence in government systems that serve vulnerable populations and taxpayers.

3. Systemic Reform:

- Establishment of a precedent for compliance and accountability across all federal and state agencies, ensuring no individual or group faces similar barriers in the future.

By taking these actions, OSC can lead a transformative effort to uphold the principles of justice, equity, and accountability for all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



What action would you like OSC to take?

The U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) must take immediate and comprehensive actions to address and rectify the systemic violations and failures detailed in this disclosure. These actions include the following:

1. Immediate Investigative Actions

- **Appoint a Team of Experts:** Assemble a specialized task force of legal experts in government accountability, constitutional law, ADA compliance, FOIA enforcement, Medicaid oversight, and whistleblower protections.
 - **Initiate Comprehensive Investigations:**
 - Investigate all named individuals and agencies for gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, gross waste of funds, and violations of law, rule, or regulation.
 - Focus on systemic failures impacting vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates, ensuring no violations are overlooked.
 - Investigate the improper denial of access to critical ADA accommodations and FOIA requests that directly harm individuals with disabilities and obstruct transparency.
-

2. Enforce Accountability

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Hold Responsible Parties Accountable:** Ensure that all individuals and entities identified in this disclosure are held accountable for their roles in these violations, using all legal remedies available under federal law.
 - **Public Reporting:** Require public disclosure of findings to restore trust in governmental agencies, particularly regarding Medicaid and ADA compliance.
-

3. Represent and Restore Rights

- **Legal Representation for David Medeiros:**
 - Appoint OSC as the representative for David Medeiros and ABI Resources in addressing these systemic failures.
 - Ensure the enforcement of David Medeiros' full constitutional rights, particularly those under the ADA, FOIA, and the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.
 - Provide whistleblower protections and safeguard against further retaliation or harm.
 - **Restore Rights:** Reinstate all denied ADA accommodations and provide immediate access to withheld records critical to David Medeiros and ABI Resources.
-

4. Systemic Reform Recommendations

- **Policy Overhaul:** Mandate reforms in the Department of Justice (DOJ), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other implicated entities to prevent recurrence of these failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Independent Oversight:**

- Recommend congressional oversight hearings to address systemic noncompliance and misuse of taxpayer funds in Medicaid programs.
 - Collaborate with the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to improve FOIA processes and ADA enforcement across agencies.
-

5. Legal and Procedural Remedies

- **Judicial Intervention:**

- Pursue legal action under the Whistleblower Protection Act, FOIA, and ADA to address violations, seek damages, and enforce compliance.
- Request injunctive relief to halt ongoing violations and ensure immediate access to necessary accommodations and records.

- **Immediate Reinstatement of Rights:**

- Restore David Medeiros' access to all requested records and ADA accommodations without delay.
 - Ensure transparency and accountability for all involved agencies.
-

6. Advocate for Vulnerable Populations

- **Elevate the Voices of Vulnerable Communities:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Amplify the concerns of Medicaid consumers, disability advocates, and taxpayers harmed by these systemic failures.
 - Enforce systemic reforms that prioritize equity, transparency, and justice for all affected populations.
-

7. Engage External Oversight

- **Congressional Notification:**
 - Notify key congressional committees, including the House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, of these violations to prompt legislative action.
 - **Coordinate with Oversight Entities:**
 - Work closely with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to address deficiencies and implement reforms.
-

8. Report and Resolve

- **Provide Progress Reports:** Deliver periodic updates to David Medeiros and involved stakeholders on the progress of investigations and reforms.
- **Final Comprehensive Report:** Issue a final report detailing findings, actions taken, and systemic reforms implemented.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



9. Guarantee Protection Against Retaliation

- **Whistleblower Protections:**
 - Ensure robust protections against retaliation for David Medeiros and any other individuals who have exposed these systemic failures.
 - Investigate any instances of retaliation and take corrective action.
-

Conclusion

OSC must take swift, transparent, and decisive action to address these systemic failures, restore rights to those harmed, and ensure justice for vulnerable populations, Medicaid consumers, taxpayers, and disability advocates. By representing David Medeiros and ABI Resources, OSC can set a precedent for accountability, transparency, and the enforcement of constitutional rights. The requested remedies and reforms will safeguard against future violations, ensuring that all individuals receive the protections and accommodations guaranteed by law.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



12.04.2024

"Protecting and Representing All Americans: A Call for the United States to Uphold Justice, Accountability, and Constitutional Rights Representation"

2. What Action Did They Take?

The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), along with other relevant state and federal officials and entities, engaged in a series of actions and omissions that constitute violations of law, rule, and regulation. These actions include:

Failure to Comply with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.)

1. Incomplete Records Production:

DPH failed to provide comprehensive responses to the FOIA request submitted on November 2, 2024, concerning Medicaid services associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345. Requested records, such as contracts, compliance reports, and interagency communications, were not provided in full, hindering transparency and accountability.

2. Lack of Referral to Relevant Agencies:

Despite the scope of the requested records, DPH failed to refer the request to other



relevant agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), as required under FOIA when records are not within the agency's possession.

3. Inadequate Justifications for Denials:

Redacted or withheld records lacked legally sufficient explanations, including specific citations of FOIA exemptions under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). This non-compliance undermines statutory transparency obligations.

ADA Violations (42 U.S.C. § 12132 and 29 U.S.C. § 794)

1. Failure to Provide ADA Accommodations:

DPH repeatedly ignored or failed to meet explicit, non-negotiable ADA accommodations necessary for equitable participation, including:

- Exclusive use of the MuckRock platform for all communications.
- Delivery of documents as screen-reader-compatible PDFs.
- Inclusion of simplified summaries for complex or technical records.
- Identification of FOIA officers and responsible personnel in all correspondence.

2. Accessibility Barriers:

Directing the requester to external portals and password-protected links violated ADA standards by creating barriers for individuals with cognitive disabilities, contrary to Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.



Mismanagement of Medicaid Records and Oversight (42 U.S.C. § 1396a)

1. **Failure to Ensure Regulatory Compliance:**

By not producing records related to provider compliance, Medicaid funding allocation, and consumer complaints, DPH obstructed transparency in Medicaid administration. This omission raises concerns about potential misuse of federal funds, monopolistic practices, and violations of consumer rights.

2. **Lack of Provider Accountability:**

No evidence was provided of efforts to address complaints, conduct inspections, or enforce standards related to the specified NPIs, reflecting systemic mismanagement.

Potential Retaliation Against Whistleblower Advocacy

1. **Deliberate Obfuscation:**

Actions such as partial responses, procedural delays, and failure to adhere to statutory requirements appear to target efforts to expose systemic issues, potentially retaliating against whistleblower advocacy aimed at improving Medicaid transparency and ADA enforcement.

Conclusion

These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures in compliance, transparency, and accessibility. They violate federal and state laws designed to uphold public accountability, protect individuals with disabilities, and ensure the proper use of taxpayer funds in Medicaid

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



programs. Immediate corrective measures are necessary to address these violations and prevent further harm to public trust and vulnerable populations.

When Did This Occur?

The violations occurred over an extended period, reflecting a pattern of systemic non-compliance and neglect:

1. Initial Incident Timeline:

- The request was submitted on **November 2, 2024**, under the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and federal FOIA statutes. This marked the start of the Department of Public Health's (DPH) obligation to respond promptly and transparently.

2. Acknowledgment of Receipt:

- The Department acknowledged receipt of the request on **November 4, 2024** (Reference #: R000770-110424), initiating statutory deadlines for compliance.

3. Ongoing Non-Compliance:

- From **November 4, 2024**, to **December 4, 2024**, the Department has repeatedly failed to adhere to FOIA and ADA requirements, despite follow-ups and formal appeals:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ignored mandated **ADA accommodations**, such as exclusive communication through MuckRock, accessible document formatting, and simplified summaries.
- Failed to provide a **substantive response** to the FOIA request, leaving critical questions unanswered.
- Provided **incomplete or inaccessible communications**, requiring external portals and other methods that do not comply with accessibility standards.

4. Appeal Submitted:

- On **November 20, 2024**, a formal appeal was submitted, highlighting ongoing failures and demanding compliance. No substantive resolution has been achieved to date.

5. Escalation and Continued Violations:

- As of **December 4, 2024**, the Department remains non-compliant with federal and state laws, creating barriers to transparency, accessibility, and accountability.

Broader Timeline and Impact

These systemic failures are not isolated. The Department's ongoing neglect of its obligations under FOIA and ADA reflects deeper systemic issues that have likely affected other individuals and entities over an extended period. This raises significant concerns about long-term non-compliance and the broader implications for Medicaid oversight and taxpayer accountability.

Why This Matters

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This prolonged timeline underscores a pattern of disregard for legal obligations and individual rights, necessitating immediate corrective action, systemic reform, and oversight to ensure such violations do not persist.

How did you discover this action?

I discovered the violations and non-compliance by the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) during my direct engagement with the agency regarding multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, including but not limited to FOIA Request #R000770-110424. Despite my submission of a legally compliant request and repeated follow-ups, DPH's failure to provide the requested documents in a timely manner and refusal to comply with clearly articulated ADA accommodations became evident through their responses—or lack thereof.

Key indicators of non-compliance included:

1. **Inadequate Responses to FOIA Requests:** Communications from DPH failed to address the scope of my request, did not provide the required records, and omitted any detailed justifications for redactions or denials, as mandated by FOIA statutes.
2. **Non-Adherence to ADA Accommodations:** Despite explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records,



DPH consistently failed to comply. Their reliance on external portals and password-protected links excluded me from full and equal participation.

3. **Pattern of Systemic Failures:** Through the examination of DPH's handling of other FOIA-related matters and the broader operational challenges within Connecticut's Medicaid system, I identified a pattern of disregard for statutory obligations, transparency mandates, and equitable service delivery.
4. **Firsthand Experiences:** My direct interactions with the agency and its representatives highlighted procedural deficiencies, including the absence of responsible personnel's identification in communications, which impeded accountability and transparency.

These discoveries are supported by documentation, email correspondence, and records demonstrating DPH's continued non-compliance with federal laws such as FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101). This evidence underscores systemic issues requiring urgent intervention to safeguard the constitutional and statutory rights of individuals and taxpayers.

Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Pattern of Non-Compliance:** The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) has demonstrated a consistent failure to comply with FOIA and ADA requirements. For instance:

- Repeated instructions to access external portals violate specific ADA accommodations requesting communication exclusively through the MuckRock platform.
- Failure to provide screen-reader compatible PDFs and simplified summaries for complex records, as explicitly outlined in prior requests, hinders accessibility.

2. **Lack of Transparency and Accountability:**

- DPH has not identified the individuals responsible for handling the FOIA requests, breaching the requirement to include names, titles, and roles for accountability.
- There has been no detailed explanation for denied or redacted records, nor proper statutory citations, violating FOIA's transparency mandate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

3. **Untimely Responses:**

- FOIA requires agencies to respond promptly (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)), and Connecticut FOIA statutes mandate a response within four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a)). Despite these obligations, significant delays have occurred with no justification provided.

4. **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The requested information directly impacts Medicaid consumers and providers under the Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, many of whom are disabled and rely on Medicaid-funded services. DPH's refusal to comply obstructs the ability to address systemic issues affecting their care and well-being.

5. Legal Violations of ADA Title II and Section 504:

- DPH has ignored legally mandated accommodations under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). By failing to adhere to the requested accommodations, DPH has excluded individuals with disabilities from meaningful participation in public processes.

6. Federal and State Oversight Failures:

- Despite DPH's responsibilities under state and federal Medicaid oversight frameworks, there is no evidence of coordination with federal entities like CMS to address concerns tied to Medicaid fraud, transparency, and provider accountability.

7. Broader Implications:

- DPH's systemic failures suggest that similar issues may exist across other Connecticut state agencies, pointing to a potential pattern of mismanagement that warrants further investigation by federal oversight bodies.

Enhancements for Maximum Impact:

- Emphasize the urgency of federal intervention to protect taxpayer funds and ensure transparency in Medicaid programs.



- Highlight the violation of constitutional principles, particularly the First and Fourteenth Amendments, in restricting access to public information and due process.
- Reinforce that the requested records are vital for safeguarding the rights of disabled individuals and addressing potential misuse of public funds.

1. Who Took the Action?

Key Individuals and Their Roles in Gross Mismanagement:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), overseeing Medicaid programs and regulatory compliance.
- **Action:** Failed to enforce accountability in Medicaid oversight, leading to systemic mismanagement and disregard for consumer rights under the ABI Waiver Program.

2. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), responsible for ensuring provider licensing, regulatory compliance, and investigation of consumer complaints.
- **Action:** Neglected to address complaints and ensure adherence to federal and state standards, further perpetuating systemic failures.

3. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer of Connecticut, tasked with enforcing state laws and protecting public interest.
- **Action:** Failed to investigate systemic Medicaid mismanagement and potential legal violations despite complaints and whistleblower reports.

4. **Xavier Becerra**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Secretary
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), overseeing federal Medicaid program compliance and enforcement.
- **Action:** Did not ensure proper oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite federal obligations under FOIA and ADA laws.

5. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leader of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), responsible for enforcing Medicaid regulations and ensuring program integrity.
- **Action:** Allowed systemic inefficiencies to persist by failing to investigate complaints and enforce accountability measures for Connecticut's Medicaid programs.

6. Jeffrey Beckham

- **Title:** Secretary
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of Policy and Management, managing state financial allocations, including Medicaid funding.
- **Action:** Oversaw financial mismanagement and failed to ensure that taxpayer funds were properly allocated and accounted for within Medicaid programs.

7. Kristen Clarke

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Division, U.S. DOJ
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior federal official tasked with enforcing civil rights laws, including ADA compliance in Medicaid programs.
- **Action:** Did not act on whistleblower complaints or enforce ADA compliance in Connecticut's Medicaid oversight.

Broader Context:

These individuals, through their roles and actions, contributed to systemic failures in Medicaid management, including:

- **Neglecting accountability mechanisms** required under FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations.
- **Failing to address systemic inefficiencies** that harm vulnerable populations, particularly individuals with disabilities.
- **Ignoring whistleblower reports** and public complaints highlighting gross mismanagement and potential misuse of taxpayer funds.

These systemic issues highlight a clear failure to protect the public interest and ensure equitable access to Medicaid services for those most in need.

2. What Action Did They Take?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The identified individuals engaged in or allowed actions that constitute **gross mismanagement** of Medicaid oversight and administration, violating federal and state laws and failing to uphold their duties to protect vulnerable populations. The specific actions include:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner):

- **Action:** Failed to enforce oversight mechanisms, resulting in unchecked fraud, waste, and abuse in Medicaid programs, particularly under the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:** Denied Medicaid beneficiaries equitable access to services, violating constitutional rights and federal Medicaid regulations under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director):

- **Action:** Refused to investigate or respond adequately to whistleblower complaints about Medicaid provider malfeasance.
 - **Impact:** Enabled the continuation of unlawful practices, including financial mismanagement and ADA non-compliance.
-

Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH):

1. Manisha Juthani, MD (Commissioner):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action:** Neglected to ensure regulatory compliance and failed to act on complaints regarding substandard care and provider misconduct associated with Medicaid-funded services.
 - **Impact:** Compromised public health and safety, particularly for disabled individuals relying on Medicaid services.
-

Connecticut Office of the Attorney General:

1. William Tong (Attorney General):

- **Action:** Declined to investigate allegations of Medicaid fraud, financial mismanagement, and constitutional violations despite credible evidence from whistleblowers and advocacy groups.
 - **Impact:** Allowed systemic abuses to persist unchecked, undermining public trust and accountability.
-

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

1. Xavier Becerra (Secretary):

- **Action:** Failed to enforce federal oversight of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, despite receiving numerous complaints of systemic non-compliance with federal standards.
- **Impact:** Perpetuated gross mismanagement and ADA violations, harming Medicaid beneficiaries and taxpayers.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator):

- **Action:** Allowed systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program operations, ignoring whistleblower allegations and failing to conduct necessary audits and compliance reviews.
 - **Impact:** Contributed to the misuse of taxpayer funds and erosion of public trust in government programs.
-

Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

1. Jeffrey Beckham (Secretary):

- **Action:** Misallocated Medicaid funds and failed to enforce financial accountability measures, despite evidence of fraud and abuse.
 - **Impact:** Increased the financial burden on taxpayers and exacerbated inequities in Medicaid service delivery.
-

U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ):

1. Kristen Clarke (Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division):

- **Action:** Failed to investigate systemic ADA non-compliance and whistleblower retaliation within Connecticut Medicaid programs.
 - **Impact:** Denied justice to individuals reporting government wrongdoing and perpetuated discrimination against disabled Medicaid beneficiaries.
-



Key Failures Across Agencies:

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Agencies failed to respond adequately to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and Connecticut FOIA laws.

2. ADA Violations:

- Neglected to provide reasonable accommodations under **42 U.S.C. § 12132** and **29 U.S.C. § 794**, excluding disabled individuals from participating in government programs.

3. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Ignored whistleblower protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**, discouraging the reporting of systemic abuses.

4. Misuse of Medicaid Funds:

- Failed to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse, leading to the mismanagement of taxpayer dollars meant for essential services.

Overall Impact:

The combined actions of these individuals and agencies have resulted in significant harm to Medicaid beneficiaries, disabled individuals, and taxpayers. These failures undermine constitutional protections, ADA rights, and public trust in government institutions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. When Did This Occur?

The gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures occurred over an extended period, highlighting persistent non-compliance and negligence across multiple agencies:

Timeline of Events:

1. January 1, 2013 – Present:

○ Ongoing Medicaid Mismanagement:

- Misallocation of funds, lack of oversight, and failure to provide equitable services under the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Non-compliance with ADA and FOIA standards reported consistently throughout this period.

2. December 18, 2023:

○ Whistleblower Report Filed:

- Initial formal disclosure of systemic Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and whistleblower retaliation to state and federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).

3. November 2, 2024:

○ FOIA Request Submitted:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Specific records requested from the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and other agencies regarding Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345.

4. **November 4, 2024:**

- **Acknowledgment Received:**

- DPH confirmed receipt of FOIA request #R000770-110424 but failed to comply with statutory requirements and ADA accommodations.

5. **November 20, 2024:**

- **Initial Appeal Submitted:**

- Appeal filed for non-compliance with ADA accommodations, FOIA obligations, and transparency standards.

6. **December 4, 2024:**

- **Current Non-Compliance:**

- Agencies have yet to adhere to FOIA requirements, ADA accommodations, or whistleblower protections, despite repeated follow-ups and formal appeals.

Summary of Ongoing Harm:

The failures began as early as 2013, reflecting entrenched systemic issues, and continue to persist as of the present date. These ongoing violations necessitate immediate corrective action to prevent further harm to vulnerable populations and uphold constitutional and statutory rights.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered the systemic failures through a combination of direct interactions with state agencies, public records obtained via Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, and reports from impacted individuals and organizations. Specifically:

1. FOIA Requests:

- I submitted FOIA requests to the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), Department of Social Services (DSS), and federal agencies including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The records I received highlighted glaring inconsistencies, incomplete responses, and a failure to comply with federal and state transparency laws.

2. Whistleblower Reports:

- As a whistleblower, I reported these violations to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). Despite my detailed submissions, these agencies either failed to act or deferred responsibility, further evidencing systemic non-compliance and federal oversight gaps.

3. Testimonies and Complaints:

- Numerous individuals, including Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates, have shared personal testimonies and complaints regarding the lack of accountability, restrictive referral practices, and systemic exclusion of vulnerable populations from decision-making processes.

4. Analysis of Regulatory Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- My analysis of responses—or lack thereof—confirmed violations of:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Agencies failed to provide complete records, justify redactions, or adhere to statutory deadlines.
 - ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Agencies systematically denied reasonable accommodations, including screen-reader compatible formats and simplified summaries, violating their obligations to ensure accessible communication.
 - Constitutional Rights: The procedural delays, lack of transparency, and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitute violations of due process and equal protection under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

Statutory and Case Law Citations:

1. FOIA:

- FOIA mandates transparency and accessibility in government records. The failure to provide complete responses or justify denials violates 5 U.S.C. § 552 and U.S. Supreme Court precedent in *U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (1989)*, which emphasized the public’s right to know about government operations.

2. ADA Title II:

- Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) requires public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination. In *Tennessee v. Lane*



(2004), the Supreme Court affirmed the constitutional validity of Title II as it relates to accessibility and due process for individuals with disabilities.

3. **Constitutional Protections:**

- The procedural failures infringe upon:
 - **First Amendment:** The right to petition the government is undermined by non-compliance and inaccessibility.
 - **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments:** Systemic exclusion and denial of accommodations constitute violations of due process and equal protection.

4. **Supremacy Clause:**

- Under the Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2), federal laws, including FOIA and the ADA, supersede conflicting state practices. The federal government has an obligation to intervene when state agencies fail to uphold these statutes.

Federal Obligations and Call for Action:

The systemic failures and ongoing non-compliance underscore the urgent need for federal oversight. Specifically, I demand the following remedies:

1. **Independent Federal Oversight:**

- Establish an independent oversight body to audit Medicaid programs, ensure ADA compliance, and enforce transparency requirements in Connecticut.

2. **Corrective Action:**

- Mandate immediate reforms to address procedural delays, inadequate responses, and systemic barriers to participation for individuals with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Accountability for Violations:**

- Investigate and hold accountable state officials and agencies for repeated violations of FOIA, ADA, and constitutional rights.

4. **Structural Reform:**

- Develop federal guidelines to prevent recurrence of such failures across all state-administered programs, ensuring consistency and compliance nationwide.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

This allegation is supported by a comprehensive body of evidence, direct agency actions, statutory violations, and documented patterns of systemic failures that emphasize the urgent need for federal intervention.

Key Supporting Facts:

1. Non-Compliance with FOIA:

- Multiple requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut's FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.) were either ignored, delayed, or responded to inadequately. This includes:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** Agencies failed to provide complete records or justify redactions in compliance with FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552).
 - **Absence of Proper Search:** No evidence of thorough or good-faith efforts to retrieve records from databases, archived files, or inter-agency communications.
 - **Statutory Violations:** Agencies consistently failed to meet the statutory deadlines outlined in FOIA and Connecticut law.

2. ADA Violations:

- Agencies failed to adhere to ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by:
 - Denying reasonable accommodations explicitly requested to support accessibility for individuals with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Providing inaccessible communication formats, such as password-protected links and portal-based responses, contrary to the outlined accommodations.
- Refusing to provide simplified summaries for complex records, preventing full participation by individuals with cognitive challenges.

3. **Procedural Failures and Constitutional Concerns:**

- **Due Process Violations:** Denial of access to requested records obstructs my right to petition the government and seek redress, protected under the First and Fifth Amendments.
- **Equal Protection Violations:** Individuals with disabilities were systematically excluded from accessing critical Medicaid program data due to procedural hurdles.

4. **Documented Complaints and Testimonies:**

- Complaints and testimonies from Medicaid beneficiaries, healthcare providers, and advocates highlight:
 - **Restrictive Referral Practices:** Limitations on consumer choice and autonomy in provider selection.
 - **Neglect of Accountability:** Agencies failed to address consumer complaints regarding program mismanagement and accessibility barriers.

5. **Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Evidence suggests possible misuse or inefficiency in allocating federal and state Medicaid funds, including:
 - Lack of transparency in provider payments, contracts, and oversight processes.
 - Potential misapplication of taxpayer dollars, as indicated by incomplete audits and inadequate inter-agency oversight.

6. Inconsistent Enforcement of Federal and State Laws:

- Communications and records reveal conflicting policies and inconsistent enforcement of Medicaid standards, suggesting a systemic disregard for legal and procedural obligations.

7. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Efforts to expose these systemic issues through whistleblower channels were met with delays, deflections, and denials, contrary to protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).

Legal Citations and Precedent Supporting Allegations:

1. FOIA:

- *U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (1989)* affirms the public's right to government-held information to ensure transparency and accountability.

2. ADA Title II:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- *Tennessee v. Lane (2004)* reinforces the constitutional validity of the ADA in protecting access and due process for individuals with disabilities.

3. **Whistleblower Protections:**

- The Whistleblower Protection Act mandates protections for individuals exposing legal and procedural violations, particularly those affecting public interest.

4. **Constitutional Protections:**

- Procedural failures infringe upon First Amendment rights (petitioning the government) and Fifth/Fourteenth Amendment protections (due process and equal protection).

5. **Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2):**

- Federal laws, including FOIA and ADA, preempt state practices that undermine statutory rights and procedural integrity.

Federal Oversight and Remedy Required:

The documented failures underscore the necessity for immediate corrective actions, including:

1. **Federal Investigation and Oversight:**

- Conduct a full audit of Medicaid programs in Connecticut, with specific focus on ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, and fiscal accountability.

2. **Systemic Reform:**

- Mandate federal oversight to ensure consistency in implementing FOIA and ADA accommodations nationwide, preventing recurrence of these systemic issues.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Accountability for Violations:**

- Enforce disciplinary actions against agencies and individuals responsible for procedural delays, non-compliance, and mismanagement of public funds.

4. **Restoration of Public Trust:**

- Publish findings and remedial measures to reaffirm the government's commitment to transparency, accessibility, and accountability.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The identified individuals and entities engaged in systemic abuse of authority by taking the following actions that violated constitutional laws, ADA rights, whistleblower protections, and taxpayer interests:

1. Suppressing Transparency and Public Accountability

- **Failure to Provide FOIA-Compliant Responses:**
 - Denied or delayed access to requested Medicaid records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.).
 - Repeatedly withheld or redacted records without citing appropriate legal exemptions, violating federal transparency laws.
 - Directed responses to inaccessible external portals and password-protected links, ignoring ADA accommodations.

2. Violating ADA Rights and Accessibility

- **Failure to Comply with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132):**
 - Refused to provide reasonable accommodations, including simplified summaries, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, as explicitly requested.
 - Created barriers to participation by directing communications to inaccessible formats and failing to identify responsible personnel in responses.

3. Engaging in Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowers

- **Obstructing Whistleblower Reports:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Targeted whistleblowers, including David Medeiros, by dismissing or disregarding submitted complaints and retaliation claims under the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)).
- Failed to protect whistleblower anonymity and actively delayed investigations into alleged abuses.

4. Mismanaging Medicaid Oversight

- **Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs:**
 - Failed to audit or address financial irregularities in Medicaid services tied to NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345.
 - Allowed systemic provider referral restrictions and monopolistic practices that reduced consumer choice and violated Medicaid's fair access requirements (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).
 - Neglected enforcement of provider compliance with federal and state regulations.

5. Abusing Regulatory Authority

- **Restricting Consumer Rights:**
 - Imposed policies limiting consumer autonomy and choice in Medicaid services, violating federal Medicaid standards.
 - Manipulated referral practices to favor certain providers, creating conflicts of interest and reducing service availability.

6. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds

- **Gross Waste and Fraudulent Allocation of Public Resources:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Redirected Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations toward operational inefficiencies and potential misuse, violating the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733).
- Failed to ensure that taxpayer dollars were used to enhance equitable access to healthcare services, as mandated by federal law.

These actions collectively demonstrate systemic failures that undermined the constitutional rights of individuals, breached ADA requirements, retaliated against whistleblowers, and eroded public trust through gross mismanagement of Medicaid services.



3. When Did This Occur?

The abuse of authority, violations of law, and systemic failures occurred over a prolonged period, beginning no later than **January 1, 2013**, and continuing to the present. Specific instances include:

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Initial failures to comply with federal and state FOIA laws occurred with requests submitted on **November 2, 2024**, as detailed in FOIA Request #R000770-110424.
- Ongoing violations include delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and the provision of inaccessible records as of **December 4, 2024**.

2. ADA Violations:

- Refusal to honor explicitly requested ADA accommodations began with initial correspondence and has persisted in subsequent responses and communications.

3. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers were reported following the submission of complaints on **December 18, 2023**, and subsequent disclosures.
- Patterns of retaliation include dismissive responses, failure to protect anonymity, and delays in addressing complaints.

4. Medicaid Mismanagement:

- Gross mismanagement of Medicaid programs, including the improper oversight of NPIs 1962278119 and 1023012345, spans a period from **2013** to the present.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Specific regulatory failures and financial mismanagement were identified in audits and records requested in **2024**.

5. Consumer Rights Violations:

- Policies restricting consumer choice and autonomy were implemented through referral practices and provider restrictions starting in **2019**, with documentation of ongoing enforcement as recently as **2024**.

These violations represent a continuous and systemic pattern of abuse that has persisted across multiple agencies and administrations, underscoring the urgent need for federal intervention and corrective action.



How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these systemic violations and failures through a combination of personal advocacy, formal reporting, and diligent documentation of state and federal agency responses. Each interaction, request, and investigation provided concrete evidence of the actions described:

1. FOIA Requests and Deficient Responses:

- Submitted multiple FOIA requests, including #R000770-110424, seeking transparency regarding Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance. The responses, when provided, were incomplete, delayed, or contained unjustified redactions, violating FOIA's mandates under 5 U.S.C. § 552.
- Agencies failed to comply with legal obligations to provide requested records, leaving critical gaps in accountability.

2. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored:

- Submitted explicit ADA accommodation requests to ensure accessibility under ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794). These accommodations included exclusive use of MuckRock for communication, screen-reader-compatible PDFs, simplified summaries, and identification of responsible personnel.
- Despite clear requests, responses repeatedly ignored these accommodations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities.

3. Reports and Testimonies from Affected Communities:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Engaged directly with impacted individuals, families, and providers who corroborated widespread failures, including restricted access to Medicaid services and retaliatory actions against whistleblowers.
- These accounts highlighted patterns of systemic mismanagement that disproportionately harm vulnerable populations.

4. Regulatory and Financial Discrepancies:

- Identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding, compliance, and oversight through public records, agency responses, and internal documentation. These discrepancies point to potential gross mismanagement and misuse of taxpayer funds, violating federal Medicaid regulations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

5. Evasive Communication and Accountability Gaps:

- State and federal officials, including DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and other key personnel, provided evasive or inadequate responses, further evidencing systemic failures and potential abuse of authority.

6. Lack of Statutory Justifications:

- Denials and redactions of requested records were issued without clear statutory citations, in direct violation of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)), further obstructing transparency and oversight.

7. Community Advocacy and Whistleblower Actions:

- My role as an advocate and whistleblower, combined with repeated attempts to engage with regulatory bodies, uncovered entrenched systemic issues. This was



further reinforced by the lack of substantive action following formal complaints submitted to federal oversight agencies, including the DOJ and CMS.

Legal Precedents and Obligations

The actions and omissions detailed in this disclosure violate well-established legal obligations under federal law, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Rehabilitation Act, and the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). These failures are also inconsistent with judicial precedents affirming the constitutional right to accessibility and transparency:

1. Tennessee v. Lane (2004):

- The Supreme Court recognized that Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) is enforceable under the Fourteenth Amendment, requiring states to provide equal access to public services, programs, and benefits. The systemic failures described herein—including the denial of ADA accommodations and failure to ensure equitable access to Medicaid services—constitute a direct violation of this precedent.

2. U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (1989):

- This case underscores the principle that FOIA is intended to promote transparency and ensure accountability. The denial of full and complete records under FOIA, as well as the lack of statutory justifications for redactions or delays, violates these principles and obstructs public oversight of government operations.

3. Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Section 504 prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in programs or activities receiving federal funding. The Department of Public Health's failure to accommodate requests for accessible communication formats and summaries violates this statutory mandate.

4. Supremacy Clause (Article VI, U.S. Constitution):

- Federal laws such as the ADA and FOIA supersede conflicting state policies or practices. The systemic failures described, including non-compliance with these laws, represent violations of the Supremacy Clause and necessitate federal intervention.

Demand for Federal Oversight

The breadth and depth of these failures demand immediate federal oversight to rectify systemic issues, restore public trust, and ensure accountability. Specifically, I request:

1. Appointment of an Independent Federal Monitor:

- Federal oversight is necessary to address ongoing non-compliance and prevent future violations of ADA, FOIA, and Medicaid regulations.
- A monitor should investigate systemic barriers, including procedural delays, inadequate ADA accommodations, and misuse of Medicaid funds.

2. Comprehensive Audit of State Practices:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- A federal audit of Medicaid-related activities, provider oversight, and ADA compliance practices is essential to uncover inefficiencies, mismanagement, and potential fraud.

3. Implementation of Corrective Measures:

- Mandate the establishment of transparent processes for handling FOIA requests and ADA accommodations.
- Require public reporting of Medicaid compliance reviews, ADA accommodation efforts, and financial audits.

4. Federal Enforcement Actions:

- Hold state agencies and officials accountable for non-compliance, including potential litigation or financial penalties under federal statutes.

Emphasizing Public Harm

The systemic failures outlined have far-reaching consequences for vulnerable populations and the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs:

1. Harm to Vulnerable Populations:

- The denial of ADA accommodations excludes individuals with disabilities from accessing vital Medicaid services, violating their constitutional and statutory rights. These actions disproportionately harm low-income families, individuals with disabilities, and marginalized communities.

2. Misuse of Taxpayer Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs, combined with potential financial mismanagement, undermines public confidence and diverts critical resources away from those in need.

3. Erosion of Public Trust:

- The refusal to comply with federal transparency laws and provide timely, accessible information fosters mistrust in government institutions and discourages civic participation.

4. Broad Systemic Implications:

- These failures are not isolated to a single case but reflect systemic issues that, if left unaddressed, will continue to jeopardize the rights and well-being of countless Americans.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

1. Failure to Fulfill ADA Accommodation Requests:

- Despite multiple formal requests, the Department of Public Health (DPH) has consistently failed to adhere to legally required ADA accommodations. These include exclusive communication via the MuckRock platform, provision of screen-reader-compatible PDFs, and simplified summaries for complex records. These actions constitute a direct violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794), as affirmed in *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004).

2. Inconsistent and Incomplete Responses to FOIA Requests:

- The department's responses to FOIA requests have been incomplete, with unexplained redactions, delayed timelines, and a lack of identified responsible personnel. This violates the federal FOIA statute (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA requirements (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), both of which mandate timely and comprehensive access to requested public records.

3. Obstruction of Oversight and Accountability:

- Records critical to evaluating Medicaid program transparency have been delayed or withheld, obstructing public oversight. The lack of cooperation raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal Medicaid funds, which contravenes 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and principles of accountability.

4. Harm to Vulnerable Populations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- These failures have a disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations, including Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities. Denying timely access to information exacerbates their vulnerabilities, limits their ability to make informed decisions, and denies them equitable participation in federally funded programs.

5. Evidence of Systemic Non-Compliance:

- Patterns of non-compliance across state agencies suggest systemic issues rather than isolated incidents. Communications between DSS

and CMS demonstrate recurring failures in oversight, accountability, and corrective actions, despite repeated notifications of deficiencies. This systemic neglect undermines public trust in government programs.

6. Potential Financial Mismanagement:

- The refusal to provide critical Medicaid-related records raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. Federal statutes like the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) require agencies to ensure transparency and integrity in the use of federal funds, which DPH's non-compliance has jeopardized.

7. Lack of Accountability:

- DPH's refusal to identify responsible personnel for FOIA responses violates transparency and accountability principles. The lack of named FOIA officers obstructs effective follow-up, denying the public a fair process for obtaining government-held information.

8. Impact on Whistleblower Protections:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The documented failures undermine whistleblower protections, deterring individuals from reporting abuses and exposing systemic non-compliance. Such actions violate the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)) and compromise transparency and reform efforts.

9. Broader Systemic Implications:

- The systemic governance issues identified here pose risks far beyond the immediate case. They set a dangerous precedent for other state programs and agencies, perpetuating harm to Medicaid beneficiaries, mismanagement of public resources, and erosion of public trust.

Legal and Federal Obligations

- **Cite Specific Legal Precedents:** *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004) establishes ADA compliance as a constitutional obligation. FOIA statutes (5 U.S.C. § 552) mandate transparency and equitable access to government records.
- **Demand Federal Oversight:** Independent federal intervention is critical to address systemic governance failures, enforce ADA compliance, and restore accountability.
- **Highlight Broader Public Harm:** This case illustrates how failures in Medicaid oversight and transparency harm vulnerable populations, misuse taxpayer funds, and erode the credibility of public institutions.



2. What Action Did They Take?

Description of Actions Taken:

1. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

- Allocated Medicaid funds without proper oversight, resulting in potential waste and fraud. This includes payments to providers associated with NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345 without conducting thorough compliance reviews or addressing unresolved complaints of service deficiencies.

2. Failure to Conduct Adequate Audits:

- Neglected to perform comprehensive audits on Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, to ensure appropriate use of taxpayer dollars in compliance with federal and state laws, such as 42 U.S.C. § 1396a and the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733).

3. Deliberate Non-Compliance with FOIA:

- Failed to respond fully or promptly to FOIA requests (e.g., #R000770-110424) seeking transparency on Medicaid expenditures and oversight processes. This failure obstructs public accountability and violates 5 U.S.C. § 552.

4. Denial of ADA Accommodations:

- Ignored or inadequately fulfilled explicit ADA accommodation requests, including the exclusive use of the MuckRock platform, accessible document formats, and simplified summaries. These actions violate Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).



5. Obstruction of Transparency:

- Obstructed the public's ability to scrutinize Medicaid program operations by failing to provide requested records, issuing incomplete or non-compliant responses, and not identifying responsible personnel.

6. Exclusionary Practices:

- Excluded vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, from decision-making processes by denying accommodations and creating systemic barriers to participation. This constitutes a violation of constitutional rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

7. Non-Referral to Relevant Agencies:

- Did not refer FOIA requests to other relevant agencies, such as DSS, CMS, or the Connecticut Attorney General, when records were outside the scope of their jurisdiction. This violates both federal and state referral obligations under FOIA and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210(a).

8. Improper Awarding of Medicaid Contracts:

- Engaged in questionable procurement practices, awarding contracts without sufficient transparency or competitive bidding processes, potentially violating federal procurement standards.

9. Failure to Address Consumer Complaints:



- Did not investigate or resolve consumer complaints regarding Medicaid services, including quality-of-care violations, housing conditions, and employment practices linked to the NPIs in question.

Legal Implications:

- Violations of FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOI laws (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.).
- Non-compliance with ADA Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794).
- Breaches of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) due to inadequate oversight of Medicaid funds.
- Violations of constitutional protections under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.



How Did You Discover This Action?

Through meticulous review and analysis of publicly accessible records, personal engagement with affected individuals, and responses to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests submitted to various state and federal agencies, it became evident that systemic failures were impacting Medicaid services and taxpayer resources. Key discoveries included:

1. **Analysis of FOIA Responses:** The limited, delayed, or incomplete responses to FOIA requests revealed significant non-compliance with statutory obligations, particularly regarding the management of Medicaid services and the misuse of taxpayer funds.
 - Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific FOIA numbers] were either ignored or fulfilled in a manner that violated transparency standards mandated under **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
2. **Testimonies from Stakeholders:** Conversations with Medicaid beneficiaries and healthcare providers highlighted pervasive issues, including:
 - Inefficient resource allocation.
 - Arbitrary denial of services.
 - Lack of accountability in Medicaid provider oversight.
3. **Discrepancies in Public Records:** Reviews of financial audits, regulatory filings, and state compliance reports identified inconsistencies in Medicaid funding allocations, suggesting possible mismanagement or fraud.
 - Notable issues included the absence of required documentation for National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) [e.g., NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345].



4. **ADA Non-Compliance Reports:** Direct engagement with affected individuals and groups exposed failures to accommodate disabilities as required under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)**. This includes:
 - Ignored requests for accessible communication formats.
 - Barriers to participation in public health program discussions.
5. **Whistleblower Disclosures:** Internal sources and whistleblower reports corroborated evidence of systemic inefficiencies, retaliation against whistleblowers, and non-compliance with oversight protocols. These disclosures align with protections under the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8))**.

This discovery process underscores a clear pattern of systemic issues that necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and proper use of taxpayer funds.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies were either ignored, partially fulfilled, or delayed well beyond statutory deadlines.
- Agencies failed to provide detailed justifications for redactions or denials, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)**.
- Example: FOIA request reference numbers [list specific requests] revealed incomplete data on Medicaid funding allocations and provider compliance.

2. Confirmed ADA Violations:

- Despite explicit accommodations requests, including accessible documents and simplified summaries, agencies consistently failed to comply with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)** and **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794)**.
- This non-compliance excluded individuals with disabilities from fully participating in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid services.

3. Discrepancies in Medicaid Expenditures:

- Audits and financial reviews revealed significant inconsistencies in the allocation of Medicaid funds. Specifically:
 - Unexplained variances in reimbursements for services provided under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345.



- Lack of transparency in contracts and agreements, suggesting possible misuse of funds or fraudulent practices.

4. **Evidence of Systemic Mismanagement:**

- Internal reports and whistleblower disclosures highlighted failures in Medicaid provider oversight, including:
 - Arbitrary enforcement of compliance standards.
 - Preferential treatment for certain providers, undermining equitable service delivery.
 - Retaliatory actions against whistleblowers reporting these issues, violating the **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8))**.

5. **Adverse Impact on Vulnerable Populations:**

- Medicaid beneficiaries reported:
 - Denial of critical services without explanation.
 - Reduced access to healthcare providers due to monopolistic practices.
 - Housing instability stemming from mismanagement of Medicaid-supported housing programs.

6. **Public Interest Indicators:**

- Investigations have revealed that these issues are not isolated but indicative of systemic failures across the Medicaid system, both in Connecticut and potentially nationwide.



- The misuse of taxpayer funds and the denial of ADA rights jeopardize public trust and disproportionately harm individuals reliant on government services.

7. Lack of Accountability:

- Agencies have failed to identify or address key personnel responsible for these violations, further exacerbating systemic issues.
- Requests for the names and titles of FOIA officers, compliance officials, and decision-makers involved in handling these matters have gone unanswered, violating transparency and accountability standards.

These facts collectively demonstrate an urgent need for federal oversight and corrective action to address gross mismanagement, ADA violations, and systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.



Who Took the Action?

The following individuals and entities were directly involved in actions or decisions that posed a danger to public health by failing to ensure compliance with Medicaid, ADA, and constitutional obligations. These actions jeopardized the health and safety of vulnerable populations relying on Medicaid services:

1. **Andrea Barton Reeves**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS, responsible for administering Medicaid programs and ensuring compliance with federal and state laws.

2. **Matthew S. Antonetti**

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior legal officer overseeing policy adherence and regulatory compliance within DSS.

3. **Astread Ferron-Poole**

- **Title:** Policy and Program Coordinator
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Coordinator responsible for overseeing Medicaid program policies and operations.



4. **Michelle A. James**

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Agency:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal official responsible for ensuring Medicaid programs meet compliance and quality standards.

5. **Emmett Nicholson**

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Agency:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-level administrator managing inter-agency oversight of Medicaid programs.

6. **William Tong**

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Agency:** Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer for the state, responsible for investigating and prosecuting Medicaid fraud and ensuring legal compliance within state programs.

7. **Manisha Juthani, MD**

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Agency:** Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DPH, tasked with overseeing public health programs and ensuring provider accountability.

8. **Xavier Becerra**

- **Title:** Secretary
- **Agency:** U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal leader responsible for overall Medicaid policy, funding, and oversight.

9. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure**

- **Title:** Administrator
- **Agency:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** National Medicaid administrator overseeing state-level compliance and program effectiveness.

These individuals and their respective agencies are critical stakeholders whose actions, or lack thereof, have led to systemic failures, directly endangering public health through inadequate Medicaid administration, lack of regulatory oversight, and non-compliance with federal laws such as the ADA.



2. What action did they take?

The Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH), in collaboration with the Department of Social Services (DSS), engaged in systemic actions that jeopardize public health by failing to enforce adequate oversight, transparency, and regulatory compliance within Medicaid programs. Specifically:

1. Failure to Address Health and Safety Concerns:

- Neglected to investigate or address documented complaints concerning substandard care, inadequate housing conditions, and unsafe environments for Medicaid beneficiaries, including those in the ABI Waiver Program.
- Allowed providers associated with National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) 1962278119 and 1023012345 to continue operations despite violations of health and safety standards.

2. Inadequate Monitoring and Inspections:

- Failed to conduct timely inspections and audits of healthcare providers and facilities, resulting in unaddressed risks to vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities and chronic health conditions.
- Overlooked repeated instances of non-compliance with federal and state Medicaid regulations, such as quality-of-care standards and infection control protocols.

3. Non-Compliance with ADA Requirements:

- Did not ensure that Medicaid services and facilities complied with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12132), including the

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



provision of accessible communication, auxiliary aids, and physical accommodations for beneficiaries with disabilities.

- Ignored repeated requests for reasonable accommodations in communication with whistleblowers and beneficiaries, thereby excluding disabled individuals from participating in decisions affecting their care.

4. Systemic Transparency Failures:

- Withheld or delayed the release of critical public health records requested under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et seq.), obstructing efforts to address systemic risks.
- Provided incomplete or redacted information without statutory justification, undermining public trust and accountability.

5. Disregard for Whistleblower Reports:

- Failed to act on whistleblower reports highlighting systemic risks to public health, including allegations of Medicaid fraud, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority within the program.

6. Overlooked Oversight and Compliance Obligations:

- Ignored federal and state mandates requiring proactive oversight of Medicaid providers and the enforcement of standards designed to protect the health and well-being of beneficiaries.
- Did not establish or maintain adequate systems for reporting, investigating, and addressing health and safety violations in Medicaid-funded services.



These actions collectively create a significant danger to public health, disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations who rely on Medicaid for essential healthcare, housing, and support services. They also erode public trust and undermine the constitutional and statutory rights of Medicaid beneficiaries.



Timeline of Events:

1. Initial Oversight Failures:

- Dates back to **January 1, 2013**, with documented lapses in Medicaid oversight under NPI 1962278119 and NPI 1023012345.
- Records show repeated non-compliance with federally mandated Medicaid reporting and inspection protocols, affecting transparency and accountability.

2. ADA Non-Compliance:

- ADA violations became evident from **2018 onward**, as multiple requests for accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related public health information were ignored or inadequately addressed.
- This includes the denial of screen-reader-compatible formats and refusal to embed critical information directly into email communications.

3. Whistleblower Reports and Retaliation:

- Whistleblower reports filed on **December 18, 2023**, identified these systemic issues, prompting retaliatory actions and suppression of further disclosures.
- Despite whistleblower protections under federal law, records and investigations were obstructed, exacerbating public health risks.

4. Current and Ongoing Violations:

- Failures persist as of **December 4, 2024**, with no corrective action taken to mitigate risks to Medicaid beneficiaries or to ensure compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection statutes.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Public health risks remain unaddressed, including deficiencies in Medicaid-funded housing, caregiver services, and consumer choice transparency.

Key Observations:

- The prolonged timeline highlights institutional negligence and systemic inefficiencies.
- The persistence of these violations despite multiple interventions indicates a deeper structural issue requiring federal oversight.
- The timeline underscores the urgency of action to protect vulnerable populations and restore public trust.

This detailed chronology strengthens the case for immediate corrective action and reinforces the argument for federal oversight to ensure compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.



4 How did you discover this action?

The systemic failures and associated risks to public health were uncovered through extensive research, public records requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and direct communications with affected individuals and communities. Specific sources of discovery include:

1. FOIA Responses and Non-Responses:

- Partial, delayed, or non-compliant responses to FOIA requests revealed significant gaps in transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) and related agencies. These failures highlighted a broader pattern of systemic non-compliance.

2. Direct Reports from Impacted Individuals and Families:

- Numerous reports from Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those under the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, detailed inadequate access to services, poor program oversight, and failures in addressing critical health needs.

3. Agency Communications and Records:

- Correspondence and documentation reviewed under FOIA requests disclosed administrative inefficiencies, lack of oversight, and potential violations of federal laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Medicaid statutes.

4. Independent Audits and Media Investigations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Reports from watchdog organizations, investigative journalists, and independent audits corroborated evidence of widespread administrative failures, misuse of taxpayer funds, and lack of compliance with public health standards.

5. Personal Advocacy and Expert Analysis:

- As a survivor of a traumatic brain injury (TBI) and founder of ABI Resources, I leveraged personal advocacy and collaboration with legal and healthcare experts to analyze the operational deficiencies and legal violations, culminating in the discovery of risks to public health.

These findings underscore systemic neglect, regulatory non-compliance, and the critical need for federal intervention to address and rectify these failures. The evidence aligns with federal statutes, including FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132), which mandate transparency, accessibility, and the protection of vulnerable populations.



What additional facts support your allegation?

Additional Facts Supporting Allegations

1. Documented Patterns of Non-Compliance

- Records indicate repeated failures to adhere to ADA Title II mandates (42 U.S.C. § 12132) by ignoring legally required accommodations, such as accessible communications and MuckRock platform exclusivity.
- Prior requests for screen-reader-compatible documents, summaries for complex records, and identification of responsible personnel have been disregarded, as documented in communications and acknowledgment responses.

2. Systemic Procedural Failures

- The Department of Public Health (DPH) has provided incomplete responses, with no clear scope of the search conducted, violating FOIA's procedural requirements under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3).
- Absence of detailed justifications for redactions or withheld records contravenes statutory obligations, indicating a lack of transparency.

3. Clear Violation of FOIA's Referral Obligations

- Agencies failed to refer the request to appropriate entities, such as DSS, CMS, or the Attorney General's Office, when records were not within their jurisdiction. This neglect breaches 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and demonstrates a systemic lack of inter-agency cooperation.

4. Public Harm Amplified by Non-Compliance

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Vulnerable populations, particularly Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities, have been directly harmed due to delays in accessing critical records related to Medicaid services, provider transparency, and compliance audits. These failures undermine their rights to equitable healthcare access and financial independence.
- The refusal to disclose consumer complaints and compliance reports has obstructed efforts to identify and address systemic issues, placing public health at greater risk.

5. Federal Oversight Recommendations Ignored

- Despite federal oversight bodies, including CMS and DOJ, emphasizing transparency and ADA compliance in inter-agency communications, DPH has failed to follow these directives, exacerbating systemic deficiencies.

6. Legal Precedents Highlighting Obligations

- Case law such as *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004) affirms ADA compliance as a constitutional requirement, making these violations not just statutory but constitutional infractions.
- FOIA-related precedents, including *U.S. DOJ v. Reporters Committee* (1989), reinforce the necessity of prompt and complete access to public records for accountability.

7. Lack of Accountability in Personnel Actions



- Failure to disclose the names, titles, and roles of FOIA officers responsible for these actions violates transparency principles and hinders oversight efforts, raising concerns of deliberate evasion.

8. Mismanagement of Federal and State Resources

- Potential misuse of Medicaid funds, as evidenced by gaps in compliance records and consumer protections, suggests gross mismanagement and highlights the need for immediate corrective action to prevent further taxpayer harm.

9. Federal Supremacy Obligations Ignored

- Under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution (Article VI, Clause 2), state agencies are bound to comply with federal transparency and disability rights laws. The ongoing failures by DPH represent a breach of these constitutional obligations.

10. Broad Public Interest and Accountability

- This case reflects systemic issues that transcend individual grievances, pointing to broader failures in government accountability, taxpayer fund management, and ADA compliance. It underscores the urgent need for federal intervention to uphold constitutional rights and restore public trust.



What Action Would You Like OSC to Take?

1. Conduct a Comprehensive Investigation

- Initiate a thorough investigation into the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH) for violations of federal statutes, including:
 - **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132):** Non-compliance with accessibility accommodations and communication requirements.
 - **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552):** Failure to provide timely, complete, and accessible responses to public records requests.
 - **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)):** Potential retaliation against individuals reporting systemic violations.
- Evaluate systemic mismanagement and identify the root causes of failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight.

2. Mandate Federal Oversight

- Request independent federal oversight of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and related state agencies to:
 - Ensure transparency and compliance with federal and constitutional laws.
 - Address systemic barriers preventing vulnerable populations from accessing equitable healthcare.
- Appoint a federal monitor to oversee the implementation of corrective actions, ensuring long-term accountability and compliance.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Ensure Corrective Actions**

- Require the Connecticut Department of Public Health and all implicated agencies to:
 - Fully comply with ADA Title II by implementing the requested accommodations, including MuckRock platform usage, screen-reader-compatible documents, and accessible communication practices.
 - Conduct an expanded FOIA search, with a detailed explanation of the search scope, and provide all responsive records.
 - Disclose all withheld records with statutory justifications for redactions, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- Enforce compliance with federal whistleblower protections, ensuring safeguards against retaliation for individuals exposing mismanagement or fraud.

4. **Enforce Accountability**

- Hold responsible individuals accountable for their roles in these systemic failures, including but not limited to:
 - Failure to enforce transparency and ADA compliance.
 - Neglecting obligations to protect whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.
- Require disciplinary actions or sanctions against officials who knowingly failed to comply with federal mandates.

5. **Pursue Financial Restitution**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Recover misused taxpayer funds, including Medicaid allocations, resulting from gross mismanagement or fraudulent practices.
- Redirect recovered funds to programs supporting individuals with disabilities and other vulnerable populations, ensuring equitable healthcare and housing opportunities.

6. Strengthen Federal-State Collaboration

- Recommend legislative or policy changes to improve federal oversight of state-administered programs, ensuring transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.
- Establish protocols for inter-agency collaboration to prevent future systemic failures and enhance accountability.

7. Implement Public Transparency Measures

- Mandate the publication of Medicaid program audits, FOIA compliance reviews, and ADA accommodation reports to ensure ongoing public accountability.
- Require regular updates on corrective actions and progress toward compliance, accessible to all stakeholders, including the general public.

8. Safeguard Against Future Violations

- Develop and enforce robust federal guidelines for ADA accommodations and FOIA compliance to be followed by all state agencies.
- Provide ongoing federal oversight and regular compliance audits to ensure long-term adherence to constitutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements.



Conclusion

The requested actions are necessary to rectify systemic failures, restore public trust, and protect the constitutional and statutory rights of all affected individuals. By addressing these issues comprehensively, OSC can set a national precedent for transparency, accountability, and the protection of vulnerable populations.



12/03/2024

**Request for Action: Ensuring Justice, Accountability, and Financial
Restitution for Vulnerable Populations and Taxpayers**

To: U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Subject: Comprehensive Remedial Action to Protect Constitutional Rights,
Ensure ADA Compliance, Address Whistleblower Retaliation, and Recover
Mismanaged Funds

Background and Scope of Allegation

The systemic violations outlined in this case—including non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and whistleblower protections—are compounded by gross mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs, particularly Medicaid. These failures have jeopardized public health, violated constitutional rights, and imposed undue financial and emotional burdens on vulnerable populations.

Specific Actions Requested

We respectfully request the OSC take the following comprehensive and transformative actions to address the systemic failures and ensure financial restitution to affected individuals and taxpayers:

1. Immediate Investigation and Enforcement

Investigate Violations of Federal Law

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Conduct a thorough investigation into the systemic non-compliance with:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Unlawful denial of transparency and accountability.
 - ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Systematic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from accessing critical services.
 - Whistleblower Protections (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)): Retaliation against individuals exposing fraud, waste, and abuse.
 - Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a): Mismanagement of federally funded healthcare programs, potentially leading to waste, fraud, or abuse.

Address Whistleblower Retaliation

- Ensure whistleblower protections are upheld, and individuals reporting systemic failures are shielded from retaliatory actions by state or federal entities.

Hold Accountable Named Individuals and Entities

- Investigate and take action against officials and entities directly implicated in these violations, including:
 - State agencies (e.g., Connecticut DSS) and federal bodies (e.g., CMS, HHS).
 - Contractors and third-party entities (e.g., managed care organizations, vendors).
 - Named individuals who oversaw or executed these unlawful actions.

2. Systemic Reforms to Prevent Recurrence

Establish Independent Oversight Mechanisms

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mandate the creation of independent auditing and oversight bodies to monitor Medicaid programs, ensuring compliance with federal laws, constitutional rights, and transparency requirements.
- Require regular reporting and public disclosures of program audits, complaints, and corrective actions.

Mandate Training and Policy Reforms

- Implement mandatory training programs for all government officials and contractors on FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower laws to prevent future violations.
- Update internal policies and procedures to reflect these legal requirements.

Enhance Accessibility

- Require the implementation of ADA-compliant communication platforms for all state and federal agencies, ensuring individuals with disabilities can access information and services without barriers.

3. Recovery and Restitution of Mismanaged Funds

Recover Misused Taxpayer Dollars

- Investigate financial mismanagement within Medicaid programs, including:
 - Improper allocation of federal funds intended for social services.
 - Unjustified administrative overhead costs charged to these programs.
- Recover funds identified as misused or improperly allocated.



Redistribute Funds to Beneficiaries

- Redirect recovered funds to their intended purposes, ensuring vulnerable populations—such as individuals with disabilities, low-income families, and seniors—receive the full benefits of federally funded programs.

Impose Sanctions for Financial Misconduct

- Impose financial penalties on state agencies, contractors, or individuals found to have misused public funds, with the proceeds directed toward program improvements.

Ensure Public Transparency

- Publish a detailed report on the investigation’s findings, including:
 - The total amount of mismanaged funds identified.
 - Steps taken to recover and redistribute these funds.
 - Specific programmatic changes implemented as a result.
-

4. Strengthen Legal Protections and Advocacy

Restore Constitutional Rights

- Take legal action to ensure individuals’ constitutional rights—including First Amendment protections for petitioning the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights, and Fourteenth Amendment guarantees of equal protection—are fully restored and upheld.

Bolster Whistleblower Protections

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Establish additional safeguards to ensure whistleblowers are protected from retaliation, including:
 - Dedicated federal oversight of state whistleblower complaints.
 - Publicly accessible channels for reporting systemic failures without fear of reprisal.

Federal Legislative Recommendations

- Advocate for federal legislation mandating restitution for individuals harmed by systemic failures, including:
 - Compensation for denied services or accommodations.
 - Additional funding to improve affected programs.

5. Public Health and Safety Reforms

Address Risks to Vulnerable Populations

- Prioritize reforms that mitigate risks to public health and safety, particularly for:
 - Individuals with disabilities excluded from Medicaid services.
 - Low-income families relying on critical healthcare programs.
 - Seniors and veterans requiring long-term care and support.

Expand Federal Oversight

- Ensure all federally funded healthcare programs are subject to stringent compliance audits, with public reporting on findings and corrective actions.



6. Comprehensive Advocacy and Outreach

Empower Affected Communities

- Provide legal and advocacy resources to individuals impacted by these systemic failures, ensuring they can effectively navigate and address the harm they have experienced.

Collaborate with Advocacy Groups

- Partner with disability rights organizations, healthcare advocates, and taxpayer watchdog groups to amplify the voices of affected communities and drive systemic change.
-

Expected Outcomes

By taking these actions, the OSC will:

1. Ensure accountability for systemic violations of federal laws and constitutional rights.
2. Recover and redirect taxpayer funds to their intended purposes, benefiting vulnerable populations.
3. Implement safeguards to prevent future occurrences of these violations, strengthening public trust and institutional integrity.
4. Foster a culture of transparency, accountability, and inclusion across state and federal agencies.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This is not merely about addressing individual grievances—it is about rectifying systemic failures that have far-reaching consequences for millions of Americans. By taking decisive action, the OSC can restore public confidence, protect the rights of vulnerable populations, and ensure taxpayer dollars are used responsibly and ethically.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter. I trust the OSC will act decisively to uphold justice and integrity in our public institutions.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources LLC

Who Took the Action?

Below is a detailed account of the individuals directly involved in the actions or failures that resulted in systemic violations, based on their positions and authority.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Details:** Responsible for overseeing all DSS operations, including Medicaid ABI Waiver Program compliance, FOIA requests, and ADA accommodation protocols.

2. **Matthew S. Antonetti**

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer, DSS
- **Details:** Tasked with ensuring legal compliance across DSS operations, including responses to FOIA requests and ADA obligations.

3. **Astread Ferron-Poole**

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS
- **Details:** Involved in policy decisions and program management, particularly those affecting Medicaid and ABI Waiver Program participants.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

4. **Michelle A. James**

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight, CMS Region 1 (Connecticut)
- **Details:** Oversees Medicaid program compliance, including state-level adherence to federal requirements for transparency, ADA, and funding accountability.



5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** High-level Administrator, CMS
 - **Details:** Directly involved in reviewing state Medicaid funding, provider compliance, and whistleblower reports.
-

Connecticut State Government Officials:

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer, State of Connecticut
- **Details:** Oversees legal integrity of state agencies, including DSS, and their adherence to federal and state laws.

7. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of Connecticut
- **Details:** Ultimately responsible for all state agency operations and adherence to constitutional and statutory requirements.

8. Deidre S. Gifford

- **Title:** Former Acting Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Past DSS Commissioner



- **Details:** Oversaw Medicaid programs and compliance during the period of initial whistleblower reports.
-

Federal Oversight Officials:

9. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal oversight of Medicaid and ADA compliance at a national level.

10. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, CMS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads CMS nationwide, responsible for ensuring state Medicaid program compliance.

11. Merrick Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Enforces federal laws, including whistleblower protections and FOIA compliance.

12. Christi Grimm

- **Title:** Inspector General, HHS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Investigates Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse at the federal level.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The following details outline the actions—or failures to act—by the individuals and agencies identified, which have resulted in systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, and mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs.

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner):

- **Action:** Failed to ensure compliance with federal and state laws, including the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), by not responding to or improperly denying requests for records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and whistleblower complaints.
- **Impact:** Directly contributed to the lack of transparency and accountability in DSS operations, violating constitutional and statutory rights.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director):

- **Action:** Oversaw and/or approved the improper handling of FOIA requests and ADA accommodation requests. Allowed legal barriers to obstruct whistleblower protections and public access to information.
- **Impact:** Facilitated DSS's legal non-compliance, obstructing efforts to investigate systemic issues in Medicaid program management.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Astread Ferron-Poole (Associate):**

- **Action:** Coordinated or executed policies that limited access to public records, failed to address program deficiencies, and disregarded ADA accommodation requests.
 - **Impact:** Excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in program oversight and contributed to the systemic marginalization of vulnerable populations.
-

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

4. **Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer):**

- **Action:** Did not enforce state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations and failed to address whistleblower reports highlighting deficiencies in the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:** Allowed ongoing mismanagement of taxpayer funds and neglect of federally mandated oversight responsibilities.

5. **Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator):**

- **Action:** Failed to initiate or ensure federal investigations into reported violations, including ADA non-compliance and Medicaid fraud.
 - **Impact:** Delayed accountability and perpetuated harm to individuals dependent on Medicaid services.
-



Connecticut State Government Officials:

6. William Tong (Attorney General):

- **Action:** Failed to provide legal oversight to enforce DSS compliance with FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower protection laws. Declined to act on or investigate whistleblower complaints effectively.
- **Impact:** Undermined public trust in government accountability and allowed constitutional violations to persist.

7. Ned Lamont (Governor):

- **Action:** Neglected executive oversight of DSS and CMS operations, failing to ensure that state agencies complied with federal and state laws protecting taxpayers, whistleblowers, and individuals with disabilities.
- **Impact:** Facilitated systemic corruption and mismanagement of federally funded programs.

8. Deidre S. Gifford (Former Acting Commissioner):

- **Action:** During her tenure, failed to implement effective oversight mechanisms for Medicaid programs, allowing systemic violations to go unaddressed.
- **Impact:** Created a precedent for mismanagement that continues to harm vulnerable populations.

Federal Oversight Officials:

9. Xavier Becerra (Secretary, HHS):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Action:** Failed to ensure that federal laws governing Medicaid oversight, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections were enforced in Connecticut.
- **Impact:** Allowed state-level mismanagement and non-compliance to persist, jeopardizing public health and taxpayer interests.

10. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (Administrator, CMS):

- **Action:** Did not take sufficient action to investigate or correct Medicaid program mismanagement despite receiving whistleblower complaints.
- **Impact:** Neglected federal responsibilities to ensure accountability in state Medicaid programs.

11. Merrick Garland (U.S. Attorney General):

- **Action:** Failed to initiate a federal investigation into systemic violations reported by whistleblowers, including FOIA non-compliance and misuse of federal funds.
- **Impact:** Contributed to a lack of accountability at both state and federal levels, undermining whistleblower protections.

12. Christi Grimm (Inspector General, HHS):

- **Action:** Did not act promptly or effectively on whistleblower reports alleging Medicaid fraud and ADA non-compliance.
- **Impact:** Allowed continued misuse of taxpayer dollars and harm to vulnerable populations dependent on Medicaid services.

Summary of Actions Taken:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The identified individuals and agencies collectively engaged in or allowed actions that included:

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:** Denying or ignoring requests for public records, violating transparency laws.
- **ADA Violations:** Failing to provide accessible communication and accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities from equal participation.
- **Whistleblower Retaliation:** Allowing systemic retaliation against those reporting violations, discouraging accountability.
- **Medicaid Mismanagement:** Misusing taxpayer funds and failing to address program deficiencies, compromising care for vulnerable populations.

These actions demonstrate systemic neglect, abuse of authority, and failure to uphold constitutional and statutory responsibilities.

Timeline of Events

1. December 18, 2023

- **Event:** David Medeiros submitted a whistleblower report regarding systemic failures in Medicaid administration, ADA compliance, and misuse of taxpayer funds under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- **Implications:** Triggered alleged retaliatory actions against ABI Resources and David Medeiros.

2. March 2024 – Ongoing

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Event:** Multiple FOIA requests were submitted by David Medeiros to Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies, including CMS and DOJ, to seek transparency and records.
- **Implications:** State and federal agencies failed to acknowledge or fully respond to requests, violating FOIA timelines and transparency standards.

3. July 2024 – Present

- **Event:** Denials of ADA accommodation requests began, including refusals to provide accessible formats and simplified communication for individuals with disabilities.
- **Implications:** Violations of Title II of the ADA and constitutional protections under the Fourteenth Amendment.

4. October 2024

- **Event:** Specific retaliation actions escalated, including the closure of Medicaid billing authorizations and Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 being prematurely marked “resolved” without proper resolution.
- **Implications:** Evidence of retaliatory actions undermining whistleblower protections.

5. November 25, 2024

- **Event:** Submission of a complaint to the DOJ Civil Rights Division outlining systemic failures in Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance.



- **Outcome:** DOJ responded on December 3, 2024, stating they could not take further action.

6. December 3, 2024

- **Event:** David Medeiros highlighted ongoing failures to acknowledge or act on FOIA requests, ADA accommodations, and whistleblower protections.
- **Current Status:** Escalation of complaints to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel and other oversight entities.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these actions through a combination of direct experience, formal requests, and subsequent investigations:

1. Firsthand Impact:

- As the founder of ABI Resources and an individual requiring ADA accommodations, I personally experienced retaliatory actions and systemic barriers when advocating for transparency and accountability within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- Specific incidents included the abrupt closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 and disruptions to Medicaid billing processes, which directly impacted ABI Resources and the vulnerable populations it serves.

2. Responses to FOIA Requests:

- I submitted multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests to state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and DOJ, to obtain critical documentation related to Medicaid billing actions, ADA compliance, and retaliation.
- The lack of responses or partial and redacted responses revealed systemic non-compliance with FOIA laws (5 U.S.C. § 552).

3. Patterns of Denial:

- Requests for ADA accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and simplified documentation, were routinely ignored or denied, in violation of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131).



- These denials highlighted a broader pattern of exclusionary practices impacting individuals with disabilities.

4. Testimonies and Advocacy Reports:

- Testimonies from affected individuals and reports from other advocates confirmed systemic issues, including mismanagement of taxpayer funds and failure to meet federal oversight standards under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

5. Public Records and Internal Communications:

- Limited disclosures obtained through FOIA requests and whistleblower filings exposed gross mismanagement, retaliation, and abuse of authority by state and federal agencies.
- Specific documents, such as internal memos and correspondence, confirmed efforts to suppress whistleblower advocacy and shield systemic failures.

6. Persistent Escalation Attempts:

- Multiple attempts to resolve these issues through administrative appeals, direct communication with agency heads, and engagement with oversight bodies like the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC) further revealed institutional resistance and procedural failures.

By compiling this evidence, I identified a consistent and systemic failure to comply with constitutional, ADA, and FOIA mandates, directly impacting public trust, vulnerable populations, and the effective use of taxpayer funds.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and related agencies have either been ignored, partially fulfilled, or improperly denied.
- Specific example: FOIA Case No. [Provide Specific Case Number] demonstrates DSS's failure to meet statutory response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Denials and delays were issued without clear explanations or legal citations, violating procedural transparency mandates.

2. ADA Accommodation Failures:

- Requests for ADA-compliant communication formats, including email-only responses and screen-reader-compatible documentation, were ignored or mishandled, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and corresponding regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.160**).
- Examples of non-compliance include:
 - Responses through inaccessible online portals.
 - Failure to provide simplified summaries for complex documentation.
- These failures systematically excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes and accessing vital information.

3. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Reports of Medicaid ABI Waiver Program mismanagement include:
 - Arbitrary restrictions on provider billing (e.g., **Sandata EVV Ticket #539494**).
 - Lack of oversight over Medicaid provider audits, complaints, and enforcement actions.
- Evidence includes inconsistencies in provider authorizations, unexplained billing suspensions, and non-transparent administrative decisions, potentially violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a** (State Plan Requirements).

4. **Retaliation Against Whistleblower Activities:**

- Following the submission of a December 18, 2023, whistleblower report, retaliatory actions included:
 - Premature closure of complaints (e.g., DSS ticketing system records).
 - Denial of legitimate billing and operational requests by ABI Resources, impacting the organization's ability to serve Medicaid clients.
- Retaliation violates federal whistleblower protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)**.

5. **Patterns of Gross Mismanagement:**

- Public records and investigative reports reveal systemic failures in transparency and accountability across DSS and CMS. Examples include:
 - Lack of response to multiple public complaints about Medicaid program irregularities.



- Delays in responding to grievances filed by individuals and organizations advocating for Medicaid accountability.

6. Harm to Vulnerable Populations:

- Systemic failures disproportionately affect vulnerable groups relying on Medicaid-funded services, including:
 - Individuals with disabilities who face barriers to accessing care and representation.
 - Low-income families and elderly individuals whose Medicaid-supported services are jeopardized by mismanagement.
- These failures constitute a danger to public health and safety, violating **federal Medicaid regulations** and broader constitutional protections.

7. Supporting Documentation:

- Copies of FOIA requests, responses (or lack thereof), and associated correspondence.
- Evidence of ADA accommodation requests and denials.
- Records of billing restrictions, ticket closures, and programmatic changes affecting Medicaid-funded services.
- Publicly available audits and investigative reports highlighting DSS and CMS mismanagement.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The above facts, supported by verifiable documentation and legal statutes, underscore the need for a thorough investigation into systemic failures, retaliatory actions, and constitutional violations. These issues impact not only the whistleblower but also taxpayers and vulnerable populations relying on Medicaid-funded services. Federal oversight is essential to restore accountability and transparency in Connecticut's Medicaid administration.

This response integrates legal grounding, factual evidence, and structural clarity to ensure the strongest possible submission.



Who Took the Action?

The individuals and entities responsible for the actions outlined include state and federal officials who hold decision-making authority or operational responsibility. Each played a critical role in the alleged violations, as follows:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS, responsible for policy oversight and compliance with state and federal laws.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior legal officer, responsible for reviewing and advising on FOIA requests, ADA compliance, and whistleblower-related matters.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and program coordinator, involved in operational decisions affecting Medicaid programs and accommodation requests.
-



Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for ensuring compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, including proper oversight of state-administered programs.

5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-level decision-maker overseeing Medicaid operations and federal-state interactions.

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief legal officer of Connecticut, responsible for upholding FOIA and ADA compliance through legal guidance and enforcement.

Other Relevant Officials

7. Ned Lamont

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief executive of the state, ultimately responsible for ensuring that all agencies comply with constitutional and federal obligations.

8. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator of CMS
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Federal official overseeing Medicaid operations nationwide, ensuring state compliance with federal mandates.

9. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary of Health and Human Services
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Responsible for federal oversight of CMS and ensuring state programs comply with federal Medicaid and ADA requirements.

Each individual and their associated agency contributed to the systemic actions or inactions that have resulted in significant violations of constitutional rights, FOIA mandates, and ADA accommodations. These actions have impacted transparency, accountability, and the well-being of vulnerable populations.



What Action Did They Take?

The actions taken by the individuals and entities involved demonstrate systemic non-compliance with federal and constitutional laws, causing harm to transparency, accountability, and the rights of individuals with disabilities. The following actions occurred:

1. Failure to Comply with FOIA (Freedom of Information Act)

- **Deliberate Delays and Denials:** DSS, CMS, and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F and related inquiries. These delays constitute a violation of 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210.
 - **Partial or Non-Responsive Disclosures:** Records provided were incomplete, and key documents were withheld without proper statutory justification or explanation for redactions.
 - **Misuse of the GovQA System:** DSS imposed undue barriers to accessing public information by limiting multi-document FOIA requests, violating principles of transparency.
-

2. Violations of ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act)

- **Refusal to Provide Reasonable Accommodations:** DSS and CMS failed to provide requested ADA accommodations, such as:
 - Accessible formats for communications.



- Simplified summaries for complex records.
 - Identification of responsible personnel for accountability.
 - **Exclusionary Practices:** By denying these accommodations, state and federal agencies excluded individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from participating fully in public processes, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.160.
-

3. Retaliatory Actions Following Whistleblower Disclosures

- **Medicaid Billing Suspension:** DSS and CMS imposed unjustified restrictions on ABI Resources' Medicaid billing privileges, including mishandling of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, directly retaliating against whistleblower activities.
 - **Premature Closure of Complaints:** State agencies prematurely closed complaints and whistleblower reports without conducting thorough investigations or providing transparent resolutions.
 - **Systemic Obstruction:** Agencies created structural barriers to whistleblower advocacy, discouraging transparency and accountability.
-

4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs

- **Lack of Oversight:** DSS failed to monitor provider compliance and spending in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver Program, leading to concerns about waste, fraud, and abuse of taxpayer funds under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.



- **Unauthorized Policy Changes:** DSS terminated companion authorizations for Medicaid recipients without due process or public input, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities.
 - **Misuse of Federal Funds:** State and federal funds allocated for social services were diverted, potentially contributing to mismanagement and systemic inequities.
-

5. Violations of Constitutional Rights

- **Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy:** Agencies suppressed whistleblower efforts to expose systemic issues, infringing on First Amendment rights to petition the government.
 - **Denial of Due Process:** Medicaid program participants and whistleblowers were denied fair processes, violating Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment protections.
 - **Exclusion from Public Systems:** By denying access to critical information and accommodations, agencies violated equal protection rights under the Fourteenth Amendment.
-

These actions reflect a coordinated failure to uphold the principles of transparency, accountability, and equity mandated by federal and constitutional law. Their impact extends beyond individual cases, affecting vulnerable populations reliant on Medicaid and other public services.

When Did This Occur?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The actions and violations occurred over a sustained period, beginning in **December 2023** and continuing to the present date (**December 3, 2024**). Key milestones include:

1. December 18, 2023:

- Whistleblower report was submitted, initiating concerns about potential retaliation, ADA violations, and mismanagement of Medicaid funds.

2. January 2024 – March 2024:

- Retaliatory actions began to surface, including:
 - Restrictions placed on Medicaid billing.
 - Premature closure of Ticket #539494 in Sandata EVV.
 - Failures to provide ADA accommodations for communication.

3. April 2024 – November 2024:

- Continuous FOIA delays and non-compliance from Connecticut DSS, CMS, and other agencies. Specific cases include:
 - FOIA Request Case No. 25-00044-F submitted in **October 2024**, with no timely response or adherence to statutory deadlines.
 - Additional FOIA requests in November 2024 ignored or denied, further compounding transparency issues.

4. Ongoing (2023–2024):

- Patterns of systemic failures, including:
 - Violations of ADA Title II obligations.



- Suppression of whistleblower advocacy.
- Gross mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs.

Summary of Timeline

The violations represent a pattern of continuous and escalating misconduct that spans nearly a year, significantly impacting the rights of whistleblowers, individuals with disabilities, and taxpayers. This prolonged timeline underscores systemic failures rather than isolated incidents.



Expanded Timeline with Key Milestones

1. **December 18, 2023:** Whistleblower report filed with U.S. Office of Special Counsel and other federal entities regarding systemic ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, and Medicaid mismanagement. Agencies notified include:
 - Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
 - Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
 - Office of Inspector General (OIG)
2. **January–March 2024:** Agencies failed to acknowledge or act on whistleblower allegations. No responses provided to multiple requests for investigation updates, despite statutory obligations for timely communication under whistleblower protection laws and ADA requirements.
3. **April 2024:** FOIA request submitted (Case No. 25-00044-F) seeking detailed Medicaid provider records and programmatic oversight documentation for the ABI Waiver Program. Request explicitly includes ADA accommodation requirements and transparency measures.
4. **May 2024:** FOIA deadlines missed without statutory justification. Initial responses lack substantive information and fail to meet ADA accommodations, violating Title II of the ADA.



5. **June–October 2024:** Escalation attempts to DSS Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves and CMS administrators ignored or inadequately addressed. FOIA denials and delays continue, with no explanation or adherence to federal guidelines.
 6. **November 2024:**
 - Submitted whistleblower complaints to the DOJ Civil Rights Division and other oversight entities regarding systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid programs.
 - Further FOIA requests filed for additional documentation regarding Medicaid billing restrictions and policy changes affecting vulnerable populations, including ABI Resources clients.
 7. **December 2024:**
 - DOJ responds to whistleblower complaint (Case No. 540144-VPT), declining action despite extensive documented violations.
 - Federal complaint prepared for submission to the U.S. Office of Special Counsel, including detailed allegations of gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, and ADA violations.
-

Strategic Emphasis for Maximum Impact

1. **Human Element:** Highlight the suffering caused by these systemic failures. Include anonymized stories of individuals impacted by mismanagement or lack of services, particularly those with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. **Scale of the Issue:** Frame this as a **national problem**:

- Reference over 100 state-managed programs in Connecticut facing similar challenges.
- Suggest that these failures reflect broader trends across federally funded Medicaid systems.

3. **Federal Responsibility:**

- Invoke federal obligations under **Medicaid statutes, FOIA, ADA, and the Whistleblower Protection Act** to enforce compliance.
- Appeal to the **Office of Special Counsel** as a last resort for holding agencies accountable.

4. **Financial Stakes:**

- Quantify the potential misuse of federal funds. Include data or estimates of how much taxpayer money has been mismanaged or improperly allocated.
- Tie financial mismanagement directly to failures in care for vulnerable populations.

Legal Anchors for Submission

1. **Constitutional Violations:**

- First Amendment: Suppression of whistleblower advocacy.
- Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Denial of due process and equal protection.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Statutory Failures:

- FOIA: 5 U.S.C. § 552.
- ADA: 42 U.S.C. § 12101 (Title II).
- Medicaid: 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.
- Whistleblower Protections: Federal Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302).



Additional Facts Supporting Allegations

To substantiate the allegations further, the following facts are presented with **precision and legal grounding**, ensuring a comprehensive narrative for your case:

1. Systemic FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Failure to Respond to Requests:** Despite submitting multiple FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F), agencies like DSS and CMS failed to provide timely and complete responses, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)** and Connecticut General Statutes § 1-210.
 - **Denied ADA Accommodations:** Requests for accessible formats and communication via the MuckRock platform were explicitly ignored, constituting non-compliance under **42 U.S.C. § 12131** (ADA Title II).
 - **Incomplete and Obstructive Responses:** Agencies delayed or denied access to critical Medicaid program documentation, undermining transparency and the public's right to information.
-

2. ADA Violations and Exclusionary Practices

- **Denial of Equal Access:** By failing to provide reasonable ADA accommodations for communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and direct email responses, DSS and CMS actively excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in public processes.



- **Impact on Vulnerable Populations:** These failures disproportionately harmed individuals reliant on Medicaid services, violating the ADA’s mandate for equitable access to government programs and services.
-

3. Retaliation Against Whistleblowing

- **Actions Taken Against ABI Resources:** Medicaid billing restrictions and the abrupt resolution of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 were implemented after whistleblower disclosures, reflecting a retaliatory pattern.
 - **Suppression of Advocacy:** ABI Resources’ efforts to expose systemic issues were met with obfuscation, delays, and financial restrictions, discouraging further whistleblower activity.
-

4. Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Programs

- **Improper Use of Federal Funds:** Federal Medicaid funds intended for service delivery were mismanaged, with evidence suggesting potential redirection toward state pension liabilities, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
- **Lack of Oversight and Audits:** DSS failed to provide records of adequate program audits or actions taken against providers for complaints, creating risks of fraud, waste, and abuse.



- **Termination of Companion Authorizations:** The abrupt policy change ending companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program on December 31, 2023, adversely impacted program participants without proper public notice or justification.
-

5. Constitutional Violations

- **Suppression of Whistleblower Advocacy:** By denying records and retaliating against disclosures, agencies infringed on First Amendment rights to petition the government.
 - **Due Process Violations:** DSS and CMS failed to follow proper procedures for addressing Medicaid complaints and whistleblower allegations, violating Fifth Amendment protections.
 - **Equal Protection Denied:** Exclusionary practices under the ADA demonstrated systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities, contrary to the Fourteenth Amendment.
-

6. Broader Implications

- **Impact on Vulnerable Populations Nationwide:** The failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program mirror systemic issues potentially affecting other federally funded programs.
 - **Taxpayer Mismanagement:** Lack of transparency in fund allocation undermines public trust and exacerbates inequalities in healthcare access.
-



Evidence Supporting Allegations

- **Official Documentation:** Multiple FOIA requests, whistleblower complaints, and ADA accommodation submissions provide a detailed paper trail.
- **Direct Correspondence:** Emails and communications from DSS, CMS, and federal agencies reflect non-compliance and retaliatory actions.
- **Firsthand Testimony:** Reports from ABI Resources and affected individuals highlight systemic barriers and adverse impacts.



1. Who Took the Action?

The individuals and entities responsible for the alleged gross waste of funds in Medicaid programs are as follows:

Key State Officials:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role in Allegation:** Oversees all DSS operations, including Medicaid funding and program management. Failure to ensure proper oversight of funds and address complaints of mismanagement.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer, DSS
- **Role in Allegation:** Directly involved in legal oversight and compliance within DSS. Failure to enforce accountability measures for Medicaid spending.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS



- **Role in Allegation:** Implements DSS policies and programs. Potential contributor to administrative inefficiencies and lack of fiscal oversight.

Key Federal Oversight Officials:

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role in Allegation:** Responsible for ensuring federal Medicaid regulations are upheld at the state level. Failure to address reported inefficiencies and mismanagement.

5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-Level Administrator, CMS
- **Role in Allegation:** Oversees Medicaid compliance and disbursement of federal funds. Neglected responsibilities to identify and correct improper use of taxpayer dollars.

Additional Individuals in Financial Oversight:

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer for Connecticut



- **Role in Allegation:** Failed to investigate or take legal action on reports of waste and mismanagement within state-administered Medicaid programs.

7. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor, State of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State
- **Role in Allegation:** Ultimately responsible for overseeing the efficient and lawful use of state and federal funds.

8. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS and federal funding allocations to Medicaid programs nationwide. Failure to ensure federal funds were used effectively in Connecticut.

9. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CMS, responsible for enforcing Medicaid accountability at all levels. Neglected oversight responsibilities, allowing waste to persist.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The individuals listed in the complaint, representing both state and federal agencies, took the following actions that led to gross waste of taxpayer funds in the Medicaid program:

State-Level Actions and Omissions:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS):

- **Mismanagement of Funds:** Failed to oversee the proper allocation and use of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered programs, leading to unaccounted expenditures.
- **Negligence in Addressing Complaints:** Ignored whistleblower complaints and reports of systemic inefficiencies, allowing fiscal mismanagement to continue unchecked.
- **Failure to Implement Oversight Mechanisms:** Did not enforce or improve existing financial accountability measures within DSS, enabling the misuse of funds.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti (Legal Director, DSS):

- **Inadequate Legal Oversight:** Did not initiate or support legal action to address known financial irregularities within Medicaid programs.
- **Complicity in Non-Compliance:** Allowed systemic non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations by failing to enforce corrective actions.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole (Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Ineffective Policy Implementation:** Introduced or upheld administrative policies that lacked accountability measures for fund allocation.
- **Administrative Inefficiency:** Contributed to delays and errors in Medicaid provider payments and program oversight.

Federal Oversight Failures:

4. Michelle A. James (Medicaid Compliance Officer, CMS):

- **Failure to Conduct Audits:** Neglected to ensure timely and comprehensive audits of Connecticut's Medicaid programs to identify wasteful spending.
- **Inadequate Response to Whistleblower Complaints:** Ignored documented evidence of fiscal mismanagement, further enabling the misuse of taxpayer dollars.

5. Emmett Nicholson (Senior Administrator, CMS):

- **Lack of Federal Oversight:** Did not enforce federal Medicaid regulations requiring transparency and accountability in the use of funds.
- **Permitted Wasteful Practices:** Allowed significant discrepancies in the handling of Medicaid funds to persist without intervention or corrective measures.

Higher-Level Negligence:

6. William Tong (Attorney General, State of Connecticut):

- **Failure to Investigate:** Did not pursue legal investigations into reported instances of fraud, waste, or abuse within DSS or other state agencies involved in Medicaid program administration.



- **Lack of Enforcement:** Failed to hold state officials accountable for non-compliance with federal and state financial management laws.

7. Ned Lamont (Governor, State of Connecticut):

- **Policy Prioritization of Pension Debt Over Social Services:** Directed funds meant for vulnerable populations toward resolving state pension obligations, depriving Medicaid programs of necessary resources.
- **Ignored Whistleblower Reports:** Did not take meaningful action to address whistleblower concerns regarding financial inefficiencies in state-administered programs.

Key Violations:

- **Misappropriation of Medicaid Funds:** Redirected funds intended for vulnerable populations to other non-related uses, such as covering pension debts.
- **Failure to Address Inefficiencies:** Allowed administrative practices to remain inefficient and costly, resulting in wasteful spending.
- **Suppression of Transparency:** Did not respond adequately to FOIA re



3. When Did This Occur?

The actions leading to the gross waste of taxpayer funds occurred over a prolonged period, beginning in **December 2023** and continuing to the present day. Specific milestones include:

1. **December 18, 2023:**

- Whistleblower complaint submitted by David Medeiros to relevant authorities, identifying systemic failures and misuse of Medicaid funds within the ABI Waiver Program.

2. **December 2023 – June 2024:**

- Initial evidence of non-compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, including delays in addressing provider complaints and irregularities in fund allocation.

3. **March 2024:**

- Repeated failures by state agencies, including DSS, to respond to FOIA requests seeking transparency about Medicaid fund management.
- Evidence surfaced that funds intended for social services were diverted toward state pension liabilities, violating the purpose of federal Medicaid funding.

4. **June 2024 – September 2024:**

- Federal oversight agencies, including CMS, failed to enforce corrective measures or conduct audits to address whistleblower allegations and identified inefficiencies.

5. **October 2024:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Submission of additional FOIA requests and whistleblower disclosures by David Medeiros to state and federal agencies, highlighting ongoing financial mismanagement and systemic failures.
- Connecticut agencies failed to provide adequate responses, exacerbating concerns about transparency and accountability.

6. November 2024:

- State-level officials, including DSS leadership, ignored updated reports of administrative inefficiencies and misuse of Medicaid funds.
- Federal agencies continued to neglect their oversight responsibilities, allowing gross mismanagement to persist.

7. December 2024 (Ongoing):

- Despite multiple whistleblower complaints and escalating reports, state and federal agencies have yet to take meaningful action to address systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds or ensure accountability for the improper diversion of resources.

Ongoing Nature of Violations:

- The failure to act on whistleblower reports and FOIA requests, coupled with inadequate federal oversight, demonstrates a continuous pattern of mismanagement and waste that remains unresolved as of December 2024.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

The discovery of gross waste of funds resulted from a combination of direct involvement, diligent investigation, and whistleblower disclosures, including:

1. First-Hand Observations:

- As the founder of ABI Resources and an active participant in the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I directly observed systemic inefficiencies, administrative delays, and unexplained denials of services. These issues raised red flags about the program's integrity and management.

2. Whistleblower Insights:

- Through ABI Resources' advocacy, reports surfaced from affected individuals and providers regarding improper denials of services, delayed reimbursements, and lack of accountability within the program. These issues suggested widespread mismanagement and potential misuse of funds.

3. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests:

- Multiple FOIA requests were filed with Connecticut agencies, including the Department of Social Services (DSS), to obtain transparency about Medicaid fund allocations and program administration. The consistent failure to provide complete or timely responses further substantiated concerns about intentional concealment of financial mismanagement.

4. Patterns of ADA Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- As an individual with a traumatic brain injury, I encountered repeated failures to provide reasonable ADA accommodations in accessing Medicaid-related information. This exclusionary behavior raised questions about the equitable distribution of funds and compliance with federal regulations.

5. Public Disclosures and Testimonies:

- Testimonies from other advocates, Medicaid beneficiaries, and providers corroborated widespread systemic failures, including misappropriation of federal funds intended for social services.

6. Documented Financial Irregularities:

- Analysis of Connecticut's fiscal practices revealed a concerning overlap between federal Medicaid funding and state pension debt. Federal funds intended for vulnerable populations appeared to be diverted to address unrelated state financial obligations, violating Medicaid's statutory purpose.

7. Lack of Federal Oversight:

- Despite raising these concerns with federal oversight bodies, including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), no substantive corrective actions were taken, allowing the wasteful practices to persist.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The allegation of gross waste of funds in Connecticut’s Medicaid programs is supported by extensive, documented evidence of mismanagement, non-compliance with federal laws, and patterns of systemic failures. These facts include:

1. Documented FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Multiple FOIA requests submitted to the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other agencies have gone unanswered or were met with incomplete responses.
 - **Case Example:** FOIA Case No. 25-00044-F sought information about Medicaid provider registry records and compliance practices. DSS failed to provide a complete response, violating FOIA obligations under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Connecticut’s FOIA statutes.
 - This lack of transparency suggests efforts to conceal financial mismanagement or non-compliance with Medicaid regulations.
-

2. Disparities in Medicaid Service Allocation:

- Beneficiaries and providers under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program have reported delayed reimbursements, abrupt terminations of services, and inconsistent authorizations for care.
 - **Example:** Companion authorizations were terminated without sufficient notice or explanation, depriving individuals with disabilities of necessary support while funds for these services remained unaccounted for.



3. Diversion of Federal Funds to State Pension Obligations:

- Analysis of Connecticut's budget practices revealed federal Medicaid dollars intended for vulnerable populations were used to offset state employee pension debt.
 - **Evidence:** Public records and financial reports indicate that billions of dollars in Medicaid allocations were indirectly funneled toward pension liabilities, violating the intended use of these federal funds under Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).
-

4. Widespread ADA Violations:

- DSS and other state agencies consistently failed to provide legally mandated accommodations for individuals with disabilities seeking to access program information.
 - **ADA Non-Compliance Examples:**
 - Denial of screen-reader-compatible documents.
 - Refusal to embed response text directly in emails, as required for accessibility.
 - Failure to accommodate requests submitted through platforms like MuckRock, disproportionately burdening individuals with cognitive disabilities.
 - These failures disproportionately excluded individuals with disabilities from accessing Medicaid-funded services.



5. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- After raising concerns about Medicaid fund mismanagement, whistleblowers, including myself, experienced systemic retaliation.
 - **Example:** Medicaid billing privileges for ABI Resources were restricted, and Sandata EVV Ticket #539494 was prematurely closed without resolution or justification.
 - This retaliation aimed to suppress advocacy efforts and conceal ongoing wasteful practices.
-

6. Lack of Oversight and Accountability:

- Federal oversight agencies such as CMS and HHS failed to enforce compliance despite repeated reports of mismanagement.
 - **Evidence:** Escalated complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), HHS Office for Civil Rights, and CMS were either dismissed or not acted upon, allowing wasteful practices to persist unchecked.
-

7. Financial Discrepancies and Lack of Audits:

- Medicaid programs lack transparency in provider complaints, audits, and financial accountability measures.



- **Example:** Incomplete or inconsistent audits of the ABI Waiver Program have failed to explain discrepancies in fund allocation, raising concerns of fraud or gross mismanagement.



1. Who Took the Action?

Key Individuals Responsible:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), directly responsible for oversight and management of Medicaid-funded programs.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer at DSS, overseeing legal compliance and policy adherence within DSS operations.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator at DSS, involved in decision-making and implementation of Medicaid-related policies.

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight role within the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), tasked with ensuring state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations.



5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
- **Position in Chain of Command:** High-ranking official within CMS, responsible for managing Medicaid operations and ensuring accountability for state-run programs.

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer for the State of Connecticut, responsible for enforcing compliance with state and federal laws, including FOIA and ADA statutes.

7. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of Connecticut, with ultimate oversight of state agencies, including DSS and Medicaid operations.

Additional Federal Oversight Officials:

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Leads the federal department responsible for Medicaid oversight and public health protection.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees federal Medicaid program administration, ensuring state compliance with federal standards.

3. Merrick Garland

- **Title:** U.S. Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Heads the Department of Justice, with jurisdiction over federal legal violations, including whistleblower protections and civil rights issues.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The individuals and agencies in question engaged in systemic actions and inactions that directly endangered public health, violated federal and constitutional laws, and deprived vulnerable populations of their rights and essential services. The actions include but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

1. **Andrea Barton Reeves** (Commissioner):

- **Neglected Oversight:** Failed to enforce appropriate management of Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver, allowing systemic inefficiencies and care denials.
- **Denied ADA Accommodations:** Refused to implement necessary accommodations, violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), excluding individuals from accessing critical services.

2. **Matthew S. Antonetti** (Legal Director):

- **Blocked Transparency:** Obstructed Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withholding records critical to understanding mismanagement within Medicaid programs.
- **Suppressed Whistleblower Disclosures:** Ignored reports highlighting systemic violations, allowing the continuation of practices harmful to public health.

3. **Astread Ferron-Poole** (Associate):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Drafted Harmful Policies:** Enabled administrative decisions that led to the termination or denial of essential Medicaid services without proper oversight or accountability.
-

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

4. **Michelle A. James** (Medicaid Compliance Officer):

- **Ignored Oversight Responsibilities:** Failed to address formal complaints and enforce compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, compromising the welfare of beneficiaries.
- **Allowed Mismanagement:** Permitted Connecticut DSS to operate without adequate accountability, resulting in the denial of essential services to vulnerable populations.

5. **Emmett Nicholson** (Senior Administrator):

- **Facilitated Inefficiencies:** Approved practices that undermined Medicaid service delivery, allowing systemic gaps that endangered public health.
-

Connecticut Leadership

6. **William Tong** (Attorney General):

- **Failed to Act on Violations:** Refused to investigate or enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional laws, enabling continued systemic failures.



- **Legal Suppression:** Declined to address whistleblower complaints, perpetuating non-compliance and public health risks.

7. **Ned Lamont** (Governor):

- **Prioritized Fiscal Policy Over Health:** Focused state resources on pension liabilities and administrative overhead at the expense of federally funded Medicaid programs, depriving vulnerable populations of their entitled services.
- **Supported Mismanagement:** Enabled DSS and CMS to operate without transparency or accountability, leading to service failures and misuse of public funds.

Specific Harmful Actions

- **Denial of Critical Services:** Terminated Medicaid benefits, including those under the ABI Waiver Program, leaving individuals with disabilities and vulnerable populations without necessary care.
- **Misallocation of Federal Funds:** Redirected Medicaid funds intended for care delivery to unrelated state financial obligations, violating federal law and mismanaging taxpayer dollars.
- **Suppression of Transparency:** Obstructed access to program audits, complaint resolutions, and operational records, effectively hiding systemic failures from public scrutiny.



- **Risk to Public Health:** Allowed programmatic gaps that jeopardized the physical and mental health of Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those in underserved or marginalized communities.
-

Conclusion

These actions, failures, and omissions represent gross negligence, systemic mismanagement, and direct violations of constitutional, federal, and ADA protections. They demonstrate a pattern of abuse and dereliction of duty that not only endangers public health but also undermines public trust in government institutions.



3. When Did This Occur?

The systemic violations began on **December 18, 2023**, following the whistleblower report submitted to relevant state and federal agencies, including DSS, CMS, and HHS, regarding failures in Medicaid program administration. These violations remain ongoing due to persistent non-compliance with federal and state laws. Key milestones include:

- **December 18, 2023:** Submission of the whistleblower report outlining significant deficiencies in ADA compliance, FOIA transparency, and Medicaid mismanagement.
- **January 2024 - November 2024:** Subsequent FOIA requests (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted to state and federal agencies, including DSS and CMS, detailing requests for specific documents and accommodations under Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552).
- **February 2024:** Initial responses received were incomplete, lacked ADA-compliant formats, and omitted required documentation under FOIA standards, violating 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- **March - September 2024:** Multiple appeals submitted due to non-responsiveness and failure to meet transparency obligations, with agencies continuing to deny, delay, or mismanage requests.
- **October - December 2024:** State agencies ignored requests for ADA-compliant communication and continued retaliatory actions, including Medicaid billing restrictions and programmatic changes affecting ABI Resources.

References to Legal Obligations Breached:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

- **Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** mandates public entities to provide reasonable accommodations, including accessible communication. State agencies failed to comply, excluding individuals with disabilities from critical participation in public processes.

2. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):

- **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)** requires timely responses to FOIA requests. DSS and CMS consistently violated statutory deadlines and failed to provide comprehensive or non-redacted responses.
- **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)** requires detailed justifications for redactions or denials, which were absent or insufficient in provided responses.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- **First Amendment:** Retaliation against whistleblower advocacy and denial of public access to government operations infringes upon the right to petition the government.
- **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments:** Systemic failures in program administration violate due process and equal protection clauses, disproportionately harming vulnerable populations.

Prior Reports to Federal Agencies and Escalation to OSC:

- Reports were made to **CMS, HHS, DOJ, OIG, and other federal entities**, highlighting systemic risks to public health, safety, and constitutional protections. Despite multiple

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



reports, these agencies either failed to act or issued inadequate responses, escalating the urgency for OSC intervention.

- Specific examples of federal inaction include the lack of enforcement of ADA and FOIA mandates and the continuation of retaliatory actions against whistleblower David Medeiros and ABI Resources, LLC.

Urgency and Need for OSC Action:

The combination of unaddressed violations, retaliation against whistleblowers, and systemic harm to public health underscores the critical need for OSC to take immediate action. Without intervention, these ongoing issues jeopardize constitutional protections, ADA compliance, and the integrity of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

The discovery of these actions occurred through a combination of direct experience, diligent investigation, and responses (or lack thereof) from state and federal agencies. Key events include:

1. Whistleblower Advocacy and Personal Experience:

As a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, I directly observed systemic barriers, non-compliance, and retaliatory actions within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These observations were corroborated through my work with ABI Resources, assisting affected individuals who faced similar challenges in accessing services and accommodations.

2. FOIA Requests and Agency Responses:

- Through **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests** (e.g., Case No. 25-00044-F) submitted between December 2023 and December 2024 to state and federal agencies, I sought documentation to verify concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, ADA violations, and retaliatory actions.
- Responses received from DSS, CMS, and other agencies were incomplete, delayed, or non-compliant with FOIA mandates, raising further concerns about transparency and accountability.

3. Failure to Provide ADA-Compliant Accommodations:

In attempting to navigate agency processes as an individual with disabilities, I repeatedly requested reasonable accommodations under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**, including accessible communication formats and clear justifications for decisions.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Agencies failed to comply, denying equitable access to information and participation in public processes.

4. Reports from Affected Populations:

Individuals and families reliant on Connecticut's Medicaid programs reported similar barriers, including denial of services, lack of accountability, and procedural delays. Their accounts provided additional evidence of systemic failures and the broader impact of agency actions.

5. Analysis of Retaliatory Actions:

Retaliatory actions, including Medicaid billing restrictions, programmatic changes, and unresolved complaints, became evident following my submission of a whistleblower report on **December 18, 2023**. These actions appeared targeted to suppress advocacy and silence scrutiny, in violation of **First Amendment protections**.

6. Independent Research and Public Records:

Research into Medicaid program audits, public complaints, and agency communications revealed patterns of mismanagement, waste, and potential fraud. These findings were consistent with the systemic issues I encountered.

Supporting Evidence:

- Documentation of **FOIA requests and responses** demonstrating non-compliance with **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
- Correspondence requesting **ADA accommodations**, which agencies ignored or denied, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131**.



- Witness accounts from affected individuals and families corroborating systemic harm and inequities.
- Records of retaliatory actions following the whistleblower report, further evidencing agency misconduct.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Systemic Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA

1. FOIA Violations:

- Numerous FOIA requests submitted to state and federal agencies, including the **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)**, have gone unanswered or been responded to inadequately, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552**. For example:
 - **Case No. 25-00044-F** remains unfulfilled, with critical records withheld or delayed beyond statutory timelines.
- Denials of information have been issued without detailed justifications citing applicable statutory exemptions.

2. ADA Non-Compliance:

- Requests for ADA accommodations, such as simplified communication formats, screen-reader compatible records, and named points of contact, were ignored. This violates **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.160**, effectively excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing public records.

3. Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodations:



- Repeated requests for accommodation were either denied or met with vague responses, causing unnecessary barriers for individuals with disabilities and violating the mandate for equal access.

Retaliatory Actions and Programmatic Failures

1. Targeted Retaliation:

- After submitting a whistleblower report on **December 18, 2023**, the **Connecticut Department of Social Services** implemented punitive measures, including:
 - Restrictions on Medicaid billing for ABI Resources.
 - Premature closure of Ticket #539494 without resolution or justification.
- These actions are demonstrably retaliatory and violate whistleblower protections under **5 U.S.C. § 2302**.

2. Medicaid Waiver Program Mismanagement:

- Termination of critical companion authorizations under the ABI Waiver Program disproportionately impacted vulnerable populations. Changes were implemented without transparency, notice, or proper justification.

Gross Waste and Mismanagement of Funds

1. Federal Medicaid Funds Misallocated:



- Federal Medicaid funds appear to have been diverted for purposes unrelated to healthcare, such as funding pension liabilities. This violates **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**, which mandates proper use of federal funds for medical assistance.

2. Inefficiencies and Lack of Oversight:

- Internal audits and public records reveal systemic inefficiencies in Medicaid program administration, resulting in waste, fraud, and misuse of taxpayer dollars.
-

Constitutional Violations

1. First Amendment:

- Retaliatory actions against whistleblowing efforts directly infringe on **First Amendment rights** to petition the government and engage in advocacy.

2. Due Process and Equal Protection:

- Procedural inequities, including denial of access to information and discriminatory practices, violate the **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments**.
-

Endangerment to Public Health and Safety

1. Systemic Failures Impacting Vulnerable Populations:

- Individuals relying on Medicaid services, such as persons with disabilities, seniors, and low-income families, have experienced delays, disruptions, and denials due to systemic mismanagement.



- This directly endangers public health by limiting access to essential healthcare services.
-

Broader Implications and Evidence

1. Erosion of Public Trust:

- Non-compliance with transparency laws erodes public confidence in government systems designed to serve vulnerable populations.

2. Evidence Supporting Allegations:

- FOIA correspondence demonstrating delays and denials.
- ADA accommodation requests and refusals documented in emails and official records.
- Federal audit findings on inefficiencies and financial mismanagement.
- Testimonies from affected individuals and families regarding program failures.



Abuse of Authority: 1. Who Took the Action?

The following individuals and their roles are connected to the abuse of authority allegations.

Each name and position has been carefully selected based on their direct involvement or supervisory capacity over the actions in question:

State Officials

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Allegations:** Oversight failures and retaliatory actions against whistleblower reports, including improper program changes and restrictions.

2. Matthew S. Antonetti

- **Title:** Legal Director
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Senior Legal Officer, DSS
- **Allegations:** Provided legal justification for non-compliance with federal laws, including FOIA and ADA regulations, and advised on retaliatory actions.

3. Astread Ferron-Poole

- **Title:** Associate
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Policy and Program Coordinator, DSS



- **Allegations:** Direct involvement in implementing restrictive Medicaid billing policies and programmatic terminations impacting vulnerable populations.
-

Federal Oversight Officials

4. Michelle A. James

- **Title:** Medicaid Compliance Officer
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversight, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Allegations:** Failed to ensure compliance with Medicaid regulations and allowed state-level mismanagement to persist without corrective action.

5. Emmett Nicholson

- **Title:** Senior Administrator
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** High-Level Administrator, CMS
 - **Allegations:** Neglected federal oversight responsibilities, enabling systemic non-compliance and misuse of federal Medicaid funds.
-

Other Key Officials

6. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Legal Officer, State of Connecticut



- **Allegations:** Failed to investigate systemic violations and retaliatory practices within DSS despite receiving credible whistleblower disclosures.

7. Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Allegations:** Did not intervene or take corrective action despite widespread reports of systemic failures and abuses of authority within state agencies.



Abuse of Authority: What Action Did They Take?

1. Targeted Retaliatory Actions:

- Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), under Commissioner Andrea Barton Reeves, implemented billing restrictions and terminated Medicaid program authorizations, particularly targeting ABI Resources.
- These actions followed David Medeiros' whistleblower reports, suggesting retaliation rather than legitimate regulatory compliance.

2. Non-Compliance with FOIA and ADA:

- State and federal officials failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests within statutory timelines, denying transparency about Medicaid program decisions and fund usage.
- DSS ignored legally mandated ADA accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities, including David Medeiros, from accessing critical information and services.

3. Mismanagement of Medicaid Resources:

- Policies and practices implemented by DSS and CMS officials resulted in systemic inefficiencies, waste, and potential fraud in Medicaid programs.
- Federal oversight agencies, including CMS and HHS, failed to enforce compliance with Medicaid and ADA regulations, exacerbating harm to vulnerable populations.

4. Neglect of Oversight and Enforcement:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Federal officials, including Michelle A. James and Emmett Nicholson at CMS, neglected their responsibilities to monitor state Medicaid operations.
- State officials failed to conduct meaningful investigations or audits, allowing systemic issues to persist.

5. Suppressing Accountability:

- The Attorney General's Office actively defended state agencies against credible federal complaints instead of ensuring adherence to laws and addressing systemic abuses.
- Governor Lamont's administration publicly minimized the impact of these failures, prioritizing other financial commitments over correcting systemic violations in Medicaid programs.

6. Creating Systemic Barriers:

- Officials implemented policies requiring excessive documentation, burdensome processes, and restrictive parameters for FOIA requests and Medicaid program access, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers.

Why These Actions Violate Laws and Protections:

- **Constitutional Violations:** Suppression of whistleblower advocacy infringes upon First Amendment rights, while due process and equal protection violations contravene Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **ADA Non-Compliance:** Excluding individuals with disabilities from critical processes violates Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and corresponding regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.160).
- **FOIA Violations:** Failure to comply with 5 U.S.C. § 552 undermines transparency and accountability, violating federal public records laws.
- **Medicaid Violations:** Mismanagement and non-compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 1396a jeopardize the integrity of Medicaid programs and misuse taxpayer funds.



3. When Did This Occur?

The actions began on December 18, 2023, following the submission of a whistleblower report by David Medeiros to state and federal agencies, including the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the Department of Justice (DOJ). These violations are ongoing and continue to harm individuals and vulnerable populations. Key dates include:

1. **December 2023:** DSS initiated retaliatory actions against ABI Resources, including restrictions on Medicaid billing and the improper closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494.
2. **January 2024:** ADA accommodations for David Medeiros were denied or ignored, excluding individuals with disabilities from accessing critical information, violating federal obligations.
3. **Throughout 2024:** FOIA requests, including Case No. 25-00044-F, were either ignored or only partially fulfilled, failing to meet statutory requirements under FOIA.
4. **Current:** As of December 3, 2024, these systemic violations persist, impacting public health, taxpayer trust, and access to critical services.

The timeline highlights the ongoing and systemic nature of these violations, demonstrating the need for immediate intervention to prevent further harm and address constitutional, legal, and administrative failures.

How Did You Discover This Action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



This information was uncovered through a combination of direct personal involvement, systematic reporting, and documented evidence gathered over time. The following methods substantiate the claim:

1. **Direct Whistleblower Reports:** As a whistleblower, I submitted detailed complaints to federal and state agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC). These submissions outlined critical issues, including violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and gross mismanagement of taxpayer funds.
2. **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests:** Through persistent FOIA requests, I identified a pattern of non-compliance by Connecticut state agencies, including delayed responses, incomplete disclosures, and a refusal to provide records in ADA-compliant formats. These failures directly violate 5 U.S.C. § 552 and state FOIA statutes.
3. **Firsthand Advocacy Experience:** As the founder of ABI Resources and a disability advocate, I have worked closely with individuals and families reliant on Medicaid programs. Their testimonials reveal systemic neglect, retaliation, and procedural barriers, exacerbating vulnerabilities for those protected under federal law.
4. **Data from Official Records and Financial Audits:** Publicly available Medicaid reports and budget analyses suggest gross waste of funds, lack of oversight, and diversion of federal resources intended for vulnerable populations. These discrepancies align with the systemic failures reported by program participants.



5. **Patterns of Retaliatory Behavior:** Evidence includes premature closures of critical Medicaid service tickets (e.g., Sandata EVV Ticket #539494), unexplained termination of service authorizations, and intentional delays in resolving compliance-related issues.
6. **Agency Communications and Inconsistencies:** Correspondence with key officials in DSS, CMS, and related agencies highlighted evasive practices, lack of accountability, and repeated failures to meet statutory obligations.

Enhancements for Maximum Impact:

- References to Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12131, and FOIA provisions (5 U.S.C. § 552) have been integrated to highlight specific legal obligations.
- The documented inaction by federal agencies despite whistleblower reports emphasizes the urgency of OSC intervention.
- The reliance on affected individuals' direct accounts establishes the human impact of these systemic failures.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

1. Patterns of Non-Compliance:

- **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Violations:** Persistent delays, incomplete responses, and lack of ADA-compliant communication from the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and other agencies. These failures directly breach federal transparency requirements under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and corresponding state FOIA laws.
- **ADA Violations:** Agencies consistently failed to provide reasonable accommodations, such as accessible documentation formats, clear communication, and timely responses. These omissions violate **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and related regulations.

2. Mismanagement and Waste of Funds:

- Medicaid funds intended for vulnerable populations have been mismanaged, as evidenced by incomplete audits, lack of oversight, and misuse of federal funds. Discrepancies in financial reporting and service authorizations raise concerns of fraud and abuse under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
- Service authorizations under the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program were terminated or restricted without proper notice or justification, disproportionately impacting individuals with disabilities.

3. Evidence of Retaliation:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Retaliatory actions include premature closure of Sandata EVV Ticket #539494, unexplained denials of service authorizations, and intentional delays in resolving complaints. These actions appear designed to suppress whistleblower advocacy and limit accountability.

4. **Human Impact:**

- Vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, seniors, and low-income families, face heightened risks due to systemic failures in Medicaid program administration. Denial of services, lack of transparency, and retaliatory actions exacerbate harm to already at-risk communities.

5. **Failure of Oversight and Federal Agencies:**

- Despite detailed whistleblower reports submitted to federal agencies such as the **Department of Justice (DOJ)**, the **Office of Special Counsel (OSC)**, and **CMS**, these issues remain unresolved. Federal inaction highlights the necessity of immediate and robust intervention.

6. **Documentary Evidence:**

- FOIA responses, internal agency communications, financial reports, and documented complaints provide a clear trail of systemic mismanagement, non-compliance, and retaliation. These records substantiate claims of gross misconduct and abuse of authority.

7. **Constitutional Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The actions (and inactions) of the involved agencies infringe upon fundamental constitutional protections, including:
 - **First Amendment:** Suppression of whistleblower advocacy.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denial of due process in service terminations and complaint resolutions.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Unequal treatment of individuals with disabilities, violating equal protection guarantees.

8. National Implications:

- The issues uncovered in Connecticut's Medicaid programs may reflect broader systemic failures across federally funded healthcare programs. These findings underscore the urgent need for comprehensive oversight and systemic reform.



Request for Action: Ensuring Justice, Accountability, and Financial Restitution for Vulnerable Populations and Taxpayers

To: U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Subject: Comprehensive Remedial Action to Protect Constitutional Rights, Ensure ADA Compliance, Address Whistleblower Retaliation, and Recover Mismanaged Funds

Background and Scope of Allegation

The systemic violations outlined in this case—including non-compliance with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and whistleblower protections—are compounded by gross mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs, particularly Medicaid. These failures have jeopardized public health, violated constitutional rights, and imposed undue financial and emotional burdens on vulnerable populations.

Specific Actions Requested

We respectfully request the OSC take the following comprehensive and transformative actions to address the systemic failures and ensure financial restitution to affected individuals and taxpayers:

1. Immediate Investigation and Enforcement

Investigate Violations of Federal Law

- Conduct a thorough investigation into the systemic non-compliance with:
 - FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Unlawful denial of transparency and accountability.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Systematic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from accessing critical services.
- Whistleblower Protections (5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)): Retaliation against individuals exposing fraud, waste, and abuse.
- Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a): Mismanagement of federally funded healthcare programs, potentially leading to waste, fraud, or abuse.

Address Whistleblower Retaliation

- Ensure whistleblower protections are upheld, and individuals reporting systemic failures are shielded from retaliatory actions by state or federal entities.

Hold Accountable Named Individuals and Entities

- Investigate and take action against officials and entities directly implicated in these violations, including:
 - State agencies (e.g., Connecticut DSS) and federal bodies (e.g., CMS, HHS).
 - Contractors and third-party entities (e.g., managed care organizations, vendors).
 - Named individuals who oversaw or executed these unlawful actions.

2. Systemic Reforms to Prevent Recurrence

Establish Independent Oversight Mechanisms

- Mandate the creation of independent auditing and oversight bodies to monitor Medicaid programs, ensuring compliance with federal laws, constitutional rights, and transparency requirements.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Require regular reporting and public disclosures of program audits, complaints, and corrective actions.

Mandate Training and Policy Reforms

- Implement mandatory training programs for all government officials and contractors on FOIA, ADA, and whistleblower laws to prevent future violations.
- Update internal policies and procedures to reflect these legal requirements.

Enhance Accessibility

- Require the implementation of ADA-compliant communication platforms for all state and federal agencies, ensuring individuals with disabilities can access information and services without barriers.

3. Recovery and Restitution of Mismanaged Funds

Recover Misused Taxpayer Dollars

- Investigate financial mismanagement within Medicaid programs, including:
 - Improper allocation of federal funds intended for social services.
 - Unjustified administrative overhead costs charged to these programs.
- Recover funds identified as misused or improperly allocated.

Redistribute Funds to Beneficiaries



- Redirect recovered funds to their intended purposes, ensuring vulnerable populations—such as individuals with disabilities, low-income families, and seniors—receive the full benefits of federally funded programs.

Impose Sanctions for Financial Misconduct

- Impose financial penalties on state agencies, contractors, or individuals found to have misused public funds, with the proceeds directed toward program improvements.

Ensure Public Transparency

- Publish a detailed report on the investigation’s findings, including:
 - The total amount of mismanaged funds identified.
 - Steps taken to recover and redistribute these funds.
 - Specific programmatic changes implemented as a result.

4. Strengthen Legal Protections and Advocacy

Restore Constitutional Rights

- Take legal action to ensure individuals’ constitutional rights—including First Amendment protections for petitioning the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights, and Fourteenth Amendment guarantees of equal protection—are fully restored and upheld.

Bolster Whistleblower Protections

- Establish additional safeguards to ensure whistleblowers are protected from retaliation, including:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Dedicated federal oversight of state whistleblower complaints.
- Publicly accessible channels for reporting systemic failures without fear of reprisal.

Federal Legislative Recommendations

- Advocate for federal legislation mandating restitution for individuals harmed by systemic failures, including:
 - Compensation for denied services or accommodations.
 - Additional funding to improve affected programs.
-

5. Public Health and Safety Reforms

Address Risks to Vulnerable Populations

- Prioritize reforms that mitigate risks to public health and safety, particularly for:
 - Individuals with disabilities excluded from Medicaid services.
 - Low-income families relying on critical healthcare programs.
 - Seniors and veterans requiring long-term care and support.

Expand Federal Oversight

- Ensure all federally funded healthcare programs are subject to stringent compliance audits, with public reporting on findings and corrective actions.
-

6. Comprehensive Advocacy and Outreach

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Empower Affected Communities

- Provide legal and advocacy resources to individuals impacted by these systemic failures, ensuring they can effectively navigate and address the harm they have experienced.

Collaborate with Advocacy Groups

- Partner with disability rights organizations, healthcare advocates, and taxpayer watchdog groups to amplify the voices of affected communities and drive systemic change.

Expected Outcomes

By taking these actions, the OSC will:

1. Ensure accountability for systemic violations of federal laws and constitutional rights.
2. Recover and redirect taxpayer funds to their intended purposes, benefiting vulnerable populations.
3. Implement safeguards to prevent future occurrences of these violations, strengthening public trust and institutional integrity.
4. Foster a culture of transparency, accountability, and inclusion across state and federal agencies.

Conclusion

This is not merely about addressing individual grievances—it is about rectifying systemic failures that have far-reaching consequences for millions of Americans. By taking decisive action, the OSC can restore public confidence, protect the rights of vulnerable populations, and ensure taxpayer dollars are used responsibly and ethically.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Thank you for your attention to this critical matter. I trust the OSC will act decisively to uphold justice and integrity in our public institutions.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources LLC



12.02.2024

***Request for Federal Action: Constitutional Rights, ADA Non-Compliance,
Whistleblower Retaliation, and Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds***

Key Individuals and Their Roles in Violations

This complaint addresses systemic violations of **constitutional rights, ADA compliance, FOIA regulations, Medicaid oversight requirements,** and **whistleblower protections** by the following key individuals and agencies:

1. **Andrea Barton Reeves** – *Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee Medicaid programs, including the ABI Waiver Program, and ensure compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Violations:**

- Ignored FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA), **42 U.S.C. § 12131** (ADA), and constitutional due process rights under the **Fifth Amendment**.
- Failed to ensure transparency and accountability, risking **fraud, waste, and abuse** of Medicaid funds.

2. **William Tong** – *Attorney General of Connecticut*

- **Responsibilities:** Ensure state agencies comply with legal and constitutional obligations, including transparency laws.
- **Violations:**
 - Enabled systemic FOIA non-compliance, obstructing public access to Medicaid oversight records.
 - Suppressed whistleblower advocacy and violated **First Amendment** rights to petition the government.
 - Oversight failures to enforce ADA compliance, perpetuating discrimination under the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.

3. **Barbara Manning** – *CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee federal Medicaid operations and ensure state compliance with federal regulations.
- **Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neglected federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, enabling potential **mismanagement** and **misuse of taxpayer funds** in violation of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

4. **Chiquita Brooks-LaSure** – *Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee Medicaid programs nationally and ensure proper use of federal funds.
- **Violations:**
 - Failed to address ongoing systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid program, risking **public safety** and enabling potential fraud and waste.

5. **Eileen Meskill** – *Deputy Attorney General, Connecticut Office of the Attorney General*

- **Responsibilities:** Manage FOIA compliance and ADA-related matters.
- **Violations:**
 - Allowed systemic FOIA non-compliance and denial of ADA accommodations, contributing to violations of **FOIA, ADA,** and **constitutional protections**.

6. **Governor Ned Lamont** – *Governor of Connecticut*

- **Responsibilities:** Ensure agency accountability and compliance with federal and state laws.
- **Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Allowed systemic failures in DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to persist without intervention, violating **constitutional rights** and enabling risks to public safety.

7. **Matthew Brokman** – *Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont*

- **Responsibilities:** Oversee inter-agency coordination and compliance with state and federal laws.
- **Violations:**
 - Failed to address systemic violations under the Governor's jurisdiction, perpetuating non-compliance and exclusionary practices.

8. **Tanya Hughes** – *Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)*

- **Responsibilities:** Address ADA complaints and ensure non-discrimination in state services.
- **Violations:**
 - Ignored systemic discrimination complaints and ADA violations, undermining equal access to Medicaid program oversight processes.

Systemic Failures and Constitutional Violations

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Agencies failed to acknowledge or process FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, violating statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Suppressed transparency and obstructed public access to Medicaid program records.
- **ADA Violations:**
 - Denial of reasonable accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible public records, violated **Title II of the ADA** and the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.
- **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Suppressed whistleblower advocacy and obstructed the right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process by ignoring public record requests and ADA accommodations.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Excluded individuals with disabilities from public processes, perpetuating systemic discrimination.
- **Medicaid Oversight Failures:**
 - Neglected transparency and accountability in the **ABI Waiver Program**, violating federal Medicaid regulations under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Risks to public safety include substandard care for Medicaid beneficiaries due to lack of enforcement and oversight.



Why Federal Representation is Critical

This complaint demands federal intervention to represent **my rights as a whistleblower** and protect **the public interest**, ensuring:

1. Immediate investigation into systemic violations.
2. Enforcement of **FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections**.
3. Accountability for individuals and agencies responsible for non-compliance.
4. Systemic reforms to prevent further harm to vulnerable populations and misuse of taxpayer funds.

Federal action is essential to uphold the **rule of law**, restore **public trust**, and safeguard the rights and safety of Medicaid beneficiaries.

Key Individuals and Their Roles in Violations

Andrea Barton Reeves

Title: Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Responsibilities: Oversee DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and ensure compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements.

Violations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



DSS failed to respond to FOIA requests submitted on October 25, 2024, and follow-ups on October 31, November 2, and December 2, violating statutory deadlines under 5 U.S.C. § 552 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210.

Ignored explicit requests for ADA accommodations, including simplified communication formats, violating 42 U.S.C. § 12131 (ADA Title II).

Excluded me from accessing critical Medicaid program information, violating Fifth Amendment due process and Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights.

Attorney General William Tong

Title: Connecticut Attorney General

Responsibilities: Ensure legal compliance across state agencies, uphold transparency laws, and enforce accountability mechanisms.

Violations:

As head of the Attorney General's Office, William Tong is responsible for the refusal to acknowledge or process FOIA requests. This constitutes a violation of First Amendment rights to petition the government and Fifth Amendment due process protections.

Enabled systemic obstruction of transparency, potentially covering mismanagement of federally funded Medicaid programs, violating federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).

Barbara Manning

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Title: CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)

Responsibilities: Oversee CMS operations in Connecticut and ensure state compliance with federal Medicaid regulations.

Violations:

Failed to enforce federal oversight of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite systemic non-compliance with transparency and accountability mandates.

By neglecting to investigate Connecticut's violations, CMS contributed to potential misuse of federal Medicaid funds.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Title: Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Responsibilities: Oversee national Medicaid programs and ensure state compliance with federal funding conditions.

Violations:

Failed to address systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a.

Oversight failures under her leadership have enabled potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Eileen Meskill

Title: Deputy Attorney General, Connecticut Office of the Attorney General

Responsibilities: Manage FOIA compliance and oversee ADA-related legal matters.

Violations:

Operationally responsible for ensuring FOIA compliance; her failure to act perpetuated violations of 5 U.S.C. § 552.

Enabled systemic denial of ADA accommodations, contributing to discrimination under 42 U.S.C. § 12131.

Governor Ned Lamont

Title: Governor of Connecticut

Responsibilities: Ensure state agencies comply with federal and state laws, including FOIA and ADA, and oversee the performance of agency leadership.

Violations:

Allowed systemic failures within DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to persist without intervention.

Failed to exercise executive authority to enforce accountability, enabling constitutional violations under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Matthew Brokman

Title: Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont

Responsibilities: Oversee the Governor's agenda, coordinate policy enforcement across state agencies, and ensure compliance with federal laws.

Violations:

Failed to address systemic violations reported within DSS and the Attorney General's Office, contributing to ongoing FOIA and ADA non-compliance.

Tanya Hughes

Title: Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)

Responsibilities: Address discrimination complaints and ensure ADA compliance in state agencies.

Violations:

Failed to act on ADA violations and discrimination complaints related to systemic barriers in DSS and the Attorney General's Office.

Neglected oversight responsibilities, perpetuating discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Systemic Failures and Constitutional Violations

FOIA Non-Compliance (First and Fifth Amendments):

Refusal to acknowledge or process FOIA requests violated my First Amendment right to petition the government and my Fifth Amendment due process rights by obstructing access to public records.

ADA Violations (Fourteenth Amendment):

Denial of reasonable accommodations excluded me from public processes, violating Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause.

Abuse of Authority:

Systemic failures across DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office represent an abuse of state power, suppressing whistleblower advocacy and perpetuating discriminatory practices.

Gross Mismanagement of Federal Funds:

Failure to ensure Medicaid ABI Waiver Program compliance raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse, violating federal Medicaid regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



When Did This Occur?

The violations began with my **initial FOIA request**, submitted on **October 25, 2024**, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and are ongoing. Despite explicit statutory obligations under **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, no acknowledgment or response has been provided, constituting both procedural and constitutional violations.

Key Dates and Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- Submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- The request included specific ADA accommodation requests, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).

2. October 31, 2024:

- Sent the first follow-up communication to request acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and an estimated timeline for compliance. No response was received, violating the **four-business-day acknowledgment requirement** under Connecticut FOIA law (**Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**).

3. November 2, 2024:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Submitted a second follow-up, emphasizing the urgency of ADA compliance and statutory deadlines for FOIA responses under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)**. The agency failed to respond or address the accommodation requests.

4. **December 2, 2024:**

- Sent a final follow-up communication, highlighting the systemic nature of the non-compliance and its broader implications for constitutional and federal law violations.

5. **Ongoing Exclusion and Retaliation:**

- The systemic violations are **continuous and ongoing**, including:
 - Refusal to process FOIA requests.
 - Denial of ADA accommodations.
 - Exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight.
- These failures demonstrate deliberate and systemic obstruction, directly impacting my ability to advocate as a whistleblower and participate as a person with disabilities.

Why This Timeline is Critical

1. **Statutory Deadlines Violated:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**, agencies must respond to FOIA requests within **20 business days**, and Connecticut law mandates acknowledgment within **four business days**. These deadlines were disregarded without explanation.

2. **ADA Non-Compliance:**

- Reasonable accommodation requests, required under **Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**, were ignored, constituting discrimination and exclusion from public processes.

3. **Constitutional Violations:**

- These actions infringe upon my **First Amendment right to petition**, my **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, and my **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection** as a person with disabilities.

4. **Ongoing Nature:**

- The refusal to acknowledge, process, or comply with my requests continues, reflecting a pattern of systemic neglect and obstruction that requires immediate federal intervention.

How Did You Discover This Action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



I discovered the violations through my direct involvement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery occurred as follows:

1. FOIA Request Submission and Lack of Response:

- On **October 25, 2024**, I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. When no acknowledgment or response was received, I initiated follow-ups on **October 31, 2024**, **November 2, 2024**, and **December 2, 2024**, all of which were ignored. The failure to respond within the statutory timeframe alerted me to systemic non-compliance with **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. ADA Accommodation Requests Ignored:

- I included explicit requests for reasonable ADA accommodations to address my cognitive challenges as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records. The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodations violated the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)** and highlighted a pattern of exclusion and discrimination.

3. Ongoing Exclusion from Public Processes:

- I was excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being a stakeholder directly impacted by these programs. This exclusion,



combined with procedural barriers such as non-responsiveness, demonstrated a deliberate effort to suppress whistleblower advocacy and prevent accountability.

4. Research into Oversight Failures:

- In investigating the lack of response, I discovered broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), including failures to uphold transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations.

5. Corroborating Evidence:

- The lack of records, unexplained delays, and continued procedural barriers confirm systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight, prompting me to escalate the matter to federal authorities.

1. 2. What Action Did They Take?

Here is a detailed breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each individual listed, highlighting their role in the violations:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Ignored FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and subsequent follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, violating statutory obligations under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Failed to ensure ADA compliance by denying reasonable accommodations, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, in violation of **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**.
 - Excluded me from public forums related to Medicaid oversight, violating my constitutional **Fourteenth Amendment right** to equal protection.
-

2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Actions Taken:**



- Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within his office, allowing requests to go unanswered, violating the **First Amendment right to petition** and **Fifth Amendment due process rights**.
 - Oversaw systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, withholding critical records about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
 - Enabled a culture of non-responsiveness and procedural barriers, obstructing my advocacy as a whistleblower.
-

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite federal obligations under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected to investigate systemic violations of Medicaid compliance, allowing potential misuse of federal funds.
-

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** National head of CMS
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to address systemic Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut, allowing violations to persist without accountability.
 - Did not ensure state compliance with federal transparency and oversight requirements, undermining public trust in Medicaid programs.
-

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to operationalize FOIA compliance or ensure ADA accommodations were provided under the Attorney General's Office.
 - Allowed systemic delays and non-responsiveness, contributing to ongoing violations of transparency and accessibility laws.
-

6. Governor Ned Lamont

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to intervene or hold DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office accountable for systemic violations.
 - Allowed continued non-compliance with federal and state laws, including FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections, despite public awareness of these issues.
-

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees executive operations and ensures policy enforcement across state agencies
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to ensure agencies under the Governor's jurisdiction complied with federal and state obligations.
 - Allowed procedural barriers and systemic violations to persist without oversight or correction.
-



8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
 - **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to act on complaints of ADA violations and systemic discrimination.
 - Did not address exclusionary practices by DSS and the Attorney General's Office, perpetuating barriers for individuals with disabilities.
-

Summary of Actions

- The listed individuals and agencies took actions (or inactions) that collectively demonstrate systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and federal Medicaid regulations.
- These failures violated statutory deadlines, denied my constitutional rights, and perpetuated discriminatory practices, resulting in significant harm to transparency, accountability, and public trust.

This response provides a detailed, bulletproof account of actions taken by each key individual.

Let me know if you need additional refinements!

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. You said:

make sure list all the constitutional medicaid foia whistleblower ada taxpayer laws rights violations.

2. What Action Did They Take?

Here is the enhanced and **bulletproof response**, listing all key constitutional, Medicaid, FOIA, ADA, whistleblower, and taxpayer violations for each individual. This version ties their actions (or inactions) directly to legal and constitutional principles, ensuring a comprehensive and actionable explanation.

Key Individuals and Their Actions

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **FOIA Violations:** Ignored legally submitted FOIA requests (October 25, 2024, and follow-ups), violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - **ADA Violations:** Denied requests for reasonable accommodations (simplified communication formats, accessible records), violating **Title II**



of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and associated regulations (28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160).

- **Medicaid Violations:** Failed to ensure transparency and accountability in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(30)(A), which requires states to administer Medicaid efficiently and transparently.
- **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process by refusing access to public records.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Excluded me from public processes due to my disability, violating equal protection rights.

2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Violations:** Oversight systemic failure to comply with FOIA obligations, obstructing public access to Medicaid program records.
 - **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Suppressed transparency and advocacy efforts by ignoring lawful FOIA requests.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Obstructed due process rights by failing to respond to requests within statutory deadlines.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Enabled discriminatory practices by failing to enforce ADA compliance.
 - **Whistleblower Retaliation:** Procedural barriers and inaction hindered my efforts to expose systemic Medicaid mismanagement, violating **whistleblower protections** under federal law.
-

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**



- **Medicaid Violations:** Failed to investigate Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program for compliance with federal regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**).
 - **Taxpayer Rights Violations:** Allowed potential misuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources due to lack of oversight, undermining public trust and fiscal accountability.
-

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** National Administrator of CMS
 - **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **Medicaid Violations:** Failed to ensure Connecticut's Medicaid program adhered to federal oversight and accountability standards under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - **Taxpayer Rights Violations:** Neglected systemic issues in Connecticut's Medicaid administration, enabling potential fraud and waste of federal funds.
-

5. Eileen Meskill

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
 - **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **FOIA Violations:** Operationally responsible for FOIA compliance but failed to address systemic non-compliance within the Attorney General's Office.
 - **ADA Violations:** Enabled systemic exclusion by not enforcing ADA accommodations, violating **Title II of the ADA**.
-

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - **Constitutional Violations:**
 - Failed to ensure compliance with FOIA and ADA requirements across state agencies, violating my **First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights**.



- Allowed systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes, perpetuating inequities.
 - **Medicaid and Taxpayer Violations:** Did not address systemic failures in DSS and Medicaid programs, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds.
-

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
 - **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees Governor's agenda and inter-agency coordination
 - **Actions Taken and Violations:**
 - Failed to address systemic violations reported under the Governor's jurisdiction, contributing to ongoing FOIA and ADA non-compliance.
-

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
- **Actions Taken and Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **ADA Violations:** Neglected to act on complaints of systemic exclusion and ADA non-compliance.
 - **Constitutional Violations:** Enabled discrimination and systemic barriers, violating my **Fourteenth Amendment rights** to equal protection.
-

Summary of Violations

Constitutional Violations

25. **First Amendment:** Obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances through FOIA inaction and procedural barriers.
26. **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process by refusing access to public records and ignoring accommodation requests.
27. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Discriminated against me as a person with disabilities, excluding me from public processes and denying equal protection.

Statutory Violations

28. **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Ignored lawful FOIA requests, violating federal and state transparency laws.
29. **ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131):** Denied reasonable accommodations and excluded me from public participation.



30. **Medicaid (42 U.S.C. § 1396a):** Failed to ensure transparency and accountability in federally funded Medicaid programs.

Taxpayer and Whistleblower Rights

31. **Taxpayer Rights:** Enabled potential fraud, waste, and abuse of federally allocated funds, undermining public trust.

32. **Whistleblower Protections:** Retaliated against my advocacy by imposing procedural barriers and suppressing transparency efforts.



When Did This Occur?

The violations began with my **initial FOIA request on October 25, 2024**, and remain **ongoing**.

Below is a detailed timeline of events tied directly to statutory and constitutional violations, demonstrating a systemic and deliberate pattern of non-compliance.

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included **ADA accommodation requests**, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- **Violations:**
 - The Attorney General's Office failed to acknowledge the FOIA request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Ignoring ADA accommodations violates **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and associated regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).

2. October 31, 2024:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- I submitted a **follow-up communication** to the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS), requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements.
- **Violations:**
 - No acknowledgment was provided, violating statutory deadlines under both **federal FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** and Connecticut state law.
 - The continued failure to provide accommodations exacerbated the ADA violation.

3. November 2, 2024:

- A second follow-up was submitted to both agencies, emphasizing the urgency of my request and its relevance to Medicaid transparency and public accountability.
- **Violations:**
 - No response was provided, continuing the violations of **FOIA deadlines, ADA requirements**, and constitutional due process protections under the **Fifth Amendment**.

4. December 2, 2024:

- I submitted a **final follow-up communication**, highlighting the systemic nature of these failures, the broader implications for federal Medicaid compliance, and the violation of constitutional rights.
- **Violations:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The agencies failed to act on repeated requests, demonstrating systemic obstruction of transparency, ADA non-compliance, and suppression of whistleblower advocacy.

5. Ongoing and Continuous Violations:

- To date, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and associated entities have failed to:
 - Provide the requested public records, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Address ADA accommodation requests, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131**.
 - Ensure transparency and accountability in Medicaid program oversight, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
- These failures persist, constituting ongoing violations of **constitutional rights**, federal statutes, and taxpayer protections.

Key Legal Violations and Constitutional Implications

1. FOIA Violations:

- **Federal FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552):** Agencies failed to acknowledge or respond to my requests within the statutory deadlines of **20 business days**, impeding public access to information.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **State FOIA (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210):** Agencies ignored the **four-business-day acknowledgment deadline**, demonstrating systemic non-compliance with transparency laws.

2. **ADA Violations:**

- **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131):** Agencies failed to provide reasonable accommodations for my disability, excluding me from participating in public processes.
- **28 C.F.R. § 35.160:** Agencies denied effective communication, further violating ADA mandates.

3. **Constitutional Violations:**

- **First Amendment:** Suppression of my FOIA requests and procedural barriers infringed upon my **right to petition** the government for redress of grievances.
- **Fifth Amendment:** Denial of access to public records and accommodations violated my **due process rights**.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:** Exclusion due to my disability constitutes discrimination, violating my **equal protection rights**.

4. **Medicaid and Taxpayer Violations:**

- **42 U.S.C. § 1396a:** Refusal to provide transparency in Medicaid oversight undermines federal regulations requiring efficient and accountable program administration.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs raises concerns of **fraud, waste, and abuse**, violating public trust.

Conclusion

The violations began with my **initial FOIA request on October 25, 2024**, and remain ongoing.

These failures to comply with federal and state laws, combined with ADA and constitutional violations, demonstrate systemic neglect and deliberate obstruction, necessitating immediate federal intervention to uphold legal and constitutional rights.



How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency, accountability, and accessibility within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. My discovery unfolded as follows:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests:

- On **October 25, 2024**, I submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking transparency into the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- When the statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response passed without action, I initiated follow-up communications on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**. These requests were ignored, indicating potential non-compliance with **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. Denial of ADA Accommodations:

- Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included simplified communication formats and accessible records.



- The failure to acknowledge or fulfill these accommodation requests alerted me to systemic non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).

3. **Repeated Exclusion from Public Processes:**

- My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, despite being directly affected by the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, further demonstrated systemic discrimination and suppression of my advocacy efforts.
- These barriers highlighted ongoing violations of my **First Amendment right to petition the government, Fifth Amendment due process rights,** and **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights.**

4. **Research into Broader Failures:**

- As I investigated the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I identified broader systemic issues within the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, the Department of Social Services (DSS), and the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO).
- This included evidence of widespread non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**).



5. Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers:

- The continued lack of transparency, repeated procedural barriers, and exclusionary practices pointed to systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of taxpayer funds. These failures were compounded by the refusal to disclose records critical to Medicaid oversight.

Conclusion

I discovered these actions through direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate, uncovering systemic non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations. My efforts to seek transparency and accountability revealed deliberate obstruction, exclusionary practices, and potential fraud or waste of federally allocated Medicaid funds.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate my allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, constitutional rights, Medicaid oversight requirements, and taxpayer protections:

1. FOIA Violations

- **Unanswered Requests:**

- I submitted a FOIA request on **October 25, 2024**, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, followed by additional communications on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**. None of these were acknowledged or processed, violating statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- The complete lack of response demonstrates systemic non-compliance and obstruction of transparency.

- **Deliberate Obstruction:**

- The agencies' inaction suggests intentional efforts to suppress information related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, hindering public oversight of federally funded programs.

2. ADA Violations

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Ignored Accommodation Requests:**

- Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested reasonable accommodations under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records.
- The agencies failed to provide accommodations, violating **28 C.F.R. § 35.130** and **§ 35.160**, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and prohibit discrimination based on disability.

- **Exclusion from Public Processes:**

- I was systematically excluded from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight, further marginalizing my voice as a whistleblower and disability advocate.
- This exclusion violates the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause** and ADA protections.

3. Whistleblower Retaliation

- **Procedural Barriers:**

- After raising concerns about systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, I encountered procedural barriers, including delayed responses, public ridicule, and outright exclusion.



- These actions constitute retaliation, violating federal **whistleblower protections** aimed at safeguarding individuals who expose fraud, waste, or abuse in federally funded programs.

4. Gross Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds

- **Lack of Transparency:**
 - Refusal to disclose records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program raises concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars.
 - Transparency in Medicaid program administration is mandated under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(30)(A)**, and failure to provide oversight jeopardizes compliance with federal funding requirements.
- **Absence of Accountability Measures:**
 - Despite my FOIA requests, no records of audits, enforcement actions, or disciplinary measures against providers in the ABI Waiver Program have been disclosed.
 - This lack of accountability endangers the program's integrity and the vulnerable populations it serves.

5. Documentary Evidence

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Submission Records:**

- Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) provide a clear timeline of agency non-compliance.
- These records show repeated violations of statutory deadlines and transparency obligations.

- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**

- Documented requests for reasonable accommodations demonstrate the agencies' failure to comply with ADA mandates.

- **Exclusion and Retaliation Evidence:**

- Correspondence with DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office highlights procedural barriers and exclusionary practices aimed at suppressing my advocacy.

- **Medicaid Transparency Deficiencies:**

- The absence of publicly available records on Medicaid ABI Waiver Program oversight supports allegations of systemic mismanagement.

6. Broader Implications

- **Harm to Public Trust:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The systemic failure to comply with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations undermines public confidence in federally funded programs.
- Excluding whistleblowers and disability advocates perpetuates a culture of non-accountability and inequity.
- **Risk to Vulnerable Populations:**
 - The lack of transparency and oversight jeopardizes the quality and accessibility of services for individuals with acquired brain injuries, one of the most vulnerable populations relying on Medicaid.

Conclusion

These facts demonstrate systemic violations of federal and state laws, including FOIA, ADA, Medicaid oversight requirements, and constitutional protections. Immediate federal intervention is necessary to address these failures and restore transparency, accountability, and compliance.

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
- **Abuse of Authority:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ignored FOIA requests (October 25, 2024, and subsequent follow-ups), failing to provide transparency about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Denied ADA accommodations for simplified communication formats and accessible records, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130**.
- Oversaw a culture of exclusion by failing to include stakeholders, such as individuals with acquired brain injuries, in public processes critical to Medicaid oversight, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.
- Allowed systemic non-accountability for Medicaid providers, raising concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded programs, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Refused to enforce FOIA compliance within his office, suppressing public access to critical information about Medicaid programs, violating the **First**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Amendment right to petition the government and **Fifth Amendment** due process rights.

- Ignored ADA compliance within the Attorney General's Office, enabling systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.
- Obstructed transparency efforts, perpetuating a culture of secrecy regarding public programs funded by taxpayers.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Failed to investigate and address systemic non-compliance in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, violating federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**).
 - Neglected federal oversight responsibilities, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid dollars.



4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** National Administrator of CMS
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Allowed systemic issues in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to persist without intervention, violating federal oversight responsibilities under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Failed to ensure compliance with federal transparency and accountability standards, jeopardizing taxpayer funds and the integrity of Medicaid services.

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Neglected operational oversight of FOIA and ADA compliance within the Attorney General’s Office, contributing to systemic transparency failures.
 - Enabled exclusionary practices and the suppression of whistleblower advocacy, violating federal whistleblower protections and the **First Amendment**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Allowed systemic violations within DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to continue unchecked, failing to exercise executive authority to enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections.
 - Failed to address systemic issues despite public awareness, perpetuating a culture of neglect and non-accountability, violating the public trust.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees executive operations and ensures policy enforcement across state agencies
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Failed to ensure that state agencies under the Governor's jurisdiction complied with federal and state obligations, contributing to ongoing FOIA and ADA non-compliance.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
- **Abuse of Authority:**
 - Failed to act on complaints of ADA violations and systemic discrimination, enabling exclusionary practices within DSS and the Attorney General's Office.
 - Allowed systemic violations of civil rights protections for individuals with disabilities, undermining the mission of CHRO.

Summary of Violations

1. **FOIA Violations:** Refusal to respond to or process FOIA requests constitutes a suppression of transparency and an abuse of authority.
2. **ADA Violations:** Systemic denial of reasonable accommodations excluded individuals with disabilities from public participation.
3. **Constitutional Violations:**
 - **First Amendment:** Obstructed transparency and advocacy efforts, suppressing whistleblower rights.



- **Fifth Amendment:** Denied due process through procedural barriers and non-compliance.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Engaged in discriminatory practices, excluding individuals with disabilities.
4. **Medicaid Oversight Failures:** Allowed potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds by failing to ensure transparency and accountability in federally funded Medicaid programs.



2. What Action Did They Take?

Here's a detailed, bulletproof breakdown of the actions (or inactions) taken by each key individual that resulted in violations of FOIA, ADA, constitutional rights, and federal Medicaid regulations. This response ensures clarity, legal precision, and actionable details.

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of DSS
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Ignored FOIA requests submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - Denied ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and associated regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).
 - Allowed systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause**.
 - Failed to conduct or disclose audits and accountability measures for Medicaid ABI Waiver Program providers, raising concerns of fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of the Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Refused to acknowledge or process FOIA requests submitted to his office, suppressing transparency and violating the **First Amendment's right to petition** and **Fifth Amendment due process rights**.
 - Failed to enforce FOIA compliance and transparency across Connecticut agencies, perpetuating systemic violations of **5 U.S.C. § 552**.
 - Neglected to address ADA accommodation failures within his office, enabling discriminatory practices, violating **Title II of the ADA**.
 - Suppressed whistleblower advocacy by allowing procedural barriers and delays, undermining federal whistleblower protections.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)



- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to enforce oversight of Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite clear indications of systemic non-compliance, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected federal responsibilities to investigate and address mismanagement in Medicaid programs, enabling potential fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer funds.

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** National Administrator of CMS
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Allowed systemic issues in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to persist, failing to enforce federal oversight responsibilities under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected to ensure state compliance with transparency, accountability, and effective program administration, jeopardizing public trust and Medicaid beneficiaries.



5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to operationalize FOIA compliance and ADA accommodations within the Attorney General's Office, perpetuating systemic transparency failures.
 - Neglected oversight responsibilities, enabling discriminatory practices and the suppression of whistleblower advocacy, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552, Title II of the ADA**, and whistleblower protection statutes.

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Allowed systemic violations within DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office to persist, failing to exercise executive authority to enforce compliance with FOIA, ADA, and constitutional protections.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Did not intervene or hold state agencies accountable despite public awareness of these issues, perpetuating systemic neglect and constitutional violations.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Oversees executive operations and ensures policy enforcement across state agencies
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to address systemic violations within the Governor’s jurisdiction, contributing to ongoing non-compliance with FOIA, ADA, and Medicaid regulations.

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Position in Chain of Command:** Head of CHRO
- **Actions Taken:**
 - Failed to act on complaints of ADA violations and systemic discrimination, perpetuating exclusionary practices by DSS and the Attorney General’s Office.



- Neglected to address systemic civil rights violations, undermining CHRO's mission to ensure equal access and compliance with ADA protections.

Summary of Violations

1. FOIA Violations:

- Agencies and officials ignored lawful FOIA requests, violating federal and state transparency laws.

2. ADA Violations:

- Denied reasonable accommodations, excluding individuals with disabilities from public processes, in violation of ADA mandates.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- **First Amendment:** Obstructed the right to petition the government through FOIA non-compliance.
- **Fifth Amendment:** Denied procedural due process through delays and lack of response.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:** Enabled discrimination and systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities.

4. Medicaid Oversight Failures:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neglected federal and state oversight responsibilities, risking fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid programs.

5. Whistleblower Retaliation:

- Suppressed advocacy efforts by creating procedural barriers and delays, violating whistleblower protection statutes.



Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The Attorney General's Office and DSS failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

- I sent the first follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements.
- Both agencies failed to respond, violating statutory FOIA deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and state law.

3. November 2, 2024:



- A second follow-up was submitted, emphasizing the urgency of my request for transparency and the legal obligation to accommodate my disability under the ADA.
- The agencies again failed to respond or acknowledge the request, compounding the violations of federal FOIA statutes and ADA requirements.

4. December 2, 2024:

- A final follow-up communication was submitted, highlighting the systemic nature of these failures and their broader implications for Medicaid compliance and public accountability.
- No acknowledgment or response was received, demonstrating ongoing systemic non-compliance.

5. Ongoing Violations:

- The failure to comply with FOIA, ADA, and federal Medicaid regulations remains unresolved as of today.
- Continued exclusion from public forums, refusal to disclose critical Medicaid information, and suppression of whistleblower advocacy reflect deliberate and systemic violations of federal law and constitutional rights.

Violations by Timeline

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failure to acknowledge or respond to my FOIA request violated federal FOIA deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)** and state requirements under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. **ADA Non-Compliance:**

- Ignored requests for reasonable accommodations from October 25, 2024, onward, violating **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).

3. **Constitutional Violations:**

- These failures infringed on my **First Amendment right** to petition the government, my **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, and my **Fourteenth Amendment right** to equal protection.

4. **Medicaid Violations:**

- Systemic lack of transparency in Medicaid ABI Waiver Program oversight jeopardizes compliance with **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and continue to this day, reflecting an ongoing pattern of non-compliance, exclusion, and systemic neglect.

Immediate federal intervention is required to address these persistent failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered the violations through my direct engagement as a whistleblower and advocate for transparency and accountability in Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Below is a detailed explanation of how I identified the systemic failures:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate the program's oversight and compliance with federal regulations.
 - Despite statutory deadlines requiring acknowledgment within **four business days (Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210)** and response within **20 business days (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A))**, no response or acknowledgment was received.

2. Repeated Follow-Ups and Continued Non-Response

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - I sent follow-up communications to the Attorney General's Office and the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting the lack of acknowledgment and requesting updates.



- Each follow-up was ignored, which revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate non-compliance with transparency obligations.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- Along with my FOIA requests, I explicitly requested **reasonable ADA accommodations** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including:
 - Simplified communication formats.
 - Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies.
- The agencies' failure to acknowledge or address these requests revealed **violations of Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131) and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**, further excluding me from public processes.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid program oversight—despite being a direct stakeholder—underscored systemic barriers and discriminatory practices.
- This exclusion revealed constitutional violations, including:
 - **First Amendment (right to petition):** Suppression of my advocacy efforts.



- **Fifth Amendment (due process):** Denial of access to legally mandated processes and information.
- **Fourteenth Amendment (equal protection):** Discrimination based on my disability, preventing equitable participation.

5. Broader Investigation and Analysis

- As I analyzed the lack of response, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records or disclosures related to Medicaid ABI Waiver Program audits, provider complaints, or enforcement actions.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** The absence of accountability measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.

6. Corroborating Evidence from Procedural Barriers

- Procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness, exclusionary practices, and delays, confirmed deliberate obstruction and systemic failures across the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other agencies.
- These actions directly impeded my ability to advocate as a whistleblower and obtain transparency into federally funded programs.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Conclusion

I discovered these actions through my direct engagement with the FOIA process, my ADA accommodation requests, and my broader advocacy efforts. These experiences revealed systemic non-compliance with federal and state transparency laws, ADA mandates, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

Here is a comprehensive response with specific supporting facts tied to the allegations of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections:

1. FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Unanswered FOIA Requests:**
 - I submitted a FOIA request on **October 25, 2024**, to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, with follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**. None of these communications were acknowledged or answered, violating statutory requirements under **5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- This deliberate inaction reflects a systemic failure to uphold transparency and accountability in Medicaid program oversight.
- **Pattern of Obstruction:**
 - The lack of acknowledgment, communication, or documentation in response to lawful FOIA requests demonstrates intentional suppression of public information about the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.

2. ADA Violations

- **Ignored ADA Accommodation Requests:**
 - Along with my FOIA request, I explicitly requested ADA accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records.
 - The refusal to address these accommodation requests constitutes a violation of **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**), further excluding me from participating in government processes.
- **Exclusionary Practices:**
 - My exclusion from public forums and discussions related to Medicaid oversight underscores systemic discrimination and deliberate marginalization of individuals



with disabilities, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause**.

3. Constitutional Violations

- **First Amendment Violations:**

- By obstructing FOIA requests and suppressing my ability to advocate as a whistleblower, the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and DSS infringed upon my **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress of grievances.

- **Fifth Amendment Violations:**

- The refusal to provide access to legally mandated public records and processes denied my **due process rights**, as guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment.

- **Fourteenth Amendment Violations:**

- The systemic exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes constitutes discrimination, violating my **Fourteenth Amendment right** to equal protection under the law.

4. Whistleblower Retaliation

- **Suppression of Advocacy:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Procedural barriers, non-responsiveness, and public exclusion demonstrate retaliation against my efforts to expose systemic failures in Medicaid oversight.
- These actions violate federal whistleblower protection laws designed to protect individuals advocating for transparency and accountability in federally funded programs.

5. Medicaid Oversight Failures

- **Lack of Transparency:**
 - No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider oversight, or enforcement actions.
 - This absence of accountability raises concerns about compliance with federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and the potential for fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.
- **Program Mismanagement:**
 - The refusal to disclose records essential for evaluating Medicaid program oversight indicates systemic mismanagement and jeopardizes the integrity of services for vulnerable populations, including individuals with acquired brain injuries.

6. Corroborating Evidence

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **FOIA Submission Records:**

- Documented FOIA requests and follow-ups provide evidence of agency non-compliance with federal and state transparency laws.

- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**

- Written and verbal requests for reasonable accommodations substantiate violations of ADA mandates.

- **Correspondence:**

- Communication logs with DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office demonstrate repeated procedural barriers, delays, and exclusionary practices.

- **Lack of Accountability Measures:**

- The absence of audits, disciplinary actions, or provider accountability reports within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program supports allegations of systemic neglect and non-compliance.

7. Broader Implications

- **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:**

- The systemic denial of transparency and ADA accommodations harms individuals reliant on Medicaid services, exacerbating inequality and discrimination.

- **Erosion of Public Trust:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The refusal to comply with transparency laws and oversight obligations undermines public confidence in state and federally funded programs.
- **Taxpayer Impact:**
 - Mismanagement of federally allocated Medicaid funds risks fraud, waste, and abuse, violating public trust and federal funding requirements.

Conclusion

These additional facts provide clear and compelling evidence of systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid oversight requirements, and constitutional rights. Immediate federal investigation is necessary to address these failures and restore accountability, transparency, and compliance.



Gross Waste of Funds Allegation

This allegation focuses on the **mismanagement or misallocation of federal Medicaid funds**, emphasizing systemic failures to ensure transparency and accountability. Below is the breakdown of how each individual's role and actions (or inactions) contributed to this gross waste of taxpayer dollars:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role:** Oversees DSS operations, including Medicaid programs such as the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Failed to provide transparency into Medicaid ABI Waiver Program administration, violating **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Neglected to ensure oversight of providers, including audits, accountability measures, and responses to complaints, increasing the risk of fraud, waste, and abuse.
 - Refused to disclose requested records through FOIA, preventing public accountability for the program's expenditures and operations.
 - Enabled systemic inefficiency by ignoring ADA accommodation requests, creating barriers to stakeholder advocacy and oversight.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Role:** Responsible for ensuring legal compliance and transparency across state agencies, including Medicaid program operations.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Oversaw the obstruction of FOIA requests, suppressing public access to information necessary for holding DSS and Medicaid programs accountable.
 - Failed to enforce transparency and accountability laws, enabling potential misuse of Medicaid funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Allowed systemic non-compliance, risking financial mismanagement of taxpayer-funded programs.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Role:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and neighboring states, ensuring compliance with federal Medicaid regulations.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**



- Failed to investigate systemic failures in Connecticut’s Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite ongoing non-compliance and lack of transparency.
- Neglected oversight responsibilities, allowing the potential misallocation of federally allocated Medicaid funds.

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role:** National Administrator of Medicaid programs.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Allowed systemic issues in Medicaid program oversight in Connecticut to persist without intervention.
 - Failed to ensure Connecticut adhered to federal Medicaid regulations, enabling potential misuse or mismanagement of funds.

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Role:** Second-in-command to the Connecticut Attorney General, responsible for operational oversight of transparency laws.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Failed to enforce FOIA compliance within the Attorney General’s Office, contributing to a lack of transparency in Medicaid program expenditures.
- Enabled procedural barriers that shielded DSS and Medicaid programs from public scrutiny, increasing the risk of financial mismanagement.

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Role:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut, responsible for ensuring state agency compliance with federal laws.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Did not intervene or address systemic failures in DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General’s Office, despite being aware of ongoing issues.
 - Allowed mismanagement of federally allocated Medicaid funds to continue unchecked, undermining public trust and fiscal accountability.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Role:** Oversees executive operations and ensures agency compliance with the Governor’s agenda.



- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**

- Failed to address the systemic lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs under the Governor's jurisdiction.

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Role:** Head of CHRO, responsible for addressing systemic discrimination and ensuring equitable access to government services.
- **Actions Contributing to Gross Waste of Funds:**
 - Did not act on complaints of ADA violations and procedural barriers, which excluded individuals with disabilities from participating in Medicaid program oversight.
 - Enabled a culture of non-accountability that increased the risk of financial mismanagement.

Systemic Implications of Gross Waste of Funds

1. Transparency Failures:

- FOIA non-compliance prevented public oversight of Medicaid expenditures, enabling inefficiency and potential fraud.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. **ADA Violations:**

- Excluding individuals with disabilities from public processes denied critical stakeholder input, exacerbating inequitable and inefficient program administration.

3. **Medicaid Non-Compliance:**

- Violations of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a** demonstrate systemic mismanagement, risking loss of federal funding and harm to Medicaid beneficiaries.

4. **Taxpayer Impact:**

- The systemic lack of transparency and accountability undermines public trust and creates opportunities for waste and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

Conclusion

The gross waste of funds stems from systemic failures at multiple levels of state and federal oversight, highlighting the urgent need for federal intervention to restore accountability, ensure proper Medicaid fund allocation, and protect taxpayer resources.



3. When Did This Occur?

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of key events:

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day** **deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the first violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- I sent the first follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA request and compliance with ADA requirements.
- Neither agency responded, violating statutory FOIA deadlines under both **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

3. November 2, 2024:

- I sent a second follow-up to both agencies, reiterating the urgency of my request and the legal obligation to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA.
- The agencies again failed to respond or acknowledge my request, demonstrating systemic non-compliance.

4. December 2, 2024:

- I submitted a final follow-up communication, highlighting the broader implications of these failures, including violations of federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and constitutional protections.
- The agencies failed to provide any response, further solidifying the ongoing violations.



5. Ongoing and Continuous Violations:

- As of **December 2, 2024**, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other related agencies continue to:
 - Refuse to respond to FOIA requests or provide ADA accommodations.
 - Exclude me from public forums and discussions critical to Medicaid oversight.
 - Obstruct access to public records, transparency, and accountability in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- These failures demonstrate a pattern of systemic neglect, deliberate non-compliance, and suppression of whistleblower advocacy.

Violations by Timeline

1. FOIA Violations:

- Agencies failed to meet statutory deadlines for acknowledgment (**4 business days under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**) and response (**20 business days under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**).

2. ADA Violations:



- Agencies ignored ADA accommodation requests beginning on **October 25, 2024**, and continuing to this day, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130** and **§ 35.160**.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- These ongoing failures infringe on my:
 - **First Amendment right to petition** the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as agencies denied access to mandated public records and processes.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights**, by discriminating against me as a person with disabilities.

4. Medicaid Oversight Failures:

- Lack of transparency and accountability violates federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and jeopardizes compliance with funding requirements.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and persist to this day.

These ongoing failures demonstrate deliberate systemic neglect and non-compliance, necessitating immediate federal intervention.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed account of how I uncovered these systemic issues:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. This request was intended to ensure transparency and accountability in a federally funded program.
 - The agency's failure to meet statutory deadlines for acknowledgment and response alerted me to a potential systemic issue with transparency and FOIA compliance.

2. Follow-Up Communications

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS), highlighting their failure to acknowledge or respond to my request.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The consistent lack of response, combined with procedural delays, suggested deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- I included requests for **reasonable ADA accommodations**, such as simplified communication formats and accessible records, due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The agencies ignored these requests, which revealed systemic non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 35.160**).
- The denial of accommodations further excluded me from participating in oversight processes, underscoring a pattern of discrimination and systemic barriers.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight.
- This exclusion revealed violations of my:
 - **First Amendment right to petition** the government.



- **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes.
- **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection**, as a person with disabilities.

5. Broader Investigations and Findings

- As I sought to understand the lack of response to my FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records on Medicaid program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** Absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:** Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of agency non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:** Documented requests for accommodations demonstrate the agencies' failure to comply with ADA mandates.



- **Procedural Barriers:** Exclusionary practices and delays confirm systemic efforts to suppress transparency and advocacy.

Conclusion

I discovered these violations through my direct interactions with the FOIA process, repeated denials of ADA accommodations, and exclusion from public forums. These experiences revealed systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

The following facts substantiate the systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, constitutional rights, and taxpayer protections. These facts highlight a pattern of non-compliance, gross waste of funds, and deliberate exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes.

1. FOIA Non-Compliance

- **Ignored FOIA Requests:**

- My initial FOIA request, submitted on **October 25, 2024**, and follow-ups on **October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024**, were ignored by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS).
- Both agencies violated statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, failing to acknowledge or respond to legally submitted requests.

- **Pattern of Obstruction:**

- The refusal to disclose public records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program reveals systemic suppression of transparency, violating federal and state laws designed to ensure public accountability.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. ADA Violations

- **Denied Accommodation Requests:**

- Along with my FOIA request, I submitted explicit requests for reasonable accommodations due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These included simplified communication formats and accessible records, as mandated under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**.
- The agencies failed to provide these accommodations, violating **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**, which require public entities to ensure effective communication and equitable access.

- **Exclusionary Practices:**

- By ignoring ADA accommodation requests, DSS and the Attorney General's Office excluded me from participating in Medicaid program oversight processes.
- This exclusion violates the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause** and perpetuates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

3. Constitutional Violations

- **First Amendment (Right to Petition):**



- FOIA non-compliance obstructed my ability to petition the government for redress of grievances, violating my constitutional right to advocate for transparency and accountability.
- **Fifth Amendment (Due Process):**
 - The agencies' refusal to provide public records and accommodations denied me access to public processes mandated by law, violating my procedural due process rights.
- **Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection):**
 - Systemic exclusion from public forums and decision-making processes due to my disability constitutes discrimination, violating my equal protection rights under the law.

4. Medicaid Oversight Failures

- **Lack of Transparency:**
 - No publicly available records or audits exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's administration, provider accountability, or enforcement actions.
 - This absence of oversight violates **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**, which requires Medicaid programs to operate transparently and effectively.
- **Potential Mismanagement:**



- The lack of disclosure regarding complaints, violations, or corrective actions against Medicaid providers raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

5. Whistleblower Retaliation

- **Procedural Barriers:**
 - The agencies imposed procedural barriers, such as non-responsiveness and exclusion from public processes, to suppress my efforts as a whistleblower.
 - These actions constitute retaliation against protected advocacy, violating federal whistleblower protection laws.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:**
 - Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) demonstrate a clear pattern of agency non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**
 - Documented requests for reasonable accommodations highlight systemic failures to provide equitable access and effective communication.



- **Communication Logs:**

- Email and written correspondence with DSS and the Attorney General’s Office confirm repeated procedural barriers and lack of responsiveness.

- **Program Oversight Deficiencies:**

- The absence of provider audits, enforcement actions, or corrective measures within the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program underscores systemic neglect.

7. Broader Implications

- **Harm to Vulnerable Populations:**

- The lack of transparency and accountability jeopardizes the quality of care for Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly individuals with acquired brain injuries.

- **Gross Waste of Funds:**

- Systemic failures to oversee Medicaid programs risk fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources, undermining public trust.

- **Public Trust Erosion:**

- Continued non-compliance with federal and state laws damages confidence in the government’s ability to operate programs equitably and effectively.

Conclusion

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



These additional facts substantiate systemic violations of FOIA, ADA, Medicaid regulations, and constitutional protections. They demonstrate a deliberate pattern of non-compliance and exclusion, necessitating immediate federal intervention to restore transparency, accountability, and equitable program administration.



Danger to Public Health or Safety

The lack of transparency, accountability, and oversight in Connecticut's Medicaid programs, specifically the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, poses a significant danger to public health and safety. Vulnerable populations, including individuals with acquired brain injuries, rely on these services for essential care, and systemic failures jeopardize their well-being. Below is a breakdown of how each individual contributed to this danger:

1. Andrea Barton Reeves

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role:** Oversees DSS operations, including the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Lack of Oversight:** Failed to ensure transparency in program operations, including audits, complaints, and enforcement actions, increasing risks of substandard care.
 - **FOIA Non-Compliance:** Refused to disclose information critical to evaluating the program's effectiveness and safety, violating **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
 - **Impact on Vulnerable Populations:** Without accountability, Medicaid beneficiaries, including those with acquired brain injuries, face risks of neglect, mistreatment, or inadequate care.



2. Attorney General William Tong

- **Title:** Connecticut Attorney General
- **Role:** Responsible for ensuring legal compliance across state agencies.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **FOIA Obstruction:** Suppressed transparency efforts by ignoring FOIA requests, obstructing access to vital Medicaid oversight information.
 - **Failure to Enforce Accountability:** Did not hold DSS or other agencies accountable for systemic non-compliance, perpetuating unsafe practices in Medicaid programs.

3. Barbara Manning

- **Title:** CMS Regional Administrator (Region 1)
- **Role:** Oversees CMS operations in Connecticut and other New England states.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Lack of Federal Oversight:** Neglected to investigate or address systemic failures in Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, despite its federally funded nature.



- **Risk to Public Health:** By failing to ensure program accountability, Barbara Manning allowed unsafe conditions and risks to persist for vulnerable Medicaid populations.

4. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role:** National Administrator of Medicaid programs.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Failure to Intervene:** Did not address ongoing issues in Connecticut's Medicaid program, allowing risks to public health and safety to escalate.
 - **Jeopardized Beneficiary Care:** Lax oversight contributed to systemic failures that directly impact the quality and accessibility of care for Medicaid beneficiaries.

5. Eileen Meskill

- **Title:** Deputy Attorney General
- **Role:** Second-in-command to Attorney General William Tong.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**



- **Neglected Legal Oversight:** Failed to enforce transparency and ADA compliance, preventing stakeholders from ensuring the safety and efficacy of Medicaid programs.

6. Governor Ned Lamont

- **Title:** Governor of Connecticut
- **Role:** Chief Executive of the State of Connecticut.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Inaction on Systemic Failures:** Despite being aware of the systemic issues in DSS and other agencies, Governor Lamont failed to intervene or address the lack of accountability.
 - **Harm to Public Trust:** This inaction undermines public confidence in programs meant to serve vulnerable populations, further endangering their health and safety.

7. Matthew Brokman

- **Title:** Chief of Staff to Governor Ned Lamont
- **Role:** Oversees executive operations and ensures compliance with the Governor's agenda.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Lack of Executive Oversight:** Failed to ensure that state agencies complied with federal and state requirements for Medicaid program transparency and safety.

8. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Role:** Ensures equitable access and compliance with ADA requirements in public services.
- **Actions Contributing to Danger:**
 - **Neglected ADA Complaints:** Did not address exclusionary practices or ensure reasonable accommodations for stakeholders advocating for Medicaid program improvements.
 - **Risk to Vulnerable Groups:** Failure to address ADA violations perpetuates systemic inequities and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries.

Systemic Implications of Danger

1. Transparency Failures:

- FOIA non-compliance and lack of public accountability prevent scrutiny of Medicaid program operations, jeopardizing care quality and safety.

2. Impact on Vulnerable Populations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those with acquired brain injuries, face increased risks of neglect, mistreatment, or harm due to inadequate oversight.

3. Erosion of Public Trust:

- Lack of accountability undermines confidence in the integrity of public health programs and exposes taxpayers to fraud, waste, and abuse.

4. Broader Public Health Risk:

- Systemic failures in Medicaid administration can set a precedent for unsafe practices in other programs, posing a wider threat to public health and safety.

Conclusion

The systemic lack of transparency and accountability in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program poses an ongoing danger to public health and safety. Immediate federal intervention is required to protect vulnerable populations, restore program integrity, and ensure compliance with federal oversight requirements.



3. When Did This Occur?

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events that illustrate the systemic and ongoing nature of these violations:

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request also included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the first violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

- I submitted a follow-up communication to both the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and compliance with ADA requirements.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neither agency provided a response, violating FOIA's federal **20-business-day response deadline** under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.

3. **November 2, 2024:**

- I sent a second follow-up communication reiterating the urgency of my requests for transparency and ADA compliance.
- Both agencies ignored this communication, compounding the FOIA and ADA violations and demonstrating systemic non-compliance.

4. **December 2, 2024:**

- I submitted a final follow-up communication highlighting the broader implications of these failures, including violations of Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and constitutional rights.
- No response or acknowledgment was received, further confirming the deliberate and ongoing nature of these violations.

5. **Ongoing and Continuous Violations:**

- To date, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other related entities continue to:
 - Fail to respond to my FOIA requests and provide ADA accommodations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Exclude me from public forums and discussions critical to Medicaid program oversight.
- Obstruct access to public records, transparency, and accountability for federally funded Medicaid programs.

Nature of Violations

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Agencies failed to meet acknowledgment and response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. ADA Violations:

- Ignored requests for accommodations, excluding me from participation and access, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- These actions infringe on my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as agencies denied access to mandated processes and records.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights**, as a person with disabilities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Medicaid Oversight Failures:

- Lack of transparency and accountability violates federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and jeopardizes the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and persist to this day.

These ongoing failures demonstrate systemic neglect, deliberate non-compliance, and significant risks to public health and safety, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight and compliance with federal regulations.
 - The agency failed to acknowledge the request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, which was my first indication of potential systemic transparency issues.

2. Follow-Up Communications

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS).



- The consistent lack of response and failure to meet statutory deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552** (FOIA) revealed procedural barriers and deliberate non-compliance with transparency laws.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- Along with my FOIA request, I submitted **explicit ADA accommodation requests** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI), including simplified communication formats and accessible records.
- The agencies' failure to address or fulfill these accommodations exposed systemic non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**.
- This denial of accommodations excluded me from participating in public processes and advocacy efforts, further confirming institutional barriers and discriminatory practices.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid oversight.
- This exclusion revealed violations of my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes.
- **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection**, as a person with disabilities.

5. Broader Investigation and Findings

- As I sought to understand the lack of response and accommodations, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records on Medicaid program oversight, provider accountability, or program audits.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** The absence of disclosures about enforcement actions against providers or corrective measures raised concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded resources.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:** Copies of my FOIA request and follow-up communications provide evidence of non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:** Documented requests for accommodations highlight systemic failures to provide equitable access and effective communication.



- **Communication Logs:** Correspondence with DSS and the Attorney General's Office confirms procedural barriers and systemic non-responsiveness.

Conclusion

I discovered these actions through my direct engagement with the FOIA process, repeated denials of ADA accommodations, and exclusion from public processes. These experiences exposed systemic non-compliance with transparency laws, ADA requirements, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



Constitutional Rights Violations Contributing to Danger to Public Safety

The systemic failures in transparency, ADA compliance, and oversight within Connecticut's Medicaid programs, particularly the ABI Waiver Program, violate multiple constitutional rights and significantly endanger public safety. Below are the specific constitutional violations linked to key individuals' actions or inactions.

1. First Amendment Violations

- **Right to Petition the Government:**
 - **What Happened:**
 - FOIA non-compliance obstructed my right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - The Attorney General's Office and DSS suppressed my advocacy efforts by ignoring lawful FOIA requests, preventing me from addressing systemic Medicaid oversight failures.
 - **Constitutional Violation:**
 - Suppressing my ability to request information and advocate for transparency violates the **First Amendment**.

2. Fifth Amendment Violations

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Right to Due Process:**

- **What Happened:**

- Denied access to public records mandated by FOIA and state law.
 - Ignored ADA accommodations, effectively excluding me from participating in Medicaid-related public processes.
 - Procedural barriers and non-responsiveness blocked my access to legal remedies.

- **Constitutional Violation:**

- These actions violate the **Fifth Amendment**, which guarantees procedural due process rights when engaging with government processes.

3. Fourteenth Amendment Violations

- **Equal Protection Under the Law:**

- **What Happened:**

- Denial of ADA accommodations excluded me, as a person with a disability, from critical forums and decision-making processes concerning Medicaid oversight.
 - Systemic neglect disproportionately impacts individuals with acquired brain injuries and disabilities, creating unequal access to public services.



- **Constitutional Violation:**
 - This exclusion constitutes discrimination, violating the **Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause**.
- **Due Process (State-Level Applications):**
 - **What Happened:**
 - Lack of transparency and accountability in Medicaid programs directly affects beneficiaries' ability to access safe, effective care.
 - DSS and the Attorney General's Office ignored FOIA requests and procedural requirements, bypassing due process obligations.
 - **Constitutional Violation:**
 - These actions also violate the Fourteenth Amendment's **Due Process Clause**, which requires fairness in government actions impacting individuals' rights.

Specific Violations Linked to Danger to Public Safety

1. Denial of Transparency (First and Fifth Amendments):

- FOIA violations obstruct public accountability, preventing timely identification of safety risks in Medicaid programs, which jeopardizes beneficiaries' lives and well-being.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Exclusion of Stakeholders (Fourteenth Amendment):

- The exclusion of individuals with disabilities from public processes weakens program accountability and oversight, increasing risks of harm to vulnerable populations.

3. Suppression of Advocacy (First Amendment):

- Retaliatory procedural barriers discourage whistleblower advocacy, allowing dangerous conditions to persist within Medicaid programs.

4. Failure to Provide Due Process (Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments):

- Denying access to records and accommodations prevents individuals from engaging in legally mandated oversight, violating due process and endangering public safety.

Contributing Actions by Key Individuals

Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS):

- Ignored FOIA requests, violating the First and Fifth Amendments.
- Failed to provide ADA accommodations, violating the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause.

Attorney General William Tong:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Suppressed transparency by allowing systemic FOIA non-compliance, violating the First Amendment.
- Failed to ensure ADA compliance, perpetuating discrimination and violating the Fourteenth Amendment.

Barbara Manning (CMS Regional Administrator):

- Neglected oversight of Medicaid programs, enabling systemic failures that endanger vulnerable populations and violate constitutional protections.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator):

- Failed to enforce transparency and oversight within Connecticut’s Medicaid programs, allowing constitutional violations to persist.

Eileen Meskill (Deputy Attorney General):

- Operationally responsible for FOIA compliance, ignored requests, and failed to address ADA violations, compounding constitutional infractions.

Governor Ned Lamont:

- As the state’s chief executive, failed to intervene in systemic failures, allowing violations of constitutional rights to persist unchecked.

Systemic Impact of Constitutional Violations

1. Public Health Risk:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Denying transparency and excluding stakeholders from oversight processes jeopardizes the safety and well-being of Medicaid beneficiaries.

2. Erosion of Public Trust:

- Violations of constitutional rights and lack of government accountability undermine public confidence in Medicaid programs and taxpayer-funded initiatives.

3. Increased Risks for Vulnerable Populations:

- Discrimination and lack of oversight disproportionately harm individuals with acquired brain injuries and disabilities, leaving them vulnerable to neglect, mistreatment, or harm.

Conclusion

The actions of key individuals and agencies violate the **First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments** of the U.S. Constitution. These constitutional infractions directly contribute to systemic risks that endanger public safety and necessitate immediate federal intervention to uphold the rule of law and protect vulnerable populations.



3. When Did This Occur?

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with the submission of my initial FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and continue to this day. Below is a detailed timeline of events, demonstrating systemic and ongoing failures.

Timeline of Events

1. October 25, 2024:

- I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.
- This request explicitly included ADA accommodation requests for simplified communication formats and accessible records, as required under **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)**.
- The Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS) failed to acknowledge this request within the statutory **four-business-day deadline** required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**, initiating the first violation.

2. October 31, 2024:

- I sent a follow-up communication to the Attorney General's Office and DSS, requesting acknowledgment of my FOIA submission and compliance with ADA requirements.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Neither agency responded, violating federal FOIA response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**.

3. November 2, 2024:

- I submitted a second follow-up communication reiterating the urgency of my requests for transparency and ADA compliance.
- Both agencies ignored this communication, compounding FOIA and ADA violations and demonstrating systemic neglect.

4. December 2, 2024:

- I submitted a final follow-up communication highlighting the broader implications of these failures, including violations of federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and constitutional rights.
- Neither agency provided any acknowledgment or response, confirming deliberate non-compliance and ongoing violations.

5. Ongoing and Continuous Violations:

- As of today, the Attorney General's Office, DSS, and other related entities
continue to:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Refuse to respond to my FOIA requests or provide ADA accommodations.
- Exclude me from public forums and discussions critical to Medicaid program oversight.
- Obstruct access to public records and accountability in federally funded programs.

Nature of Violations

1. FOIA Non-Compliance:

- Agencies failed to meet acknowledgment and response deadlines under **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.

2. ADA Violations:

- Ignored requests for accommodations, excluding me from participation and access, violating **42 U.S.C. § 12131** and **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**.

3. Constitutional Violations:

- These ongoing failures infringe on my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as agencies denied access to mandated processes and records.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Fourteenth Amendment equal protection rights**, as a person with disabilities.

4. **Medicaid Oversight Failures:**

- Lack of transparency and accountability violates federal Medicaid regulations (**42 U.S.C. § 1396a**) and jeopardizes the integrity of taxpayer-funded programs.

Conclusion

The violations began on **October 25, 2024**, with my initial FOIA request and persist to this day. These ongoing failures represent a pattern of systemic neglect, deliberate non-compliance, and significant risks to public health, safety, and constitutional rights.



4. How Did You Discover This Action?

I discovered these violations through direct engagement with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) process, my role as a whistleblower advocating for transparency in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, and repeated attempts to secure reasonable ADA accommodations. Below is a detailed explanation of how I uncovered these systemic failures:

1. Submission of FOIA Requests

- **Date:** October 25, 2024
 - I submitted a FOIA request to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, seeking records related to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to evaluate its oversight, compliance with federal Medicaid regulations, and effectiveness in serving vulnerable populations.
 - The lack of acknowledgment or response to this request, required under **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210** and **5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)**, indicated potential transparency failures and non-compliance with statutory obligations.

2. Follow-Up Communications

- **Dates:** October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024
 - After receiving no acknowledgment, I sent multiple follow-up communications to both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Social Services (DSS).

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- The continued lack of response revealed systemic procedural failures and deliberate suppression of transparency, as required under state and federal FOIA laws.

3. Denial of ADA Accommodations

- Along with my FOIA request, I submitted **explicit ADA accommodation requests** due to my traumatic brain injury (TBI). These accommodations included:
 - Simplified communication formats.
 - Accessible records compatible with assistive technologies.
- The agencies' failure to fulfill these reasonable accommodation requests demonstrated non-compliance with **Title II of the ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12131)** and its implementing regulations (**28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**).
- This exclusion from participating in critical oversight processes further revealed systemic discrimination and procedural barriers.

4. Exclusion from Public Processes

- Despite being a direct stakeholder in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, I was excluded from public forums, discussions, and decision-making processes critical to Medicaid program oversight.



- This exclusion revealed violations of my:
 - **First Amendment right** to petition the government for redress of grievances.
 - **Fifth Amendment due process rights**, as I was denied access to legally mandated processes.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection**, as a person with disabilities.

5. Broader Investigation and Findings

- Through my advocacy efforts, I uncovered broader systemic issues, including:
 - **Lack of Transparency:** No publicly available records, audits, or enforcement actions exist detailing the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program's operations or accountability mechanisms.
 - **Potential Mismanagement:** Absence of records about complaints, violations, or corrective actions raises concerns about fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer-funded Medicaid resources.
 - **Barriers to Accountability:** Systemic delays and exclusionary practices obstruct meaningful oversight and advocacy efforts.

6. Corroborating Evidence

- **FOIA Submission Records:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Copies of my FOIA request (October 25, 2024) and follow-ups (October 31, November 2, and December 2, 2024) provide evidence of agency non-compliance.
- **ADA Accommodation Requests:**
 - Documented requests for accommodations highlight the agencies' failure to comply with ADA mandates and ensure equitable participation.
- **Communication Logs:**
 - Correspondence with DSS and the Attorney General's Office confirms procedural barriers, delays, and systemic non-responsiveness.
- **Lack of Accountability Measures:**
 - The absence of publicly disclosed records on Medicaid program oversight supports allegations of systemic neglect and potential mismanagement.

Conclusion

I discovered these systemic failures through my direct involvement with the FOIA process, repeated denials of ADA accommodations, and exclusion from critical Medicaid oversight processes. These experiences revealed widespread violations of transparency laws, ADA requirements, and constitutional protections, necessitating immediate federal intervention.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



What Action Would I Like OSC to Take?

1. Launch a Comprehensive Investigation

- **Systemic FOIA Non-Compliance:**

- Investigate failures by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and related state agencies to comply with **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** and **Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-210**.
- Review delays and refusals to provide records related to Medicaid ABI Waiver Program operations, including:
 - Provider complaints.
 - Financial audits and fund usage.
 - Accountability measures, including investigations of fraud or misconduct.

- **ADA Non-Compliance:**

- Investigate systemic refusal to provide reasonable accommodations under the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)**, including:
 - Simplified communication formats.
 - Accessible public records.
 - Inclusion in public forums related to Medicaid program oversight.



- **Constitutional Violations:**

- Examine infringements of:
 - **First Amendment:** Suppressing my right to petition the government for redress of grievances through FOIA obstruction.
 - **Fifth Amendment:** Denying access to due process by excluding me from government processes and failing to provide legally mandated public records.
 - **Fourteenth Amendment:** Discriminating against me as a person with disabilities, violating my equal protection rights.

- **Whistleblower Retaliation:**

- Investigate procedural barriers, public exclusion, and systemic retaliation aimed at suppressing my advocacy for transparency and accountability.
- Examine whether Connecticut state officials or agencies retaliated against me for exposing systemic Medicaid mismanagement.

- **Gross Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:**

- Investigate DSS and related entities for potential violations of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**, including failure to:
 - Ensure efficient administration of federally funded Medicaid programs.
 - Prevent fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars.



- Provide effective oversight of the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Enforce Immediate Corrective Actions

- **Transparency and FOIA Compliance:**

- Compel DSS, the Attorney General's Office, and all implicated agencies to:
 - Immediately release all records requested under FOIA, including Medicaid provider complaints, financial audits, and enforcement actions.
 - Comply with statutory deadlines for FOIA requests and provide justifications for any redactions or exemptions under **5 U.S.C. § 552(b)**.

- **ADA Compliance:**

- Mandate immediate implementation of ADA accommodations for individuals with disabilities, including:
 - Simplified communication formats and accessible public records.
 - Equal access to public forums and decision-making processes.
- Enforce compliance with **28 C.F.R. § 35.130 and § 35.160**, which require effective communication and prohibit discriminatory practices.

- **Whistleblower Protections:**

- Enforce protections under the **Whistleblower Protection Act** to prevent further retaliation against me for exposing systemic failures.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ensure that agencies involved are prohibited from imposing procedural barriers or other retaliatory actions.

3. Hold Individuals Accountable

- **Identify Responsible Parties:**

- Investigate the roles of key individuals, including:
 - Andrea Barton Reeves (Commissioner, DSS).
 - Attorney General William Tong.
 - Barbara Manning (CMS Regional Administrator).
 - Chiquita Brooks-LaSure (CMS Administrator).
 - Governor Ned Lamont and his Chief of Staff, Matthew Brokman.
- Determine whether their actions or inactions contributed to systemic non-compliance, retaliation, and gross mismanagement.

- **Disciplinary Measures:**

- Recommend disciplinary action for officials found to have violated federal laws, suppressed whistleblower advocacy, or enabled discrimination and non-transparency.



4. Recommend Systemic Reforms

- **Structural Changes:**

- Recommend the establishment of independent oversight mechanisms to ensure ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, and transparency within Medicaid programs.
- Mandate annual independent audits of Medicaid programs to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse.

- **Whistleblower Protections:**

- Recommend stronger federal oversight of whistleblower complaints related to federally funded programs.
- Propose policies requiring immediate and comprehensive responses to whistleblower allegations.

- **Transparency Initiatives:**

- Require DSS and related agencies to:
 - Publish annual reports on Medicaid program operations, including complaints, audits, and corrective actions.
 - Maintain a publicly accessible database of provider violations and enforcement outcomes.



5. Ensure Restorative Justice

- **Compensation for Harm:**

- Seek restitution for damages caused by systemic retaliation, ADA violations, and procedural barriers that obstructed my advocacy and access to information.
- Provide compensation for lost time, resources, and advocacy opportunities resulting from agency non-compliance.

- **Public Acknowledgment:**

- Require public acknowledgment of systemic failures by DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office, including an apology for violating whistleblower protections and ADA compliance.

6. Promote Public Accountability

- **Public Reporting:**

- Ensure that findings from OSC investigations are publicly disclosed, including corrective actions and enforcement measures.
- Require regular updates to demonstrate progress in addressing systemic issues and ensuring compliance with federal laws.

- **Stakeholder Inclusion:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mandate the inclusion of whistleblowers, disability advocates, and affected stakeholders in oversight and decision-making processes related to Medicaid programs.

7. Address Broader Public Safety Risks

- **Immediate Risk Mitigation:**

- Address ongoing risks to public safety posed by systemic failures in Medicaid oversight, including:
 - Lack of provider accountability.
 - Potential neglect or mistreatment of Medicaid beneficiaries.
- Strengthen enforcement of **42 U.S.C. § 1396a** to ensure Medicaid programs operate effectively and safely.

- **Policy Changes:**

- Recommend federal policy changes to prevent similar systemic failures in other states, focusing on transparency, accountability, and whistleblower protections.

Conclusion

These actions are necessary to uphold **whistleblower protections**, ensure compliance with **FOIA, ADA**, and **constitutional rights**, and protect public safety. Immediate federal

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



intervention and systemic reform are critical to restoring transparency, accountability, and trust in Medicaid programs.



Request for Federal Representation

The Office of Special Counsel (OSC) and other relevant federal agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), must represent **both myself as a whistleblower and the broader public** in addressing these systemic violations. These actions should include:

1. Representing Whistleblower Rights

- **Protect My Advocacy Efforts:**
 - Enforce whistleblower protections under the **Whistleblower Protection Act** to safeguard my rights and ensure that my efforts to expose systemic failures are not met with retaliation.
 - Act as a federal intermediary to hold state agencies accountable for obstructing transparency and ADA compliance.
- **Provide Legal and Procedural Representation:**
 - Advocate for my access to records, ADA accommodations, and participation in oversight processes as required under **FOIA, ADA, and constitutional rights** provisions.
 - Assist in ensuring that state agencies, including DSS and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, comply with federal laws and policies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Representing the Broader Public Interest

- **Ensure Accountability for Medicaid Mismanagement:**
 - Represent the interests of taxpayers and Medicaid beneficiaries by investigating systemic mismanagement and potential misuse of federal funds under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a**.
 - Address gross waste of federal and state resources allocated to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, ensuring that these funds serve their intended purpose of supporting vulnerable populations.
- **Protect Public Safety:**
 - Act on behalf of individuals who rely on Medicaid services, ensuring program integrity and preventing harm to public health and safety caused by lack of oversight, transparency, or accountability.
 - Address the exclusion of stakeholders with disabilities from decision-making processes, which creates inequitable systems that endanger lives and erode public trust.

3. Federal Oversight of State Agencies

- **Hold State Agencies Accountable:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Represent federal authority in addressing Connecticut’s systemic failures, ensuring that state agencies comply with federal laws, including **FOIA**, **ADA**, and Medicaid oversight regulations.
- Require the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), the Connecticut Attorney General’s Office, and CHRO to implement federal-mandated corrective actions.
- **Demand Systemic Reforms:**
 - Advocate for the establishment of independent oversight bodies to monitor ADA compliance, Medicaid program transparency, and whistleblower protections in Connecticut.

4. Advocating for Constitutional Protections

- **First Amendment:**
 - Ensure my right to petition the government for redress of grievances is fully protected by holding state agencies accountable for suppressing FOIA requests and obstructing advocacy efforts.
- **Fifth Amendment:**
 - Guarantee procedural due process in accessing public records, participating in Medicaid oversight, and ensuring equitable treatment under the law.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Demand equal protection for individuals with disabilities who are excluded from public forums, decision-making processes, and oversight roles due to systemic ADA violations.

5. Supporting Restorative Justice

- **Advocate for Compensation and Acknowledgment:**
 - Request compensation for the harm caused to myself and others affected by these systemic violations, including delays, barriers to advocacy, and exclusion from public processes.
 - Seek a public acknowledgment of failures by Connecticut state agencies to ensure accountability and transparency for the public.
- **Mandate Public Reforms:**
 - Represent the public interest by ensuring systemic reforms that guarantee transparency, accountability, and compliance with federal laws in all future Medicaid operations.

Conclusion

This request explicitly asks the federal government, through OSC and related agencies, to act on behalf of **both myself as a whistleblower** and the **broader public interest**, ensuring transparency, equity, and accountability in federally funded programs. The federal government's

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



role is essential to restoring trust in public institutions and protecting the rights of whistleblowers and vulnerable populations.



"Federal Representation for Justice: Addressing Systemic Violations of Constitutional Rights, ADA Protections, and Whistleblower Safeguards to Ensure Transparency and Accountability"

Complaint and Demand for Justice: Systemic Violations of Constitutional Rights, ADA Compliance, Whistleblower Protections, and State Transparency Obligations

What's Specifically Happening

1. State Agencies Are Failing in Their Duties

- **CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities):**

CHRO is responsible for investigating discrimination complaints, including ADA violations. David submitted a notarized complaint detailing ADA violation by DSS, yet CHRO refuses to assign a case number or take meaningful action. This failure obstructs justice, denies David's right to due process, and allows systemic discrimination to persist unchecked.

- **Connecticut DSS (Department of Social Services):**

DSS officials have publicly ridiculed David, excluded him from discussions, and obstructed his access to information critical for accountability. DSS has also failed



to provide the ADA accommodations David needs to engage meaningfully in its processes.

- **Attorney General's Office:**

Despite receiving evidence of systemic failures and misconduct, the Attorney General's Office has ignored David's calls for intervention, effectively enabling DSS and CHRO's inaction.

2. Federal Agencies Are Neglecting Oversight Responsibilities

- **DOJ (Department of Justice):**

David escalated his complaints to the DOJ, highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite unmistakable evidence, DOJ has taken no substantive action to protect David's rights or hold Connecticut accountable.

- **HHS OCR (Office for Civil Rights):**

As the federal agency responsible for enforcing ADA compliance in healthcare settings, HHS OCR has failed to address the systemic failures within Connecticut's Medicaid program, leaving vulnerable populations without recourse.

3. Exploitation of Disability

- Agencies are exploiting David's brain injury and related cognitive challenges, such as memory difficulties, by creating procedural barriers and refusing to



provide accommodations. This deliberate exclusion compounds his inability to navigate the system and enforce his rights effectively.

4. Retaliation for Whistleblowing

- David's efforts to expose Medicaid mismanagement and corruption have made him a target of systemic retaliation. Rather than address the issues he has raised, state and federal entities are obstructing his advocacy, denying him access to justice, and suppressing his whistleblower protections.

The Broader Implications

1. Erosion of Public Trust

- Connecticut's refusal to investigate or address its own systemic failures undermines public confidence in state agencies and their commitment to justice and transparency.

2. Mismanagement of Taxpayer Funds

- The misuse or mismanagement of Medicaid funds harms taxpayers and jeopardizes the financial stability of federal healthcare programs.

3. Endangerment of Vulnerable Populations

- By neglecting ADA compliance and suppressing whistleblowers, these agencies fail to protect individuals who depend on Medicaid and disability rights protections, perpetuating harm to vulnerable communities.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The violations of **ADA**, **civil**, and **constitutional rights** against David Medeiros stem from systemic corruption, deliberate inaction, and institutional self-preservation. Connecticut's state agencies and federal oversight bodies are fully aware of David's **brain injury**, which adds an egregious dimension to their failure to act. Below is a detailed analysis of the root causes and their implications.

1. Shielding Mismanagement

A Culture of Secrecy to Avoid Accountability

Connecticut's agencies operate within an environment of financial strain and heavy dependence on **Medicaid funding**. The exposure of systemic mismanagement would not only jeopardize federal funding but also trigger public scrutiny, damaging political stability and institutional reputations. These motivations drive a deliberate effort to suppress transparency and accountability.

Key Points:

- **Avoiding Scrutiny:** Connecticut officials prioritize obscuring inefficiencies and potential financial mismanagement rather than addressing whistleblower concerns.
- **Fiscal Vulnerability:** The state's precarious financial position fuels an incentive to suppress whistleblowers and deny transparency to prevent federal audits or public fallout.
- **Retaliatory Measures:** Agencies such as DSS retaliate against whistleblowers like David Medeiros to deter future accountability efforts, reinforcing a culture of impunity.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Lack of Federal Enforcement

Deferred Oversight Creates a Vacuum of Accountability

Federal agencies like the **Department of Justice (DOJ)** and **Office for Civil Rights (OCR)** within the **Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)** are tasked with enforcing ADA compliance and whistleblower protections. However, these agencies frequently defer to state jurisdictions, allowing violations to persist unchecked.

Key Points:

- **Vacuum of Accountability:** Federal inaction emboldens state agencies like DSS and CHRO, which exploit procedural loopholes to evade oversight.
- **Under prioritization of Disability Complaints:** ADA violations and whistleblower complaints, especially from individuals with disabilities, are often deprioritized due to bureaucratic inefficiency or limited resources.
- **Implicit Endorsement of State Inaction:** The lack of federal enforcement signals that state agencies can act with impunity, undermining the very purpose of federal protections.

3. Systemic Corruption

Institutional Self-Preservation Over Justice

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The refusal to act on credible complaints and the systemic obstruction faced by David Medeiros are indicative of deeper corruption. Agencies prioritize shielding themselves and their leadership over upholding public trust or addressing misconduct.

Key Points:

- **Corruption-Fueled Retaliation:** DSS and CHRO are complicit in protecting officials engaged in discriminatory or retaliatory practices by refusing to investigate or acknowledge complaints.
- **Erosion of Public Trust:** The failure to address David's complaints undermines confidence in government accountability, fostering public disenfranchisement.
- **Misaligned Priorities:** Agencies prioritize maintaining their power structures over ensuring justice, enabling discrimination and retaliatory practices to persist.

Exploitation of David Medeiros' Brain Injury

The deliberate disregard for David's documented **cognitive challenges** is not just an act of negligence; it represents a **weaponization of his disability** to suppress his advocacy and deny him justice.

4. Deliberate Procedural Barriers

Agencies such as DSS and CHRO have systematically refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including simplified processes, email-only correspondence, and accessible

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



formats. These failures exacerbate David's difficulties as a **traumatic brain injury (TBI)** survivor.

Specific Examples:

- **Procedural Delays:** Refusal to simplify communication has created insurmountable delays and confusion, making it nearly impossible for David to pursue justice effectively.
- **Weaponized Complexity:** Agencies have used procedural obstacles to exhaust David's resources, undermine his advocacy, and delay action indefinitely.
- **Institutional Knowledge of Disability:** Officials are aware of David's cognitive challenges yet exploit them to silence his voice and obstruct his efforts to hold the system accountable.

5. Retaliation and Exclusion

David Medeiros' whistleblowing activities have made him a target for systemic retaliation. DSS officials and other state actors have exploited his disability to undermine his credibility and suppress his advocacy.

Key Retaliatory Actions:

- **Public Ridicule:** DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums, excluding him from critical discussions and decision-making processes.



- **Whistleblower Retaliation:** David’s whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement has been met with systematic obstruction, inaction, and exclusion, violating federal whistleblower protections.
- **Suppression of Advocacy:** By denying David a platform, these agencies have silenced his advocacy, depriving vulnerable populations of a powerful voice.

The Broader Implications

The systemic failures and deliberate exploitation of David Medeiros’ disability have far-reaching consequences that extend beyond his individual case.

1. Erosion of Public Trust

- Connecticut’s refusal to investigate or address its own systemic failures undermines public confidence in government accountability.
- Federal inaction further erodes trust, signaling that the government is unwilling to protect constitutional rights or enforce transparency.

2. Endangerment of Vulnerable Populations

- By disregarding ADA compliance and whistleblower protections, these agencies fail to uphold the rights of individuals who depend on Medicaid and disability accommodations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- This systemic neglect perpetuates harm to already marginalized communities, leaving them without recourse or protection.

3. Mismanagement of Public Funds

- The misuse or mismanagement of Medicaid funds, coupled with a lack of transparency, raises significant concerns about financial accountability.
- Taxpayer dollars intended to support vulnerable populations are instead diverted or wasted, further exacerbating systemic inequality.

Conclusion: A Call for Justice

David Medeiros is not only a victim of systemic retaliation but also a symbol of the broader failures within Connecticut's state agencies and federal oversight bodies. The deliberate exploitation of his disability and suppression of his advocacy represents a fundamental violation of **constitutional rights, ADA protections, and public trust.**

These coordinated failures demand immediate and decisive federal intervention to restore justice, enforce accountability, and ensure systemic reform.

The Crux of the Matter

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



David Medeiros is trapped in a system designed to suppress whistleblowers, marginalize individuals with disabilities, and shield governmental misconduct. Each agency involved is either actively retaliating against him or passively allowing injustices to continue, leaving him without meaningful recourse.

This pattern of neglect and retaliation is not just a personal injustice—it represents a systemic failure that threatens the rights of all vulnerable populations.

Would you like this clarified further, or should we strengthen specific aspects of the complaint?

Complaint and Demand for Justice: Systemic Violations of Constitutional Rights, ADA Compliance, Whistleblower Protections, and State Transparency Obligations

Complainant:

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources

Willimantic, Connecticut

Filed Against:

- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office**
- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)**
- **Unidentified State and Federal Officials**
- **U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division**
- **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**

Preface and Executive Summary

This complaint seeks to expose a systemic and coordinated failure by Connecticut state agencies, compounded by federal inaction, to uphold constitutional rights, enforce ADA compliance, protect whistleblowers, and maintain transparency through FOIA and related laws. As a whistleblower and a person with a brain injury, David Medeiros has been systematically retaliated against, discriminated against, and excluded from public processes vital to his rights, his advocacy, and his ability to serve the community of individuals with disabilities.

This primary complaint is submitted to demand:

1. Immediate enforcement of legal protections and accommodations.
2. A comprehensive investigation into systemic misconduct.
3. Transparent accountability and systemic reform to prevent recurrence of these injustices.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Table of Contents

1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint
2. Legal Background and Framework
3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events
4. Systemic Violations of Law
 - Constitutional Violations
 - ADA and Rehabilitation Act Violations
 - FOIA and Transparency Violations
 - Whistleblower Protection Violations
 - Connecticut Statutory Violations
5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations
6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability
7. Conclusion and Final Demands

1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint

David Medeiros files this primary complaint to document, expose, and demand immediate redress for systemic violations of his rights as a whistleblower, advocate, taxpayer, and person with a disability. Connecticut state agencies, including CHRO and DSS, have engaged in

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



deliberate and coordinated actions to suppress transparency, deny lawful accommodations, and retaliate against his efforts to expose Medicaid mismanagement and advocate for individuals with disabilities.

Despite notifying federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, of these violations, no meaningful action has been taken. This complaint seeks enforcement of all applicable federal and state laws, systemic reform, and relief for the harms caused to David Medeiros and the broader population affected by these failures.

2. Legal Background and Framework

This complaint is rooted in foundational legal principles, federal statutes, and state laws designed to protect constitutional rights, ensure equity, and uphold accountability in public services.

A. Constitutional Provisions

1. **First Amendment:** Protects the right to petition the government for redress of grievances. CHRO's refusal to assign a case number and the Connecticut Attorney General's inaction constitute suppression of this fundamental right.
2. **Fifth Amendment:** Guarantees due process protections, violated by the state's failure to provide substantive responses or avenues for redress.
3. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Ensures equal protection under the law, which has been denied to David Medeiros as a person with a disability and whistleblower.

B. Federal Statutes

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132):** Prohibits discrimination in public services, requiring accessibility and accommodations.
2. **Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 794):** Mandates accessibility and prohibits discrimination in federally funded programs.
3. **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):** Protects individuals reporting government misconduct, ensuring they are shielded from retaliation.
4. **Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552):** Mandates timely access to public records to ensure accountability and transparency.

C. Connecticut Statutory Obligations

1. **Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.):** Ensures public access to government records and transparency in state agency operations.
2. **Anti-Discrimination Laws under CHRO's Jurisdiction:** Mandate investigation of complaints alleging discrimination or retaliation.

D. Case Law Supporting the Complaint

1. **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Reaffirmed the ADA's applicability to public services and institutions.
2. **NARA v. Favish (2004):** Established FOIA's role in ensuring transparency in matters of public interest.
3. **Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. NRDC (1984):** Limited agency discretion to ensure compliance with statutory mandates.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events

October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS.
- Request: Assignment of a case number and initiation of an investigation.
- CHRO Response: No acknowledgment, no case number, and no investigation, violating statutory obligations.

October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David requested an update on the status of his complaint and reiterated the need for a case number.
- CHRO Response: Continued silence and inaction, creating procedural barriers.

November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- Evidence of misconduct by DSS and CHRO submitted to the Attorney General, requesting oversight and intervention.
- AG Response: No acknowledgment or action, constituting abdication of statutory duties.

November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ

- David submitted a detailed complaint to the DOJ, citing constitutional violations, ADA non-compliance, and whistleblower retaliation.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- DOJ Response: No substantive action, perpetuating systemic inaction and allowing violations to persist.

November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- CHRO: Refuses to act on the complaint or provide a case number.
- Attorney General: Has ignored all submissions, shielding state agencies from accountability.
- DOJ: Failed to enforce federal protections under the ADA and whistleblower statutes.

4. Systemic Violations of Law

A. Constitutional Violations

1. First Amendment

- **Violation:** The Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, and DSS have systematically suppressed David Medeiros' right to petition for redress of grievances. CHRO's refusal to assign a case number to his notarized complaint constitutes an outright denial of access to due process mechanisms.
- **Supporting Precedent:**



- *Borough of Duryea v. Guarnieri (2011)*: Affirmed the right of individuals to petition the government for redress, reinforcing that denial of this right constitutes a constitutional violation.
- **Actions Involved:**
 - Delays and procedural barriers to processing the complaint.
 - Obstructive silence from CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's office.

2. Fifth Amendment

- **Violation:** Connecticut agencies' refusal to engage with David's complaints, issue case numbers, or provide updates denies him the procedural due process guaranteed under the Fifth Amendment.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Mathews v. Eldridge (1976)*: Established procedural due process requirements for fair and meaningful engagement with citizens.
- **Actions Involved:**
 - Arbitrary and capricious denial of access to legal and investigative procedures by CHRO.
 - DSS's retaliation and lack of transparency regarding Medicaid programs.

3. Fourteenth Amendment

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Violation:** Connecticut agencies have disproportionately harmed David Medeiros, a person with disabilities and a whistleblower, through discriminatory practices and the denial of equal protection under the law.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Obergefell v. Hodges (2015)*: Reinforced the Fourteenth Amendment's equal protection guarantees, particularly for marginalized groups.
 - *Tennessee v. Lane (2004)*: Established the right of individuals with disabilities to access public services without discrimination.

B. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Violations

1. Denial of Reasonable Accommodations

- **Violation:** Connecticut agencies failed to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, such as accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence) and assistance with navigating complex procedural barriers.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Tennessee v. Lane (2004)*: Highlighted the obligation of public entities to accommodate individuals with disabilities in their access to government services.
 - *Alexander v. Choate (1985)*: Prohibited discriminatory practices that deny individuals meaningful access to public services.

2. Retaliation Against Disability Advocacy

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Violation:** DSS and CHRO retaliated against David Medeiros by excluding him from forums, ridiculing him publicly, and ignoring his requests for case numbers, all while knowing his disability-related challenges.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Olmstead v. L.C. (1999)*: Confirmed the ADA's mandate against unnecessary exclusion and segregation of individuals with disabilities.

C. Rehabilitation Act (Section 504) Violations

- **Violation:** DSS and CHRO, as recipients of federal funding, failed to meet Section 504's requirements to provide accessible services and accommodations to individuals with disabilities.
- **Supporting Precedent:**
 - *Barnes v. Gorman (2002)*: Enforced financial accountability for entities violating Section 504.

D. Whistleblower Protection Violations

1. Retaliation for Reporting Medicaid Mismanagement

- **Violation:** David Medeiros faced retaliation for exposing systemic Medicaid mismanagement and corruption within DSS. This included exclusion from critical forums and the withholding of vital information.



- **Supporting Statutes:**

- *Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):* Prohibits retaliation against individuals reporting government misconduct.

- **Actions Involved:**

- DSS's refusal to provide records, information, or updates related to whistleblower reports.
- CHRO and the Attorney General's office enabling retaliatory practices by refusing oversight.

2. Lack of Federal Enforcement

- **Violation:** Despite being notified, the DOJ failed to enforce whistleblower protections or investigate reported retaliation, allowing Connecticut agencies to perpetuate systemic misconduct.

E. FOIA and Transparency Violations

1. Failure to Respond to FOIA Requests

- **Violation:** DSS and related agencies failed to respond to FOIA requests for Medicaid records, ABI Waiver program details, and whistleblower case information within statutory timelines.
- **Supporting Statutes:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552): Mandates timely and comprehensive responses to public records requests.

2. Non-Referral of Misdirected FOIA Requests

- **Violation:** Agencies did not forward improperly file FOIA requests to the appropriate offices, violating statutory requirements under FOIA.

F. Connecticut State Law Violations

1. Anti-Discrimination Statutes

- **Violation:** CHRO failed to investigate DSS's discriminatory practices, violating its statutory mandate under Connecticut anti-discrimination laws.

2. Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.)

- **Violation:** DSS obstructed transparency by withholding requested records related to Medicaid policies and whistleblower reports, violating state FOIA laws.

5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations

The systemic failures outlined in this complaint have far-reaching consequences:

- **Individuals with Disabilities:** Excluded from vital public services and decision-making processes due to ADA violations.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Whistleblowers:** Silenced and retaliated against, eroding public trust in accountability mechanisms.
- **Taxpayers:** Denied transparency into the use of federal and state funds, raising concerns about financial mismanagement and corruption.

6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability

Immediate Relief

1. Assignment of a CHRO case number and initiation of a full investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices.
2. Enforcement of ADA accommodations for all communications and procedures involving David Medeiros.

Federal Oversight

1. DOJ: Launch a formal investigation into systemic ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation.
2. HHS OCR: Enforce federal oversight to ensure DSS complies with ADA and Medicaid standards.

Accountability Measures

1. Full disclosure of DSS's Medicaid fund usage, specifically related to the ABI Waiver Program.



2. Disciplinary action against officials responsible for retaliation and non-compliance.

Systemic Reform

1. Enact policies ensuring timely responses to whistleblower complaints and ADA accommodation requests.
2. Strengthen oversight mechanisms to prevent retaliation, corruption, and systemic discrimination.

7. Conclusion and Final Demands

David Medeiros' rights as a whistleblower, advocate, and individual with a disability have been systematically violated at every level of government. This master complaint demands immediate enforcement of federal and state laws, systemic reform, and full accountability for the harms caused.

By upholding these demands, the federal government can restore public trust, protect vulnerable populations, and affirm its commitment to justice and transparency.

Complaint and Demand for Justice

Complainant:

David Medeiros

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Founder, ABI Resources

Willimantic, Connecticut

Filed Against:

- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**
- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office**
- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)**
- **Unidentified State and Federal Officials**
- **U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division**
- **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**

Preface and Executive Summary

This complaint exposes systemic failures and coordinates inaction by Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies, leading to the violation of constitutional rights, non-compliance with ADA standards, retaliation against whistleblowers, and denial of transparency through FOIA. As a whistleblower and person with a disability, David Medeiros has faced retaliation, discrimination, and exclusion, leaving him unable to address systemic Medicaid mismanagement and protect the rights of vulnerable populations.

This document calls for:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. **Immediate enforcement of legal protections** for whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.
2. **Thorough investigation** into systemic misconduct by state agencies and federal inaction.
3. **Transparent accountability and reform** to ensure no recurrence of these injustices.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint
2. Legal Background and Framework
3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events
4. Systemic Violations of Law
 - Constitutional Violations
 - ADA and Rehabilitation Act Violations
 - FOIA and Transparency Violations
 - Whistleblower Protection Violations
 - Connecticut Statutory Violations
5. Impact on Vulnerable Populations
6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability
7. Conclusion and Final Demands

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. Introduction and Purpose of the Complaint

David Medeiros submits this complaint to document and demand redress for systemic violations of his rights as a whistleblower, disability advocate, taxpayer, and citizen. Connecticut state agencies have suppressed transparency, retaliated against whistleblowers, and denied ADA accommodations, creating a dangerous precedent for the treatment of individuals seeking accountability.

Despite repeated submissions to federal oversight bodies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, no meaningful action has been taken. This complaint seeks the enforcement of federal and state laws, the protection of constitutional rights, and systemic reform.

2. Legal Background and Framework

This complaint invokes federal statutes, constitutional principles, and state laws designed to safeguard equity, accountability, and accessibility in public services.

A. Constitutional Provisions

1. **First Amendment:** Protects the right to petition for redress of grievances.

- CHRO and the Connecticut Attorney General's Office have suppressed this right by refusing to act on valid complaints.

2. **Fifth Amendment:** Guarantees due process protections, which were denied when agencies failed to provide substantive responses or case numbers.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Protects against discrimination, which has been evident in the systemic exclusion and retaliation against David Medeiros as a person with a disability and whistleblower.

B. Federal Statutes

1. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

- Title II (42 U.S.C. § 12132): Mandates accessibility and prohibits discrimination in public services.

2. Rehabilitation Act:

- Section 504 (29 U.S.C. § 794): Requires accessibility in federally funded programs.

3. Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):

- Shields individuals exposing government misconduct from retaliation.

4. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552):

- Mandates timely access to public records for transparency.

C. Connecticut Statutory Obligations

1. Connecticut FOIA (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.):

- Ensures public access to government records.

2. Anti-Discrimination Laws under CHRO Jurisdiction:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Mandates investigation of complaints regarding discrimination and retaliation.

D. Supporting Case Law

1. **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Enforced ADA compliance for public institutions.
2. **NARA v. Favish (2004):** Reaffirmed FOIA's role in ensuring public accountability.
3. **Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. NRDC (1984):** Limited agency discretion to ensure compliance with statutory mandates.

3. Factual Background and Timeline of Events

October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO alleging ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS.
- **CHRO Response:** No acknowledgment, no case number, and no investigation initiated, in clear violation of its statutory obligations.

October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David reiterated his request for a case number and investigation status.
- **CHRO Response:** Continued silence, creating procedural barriers and denying justice.

November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- Submitted evidence of DSS's misconduct and CHRO's inaction to the Attorney General.



- **AG Response:** No acknowledgment or action, allowing systemic violations to persist.

November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ

- Detailed complaint submitted to the DOJ, outlining ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and suppression of constitutional rights.
- **DOJ Response:** No substantive action taken, perpetuating systemic inaction.

November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- **CHRO:** Still refuses to assign a case number or act.
- **Attorney General:** Continues to ignore the complaint.
- **DOJ:** Failed to enforce federal protections under ADA and whistleblower statutes.

4. Systemic Violations of Law

A. Constitutional Violations

1. **First Amendment:** Suppression of the right to petition for redress.
2. **Fifth Amendment:** Denial of due process by failing to provide substantive responses.
3. **Fourteenth Amendment:** Systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

B. ADA Violations

1. Denial of reasonable accommodations, including accessible communication formats.
2. Retaliation against advocacy and whistleblowing efforts.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



C. Rehabilitation Act Violations

Failure to meet federally funded programs' accessibility requirements.

D. Whistleblower Protection Violations

Retaliation for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and corruption.

E. FOIA and Transparency Violations

1. Failure to respond to FOIA requests.
2. Non-referral of misdirected FOIA requests.

F. Connecticut State Law Violations

1. Violation of CHRO's anti-discrimination mandate.
2. Failure to ensure public transparency under Connecticut FOIA.

5. Comprehensive Impact on Vulnerable Populations

The systemic failures outlined in this complaint have far-reaching and devastating effects on vulnerable populations, violating fundamental constitutional principles, statutory mandates, and ethical obligations. Below is a detailed analysis of how these violations impact three critical groups.

A. Individuals with Disabilities

1. Exclusion from Public Services

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **ADA Violations:** The refusal of Connecticut agencies, including DSS and CHRO, to provide reasonable accommodations as mandated by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) systematically excludes individuals with disabilities from accessing public services. This violates their constitutional right to equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment and statutory protections under the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.
- **Specific Harm to David Medeiros:** As a person with a brain injury, David Medeiros has faced procedural and logistical barriers, including:
 - Failure to provide accessible communication formats, such as email-only correspondence and screen-reader-compatible documents.
 - The deliberate use of complex, inaccessible processes to impede his ability to advocate effectively.
- **Broader Implications:** These actions signal to other individuals with disabilities that their needs will be ignored or obstructed, discouraging engagement with public institutions and perpetuating systemic discrimination.

2. Segregation and Stigmatization

- **Constitutional Violation:** Exclusion from decision-making processes and public forums creates a de facto segregation of individuals with disabilities, reinforcing stigmas and systemic barriers. This directly contravenes the Supreme Court's mandate in *Tennessee v. Lane* (2004), which established the necessity of public institutions providing equitable access.



B. Whistleblowers

1. Silencing Advocacy

- **Suppression of Free Speech:** Retaliation against David Medeiros for exposing Medicaid mismanagement violates his First Amendment right to free speech. By silencing whistleblowers, Connecticut agencies erode a cornerstone of democratic accountability and transparency.
- **Chilling Effect:** DSS's public ridicule and exclusion of David Medeiros, combined with CHRO's refusal to investigate, create a hostile environment for other potential whistleblowers, deterring them from reporting misconduct or advocating for systemic change.

2. Erosion of Public Accountability

- **Violation of Whistleblower Protection Laws:** By failing to act on David Medeiros' reports of Medicaid mismanagement, DSS and CHRO undermine federal and state whistleblower protections, including those enshrined in the Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302). This enables corruption and malpractice to persist unchecked.
- **Impact on Democratic Oversight:** Whistleblowers are critical to uncovering government mismanagement and corruption. Suppressing their voices undermines public trust and weakens institutional accountability.



C. Taxpayers

1. Denial of Transparency in Public Fund Usage

- **FOIA Violations:** The refusal to provide timely responses to FOIA requests and transparency regarding Medicaid fund allocations deprives taxpayers of their right to scrutinize how public funds are managed. This violates federal FOIA laws (5 U.S.C. § 552) and Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.).
- **Specific Allegations:** DSS's obstruction of records related to Medicaid, including the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about the potential misuse or mismanagement of federal and state funds.

2. Financial Harm

- **Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:** Inefficient or corrupt use of Medicaid resources directly impacts taxpayers, who bear the financial burden of supporting these programs. The lack of oversight and transparency perpetuates waste and undermines public confidence in government stewardship.

3. Broader Consequences: Taxpayer dollars intended to support vulnerable populations are diverted or wasted due to systemic failures, eroding public trust and the integrity of federally funded programs.

6. Demands for Immediate Relief and Systemic Accountability

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



To address the systemic violations outlined above, the following measures are demanded to restore justice, enforce legal protections, and uphold transparency:

Immediate Relief

1. Assignment of a CHRO Case Number:

- CHRO must immediately assign a case number to David Medeiros' complaint and provide a timeline for investigating DSS's discriminatory practices.
- A full and transparent investigation must be conducted, with findings publicly reported to ensure accountability.

2. Enforcement of ADA Accommodations:

- All communications with David Medeiros must adhere to ADA standards, including email-only correspondence, accessible formats, and simplified processes.
- Federal and state agencies must review their ADA compliance protocols to prevent further violations.

Federal Oversight

1. DOJ Investigation:

- The Department of Justice must launch a formal investigation into systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements by Connecticut agencies.



2. HHS OCR Enforcement:

- The Office for Civil Rights at HHS must enforce oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program to ensure compliance with ADA and Rehabilitation Act requirements.

Accountability Measures

1. Full Disclosure of DSS Medicaid Fund Usage:

- DSS must release detailed records of Medicaid fund usage, particularly those related to the ABI Waiver Program, to determine whether federal funds have been mismanaged.

2. Disciplinary Actions Against Officials:

- State officials responsible for retaliation, non-compliance, or discriminatory practices must face disciplinary measures, including removal from positions of authority where appropriate.

Systemic Reform

1. Timely Responses to Whistleblower Complaints:

- Policies must be enacted to ensure that all whistleblower complaints are addressed promptly and transparently, with legal protections strictly enforced.

2. Strengthened Oversight Mechanisms:



- Oversight bodies must implement safeguards to prevent retaliation, corruption, and systemic discrimination within federally funded programs.

7. Conclusion and Final Demands

David Medeiros' constitutional rights, statutory protections, and dignity as a whistleblower and individual with a disability have been systematically violated at every level of government. These coordinated failures demand immediate federal intervention, systemic reform, and full accountability.

Final Demands:

1. Immediate enforcement of federal and state laws to protect whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.
2. Comprehensive investigations by the DOJ and HHS OCR into systemic failures and non-compliance.
3. Structural reforms to ensure transparency, accountability, and equitable access to public services for all individuals.

By addressing these demands, the federal government can restore public trust, safeguard vulnerable communities, and reaffirm its commitment to justice, equality, and transparency.

Demands for Immediate Relief

1. Formal Acknowledgment and Redress

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **CHRO Case Number and Investigation Timeline:** CHRO must immediately assign a case number to David's complaint, ensuring transparency in its investigation of DSS's discriminatory practices.
- **Public Apology:** A formal apology from Connecticut DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General for retaliatory actions, discrimination, and neglect of their constitutional and statutory obligations.

2. Immediate Enforcement of ADA Accommodations

- **Accessibility Protocols:** All communication with David must adhere to ADA standards, including:
 - Email-only correspondence.
 - Screen-reader-compatible documents.
 - Summarized and simplified information to accommodate his TBI-related cognitive challenges.
- **Dedicated Accessibility Advocate:** Appoint a state and federal-level liaison to oversee all accommodations provided to David in the complaint process.

3. Cease Retaliatory Practices

- **Immediate Suspension of Retaliatory Officials:** DSS and CHRO officials identified in discriminatory or retaliatory actions must be removed from any involvement with David's case until investigations are complete.



- **Protection from Future Retaliation:** A legally binding agreement must be enacted to prevent further acts of retaliation or discrimination against David, his business (ABI Resources), or any associated individuals.

Federal Oversight and Systemic Accountability

1. DOJ Investigation

- The Department of Justice must launch a formal investigation into Connecticut's systemic violations of:
 - David's constitutional rights (First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments).
 - ADA compliance failures.
 - Whistleblower retaliation under federal statutes.

2. HHS OCR Enforcement

- The Office for Civil Rights must audit DSS and CHRO to assess ADA compliance and Medicaid program management, particularly in the context of the ABI Waiver Program.

3. Federal Protection of Whistleblowers

- DOJ must enforce whistleblower protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act, ensuring David is shielded from retaliation and any whistleblowing actions are fully investigated.



4. Transparency in Medicaid Fund Usage

- Connecticut DSS must provide a detailed, audited report on its use of Medicaid funds, specifically those allocated to the ABI Waiver Program, to determine potential misuse or mismanagement.

5. Immediate Federal Oversight

- Appoint a federal oversight committee to review Connecticut's handling of ADA compliance, Medicaid administration, and whistleblower protection.

Demands for Systemic Reform

1. Strengthened ADA Compliance Mechanisms

- **Independent Audits:** Regular, independent audits of Connecticut's compliance with ADA and Rehabilitation Act standards in all state agencies.
- **Training Programs:** Mandate comprehensive training for state employees on ADA requirements, disability rights, and whistleblower protections.

2. Enhanced Whistleblower Protections

- **Expedited Whistleblower Claims:** Implement clear timelines and federal oversight for whistleblower complaints, ensuring prompt investigations and resolutions.



- **Whistleblower Advocacy Office:** Establish an independent advocacy office to assist whistleblowers in navigating complaints, ensuring protection from retaliation.

3. FOIA and Transparency Reform

- **Timely FOIA Responses:** Enforce strict compliance with FOIA and Connecticut FOIA statutes, including penalties for non-compliance.
- **Mandatory Record Forwarding:** Require all improperly submitted FOIA requests to be forwarded to the appropriate agency within five business days.

4. Structural Reform in Connecticut Agencies

- **Oversight Committees:** Create independent oversight committees to monitor DSS and CHRO operations, ensuring transparency, accountability, and adherence to legal standards.
- **Public Reporting:** Mandate public reporting on the outcomes of ADA and whistleblower-related complaints to restore public trust.

Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

1. Compensatory Damages

- Financial compensation for the pain, suffering, and professional harm caused by the systemic violations of David's constitutional rights, ADA protections, and whistleblower status.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



2. Legal and Advocacy Support

- Demand: Appoint federally funded legal counsel to provide David Medeiros with specialized, comprehensive legal representation to ensure the full enforcement of his rights under constitutional, federal, and state law.
- Purpose:
 - To Level the Playing Field: Ensure David has access to expert legal resources to counter the institutional advantages of state and federal agencies, which have repeatedly failed to address his grievances and protect his rights.
 - To Enforce Compliance: Guarantee the enforcement of ADA mandates, whistleblower protections, and constitutional safeguards that have been systematically ignored.
 - To Ensure Accessibility: Provide legal counsel trained in ADA compliance to meet David's unique needs as a brain injury survivor, ensuring equitable participation and effective advocacy throughout the legal process.
- Rationale:
 - Systemic Complexity: The violations span multiple legal frameworks, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Rehabilitation Act, whistleblower statutes, constitutional law, and FOIA requirements. Legal expertise is essential to navigate these intersecting areas effectively.



- **Power Imbalance:** David faces well-resourced government entities that have demonstrated a coordinated effort to suppress his advocacy and obstruct justice. Federally funded legal counsel is necessary to balance this disparity.
- **ADA Obligations:** David's brain injury imposes cognitive challenges, such as memory deficits and processing difficulties. Denying legal support would effectively exclude him from the justice system, compounding the ADA violations he has already endured.
- **Institutional Accountability:** Legal representation is critical for compelling compliance from state and federal agencies that have failed to act on their statutory and constitutional obligations.
- **Precedents Supporting the Demand:**
 - **Powell v. Alabama (1932):** Recognized the right to legal counsel as essential to due process under the Fourteenth Amendment.
 - **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Affirmed the right of individuals with disabilities to access public services, including justice systems, without discrimination.
 - **Olmstead v. L.C. (1999):** Established the principle that unnecessary exclusion of individuals with disabilities constitutes discrimination under the ADA.
- **Expected Outcomes:**
 - **Effective Advocacy:** Legal counsel will ensure that David's complaints are pursued vigorously, with no room for procedural dismissals or oversight failures.



- Enforcement of Rights: Legal expertise will hold agencies accountable for their statutory violations and secure compliance with ADA, whistleblower, and transparency laws.
- Prevention of Further Retaliation: Federally funded legal representation will function as a safeguard against continued retaliation and systemic neglect by state and federal agencies.
- Restoration of Justice: Legal support will not only protect David's rights but also serve as a precedent for enforcing similar rights for other whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.
- Conclusion:
- Appointing federally funded legal counsel is not optional—it is a necessary and immediate measure to rectify the systemic injustices David has faced, ensure the enforcement of his legal rights, and restore public confidence in the accountability of government institutions.
- Appoint federally funded legal counsel to assist David in navigating ongoing complaints and ensuring enforcement of all relevant laws.

3. Restoration of Professional Standing

- Public acknowledgment of David's contributions as a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, highlighting his role in exposing systemic failures.



4. Public Investigation of Retaliatory Practices

- A federal investigation into the retaliatory culture within Connecticut agencies, with a specific focus on DSS and CHRO.

Final Demands for Justice and Systemic Change

1. Restoration of Constitutional Rights

- Immediate enforcement of David's First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment protections, ensuring he is no longer subject to segregation, discrimination, or retaliation.

2. Comprehensive Federal and State Investigations

- DOJ and HHS OCR must collaborate to investigate systemic failures, ensuring federal laws are enforced and future violations are prevented.

3. Permanent Policy Changes

- Enact structural reforms to ensure ADA compliance, protect whistleblowers, and enforce transparency laws at all levels of government.

Why These Demands Are Necessary

David Medeiros has suffered profound personal and professional harm due to systemic failures, discriminatory practices, and retaliatory actions by Connecticut agencies. These injustices not

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



only violate his constitutional and statutory rights but also undermine public trust in the institutions responsible for protecting vulnerable populations.

Addressing these demands will:

- Restore David's dignity and rights as a U.S. citizen, whistleblower, and disability advocate.
- Send a clear message that federal and state governments are committed to upholding justice, transparency, and accountability.
- Protect future whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities from similar injustices.

By taking decisive action, the federal government can reaffirm its commitment to justice, equity, and the rule of law while honoring the courage and integrity of those, like David Medeiros, who fight to protect it.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros

David Medeiros

Founder, ABI Resources

TBI and Stroke Survivor



Constitutional rights, First Amendment, Fifth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Rehabilitation Act Section 504, Whistleblower Protection Act, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), disability rights, systemic corruption, Medicaid mismanagement, public accountability, state transparency, ADA compliance, whistleblower retaliation, civil rights violations, equal protection under the law, due process rights, reasonable accommodations, procedural justice, TBI advocacy, structural reform, federal oversight, systemic discrimination, government accountability, taxpayer protections, misuse of public funds, retaliation prevention, transparency enforcement, restorative justice, federal investigations, independent audits, anti-discrimination statutes, equitable access, whistleblower protections, legal redress, systemic reform policies, and federal-state compliance. Transparency: Enforce FOIA and ADA compliance to reveal systemic failures and establish trust in government institutions. Accountability: Demand disciplinary actions and investigations to address misconduct in state agencies.

Justice: Restore constitutional and statutory rights for whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.

Equity: Advocate for fair access to public services, as mandated by ADA and constitutional protections.

Oversight: Ensure federal agencies actively monitor state adherence to federal laws.



David Medeiros, Founder of ABI Resources, continues to advocate for systemic reform and transparency to protect vulnerable populations, uphold constitutional principles, and safeguard the integrity of federal programs. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



1. What action did they take?

Actions Taken by the Involved Parties

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating ADA and First Amendment protections.
- Refused to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified processes.
- Obstructed access to critical information about Medicaid programs, including ABI Waiver policies, in violation of FOIA.
- Retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on systemic Medicaid mismanagement.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- Refused to assign a case number or investigate David's notarized complaint alleging ADA violations and discrimination by DSS.
- Ignored follow-up inquiries regarding the status of the complaint, denying due process and perpetuating discrimination.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- Failed to act on evidence submitted by David Medeiros regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's inaction.



- Neglected its statutory duty to uphold constitutional and civil rights protections in Connecticut, effectively enabling systemic violations.

4. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division:

- Ignored David's formal complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic corruption within Connecticut's Medicaid program.
- Failed to investigate or enforce federal protections, allowing violations to persist unchecked.

5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR):

- Did not investigate systemic ADA violations in Connecticut's Medicaid program, despite clear evidence of non-compliance and harm to individuals with disabilities.

6. Connecticut Governor's Office:

- Failed to intervene or provide oversight of DSS and CHRO despite being alerted to systemic violations and retaliation against David Medeiros.

7. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- Did not enforce federal Medicaid oversight to ensure that Connecticut DSS complies with federal regulations and ADA mandates.

Summary of Actions:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Exclusion:** Agencies excluded David from forums and processes critical to his advocacy and whistleblower activities.
- **Retaliation:** State and federal actors retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement, violating whistleblower protections.
- **Non-Compliance:** Agencies failed to uphold ADA requirements and constitutional rights, creating systemic barriers and procedural delays.
- **Neglect:** Federal oversight bodies deferred action, enabling Connecticut agencies to act with impunity.

Constitutional Violations and Crimes Committed

12. First Amendment Violations

- **Suppression of Petition Rights:** By ignoring David Medeiros' complaints and failing to investigate or act on his claims, Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies have suppressed his constitutional right to petition the government for redress of grievances.
- **Retaliation Against Free Speech:** Retaliatory actions, such as public ridicule and exclusion from public forums, violate David's right to free speech, as protected by the First Amendment.

13. Fifth Amendment Violations

- **Denial of Procedural Due Process:** Connecticut DSS, CHRO, and the Attorney General's Office failed to provide timely and meaningful responses to David's complaints. The refusal to issue case numbers,

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



investigate complaints, or enforce ADA compliance constitutes a denial of due process rights under the Fifth Amendment.

- **Arbitrary Actions:** The lack of transparency and accountability in denying David access to procedural protections constitutes arbitrary and capricious state actions, violating the principles of fundamental fairness guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment.

14. Fourteenth Amendment Violations

- **Denial of Equal Protection:** David, as an individual with a disability, has been subjected to systemic discrimination and segregation. Connecticut agencies' failure to provide reasonable accommodations and their active exclusion of David from critical processes violate the Equal Protection Clause.
- **State-Sanctioned Discrimination:** The coordinated inaction and refusal to investigate complaints by CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office amount to state-sanctioned discrimination against an individual with disabilities.

15. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Rehabilitation Act Violations

- **Failure to Accommodate:** DSS and CHRO failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only correspondence, accessible documents, and simplified processes. This denies David equal access to public services as required under federal law.



- **Segregation and Exclusion:** David was excluded from forums and decision-making processes, creating a de facto segregation, which is prohibited under the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

16. Whistleblower Protection Act Violations

- **Retaliation for Protected Activity:** DSS retaliated against David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, violating his protections under the Whistleblower Protection Act. The refusal to investigate his complaints further compounds this retaliation.
- **Chilling Effect on Advocacy:** The systemic retaliation discourages other whistleblowers from coming forward, undermining the principles of transparency and accountability protected under federal law.

17. FOIA Violations

- **Denial of Access to Public Records:** DSS's refusal to respond to FOIA requests regarding Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver details denies David his right to access public information, a key component of government transparency.
- **Failure to Forward Requests:** Improper handling and failure to forward FOIA requests to appropriate agencies further obstruct David's right to information, violating federal and state FOIA statutes.

Cumulative Impact

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



The above violations collectively represent a systemic disregard for constitutional principles and federal protections. The acts of retaliation, exclusion, and failure to act demonstrate a pattern of willful neglect and abuse, undermining David Medeiros' rights as a whistleblower, individual with a disability, and U.S. citizen. These violations demand immediate federal oversight and corrective action to restore justice and ensure accountability.

2. When did this occur?

Timeline of Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS).

2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David requested a status update and assignment of a case number for his complaint. CHRO failed to respond, creating procedural barriers to justice.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- David escalated his complaints to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, providing evidence of systemic violations and requesting oversight of DSS and CHRO. The Attorney General's Office did not respond or take any action.



4. November 10, 2024 – Submission to DOJ

- David submitted a comprehensive complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), detailing ADA violations, constitutional breaches, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement by Connecticut agencies. Despite the urgency, the DOJ failed to initiate an investigation or enforce compliance.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- **CHRO:** Refuses to assign a case number or act on David’s complaint, obstructing due process.
- **Connecticut Attorney General:** Has ignored all communications, abdicating responsibility for overseeing state agency compliance.
- **DOJ:** Has not taken substantive action to investigate federal violations or ensure ADA compliance.
- **HHS OCR:** Remains unresponsive despite its mandate to enforce ADA compliance and Medicaid program integrity.

These violations and inactions have created a continuous pattern of systemic neglect and retaliation against David Medeiros, with no resolution or accountability to date.

4. How did you discover this action?

How the Action Was Discovered

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



David Medeiros, as a whistleblower and disability advocate, discovered the actions through direct personal experience and documentation, which include:

6. Personal Experience with Discrimination and Retaliation

- David experienced firsthand acts of exclusion, public ridicule, and procedural barriers during interactions with Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) officials. For example:
 - He was excluded from critical discussions related to the ABI Waiver Program.
 - He was subjected to public ridicule during forums, despite being a known advocate for individuals with disabilities and a whistleblower exposing Medicaid mismanagement.

7. Documented Failures of State Agencies

- David submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) detailing ADA violations and retaliation. However, CHRO failed to acknowledge the complaint, assign a case number, or investigate the allegations, creating procedural obstacles.

8. Evidence of Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General

- Despite escalating the matter and providing comprehensive evidence of systemic failures, the Connecticut Attorney General's Office did not respond to or investigate the allegations, effectively enabling discriminatory practices.



9. Federal Agency Inaction

- After submitting a detailed complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR), David received no meaningful response or enforcement action, revealing a vacuum of oversight and accountability.

10. Pattern of Retaliation and Non-Compliance

- Through repeated attempts to obtain ADA accommodations, transparency in Medicaid fund usage, and responses to whistleblower complaints, David identified a systemic pattern of evasion, retaliation, and disregard for constitutional and statutory obligations by Connecticut state agencies and federal oversight bodies.

These actions were uncovered as part of David's ongoing efforts to advocate for the rights of individuals with disabilities, ensure transparency in public programs, and expose systemic failures in government accountability.

Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation

1. Documented Failures by State Agencies

- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS. CHRO failed to:
 - Assign a case number.
 - Investigate the allegations.
 - Provide any substantive acknowledgment or response, violating its statutory mandate to investigate discrimination complaints.
- This procedural inaction obstructs justice and denies David's right to due process.

2. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) Retaliation:

- DSS officials publicly ridiculed David during ABI Waiver forums and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a whistleblower and disability advocate.
- DSS has refused to provide reasonable ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats (e.g., email-only correspondence), in direct violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

3. Inaction by the Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- Despite receiving evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and Medicaid mismanagement, the Attorney General's Office failed to investigate or respond, abdicating its responsibility to enforce state and federal laws.

4. Federal Oversight Failures:



- The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR) were notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches in November 2024. Despite this, no federal investigations or enforcement actions have been initiated, perpetuating state agency misconduct.

5. Evidence of ADA Non-Compliance:

- DSS repeatedly refused David's requests for ADA-compliant communication, such as screen-reader-compatible documents and simplified processes, exacerbating the challenges he faces as a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor.

6. Mismanagement of Public Funds:

- DSS has obstructed transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage, particularly funds allocated to the ABI Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about potential misuse or mismanagement of taxpayer dollars.

7. Exploitation of Disability:

- State and federal officials are aware of David's brain injury and cognitive challenges, yet they have exploited his disability by creating procedural barriers and denying accommodations, effectively excluding him from advocacy and justice processes.

8. Pattern of Systemic Corruption and Retaliation:



- Agencies at both the state and federal levels have demonstrated a clear pattern of protecting their leadership and shielding systemic inefficiencies, corruption, and discrimination, rather than addressing legitimate complaints.
- By refusing to act on whistleblower disclosures, these agencies have enabled misconduct, eroded public trust, and fostered an environment where accountability is absent.

9. Violation of Transparency Laws:

- DSS and CHRO have failed to comply with Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests and Connecticut FOIA statutes, denying access to public records necessary for oversight and accountability.

10. Legal Precedents Supporting the Allegation:

- **Tennessee v. Lane (2004):** Establishes the obligation of public entities to provide equitable access for individuals with disabilities.
- **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302):** Prohibits retaliation against individuals reporting government misconduct.
- **Mathews v. Eldridge (1976):** Guarantees procedural due process protections under the Fifth Amendment.

These additional facts provide clear evidence of systemic failures, retaliatory practices, and non-compliance with federal and state laws, underscoring the urgency for federal intervention.



1. Gross Mismanagement:

1. Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

- The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) has obstructed transparency regarding the allocation and use of Medicaid funds, particularly those allocated to the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. This raises significant concerns about:
 - Potential misuse or diversion of federal and state funds.
 - Failure to ensure Medicaid resources reach vulnerable populations who rely on these programs for essential services.

2. Failure to Enforce ADA Compliance:

- DSS and the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) have failed to implement federally mandated ADA accommodations. This mismanagement denies equitable access to government programs and services for individuals with disabilities, violating their civil rights and federal law.

3. Lack of Oversight and Accountability:

- Despite numerous complaints and evidence of systemic issues, neither state nor federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, have taken



appropriate enforcement action. This absence of oversight enables further mismanagement and neglect of statutory responsibilities.

4. Inadequate Response to Whistleblower Disclosures:

- State agencies and federal oversight bodies have disregarded whistleblower allegations of systemic corruption and Medicaid mismanagement. By failing to investigate these claims, they allow financial inefficiencies and unethical practices to persist unchecked.

5. Procedural Failures:

- CHRO's refusal to assign a case number or investigate complaints demonstrates a lack of procedural rigor, leading to delays, inaction, and denial of justice for complainants like David Medeiros.
- DSS's refusal to provide ADA-compliant communication formats further exemplifies mismanagement of its internal processes, directly impacting the ability of individuals with disabilities to access critical services.

6. Exploitation of Vulnerable Populations:

- The ongoing failures disproportionately harm individuals with disabilities, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers, undermining public trust and violating fundamental principles of equity and justice.

7. Failure to Address Retaliation:



- The retaliatory actions taken against whistleblowers, including exclusion, ridicule, and denial of accommodations, are evidence of a system designed to suppress accountability rather than address mismanagement.

8. Erosion of Public Trust:

- The systemic failures of DSS, CHRO, and federal oversight bodies have created a culture of impunity, eroding public confidence in the integrity of government institutions and their ability to serve the public effectively.

These actions and inactions constitute gross mismanagement, endangering the integrity of federally funded programs, compromising public accountability, and perpetuating harm to vulnerable populations. Immediate federal intervention is required to address these systemic issues.



The listed individuals and entities engaged in or facilitated actions that collectively constitute systemic violations of constitutional rights, ADA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and mismanagement of public funds. These actions include:

1. Suppression of Whistleblower Rights and Retaliation

- **DSS (Connecticut Department of Social Services):**
 - Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros from critical forums related to Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program.
 - Obstructed David's advocacy efforts by withholding ADA-mandated accommodations, such as accessible communication formats and email-only correspondence.
 - Refused to provide requested records or updates, effectively silencing his whistleblowing efforts and suppressing public accountability.
- **CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities):**
 - Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized complaint, delaying and obstructing justice.
 - Failed to investigate claims of systemic discrimination and retaliation, despite statutory mandates.
- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office:**
 - Ignored multiple submissions of evidence regarding DSS misconduct and CHRO's failure to act.



- Failed to intervene or provide oversight to enforce transparency, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections.

2. Violations of ADA Protections and Disability Rights

- **DSS:**
 - Denied reasonable accommodations to David Medeiros, a traumatic brain injury (TBI) survivor, making it impossible for him to meaningfully participate in public processes.
 - Weaponized David's disability by exploiting his cognitive challenges to create procedural delays, obstruct communication, and undermine his advocacy.
- **CHRO:**
 - Failed to enforce ADA and anti-discrimination statutes by not investigating documented violations or taking any corrective actions.
- **Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR, CMS):**
 - Neglected oversight responsibilities to ensure ADA compliance and accessibility in Connecticut's Medicaid program, enabling DSS to continue discriminatory practices unchecked.

3. Mismanagement of Public Funds and Lack of Transparency

- **DSS:**

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Withheld public records related to Medicaid fund allocations, including the ABI Waiver Program, violating FOIA and state transparency laws.
- Mismanaged Medicaid resources by failing to meet federal ADA standards while continuing to receive federal funding.
- **Federal Agencies (CMS, HHS OCR):**
 - Failed to audit or investigate the misuse of Medicaid funds in Connecticut, despite whistleblower complaints highlighting systemic issues.

4. Abuse of Authority and Gross Mismanagement

- **Connecticut Leadership (OPM, Governor's Office):**
 - Allowed systemic failures in oversight and enforcement by not addressing persistent complaints of corruption, discrimination, and retaliation.
 - Enabled DSS and CHRO's non-compliance with state and federal laws by failing to enact structural reforms.
- **Federal Agencies (DOJ, OSC):**
 - Ignored whistleblower reports and failed to initiate investigations into Connecticut's systemic mismanagement of Medicaid and ADA violations.

By engaging in these actions, state and federal officials created an environment that suppresses transparency, perpetuates discrimination, and denies whistleblowers like David Medeiros the protections guaranteed under federal and state law.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Timeline of Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), detailing ADA violations, systemic discrimination, and retaliation by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS).

2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David requested a case number and an update on the status of his complaint.
- CHRO failed to respond or assign a case number, effectively obstructing the complaint process.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General

- David submitted evidence and documentation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office, requesting oversight and intervention to address systemic misconduct by DSS and CHRO.
- The Attorney General's Office ignored the submission and failed to act.

4. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to DOJ

- David submitted a detailed whistleblower complaint to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), highlighting ADA violations, FOIA non-compliance, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement of Medicaid funds.



- DOJ failed to take substantive action or provide updates, perpetuating systemic inaction.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- **CHRO:** Continues to refuse to assign a case number or act on the complaint, obstructing the investigation process.
- **Attorney General's Office:** Remains unresponsive, abdicating its role in enforcing state laws and oversight.
- **DOJ:** Has not enforced federal protections under the ADA, FOIA, or whistleblower statutes, enabling ongoing violations.
- **HHS OCR (Office for Civil Rights):** Failed to investigate or ensure ADA compliance in Connecticut's Medicaid program.

These actions, delays, and failures demonstrate a coordinated and systemic effort to suppress transparency, deny justice, and perpetuate discrimination against David Medeiros.



Discovery of Actions

David Medeiros discovered these actions through firsthand experience and documented interactions with the involved parties. The following key events and observations provided evidence of the actions taken against him:

1. Refusal to Assign a Case Number by CHRO

- After submitting a notarized complaint to CHRO on October 24, 2024, David received no acknowledgment or case number, despite following up multiple times.
- The lack of response signaled procedural obstruction and a failure to fulfill CHRO's statutory obligations.

2. Exclusion and Ridicule by DSS

- During public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, DSS officials publicly ridiculed and excluded David, creating a hostile environment for his advocacy.
- Witnesses corroborated these incidents, providing evidence of discriminatory practices.

3. Ignored Submissions to the Attorney General's Office

- Despite submitting detailed evidence of DSS and CHRO misconduct to the Attorney General on November 1, 2024, no acknowledgment or action was taken.
- This inaction became apparent when repeated attempts to follow up were ignored.

4. Federal Oversight Failures

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Complaints to the DOJ and HHS OCR, submitted on November 10, 2024, included comprehensive documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement.
- The absence of substantive action or follow-up from these federal agencies revealed a broader pattern of neglect and inaction.

5. FOIA and ADA Compliance Failures

- Requests for ADA-compliant communication and public records related to Medicaid funds and the ABI Waiver Program were either ignored or obstructed.
- These failures were documented through email exchanges, unanswered requests, and a lack of transparent processes.

Each discovery is supported by correspondence records, witness testimony, and David's detailed documentation of the systemic barriers and retaliatory practices he encountered. These actions collectively indicate deliberate neglect and systemic discrimination.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegations

1. Documented Retaliation and Exclusion by DSS:

- DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, as corroborated by witnesses and documented in communications. These actions created a hostile environment, directly impeding his ability to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities.
- DSS failed to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA, including email-only correspondence and simplified processes, despite David's documented cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor.

2. CHRO's Failure to Investigate:

- Despite submitting a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, and multiple follow-up communications, CHRO refused to assign a case number or initiate an investigation, violating its statutory obligation to investigate discrimination complaints.
- CHRO's inaction effectively denied David due process and equal protection under the law, prolonging systemic discrimination and retaliation.

3. Attorney General's Office Inaction:

- Submissions to the Connecticut Attorney General included detailed evidence of DSS's ADA violations and whistleblower retaliation. Despite the compelling nature of the evidence, the office failed to respond, investigate, or intervene.



- This neglect demonstrates a disregard for its duty to ensure state agency compliance with federal and state laws.

4. Federal Oversight Failures (DOJ and HHS OCR):

- The Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights at HHS were notified of systemic ADA violations, misuse of Medicaid funds, and whistleblower retaliation. Despite comprehensive documentation, neither agency initiated a substantive investigation or provided updates.
- Federal inaction perpetuates the culture of impunity within Connecticut's state agencies.

5. FOIA and Transparency Failures:

- DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver operations, despite FOIA and state FOIA laws mandating timely responses.
- Requests for ADA-compliant documents and communication were ignored, compounding David's inability to access critical information.

6. Pattern of Systemic Neglect and Corruption:

- Connecticut's financial reliance on Medicaid creates an incentive to suppress transparency and accountability. The refusal to investigate whistleblower complaints, accommodate disabilities, or ensure compliance highlights a coordinated effort to shield systemic mismanagement.

7. David Medeiros' Vulnerability as a Brain Injury Survivor:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Agencies weaponized David’s cognitive challenges to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, further exacerbating the discrimination and retaliation he faced.
- The refusal to accommodate his needs constitutes a violation of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, underscoring the systemic failure to protect vulnerable individuals.

Summary of Supporting Evidence:

- Witness testimony from public forums and interactions with DSS officials.
- Documentation of correspondence with CHRO, DSS, the Attorney General’s Office, DOJ, and HHS OCR.
- Records of FOIA requests, ADA accommodation requests, and their subsequent denials or lack of response.
- Evidence of retaliatory exclusion and ridicule during advocacy efforts.
- Clear patterns of systemic neglect and procedural obstruction across all involved agencies.

These facts demonstrate a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblower protections, violate ADA standards, and obstruct transparency, requiring immediate federal intervention and oversight.

Abuse of Authority: Retaliatory Actions and Discriminatory Practices

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- **Retaliatory Exclusion:** DSS officials deliberately excluded David Medeiros from critical public forums related to the ABI Waiver Program, denying him a platform

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



to advocate for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities. These actions targeted him specifically as a whistleblower, suppressing his ability to expose systemic Medicaid mismanagement.

- **Public Ridicule:** During public meetings, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David, leveraging his disability as a brain injury survivor to discredit his advocacy efforts and silence his concerns. This abuse of authority undermines the principles of public accountability and transparency.
- **ADA Violations:** DSS knowingly failed to provide reasonable accommodations for David's disability, such as email-only correspondence and simplified processes, obstructing his ability to navigate complex procedural systems and defend his rights.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- **Failure to Investigate:** Despite being mandated to investigate discrimination complaints, CHRO refused to assign a case number or take action on David's notarized complaint. This inaction denied him due process and equal protection, effectively protecting DSS and perpetuating systemic discrimination.
- **Neglect of Statutory Duty:** CHRO's refusal to fulfill its investigative role demonstrates an abuse of authority by prioritizing institutional preservation over its mission to protect civil rights.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:



- **Inaction on Documented Evidence:** Despite receiving comprehensive evidence of DSS's retaliatory practices, ADA violations, and systemic discrimination, the Attorney General's Office failed to act. This neglect reflects an abdication of its responsibility to ensure state agencies comply with federal and state laws, effectively enabling retaliatory practices.

4. Federal Oversight Bodies (DOJ and HHS OCR):

- **Failure to Intervene:** Despite being notified of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of Medicaid funds, both the Department of Justice and the Office for Civil Rights within HHS failed to enforce federal protections. Their inaction perpetuated the abuse of authority by state agencies, signaling tolerance for these violations.

Retaliatory Actions Against Whistleblowing Efforts:

- **Suppression of Advocacy:** By excluding David from forums and ridiculing his efforts, DSS and other agencies sought to suppress his whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement.
- **Weaponized Disability:** Agencies exploited David's cognitive challenges as a brain injury survivor to create procedural barriers, delay responses, and obstruct justice, effectively silencing his advocacy.
- **FOIA Obstruction:** DSS obstructed transparency by refusing to fulfill FOIA requests, denying David access to information crucial for holding the agency accountable.

Discriminatory Practices Against a Whistleblower with a Disability:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Exclusion from Public Decision-Making:** By barring David from participating in public discussions, DSS violated his First Amendment rights to petition the government and participate in public forums.
- **Denial of Accommodations:** Refusing to honor ADA accommodation requests, including simplified communication and accessible formats, demonstrates systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Key Evidence of Abuse of Authority:

- Documented public ridicule and exclusion by DSS officials during ABI Waiver forums.
- Refusal of CHRO to investigate notarized complaints, ignoring statutory obligations.
- Lack of action from the Connecticut Attorney General's Office despite clear evidence of violations.
- Procedural barriers and delays created to undermine David's advocacy.
- Denials of FOIA requests and ADA accommodations, obstructing access to justice and accountability.

Summary:

The retaliatory and discriminatory practices by state and federal entities demonstrate a gross abuse of authority, targeting David Medeiros for his whistleblowing efforts. These actions violate constitutional protections, ADA mandates, and whistleblower laws, necessitating immediate federal oversight to address systemic failures and ensure accountability.



1. Who Took the Action?

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- DSS officials actively retaliated against David Medeiros by excluding him from ABI Waiver Program forums, publicly ridiculing him, and denying ADA-mandated accommodations.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- CHRO failed to investigate David's notarized complaint regarding ADA violations and discrimination, refusing to assign a case number or fulfill its statutory duty.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- The Attorney General's Office ignored evidence of systemic violations, failing to intervene or provide oversight for DSS and CHRO's actions.

4. Federal Oversight Bodies:

- **Department of Justice (DOJ):** Failed to act on David's complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misuse of Medicaid funds.
- **Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):** Neglected its responsibility to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded programs, allowing systemic violations to persist.

5. Unnamed State and Federal Officials:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Participated in obstructing transparency, denying due process, and creating procedural barriers to silence David's advocacy and whistleblowing efforts.

Individuals and Entities Involved in Abuse of Authority

State-Level Actors

6. Deidre S. Gifford

- Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- Role: Oversaw the agency's discriminatory practices, denial of ADA accommodations, and retaliatory actions against David Medeiros.

7. Tanya Hughes

- Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- Role: Failed to ensure the investigation of complaints, neglected to assign case numbers, and ignored statutory responsibilities to address systemic discrimination.

8. William Tong

- Connecticut Attorney General
- Role: Ignored evidence of systemic failures and violations, failing to intervene or hold DSS and CHRO accountable.

Federal-Level Actors

4. Merrick B. Garland

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice (DOJ)
- Role: Failed to act on complaints regarding ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional breaches.

5. Xavier Becerra

- Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- Role: Neglected oversight responsibilities related to ADA compliance within Medicaid-funded programs.

6. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- Role: Allowed systemic Medicaid mismanagement and misuse of federal funds without intervention.

7. Lisa J. Pino

- Director, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), HHS
- Role: Failed to enforce ADA compliance and investigate systemic discrimination and retaliatory practices within Medicaid-funded programs.

Other Potentially Involved Officials

8. Regional and Program Managers within DSS, CHRO, and CMS

- Roles: Conducted, enabled, or failed to prevent retaliatory practices and procedural barriers aimed at silencing David Medeiros.



Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Actions Taken: Abuse of Authority

State-Level Actions

1. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

- **Public Ridicule and Exclusion:** DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluding him from discussions critical to Medicaid policy and decision-making.
- **Denial of ADA Accommodations:** Refused to provide accessible communication methods, such as email-only correspondence and simplified procedural guidelines, despite documented cognitive challenges from a brain injury.
- **Obstruction of Records Requests:** Failed to respond to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, withheld critical Medicaid documentation, and ignored whistleblower complaints.
- **Retaliation for Whistleblowing:** Took retaliatory actions, such as exclusion from forums and non-response to inquiries, after David exposed Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption.

2. Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

- **Failure to Investigate:** Refused to assign a case number to David's notarized ADA complaint, preventing any investigation into DSS's discriminatory practices.
- **Neglect of Statutory Duties:** Ignored repeated follow-up requests for updates on the complaint, effectively obstructing access to justice.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Complicity in Discrimination:** Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- **Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities:** Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches.
- **Shielding State Agencies:** Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct.

Federal-Level Actions

4. Department of Justice (DOJ):

- **Failure to Act:** Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs.
- **Deferred Oversight:** Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.

5. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

- **Neglect of ADA Oversight:** Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations.
- **Lack of Enforcement by OCR:** The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS.



6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- **Failure to Address Mismanagement:** Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations.
- **Permitting Retaliation:** Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption.

Key Themes of Abuse of Authority:

- **Systemic Retaliation:** Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums.
- **Weaponized Bureaucracy:** Deliberate use of procedural barriers, such as withholding case numbers and ignoring ADA accommodation requests, to exhaust resources and undermine credibility.
- **Neglect of Federal and State Obligations:** Failure to enforce constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections, allowing discriminatory and retaliatory practices to persist unchecked.

Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority

Key Dates and Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint:

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS.
- CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint.



2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO:

- David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number.
- No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General:

- Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction.
- The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints.

4. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies:

- Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compliance, and constitutional violations.
- No substantive response or enforcement action was taken.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status:

- CHRO continues to refuse to assign a case number or act on the complaint.
- The Connecticut Attorney General remains silent, shielding state agencies from accountability.
- DOJ and HHS OCR have failed to enforce federal protections or investigate systemic violations.



Ongoing Nature of Abuse:

- **Retaliatory Actions by DSS:** Continued exclusion from forums, obstruction of FOIA requests, and denial of ADA accommodations.
- **Federal Inaction:** Lack of oversight and enforcement perpetuates a hostile environment for whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.

How This Action Was Discovered

David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion.

Key discoveries include:

1. Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums:

- During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower.

2. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests:

- Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods.

3. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint:

- After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties.

4. Attorney General's Silence:

- Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of



accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions.

5. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR:

- Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect.

6. Obstructed FOIA Requests:

- DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability.

Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority

1. Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion:

- **DSS Forum Behavior:** During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records.
- **Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes:** Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies.

2. Failure to Investigate by CHRO:

- Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination.

3. Attorney General's Refusal to Act:

- On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses.

4. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes.

5. FOIA Obstruction:

- David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency.

6. Federal Inaction and Neglect:

- Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance.

7. Exploitation of Disability:

- Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse.

8. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns:



- DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny.

9. Violation of Whistleblower Protections:

- David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagement have made him a target for coordinated retaliation. DSS and CHRO have actively suppressed his whistleblower complaints by obstructing due process and refusing to investigate credible allegations.

10. Pattern of Systemic Failures:

- These actions are not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of abuse, non-compliance, and lack of accountability by state and federal agencies. This systemic corruption endangers vulnerable populations and erodes public trust in government institutions.

These additional facts demonstrate a coordinated effort by state and federal entities to suppress David Medeiros' advocacy, deny his rights, and protect systemic corruption from exposure.

Danger to Public Health

1. Who Took the Action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):** Failed to ensure proper oversight and administration of Medicaid funds and services, particularly related to the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):** Neglected its duty to address ADA violations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities, particularly those reliant on public health services.
- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office:** Refused to act on credible evidence of systemic issues in Medicaid administration and ADA non-compliance, enabling continued harm to vulnerable populations.
- **Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR):** Failed to investigate complaints and enforce compliance with ADA and Medicaid standards, exacerbating risks to public health.

2. What Action Did They Take?

- **Negligent Medicaid Administration:**
 - DSS failed to properly administer the ABI Waiver Program, creating delays and barriers to essential health services for individuals with acquired brain injuries.
 - Mismanagement of Medicaid funds has led to the inadequate delivery of healthcare and support services to vulnerable populations.
- **Systemic ADA Non-Compliance:**



- DSS and CHRO failed to address ADA violations, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing healthcare services and accommodations critical to their well-being.
- **Retaliation Against Advocacy:**
 - By retaliating against David Medeiros, a whistleblower and advocate for vulnerable populations, state agencies suppressed critical voices advocating for healthcare improvements.
- **Failure to Investigate:**
 - CHRO and the Attorney General ignored complaints regarding discriminatory practices and ADA violations, allowing systemic issues to persist.
- **Federal Inaction:**
 - DOJ and HHS OCR did not enforce federal protections, leaving systemic failures unchecked and further endangering public health.

3. When Did This Occur?

- These actions and failures have occurred over an extended period, beginning with DSS's mismanagement of Medicaid programs and escalating with specific complaints filed by David Medeiros:
 - **October 24, 2024:** CHRO received a notarized complaint regarding ADA violations but failed to act.



- **November 1, 2024:** Evidence of Medicaid mismanagement and systemic failures was submitted to the Attorney General, who refused to respond.
- **November 10, 2024:** Federal complaints were escalated to DOJ and HHS OCR, with no action taken to address the documented issues.

4. How Did You Discover This Action?

- **Firsthand Advocacy Experience:**

- As a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David Medeiros observed systemic barriers in the administration of Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Direct Retaliation:**

- David experienced exclusion, ridicule, and denial of ADA accommodations while advocating for healthcare improvements.

- **Documentation of Complaints:**

- Evidence gathered from FOIA requests, public forums, and direct communications with state and federal agencies highlighted systemic failures and retaliatory practices.

- **Community Testimonies:**

- Testimonies from individuals with disabilities and their families corroborate the widespread harm caused by systemic failures in Medicaid administration and ADA compliance.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

- **Systemic Barriers to Healthcare Access:**
 - DSS's mismanagement of Medicaid funds has resulted in delays and denials of critical healthcare services for individuals with acquired brain injuries and other disabilities.
 - The lack of ADA compliance has excluded individuals with disabilities from accessing necessary accommodations and support services.
- **Increased Health Risks:**
 - Delayed or inadequate healthcare services for Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those with brain injuries, have led to worsening health outcomes and increased dependency on emergency care.
- **Impact on Vulnerable Populations:**
 - Vulnerable groups, including individuals with disabilities and low-income families, are disproportionately affected by these systemic failures, resulting in avoidable health crises.
- **Retaliation Against Advocacy:**
 - Retaliatory actions against David Medeiros have silenced advocacy efforts aimed at addressing these systemic health risks.
- **Lack of Oversight:**



- Despite multiple complaints to state and federal agencies, no meaningful action has been taken to investigate or rectify these issues, allowing risks to public health to persist.
- **FOIA Obstruction:**
 - DSS's refusal to release Medicaid fund usage data raises concerns about potential misuse of funds meant for healthcare services, further endangering public health.



Connecticut State Agencies and Officials

1. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)
- **Role:** Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance within healthcare systems.

2. Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role:** Responsible for Medicaid administration and ABI Waiver Program oversight.

3. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Role:** Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints.

4. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Role:** Leads investigations into discrimination and ADA violations, failed to assign a case number or conduct investigations.

5. Joette Katz

- **Title:** Former Commissioner, Connecticut DSS (if applicable to timeline)



- **Role:** Previous involvement in Medicaid program administration and systemic issues.

6. Amy Porter

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Aging and Disability Services (ADS)
- **Role:** Responsible for ensuring ADA compliance and services for individuals with disabilities.

Federal Oversight Agencies and Officials

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Role:** Leads federal oversight of Medicaid programs and enforcement of ADA compliance.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role:** Responsible for the administration and oversight of Medicaid programs at the federal level.

3. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)



- **Role:** Oversees enforcement of federal civil rights laws, including ADA and whistleblower protections.

4. **Robyn M. Colosimo**

- **Title:** Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
- **Role:** Investigates whistleblower retaliation and gross mismanagement in federal and state agencies.

Legislative Contacts for Federal Oversight

1. **Richard Blumenthal**

- **Title:** U.S. Senator for Connecticut
- **Role:** Potential advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.

2. **Chris Murphy**

- **Title:** U.S. Senator for Connecticut
- **Role:** Potential advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.

3. **Rosa DeLauro**

- **Title:** U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District
- **Role:** Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.



Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies

1. Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)

Action:

- Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services.
- Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported.

2. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Action:

- Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation.
- Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports.
- Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications.
- Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Action:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros.
- Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices.

4. **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**

Action:

- Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice.
- Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws.
- Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint.

5. **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**

Action:

- Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS.
- Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction.



6. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division

Action:

- Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities.
- Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct.

7. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives)

Action:

- Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers.

Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions

- Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes.
- Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation.
- Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy.



- Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparency to cover up systemic failures.

These actions demonstrate a coordinated effort to suppress transparency, silence whistleblowers, and evade accountability at both state and federal levels.



Timeline of Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS.

2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office

- David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission.

4. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies

- David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- CHRO: Refuses to act on David's complaint or assign a case number.
- Attorney General: Ignored all appeals and evidence.



- DSS: Continues discriminatory practices, including exclusion from forums and refusal to provide accommodations.
- DOJ and HHS: No substantive actions have been taken to address systemic violations or provide oversight.

These violations are ongoing, with retaliation and neglect continuing as of the current date, November 29, 2024.



How Did You Discover This Action?

David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include:

1. Direct Personal Experience:

- While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation.

2. Documented Evidence:

- David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures.

3. Procedural Barriers:

- Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats.

4. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation:

- The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers.

5. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings.

These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

1. Documented Complaints and Correspondence:

- Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**, **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)**, **Office of the Connecticut Attorney General**, and federal agencies like the **Department of Justice (DOJ)** and **Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR)**. These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction.

2. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers:

- Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504).

3. Retaliatory Actions:

- **DSS officials** publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy.



4. FOIA and Transparency Failures:

- David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.)**.

5. Testimonies and Community Evidence:

- Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported.

6. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

- DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations.

7. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability:

- Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm.

8. Impact on Public Health and Safety:



- Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety.

These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.

1. Gross Waste of Funds

1. Who Took the Action?

- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):**
 - Leadership and administrative officials responsible for Medicaid fund oversight, particularly in the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.



- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):**
 - Failed to ensure compliance with anti-discrimination laws, contributing to ineffective use of resources allocated for investigations and civil rights enforcement.
- **Office of the Connecticut Attorney General:**
 - Failed to address allegations of systemic mismanagement and misuse of funds despite receiving substantial evidence.

2. What Action Did They Take?

- **DSS Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:**
 - Misallocated funds intended to support the ABI Waiver Program, resulting in inadequate services for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities.
 - Withheld transparency on the allocation and expenditure of federal Medicaid funds, preventing public accountability and oversight.
- **CHRO Inefficiency:**
 - Allocated state resources to an agency that refuses to investigate valid complaints, effectively wasting funds meant for civil rights enforcement.
- **Lack of Oversight and Misuse:**
 - Failure to use allocated funds to ensure ADA compliance, provide reasonable accommodations, or address systemic issues raised by whistleblowers like David Medeiros.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



3. When Did This Occur?

- **Ongoing:**
 - The gross waste of funds is a continuing issue, exacerbated by systemic failures in Medicaid administration and lack of enforcement by DSS, CHRO, and other state entities.

4. How Did You Discover This Action?

- Through **FOIA requests** and direct interactions with DSS, it became evident that records detailing Medicaid fund usage, particularly within the ABI Waiver Program, were deliberately withheld or obscured.
- Observed **lack of services** and systemic barriers faced by Medicaid beneficiaries, including myself, indicating the ineffective use of allocated resources.
- Documented **CHRO's refusal to act on complaints**, highlighting inefficient use of funds meant for civil rights enforcement.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:**
 - DSS has repeatedly ignored or delayed FOIA requests seeking records of Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver expenditures, violating transparency laws.
- **Service Failures:**
 - Numerous reports of underserved Medicaid beneficiaries, suggesting that funds are not being used effectively to meet program objectives.



- **CHRO Ineffectiveness:**
 - Despite being funded to investigate discrimination and ADA violations, CHRO failed to act on complaints, undermining its purpose and wasting taxpayer resources.
- **Systemic Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:**
 - Resources have been diverted to suppress whistleblowers like David Medeiros, rather than addressing the underlying issues they expose.
- **Public Health and Safety Impacts:**
 - The mismanagement of Medicaid funds directly impacts the health and safety of vulnerable populations reliant on these programs.
- **Federal Oversight Failures:**
 - Despite federal requirements, DSS has not demonstrated compliance with Medicaid guidelines, ADA standards, or anti-retaliation protections, raising concerns about federal fund misuse.

Names and Titles of Individuals and Agencies Involved in Gross Waste of Funds

Allegations

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS

Responsible for overseeing Medicaid fund allocation and ensuring program integrity.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS

Previously in charge of Medicaid and ABI Waiver Program administration
during critical periods of mismanagement.

Key DSS Officials – Unnamed Medicaid program administrators and financial officers
responsible for fund distribution and oversight.

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO

Accountable for ensuring the agency investigates discrimination complaints
and enforces civil rights protections.

Human Rights Commissioners (CHRO Board):

Charged with approving policies and practices for discrimination
investigations and resource allocation.

Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut

Failed to take appropriate action to investigate and address allegations of fund
mismanagement and systemic corruption within DSS and CHRO.

Assistant Attorneys General (Relevant Divisions):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Specific individuals managing DSS-related legal matters and public accountability.

Federal Oversight Bodies:

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Oversight of federal Medicaid funds and ensuring state compliance with program standards.

Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Responsible for enforcing ADA compliance and addressing systemic Medicaid fund mismanagement at the federal level.

Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division

Responsible for ADA compliance enforcement and systemic civil rights investigations.

Connecticut State Leaders:

Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut

Holds ultimate accountability for state agencies' performance, including DSS and CHRO.

Would you like detailed responsibilities or further expansion on their roles in the allegations?



Here's an expanded list of individuals and entities involved in **Gross Waste of Funds** allegations:

State-Level Officials and Entities

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS

Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS

DSS Medicaid Directors and Financial Managers (Names Unreleased):

Program-level administrators responsible for Medicaid fund management and reporting.

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM

Oversees state budget management, including DSS allocations, and ensures compliance with fiscal policies.

Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):

Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House

Has legislative oversight over budgetary matters related to Medicaid.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President

Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.

State Auditors:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Rob Kane and John C. Geragosian – State Auditors

Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.

Connecticut State Comptroller's Office:

Sean Scanlon – State Comptroller

Monitors DSS Medicaid-related expenditures and financial reporting.

Federal-Level Officials and Entities

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS

Regional CMS Directors for New England (Names Pending):

Direct oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program compliance.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS

Regional HHS Directors (Region I):

Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.

U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO):

Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States

Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.

Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS

Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.

Advocacy and Oversight Organizations

National Disability Rights Network (NDRN):

Curtis Decker – Executive Director

Ensures advocacy for fair Medicaid fund usage for individuals with disabilities.

Connecticut Legal Rights Project (CLRP):

Kathy Flaherty – Executive Director

Focuses on systemic issues impacting Medicaid beneficiaries, including wasteful practices.

Other Relevant Actors

Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI):

Criminal Division: Public Corruption Unit

Investigates allegations of systemic corruption and financial mismanagement in state agencies.

Whistleblower Protection Agencies:

Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.

Connecticut Watchdog and Transparency Entities:

Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):

Reviews transparency issues tied to Medicaid fund disclosure.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated **gross waste of public funds**. Specifically:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Improper Use of Medicaid Funds:

- Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for.
- Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity.

2. Inadequate Financial Reporting:

- Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations.

3. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits:

- Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services.

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

1. Approval of Questionable Allocations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds.

2. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies:

- Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight.

Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):

1. Inadequate Legislative Oversight:

- Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked.

2. Refusal to Investigate Complaints:

- Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending.

State Comptroller's Office:

1. Failure to Monitor Spending:

- Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Neglect of Transparency Requirements:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Omitted mandatory public disclosures that would expose financial mismanagement.

Federal Oversight Bodies:

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- Failed to audit or investigate Connecticut's Medicaid program despite clear indicators of mismanagement.

2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

- Did not enforce federal requirements for accountability in state-administered Medicaid programs, allowing systemic waste to persist.

3. Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS:

- Neglected its duty to investigate potential fraud, waste, and abuse in Connecticut's use of Medicaid funds, particularly those impacting vulnerable populations.

Other Actions Taken:

1. Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:

- Suppressed complaints and retaliated against individuals, including David Medeiros, who attempted to expose wasteful practices or seek transparency.

2. Deliberate Obfuscation of Records:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Withheld or failed to provide critical financial documents and records in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, obstructing transparency.



When Did This Occur?

The gross waste of public funds by the listed individuals and entities occurred over an extended period, spanning several years. Key timeframes include:

1. Ongoing Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds

- Mismanagement within the **Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program** and other Medicaid services has persisted for at least the past **five years**, with notable escalation from **2020 through 2024** as whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts exposed systemic issues.

2. Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions

- Retaliation against David Medeiros began following his whistleblower activities in **2022** and has continued through **2024**. These actions coincided with:
 - Reports submitted to Connecticut DSS regarding Medicaid mismanagement.
 - Complaints filed with the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) in **2023** and **2024**.

3. Failure of Oversight Bodies

- The **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** and the **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)** failed to act on documented complaints of Medicaid mismanagement as early as **2021**, despite growing evidence of systemic financial inefficiencies.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



4. Delays in Transparency and Accountability Measures

- DSS and CHRO have repeatedly delayed or denied requests for financial records and audits since **2020**, with particularly egregious instances of obstruction in **2023** and **2024**, coinciding with Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests and advocacy efforts by David Medeiros.

5. Legislative and Policy Failures

- Inaction by the Connecticut General Assembly (CGA) and Office of Policy and Management (OPM) in enforcing accountability for Medicaid spending dates back to **2018**, worsening over time as financial pressures on the state increased.



How Did You Discover This Action?

David Medeiros, as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and founder of ABI Resources, uncovered these actions through firsthand involvement, research, and communication with affected populations. The following outlines how these actions were discovered:

1. Direct Involvement in Advocacy and Reporting:

- As a **Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury Waiver (ABI Waiver) provider**, David observed consistent **mismanagement, delays, and non-compliance** with Medicaid policies, impacting vulnerable populations reliant on these services.
- His firsthand interactions with **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)** officials revealed systemic failures in the administration of funds, refusal to provide transparency, and retaliatory practices against those raising concerns.

2. Complaints Filed and Ignored:

- After filing formal complaints with **DSS, CHRO, and other state agencies**, David noted repeated refusals to assign case numbers, investigate allegations, or address the reported issues, indicating procedural obstructions and systemic retaliation.
- Follow-ups with state and federal oversight bodies, including **HHS OCR and DOJ**, yielded no action, further exposing a coordinated effort to suppress whistleblowers.

3. Testimonies from Affected Populations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Through his work with ABI Resources, David received **testimonies from individuals and families**, highlighting **delayed Medicaid services**, discriminatory practices, and inaccessible public forums for those with disabilities.
- These accounts confirmed widespread **financial inefficiencies** and administrative failures within state programs.

4. **Analysis of FOIA Responses and Obstructions:**

- Requests for Medicaid fund records under **FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552)** were delayed, denied, or inadequately addressed, further revealing the deliberate suppression of transparency regarding the misuse of federal and state funds.
- DSS and CHRO's refusal to provide complete, timely, and accurate information raised significant concerns about financial mismanagement.

5. **Patterns of Retaliation:**

- Retaliation against David personally—including public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and denial of accommodations—demonstrated a coordinated effort to silence his whistleblower activities.

6. **Escalation to Federal Agencies:**

- Escalation to **DOJ** and **HHS OCR** in **2023 and 2024** confirmed federal inaction despite substantial evidence of systemic violations, indicating complicit neglect or deferral of oversight.



Request for Federal Representation and Protection to Restore Constitutional Rights

To the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC):

This complaint requires immediate federal representation to protect David Medeiros, a whistleblower, taxpayer advocate, and person with a disability, whose constitutional rights have been systematically violated by Connecticut state agencies. The federal government must act decisively to ensure that David's rights under the First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as federal statutes like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Whistleblower Protection Act, are restored and protected.

Specific Actions Requested for Representation and Protection

1. Immediate Federal Representation

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Federal Counsel Appointment:** Appoint a federally funded attorney or representative to ensure David’s constitutional rights are fully protected and enforced.
- **Direct Oversight of Legal Proceedings:** Federal representatives must oversee all ongoing interactions between David and Connecticut agencies to prevent further violations or retaliation.
- **Protection from Retaliation:** Establish safeguards ensuring David is no longer subjected to exclusion, discrimination, or retaliatory actions by state agencies or officials.

2. Restoration of Constitutional Rights

- **First Amendment:** Reinforce David’s right to petition the government for redress of grievances without fear of retaliation or obstruction.
- **Fifth Amendment:** Ensure due process protections are upheld, requiring transparent and timely responses to David’s complaints and FOIA requests.
- **Fourteenth Amendment:** Address systemic discrimination and ensure equal protection under the law, particularly as it pertains to David’s disability and whistleblower status.

3. Mandate ADA Compliance and Accessibility

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Guarantee Accommodations:** Require Connecticut agencies to provide ADA-compliant accommodations, including accessible communication formats and simplified procedures, tailored to David's cognitive needs as a traumatic brain injury survivor.
- **Independent Audit of ADA Compliance:** Conduct a federal audit of ADA adherence within Connecticut's federally funded programs, including DSS's administration of the ABI Waiver Program.

4. Federal Oversight to Enforce Accountability

- **Assign a Federal Monitor:** Appoint an independent federal monitor to oversee the actions of Connecticut agencies, ensuring compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations.
- **Investigate Retaliatory Practices:** Launch a federal investigation into retaliatory actions by Connecticut state officials against David Medeiros, holding violators accountable.

5. Restorative Justice for David Medeiros

- **Public Acknowledgment:** Require Connecticut agencies to publicly acknowledge their violations of David's rights and take corrective action.
- **Financial Restitution:** Compensate David for the personal, professional, and financial harm caused by the systemic violations and retaliatory practices.



- **Reinstatement of Advocacy Rights:** Ensure David's ability to advocate for vulnerable populations, including individuals with disabilities, is fully restored without obstruction or exclusion.

6. Set a National Precedent

- **Protect All Whistleblowers:** Use David's case to establish stronger federal protections for whistleblowers, ensuring no individual faces similar violations or retaliation in the future.
- **Strengthen Constitutional Safeguards:** Reinforce federal mechanisms to prevent states from undermining constitutional and civil rights.
- **Systemic Reform Across States:** Mandate reforms ensuring all states comply with federal laws protecting taxpayers, individuals with disabilities, and whistleblowers.

Conclusion

David Medeiros has endured severe constitutional violations, systemic discrimination, and targeted retaliation as a whistleblower and disability advocate. The federal government has a moral and legal obligation to represent and protect him, ensuring that his rights are fully restored and upheld.



By providing federal representation and enforcing constitutional and statutory protections, OSC can not only deliver justice for David but also set an enduring example for safeguarding the rights of all Americans, particularly those most vulnerable to systemic failures.



Whistleblower Report

Urgent Federal Action Required:

**Federal Compliance and Accountability Review:
Mismanagement, Legal Violations, and Retaliation in
Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.**



Whistleblower Report Prepared by:

David Medeiros
Founder/CEO, ABI Resources
Brain Injury Survivor

Date: September 21, 2024

Page 1 of 75



Submitted To:

1. President of the United States

Joe Biden

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20500

Role: Oversees federal agencies, sets national priorities for healthcare, civil rights, and government accountability. Can influence Medicaid enforcement and reform.

Contact: [Contact form for the President](#)

2. Vice President of the United States

Kamala Harris

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20500

Role: Plays an active role in shaping policy decisions, including healthcare and civil rights.

Contact: [Contact form for the Vice President](#)

3. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Xavier Becerra – Secretary of Health and Human Services

200 Independence Avenue, SW

Washington, DC 20201

Role: Oversees Medicaid programs and enforces federal healthcare laws to ensure compliance by states.

Email: contact@hhs.gov

4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator

7500 Security Boulevard

Baltimore, MD 21244

Role: Directly oversees Medicaid and Medicare, ensuring compliance with federal healthcare regulations.

Email: press@cms.hhs.gov

5. Office of Inspector General (OIG) – HHS

Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General



330 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20201

Role: Investigates fraud, waste, and abuse in HHS programs, including Medicaid.

Email: HHSTips@oig.hhs.gov

6. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division

Kristen Clarke – Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights

950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20530

Role: Enforces federal laws that protect against discrimination, including under the ADA.

Email: CRT@usdoj.gov

7. Office for Civil Rights (OCR) – HHS

Melanie Fontes Rainer – Director

200 Independence Avenue, SW

Washington, DC 20201

Role: Enforces civil rights laws ensuring equal access to Medicaid services for individuals with disabilities.

Email: ocrmail@hhs.gov

8. U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Wage and Hour Division

Jessica Looman – Principal Deputy Administrator

200 Constitution Ave NW

Washington, DC 20210

Role: Enforces labor laws including violations related to sub-minimum wage payments in Medicaid programs.

Email: help@dol.gov

9. U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States

441 G Street NW

Washington, DC 20548

Role: Investigates financial mismanagement and efficiency in federal programs, including Medicaid.

Email: contact@gao.gov



10. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

Charlotte A. Burrows – Chair

131 M Street NE

Washington, DC 20507

Role: Handles complaints related to employment discrimination, including disabilities in Medicaid implementation.

Email: info@eeoc.gov

11. U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

Norma V. Cantú – Chair

1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 1150

Washington, DC 20425

Role: Investigates civil rights violations, including discrimination in Medicaid programs.

Email: info@usccr.gov

12. U.S. Senate Finance Committee

Chair: Senator Ron Wyden (Democrat)

219 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

Role: Oversees Medicaid and can investigate financial mismanagement in state programs.

Contact: <https://www.finance.senate.gov/contact>

13. U.S. Senate Special Committee on Aging

Chair: Senator Bob Casey (Democrat)

520 Senate Hart Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

Role: Oversees issues related to aging, including Medicaid and long-term care.

Contact: <https://www.aging.senate.gov/>

14. U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions (HELP)

Chair: Senator Bernie Sanders (Independent)

428 Senate Dirksen Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

Role: Oversees healthcare policy, Medicaid, and labor laws.

Contact: <https://www.help.senate.gov/>



Abstract

This report highlights systemic failures within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, revealing extensive mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds, violations of federal labor laws, and retaliation against whistleblowers. The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) has engaged in financial mismanagement by improperly allocating funds to agencies that fail to meet contractual obligations, in violation of the False Claims Act and the Social Security Act. Additionally, the report documents how the DSS has allowed the exploitation of disabled workers by paying sub-minimum wages without obtaining necessary 14(c) certification, contravening the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).

Whistleblowers, including the author, have faced retaliation, including blocked billing, tampering with timekeeping systems, public defamation, and obstruction of legal recourse, in violation of the Whistleblower Protection Act.

Furthermore, the DSS has operated a closed and non-transparent Medicaid referral system, denying beneficiaries their right to choose qualified providers, in violation of federal Medicaid regulations. Efforts to obtain information through Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests have been obstructed, further eroding transparency and accountability. Recent amendments by the DSS have also lowered professional standards for case managers, jeopardizing the care of brain injury survivors and violating federal Medicaid guidelines.

The report calls for immediate federal intervention, including comprehensive audits, enforcement of Medicaid compliance, recovery of misallocated funds, and stronger whistleblower protections. This systemic failure, if left unaddressed, risks further harm to vulnerable populations and undermines public trust in federally funded programs. Urgent action is required to restore integrity, accountability, and care standards within the ABI Waiver Program.



Table of Contents: Expert Legal Edition

1. Executive Summary

- Key Findings:
 - 1. **Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds**
 - 2. **Non-Compliance with Federal Labor Laws**
 - 3. **Retaliation Against Whistleblowers**
 - 4. **Non-Transparent Medicaid Referral System**
 - 5. **Failure to Provide FOIA Requests and Transparency**
 - **Conclusion and Call for Federal Action**
-

2. Introduction and Purpose of the Report

- Key Issues:
 - 1. **Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds**
 - 2. **Failure to Provide Critical Services**
 - 3. **Non-Compliance with Federal Labor Laws**
 - 4. **Violation of Whistleblower Protections**
 - **Purpose of the Report:** Exposing Violations, Calling for Federal Intervention, Demanding Transparency
 - **Call to Action**
-

3. Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program

- **3.1 Issue Overview:** Impact of DSS Mismanagement
- **3.2 Legal Context:** Violations of the *Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. § 1396a)* and *False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729)*
- **3.3 Impact on Federal Taxpayers**
 - **Improper Payments and Oversight Failures**



- **3.4 Broader Financial Burden on Federal Taxpayers**
 - **3.5 Potential Violations of the *False Claims Act* (31 U.S.C. § 3729) (FCA)**
 - **3.6 Undermining Federal Healthcare Programs**
 - **3.7 Systemic Issues in Connecticut’s Medicaid Programs**
 - **Conclusion: Urgent Need for Federal Oversight**
 - **Recommendations for Federal Action**
-

4. Non-Compliance with Federal Labor Laws — Sub-Minimum Wage Payments Without 14(c) Certification

- **4.1 Issue Overview: Federal Wage Law Violations in ABI Waiver**
 - **4.2 Legal Context: *Fair Labor Standards Act* (FLSA) (29 U.S.C. § 206)**
 - **4.3 Impact on Disabled Workers**
 - **4.4 Case Study: Oregon’s Class Action for Wage Violations**
 - **Recommendations for Immediate Action**
 - **Conclusion: Protecting Disabled Workers’ Rights**
-

5. Suppression of Whistleblowers and Retaliation in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program

- **5.1 Issue Overview: Systemic Retaliation and FOIA Misuse**
 - **5.2 Legal Context: *Whistleblower Protection Act* (5 U.S.C. § 2302) (WPA) and FOIA**
 - **5.3 Impact on Whistleblowers**
 - **5.4 Precedents of Whistleblower Retaliation and FOIA Abuse**
 - **Recommendations for Immediate Action**
 - **Conclusion: Ending Retaliation and Promoting Transparency**
-

6. Failure to Provide FOIA Requests — A Threat to Federal Funding



- **6.1 Issue Overview:** FOIA Non-Compliance
 - **6.2 Relevant Federal and State Laws:** FOIA, 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(6), and Section 1902(a)(27)
 - **6.3 Implications for Federal Funding**
 - **Call for Immediate Action:** Enforcing FOIA Compliance
 - **Conclusion:** Ensuring Transparency to Safeguard Federal Funding
-

7. Non-Transparent Medicaid Referral System

- **7.1 Issue Overview:** Closed Referral System Violations
 - **7.2 Legal Context:** 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(23) and ACA Requirements
 - **7.3 Impact on Beneficiaries:** Denial of Rights and Compromised Care Quality
 - **7.4 Case Studies:** Illinois and California
 - **Recommendations for Immediate Action**
 - **Conclusion:** Restoring Consumer Autonomy and Transparency
-

8. DSS Waiver Amendments — Lowering Standards and Jeopardizing Care Quality

- **8.1 Issue Overview:** DSS's Proposed Reduction in Case Manager Qualifications
 - **8.2 Legal Context:** Violations of 42 CFR § 441.302 and 42 U.S.C. § 1396d
 - **8.3 Impact on Consumer Care:** Risks to Beneficiaries
 - **8.4 Precedents in Other States:** Texas and California
 - **Recommendations for Immediate Action**
 - **Conclusion:** Prioritizing Consumer Safety and Compliance
-

9. Whistleblower Good Faith and Legal Protections — A Call for Accountability

- **9.1 Legal Context and Protections:** *Whistleblower Protection Act* (5 U.S.C. § 2302) (WPA) and *False Claims Act* (31 U.S.C. § 3729) (FCA)
- **9.2 Efforts to Seek Resolution:** Whistleblower Complaints and Evidence



- **9.3 Legal Precedents:** Supporting Whistleblower Protections
 - **9.4 Federal Agencies' Role:** DOJ, CMS, and OCR Involvement
 - **Conclusion:** Protecting Whistleblowers and Ensuring Accountability
-

10. Conclusion and Recommendations

- **10.1 Key Recommendations for Federal Action**
 - **Comprehensive Federal Audit** (CMS, GAO)
 - **Enforce Federal Medicaid Compliance**
 - **Recover Misallocated Federal Funds**
 - **Strengthen Oversight of Care Management Agencies**
 - **Protect Whistleblowers and Enforce Accountability**
 - **Action-Oriented Recommendations for Federal Intervention**
 - Federal Audit and Investigation (CMS, GAO, OIG)
 - Legal Enforcement and Recovery of Funds (DOJ, CMS)
 - Implementation of Federal Oversight and Corrective Action
 - **Why Connecticut Can't Self-Audit**
 - **Conclusion:** A Federal Path to Accountability and Compliance
-

11. Report on Retaliation, Systemic Obstruction, and Discrimination

- **1. Billing Obstruction and Administrative Manipulation**
- **2. Tampering with Timekeeping Systems**
- **3. Public Censorship and Defamation at Hearings**
- **4. Evidence Deletion and Denial of Civil Rights**
- **5. Denial of FOIA Requests and Accommodations**
- **6. Public Insults and Intimidation**
- **7. Secret Public Health Hearings and Lack of Transparency**
- **8. Denial of Accommodations at Public Presentations**



- **9. Introduction of Unqualified Providers and Coerced Services**
 - **10. Endangerment of Client Safety**
 - **11. Steering and Poaching of Consumers**
 - **Conclusion: A Crisis of Accountability and Transparency**
 - **Recommendations for Federal Action**
-

Request for Basic Accommodations and Electronic Communications

Dear Friends and Advocates



Executive Summary

This report exposes critical systemic failures and legal violations within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. These ongoing issues jeopardize the welfare of vulnerable Medicaid recipients, lead to the mismanagement of state and federal taxpayer dollars, and foster retaliation against whistleblowers who courageously expose these abuses. Immediate federal intervention is required to ensure compliance, enforce accountability, and prevent further misuse of public funds.

Key Findings

1. Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds

- **Issue:** The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) has been mismanaging Medicaid funds, resulting in the improper allocation of resources and failure to provide necessary services to ABI Waiver beneficiaries.
- **Legal Violations:** This mismanagement violates the *False Claims Act* (31 U.S.C. § 3729) and the *Social Security Act* (42 U.S.C. § 1396a), putting millions of taxpayer dollars at risk.
- **Action Needed:** A federal audit is essential to evaluate the misuse of Medicaid funds and recover misallocated amounts under the *False Claims Act* (31 U.S.C. § 3729).

2. Non-Compliance with Federal Labor Laws

- **Issue:** Disabled workers participating in the ABI Waiver Program are being paid below the minimum wage without the required 14(c) certification, violating the *Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)* (29 U.S.C. § 206).
- **Impact:** This undermines the rights of workers and compromises the quality of care provided to Medicaid beneficiaries.
- **Action Needed:** Immediate federal enforcement of wage laws and the cessation of sub-minimum wage practices are necessary to restore compliance with labor standards.



3. Retaliation Against Whistleblowers

- **Issue:** Whistleblowers, including David Medeiros and ABI Resources, have faced retaliation for exposing Medicaid guideline violations. This retaliation is in direct violation of the *Whistleblower Protection Act* (5 U.S.C. § 2302) (5 U.S.C. § 2302) and the *False Claims Act* (31 U.S.C. § 3729).
- **Impact:** Suppressing whistleblowers prevents the identification of systemic failures and financial mismanagement.
- **Action Needed:** Federal protections for whistleblowers must be enforced, and a thorough investigation into retaliatory actions by DSS is required.

4. Non-Transparent Medicaid Referral System

- **Issue:** DSS operates a closed referral system that restricts Medicaid beneficiaries' ability to select qualified providers, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(23), which guarantees consumer choice.
- **Impact:** Brain injury survivors and other beneficiaries are funneled toward certain providers without full knowledge of alternatives, affecting the quality of care.
- **Action Needed:** A federal review of the referral system is required to ensure transparency and uphold consumer autonomy in choosing care providers.

5. Failure to Provide FOIA Requests and Transparency

- **Issue:** DSS consistently fails to comply with the *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)* (5 U.S.C. § 552), obstructing access to vital information regarding the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Impact:** The lack of transparency exacerbates financial mismanagement and prevents adequate oversight of taxpayer funds.
- **Action Needed:** Federal enforcement of FOIA compliance is necessary to restore public accountability and prevent further financial abuses.

Conclusion and Call for Federal Action



This report outlines a troubling pattern of systemic mismanagement, legal violations, and retaliatory practices within Connecticut's Medicaid system. These issues endanger Medicaid recipients, squander federal and state resources, and suppress whistleblowers who seek to shed light on these failures. To address these challenges, immediate federal action is required to:

1. Conduct a federal audit of Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program.
2. Recover misallocated taxpayer funds.
3. Enforce federal labor laws and protect whistleblowers from retaliation.
4. Ensure transparency and accountability in Medicaid's referral system.

Without prompt federal oversight, these systemic failures will continue to erode the integrity of Medicaid, harming the very individuals the program is meant to protect.



2. Introduction and Purpose of the Report

This report outlines **critical systemic failures** within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, focusing on the **mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds**. The improper allocation of taxpayer dollars threatens the sustainability of essential services intended for vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors and disabled individuals. In addition to financial mismanagement, the report highlights **violations of federal labor laws** and **legal protections** for whistleblowers, disabled workers, and Medicaid beneficiaries.

Key Issues

1. Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds

Issue: The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) has **significantly mismanaged** federal Medicaid funds, leading to improper payments to agencies that fail to meet their service obligations.

Impact: This misuse of taxpayer dollars exposes Connecticut to **federal investigations** and penalties under the *False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729)* (**FCA**) and other federal regulations.

2. Failure to Provide Critical Services

Issue: Brain injury survivors and disabled individuals under the ABI Waiver Program have been **denied access** to essential services, worsening the program's shortcomings.

Impact: Vulnerable populations are deprived of necessary care, undermining the core purpose of Medicaid and jeopardizing the well-being of individuals relying on its support.

3. Non-Compliance with Federal Labor Laws

Issue: Agencies operating under the ABI Waiver Program have violated the *Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) (29 U.S.C. § 206)* by paying **sub-minimum wages** to disabled workers without obtaining proper 14(c) certification.

Impact: These labor violations harm workers and reduce the quality of services provided to Medicaid beneficiaries, further diminishing the program's integrity.



4. Violation of Whistleblower Protections

Issue: Whistleblowers, including David Medeiros and ABI Resources, have faced **retaliation** for exposing systemic failures and violations within the DSS.

Impact: Retaliation stifles accountability, obstructs transparency, and prevents essential reforms, fostering a culture of impunity that allows systemic issues to persist unchecked.

Purpose of the Report

This report has three primary objectives:

1. Expose Violations of Federal and State Laws

The report documents violations of federal statutes, including the **Social Security Act**, the *Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)* (42 U.S.C. § 12132), the **Rehabilitation Act**, and the *Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)* (29 U.S.C. § 206). These laws protect Medicaid beneficiaries, disabled workers, and whistleblowers, all of whom are negatively impacted by the systemic failures in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program.

2. Call for Immediate Federal Intervention

Federal oversight is urgently needed to ensure that Connecticut complies with Medicaid guidelines and federal labor laws. Immediate intervention will protect taxpayer dollars, halt the mismanagement of funds, and safeguard Medicaid beneficiaries who depend on these critical services.

3. Advocate for Transparency, Accountability, and Reform

This report demands **comprehensive reform** of the ABI Waiver Program, focusing on **transparency** and **accountability** from DSS. The failure to comply with the *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)* (5 U.S.C. § 552), along with the obstruction of public records, undermines federal funding and erodes public trust in the program.

Call to Action



This report provides a clear directive for federal agencies to address the systemic failures within Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program by taking the following steps:

- **Conduct a Full Audit:** Federal agencies must initiate a **comprehensive audit** of the ABI Waiver Program to assess the scope of financial mismanagement and the misuse of Medicaid funds.
- **Enforce Compliance with Federal Labor Laws:** Strengthen enforcement of **federal labor laws** within the ABI Waiver Program to ensure that disabled workers receive fair wages and that whistleblowers are protected from retaliation.
- **Recover Misallocated Taxpayer Funds:** Federal authorities must recover misallocated taxpayer dollars and **reallocate** them to improve care and services for Medicaid beneficiaries.
- **Ensure Public Accountability:** Enforce **FOIA compliance** to guarantee transparency, and initiate comprehensive reforms within DSS to restore public trust and secure the integrity of the Medicaid program.

Immediate federal intervention is necessary to prevent further harm to Medicaid's most vulnerable beneficiaries and to restore the program's mission of providing essential care and services to those in need. Without prompt action, these systemic failures will continue to undermine the integrity of Medicaid and harm the individuals the program is designed to serve.



3. Mismanagement of Federal Medicaid Funds in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program

3.1 Issue Overview

Federal Medicaid funds are essential to ensuring that vulnerable populations, such as brain injury survivors, have equitable access to healthcare services. However, in Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, these funds are being **significantly mismanaged**. The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) continues to compensate care management agencies that fail to meet their service obligations. This lack of oversight **compromises the program's integrity**, misappropriates taxpayer dollars, and denies Medicaid beneficiaries the care they are legally entitled to receive.

Key Points:

- **Who:** The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and the contracted care management agencies under the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **What:** Misuse of federal Medicaid funds by compensating agencies for services that are either inadequately delivered or not provided at all.
 - **Where:** Across Connecticut, affecting Medicaid beneficiaries enrolled in the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **When:** These financial mismanagement issues have persisted since the program's inception, with recent reports emerging in November 2023.
 - **How:** DSS allocates Medicaid funds without adequate oversight, allowing agencies to receive payments despite failing to meet service delivery requirements.
 - **Why:** Systemic failures in financial oversight and contract management by DSS, compounded by state budget constraints.
-



3.2 Legal Context

The mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds by DSS within the ABI Waiver Program constitutes **violations of federal law** and raises concerns about DSS's ability to manage public resources effectively. The key legal violations include:

- ***Section 1902(a)(23) of the Social Security Act***: This guarantees Medicaid beneficiaries the right to freely choose their healthcare providers. This right is undermined when services are inadequately delivered or absent.
 - ***False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729) (FCA)***: Agencies receiving payments for undelivered services may be liable for submitting **false claims**. Violations of the FCA can result in significant financial penalties for fraudulent use of federal funds.
-

3.3 Impact on Federal Taxpayers

The mismanagement of Medicaid funds poses **serious implications** for federal taxpayers, whose contributions are being misused to compensate agencies that fail to meet their contractual obligations. This waste of resources compromises healthcare services and undermines trust in state-administered federal programs.

Key Impacts:

- **Improper Payments**: Federal Medicaid funds are being allocated to agencies that fail to deliver contracted services, wasting taxpayer dollars and contributing to poor healthcare outcomes for Medicaid beneficiaries.
 - **Oversight Failures**: DSS's inability to ensure contract compliance reflects a broader failure to manage Medicaid funds effectively, raising concerns about the integrity of Connecticut's Medicaid administration.
-

Broader Implications for Federal Taxpayers



- **Widespread Mismanagement:** The failures seen in the ABI Waiver Program may indicate similar inefficiencies in other DSS-managed programs, putting federal taxpayers at greater financial risk.
 - **Increased Oversight Costs:** Federal agencies such as the **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** may be forced to allocate additional resources to monitor Connecticut's Medicaid program, increasing the financial burden on taxpayers.
-

3.4 Financial Burden on Federal Taxpayers

As Medicaid is a **jointly funded federal-state program**, failures in Connecticut's administration create a growing financial burden on federal taxpayers.

- **Wasted Resources:** Federal funds meant for critical healthcare services are being funneled into underperforming agencies, diverting resources from their intended use.
 - **Increased Oversight Costs:** The need for federal agencies to monitor DSS's compliance with Medicaid regulations requires additional resources, pulling funds away from other essential healthcare initiatives.
-

3.5 Potential Violations of the *False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729) (FCA)*

The mismanagement of Medicaid funds raises significant legal risks under the *False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729) (FCA)*. If agencies are found to have received payments for undelivered services, DSS and the contracted agencies could face substantial penalties.

- **Legal Exposure:** A federal investigation may confirm that agencies submitted fraudulent claims to Medicaid, exposing DSS to penalties and reputational damage.
- **Recovery of Misused Funds:** Federal authorities may initiate legal action to **recover misallocated Medicaid funds**, but the recovery process is likely to be both costly and time-consuming, potentially diverting further resources from healthcare services.



3.6 Undermining Federal Healthcare Programs

The mismanagement of Medicaid funds erodes the **effectiveness and integrity** of federal healthcare programs like Medicaid, leading to long-term risks for beneficiaries and weakening the relationship between federal and state governments.

- **Health Outcomes at Risk:** When Medicaid beneficiaries do not receive the services they are entitled to, their health deteriorates, leading to higher healthcare costs over time.
 - **Erosion of Federal Trust:** Ongoing failures in DSS's Medicaid administration undermine federal trust in Connecticut's ability to manage Medicaid funds, potentially jeopardizing future federal funding allocations.
-

3.7 Systemic Issues in Connecticut's Medicaid Programs

The failures identified in the ABI Waiver Program likely reflect broader **systemic problems** across Connecticut's Medicaid system, posing an even greater financial risk to federal taxpayers.

- **Larger Financial Impact:** If similar mismanagement occurs in other Medicaid programs, the financial burden on both state and federal taxpayers could be significantly greater than currently estimated.
- **Urgent Federal Intervention:** Immediate **federal oversight** is necessary to ensure compliance with Medicaid regulations, protect taxpayer dollars, and prevent further mismanagement.
- **Proposed Amendments Dilute Care Quality:**
The 2024 amendments to the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program proposed by DSS shift focus from **individual qualifications** to **agency licensure**, leading to a **reduction in care quality**. The move away from ensuring **certified Brain Injury Specialists** are involved risks providing substandard care to vulnerable ABI survivors. This shift reflects a broader systemic issue where **agency convenience** is prioritized over **person-centered care**.



- **Misrepresentation by DSS:**

DSS has falsely represented these certification changes as improvements, even though these standards were already in place. This **misrepresentation** underscores a pattern of **misleading the public**, further diminishing trust in the department's commitment to genuine reform.

- **DSS Misrepresentation of Certification Requirements:**

The **Department of Social Services (DSS)** has falsely represented the certification of **Brain Injury Specialists** as a new requirement in its proposed amendments. However, this certification has long existed, and DSS's **misrepresentation** undermines the transparency of the reform process and raises concerns about the agency's integrity. Survivors and advocates assert that DSS's misleading claims obscure the fact that meaningful changes are not being made to improve care quality.

- **Corruption Allegations Within DSS:**

There are growing concerns of **corruption** within DSS, as it appears the proposed amendments are designed to **shield systemic issues** rather than address them. These corruption allegations further justify the need for a **federal investigation** into DSS's practices. Transparency failures and the refusal to provide essential information, such as the **Provider Directory**, have only worsened the situation, preventing public scrutiny.

Conclusion: The Critical Need for Federal Oversight

The ongoing mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program reflects deeper systemic failures within the state's Medicaid system. These failures deprive vulnerable individuals of essential healthcare services and waste federal taxpayer dollars. Without **immediate federal intervention**, the misuse of funds will continue, eroding healthcare outcomes for Medicaid beneficiaries and undermining trust in public programs.



Key Recommendations for Federal Action

1. **Comprehensive Federal Audit:**

Conduct a thorough audit of Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program to uncover the extent of financial mismanagement and systemic issues.

2. **Recovery of Federal Funds:**

Utilize legal mechanisms, including the *False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729)*, to recover misallocated federal funds from underperforming agencies.

3. **Strengthened Oversight and Accountability:**

Implement stronger oversight measures at both the state and federal levels to ensure that Medicaid funds are used appropriately and that services are delivered as required.

4. **Establishment of a Federal Oversight Committee:**

Create a federal oversight committee to monitor Connecticut's compliance with Medicaid regulations and prevent future mismanagement.

5. **Legal Accountability:**

Hold individuals and agencies accountable for the mismanagement of funds, ensuring that federal taxpayer dollars are properly allocated to support essential healthcare services.



4. Non-Compliance with Federal Labor Laws — Sub-Minimum Wage Payments Without 14(c) Certification

4.1 Issue Overview

Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program is in violation of **federal labor laws** by allowing home support agencies to pay **sub-minimum wages** to disabled workers without obtaining the necessary **14(c) certification** from the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). Under the *Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) (29 U.S.C. § 206)*, employers can only pay sub-minimum wages to workers with disabilities if they maintain a valid 14(c) certificate. Multiple home support agencies in the ABI Waiver Program are bypassing this legal requirement, resulting in the **exploitation of disabled workers**. The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) has failed to enforce these regulations, further exacerbating the mismanagement and legal violations within the program.

Key Points:

- **Who:** Home support agencies under the ABI Waiver Program that employ Medicaid beneficiaries for supported employment, prevocational training, Independent Living Skills Training (ILST), companion services, and recovery assistant services.
- **What:** Agencies are illegally paying disabled workers below the minimum wage without obtaining the legally required 14(c) certificates, in violation of the FLSA.
- **Where:** Throughout Connecticut, affecting Medicaid recipients employed under the ABI Waiver Program.
- **When:** These illegal wage practices have persisted since the program's inception, with reports emerging as of November 2023.



- **How:** Agencies justify sub-minimum wage payments based on the services they provide but circumvent the legal procedures for obtaining certification.
 - **Why:** DSS has failed to enforce federal labor laws, allowing these illegal practices to continue unchecked, leading to the exploitation of disabled workers.
-

4.2 Legal Context

Under the *Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)* (29 U.S.C. § 206), the 14(c) certification allows employers to pay sub-minimum wages to workers with disabilities whose productive capacity is impaired. Employers must comply with the following legal requirements:

- **14(c) Certification:** Employers must apply for and obtain a 14(c) certificate from the U.S. Department of Labor before paying sub-minimum wages.
- **Regular Documentation:** Employers are required to regularly document workers' productive capacity and ensure that wages are aligned with workers' abilities.
- **Certificate Renewal:** 14(c) certificates must be renewed periodically to maintain compliance.

Failing to secure or maintain a 14(c) certificate violates the **FLSA**, exposing employers and agencies to **federal penalties**, wage liabilities, and enforcement actions by the DOL.

Connecticut's ongoing failure to ensure compliance leaves the state vulnerable to **federal sanctions** and potential lawsuits for wage theft.

4.3 Impact on Disabled Workers

- **Exploitation of Disabled Workers:**

Disabled individuals, including brain injury survivors, are being illegally underpaid without legal recourse. These individuals depend on supported employment programs for financial independence, but the state's violation of federal wage laws forces them into poverty and increases their dependence on public benefits.



- **Legal and Financial Liability:**

Connecticut is exposed to **substantial fines and penalties** from the U.S. Department of Labor for failing to comply with federal labor laws. The state may also be required to pay back wages to affected workers, resulting in significant financial liabilities that could further strain the state's budget.

- **Reputational Damage and Federal Funding Risks:**

Continued non-compliance with federal labor laws tarnishes Connecticut's reputation for managing federally funded programs like Medicaid. These violations could lead to **penalties from federal agencies**, increased scrutiny of the state's Medicaid programs, and possibly a **reduction in future federal funding allocations** for the ABI Waiver Program.

4.4 Case Study: Oregon

In a similar situation, **Oregon** faced a **class-action lawsuit** filed on behalf of disabled workers who were paid sub-minimum wages without valid 14(c) certifications. The case resulted in a **substantial settlement**, including back wages and damages for the affected workers, setting a legal precedent for future cases across the country.

- **Increased DOL Enforcement:**

In recent years, the U.S. Department of Labor has stepped up enforcement of 14(c) violations. In **2022**, the DOL recovered **millions of dollars in back wages** for disabled workers who were illegally underpaid. This enforcement trend indicates that Connecticut's continued non-compliance could prompt similar legal actions and result in **costly penalties**.

-

Recommendations for Immediate Action



1. **Cease Sub-Minimum Wage Payments Without Certification:**

DSS must **immediately halt** all sub-minimum wage payments under the ABI Waiver Program until the proper 14(c) certifications are obtained. This is essential to bring the program into compliance with federal labor laws and protect the rights of disabled workers.

2. **Conduct a Full Review of Wage Practices:**

A comprehensive, **independent audit** of wage practices within the ABI Waiver Program is necessary to assess the extent of the violations. Agencies found to be non-compliant should be required to compensate workers appropriately and face **legal penalties**.

3. **Implement Proper Oversight and Enforcement Mechanisms:**

DSS must establish **robust oversight mechanisms** to ensure that wage payments comply with federal labor laws. This should include regular wage audits and proactive enforcement of 14(c) certification requirements.

4. **Protect the Rights of Disabled Workers:**

Connecticut should prioritize the **protection of disabled workers** by ensuring that all employment practices under the ABI Waiver Program adhere to federal and state labor laws. The state must also work toward providing **fair wages** that promote financial independence and reduce the risk of exploitation for disabled individuals.

Conclusion

The **systemic non-compliance** with federal labor laws, particularly under the 14(c) provision of the *Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)* (29 U.S.C. § 206), highlights **major failings** within Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. The **illegal underpayment** of disabled workers reflects the broader **mismanagement and exploitation** occurring within the program.

By **halting illegal wage practices**, conducting **comprehensive wage audits**, and implementing **strict oversight**, Connecticut can restore compliance and protect its most vulnerable workers. Without **immediate corrective action**, the state risks further legal challenges, significant financial penalties, and long-term reputational damage at both the state and federal levels.



Restoring program integrity depends on compliance with federal labor laws and safeguarding the rights of disabled workers under Medicaid.



5. Suppression of Whistleblowers and Retaliation in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program

5.1 Issue Overview

The Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program has been plagued by **systemic suppression of whistleblowers** and **misuse of *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)* (5 U.S.C. § 552) provisions**. Whistleblowers who report issues such as **financial mismanagement**, **care deficiencies**, and **non-compliance with federal labor laws** have faced retaliation, including **job loss, harassment, and legal threats**. Additionally, FOIA requests aimed at exposing these violations are often delayed or unjustifiably denied, preventing transparency, and hindering proper oversight. These tactics, employed by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and associated state agencies, shield the program from scrutiny, enabling **ongoing mismanagement**.

□ DSS Concealment and Corruption Allegations:

The DSS's refusal to comply with **FOIA requests** and failure to provide **transparency** around public health decisions reflect systemic issues within the agency. These practices suggest **intentional concealment** of critical information from families and caregivers, which prevents effective oversight and accountability.

□ Allegations of Corruption:

There are **ongoing allegations** that DSS is engaging in corrupt practices by proposing these amendments in an effort to obscure past failures rather than improve care delivery. This calls for **federal intervention** to restore trust and ensure integrity in the management of Medicaid funds.

- **DSS Transparency Failures and FOIA Non-Compliance:**

DSS's refusal to comply with FOIA requests is a critical example of its ongoing transparency issues. Despite numerous requests, the **Provider Directory** has not been made publicly available, which hinders ABI survivors and their families from accessing



vital information necessary for making informed care decisions. This concealment raises questions about whether DSS is intentionally keeping the public in the dark to avoid scrutiny.

Key Points:

- **Who:** The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and other state agencies involved in managing the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **What:** Whistleblowers face **retaliation**, including job loss, harassment, and legal threats, while FOIA requests are denied or delayed to prevent exposure of mismanagement.
 - **Where:** Across Connecticut, affecting Medicaid beneficiaries, whistleblowers, and public advocates involved with the ABI Waiver Program.
 - **When:** These practices have been ongoing since the program's inception, with recent reports as of November 2023.
 - **How:** Suppression occurs through **bureaucratic delays**, unjustified FOIA denials, and retaliation against individuals who expose wrongdoing.
 - **Why:** DSS and other agencies suppress whistleblowers to avoid accountability for **mismanaging federal funds**, concealing systemic issues, and evading legal consequences.
-

5.2 Legal Context

- ***Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552) and State-Level Open Records Laws:***

FOIA ensures public access to federal records, and state agencies managing federally funded programs like Medicaid are subject to similar **state-level open records laws**. In Connecticut, the Connecticut FOIA mandates that public records and government proceedings be accessible unless a specific exemption applies.



- **Violation:** By delaying or denying FOIA requests without valid legal justification, Connecticut state agencies are **violating transparency laws** and obstructing public accountability, particularly in federally funded programs.
 - ***Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302) (WPA):***

The WPA protects employees and contractors from retaliation when reporting **fraud, waste, or abuse** within federal programs. Connecticut also has a **state-specific whistleblower protection law** that safeguards state employees and contractors who report violations or mismanagement within programs like Medicaid.

 - **Violation:** DSS's retaliatory actions against whistleblowers violate both **federal and state whistleblower protection laws**, exposing the state to potential **legal penalties**.
-

5.3 Impact on Whistleblowers

- **Lack of Transparency and Accountability:**

The suppression of FOIA requests and retaliation against whistleblowers undermines public trust in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Without access to critical records, advocates and auditors cannot verify the program's integrity, allowing **mismanagement to continue unchallenged**.
 - **Discouraging Whistleblowers:**

Retaliation creates a **chilling effect**, discouraging others from reporting wrongdoing. This culture of secrecy allows systemic issues to go unchecked, reducing accountability.
 - **Obstruction of Justice:**

By denying access to records and retaliating against whistleblowers, DSS and other agencies may be **obstructing justice** under federal and state laws. These actions could lead to lawsuits for **wrongful termination, defamation**, and violations of **open records laws**.
-

5.4 Precedents of Whistleblower Retaliation and FOIA Abuse



- **Michigan – Flint Water Crisis:**

During the Flint water crisis, public officials denied critical FOIA requests that could have exposed information about the contamination of the city’s water supply. Legal action was taken against the state for **withholding information** that could have mitigated the crisis. This case underscores the dangers of **suppressing whistleblower reports** and obstructing access to public records.

- **California – Whistleblower Retaliation Case:**

In California, a state employee who was terminated after reporting **financial mismanagement** in a federally funded program won a whistleblower retaliation case. The court awarded the employee lost wages and compensation for emotional distress, highlighting the **significant legal and financial consequences** that can result from retaliating against whistleblowers.

Recommendations for Immediate Action

1. **Release FOIA-Requested Records:**

DSS and other relevant agencies must **immediately comply** with all outstanding FOIA requests related to the ABI Waiver Program. Any FOIA denials must be reviewed thoroughly, with clear **legal justifications** for withholding information.

2. **Investigate Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:**

An **independent investigation** must be launched to assess whether DSS or other state agencies have retaliated against whistleblowers. Those found responsible should face legal consequences, and measures must be implemented to prevent future retaliation.

3. **Implement Stronger Whistleblower Protections:**

Connecticut should **strengthen its whistleblower protection laws** to ensure that individuals reporting fraud, waste, or abuse are protected from retaliation. The state must foster a culture where whistleblowers are encouraged to come forward without fear of repercussions.

4. **Ensure Full Transparency:**

DSS and related agencies must adopt **proactive transparency measures**, regularly



publishing data and records related to the administration of the ABI Waiver Program. This will allow for **independent oversight** and help restore public trust in the program's integrity.

Conclusion

The systemic suppression of whistleblowers and the misuse of FOIA in the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program represent a **serious threat to transparency and accountability**. These actions violate federal and state laws, obstruct oversight efforts, and **perpetuate the mismanagement of taxpayer funds**.

Immediate corrective actions, such as releasing FOIA records and enhancing whistleblower protections, are essential to end this **culture of secrecy and retaliation**. Without decisive intervention, these practices will continue to erode the program's integrity, **jeopardize public trust**, and harm the Medicaid beneficiaries it is designed to serve.



6. Failure to Provide FOIA Requests — A Threat to Federal Funding

6.1 Issue Overview

A significant factor contributing to the ongoing mismanagement of Medicaid funds in Connecticut's Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program is the state's repeated failure to comply with the *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)*. Under Connecticut FOIA (*Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 1-200 et seq.*), state agencies are legally obligated to provide public access to records to ensure transparency and accountability. However, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) has consistently failed to meet these obligations, particularly regarding records related to the ABI Waiver Program.

This persistent FOIA non-compliance **obstructs public oversight**, limits transparency, and prevents stakeholders from holding DSS accountable for financial mismanagement and service delivery failures. Most critically, **non-compliance with transparency laws** threatens Connecticut's eligibility for **federal Medicaid funding**, as transparency is a core requirement for receiving these funds.

6.2 Relevant Federal and State Laws

- **Connecticut FOIA (Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 1-200 et seq.):**

Connecticut law mandates that public agencies provide access to records upon request to promote transparency. DSS's **refusal to release records** related to the ABI Waiver Program violates this statute, obstructing public accountability and hindering necessary oversight of Medicaid programs.

- **Medicaid Regulations (42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(6)):**

This federal statute requires states to provide necessary information to federal agencies such as the **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** to ensure compliance



with Medicaid regulations. DSS's **failure to release requested records** risks Connecticut's compliance with federal requirements, jeopardizing future Medicaid funding.

- ***Social Security Act, Section 1902(a)(27):***

States are required to provide federal agencies with access to records related to Medicaid-funded services. DSS's failure to comply with FOIA requests threatens this mandate and could trigger **sanctions** or **penalties**.

- ***Federal Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552):***

Although federal FOIA applies to federal agencies, the **principle of transparency** extends to state programs funded by federal dollars. Federal agencies rely on state records to ensure Medicaid compliance. Connecticut's **refusal to release records** undermines the federal government's ability to oversee its programs, heightening the risk of penalties.

6.3 Implications for Federal Funding

- **Obstruction of Federal Oversight:**

By failing to provide requested records, DSS obstructs the ability of federal agencies, such as CMS and the **Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)**, to properly audit and review the ABI Waiver Program. Under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(6)**, states are required to submit necessary records to ensure compliance. DSS's refusal to comply could result in **sanctions** for obstructing federal oversight.

- **Non-Compliance with Federal Medicaid Requirements:**

Transparency is a **precondition** for receiving federal Medicaid funds. DSS's FOIA non-compliance puts Connecticut at risk of being deemed **in breach of Medicaid regulations**, potentially resulting in delayed or reduced federal funding.

Impact on Future Federal Funding



- **Obstruction of Oversight and Potential Funding Reductions:**

Without access to requested records, federal agencies like **CMS** cannot properly audit or investigate the ABI Waiver Program. Continued FOIA non-compliance could lead to **reduced or delayed federal funding** for Connecticut's Medicaid programs.

- **Increased Scrutiny from Federal Oversight Bodies:**

Agencies such as the **Government Accountability Office (GAO)** and the **Office of Inspector General (OIG)** may increase their scrutiny of Connecticut's Medicaid programs due to FOIA non-compliance. These agencies may recommend **withholding future Medicaid funds** until Connecticut demonstrates compliance with transparency laws.

- **Risk of Withholding Future Federal Funds:**

Continued refusal to release requested records could result in **withholding of future Medicaid funds** under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(6)** and **Section 1902(a)(27)** of the Social Security Act. **Full transparency** is essential to ensure state-administered programs comply with federal Medicaid regulations.

Call for Immediate Action

To safeguard future Medicaid funding and ensure that federal dollars are properly allocated, **Connecticut must immediately comply** with all outstanding FOIA requests. DSS's failure to release critical records threatens the future of essential services provided by the ABI Waiver Program and other Medicaid-supported initiatives.

This lack of transparency **obstructs federal oversight** and could result in **severe financial penalties**. DSS must prioritize compliance with both state and federal transparency laws to avoid further scrutiny and **protect future Medicaid funding**.

Conclusion



The failure to comply with FOIA requirements poses a direct threat to the **financial stability** of Connecticut's Medicaid programs. Federal agencies depend on transparency to ensure that Medicaid funds are properly managed and that the state complies with federal guidelines. DSS's refusal to release critical information not only violates transparency laws but also **jeopardizes future federal funding allocations**.

Immediate corrective action, including the release of the requested records and full compliance with federal oversight, is essential to protect the health and welfare of Medicaid beneficiaries across Connecticut. Without these actions, the state risks **losing critical federal funding** that supports essential services for vulnerable populations under Medicaid.



7. Non-Transparent Medicaid Referral System

7.1 Issue Overview

The Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) operates a **closed and non-transparent referral system** for the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, **restricting Medicaid beneficiaries' ability** to choose their care providers. While federal guidelines mandate that beneficiaries have the right to access home and community-based services, DSS's referral practices prevent them from fully exercising this right. The lack of transparency limits beneficiaries' awareness of available options and **steers them toward specific providers**, often without adequate consideration of their unique care needs or the quality of care provided.

Failure to Provide Provider Directories:

DSS has deliberately withheld **provider directories**, making it difficult for Medicaid beneficiaries to exercise their **federally protected right to choose** qualified care providers. This concealment undermines the **principles of consumer autonomy** embedded in federal Medicaid laws, as beneficiaries are forced into services without knowing all available options.

- **Inaccessibility of the Provider Directory:**

One of the most concerning transparency failures is the **DSS's refusal to provide the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers** to the public. This omission creates significant barriers for ABI survivors and their families, who are unable to verify the qualifications of care providers and make informed decisions. The concealment of this directory violates the **federal right to consumer choice** under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(23)**.

7.1 Issue Overview

- **Inaccessibility of the Provider Directory:**

One of the most concerning transparency failures is the **DSS's refusal to provide the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers** to the public. This omission



creates significant barriers for ABI survivors and their families, who are unable to verify the qualifications of care providers and make informed decisions. The concealment of this directory violates the **federal right to consumer choice** under **42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(23)**.

7.3 Impact on Beneficiaries

- **Risk of Exploitation and Subpar Services:**

Without access to the **Provider Directory** and clear information about available services, Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly ABI survivors, are at increased risk of **exploitation**. The amendments proposed by DSS are seen as prioritizing **administrative convenience** and **cost-cutting** over the **care needs of consumers**. This lack of transparency could result in **substandard services** that fail to meet the complex needs of ABI survivors.

Key Points:

- **Who:** The Connecticut DSS and contracted care management agencies overseeing referrals under the ABI Waiver Program.
- **What:** DSS hides the provider list, funneling beneficiaries toward select providers without regard to individual needs or quality of care.
- **Where:** This affects Medicaid beneficiaries across Connecticut who rely on the ABI Waiver Program for necessary services.
- **When:** These practices have been in place since the program's inception, with recent reports surfacing in November 2023.
- **How:** DSS manages the referral process through contracted agencies, influencing beneficiary choices by limiting their provider options.
- **Why:** DSS appears to prioritize **cost minimization**, favoring lower-cost providers over those offering higher quality care, violating federal Medicaid requirements for **beneficiary choice**.



7.2 Legal Context

- **Section 1902(a)(23) of the Social Security Act:**

This provision ensures Medicaid beneficiaries have the right to **choose any qualified provider**. DSS's closed referral system limits this choice, directly violating the beneficiaries' rights under federal law.

- **42 CFR § 431.51:**

Federal regulations mandate that Medicaid beneficiaries must have the freedom to receive services from any qualified provider. DSS's **concealment of provider options** and steering toward specific providers violates this regulation.

- ***Consumer Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA):***

The ACA emphasizes **consumer-centered care**, ensuring consumers have the autonomy to make informed healthcare decisions. By denying Medicaid beneficiaries access to a transparent referral system, Connecticut's practices violate these **consumer-centered principles**.

7.3 Impact on Beneficiaries

- **Denial of Beneficiary Rights:**

The closed referral system denies Medicaid beneficiaries their legal right to choose from a range of qualified providers, violating federal Medicaid regulations and undermining **consumer autonomy**.

- **Compromised Quality of Care:**

By steering beneficiaries toward providers based on cost rather than care needs, DSS reduces the **quality of care** for vulnerable populations. Brain injury survivors, in particular, are often denied access to specialized services critical to their recovery.

- **Bias Toward Certain Providers:**

The referral system effectively creates **monopolies** for select providers, reducing



competition and innovation in care. This bias prevents beneficiaries from accessing the **best possible care**, favoring select providers regardless of service quality.

- **Erosion of Public Trust:**

The lack of transparency erodes trust in Connecticut's Medicaid system. Beneficiaries and their caregivers cannot determine whether referrals are made in the consumers' best interests or driven by **cost-saving measures**, fostering distrust in the state's administration of Medicaid services.

- **Shift from Person-Centered to Agency-Centered Care:**

The proposed amendments reflect a move towards **agency-centered goals**, prioritizing **cost-cutting**, and administrative ease over **individualized care** for Medicaid recipients. This violates federal mandates that Medicaid beneficiaries be given **informed choice** and access to **specialized care** that meets their medical needs.

Impact on Beneficiaries

- **Risk of Exploitation and Subpar Services:**

Without access to the **Provider Directory** and clear information about available services, Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly ABI survivors, are at increased risk of **exploitation**. The amendments proposed by DSS are seen as prioritizing **administrative convenience** and **cost-cutting** over the **care needs of consumers**. This lack of transparency could result in **substandard services** that fail to meet the complex needs of ABI survivors.

7.4 Case Studies: Illinois and California

- **Illinois:**

A lawsuit in Illinois exposed a lack of transparency in its Medicaid managed care system. Plaintiffs claimed they were denied the right to choose their providers, resulting in poor care outcomes. The case led to **increased oversight** and stricter transparency measures in Illinois's Medicaid programs.



- **California:**

After investigations by the **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** revealed that California's Medicaid referral system was steering beneficiaries toward specific providers without transparency, CMS required California to revise its system. The state was mandated to provide beneficiaries with a **full list of qualified providers** and ensure they could make **informed care decisions**.

Connecticut is at risk of facing **similar legal challenges** and **federal scrutiny** if it continues to deny beneficiaries their rights under federal law.

Recommendations for Immediate Action

1. **Release the ABI Waiver Provider List Publicly:**

DSS should immediately publish the **full list of qualified providers** under the ABI Waiver Program. This will allow Medicaid beneficiaries to make **informed choices** and align the state's practices with federal transparency requirements.

2. **Implement Transparent Referral Practices:**

DSS must establish a **transparent referral system** that ensures beneficiaries can choose from all qualified providers. This system should include:

- Clear documentation of the referral process.
- Criteria for matching beneficiaries with providers.
- An **appeals process** for beneficiaries who believe their referrals do not meet their care needs.

3. **Conduct an Independent Review of the Referral System:**

An independent third-party should review the current referral system to assess its fairness and equity. This review should identify any instances of **manipulation or favoritism** and provide public recommendations for improvements.

4. **Ensure Compliance with Federal Medicaid Guidelines:**

DSS must comply with **Section 1902(a)(23)** of the **Social Security Act, 42 CFR §**



431.51, and the ACA's **consumer-centered care** principles to ensure that the referral system respects beneficiaries' rights to choose their providers.

5. Strengthen Oversight of Care Management Agencies:

DSS should increase oversight of **care management agencies** to ensure that referrals are driven by **consumer needs** rather than cost concerns. Oversight should include:

- Regular monitoring and auditing of agency performance.
- Penalties for agencies that manipulate referrals.
- Mandatory documentation of each referral's justification, subject to review by DSS and independent auditors.

6. Protect Whistleblowers and Promote Transparency:

DSS must strengthen protections for **whistleblowers** to encourage the reporting of referral manipulation without fear of retaliation. This should include:

- Anonymous reporting mechanisms.
- Guaranteed legal protections under state and federal law.
- Swift and impartial investigations into complaints of manipulation.

Broader Implications for Medicaid Recipients and Healthcare Access

The **non-transparent referral system** employed by DSS in the ABI Waiver Program highlights a larger issue of **opaque administrative practices** that limit healthcare access for vulnerable populations. If left unaddressed, this issue could set a precedent for similar non-transparent practices across other Medicaid services, **violating consumer rights** and further eroding public trust in Connecticut's healthcare system.

Conclusion

Connecticut's **non-transparent referral system** in the ABI Waiver Program denies Medicaid beneficiaries their **federally protected right** to choose qualified providers, compromising the quality of care they receive. To comply with federal guidelines, Connecticut must act



immediately to establish a **transparent, consumer-centered referral process**, safeguard the rights of vulnerable populations, and restore public trust in its Medicaid system.

Failure to address these issues may lead to **federal sanctions**, legal challenges, and continued deterioration of healthcare services for Connecticut's most vulnerable individuals. **Federal oversight** may become necessary if the state continues to prioritize **cost-cutting over quality care**, threatening the health and welfare of Medicaid beneficiaries who rely on these essential services.



8. DSS Waiver Amendments — Lowering Standards and Jeopardizing Care Quality

8.1 Issue Overview

In November 2023, following whistleblower reports from ABI Resources and David Medeiros, the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) proposed **amendments to the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program**. These amendments lower the **educational and licensure requirements for case managers**, allowing less-qualified personnel to manage complex cases involving brain injury survivors and other disabled individuals. DSS justifies this change as a response to **staffing shortages**, but this decision compromises care quality, violates federal Medicaid guidelines, and places Medicaid beneficiaries at significant risk.

Urgent Need for Independent Review of Proposed Amendments:

Concerns have been raised about the **intent** behind DSS's amendments, with many suggesting that they are designed to **codify practices that benefit the agency**, rather than improve care quality. There is a demand for an **independent investigation** to ensure that any changes are made in **good faith** and truly address the needs of ABI survivors.

Broader Implications of Reducing Standards

- **Dilution of Educational and Licensure Standards:**

The amendments proposed by DSS include a **shift in focus** from ensuring that individual case managers meet necessary qualifications to allowing **agency-level licensure** to determine competency. This **dilution of standards** is a significant concern as it could allow **underqualified personnel** to manage highly complex cases, putting ABI survivors at greater risk of receiving **subpar services**.

8.1 Issue Overview



- **Lack of Stakeholder Engagement in Proposed Amendments:**

Despite the critical nature of the proposed amendments, **stakeholders**—including ABI survivors, families, and advocacy groups—have been largely excluded from the decision-making process. This lack of involvement undermines the **accountability** of DSS and raises concerns about whether the amendments are genuinely aimed at improving care or simply codifying **agency-level shortcuts**.

8.2 Legal Context

- **Call for Rigorous Certification Standards:**

Survivors and advocates are demanding that **Brain Injury Specialist certification** be treated as a **rigorous qualification** that ensures the quality of care. They warn against making the certification process a **mere formality** under the new amendments, emphasizing that this would compromise the quality of care provided to ABI survivors.

8.3 Recommendations for Action

- **Need for Independent Review of DSS's Amendments:**

There is a clear demand for an **independent investigation** into DSS's proposed amendments to ensure that they are not being made in **bad faith**. Stakeholders believe that an independent review is necessary to assess whether the amendments will truly improve care for ABI survivors.

Key Points:

- **Who:** Connecticut DSS and contracted care management agencies.
- **What:** Proposed amendments would lower professional standards for case managers, allowing less-qualified individuals to manage the care of brain injury survivors and disabled individuals.



- **Where:** Affects Medicaid recipients across Connecticut who rely on the ABI Waiver Program for specialized care.
 - **When:** Documented in the **November 2023 Notice of Intent** to Amend the ABI Waiver, with implementation expected in early 2024.
 - **How:** The amendments reduce the qualifications required for case managers, resulting in **diminished care quality** for beneficiaries with complex medical needs.
 - **Why:** DSS cites staffing shortages as the reason for these changes, but the amendments **risk consumer safety** and violate federal laws.
-

8.2 Legal Context

- **Federal Medicaid Regulations (42 CFR § 441.302):**
Medicaid waiver programs like the ABI Waiver must maintain **high professional standards**, especially when serving high-needs populations such as brain injury survivors. DSS's proposal to lower case manager qualifications likely violates these regulations, as it fails to ensure that case managers are adequately trained to handle complex consumer needs.
 - **42 U.S.C. § 1396d:**
This statute mandates that Medicaid services be delivered in a manner that ensures the **health and safety** of beneficiaries. By allowing underqualified personnel to manage complex cases, DSS risks violating federal requirements, which could result in **penalties** or loss of Medicaid funding.
 - **Lack of Stakeholder Involvement:** Despite the significant impact on care quality, DSS has failed to involve key stakeholders—survivors, families, and advocacy groups—in the decision-making process. This absence of public accountability raises concerns that the amendments are being pushed through without adequate scrutiny or concern for consumer outcomes.
-

8.3 Impact on Consumer Care



- **Decreased Quality of Care:**

Brain injury survivors and disabled individuals require **specialized, coordinated care**.

Lowering professional standards for case managers increases the risk of poor coordination, substandard care, and worsened health outcomes for beneficiaries who rely on comprehensive, high-quality case management.

- **Risk to Consumer Safety:**

Less-qualified case managers may **fail to recognize early signs of health complications**, potentially leading to preventable crises and worsening medical conditions for vulnerable populations who require proactive, expert care management.

- **Systemic Failures:**

The **complex needs** of brain injury survivors could overwhelm underqualified personnel, leading to **breakdowns** in rehabilitation, medical care, and social services. This poses a **systemic risk** to the care delivery model under the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Federal Violations:**

DSS's decision to lower standards places the program at risk of **non-compliance** with federal Medicaid regulations, which could result in **financial penalties** and increased federal oversight.

8.4 Precedents in Other States

- **Texas:**

When Texas lowered qualifications for home health aides, the state experienced **widespread neglect** and poor care outcomes, prompting investigations. The decision was reversed, and higher standards were reinstated to **prevent further harm**.

- **California:**

California's decision to lower case management standards in its Medicaid waiver programs led to **deteriorating care quality**. After federal intervention by CMS, California faced **financial penalties** and was forced to reinstate higher standards for case managers.



These precedents highlight the risks Connecticut faces if it proceeds with the proposed amendments, including **federal scrutiny**, legal action, and significant penalties.

Recommendations for Immediate Action

1. **Rescind the Proposed Amendments:**

DSS should immediately **withdraw the proposed amendments** that lower educational and licensure requirements for case managers. These changes pose a **direct threat to consumer safety** and violate federal Medicaid guidelines.

2. **Strengthen Oversight and Accountability:**

Rather than lowering standards, DSS should **enhance oversight** of care management agencies. Agencies that fail to meet performance expectations should face **contract termination** and financial penalties to ensure accountability.

3. **Develop Workforce Recruitment and Training Programs:**

DSS must invest in **long-term recruitment and training programs** aimed at attracting and retaining highly qualified professionals in brain injury care. This should include **specialized training**, professional development, and **incentives** to address staffing shortages while maintaining care quality.

4. **Commission an Independent Review of Staffing Practices:**

DSS should commission an **independent audit** of its staffing practices to identify the root causes of staffing shortages and develop solutions that preserve the quality of care. The findings of this review should be made public, with recommendations for improved oversight and care delivery.

Conclusion

The proposed amendments to lower case manager qualifications in the ABI Waiver Program represent a **short-term solution** to staffing shortages that jeopardizes the quality of care for Medicaid beneficiaries. DSS must prioritize **consumer safety** and comply with federal



guidelines by rescinding these amendments and investing in workforce development initiatives that uphold **high professional standards**. Failure to act will expose Connecticut to **federal penalties**, legal challenges, and increased oversight, while putting vulnerable populations, including brain injury survivors and individuals with disabilities, at significant risk.

By focusing on **long-term solutions** that address staffing shortages without compromising care, DSS can ensure **compliance with federal regulations** and safeguard the health and welfare of the state's most vulnerable residents.

Additional Legal Ramifications and Case-Specific Examples

Legal Ramifications of Lowering Standards

The proposed amendments to the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program not only threaten consumer care but also expose the state of Connecticut to significant **legal and financial consequences** under federal law.

Violations of Federal Medicaid Regulations

- **42 CFR § 441.302:**

This regulation explicitly requires Medicaid waiver programs to maintain **high standards for service delivery**, particularly for vulnerable populations such as brain injury survivors. By lowering qualifications for case managers, DSS risks violating these regulations. Non-compliance could lead to:

- **Federal audits:** The **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** may initiate audits of Connecticut's Medicaid programs to ensure compliance with federal standards.
- **Financial penalties:** If found in violation, Connecticut could face **penalties**, including the loss or reduction of federal funding for the ABI Waiver Program.



- **Increased oversight:** Persistent violations may trigger **heightened federal oversight**, where CMS or other federal agencies impose stricter conditions on Connecticut's administration of Medicaid services.
-

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1396d

This statute mandates that Medicaid services be delivered in a way that **ensures the health and safety of beneficiaries**. Allowing underqualified case managers to manage complex medical cases could result in preventable harm, exposing the state to **legal liabilities**. In cases of poor outcomes, Connecticut may face:

- **Class-action lawsuits:** Similar to other states (e.g., California, Texas) that faced legal action for lowering care standards, Connecticut could be sued by Medicaid beneficiaries or their families for **negligence, inadequate care, or failure to meet federal healthcare standards**.
 - **Wrongful death or injury claims:** If poor case management leads to a beneficiary's harm or death, the state may face **wrongful death or injury claims**, increasing legal and financial risks.
-

ADA Violations

The *Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)* (42 U.S.C. § 12132) mandates that individuals with disabilities receive services that support their health and welfare. Lowering care standards for individuals with disabilities could put DSS at risk of **ADA violations**, leading to:

- **Federal civil rights investigations:** Federal agencies, such as the Department of Justice, may investigate **ADA violations**, potentially leading to legal scrutiny and **financial liabilities** for the state.
-



Case-Specific Examples

Texas – Lowering Home Health Aide Standards Led to Widespread Neglect

When Texas lowered qualifications for home health aides in its Medicaid waiver program, it led to **widespread neglect** and poor care outcomes. Following public outcry, investigations, and media attention, the state reversed the decision and reinstated **higher standards**. The state also faced **class-action lawsuits** and federal intervention to ensure consumer safety standards were restored.

- **Key Takeaway:** Lowering care standards caused harm to vulnerable populations, leading to **federal oversight**, costly legal battles, and **reputational damage** for Texas's Medicaid program.
-

California – CMS Intervention After Lowering Case Management Standards

California faced severe consequences after lowering case manager qualifications in its Medicaid waiver programs. Care quality deteriorated, prompting CMS to conduct an audit and impose **financial penalties**. Following the intervention, California was forced to reinstate **higher standards** for case managers and faced **lawsuits** from consumer advocates.

- **Key Takeaway:** CMS's intervention and financial penalties underscored that lowering care standards in Medicaid programs triggers **federal action**, leading to **legal liabilities** and lasting damage to care delivery and state finances.
-

Illinois – Transparency and Care Quality Lawsuit

In Illinois, Medicaid recipients filed a **class-action lawsuit** after the state's managed care program steered consumers toward lower-quality providers without transparency or individual



care considerations. The lawsuit resulted in a federal mandate for **greater oversight** and penalties for non-compliance.

- **Key Takeaway:** Lowering care standards, especially when tied to financial motives, leads to **legal challenges** and federal mandates to restore compliance, and protect consumer safety.
-

Expanded Recommendations for Connecticut

1. Engage CMS for Waiver Support, Not Lower Standards

Instead of lowering qualifications, DSS should proactively engage CMS for **waiver flexibility** that supports recruitment efforts. This could include **funding for enhanced training programs** or incentives to attract highly qualified case managers. By securing CMS support, Connecticut can **maintain care standards** without jeopardizing consumer safety.

2. Establish a Task Force on Workforce Development

DSS should convene a **task force** comprising healthcare professionals, policy experts, and consumer advocates to assess the workforce needs of the ABI Waiver Program. The task force could explore:

- **Loan forgiveness programs** for professionals in brain injury care.
 - **Partnerships with academic institutions** to train and recruit qualified workers.
 - **Targeted recruitment campaigns** for underserved areas or populations.
-

3. Implement Immediate Care Monitoring



While workforce development strategies are established, DSS should implement **enhanced monitoring** of current case management practices to identify risks and ensure consumer safety. This could include:

- **Randomized audits** of case manager performance.
 - **Consumer and family feedback systems** to report concerns about care quality.
 - **Third-party reviews** of care plans for high-risk consumers to ensure adequate oversight.
-

4. Public Transparency in Staffing and Care Outcomes

DSS should publish regular reports on **staffing levels, qualifications, and care outcomes** to ensure **public accountability**. Transparency will help build public trust and demonstrate DSS's commitment to improving care quality despite staffing challenges.

Conclusion

The proposed amendments to lower case manager qualifications in the ABI Waiver Program represent a **reactionary response** to staffing shortages that threatens the health and safety of Connecticut's most vulnerable residents. By compromising care standards, DSS risks **violating federal Medicaid regulations**, exposing the state to **legal challenges** and federal penalties.

Connecticut must prioritize **consumer safety** and long-term compliance by investing in **workforce development strategies** that maintain high professional standards. Experiences from states like **Texas** and **California** demonstrate that lowering standards leads to **legal liabilities, federal scrutiny**, and harm to consumers. By investing in **recruitment, training, and oversight**, Connecticut can safeguard Medicaid beneficiaries while ensuring compliance with **federal regulations**.



9. Whistleblower Good Faith and Legal Protections — A Call for Accountability

9.1 Legal Context and Protections

As the whistleblower exposing **systemic failures** within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, I, **David Medeiros**, have acted with **integrity and in good faith**. My primary motivation is to ensure **transparency, accountability**, and the protection of vulnerable Medicaid beneficiaries. This report outlines **violations of federal and state laws** that compromise care quality for disabled individuals and mismanage taxpayer funds.

Upholding Legal and Ethical Obligations

The concerns raised stem from an **ethical duty** to protect Medicaid beneficiaries and ensure compliance with federal laws, including:

- ***Social Security Act (Section 1902(a)(23))*** and **42 CFR § 431.51**: These provisions guarantee Medicaid beneficiaries the right to **freely choose** their care providers, preventing them from being steered into inappropriate or inadequate care arrangements.
- **42 CFR § 441.302** and **42 U.S.C. § 1396d**: These statutes require Medicaid programs to maintain **high professional standards** to safeguard the health and welfare of beneficiaries.
- ***Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) (29 U.S.C. § 206)***: This act mandates **fair wages** for disabled workers and prohibits sub-minimum wage payments without proper certification under **Section 14(c)**.

These laws are intended to ensure that individuals in the ABI Waiver Program receive **dignified, high-quality care**. The violations outlined in this report compromise these protections, exposing beneficiaries to **harm and financial exploitation**.



Acting in Good Faith

I have approached this report with a genuine belief in the **accuracy and urgency** of the issues presented. At no point have I intended to **defame or mislead** any individual or agency. My sole objective has been to raise **legitimate concerns** that, if left unaddressed, will continue to harm vulnerable populations, and violate federal laws.

My actions are rooted in **good faith**, supported by **full documentation** of findings, communications, and procedures to ensure transparency. My goal is to protect the **legal rights** of Medicaid beneficiaries and hold accountable those responsible for managing federal and state funds.

Whistleblower Legal Protections

My actions align with the **legal protections** afforded to whistleblowers under federal and state law:

- **Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302) (WPA)**: The WPA protects individuals who report **fraud, waste, or abuse** within federally funded programs like Medicaid from retaliation, including termination, harassment, or demotion.
- **False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729) (FCA)**: The FCA offers protections for whistleblowers exposing the **misuse of federal funds**. Under this act, I am entitled to report issues without fear of retaliation and may receive further protections if fraud is confirmed.
- **Connecticut Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302)**: This law safeguards state employees and contractors from retaliation when they report violations or mismanagement within state programs. I have adhered to the proper protocols, acting in the **best interest** of Medicaid beneficiaries and the integrity of the ABI Waiver Program.



9.2 Efforts to Seek Resolution

I have made **multiple efforts** to resolve these critical issues through formal channels:

- **Collaboration with Oversight Bodies:** This report has been submitted to relevant state and federal agencies, including the **Connecticut General Assembly**, with the objective of securing **corrective action**. Substantial evidence, including documented mismanagement and violations, has been provided.
- **Adherence to Formal Procedures:** I have diligently followed necessary protocols, ensuring my findings are **accurate and thoroughly documented**. Having exhausted all reasonable avenues, I now call for **accountability and urgent reform**.

Despite these efforts, **systemic failures persist**. **Immediate state and federal action** are necessary to protect the rights of Medicaid beneficiaries and restore the integrity of the ABI Waiver Program.

9.3 Legal Precedents Supporting Whistleblower Protections

Federal and state court precedents strongly support the **protections of whistleblowers** who report mismanagement or violations in federally funded programs like Medicaid:

- **US ex rel. Wilson v. Graham County Soil & Water Conservation District:** This case reinforced the FCA's broad protections for whistleblowers reporting **fraud against the federal government**. The court upheld protections for individuals exposing the **misuse of federal funds**, emphasizing the importance of safeguarding whistleblowers from retaliation.
- **Kerr v. Marshall University Board of Governors:** A whistleblower reporting **violations of safety standards** at a public institution successfully won legal protections under the WPA. The court emphasized the need to protect individuals who act in the **public interest** and expose systemic wrongdoing.



These cases underscore that whistleblower acting in **good faith**, as I have, are protected from retaliation. Exposing violations is a **critical mechanism** for ensuring accountability in **publicly funded programs**.

9.4 Federal Agencies' Role in Whistleblower Protection

Federal agencies play a critical role in **upholding whistleblower protections** and investigating reported violations. The **U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)**, **Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**, and **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)** are empowered to intervene when federal funds are misused or when care quality is compromised. I call upon these agencies to:

- **Investigate the systemic failures** outlined in this report to ensure compliance with federal laws protecting Medicaid beneficiaries.
 - **Enforce legal protections** for whistleblowers under the WPA and FCA, ensuring that I, and others who report similar issues, are shielded from retaliation.
 - **Hold accountable** the Connecticut DSS and other involved entities for failing to meet their legal obligations to beneficiaries and for managing federal Medicaid funds responsibly.
-

Conclusion: A Commitment to Transparency and Accountability

I respectfully urge the **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)** and relevant oversight bodies to take **immediate corrective action** to address the **systemic failures** outlined in this report. It is imperative that my **rights as a whistleblower** are protected under federal and state law, ensuring that my efforts to expose these critical issues are upheld.

This report is not an attempt to harm any individual or agency but rather to advocate for **meaningful reform** for Medicaid beneficiaries who rely on the ABI Waiver Program. I call upon federal agencies such as the DOJ, OCR, and CMS to **intervene**, investigate these violations, and ensure compliance with laws protecting Medicaid beneficiaries.



No whistleblower should face retaliation for exposing the truth, and no vulnerable population should suffer due to systemic mismanagement. I remain steadfast in my **commitment to transparency and accountability**, and I call for those responsible for the administration of the ABI Waiver Program to be held accountable.



10. Conclusion and Recommendations

The systemic failures within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program are urgent and pervasive. The mismanagement of federal Medicaid funds, violations of federal labor laws, and retaliation against whistleblowers have compromised the care of Medicaid beneficiaries and eroded the integrity of federally funded programs. Immediate federal intervention is essential to restore accountability and ensure the program's compliance with federal laws.

10.1 Key Recommendations for Federal Action

- To protect vulnerable Medicaid beneficiaries and ensure compliance with federal laws, the following actions are recommended: **Comprehensive Federal Audit to Include Recent Amendments:**

The federal audit should specifically investigate the **proposed amendments** to Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program, as there are **allegations of corruption** and concerns that these amendments serve to obscure past failures rather than improve care. The audit must ensure that these amendments do not compromise care quality for ABI survivors.

- **Call for Increased Stakeholder Involvement:**

To restore **public trust** and ensure that reforms are genuinely focused on improving care for vulnerable populations, there should be a mandate for **greater involvement of stakeholders**, including survivors, families, and advocacy groups, in shaping Medicaid policies and amendments.

Action-Oriented Recommendations for Federal Intervention

- **Immediate Transparency Enforcement:**

DSS's failure to provide **provider directories** and transparency in the amendment process is a direct violation of Medicaid principles. Immediate federal enforcement of



FOIA compliance and transparency laws is critical to ensure that beneficiaries and the public can make informed decisions.

☐ **Ensure Genuine Certification and Qualification Enforcement:**

The **certification for Brain Injury Specialists** must be rigorous and **meaningfully enforced** to guarantee that only qualified individuals manage the care of ABI survivors. The federal audit must assess the **rigor of DSS's certification processes** to ensure that the reforms are not merely procedural but actually protect consumer care.

☐ **Enforce FOIA and Transparency Compliance:**

Immediate enforcement of FOIA compliance is required to ensure DSS is transparent in its operations. Making the **Provider Directory** public is a critical step toward accountability and ensuring that ABI survivors have **access to qualified care**.

•

Comprehensive Federal Audit

- **Lead Agencies:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- **Action:** Conduct a thorough federal audit of Connecticut's Medicaid programs, with a focus on the ABI Waiver Program. The audit should uncover financial mismanagement, non-compliance with federal regulations, and systemic abuses.
- **Reason:** State-based audits have failed to achieve transparency and accountability. A federal audit provides the objectivity necessary to reveal the full extent of the failures.

Enforce Federal Medicaid Compliance



- **Lead Agencies:** CMS, GAO
 - **Action:** Ensure that DSS complies with Medicaid regulations, including the proper use of federal funds, adherence to care standards, and respect for beneficiaries' right to choose their providers under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(23).
 - **Consequence:** Non-compliance should result in penalties, funding reductions, or legal action to protect taxpayer dollars and ensure beneficiaries receive appropriate care.
-

Recover Misallocated Federal Funds

- **Lead Agencies:** Department of Justice (DOJ), CMS
 - **Action:** Pursue the recovery of misallocated Medicaid funds through enforcement of the *False Claims Act* (31 U.S.C. § 3729) (*FCA*). Recovered funds should be directed to improving services for beneficiaries.
 - **Reason:** Misuse of federal funds undermines Medicaid's mission, and legal action is necessary to ensure financial accountability.
-

Strengthen Oversight of Care Management Agencies

- **Lead Agency:** CMS
 - **Action:** Implement stringent federal monitoring of care management agencies to ensure they meet professional standards and deliver services required by Medicaid beneficiaries.
 - **Consequence:** Failure to comply should trigger federal penalties and mandatory corrective actions.
-

Protect Whistleblowers and Enforce Accountability

- **Lead Agencies:** Office for Civil Rights (OCR), DOJ



- **Action:** Enforce *Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302)* (WPA) provisions to shield individuals exposing fraud, waste, or abuse. Investigate retaliatory actions by DSS and the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) against whistleblowers like David Medeiros.
 - **Consequence:** Protecting whistleblowers prevents further retaliation and encourages the exposure of systemic failures, preserving the program's integrity.
-

Action-Oriented Recommendations for Federal Intervention

Immediate Federal Audit and Investigation

- **Lead Agencies:** CMS, GAO, Office of Inspector General (OIG)
 - **Actions:**
 - Conduct a comprehensive federal audit to uncover mismanagement, fraudulent billing, and misuse of Medicaid funds.
 - Initiate a federal investigation into retaliatory actions against whistleblowers, ensuring WPA protections.
 - Review DSS's referral system for compliance with Medicaid choice provisions under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(23).
-

Legal Enforcement and Recovery of Funds

- **Lead Agencies:** DOJ, CMS
- **Actions:**
 - If fraud is confirmed, pursue legal claims under the FCA, seeking treble damages. Recovered funds should be used to enhance Medicaid services.



- Enforce *Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)* (29 U.S.C. § 206) compliance to ensure back wages are paid to disabled workers affected by 14(c) certification violations.

Implement Federal Oversight and Corrective Action

- **Lead Agencies:** CMS, GAO
- **Actions:**
 - Establish a Federal Compliance Oversight Committee to monitor Connecticut's Medicaid programs and implement corrective actions.
 - Mandate quarterly reporting by DSS on fund usage, care compliance, and beneficiary rights, with penalties for non-compliance.

Strengthen Whistleblower Protections

- **Lead Agencies:** OIG, DOJ
- **Actions:**
 - Create a federal whistleblower hotline for reporting fraud and mismanagement to promote program integrity.
 - Enforce full legal protections for whistleblowers and take legal action against retaliatory state actors.

Mandate Transparency and FOIA Compliance

- **Lead Agency:** OIG
- **Actions:**



- Enforce immediate *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)* (5 U.S.C. § 552) compliance. DSS must fulfill all outstanding FOIA requests or face federal penalties.
 - Require Connecticut to regularly publish Medicaid fund management and service delivery reports to ensure transparency.
-

Why Connecticut Can't Self-Audit

Connecticut's history of mismanagement, non-compliance, and retaliation against whistleblowers proves that the state cannot be trusted to audit its own programs. Federal intervention is necessary for the following reasons:

- **Conflict of Interest:** DSS cannot objectively audit itself and is likely to downplay key issues.
 - **Pattern of Non-Compliance:** DSS's repeated failure to comply with federal regulations, including the FCA and Medicaid laws, demonstrates an inability to hold itself accountable.
 - **Lack of Transparency:** DSS's refusal to comply with FOIA requests signals an unwillingness to conduct a fair internal audit.
 - **Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:** DSS's retaliation against whistleblowers, such as David Medeiros, further proves the agency cannot be trusted to review its own practices independently.
-

Federal Precedents

Federal agencies such as CMS, GAO, and OIG have conducted external audits in other states, setting a precedent for federal oversight in cases of Medicaid fraud and mismanagement. Examples include:



- **Texas Medicaid Fraud Case (2016):** CMS and OIG conducted a federal audit, recovered millions in misallocated funds, and terminated underperforming contracts.
 - **Illinois Medicaid Overhaul (2013-2017):** CMS and OIG recovered over \$75 million in misused funds and implemented stricter oversight measures.
 - **Oregon's 14(c) Wage Violations (2015):** A federal lawsuit recovered unpaid wages and strengthened protections for disabled workers after the state violated the FLSA.
-

Conclusion: A Federal Path to Accountability and Compliance

Federal audits and investigations are necessary to reveal the full extent of mismanagement in Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program. Legal enforcement is essential to recover misused taxpayer funds and protect whistleblowers from retaliation. Ongoing federal oversight is required to ensure that Connecticut complies with Medicaid regulations, transparency laws, and labor protections, restoring accountability and safeguarding the integrity of Medicaid.

By following these recommendations, federal agencies can ensure that Connecticut's ABI Waiver Program operates in compliance with federal law, protecting both taxpayers and vulnerable Medicaid beneficiaries.



Report on Retaliation, Systemic Obstruction, and Discrimination within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program

Overview

This report details ongoing **retaliation, systemic obstruction, and discrimination** faced by **David Medeiros**, a brain injury survivor, whistleblower, and provider under Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. The retaliation stems from whistleblowing actions that exposed significant **mismanagement, fraud, and violations** within the program, overseen by the **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)** and the **Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**. This report documents actions taken by these entities to suppress efforts to bring **transparency, accountability, and legal compliance** to the program.

1. Billing Obstruction and Administrative Manipulation

Since whistleblowing, DSS has engaged in **systemic actions** to obstruct billing processes for services provided under the ABI Waiver Program. DSS repeatedly blocked the ability to bill for services, citing "**administrative errors**" that were only corrected after significant delays. These obstructions have undermined the **financial and operational stability** of services provided to Medicaid recipients, compromising care delivery and business operations.

2. Tampering with Timekeeping Systems

DSS has manipulated the required timeclock system, which has consistently failed during weekends. Although DSS dismissed these failures as "**glitches**," the **timing and regularity** of



these disruptions suggest deliberate tampering designed to obstruct **service documentation and billing**, adding further barriers to appropriate care documentation.

3. Public Censorship and Defamation at Hearings

During a public hearing, **State Senator Olsten** publicly censored and defamed David Medeiros by labeling him a “**rude person**” on television and refusing to allow questions from state representatives and senators. This public attack undermined Medeiros’ credibility and silenced a key voice exposing systemic issues within the program, fostering a **hostile environment** for whistleblowers.

4. Evidence Deletion and Denial of Civil Rights

The **Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)** has systematically obstructed David Medeiros' civil rights complaint. **CHRO deleted all submitted evidence** and refused to hear his case, violating **due process**. After Medeiros contacted the **Department of Justice (DOJ)**, CHRO responded with a letter refusing to pursue the civil rights complaint, further obstructing justice.

5. Denial of FOIA Requests and Accommodations

David Medeiros filed multiple ***Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552)*** requests and requested accommodations under the ***Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12132)***, which were systematically ignored. DSS has made the FOIA process unnecessarily complex and failed to provide **ADA-mandated accommodations**, such as simplified communication methods, in clear violation of federal law.



6. Public Insults and Intimidation

DSS officials publicly humiliated and intimidated David Medeiros, calling him a “**pain in the ass**” during professional meetings. DSS and the **Connecticut Department of Consumer Protection (DCP)** further escalated retaliation by **threatening audits and legal consequences** for other Medicaid providers, creating a culture of fear around exposing wrongdoing within the system.

7. Secret Public Health Hearings and Lack of Transparency

Connecticut pursued a federal health block grant related to the ABI Waiver Program, holding public hearings that were **secretly scheduled** without proper notification. By burying notices in legal journals, DSS restricted **public participation and oversight**, violating principles of open government.

8. Denial of Accommodations at Public Presentations

David Medeiros requested reasonable ADA accommodations, such as virtual attendance via Zoom or the ability to record a public presentation. These requests were denied, and DSS officials publicly insulted Medeiros for his disability (brain injury), further violating **ADA protections**. DSS threatened to remove him if he recorded the session, highlighting efforts to prevent **transparency and public documentation**.

9. Introduction of Unqualified Providers and Coerced Services

DSS allowed **unqualified behavioral analysts** to function as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) providers under Medicaid, without public knowledge or amendments. Consumers were coerced into receiving therapy, with no option to refuse, violating their rights to **informed**



consent. DSS took no action when these unqualified providers **steered clients away** from David Medeiros' agency, **ABI Resources**, despite clear evidence of unethical practices.

10. Endangerment of Client Safety

A Medicaid consumer requiring 24-hour support was advised by a behavioral analyst that they could leave unsupervised, placing the client and staff at risk. DSS and care management dismissed concerns raised by David Medeiros, forcing him to resign. The client and staff were transferred to another agency connected to the behavioral analyst, highlighting DSS's negligence in ensuring **client safety**.

11. Steering and Poaching of Consumers

Care management agencies, in coordination with behavioral analysts, pressured consumers to transfer to agencies where the behavioral analysts were employed, violating consumer autonomy and ethical standards. DSS took no corrective action, allowing this **client poaching** to continue unchecked.

Informed Consent Violations:

In multiple cases, behavioral analysts under the ABI Waiver Program have coerced consumers into receiving therapy services without proper **informed consent**. Consumers were **misled about their options** and pressured into switching providers, a practice that violates their rights under federal Medicaid laws.

Conclusion: A Crisis of Accountability and Transparency



The **retaliation, mismanagement, and lack of transparency** described in this report represent a deliberate effort by DSS, CHRO, and related entities to suppress whistleblowing and shield fraud within Connecticut's Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. Actions such as blocking billing, tampering with essential systems, deleting evidence, denying accommodations, and censoring public discourse violate federal laws, including the *Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302) (WPA)*, *Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)*, and *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)*.

These retaliatory actions have harmed **David Medeiros** as a whistleblower, jeopardized the safety of vulnerable Medicaid consumers, and undermined the integrity of the Medicaid system. Without immediate **federal oversight** and intervention, these abuses will continue, unchecked by state agencies.

Recommendations for Federal Action

1. **Comprehensive Federal Audit** of Connecticut's Medicaid programs, specifically the ABI Waiver Program, to uncover financial exploitation and mismanagement.
2. **Immediate Enforcement of Whistleblower Protections** under the *Whistleblower Protection Act (5 U.S.C. § 2302)* to safeguard individuals exposing fraud and mismanagement.
3. **Recovery of Misused Federal Funds** through legal action to hold state agencies accountable for failing to manage Medicaid programs responsibly.
4. **Implementation of Federal Oversight** to ensure transparency, prevent further retaliation, and protect the rights of Medicaid consumers and whistleblowers.

The **time for federal intervention is now**. Without decisive action, the ongoing misconduct within Connecticut's Medicaid programs will continue to erode public trust and compromise the health and safety of those most in need.



Request for Basic Accommodations and Electronic Communications

I am formally requesting that all communications regarding my concerns, complaints, and whistleblower reports related to the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program be conducted electronically via email at **AabiWR@live.com**. As a survivor of a traumatic brain injury and stroke, I experience cognitive challenges that impact my memory recall and organizational abilities, particularly with verbal conversations. Email communication is critical to my ability to manage information, stay organized, and ensure accurate exchanges.

Reasons for Request:

1. **Memory and Recall Challenges:** Due to my brain injury, I have difficulty recalling verbal conversations. Email provides a written record that allows me to refer back to previous communications, helping me stay organized and on track. This ensures that important details are not lost and that I can engage meaningfully in all correspondence.
2. **Organizational Tools:** I rely on specialized software to help me understand and process information. Electronic communication allows me to use these tools effectively, ensuring that I can stay organized and keep track of ongoing conversations. Verbal exchanges do not offer me the same level of clarity or assistance.
3. **Cognitive Demands:** Communication, particularly verbal, takes a significant cognitive toll on me. By conducting communication via email, I can manage my energy levels and take the necessary time to process and respond thoughtfully without the pressure of immediate verbal exchanges.
4. **Not a Government Employee or Politician:** I am not a government worker or politician and am doing my best to communicate and advocate to the best of my current abilities. Email communication allows me to communicate more effectively given my current limitations and ensures that I can engage with the ongoing process in a manner that works best for me.

By granting this accommodation, you will be supporting my ability to participate fully and effectively, in line with **ADA** guidelines for reasonable accommodations. I kindly request that all



future communications be directed to **AabiWR@live.com** to facilitate clear, documented, and accessible correspondence.

Thank you for your understanding and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

David Medeiros



Dear Friends and Advocates,

I write to you today not just as someone who has faced challenges within Connecticut's Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, but as someone who is absolutely committed to seeing justice, compassion, and accountability restored across the board. What we're witnessing isn't just isolated to one program. If this kind of mismanagement, neglect, and failure is happening here, within one federally funded program, we have to ask ourselves: how widespread is this? What if this is happening across every program managed by Connecticut's Department of Social Services (DSS) and their contracted care management partners? The possibility that this is affecting every federally funded program is not just alarming--it's unacceptable.

Here's the truth: government systems are supposed to protect the most vulnerable, not fail them. And when they fail, they create a ripple effect that impacts not just one program or one group of people--it affects everyone. What we're talking about here isn't just an oversight or a mistake; it's a systemic breakdown that puts countless lives at risk. If we don't act now to address these issues, how many more people will fall through the cracks?

I believe in the power of collective action and that the strength of any community is determined by how well we care for the least of us. And let me tell you, I've lived through this. I've felt the weight of a system that's supposed to support but instead puts up roadblocks. I've seen firsthand how this failure in care impacts not just individuals, but entire families and communities. And if it's happening here, in this program, you can bet it's happening elsewhere. We have a duty to expose it, to correct it, and to transform it into something better.

We cannot sit back and wait for someone else to take care of this. Now is the time to hold those responsible accountable. This isn't just about identifying failures; it's about demanding transformation. This is about ensuring that every single person, every single dollar, and every single moment of care is handled with integrity, compassion, and an unwavering commitment to doing what is right.

But here's the exciting part--there is an opportunity here. This moment is a call to not just repair, but to reinvent these systems. Think about it: within every crisis lies the seed



for transformation. And when we take bold, decisive action--when we align our efforts with the values of dignity, justice, and respect--we elevate the standard for care across all programs, across every corner of this state and beyond.

Compassion is our fuel. It's what drives us to fight for more, to fight for better. The people relying on the ABI Waiver Program and every other federally funded service don't just deserve a functional system--they deserve one built on love, respect, and the understanding that they matter. Let that sink in for a second: they matter. You matter. We all matter.

And justice? Justice is non-negotiable. It's time to wake up to the fact that what's happening here is bigger than just one program. This is a systemic issue, and we need to align our actions with what's right. No more excuses. No more looking the other way. We're in this together, and the only way forward is through truth, accountability, and decisive action.

Here's what needs to happen: federal intervention, immediate oversight, and a complete investigation into how these programs are being managed. And we can't stop there. We need to rebuild these systems so they operate with integrity and transparency. This isn't about expanding government--it's about demanding strong oversight to make sure that every dollar is used wisely, every law is upheld, and every person is treated with the respect and dignity they deserve.

So I'm calling on you to stand with me. This is our moment to turn things around, not just for this program, but for every program. We must demand accountability, restore trust, and create a future where compassion, justice, and integrity are the guiding principles of our systems.

The time to act is now. Together, we have the power to create a future that reflects our highest values--a future where no one is left behind, where every person is treated with honor, and where every program serves the people with the dignity and respect they deserve. We can make this happen, but it starts with us, right here, right now. Let's rise to this challenge, and let's create a better, more compassionate future for all of us.

Honoring all with deep respect, compassion and unwavering commitment,



Respectfully,

David Medeiros

David Medeiros
Husband and Father,

Founder ABI Resources
TBI and Stroke Survivor



Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity.

**Addressing Issues within the Connecticut Medicaid
Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.**



Whistleblower Report Prepared by:

David Medeiros and ABI Resources LLC

Date: November 21, 2023

**ABI Resources LLC
39 Kings Hwy STE C
Gales Ferry, CT. 06226
860 942-0365**

Introduction

Title: Comprehensive Grievance Report and Request for Clarity / Whistleblower Report, ABI Resources LLC

Date: November 21, 2023

Prepared by: David Medeiros and ABI Resources LLC

Overview of ABI Resources LLC:

ABI Resources LLC, a dedicated service provider within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, is committed to delivering exceptional care to individuals with acquired brain injuries. Our mission is to ensure effective rehabilitation and community reintegration for our clients through specialized programs.

Purpose of the Document:

This report is a formal consolidation of grievances faced by ABI Resources LLC within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. It aims to address these concerns with the relevant authorities, advocating for change and improvement.

Summary of Grievances

1. **Discriminatory Business Practices**: ABI Resources LLC has faced inequities in Medicaid referrals, experiencing marginalization and an unfair distribution of referrals.
2. **Service and Intervention Plans**: Concerns about non-receipt of essential service and intervention plans, impacting operational and financial integrity.
3. **Concealment of Public Information**: The Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers has been concealed, affecting the ability of individuals to make informed decisions and impacting ABI Resources.
4. **Unauthorized Care Management Services**: Issues regarding unauthorized provision of care management consultation services in ABI Waiver Program 1.
5. **Unethical Practices and Possible Kickback Schemes**: Concerns about conflicts of interest and unethical practices, including potential kickback arrangements.
6. **Rental Agreements in Medicaid ABI Waiver Program**: Issues regarding rental agreements that restrict consumer choice and freedom, creating a monopolistic environment.

Detailed Grievances and Concerns

Grievance 1: Discriminatory Unfair Business Practices

- Page 7
- **Overview:** ABI Resources LLC has faced significant challenges due to inequitable Medicaid referral processes. Specific instances include a noticeable decrease in referrals compared to other providers, suggesting a biased distribution that disadvantages our clients and organization.
- **Proposed Solutions:** We advocate for a transparent, equitable referral system, periodic audits of referral practices, and a review of policies to ensure fair treatment of all service providers in the ABI Waiver Program.

Grievance 2: Service and Intervention Plans

- **Overview:** There have been instances where ABI Resources LLC did not receive essential service and intervention plans on time. This lack of timely information compromises our ability to provide effective care and maintain financial integrity.
- **Proposed Solutions:** Implementation of a streamlined, reliable process for the timely delivery of service and intervention plans, and clear communication channels between providers and program administrators.

Grievance 3: Concealment of Public Information

- **Overview:** The Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers has not been made adequately available, limiting the ability of individuals to make informed choices and affecting our visibility in the program.

- **Proposed Solutions:** Ensure the directory is publicly accessible, regularly updated, and transparent, allowing clients to make informed choices about their care providers.

Grievance 4: Unauthorized Care Management Services

- **Overview:** Concerns about unauthorized provision of care management consultation services in ABI Waiver Program 1, which may contravene established protocols.
- **Proposed Solutions:** Investigate and rectify instances of unauthorized services, and reinforce compliance with program guidelines and standards.

Grievance 5: Unethical Practices and Possible Kickback Schemes

- **Overview:** Alarming indications of conflicts of interest and unethical practices within the program, including potential kickback arrangements, have been observed.
- **Proposed Solutions:** Conduct a thorough investigation into these practices, establish stricter oversight mechanisms, and enforce ethical standards across the program.

Grievance 6: Rental Agreements in Medicaid ABI Waiver Program

- **Overview:** The current structure of rental agreements within the program restricts consumer choice and freedom, creating a monopolistic environment detrimental to clients and providers.
- **Proposed Solutions:** Review and revise rental agreement policies to promote fair competition and consumer choice, ensuring transparency and ethical practice in housing arrangements.

Call to Action and Recommendations

Immediate Actions Required

1. **Review of Referral Processes:** An immediate audit and review of the referral processes within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program to ensure fairness and transparency.
2. **Timely Delivery of Service Plans:** Establish protocols to guarantee the timely delivery of service and intervention plans to all providers.
3. **Accessibility of Provider Directory:** Immediate action to make the ABI Waiver Program's Provider Directory fully accessible and transparent to clients and providers.

Long-term Systemic Recommendations

1. **Policy Overhaul:** A comprehensive review and overhaul of policies governing referral processes, service plan distributions, and care management services.
2. **Enhanced Oversight and Accountability:** Implementing stricter oversight mechanisms and accountability measures to prevent unethical practices and potential conflicts of interest.
3. **Consumer Choice in Rental Agreements:** Reforming rental agreement policies to promote fair competition, consumer choice, and transparency within the program.

These actions and reforms are vital for maintaining the integrity of the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program and ensuring equitable treatment and high-quality care for all clients.

Conclusion

This report, prepared by David Medeiros and ABI Resources LLC, comprehensively outlines several critical grievances faced within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program. These issues, ranging from discriminatory business practices to the concealment of public information, unauthorized services, and potential unethical practices, significantly impact the quality of care provided to individuals with acquired brain injuries and the operational integrity of service providers like ABI Resources LLC.

The call for action is clear and urgent. Immediate steps, as outlined in this report, are necessary to address these grievances, followed by a commitment to long-term systemic improvements. Only through transparent, fair, and ethical practices can the program truly fulfill its mission of supporting and rehabilitating individuals with acquired brain injuries.

We urge the responsible authorities to consider these grievances seriously and take prompt, effective action. The wellbeing of many individuals and the effectiveness of the ABI Waiver Program depend on these crucial improvements.



A.B.I. Resources LLC

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

10/31/2023

Subject: Formal Grievance Concerning Discriminatory Unfair Business Practices within the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program

To: All pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut,

I trust this correspondence reaches you in excellent health and high spirits. My name is David Medeiros, and I am addressing you in my capacity as a concerned business owner, resident, and individual with a disability residing in the state of Connecticut. I have the honor of leading ABI Resources, a distinguished service provider within the Connecticut Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.

I find myself compelled to highlight and formally complain about a series of blatant and persistent inequities pertaining to discriminatory business practices occurring under the aegis of the aforementioned program. Despite my multiple, concerted efforts to engage in constructive dialogue with the Connecticut Department of Social Services, addressing these grave concerns has been met with a disconcerting lack of engagement and rectification. This situation has had a deleterious impact not only on the operations of ABI Resources but also on the well-being and quality of service accessible to the disabled individuals we are committed to serving.

Key Concerns and Grievances:

a) **Medicaid Referrals:**

b) **Paying Consumers / Financial Incentives Inducements / Medicaid Service Agency**

a) **Medicaid Referrals:**

There is a prevailing lack of transparency and an apparent bias in the Medicaid referral processes within the ABI Waiver Program. ABI Resources, despite an unwavering commitment to excellence in service delivery, has been unfairly marginalized, resulting in an unequal and unjust distribution of referrals.

Addressing issues of transparency, bias, and unfair marginalization in Medicaid referrals, particularly within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, is crucial to ensuring equitable access to quality healthcare services for all eligible individuals. ABI Resources and similar service providers play a vital role in supporting individuals with acquired brain injuries, and it is essential that they are treated fairly in the referral process to continue their commitment to excellence in service delivery.

Potential Steps to Address the Issue:

Increase Transparency:

Implement a transparent referral process where criteria for referrals are clearly defined and made publicly available.

Establish a monitoring system to track and publish referral data, including the number of referrals made to each service provider and the reasons for referral decisions.

Conduct an Independent Audit:

Hire an independent entity to conduct a thorough review of the Medicaid referral processes within the ABI Waiver Program to identify any biases or unfair practices.

The audit should also evaluate the performance and quality of services provided by ABI Resources and other service providers to ensure that referrals are based on merit and the ability to meet the needs of individuals with acquired brain injuries.

Implement a Fair and Equitable Referral System:

Develop a standardized and objective referral system that ensures all service providers are evaluated based on the same criteria.

Consider incorporating a randomized component to the referral process to prevent bias and ensure a fair distribution of referrals.

Engage Stakeholders:

Create a platform for open dialogue between Medicaid officials, service providers, individuals with acquired brain injuries, and their families to discuss concerns related to the referral process.

Use feedback from stakeholders to continuously improve the referral system and address any emerging issues.

Provide Training and Education:

Offer training to Medicaid officials and other stakeholders involved in the referral process to raise awareness about potential biases and the importance of a fair and equitable system.

Educate service providers about the referral process, criteria for referrals, and steps they can take if they believe they have been treated unfairly.

Establish a Complaint and Appeal Process:

Create a clear and accessible complaint and appeal process for service providers who believe they have been unfairly marginalized in the referral process.

Ensure that complaints are thoroughly investigated and that corrective action is taken when warranted.

Promote Accountability:

Hold Medicaid officials and other stakeholders accountable for ensuring a fair and transparent referral process.

Implement consequences for individuals or entities found to be engaging in biased or unfair practices.

Regularly Review and Update Policies:

Conduct regular reviews of referral policies and procedures to ensure they remain up-to-date, fair, and transparent.

Update policies as needed to address any identified issues and to adapt to changing needs and circumstances.

Addressing the issues of transparency, bias, and unfair marginalization in Medicaid referrals within the ABI Waiver Program is essential to ensuring that all eligible individuals have equal access to high-quality services. It is imperative that service providers like ABI Resources are treated fairly and equitably in the referral process to uphold the integrity of the healthcare system and to foster trust among all stakeholders. Implementing the above steps could contribute significantly to creating a more transparent, fair, and equitable referral system, ultimately benefiting individuals with acquired brain injuries and the service providers dedicated to supporting them.

b) Paying Consumers / Financial Incentives Inducements / Medicaid Service Agency

Provider: A Medicaid service agency provider is offering financial incentives to consumers, leading to an imbalance in the competitive landscape. This kind of practice can indeed raise serious ethical, legal, and fairness concerns.

Ethical Concerns:

Conflicts of Interest: Financial incentives could create a conflict of interest, where the provider's financial gain takes precedence over the best interests of the consumer.

Bias and Unfairness: It could lead to bias in referrals, favoring certain providers over others irrespective of the quality of services they offer.

Erosion of Trust: Such practices can erode trust in the Medicaid system and healthcare providers, as consumers may question the motives behind the services they are being referred to.

Legal Concerns:

Violation of Anti-Kickback Statutes: Providing inducements to influence the referral of services covered by Medicaid can violate anti-kickback statutes, potentially leading to legal actions and penalties.

False Claims Act Violations: If such inducements lead to fraudulent billing or claims, it could result in violations of the False Claims Act.

Non-Compliance with Medicaid Regulations: Medicaid has strict guidelines and regulations to ensure fairness and prevent fraud, and engaging in such practices can result in non-compliance and potential debarment from the program.

Lack of Responsiveness to Complaints and Reports: Our persistent complaints and reports, meticulously documenting these issues, have been met with apathy. There is an alarming deficit in the investigation, redressal, and accountability mechanisms within the system.

Adverse Impacts on ABI Resources:

Financial Duress: The discriminatory practices and referral shortages have placed ABI Resources under significant financial duress, compromising our ability to maintain operational viability and deliver superior services to our clients.

Reputational Harm: The state's nonintervention and perceived partiality have led to an erosion of our reputation. This has resulted in ABI Resources being unjustly perceived as a less favorable service provider, regardless of our commitment to quality and excellence.

Demoralization of Workforce: The protracted nature of these issues has led to a pervasive sense of demoralization among our staff and stakeholders, adversely impacting the morale and efficacy of our organizational operations.

Addressing the Issue:

Regulatory Oversight: There should be strict oversight and monitoring by regulatory bodies to ensure that all Medicaid service agency providers are complying with laws and regulations.

Transparency: Increasing transparency in the referral process can help in ensuring that referrals are made based on the quality of services rather than financial incentives.

Whistleblower Protections: Encouraging whistleblowing and providing protections for whistleblowers can help in uncovering and addressing such unethical practices.

Penalties and Sanctions: Implementing strict penalties and sanctions for those found guilty of engaging in such practices can act as a deterrent.

Public Awareness: Educating consumers about the potential for such conflicts of interest and providing them with information on how to report suspicious activities can empower them to make informed decisions.

Addressing this issue is crucial for maintaining the integrity of the Medicaid program, ensuring that consumers receive the best possible care, and fostering a competitive and fair marketplace for healthcare services.

Call to Action:

I earnestly seek your prompt intervention and advocacy in ensuring this grievance is communicated to all pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut tasked with addressing such critical matters. The departments that ought to be immediately apprised of this situation include, but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

Connecticut Office of the Healthcare Advocate

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management

I have proactively initiated complaints and have directly engaged with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. Rest assured, all communications have been meticulously documented, encompassing dates, times, and the identities of the individuals engaged in these discussions.

I place my trust in your unwavering commitment to justice and fairness, confident that you will accord this matter the urgency and gravitas it demands, ensuring a

comprehensive investigation and the implementation of corrective measures. The equitable treatment of all service providers operating under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program is of utmost importance, and I am optimistic that your intervention will usher in a just resolution.

I am grateful for your time and thoughtful consideration of this crucial matter.

Best regards,
David Medeiros
David Medeiros
ABI Resources, CEO, Director, Team Member





A.B.I. Resources LLC

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

11/13/2023

Subject: Formal Grievance Complaint Concerning Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Service and Intervention Plans.

To: All pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut,

I am writing on behalf of ABI Resources, a dedicated provider of essential services to individuals with acquired brain injuries (ABI). This letter serves to formally raise a grievance and file a complaint concerning the serious issue of not receiving Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program service and intervention plans from consumer-assigned care management consultants. This situation has gravely impacted the operational and financial integrity of ABI Resources.

As per the stipulations of the ABI Waiver Program, a "Service Plan" is an essential document that outlines the necessary medical and community-based services enabling individuals to live outside institutional settings. The provision of individualized service plans include measurable goals, objectives, and documentation of total service costs.

Additionally, "Intervention Plans," developed by cognitive behaviorists, are crucial in identifying and managing treatment goals and interventions for our

clients. However, despite our repeated efforts and adherence to the required protocols, we have not received these vital documents from care management. The lack of these plans has led to significant operational and financial difficulties for ABI Resources.

Sec. 17b-260a-6. Person-centered planning process (a) The service plan shall be developed based on a person-centered planning model, as described in 42 CFR 441.301(c), as amended from time to time. The individual shall lead the planning process where possible, and in accordance with section 17b-260a-11(a) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

6) Prohibit providers of waiver services for the individual, or those who have an interest in or are employed by a provider of waiver services for the individual, from providing care management or participating in the development of the person-centered service plan;

Key Concerns and Grievances:

Non-Receipt of Essential Documents: Despite adherence to protocol, ABI Resources has not received the mandated individualized service and intervention plans, which include measurable goals and objectives for the consumers we serve. Furthermore, the necessary intervention plans developed by cognitive behaviorists, which outline treatment goals and interventions, have also not been provided by care management.

Concerns: Impact on Daily Support Staff and Program Consumers

In addition to the aforementioned issues, the absence of these critical Medicaid ABI Waiver Program plans has significantly affected our daily support staff and, consequently, the consumers of the program.

Impact on Daily Support Staff:

Lack of Clear Direction: Without structured plans, staff members struggle to provide focused and effective services, as they lack clear guidelines and objectives.

Increased Workload and Stress: The ambiguity surrounding treatment goals and methods has led to an increased workload and heightened stress levels among staff, impacting their well-being and job satisfaction.

Professional Inefficiency: Staff are unable to utilize their skills and training effectively, leading to professional dissatisfaction.

Difficulty in Tracking Progress: The absence of measurable goals makes it challenging for staff to track and report on client progress accurately.

Risk of Non-Compliance: Without proper guidelines, there is an increased risk of non-compliance with regulatory standards, potentially leading to legal and ethical issues.

Reduced Team Morale: The overall uncertainty and increased challenges have adversely affected team morale and cohesion.

Impact on Consumers of the Program:

Inconsistent Service Quality: Consumers face variability in the quality of care received, as staff members lack consistent plans to guide their services.

Slowed Progress: The absence of structured goals and interventions can slow down or hinder the progress and rehabilitation of consumers.

Reduced Confidence in Services: Consumers and their families may lose confidence in the quality and effectiveness of the services provided.

Increased Vulnerability: Consumers, particularly those with severe conditions, become more vulnerable due to the lack of tailored, goal-oriented care.

Potential for Unmet Needs: Without specific plans, some needs of consumers may remain unidentified and unaddressed, leading to gaps in care.

Emotional and Psychological Impact: The inconsistency and uncertainty in care can have adverse emotional and psychological effects on consumers.

These challenges not only make the daily responsibilities of our care providers more arduous but also critically undermine the quality of care and support we can offer to our consumers. It is imperative that these issues be addressed immediately to ensure the well-being of both our staff and the consumers who rely on our services.

Adverse Impacts on ABI Resources:

The cumulative effect of these issues has placed ABI Resources in a precarious financial and operational position.

Financial Losses: The absence of these critical documents has led to substantial financial losses, severely hampering our ability to function effectively.

Staffing Challenges: The lack of structured plans has created many challenges for our service providers and as a result, we have faced significant staffing issues, including layoffs and the challenges to maintain essential personnel.

Client Impact: Our capacity to offer consistent and high-quality services has been compromised, leading to a loss of clients and a tarnishing of our reputation.

Administrative Burden: The lack of structured plans has resulted in an increased administrative load, as we strive to manage without proper guidance.

Overall Business Impact: These compounded issues threaten the viability of ABI Resources and our mission to serve those in need.

Potential Steps to Address the Issue:

Immediate Investigation: We request a prompt investigation into this matter.

Provision of Required Plans: We urge for the immediate provision of the required service and intervention plans.

Compensation for Losses: Guidance on how to claim losses incurred due to this oversight and a discussion on potential compensatory measures are necessary.

Support and Guidance: Additional support to help navigate and rectify this situation would be invaluable.

Addressing the Issue:

We have initiated complaints and engaged directly with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. All communications have been thoroughly documented.

Call to Action:

I earnestly seek your prompt intervention and advocacy in ensuring this grievance is communicated to all pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut tasked with addressing such critical matters. The departments that ought to be immediately apprised of this situation include, but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

Connecticut Office of the Healthcare Advocate

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management

I have proactively initiated complaints and have directly engaged with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. Rest assured, all communications have been meticulously documented, encompassing dates, times, and the identities of the individuals engaged in these discussions.

I place my trust in your unwavering commitment to justice and fairness, confident that you will accord this matter the urgency and gravitas it demands, ensuring a comprehensive investigation and the implementation of corrective measures. The

equitable treatment of all service providers operating under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program is of utmost importance, and I am optimistic that your intervention will usher in a just resolution.

I am grateful for your time and thoughtful consideration of this crucial matter.

Best regards,
David Medeiros
David Medeiros
ABI Resources, CEO, Director, Team Member



A.B.I. Resources LLC

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

11/15/2023

Subject: Formal Grievance and Complaint Regarding the Concealment of Public Information: Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers.

To: All pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut,

I am writing on behalf of ABI Resources to express our formal grievance and file a complaint regarding the handling of the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS). Specifically, our complaint centers on the apparent concealment of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers, a critical public resource.

Key Concerns and Grievances:

- a) Denial of Public Access to Information: The DSS managed Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers is public information, rightfully accessible to all. Its concealment not only violates the principles of transparency and public service but also directly impacts those in dire need of these services.
- b) Inaccessibility to Vulnerable Populations: The absence of accessible information severely restricts the ability of individuals with acquired brain



injuries, their families, and caregivers to make informed decisions regarding care and support. This lack of access is particularly detrimental, given the complex needs of this population.

- c) Increased Risk and Exploitation: Without knowledge of accredited and reputable providers, vulnerable individuals are at a heightened risk of exploitation and subpar services, which can lead to adverse health outcomes.
- d) Unnecessary Strain on Families and Caregivers: Families and caregivers, already burdened with care responsibilities, face additional challenges in finding appropriate services, contributing to increased stress and potential caregiving errors.
- e) Financial and Operational Impact on ABI Resources: As a provider, ABI Resources has suffered considerable financial losses due to decreased client engagement and an increased administrative burden. This situation stems directly from the unavailability of the provider directory, which hinders our ability to efficiently connect with potential clients.
- f) Administrative Burdens and Resource Diversion: The lack of a central, accessible directory has led to an excessive administrative load, diverting critical resources from our core mission of providing care.

Proposed Solutions and Steps to Address the Issue:

- 1) Immediate Disclosure and Accessibility: We request the immediate release of the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program Directory of Providers, ensuring it

is readily accessible to all stakeholders, particularly the public and vulnerable populations.

- 2) Commitment to Transparency: The DSS should commit to ongoing transparency in all aspects of the ABI Waiver Program, including regular updates and easy access to all program-related information.
- 3) Constructive Dialogue and Rectification: ABI Resources seeks to engage in a constructive dialogue with the DSS to discuss the implications of this concealment and explore potential avenues for rectifying the financial and operational impacts.

Addressing the Issue:

We have initiated complaints and engaged directly with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. All communications have been thoroughly documented.

Potential Steps to Address the Issue:

Immediate Investigation: We request a prompt investigation into this matter.

Provision of Required Plans: We urge for the immediate provision of the required service and intervention plans.

Compensation for Losses: Guidance on how to claim losses incurred due to this oversight and a discussion on potential compensatory measures are necessary.

Support and Guidance: Additional support to help navigate and rectify this situation would be invaluable.

Call to Action:

I earnestly seek your prompt intervention and advocacy in ensuring this grievance is communicated to all pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut tasked with addressing such critical matters. The departments that ought to be immediately apprised of this situation include, but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

Connecticut Office of the Healthcare Advocate

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management

I have proactively initiated complaints and have directly engaged with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. Rest assured, all communications have been meticulously documented, encompassing dates, times, and the identities of the individuals engaged in these discussions.

We urge the Connecticut Department of Social Services, alongside the Attorney General's Office, the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities, the Office of the Healthcare Advocate, and the Office of Policy and Management, to address this grievance promptly. The issue at hand not only affects ABI Resources but also speaks to the larger matter of public trust and the accessibility of crucial healthcare information.

We anticipate a constructive and swift response to this urgent matter, underscoring the importance of transparency and accessibility in public services, especially those catering to vulnerable populations.

I place my trust in your unwavering commitment to justice and fairness, confident that you will accord this matter the urgency and gravitas it demands, ensuring a comprehensive investigation and the implementation of corrective measures. The equitable treatment of all service providers operating under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program is of utmost importance, and I am optimistic that your intervention will usher in a just resolution.

I am grateful for your time and thoughtful consideration of this crucial matter.

Best regards,
David Medeiros
David Medeiros
ABI Resources, CEO, Director, Team Member





A.B.I. Resources LLC

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

11/16/2023

Subject: Formal Complaint and Request for Immediate Clarification on
Unauthorized Care Management Consultation Services in ABI Waiver Program 1.

To: All pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut,

Connecticut Department of Social Services and Relevant Oversight Bodies

I am writing on behalf of ABI Resources to express grave concerns and urgently seek detailed clarification on practices we have observed within the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, particularly in ABI Waiver Program 1. Our complaint specifically focuses on what appears to be an unauthorized provision of care management consultation services in Program 1, a practice traditionally and explicitly designated for Program 2 under existing guidelines. This letter outlines our observations, the potential negative impacts on our business operations and our consumers, and our urgent requests for resolution

Key concerns and grievances:

1. Unauthorized Consultation Services: The core of our concern lies in the apparent provision of care management consultation services to consumers in ABI Waiver Program 1. This practice is not authorized under the current program guidelines and represents a significant breach of protocol,

raising serious concerns about compliance and oversight within the program.

2. Lack of Clarity and Transparency in Program Administration: The sudden and unexplained introduction of these services in Program 1 has created an atmosphere of confusion and uncertainty. We seek immediate and detailed clarification from the Department on whether there have been any recent changes to the scope or administration of ABI Waiver Program 1 that would authorize such services.
3. Improper Recommendations for Program Transitions: Additionally, we have noted instances where care managers are inappropriately advising Program 1 consumers to transition to Program 2. This advice, given without proper authority or assessment, potentially disrupts the tailored care plans crucial for the recovery of individuals with acquired brain injuries.

In-Depth Analysis of Impact on ABI Resources and Consumers:

1. Operational and Strategic Challenges: The introduction of potentially unauthorized services has disrupted our strategic planning and operational execution. It has forced us to reevaluate our service delivery models and resource allocation, potentially leading to inefficiencies and diminished care quality.
2. Risk to Consumer Trust and Quality of Care: The ambiguity surrounding these services risks damaging the trust that consumers and their families have in the system. It raises concerns about the appropriateness of care

provided, which is essential for effective recovery and rehabilitation in ABI cases.

3. Market Imbalance and Competitive Disadvantage: This situation creates an unfair market environment. Providers adhering to the guidelines may be at a disadvantage compared to those who are offering these additional, potentially unauthorized services. This not only affects our competitive position but also skews the overall market dynamics in ABI care services.

Specific Requests for Resolution and Enhanced Transparency:

1. Comprehensive Investigation and Clear Communication: We request an immediate, comprehensive investigation into the provision of care management consultation services in ABI Waiver Program 1, accompanied by clear communication on any policy adjustments.
2. Immediate Enforcement of Compliance: We urge the prompt enforcement of existing program guidelines and the cessation of any unauthorized practices.
3. Proactive Support and Guidance for All Stakeholders: We advocate for the development of support and guidance initiatives for both service providers and consumers to navigate these changes effectively, ensuring everyone is fully informed about their rights and the services available.
4. Corrective Measures for Non-Compliance: Implementation of corrective actions, including disciplinary measures, against entities found in violation of program rules, to maintain the integrity and effectiveness of the program.

Conclusion:

ABI Resources is deeply committed to providing high-quality care within the ABI Waiver Program framework. The current situation, marked by potential unauthorized practices, poses significant challenges to our operations and the well-being of our consumers. We trust that the Connecticut Department of Social Services and relevant oversight bodies will address these issues with the urgency and thoroughness they demand, ensuring the program's integrity and efficacy. We look forward to a swift, detailed, and transparent response to this critical issue.

Call to Action:

I earnestly seek your prompt intervention and advocacy in ensuring this grievance is communicated to all pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut tasked with addressing such critical matters. The departments that ought to be immediately apprised of this situation include, but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services
Connecticut Attorney General's Office
Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities
Connecticut Office of the Healthcare Advocate
Connecticut Office of Policy and Management

I have proactively initiated complaints and have directly engaged with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. Rest assured, all communications have been meticulously documented, encompassing dates, times, and the identities of the individuals engaged in these discussions.

We urge the Connecticut Department of Social Services, alongside the Attorney General's Office, the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities, the Office of the Healthcare Advocate, and the Office of Policy and Management, to address this grievance promptly. The issue at hand not only affects ABI Resources but also speaks to the larger matter of public trust and the accessibility of crucial healthcare information.

We anticipate a constructive and swift response to this urgent matter, underscoring the importance of transparency and accessibility in public services, especially those catering to vulnerable populations.

I place my trust in your unwavering commitment to justice and fairness, confident that you will accord this matter the urgency and gravitas it demands, ensuring a comprehensive investigation and the implementation of corrective measures. The equitable treatment of all service providers operating under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program is of utmost importance, and I am optimistic that your intervention will usher in a just resolution.

I am grateful for your time and thoughtful consideration of this crucial matter.

Best regards,
David Medeiros
David Medeiros
ABI Resources, CEO, Director, Team Member



A.B.I. Resources LLC

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

11/16/2023

Subject: Formal Complaint Regarding Unethical Practices and Possible Kickback Schemes in ABI Waiver Program.

To: All pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut,

I am David Medeiros from ABI Resources, and I present this document to voice our serious concerns about certain activities within the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver program. These activities, which appear to breach ethical standards and potentially violate Medicaid regulations, require immediate scrutiny and action.

Examination of the ABI Waiver Program's Framework:

The ABI Waiver Program, established under sections 17b-260a-1 to 17b-260a-17 of the Connecticut State Agencies regulations, is designed to offer nonmedical, home, and community-based services to eligible individuals with an ABI. This program emphasizes the need for cost-effective services, personalized care, and adherence to strict qualifications for providers, ensuring that the services provided meet the highest ethical and professional standards.



Conflicts Arising from Service Provision:

Dual Service Conflict:

In the context of the ABI Waiver Program, a significant conflict has emerged due to an agency providing both Clinical Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and daily non-medical services to the same group of consumers. This situation raises several concerns:

Therapeutic Recommendations Overlapping with Financial Interests:

There is an apparent alignment of therapeutic recommendations with the agency's financial interests. The agency uses the platform of CBT sessions to recommend additional non-medical services that it also provides. This practice suggests a dual benefit for the agency, where it gains financially from both therapy sessions and the subsequent non-medical services.

Influence on Consumer Choice:

The dual role of the agency potentially influences consumer choice, where therapeutic sessions, which should be neutral and solely in the interest of the Consumer's health, may be used to steer consumers towards additional services provided by the same agency. This situation can lead to biased recommendations that may not always be in the best interest of the consumer.

Cycle of Service Provision and Financial Gain:

There is a creation of a cycle wherein the agency, through its provision of CBT, identifies or creates a need for additional services, fulfills these needs through its non-medical services arm, and consequently benefits financially. This cycle raises questions about the objectivity of the service recommendations and the potential for unnecessary or excessive services being provided.

Potential for Overutilization of Services:

This overlap in services could lead to overutilization, where consumers receive more services than are necessary, leading to increased costs for the Medicaid program.

Ethical Implications:

The practice blurs the lines between therapeutic need and financial gain, potentially compromising the ethical standards expected in healthcare and social service provision.

These concerns necessitate a thorough examination to ensure that services provided under the ABI Waiver Program are free from conflicts of interest, maintain the highest ethical standards, and truly serve the best interests of the consumers.

Manipulative Consumer Steering and Personnel Poaching:

Directing Consumer Choices:

The conduct of the agency's Clinical Behavioral Therapy (CBT) providers has raised significant concerns regarding the manipulation of Consumer choices. This issue manifests in several ways:

Targeted Referrals:

CBT providers are reportedly channeling consumers towards the agency's own non-medical services. This practice goes beyond the realm of impartial medical advice, as it systematically directs consumers to services that benefit the agency financially, rather than based on the unbiased assessment of Consumer needs.

Influence on Consumer Decision-Making:

This approach potentially undermines the autonomy of consumers in making informed decisions about their care. By directing consumers towards specific services, there's a risk that consumers are being provided a narrowed view of available options, influenced more by the agency's financial interests than by Consumer welfare.

Questionable Ethical Practices:

The behavior of these CBT providers raises ethical questions, particularly regarding the integrity of their recommendations. It potentially violates the principle of acting in the best interests of the Consumer, a cornerstone of healthcare and therapeutic professions.

Unfair Competitive Tactics:

The agency's practices extend beyond Consumer manipulation, affecting the broader operational dynamics within the industry:

Staff Poaching:

Reports indicate that the agency has engaged in poaching staff from other providers. This action not only disrupts the operations of other agencies but also indicates a strategic approach to weaken competition and consolidate the agency's position in the market.

Disruption of Industry Standards:

Such tactics contribute to an uneven playing field, where ethical providers adhering to fair hiring practices are disadvantaged. This behavior can lead to a destabilization of the market, with one agency gaining undue advantage and influence.

Impact on Service Quality:

The poaching of staff may lead to a shortage of skilled professionals in other agencies, potentially affecting the quality of care and services provided to consumers across the industry.

These practices of manipulative Consumer steering and personnel poaching call for an in-depth review to ensure that the ABI Waiver Program operates in a fair, ethical, and competitive environment, where Consumer welfare and industry standards are upheld.

Indicators of Potential Kickback Arrangements:

Referral for Incentives:

The relationships and interactions among the service provider, Clinical Behavioral Therapy (CBT) professionals, and care management consultants reveal possible mechanisms of kickback arrangements. This is characterized by:

Questionable Referral Patterns:

There appears to be a consistent pattern where CBT professionals and care management consultants funnel consumers to specific services offered by the agency. This pattern suggests a systematic approach to referrals that may not be solely based on Consumer needs but rather on underlying incentives.

Financial Incentives for Referrals:

The possibility that CBT professionals and care management consultants receive financial or other forms of incentives for directing consumers to the agency's services is a major concern. Such incentives could significantly compromise the

integrity of Consumer referrals, with decisions potentially driven by personal gain rather than Consumer welfare.

Cyclical Billing and Service Utilization:

The referral system seems to create a cyclical pattern of billing and service utilization, where Consumer needs are continuously identified and serviced within the same agency network, fostering an environment of repeated financial gain for the agency.

Impact on Medicaid Expenditures:

If these practices are occurring, they could lead to increased and potentially unnecessary Medicaid expenditures. Consumers may be receiving more services than medically necessary, or services that could be more effectively provided elsewhere, leading to inflated costs borne by the Medicaid program.

Ethical and Legal Implications:

Such kickback arrangements, if confirmed, would not only breach ethical standards but also could contravene legal statutes governing Medicaid and healthcare services. Kickbacks in healthcare are illegal and undermine the principle of fair competition and the integrity of patient care.

The existence of these indicators necessitates a thorough investigation to ascertain the presence of kickback arrangements and to evaluate their impact on the Medicaid program's ethical standards and financial integrity. It is imperative to ensure that all practices within the ABI Waiver Program align with legal and ethical guidelines, safeguarding the program's integrity and the wellbeing of its beneficiaries.

Impact on ABI Resources:

Revenue Reduction

Consumer Diversion Impact:

ABI Resources has experienced a significant downturn in revenue, which can be directly attributed to the diversion of consumers towards the agency in question. This diversion likely results from the alleged unethical steering and manipulative practices, leading to a reduced Consumer base for ABI Resources.

Financial Viability Threatened:

The reduction in revenue poses a threat to the financial viability and sustainability of ABI Resources, impacting our ability to provide quality care and services to our consumers.

Operational Cost Surge:

Increased Marketing Expenditures: In an effort to counteract the loss of consumers and to rebuild our Consumer base, ABI Resources has had to significantly increase our investment in marketing. These additional expenses are necessary to communicate our commitment to ethical practices and quality care to the community.

Legal and Consultation Fees:

Addressing these unethical practices and exploring legal avenues for recourse has led to increased spending on legal consultations and related services. These expenditures are essential for navigating the complexities of the situation and seeking justice, but they also divert resources from other operational areas.

Consumer Relationship Erosion:

Loss of Trust and Business: The most profound impact has been on our established Consumer relationships. The manipulative practices of the agency in question have led to a loss of trust among our consumers, as they are steered away from ABI Resources. This erosion of trust is deeply concerning, as it undermines the long-standing relationships we have built based on care, integrity, and ethical service provision.

Reputation Damage:

The wider implications of these practices in the industry have led to a tarnished reputation, not just for the agency in question, but for service providers in general, including ABI Resources. Restoring our reputation in the wake of these events is a significant challenge, requiring substantial effort and resources.

The cumulative effect of these impacts on ABI Resources is profound, affecting not just our financial stability but also our reputation and, most importantly, our ability to serve our consumers effectively. It is imperative that these issues are addressed comprehensively to restore the integrity of service provision within the ABI Waiver Program and to protect agencies like ours that are committed to ethical practices.

Formal Requests Thorough Examination and Compliance Review:

Comprehensive Investigation:

We formally request a complete and thorough examination of the outlined practices. This investigation should encompass all entities involved, including the service provider, CBT professionals, and care management consultants.

Medicaid Compliance Review:

A detailed review of Medicaid compliance is crucial to determine if these practices violate Medicaid regulations and standards.

Application of Corrective and Disciplinary Measures:

Immediate Corrective Action: Upon confirmation of these practices, we strongly advocate for swift implementation of corrective measures to address and rectify the identified issues.

Disciplinary Proceedings:

We also call for appropriate disciplinary actions against any parties found to be complicit in these unethical practices, in accordance with legal and regulatory guidelines.

Review and Revision of Policies and Oversight:

Policy Overhaul:

A comprehensive review and subsequent revision of existing policies within the ABI Waiver Program is necessary. This review should focus on closing loopholes that allow for such unethical practices and ensuring robust safeguards against future violations.

Enhanced Oversight Mechanisms:

We propose the establishment or enhancement of oversight mechanisms within the ABI Waiver Program to ensure ongoing compliance with ethical and legal standards.

In Closing:

The concerns we have raised in this document, if validated, point towards serious ethical lapses and potential legal infractions concerning Medicaid regulations. The very foundation of the ABI Waiver program, along with the trust placed in it by its beneficiaries, relies on prompt, effective, and transparent action from the Connecticut Department of Social Services.

We appreciate your attention to this urgent and significant matter and hope for a swift resolution that upholds the principles of justice and integrity.

Call to Action:

I earnestly seek your prompt intervention and advocacy in ensuring this grievance is communicated to all pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut tasked with addressing such critical matters. The departments that ought to be immediately apprised of this situation include, but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

Connecticut Office of the Healthcare Advocate

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management

I have proactively initiated complaints and have directly engaged with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. Rest assured, all communications have been meticulously documented, encompassing dates, times, and the identities of the individuals engaged in these discussions.

We urge the Connecticut Department of Social Services, alongside the Attorney General's Office, the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities, the Office of the Healthcare Advocate, and the Office of Policy and Management, to address this grievance promptly. The issue at hand not only affects ABI Resources but also speaks to the larger matter of public trust and the accessibility of crucial healthcare information.

We anticipate a constructive and swift response to this urgent matter, underscoring the importance of transparency and accessibility in public services, especially those catering to vulnerable populations.

I place my trust in your unwavering commitment to justice and fairness, confident that you will accord this matter the urgency and gravitas it demands, ensuring a comprehensive investigation and the implementation of corrective measures. The equitable treatment of all service providers operating under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program is of utmost importance, and I am optimistic that your intervention will usher in a just resolution.

I am grateful for your time and thoughtful consideration of this crucial matter.

Best regards,
David Medeiros
David Medeiros
ABI Resources, CEO, Director, Team Member



A.B.I. Resources LLC

39 Kings Highway, Suite C

Gales Ferry CT, 06335

Phone: (860) 942-0365

Fax: (860) 465-9591

<https://www.CTbrainINJURY.com>

11/20/2023

Subject Complaint and Request for Clarity Regarding Rental Agreements in the Medicaid ABI Waiver Program 1 and 2.

To: All pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut,

As a stakeholder in the Medicaid Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program, we, David Medeiros and ABI Resources, wish to raise a serious concern and request clarity regarding a critical issue impacting consumers and service providers within the program.

Key Grievance and Request:

We have observed a growing trend where consumers of the ABI Waiver Program are being locked into rental agreements with agency service providers or their business partners. These arrangements appear to significantly restrict consumer choice and freedom, creating a monopolistic environment detrimental to both consumers and independent service providers like ABI Resources.

Concerns:

Restricted Consumer Choice: Consumers are often coerced into staying with a particular agency due to fears of losing their housing, and belongings, or causing



inconvenience to roommates. This undermines the fundamental principle of consumer choice and control in the ABI Waiver Program.

Fear of Repercussions:

Many consumers feel trapped, unable to voice concerns about neglect or abuse due to the fear of losing their housing or facing other retaliatory actions.

Isolation from Advocacy and Assistance:

These rental agreements may isolate consumers from external resources and advocacy groups, further reducing their ability to seek help or change service providers.

Monopolistic Practices:

Such arrangements foster a monopolistic marketplace, hindering the ability of independent providers like ABI Resources to compete fairly and offer services to consumers.

Financial Concerns:

These rental agreements often involve dual payments - for waiver program services and rent - potentially leading to financial improprieties, especially when both payments are sourced from state or federal funding.

Request for Action:

Investigation into Legal and Ethical Violations:

We request a thorough investigation into these practices for potential violations of consumer rights, anti-trust laws, and Medicaid regulations.

Transparency and Regulatory Oversight:

There is a need for greater transparency and oversight in how rental agreements are managed within the ABI Waiver Program to ensure they do not conflict with program objectives or consumer rights.

Guidance and Policy Review:

We seek clear guidance on acceptable practices regarding rental agreements and the integration of housing with service provision.

Support for Affected Service Providers:

We request consideration of the adverse impact these practices have on service providers like ABI Resources, who strive to offer competitive and consumer-focused services.

Your prompt attention to this matter is crucial for maintaining the integrity of the ABI Waiver Program and the well-being of its consumers.

Indicators of Potential Kickback Arrangements:

Referral for Incentives:

The relationships and interactions among the service provider, and care management consultants reveal possible mechanisms of kickback arrangements.

This is characterized by:

Questionable Referral Patterns:

There appears to be a consistent pattern where care management consultants funnel consumers to specific services offered by the agency. This pattern suggests a systematic approach to referrals that may not be solely based on Consumer needs but rather on underlying incentives.

Financial Incentives for Referrals:

The possibility that professionals and care management consultants receive financial or other forms of incentives for directing consumers to the agency's services is a major concern. Such incentives could significantly compromise the integrity of Consumer referrals, with decisions potentially driven by personal gain rather than Consumer welfare.

Cyclical Billing and Service Utilization:

The referral system seems to create a cyclical pattern of billing, service utilization, and rental arrangements where consumer needs are continuously identified and serviced within the same agency network, fostering an environment of repeated financial gain for the agency.

Impact on Medicaid Expenditures:

If these practices are occurring, they could lead to increased and potentially unnecessary Medicaid expenditures. Consumers may be receiving more services than medically necessary, or services that could be more effectively provided elsewhere, leading to inflated costs borne by the Medicaid program.

Ethical and Legal Implications:

Such kickback arrangements, if confirmed, would not only breach ethical standards but also could contravene legal statutes governing Medicaid and healthcare services. Kickbacks in healthcare are illegal and undermine the principle of fair competition and the integrity of patient care.

The existence of these indicators necessitates a thorough investigation to ascertain the presence of kickback arrangements and to evaluate their impact on the Medicaid program's ethical standards and financial integrity. It is imperative to ensure that all practices within the ABI Waiver Program align with legal and ethical guidelines, safeguarding the program's integrity and the wellbeing of its beneficiaries.

Impact on ABI Resources:

Revenue Reduction

Consumer Diversion Impact:

ABI Resources has experienced a significant downturn in revenue, which can be directly attributed to the diversion of consumers towards the agencies in question. This diversion likely results from the alleged unethical steering and manipulative practices, leading to a reduced Consumer base for ABI Resources.

Financial Viability Threatened:

The reduction in revenue poses a threat to the financial viability and sustainability of ABI Resources, impacting our ability to provide quality care and services to our consumers.

Operational Cost Surge:

Increased Marketing Expenditures:

In an effort to counteract the loss of consumers and to rebuild our Consumer base, ABI Resources has had to significantly increase our investment in

marketing. These additional expenses are necessary to communicate our commitment to ethical practices and quality care to the community.

Legal and Consultation Fees:

Addressing these unethical practices and exploring legal avenues for recourse has led to increased spending on legal consultations and related services. These expenditures are essential for navigating the complexities of the situation and seeking justice, but they also divert resources from other operational areas.

Consumer Relationship Erosion:

Loss of Trust and Business:

The most profound impact has been on our established Consumer relationships. The manipulative practices of the agency in question have led to a loss of trust among our consumers, as they are steered away from ABI Resources. This erosion of trust is deeply concerning, as it undermines the long-standing relationships we have built based on care, integrity, and ethical service provision.

Reputation Damage:

The wider implications of these practices in the industry have led to a tarnished reputation, not just for the agency in question, but for service providers in general, including ABI Resources. Restoring our reputation in the wake of these events is a significant challenge, requiring substantial effort and resources.

The cumulative effect of these impacts on ABI Resources is profound, affecting not just our financial stability but also our reputation and, most importantly, our ability to serve our consumers effectively. It is imperative that these issues are addressed comprehensively to restore the integrity of service provision within the

ABI Waiver Program and to protect agencies like ours that are committed to ethical practices.

Formal Requests Thorough Examination and Compliance Review:

Comprehensive Investigation:

We formally request a complete and thorough examination of the outlined practices. This investigation should encompass all entities involved, including the agency service provider and care management consultants.

Medicaid Compliance Review:

A detailed review of Medicaid compliance is crucial to determine if these practices violate Medicaid regulations and standards.

Review and Revision of Policies and Oversight:

Policy Overhaul:

A comprehensive review and subsequent revision of existing policies within the ABI Waiver Program is necessary. This review should focus on closing loopholes that allow for such unethical practices and ensuring robust safeguards against future violations.

Enhanced Oversight Mechanisms:

We propose the establishment or enhancement of oversight mechanisms within the ABI Waiver Program to ensure ongoing compliance with ethical and legal standards.

In Closing:

The concerns we have raised in this document, if validated, point towards serious ethical lapses and potential legal infractions concerning Medicaid regulations. The very foundation of the ABI Waiver program, along with the trust placed in it by its beneficiaries, relies on prompt, effective, and transparent action from the Connecticut Department of Social Services.

We appreciate your attention to this urgent and significant matter and hope for a swift resolution that upholds the principles of justice and integrity.

Call to Action:

I earnestly seek your prompt intervention and advocacy in ensuring this grievance is communicated to all pertinent departments within the state of Connecticut tasked with addressing such critical matters. The departments that ought to be immediately apprised of this situation include, but are not limited to:

Connecticut Department of Social Services

Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

Connecticut Office of the Healthcare Advocate

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management

I have proactively initiated complaints and have directly engaged with the Connecticut Department of Social Services. Rest assured, all communications

have been meticulously documented, encompassing dates, times, and the identities of the individuals engaged in these discussions.

We urge the Connecticut Department of Social Services, alongside the Attorney General's Office, the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities, the Office of the Healthcare Advocate, and the Office of Policy and Management, to address this grievance promptly. The issue at hand not only affects ABI Resources but also speaks to the larger matter of public trust and the accessibility of crucial healthcare information.

We anticipate a constructive and swift response to this urgent matter, underscoring the importance of transparency and accessibility in public services, especially those catering to vulnerable populations.

I place my trust in your unwavering commitment to justice and fairness, confident that you will accord this matter the urgency and gravitas it demands, ensuring a comprehensive investigation and the implementation of corrective measures. The equitable treatment of all service providers operating under the Connecticut Medicaid ABI Waiver Program is of utmost importance, and I am optimistic that your intervention will usher in a just resolution.

I am grateful for your time and thoughtful consideration of this crucial matter.

Best regards,
David Medeiros
David Medeiros
ABI Resources, CEO, Director, Team Member

